The first cohomology of $D(2,1;\alpha)$ with coefficients in baby Verma modules

Shuang Lang^a, Wende Liu^b, Shujuan Wang^{c*},

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology
Dalian 116024. P. R. China

^bSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University
Haikou 571158. P. R. China

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University
Shanghai 201306. P. R. China

Abstract: Over a field of characteristic p > 3, the first cohomology group of Lie superalgebra $D(2,1;\alpha)$ with coefficients in baby Verma modules is determined by calculating the outer superderivations of $D(2,1;\alpha)$.

Keywords: $D(2,1;\alpha)$; baby Verma module; cohomology

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17B10, 17B30

1. Introduction

The cohomology of Lie (super)algebras has many important applications in mathematics and physics. Kac proposed the idea of determining the first cohomology groups of the simple Lie superalgebras with coefficients in the finite-dimensional irreducible modules over a field of characteristic 0 (see [1]). Su and Zhang computed the first and second cohomology groups of the classical Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2n}$ with coefficients in the finite-dimensional irreducible modules and Kac modules (see [2]). However, most of results on the finite-dimensional Lie (super)algebras over a field of characteristic 0 were not transferable to the modular case (see [3, Whitehead's Theorem], for example).

The cohomology groups are of importance for studying the structure and the classification of modular Lie superalgebras. Yuan, Liu and Bai determined the second cohomology group with coefficients in the trivial module for the odd Hamiltonian superalgebra and the odd contact superalgebra over a field of characteristic p > 3 (see [4]). Sun, Liu and Wu obtained the low-dimensional cohomology groups of $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}$ with coefficients in Witt superalgebras over a field of characteristic p > 2 (see [5]). Wang and Liu determined the first cohomology group of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2|1}$ with coefficients in the simple modules over a field of characteristic p > 3 (see [6]).

In this paper, the field \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 3. We first characterize the 0-weight derivation space by the weight space decompositions

^{*}Correspondence: wangsj@shmtu.edu.cn (S.J. Wang)

of $D(2,1;\alpha)$ and baby Verma modules relative to a Cartan subalgebra of $D(2,1;\alpha)$. Then we determine the first cohomology group of Lie superalgebra $D(2,1;\alpha)$ with coefficients in baby Verma modules over \mathbb{F} by computing the outer superderivations from $D(2,1;\alpha)$ to baby Verma modules in 0-weight derivation space.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Lie superalgebra cohomology. We recall some facts as follows: Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra and M a \mathfrak{g} -module. A \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous linear mapping $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \to M$ is a derivation of parity $|\varphi|$, if

$$\varphi([x,y]) = (-1)^{|\varphi||x|} x \varphi(y) - (-1)^{|y|(|\varphi|+|x|)} y \varphi(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ be the vector space spanned by all the \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous derivations from \mathfrak{g} to M and $\mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ the vector space spanned by all linear mappings \mathfrak{D}_m for all \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous elements $m \in M$, where \mathfrak{D}_m is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_m(x) = (-1)^{|x||m|} x m$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and \mathfrak{D}_m is called the inner derivation with respect to m of parity |m|. Every element of $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ which does not belong to $\operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is called an outer superderivation from \mathfrak{g} to M. Suppose that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta} \text{ and } M = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_{\beta}$$

are the root space decompositions of \mathfrak{g} and M with respect to a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , respectively. By [6, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M) = \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)} + \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \mid \varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}) \subseteq M_{\beta}, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^* \}$$

and $Der(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)}$ is called the 0-weight derivation space with respect to \mathfrak{h} . By the definition of the first cohomology group of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, M) &= \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M) / \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \\ &\cong (\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)} + \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)) / \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)} / (\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)} \cap \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)). \end{aligned}$$

It allows us to characterize $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ by computing the outer superderivations from \mathfrak{g} to M in $Der(\mathfrak{g}, M)_{(0)}$.

2.2. Lie superalgebra $D(2,1;\alpha)$. Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie superalgebra $D(2,1;\alpha)$ with $\alpha \neq 0, -1, \infty$ (see [7]). The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is 17-dimensional for which

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathrm{sl}(2)_1 \oplus \mathrm{sl}(2)_2 \oplus \mathrm{sl}(2)_3$$
 and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = V_1 \boxtimes V_2 \boxtimes V_3$,

where $sl(2)_i$ is a copy of sl(2), \boxtimes is the notation of the outer tensor product and V_i is the natural module of $sl(2)_i$, i = 1, 2, 3. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ be a $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module by means of

$$[x_1 + x_2 + x_3, v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3] := (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \cdot v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$$

$$= x_1.v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 + v_1 \otimes x_2.v_2 \otimes v_3 + v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes x_3.v_3,$$

where $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. Let $\{h_i, e_i, f_i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ be a basis of $sl(2)_1 \oplus sl(2)_2 \oplus sl(2)_3$ satisfying

$$[e_i, f_i] = h_i, \quad [h_i, e_i] = 2e_i, \quad [h_i, f_i] = -2f_i,$$
 (2.2)

where $h_i, e_i, f_i \in sl(2)_i$ are viewed as the elements in $sl(2)_1 \oplus sl(2)_2 \oplus sl(2)_3$ by canonical embedding for i = 1, 2, 3. Let $\{\omega_i, \omega_{-i}\}$ be a basis of $V_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $\{\omega_{\pm 1} \otimes \omega_{\pm 2} \otimes \omega_{\pm 3}\}$ is a basis of $V_1 \boxtimes V_2 \boxtimes V_3$. For convenience, write

