

# Cosmological Collider Signals of Non-Gaussianity from Higgs boson in GUT

Nobuhito Maru<sup>a,b</sup> and Akira Okawa<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, Osaka Metropolitan University,  
Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

<sup>b</sup>*Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (NITEP),  
Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

## Abstract

Cosmological Collider Physics gives us the opportunity to probe high-energy physics from observing the spacetime fluctuations generated during inflation imprinted on the cosmic microwave background. In other words, it is a method to investigate physics on energy scales that cannot be reached by terrestrial accelerators by means of precise observations of the universe. In this paper, we focus on the case where the GUT scale is close to the energy scale of inflation, and calculate three point function of inflaton by exchanging the Higgs boson in GUT at tree level. The results are found to be consistent with the current observed restrictions on non-Gaussianity without a drastic fine tuning of parameters, and it might be possible to detect the signature of the Higgs boson in GUT by 21cm spectrum, future LSS and future CMB depending on our model parameters.

# 1 Introduction

The Standard Model of elementary particles can explain a wide variety of elementary particle phenomena and has acquired reliability. On the other hand, there are certainly some phenomena that cannot be explained by the Standard Model, for example, the mechanism of generating tiny neutrino masses, the origin of dark matter and dark energy, and the hierarchy problem. To solve these problems, various theories beyond the Standard Model have been considered, such as extra dimensional scenario, supersymmetry, and Grand Unified Theory (GUT). GUT is the theory that unifies three of the four fundamental interactions that exist in nature: strong interaction, electromagnetic interaction, and weak interaction. The renormalization group method suggests that these three interactions are unified at a certain energy scale. Since the Standard Model is described by the Weinberg-Salam model, which unifies the electromagnetic and weak interactions, it is natural that the strong interaction is also unified. However, the energy scale of the GUT is expected to be about  $10^{15}$  GeV, and it is difficult to verify such a high energy theory by terrestrial accelerators. For this reason, Cosmological Collider Physics has attracted interest [1–94]. Cosmological Collider Physics is a field that obtains information on high energy elementary particles by observing quantum fluctuations in space-time stretched by inflation through the cosmic microwave background radiation. That is, precise observation of the universe can provide information on elementary particles in high energy which cannot be reached by terrestrial accelerators.

Non-Gaussianity is the three- or higher-point function of some quantum fluctuation in the curvature perturbations. Three point functions in models with only inflatons and gravitons were computed by Maldacena [95]. The effective field theory of inflation was proposed by C. Cheung, *et al.* [96], and its formalism has been used to calculate three-point functions in models with various particles. We focus on the case where the GUT scale is close to the energy scale of inflation and calculate three point function of inflaton by exchanging Higgs boson in GUT. The characteristic feature of this model is that the interaction between Higgs boson and inflaton generated by the (non-)vanishing Higgs boson vacuum expectation value (VEV) contributes to three point function of the inflaton at the (tree) 1-loop level as shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2. The results are found to be consistent with the current observational constraints on non-Gaussianity without drastic fine tuning

of parameters, and it might be possible to detect the signature of the Higgs boson in GUT by 21cm spectrum, future LSS and future CMB depending on our model parameters.




Figure 1: Leading graph of the inflaton three point function in the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, which is inevitably becomes at one-loop level. The rigid line represents the inflaton, and the dotted line represents the Higgs boson in GUT. See Appendix B for notation.




Figure 2: Leading graph of the inflaton three point function in the presence of spontaneous symmetry breaking. In the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, no such tree graph exists.

This paper is organized as follows. First, we discuss the setup of our model. The effective field theory of inflation is briefly introduced, giving the propagators of the inflaton as NG boson  $\pi$ . Furthermore, we introduce the Higgs potential in GUT and confirm that the Higgs boson interacts with the inflaton linearly after developing the vacuum expectation value due to the spontaneous symmetry breaking. In Section 3, we actually calculate the non-Gaussianity. Concretely, we compute three point function of the infla-

tons via the Higgs boson exchange at tree level by using the approximation in horizon exit. Non-Gaussianity is evaluated from the obtained three-point functions and the results are compared with the observational data by the Planck satellite. Conclusions are given in Section 4. In addition, Appendix A presents calculations on determinants of the metric, and Appendix B summarizes in-in formalism [97].