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1 := \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega_3, & y_1 := \omega_{-1} \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega_3, \\ x_2 := \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega_{-3}, & y_2 := \omega_{-1} \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \omega_{-3}, \\ x_3 := \omega_1 \otimes \omega_{-2} \otimes \omega_3, & y_3 := \omega_{-1} \otimes \omega_{-2} \otimes \omega_3, \\ x_4 := \omega_1 \otimes \omega_{-2} \otimes \omega_{-3}, & y_4 := \omega_{-1} \otimes \omega_{-2} \otimes \omega_{-3}. \end{array}$$

We describe the multiplications of \mathfrak{g} as follows. The multiplication of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ follows from (2.2). The multiplication of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is listed in the following:

$$[h_1, x_i] = x_i, [h_1, y_i] = -y_i, [e_1, y_i] = x_i, [f_1, x_i] = y_i,$$

$$[h_2, k_j] = k_j, [h_2, k_l] = -k_l, [h_3, k_i] = (-1)^{i+1} k_i, [e_2, k_l] = k_{l-2},$$

$$[f_2, k_j] = k_{j+2}, [e_3, k_s] = k_{s-1}, [f_3, k_t] = k_{t+1},$$

where $k_p=x_p$ or $y_p,\ p=i,j,l,s,t$ and $i\in\{1,2,3,4\},\ j\in\{1,2\},\ l\in\{3,4\},\ s\in\{2,4\},\ t\in\{1,3\}.$ The multiplication of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is listed in the following:

$$[x_1, y_2] = -2e_2, \qquad [x_1, y_3] = -2\alpha e_3, \qquad [x_1, y_4] = -(1+\alpha)h_1 + h_2 + \alpha h_3,$$

$$[x_2, y_1] = 2e_2, \qquad [x_2, y_4] = 2\alpha f_3, \qquad [x_2, y_3] = (1+\alpha)h_1 - h_2 + \alpha h_3,$$

$$[x_3, y_1] = 2\alpha e_3, \qquad [x_3, y_4] = 2f_2, \qquad [x_3, y_2] = (1+\alpha)h_1 + h_2 - \alpha h_3,$$

$$[x_4, y_2] = -2\alpha f_3, \qquad [x_4, y_3] = -2f_2, \qquad [x_4, y_1] = -(1+\alpha)h_1 - h_2 - \alpha h_3,$$

$$[y_2, y_3] = 2(1+\alpha)f_1, \qquad [y_1, y_4] = -2(1+\alpha)f_1,$$

$$[x_2, x_3] = -2(1+\alpha)e_1, \qquad [x_1, x_4] = 2(1+\alpha)e_1.$$

We define a p-mapping [p] on $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ such that $h_i^{[p]} = h_i, e_i^{[p]} = f_i^{[p]} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ is a restricted Lie superalgebra. In addition, $\mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{F} h_1 \oplus \mathbb{F} h_2 \oplus \mathbb{F} h_3$ is said to be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\epsilon_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and i, j = 1, 2, 3. We list the weight spaces of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{2\epsilon_i} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{e_i\}, \, \mathfrak{g}_{-2\epsilon_i} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{f_i\}, \, \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\epsilon_1\pm\epsilon_2\pm\epsilon_3} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{\omega_{\pm 1} \otimes \omega_{\pm 2} \otimes \omega_{\pm 3}\},$$

where i = 1, 2, 3. We may choose a simple root system $\Delta = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, 2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_3\}$ of \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{g} has a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{f_1, f_2, f_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$. By [8, Remark 2.5], we may choose $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ with $\chi(\mathfrak{n}_{\bar{0}}^+) = 0$ without loss of generality. We define a 1-dimensional \mathfrak{h} -module K_{λ} for each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts as multiplication by $\lambda(h)$. Take

$$\Lambda_{\chi} = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(h)^p - \lambda(h^{[p]}) = \chi(h)^p \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} \}.$$

If $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\chi}$, then K_{λ} is a $u_{\chi}(\mathfrak{h})$ -module, where $u_{\chi}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a χ -reduced universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{h} . Recall that the baby Verma module of \mathfrak{g} is

$$Z_{\chi}(\lambda) := u_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u_{\chi}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+)} K_{\lambda},$$

where K_{λ} is regarded as a $u_{\chi}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+)$ -module by $\mathfrak{n}^+K_{\lambda} = 0$ (see [8]). Let v be a basis of K_{λ} . Then $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$ has a homogeneous basis

$$f_1^{i_1} f_2^{i_2} f_3^{i_3} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} y_3^{j_3} y_4^{j_4} \otimes v, \tag{2.3}$$

where $0 \le i_1, i_2, i_3 \le p-1$ and $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0, 1$.

2.3. Target-weight spaces. The weights

$$\{0, \pm 2\epsilon_1, \pm 2\epsilon_2, \pm 2\epsilon_3, \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3\}$$

are called to be the target-weights. In order to determine $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$, we will give the weight spaces $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_{\beta}$ for target-weight β . For convenience, let

$$J = \{(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \mid j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0, 1\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{split} J_1 &= \{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) \mid j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \text{ is an even number}\}, \\ J_2 &= \{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) \mid j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=2\}, \\ J_3 &= \{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) \mid j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \text{ is an odd number}\}, \\ J_4 &= \{(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) \mid j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=3\}. \end{split}$$

Note that J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 are subsets of J.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2 \epsilon_2 + \lambda_3 \epsilon_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\beta \in \{0, \pm 2\epsilon_i, \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3 \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$. Suppose that $\beta = \beta_1 \epsilon_1 + \beta_2 \epsilon_2 + \beta_3 \epsilon_3$, where $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}$, i = 1, 2, 3. For any element $(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) \in J$, denoted by θ . Let w_{β}^{θ} denote $f_1^{\beta;\theta;1} f_2^{\beta;\theta;2} f_3^{\beta;\theta;3} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} y_3^{j_3} y_4^{j_4} \otimes v$, where $\beta; \theta; i$ satisfies the following equalities

$$\beta; \theta; 1 = \frac{\lambda_1 - \beta_1 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 - j_4}{2},$$

$$\beta; \theta; 2 = \frac{\lambda_2 - \beta_2 + j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - j_4}{2},$$

$$\beta; \theta; 3 = \frac{\lambda_3 - \beta_3 + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4}{2},$$

and β ; θ ; k are the smallest nonnegative integers in the residue class containing β ; θ ; k modulo p for k = 1, 2, 3. Then the target-weight spaces

$$Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_{\beta} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{ w_{\beta}^{\theta} \mid \theta \in J \}.$$

Proof. We compute the weight of $f_1^{a_1} f_2^{a_2} f_3^{a_3} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} y_3^{j_3} y_4^{j_4} \otimes v$ is

$$(-2a_1 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 - j_4 + \lambda_1)\epsilon_1 + (-2a_2 + j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - j_4 + \lambda_2)\epsilon_2 + (-2a_3 + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4 + \lambda_3)\epsilon_3$$

for $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0, 1$. Suppose that $w_{\beta} \in Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_{\beta}$ is a weight vector associated with the weight β . Then

$$\begin{split} a_1 &= \frac{\lambda_1 - \beta_1 - j_1 - j_2 - j_3 - j_4}{2}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{\lambda_2 - \beta_2 + j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - j_4}{2}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{\lambda_3 - \beta_3 + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4}{2}, \end{split}$$

If $0 \le a_1, a_2, a_3 \le p-1$, then $f_1^{a_1} f_2^{a_2} f_3^{a_3} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} y_3^{j_3} y_4^{j_4} \otimes v$ is a basis element of $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_{\beta}$ by (2.3).

If there exists $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $a_k > p-1$ or $a_k < 0$, then replacing $a_k - mp$ by $\beta; \theta; k$ and relabelling yields $0 \le \beta; \theta; k \le p-1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$f_k^{a_k} = f_k^{\beta;\theta;k} f_k^{pm} = \chi(f_k)^{pm} f_k^{\beta;\theta;k}.$$

Hence w_{β} always consists of w_{β}^{θ} and $\beta; \theta; k$ denote the smallest nonnegative integer in the residue class containing a_k modulo p for k = 1, 2, 3.

3. The first cohomology group

In this section, let $\mathfrak{g} = D(2,1;\alpha)$ and $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$ be a baby Verma module of \mathfrak{g} . Using the notation introduced in section 2. we obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)} \cap \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))$. Then

$$\varphi = \sum_{\theta \in J} b^{\theta} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}},$$

where $b^{\theta} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))$ is an \mathfrak{h}^* -graded Lie superalgebra and $\operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))$ is an \mathfrak{h}^* -graded subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))$. Thus

$$\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(\lambda))_{(0)} \cap \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(\lambda)) = \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(\lambda))_{(0)},$$

where $\operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)} = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda)) \mid \sigma(\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}) \subseteq Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_{\beta}, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^* \}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)} = \{ \sum_{\theta \in I} b^{\theta} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} \mid b^{\theta} \in \mathbb{F} \},$$

since \mathfrak{D}_m is a homogeneous derivation of parity |m| for $m \in Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$.

We give a description of $Der(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. Hereafter, write

$$\chi(x)_{s,t}^p = \begin{cases} 1 & s \neq t, \\ \chi(x)^p & s = t, \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{F}$. For $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2 \epsilon_2 + \lambda_3 \epsilon_3 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we write in short $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. Then we have

$$\varphi(h_i) = \begin{cases} a_i w_0^{(1,1,1,1)} & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2,2p-2,2p-2) \text{ and } \chi(f_i)^p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and i = 1, 2, 3.