## 2 A Model

We consider the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetime with fluctuations and curvature  $K = 0$  expressed in the ADM formalism as the inflationary spacetime.

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \tilde{h}_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt) (dx^j + N^j dt), \quad (1)$$

where  $\tilde{h}_{ij}$  is a spatial components of the metric,  $N$  is the lapse function and  $N^i$  is the shift function. The tilde represents a physical quantity in the comoving gauge. The action we consider is

$$S = S_{\text{grav}} + S_{\text{SU(5) gauge}} + S_{\text{SU(5) Higgs}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $S_{\text{grav}}$ ,  $S_{\text{SU(5) gauge}}$  and  $S_{\text{SU(5) Higgs}}$  describe the actions of the gravity, SU(5) gauge bosons and an SU(5) adjoint Higgs boson to break GUT gauge symmetry respectively<sup>1</sup>. We will now examine each action in detail but omit the  $S_{\text{SU(5) gauge}}$  since it is unnecessary for our computation of the graph Fig.2. From the viewpoint of the effective field theory [96, 98], the Einstein-Hilbert action and the inflaton action after the transformation of time coordinates  $t \mapsto \tilde{t} = t - \pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{grav}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{Pl}}^2 R - M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left( 3H^2(\tilde{t} + \pi) + \dot{H}(\tilde{t} + \pi) \right) \right. \\ + M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \dot{H}(\tilde{t} + \pi) (\partial_\mu(\tilde{t} + \pi) \partial_\nu(\tilde{t} + \pi) g^{\mu\nu}) \\ + \frac{M_2(\tilde{t} + \pi)^4}{2!} (\partial_\mu(\tilde{t} + \pi) \partial_\nu(\tilde{t} + \pi) g^{\mu\nu} + 1)^2 \\ \left. + \frac{M_3(\tilde{t} + \pi)^4}{3!} (\partial_\mu(\tilde{t} + \pi) \partial_\nu(\tilde{t} + \pi) g^{\mu\nu} + 1)^3 + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $g$  is the determinant of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  is the Planck mass,  $R$  is the Ricci curvature in four dimensions,  $H$  is the Hubble parameter,  $\pi$  is a Nambu-Goldstone boson

---

<sup>1</sup>In the following, we consider in this paper the SU(5) GUT as an illustrating example, but it can be easily extended to other GUT gauge group.

of time translation which is identified with the inflaton.  $M_{2,3}$  are the coefficients of the high-dimensional operators.

The quadratic terms of the effective action of inflaton  $\pi$  is identified as [96, 99]

$$I_2 = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[ -\frac{\dot{H}}{c_s^2} \left( \dot{\pi}^2 - c_s^2 \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} \right) \right]. \quad (4)$$

The sound speed  $c_s$  is a quantity that is not determined by the effective field theory, but is bounded by the fundamental theory and observational data. The mode function  $w(\tau, k)$  of the inflaton  $\pi$  is obtained as

$$w(\tau, k) = \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{2\epsilon a H M_{\text{Pl}}}} \frac{1 + i c_s k \tau}{\sqrt{2 c_s k c_s k \tau}} e^{-i c_s k \tau}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau$  is the conformal time and  $\epsilon$  is the slow roll parameter. Using the expression for the propagators by in-in formalism in Appendix B, the propagators of inflaton  $\pi$  is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{>}(\tau_1, \tau_2, k) &= w(\tau_1, k) w^*(\tau_2, k) \\ &= \frac{1 + i c_s k (\tau_1 - \tau_2) + (c_s k)^2 \tau_1 \tau_2}{4 \epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s k^3} e^{-i c_s k (\tau_1 - \tau_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{<}(\tau_1, \tau_2, k) &= w(\tau_2, k) w^*(\tau_1, k) \\ &= \frac{1 - i c_s k (\tau_1 - \tau_2) + (c_s k)^2 \tau_1 \tau_2}{4 \epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s k^3} e^{i c_s k (\tau_1 - \tau_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Next, we discuss the action of the Higgs boson for GUT gauge symmetry breaking to the SM gauge symmetry. Let us denote  $\Sigma'$  for the Higgs of the 24-dimensional adjoint representation of SU(5) and its renormalizable potential is introduced as

$$V(\Sigma') = -M^2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^2) + \lambda_1 \{ \text{tr}(\Sigma'^2) \}^2 + \lambda_2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^4), \quad (8)$$

where  $M, \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are constants, and  $M$  is of order of the GUT scale.