Proof. Note that $\varphi(h_i) \in Z_{\chi}(\lambda)_0$. By Lemma 2.1, suppose that $\varphi(h_i) = \sum_{\theta} a_i^{\theta} w_0^{\theta}$ and $\varphi(f_1) = \sum_{\theta} a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta} w_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta}$, where $a_i^{\theta}, a_{-2\epsilon_i}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\theta \in J$. By the definition of $\beta; \theta; k$ in Lemma 2.1, k = 1, 2, 3, we have

$$0; \theta; 1 = \frac{\lambda_1 + j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4}{2}.$$

(1) Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\lambda \neq (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p-2)$. By substituting $x = h_i$ and $y = f_1$ in (2.1), we have

$$\delta_{i,1}\varphi(-2f_1) = \varphi([h_i, f_1]) = h_i\varphi(f_1) - f_1\varphi(h_i)
= h_i \sum_{\theta} a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta} w_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta} - f_1 \sum_{\theta} a_i^{\theta} w_0^{\theta}
= -\delta_{i,1}2\varphi(f_1) - \sum_{\theta} \chi(f_1)_{(0;\theta;1),p-1}^p a_i^{\theta} f_1 w_0^{\theta}.$$
(3.1)

Since $\delta_{i,1}\varphi(-2f_1) = -\delta_{i,1}2\varphi(f_1)$, then $\sum_{\theta} \chi(f_1)_{(0;\theta;1),p-1}^p a_i^{\theta} f_1 w_0^{\theta} = 0$. Case 1. If $0; \theta; 1 \neq p-1$ for all $\theta \in J$, then

$$\sum_{a} \chi(f_1)_{(0;\theta;1),p-1}^p a_i^{\theta} f_1 w_0^{\theta} = \sum_{a} a_i^{\theta} f_1 w_0^{\theta}.$$

Since $f_1 w_0^{\theta} = w_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta}$ and $w_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta}$ are basis elements of $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$, we have $a_i^{\theta} = 0$. Hence $\varphi(h_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$.

Case 2. If there exists $J' \subseteq J$ such that 0; θ' ; 1 = p - 1, $\theta' \in J'$, then $a_i^{\theta} = 0$ and $\sum_{\theta' \in J'} \chi(f_1)^p a_i^{\theta'} w_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta'} = 0$ by (3.1), where $\theta \in J \setminus J'$. If $\chi(f_1)^p \neq 0$, then $a_i^{\theta'} = 0$, that is, $\varphi(h_i) = 0$, i = 1, 2, 3. If $\chi(f_1)^p = 0$, then substituting $x = h_i$ and $y = y_j$ or e_1 in (2.1), where j = 1, 2, 3, 4. A direct computation shows that $\varphi(h_i) = 0$, i = 1, 2, 3.

(2) Suppose that $\lambda=(2p+2,2p-2,2p-2)$. Substituting h_i for x and all basis elements for y in (2.1). If there exists $k\in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $\chi(f_k)^p\neq 0$, then we obtain that $a_i^\theta=0$, $\theta\in J$, that is, $\varphi(h_i)=0$, i=1,2,3. If $\chi(f_i)^p=0$, i=1,2,3, then we have $a_i^\theta=0$, where $\theta\in J\setminus\{(1,1,1,1)\}$. Hence $\varphi(h_i)=a_i^{(1,1,1,1)}w_0^{(1,1,1,1)}$, where $a_i^{(1,1,1,1)}\in\mathbb{F}$ and i=1,2,3. Let a_i denote $a_i^{(1,1,1,1)}$ and we obtain the desired result.

Hereafter, we denote $a_{\beta}^{(1,1,1,1)}$ by a_{β} for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $\varphi(f_i) = \sum_{\theta} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_i} w^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_i}$, where $a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_i} \in \mathbb{F}$, i = 1, 2, 3 and $\theta \in J$. Then

$$\chi(f_l)_{(-2\epsilon_k:\theta;l),p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_k}^\theta = \chi(f_k)_{(-2\epsilon_l;\theta;k),p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_l}^\theta$$

where $k, l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $k \neq l$.

Proof. Suppose that $k, l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $k \neq l$. By substituting $x = f_k$ and $y = f_l$ in (2.1), we have

$$0 = \varphi([f_k, f_l]) = f_k \varphi(f_l) - f_l \varphi(f_k)$$

$$= \sum_{\theta} (\chi(f_k)_{(-2\epsilon_l; \theta; k), p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_l}^{\theta} - \chi(f_l)_{(-2\epsilon_k; \theta; l), p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_k}^{\theta}) w_{-2\epsilon_k - 2\epsilon_l}^{\theta}.$$
(3.2)

Since $w_{-2\epsilon_k-2\epsilon_l}^{\theta}$ is a basis element of $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$, then (3.2) yields

$$\chi(f_l)_{(-2\epsilon_k;\theta;l),p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_k}^\theta = \chi(f_k)_{(-2\epsilon_l;\theta;k),p-1}^p a_{-2\epsilon_l}^\theta.$$

Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. If $\chi(f_1)^p \neq 0$, then

$$\varphi \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}.$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, we have $\varphi = \varphi_{\bar{0}} + \varphi_{\bar{1}}$, where $\varphi_{\bar{i}} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{i}}$ and

$$\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{i}} := \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)} \cap \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{\bar{i}}$$

for $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let x be a weight vector and β_x the weight associated with x. By Lemma 2.1, we assume that

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}}(x_{\bar{0}}) = \sum_{\theta \in J_1} a_{\beta_x}^{\theta} w_{\beta_x}^{\theta}, \quad \varphi_{\bar{1}}(x_{\bar{0}}) = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a_{\beta_x}^{\theta} w_{\beta_x}^{\theta},
\varphi_{\bar{0}}(x_{\bar{1}}) = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a_{\beta_x}^{\theta} w_{\beta_x}^{\theta}, \quad \varphi_{\bar{1}}(x_{\bar{1}}) = \sum_{\theta \in J_1} a_{\beta_x}^{\theta} w_{\beta_x}^{\theta}.$$
(3.3)

Case 1. Suppose that $\beta; \theta; 1 \neq p-1$ for all $\beta \in \{\pm 2\epsilon_i, \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3\}$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that each coefficient $a^{\theta}_{\beta_x}$ is a linear combination of the elements of $\{a^{\theta'}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mid \theta' \in J\}$ by Lemma 3.4. It follows that

$$\varphi = \sum_{\theta \in I} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}.$$

Moreover, $\varphi \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$.