$$M \sim \mathcal{O}(M_{\text{GUT}}). \quad (9)$$

We expand the adjoint Higgs  $\Sigma'$  around the expectation value

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = v \text{diag}(2, 2, 2, -3, -3), \quad v \sim \mathcal{O}(M_{\text{GUT}}) \quad (10)$$

as

$$\Sigma' = \langle \Sigma \rangle + \Sigma. \quad (11)$$

Now, we calculate three point function of inflaton to extract non-Gaussianity by the existence of  $\Sigma$ , which is given by a graph of tree level exchange of  $\Sigma$  shown in Fig. 2. In order to extract the first-order term of  $\Sigma$  in the potential, we expand  $\Sigma'^2$  around the expectation value yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma'^2 &= (\langle \Sigma \rangle + \Sigma)^2 \\ &= \langle \Sigma \rangle^2 + \langle \Sigma \rangle \Sigma + \Sigma \langle \Sigma \rangle + \Sigma^2,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2\text{tr}(\langle \Sigma \rangle \Sigma) &= 2 \times (2v\Sigma_{11} + 2v\Sigma_{22} + 2v\Sigma_{33} - 3v\Sigma_{44} - 3v\Sigma_{55}) \\ &= 2 \times 5v(\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) \\ &= 10v(\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33})\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

from the terms in  $\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2)$ . Note that only diagonal components of  $\Sigma$  are taken into account and the traceless condition for  $\Sigma$

$$\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33} + \Sigma_{44} + \Sigma_{55} = 0.\tag{14}$$

is used in the second equality. Then, since the first term of (12) can be computed as

$$\text{tr}(\langle \Sigma \rangle^2) = 30v^2,\tag{15}$$

we have

$$\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2) = 30v^2 + 10v(\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) + \text{tr}(\Sigma^2).\tag{16}$$

Now we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 \{\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2)\}^2 &= \lambda_1 [30v^2 + 10v(\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) + \text{tr}(\Sigma^2)]^2 \\ &\supset 600\lambda_1 v^3 (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33})\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

from the second term in potential (8). Similarly,

$$\lambda_2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^4) \supset 140\lambda_2 v^3 (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33})\tag{18}$$

is obtained from the third term in (8).

Next, we consider third-order term for  $\Sigma$  in potential (8):

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 \{\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2)\}^2 &= \lambda_1 [30v^2 + 10v(\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) + \text{tr}(\Sigma^2)]^2 \\ &\supset 20\lambda_1 v (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) \text{tr}(\Sigma^2)\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

The last term  $\text{tr}(\Sigma^2)$  is

$$\text{tr}(\Sigma^2) = \Sigma_{11}^2 + \Sigma_{22}^2 + \cdots + \Sigma_{55}^2 + 2(\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{21} + \Sigma_{13}\Sigma_{31} + \cdots + \Sigma_{45}\Sigma_{54}), \quad (20)$$

but the only meaningful term are only  $\Sigma_{11}^2 + \Sigma_{22}^2 + \cdots + \Sigma_{55}^2$ , since the  $\pi\Sigma$  term has only a diagonal component  $\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{22}, \Sigma_{33}$  when performing the graph calculation.  $\Sigma_{44}^2 + \Sigma_{55}^2$  can be rewritten as

$$\Sigma_{44}^2 + \Sigma_{55}^2 = (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33})^2 - 2\Sigma_{44}\Sigma_{55} \quad (21)$$