Case 2. Suppose that there exists $\theta' \in J$ or $\beta' \in \{\pm 2\epsilon_i, \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3\}$ such that $\beta'; \theta'; 1 = p - 1$. We first verify that $\varphi_{\bar{0}} \in \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. There are four possibilities for λ_1 which give rise to $\beta'; \theta'; 1 = p - 1$, where $\theta' \in J_1$.

(1) If $\lambda_1=2p+4$, then $2\epsilon_1$; (1,1,1,1); 1=p-1. The computation for the equations

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}}([e_1, x_4]) = 0, \varphi_{\bar{0}}([e_1, f_2]) = 0, \varphi_{\bar{0}}([e_1, f_3]) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

shows that $a_{2\epsilon_1} = 0$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_1} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}$$

by Lemma 3.4. In other words, $\varphi_{\bar{0}} \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$.

(2) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 2$, then

$$2\epsilon_1; \theta_1; 1 = \pm 2\epsilon_k; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; \theta_2; 1 = p - 1,$$
 (3.5)

where $\theta_1 \in J_2$, $\theta_2 \in J_4$ and k = 2, 3. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_1 \setminus \{(1,1,1,1)\}} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + \frac{1}{\chi(f_1)^p} a_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,1,1)}}.$$

(3) If $\lambda_1 = 2p$, then

$$p - 1 = 2\epsilon_1; (0, 0, 0, 0); 1 = -2\epsilon_1; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 = \pm \epsilon_k; \theta_1; 1$$

= \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tiny{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texiclex{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi\tii}\titt{\texi\til\tint{\ti}\tii}\tiln{\tii}\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\ti

where k = 2, 3, $\theta_1 \in J_2$, $\theta_2 \in J_3 \setminus J_4$ and $\theta_3 \in J_4$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_2} \frac{1}{\chi(f_1)^p} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + a^{(0,0,0,0)}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,0,0)}} + a_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,1,1)}}.$$

(4) If $\lambda_1 = 2p - 2$, then

$$-2\epsilon_1; \theta_1; 1 = \pm 2\epsilon_k; (0, 0, 0, 0); 1 = -\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; \theta_2; 1 = p - 1, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\theta_1 \in J_2$, k = 2, 3 and $\theta_2 \in J_3 \backslash J_4$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_1 \setminus \{(0,0,0,0)\}} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + \frac{1}{\chi(f_1)^p} a^{(0,0,0,0)}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,0,0)}}.$$

Therefore, $\varphi_{\bar{0}} \in \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$. Then we check that $\varphi_{\bar{1}} \in \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{1}}$. There are four possibilities for λ_1 which give rise to β' ; θ' ; 1 = p - 1, where $\theta' \in J_3$.

(1) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 3$, then $2\epsilon_1$; θ_1 ; $1 = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3$; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 = p - 1, where $\theta_1 \in J_4$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by e_1 in (2.1), we obtain that $\chi(f_1)^p a_{2\epsilon_1}^{\theta_1} = 0$. Since $\chi(f_1)^p \neq 0$, we obtain $a_{2\epsilon_1}^{\theta_1} = 0$ for $\theta_1 \in J_4$. This yields $a_{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3} = 0$ by computing the following equations

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}}([e_1, x_4]) = 0, \qquad \varphi_{\bar{1}}([e_2, x_4]) = \varphi_{\bar{1}}(x_2),
\varphi_{\bar{1}}([e_3, x_4]) = \varphi_{\bar{1}}(x_3), \quad \varphi_{\bar{1}}([e_3, x_2]) = \varphi_{\bar{1}}(x_1).$$

Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}$$

by Lemma 3.4. In other words, $\varphi_{\bar{1}} \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{1}}$.

(2) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 1$, then

$$p - 1 = 2\epsilon_1; \theta_1; 1 = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; \theta_3; 1$$

= \pm \epsilon_k; \textit{\textit{\textit{\textit{e}}}} = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2; 1 = -\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 \end{assume} (3.8)

where $\theta_1 \in J_3 \setminus J_4$, $\theta_2 \in J_4$, $\theta_3 \in J_2$ and k = 2, 3. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3 \setminus J_4} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + \sum_{\theta \in J_4} \frac{1}{\chi(f_1)^p} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}.$$

(3) If $\lambda_1 = 2p - 1$, then

$$p - 1 = -2\epsilon_1; \theta_1; 1 = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; (0, 0, 0, 0); 1$$

= \pm 2\epsilon_k; \theta_2; 1 = -\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3; 1 (3.9)

where $\theta_1 \in J_4$, $\theta_2 \in J_3 \setminus J_4$, $\theta_3 \in J_2$ and k = 2, 3. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3 \setminus J_4} \frac{1}{\chi(f_1)^p} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + \sum_{\theta \in J_4} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}.$$

(4) If $\lambda_1 = 2p - 3$, then $-2\epsilon_1$; θ ; $1 = -\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3$; (0, 0, 0, 0); 1 = p - 1, where $\theta \in J_3 \setminus J_4$. By computing $\varphi_{\bar{1}}([f_1, y_j]) = 0$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4 and $\chi(f_1)^p \neq 0$, we have

$$a_{-\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}^{(0,0,0,0)} = (1+\alpha)(\lambda_{2}+1)\left[\frac{\lambda_{3}+1}{2}a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(1,0,0,0)} + a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(0,1,0,0)}\right],$$

$$a_{-\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}^{(0,0,0,0)} = -(1+\alpha)(\lambda_{2}+1)a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(1,0,0,0)},$$

$$a_{-\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}^{(0,0,0,0)} = -(1+\alpha)\left[(\lambda_{3}+1)a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(1,0,0,0)} + 2a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(0,1,0,0)}\right],$$

$$a_{-\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}^{(0,0,0,0)} = 2(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_{1}}^{(1,0,0,0)}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

It follows that $\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}$ by substituting f_1 for x and other basis elements for y in (2.1) and (3.10). In summary we have $\varphi \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. \square

For convenience, we define some linear mappings as follows. Let ψ_1 be an even linear mapping from \mathfrak{g} to $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$ defined by means of

$$\begin{split} \psi_1(h_i) &= a_i \omega_0^{(1,1,1,1)}, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \psi_1(f_j) &= a_{-2\epsilon_j} \omega_{-2\epsilon_2}^{(1,1,1,1)}, j = 2, 3, \\ \psi_1(e_1) &= 2a_{-2\epsilon_2} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,0,0)} - 2\alpha a_{-2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,0,1,0)} + [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 + \alpha a_3] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,0,0,1)} \\ &- [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 - \alpha a_3] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,1,1,0)} - a_1 \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_1(e_2) &= -a_2 \omega_{2\epsilon_2}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_1(e_3) &= -a_3 \omega_{2\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_1(x_1) &= [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 - \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)} + [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 + \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} \\ &- [(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 - \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - [(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_1(x_2) &= -2\alpha a_{-2\epsilon_3} \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)} + [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 + \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ 2\alpha a_{-2\epsilon_3} \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - [(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_1(x_3) &= -2a_{-2\epsilon_2} \omega_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)} - 2a_{-2\epsilon_2} \omega_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} - [(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 - \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)}, \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3]\omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)},$$

$$\psi_1(x_4) = -2a_{-2\epsilon_2}\omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} + 2\alpha a_{-2\epsilon_3}\omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - [(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3]\omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}$$

Let ψ_2 be an even mapping from \mathfrak{g} to $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$ defined by means of

$$\begin{split} \psi_2(e_1) &= 2\alpha a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,1,0,1)}, \\ \psi_2(e_3) &= a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_2(x_1) &= -2\alpha a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} + 2\alpha a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_2(x_3) &= 2\alpha a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}. \end{split}$$

Let ψ_3 be an even mapping from \mathfrak{g} to $Z_{\gamma}(\lambda)$ defined by means of

$$\begin{split} \psi_3(e_1) &= -2a_{2\epsilon_2}\omega_{2\epsilon_2}^{(0,0,1,1)}, \\ \psi_3(e_2) &= a_{2\epsilon_2}\omega_{2\epsilon_2}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_3(x_1) &= 2a_{2\epsilon_2}\omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} + 2a_{2\epsilon_2}\omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ \psi_3(x_2) &= 2a_{2\epsilon_2}\omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}. \end{split}$$

Let ψ_4 be an odd mapping from \mathfrak{g} to $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$ defined by means of

$$\psi_4(e_1) = a_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)}, \qquad \psi_4(x_1) = -\frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} \frac{\lambda_3 + 1}{2} a_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)} \omega_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)},$$

$$\psi_4(x_2) = \frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} a_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)} \omega_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)}, \quad \psi_4(x_3) = \frac{\lambda_3 + 1}{2} a_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)} \omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)},$$

$$\psi_4(x_4) = -a_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,0)} \omega_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}.$$

We can easily check that ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 and ψ_4 are derivations from \mathfrak{g} to $Z_{\chi}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$. If $\chi(f_1)^p = 0$, then there exist φ_1 and φ_2 such that $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$, where $\varphi_1 \in \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0)}$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi = \varphi_{\bar{0}} + \varphi_{\bar{1}}$, where $\varphi_{\bar{i}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{i}}$ for i = 0, 1. By Proposition 3.5, we need only to describe φ in case there exists $\theta' \in J$ or $\beta' \in \{\pm 2\epsilon_i, \pm \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3\}$ such that $\beta'; \theta'; 1 = p - 1$.