using (14). Hence, the meaningful part is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\Sigma^2) &\supset \Sigma_{11}^2 + \Sigma_{22}^2 + \Sigma_{33}^2 + \Sigma_{44}^2 + \Sigma_{55}^2 \\ &\supset \Sigma_{11}^2 + \Sigma_{22}^2 + \Sigma_{33}^2 + (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33})^2 \\ &= 2(\Sigma_{11}^2 + \Sigma_{22}^2 + \Sigma_{33}^2) + 2(\Sigma_{11}\Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{22}\Sigma_{33} + \Sigma_{33}\Sigma_{11}) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \{\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2)\}^2 &\supset 40\lambda_1 v (\Sigma_{11}^3 + \Sigma_{22}^3 + \Sigma_{33}^3 \\ &\quad + 2\Sigma_{11}^2\Sigma_{22} + 2\Sigma_{11}^2\Sigma_{33} + 2\Sigma_{22}^2\Sigma_{11} + 2\Sigma_{22}^2\Sigma_{33} + 2\Sigma_{33}^2\Sigma_{22} + 2\Sigma_{33}^2\Sigma_{11} \\ &\quad + 3\Sigma_{11}\Sigma_{22}\Sigma_{33}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

is obtained. Although there are cross terms among diagonal components, their contributions to non-Gaussianity are comparable, therefore we only need to consider  $40\lambda_1 v \Sigma_{11}^3$  in effect. We also omitted  $\Sigma_{44}\Sigma_{55}$ , since they are functions of  $\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{22}$  and  $\Sigma_{33}$  and they give the same order of contribution as the cross-terms. Furthermore, the third-order term of  $\Sigma$  is also obtained from the term  $\lambda_2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^4)$  of potential (8), since it only yields the same order of contribution, we write them collectively as  $\alpha$  later. The necessary part of action for the adjoint Higgs boson of SU(5)

$$S_{\text{SU}(5) \text{ Higgs}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ (D_\mu \Sigma)^\dagger D^\mu \Sigma - V(\Sigma) \right] \quad (24)$$

for calculation in Fig.2 is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{SU}(5) \text{ Higgs}} &\supset \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ (\partial_\mu \Sigma)^\dagger \partial^\mu \Sigma + 10M^2 v (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3 (\Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{33}) + 40\lambda_1 v (\Sigma_{11}^3 + \Sigma_{22}^3 + \Sigma_{33}^3) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

To calculate the graph in Fig.2, we have to extract the terms proportional to  $\pi$  and  $\pi^2$  from the metric  $\sqrt{-g}$ . From appendix A, the metric  $\sqrt{-g}$  is

$$\sqrt{-g} = a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^3 H \pi - a^3 \dot{\pi} + \frac{2}{9} a^3 H^2 \pi^2 - 3a^3 H \pi \dot{\pi} + a^3 \dot{\pi}^2 \quad (26)$$

after the transformation

$$t \mapsto \tilde{t} = t - \pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x}) \quad (27)$$

of time coordinates. Thus, the interaction with the NG boson  $\pi$  with no derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-g} [10M^2 v (\Sigma_{11}(t) + \Sigma_{22}(t) + \Sigma_{33}(t)) - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3 (\Sigma_{11}(t) + \Sigma_{22}(t) + \Sigma_{33}(t))] \\ &= \sqrt{-g} (10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) (\Sigma_{11}(t) + \Sigma_{22}(t) + \Sigma_{33}(t)) \\ &= 3 (10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) a^3 H \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \pi (\Sigma_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{33}(\tilde{t})) \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{9} (10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) a^3 H^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon\right) \pi^2 (\Sigma_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{33}(\tilde{t})) \\ & \quad + 3 (10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) a^3 H \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \pi^2 (\dot{\Sigma}_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \dot{\Sigma}_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \dot{\Sigma}_{33}(\tilde{t})), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and with time derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-g} [10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3] (\Sigma_{11}(t) + \Sigma_{22}(t) + \Sigma_{33}(t)) \\ &= -a^3 \{10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3\} \dot{\pi} (\Sigma_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{33}(\tilde{t})) \\ & \quad - a^3 H (3 + 2\epsilon) \{10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3\} \pi \dot{\pi} (\Sigma_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{33}(\tilde{t})) \\ & \quad - a^3 \{10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3\} \pi \dot{\pi} (\dot{\Sigma}_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \dot{\Sigma}_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \dot{\Sigma}_{33}(\tilde{t})) \\ & \quad + a^3 \{10M^2 v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3\} \dot{\pi}^2 (\Sigma_{11}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{22}(\tilde{t}) + \Sigma_{33}(\tilde{t})) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since  $\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{22}$  and  $\Sigma_{33}$  are equivalent, we consider  $\Sigma_{11}$  in the following.