- (a) We first consider $\varphi_{\bar{0}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$. By Lemma 2.1, we shall discuss the cases $\lambda_1 = 2p, 2p \pm 2, 2p + 4$, respectively.
- (a.1) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 4$, then $2\epsilon_1$; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 = p 1. By virtue of (3.4), we note that $a_{2\epsilon_1} = 0$. Replacing x by f_1 and y by other basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that $\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_1} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega^{\theta}_0}$ by Lemma 3.4. It follows that $\varphi_{\bar{0}} \in \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$.
- (a.2) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 2$, then (3.5) holds. By substituting all basis elements for x and y in (2.1) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\varphi_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_1 \setminus \{(1,1,1,1)\}} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + \psi$$

and $\psi \in \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$ is defined by means of

$$\psi(h_i) = \begin{cases} a_i w_0^{(1,1,1,1)} & \text{if } \lambda = (2, -2, -2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} &\psi(f_1) = a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \psi(f_2) = a_{-2\epsilon_2} \omega_{-2\epsilon_2}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \psi(f_3) = a_{-2\epsilon_3} \omega_{-2\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(e_1) = -8(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,0,0,0)} + 2a_{-2\epsilon_2} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,0,0)} - 2\alpha a_{-2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,0,0)} \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 + \alpha a_3 - (\lambda_2 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_2} + \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,0,0,1)} \\ &+ \left[-(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + (\lambda_2 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_2} + \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,0,1,1,0)} \\ &+ \left[2\alpha a_{2\epsilon_2} - \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2) \frac{\lambda_3}{2} a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,1,0,1)} + \left[(\lambda_2 + 2) \frac{\lambda_2}{2} a_{-2\epsilon_2} - 2a_{2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(0,0,1,1)} \\ &+ a_1 \omega_{2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \psi(e_3) = a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(e_2) = a_{2\epsilon_2} \omega_{2\epsilon_2}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \psi(e_3) = a_{2\epsilon_3} \omega_{2\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(y_1) = (1+\alpha)\lambda_2 (a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(y_2) = (1+\alpha)\lambda_2 a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(y_3) = (1+\alpha)(-2a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} + 3a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(y_4) = -2(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} - 2\lambda_3 a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3)}^{(0,1,1,1)}, \\ &\psi(y_4) = -2(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} + [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 - \alpha a_3] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)}, \\ &+ \lambda_2 \lambda_3 a_{-2\epsilon_1} \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,0,0,1)} + [(1+\alpha)a_1 - a_2 + \alpha a_3 - 2a_{2\epsilon_2}] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 - 2a_{2\epsilon_2} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + \lambda_3 a_{2\epsilon_2} + \alpha \lambda_2 a_{2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + \alpha(\lambda_3 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_3} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + (\lambda_2 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_2} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + (\lambda_2 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_2} \right] \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)}, \\ &+ \left[(1+\alpha)a_1 + a_2 + \alpha a_3 + (\lambda_2 + 2)a_{-2\epsilon_2} \right$$

(a.2.1) For the case $\lambda = (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p-2)$ and $\chi(f_2)^p = \chi(f_3)^p = 0$, the inner derivation $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^{(1,1,1,1)} = 0$. It follows that ψ is an outer superderivation. Moreover, $\psi = \psi_1$.

(a.2.2) For the case $\lambda = (2p + 2, 2p - 2, 2p)$ and $\chi(f_2)^p = \chi(f_3)^p = 0$, then $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_{-2\epsilon_1} = a_{-2\epsilon_2} = 0$. It follows that ψ decomposes

$$\psi = a_{-2\epsilon_3} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^{(1,1,1,1)} + \psi_2.$$

If ψ_2 is an inner derivation, then assume that $\psi_2 = \sum_{\theta} b^{\theta} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}}$, where $b^{\theta} \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $\psi_2(f_1) = 0$. It follows that $b^{\theta} = 0$ for $\theta \in J_1 \setminus \{(1, 1, 1, 1)\}$. Then $\psi_2 = J_1 \setminus \{(1, 1, 1, 1)\}$.

 $b^{(1,1,1,1)}\mathfrak{D}^{(1,1,1,1)}_{\omega_0}$. By virtue of $\lambda_3=2p$, we have $\mathfrak{D}^{(1,1,1,1)}_{\omega_0}=0$, contradicting the definition of ψ_2 . Hence ψ_2 is an outer superderivation.

(a.2.3) For the case $\lambda = (2p + 2, 2p, 2p - 2)$ and $\chi(f_2)^p = \chi(f_3)^p = 0$, then $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_{-2\epsilon_1} = a_{-2\epsilon_3} = 0$. It follows that ψ decomposes

$$\psi = a_{-2\epsilon_2} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^{(1,1,1,1)} + \psi_3.$$

We have ψ_3 is an outer superderivation, the proof is similar to the proof of ψ_2 .

- (a.2.4) For the other cases of λ_2 and λ_3 , we could check that $\psi = a_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^{(1,1,1,1)}$ by a similar calculation as above, that is, ψ is an inner derivation.
- (a.3) If $\lambda_1 = 2p$, then (3.6) holds. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \varphi &= a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{(0,0,0,0)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,0,0)}} + a_{-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,0,0)}} + a_{-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,0,1,0)}} \\ &- \big[\frac{(\lambda_2+2)}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} + a_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} \big] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,0,0,1)}} + a_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,1,0)} D_{\omega_0^{(0,1,1,0)}} \\ &+ (a_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_3}^{(1,1,0,1)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,1,0,1)}} + a_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,1,1)}} \\ &+ (a_{-\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}^{(1,0,1,1)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,1,1)}}. \end{split}$$

It follows that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$.

(a.4) If $\lambda_1 = 2p - 2$, then (3.7) holds. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \varphi &= \sum_{\theta \in J_1 \setminus \{(1,1,0,0)\}} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} \\ &+ \big[a^{(1,0,0,0)}_{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} - (1+\alpha)(\lambda_2 + 2) a^{(1,1,0,0)}_{-2\epsilon_1} \big] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,0,0)}}. \end{split}$$

It shows that $\varphi \in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{0}}$.