Next, we consider the propagator of  $\Sigma$ , since the interaction has been obtained. The second order term of potential (8) is

$$-M^2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^2) \supset -M^2 (\Sigma_{11})^2, \quad (30)$$

$$\lambda_1 \{\text{tr}(\Sigma'^2)\}^2 \supset 160\lambda_1 v^2 (\Sigma_{11})^2, \quad (31)$$

$$\lambda_2 \text{tr}(\Sigma'^4) \supset 24\lambda_2 v^2 (\Sigma_{11})^2. \quad (32)$$

Hence, by setting

$$-m^2 := -M^2 + 160\lambda_1 v^2 + 24\lambda_2 v^2, \quad (33)$$



$\Sigma_{11}$  is a scalar field with mass  $m^2$  in de Sitter spacetime, therefore it has propagator

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{>}(\tau_1, \tau_2, k) &= -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\pi(\nu/2+1/4)} H(-\tau_1)^{3/2} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-k\tau_1) \times \\
&\quad i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\pi(\nu^*/2+1/4)} H(-\tau_2)^{3/2} H_{\nu}^{(2)}(-k\tau_2) \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{4} e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} H^2(\tau_1 \tau_2)^{3/2} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-k\tau_1) H_{\nu}^{(2)}(-k\tau_2), \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_{<}(\tau_1, \tau_2, k) = -\frac{\pi}{4} e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} H^2(\tau_1 \tau_2)^{3/2} H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-k\tau_2) H_{\nu}^{(2)}(-k\tau_1). \tag{35}$$

from appendix B. From the viewpoint of effective field theory, since  $m^2$  should be around the Hubble scale,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  must be an order of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ .

### 3 Calculation of non-Gaussianity

We are now ready to calculate non-Gaussianity. Since the interaction of  $\Sigma_{11}$  and the interaction of  $\dot{\Sigma}_{11}$  give contributions of the same order to non-Gaussianity, only  $\Sigma_{11}$  is considered and the latter is doubled. First, consider interactions that have no time derivative. According to the rule of in-in formalism, there exist four types of graphs,  $(+, +)$ ,  $(+, -)$ ,  $(-, +)$  and  $(-, -)$  as shown in Fig.3, mediated by one  $\Sigma$  between the three inflatons  $\pi$ .




Figure 3: Four graphs in in-in formalism. Black circles indicate  $+$  and white circles indicate  $-$ .

As an example, let us consider the graphs of  $(+, -)$  and  $(-, +)$ . The sum of the two

graphs is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_2) d\tau_2 (-i) \frac{2}{9} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) \\
&\quad \times a^3(\tau_1) H^2 \times 3i (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3) a^3(\tau_2) H \\
&\quad \times G_{+-}(\tau_1, \tau_2, p_3) \Delta_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_1) \Delta_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_2) \Delta_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p_3) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) \quad (36) \\
&\quad + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3)^2 H^3 \\
&\quad \times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_2 a^4(\tau_1) a^4(\tau_2) G_{+-}(\tau_1, \tau_2, p_3) \Delta_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_1) \\
&\quad \times \Delta_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_2) \Delta_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p_3) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we neglect the terms including  $\epsilon$  which are discussed in Appendix A in three point functions of inflaton, since they make only sub-leading contributions to non-Gaussianity.