- (b) We consider $\varphi_{\bar{1}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{1}}$. By Lemma 2.1, we shall discuss the cases $\lambda_1 = 2p \pm 1, 2p \pm 3$, respectively.
- (b.1) If $\lambda_1 = 2p 3$, then $-2\epsilon_1$; θ ; $1 = -\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3$; (0,0,0,0); 1 = p 1, where $\theta \in J_3 \setminus J_4$. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), then (3.10) holds. It follows that

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a^{\theta}_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}^{\theta}_{\omega_0}.$$

(b.2) If $\lambda_1 = 2p - 1$, then (3.9) holds. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\bar{1}} &= \sum_{\theta \in J_4} a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(1,0,0,1)} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,0,0,0)}} \\ &+ [a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,0,1)} - 2(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{(1,1,0,1)}] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,1,0,0)}} \\ &+ [a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(0,0,1,1)} - 2(1+\alpha)a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{(1,0,1,1)}] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,0,1,0)}} \\ &- (\frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,0,1)} + a_{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}^{(0,1,0,1)}) \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,0,0,0,1)}}. \end{split}$$

It follows that $\varphi_{\bar{1}}$ is an inner derivation.

(b.3) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 1$, then (3.8) holds. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\bar{1}} &= \sum_{\theta \in J_3 \backslash J_4} a_{-2\epsilon_1}^{\theta} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{\theta}} + a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,1,0)}} \\ &- [a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} + \frac{\lambda_3 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,1,0,1)}} \\ &+ [a_{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3} + \frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(1,0,1,1)}} \\ &- [\frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} \frac{\lambda_3 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3} + \frac{\lambda_2 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} + a_{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_3 + 1}{2} a_{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3}] \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0^{(0,1,1,1)}}. \end{split}$$

It follows that $\varphi_{\bar{1}}$ is an inner derivation.

(b.4) If $\lambda_1 = 2p + 3$, then $2\epsilon_1$; θ_1 ; $1 = \epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3$; (1, 1, 1, 1); 1 = p - 1, where $\theta_1 \in J_4$. By substituting x and y for all basis elements in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\varphi_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{\theta \in J_3} a_{-2\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^{\theta} + \psi.$$

If $\lambda_2=\lambda_3=2p-3$ and $\chi(f_2)^p=\chi(f_3)^p=0$, then $\psi=\psi_4$. Suppose that ψ_4 is an inner derivation. We may assume that $\psi_4=\sum_{\theta\in J_3}b^\theta\mathfrak{D}^\theta_{\omega_0}$. Since $\psi_4(f_1)=0$. It follows that $b^\theta=0$ for $\theta\in J_3$, contradicting the definition of ψ_4 . Hence ψ_4 is an outer superderivation. For other case of λ_2,λ_3 and χ , we have $\psi_4=0$. It follows that $\varphi_{\bar{1}}=\sum_{\theta\in J_3}a_{-2\epsilon_1}\mathfrak{D}^\theta_{\omega_0}$. Moreover, $\varphi_{\bar{1}}\in \mathrm{Ider}(\mathfrak{g},Z_\chi(\lambda))_{(0),\bar{1}}$. In summary we obtain the desired result.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{g} = D(2, 1; \alpha)$. For i = 1, 2, 3 we have

$$\mathbf{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}\psi_{1} & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p-2) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ \mathbb{F}\psi_{2} & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ \mathbb{F}\psi_{3} & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p, 2p-2) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ \mathbb{F}\psi_{4} & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+3, 2p-3, 2p-3) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathrm{sdim} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, Z_{\chi}(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} (6,0) & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p-2) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ (1,0) & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p-2, 2p) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ (1,0) & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+2, 2p, 2p-2) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ (0,1) & \text{if } \lambda = (2p+3, 2p-3, 2p-3) \text{ and } \chi(f_{i})^{p} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the definition of ψ_1 , we may obtain that ψ_1 is a linear mapping with six variables a_i and $a_{-2\epsilon_1}$, i=1,2,3. Then this follows directly from the proof of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6.

Acknowledgements. The second named author was supported by the NSF of China (12061029), the NSF of Hainan Province (120RC587) and the NSF of Heilongjiang Province (YQ2020A005).

References

- [1] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math. 26(1977): 8–96.
- [2] Y. C. Su and R. B. Zhang, Cohomology of Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2n}$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 94(1)(2007): 91–136.
- [3] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Dover Publications, Inc. (1979).
- [4] J. X. Yuan, W. D. Liu and W. Bai, Associative forms and second cohomologies of Lie superalgebras *HO* and *KO*, *J. Lie Theory* **23(1)**(2013): 203–215.
- [5] L. P. Sun, W. D. Liu and B. Y. Wu, Low-dimensional cohomology of Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}_{m|n}$ with coefficients in Witt or special superalgebras, *Indag. Math. (N. S)* **251(1)**(2014): 59–77.
- [6] S. J. Wang and W. D. Liu, The first cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2|1}$ with coeffocoents in χ -reduced Kac modules and simple modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **224(11)**(2020): 106403, 15 pp.
- [7] M. Scheunert, The theory of Lie superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 716. Springer-verlag (1979).
- [8] W. Q. Wang and L. Zhao, Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic I, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **99(3)**(2009): 145–167.