Now, substituting the propagator (35) of  $\Sigma$  and the propagators (6) and (7) of  $\pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= -\frac{\pi}{2^7 \cdot 3} e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2) v^3)^2 \frac{1}{(H\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p_1 p_2 p_3)^3} \\
&\quad \times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_2 (\tau_1 \tau_2)^{-5/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-p_3 \tau_1) H_\nu^{(2)}(-p_3 \tau_2) \\
&\quad \times (1 + i c_s p_1 \tau_1) (1 + i c_s p_2 \tau_1) (1 + i c_s p_3 \tau_2) e^{-i c_s (p_1 + p_2) \tau_1} e^{-i c_s p_3 \tau_2} \\
&\quad + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

As discussed in [100] and [101], we use the approximation of the Hankel function

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(-p_3 \tau_2) \rightarrow -i \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} (-p_3 \tau_2)^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu), \quad (39)$$

$$H_\nu^{(2)}(-p_3 \tau_1) \rightarrow i \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} (-p_3 \tau_1)^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu) \quad (40)$$

with horizon exit  $-p_3 \tau_1, -p_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow 1$  to evaluate the integral. This is an approximation to extract the effect that the contribution is the largest as time evolves and the fluctuations freeze. Note that we consider the region

$$0 < \nu \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad (41)$$

in other words,

$$0 < \frac{m^2}{H^2} \leq \frac{9}{4} \quad (42)$$

where the suppression factor  $e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)}$  does not appear. Substituting approximations (39) and (40) of the Hankel functions into (38), three point function of inflaton  $\langle \pi \pi \pi \rangle$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi \pi \pi \rangle &= -\frac{\Gamma^2(\nu)}{2^{7-2\nu} \cdot 3\pi} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2)v^3)^2 \frac{1}{(H\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p_1 p_2 p_3)^3} \frac{1}{p_3^{2\nu}} \\ &\times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_2 (\tau_1 \tau_2)^{-5/2-\nu} e^{-ic_s(p_1+p_2)\tau_1} e^{-ic_s p_3 \tau_2} \\ &\times (1 + ic_s p_1 \tau_1) (1 + ic_s p_2 \tau_1) (1 + ic_s p_3 \tau_2) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Note that the dependence on the external momentum  $p_3$  is found to be non-local. This implies that the contribution for the three point function actually comes from the  $\Sigma$  field. Non-Gaussianity is defined by

$$\langle \zeta \zeta \zeta \rangle = (2\pi)^7 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3) \tilde{p}_\zeta^2 \left( \frac{9}{10} f_{\text{NL}} \right) \frac{1}{(p_1 p_2 p_3)^2} \quad (44)$$

in the case where the configuration of the external momentum are equilateral,

$$p := p_1 = p_2 = p_3 \quad (45)$$

in the following. Note that  $\zeta$  is the curvature fluctuation, which is related to inflaton  $\pi$  by  $\zeta = -H\pi$ . Since the integral only has an effect up to the horizon exit  $-p_3 \tau_1, -p_3 \tau_2 \rightarrow 1$ , the upper limit of the integral should be [101]

$$\tau_{1*} = \tau_{2*} = -p^{-1}. \quad (46)$$

In this case, the higher order term of the factor  $e^{-ic_s(p_1+p_2)\tau_1} e^{-ic_s p_3 \tau_2}$  is a small quantity, then the integral of can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_2 (\tau_1 \tau_2)^{-5/2-\nu} (1 + ic_s p_1 \tau_1) (1 + ic_s p_2 \tau_1) (1 + ic_s p_3 \tau_2) \\ &\times e^{-ic_s(p_1+p_2)\tau_1} e^{-ic_s p_3 \tau_2} \\ &= \left( \frac{-2}{3+2\nu} - \frac{4ic_s}{1+2\nu} \right) \left( \frac{-2}{3+2\nu} - \frac{2ic_s}{1+2\nu} \right) p^{3+2\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Therefore, the three point function of inflaton  $\pi$  can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &\simeq -\frac{\Gamma^2(\nu)}{2^{7-2\nu} \cdot 3\pi} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2)v^3)^2 \frac{1}{(H\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p_1 p_2 p_3)^3} \frac{1}{p_3^{2\nu}} \\
&\times \left( \frac{-2}{3+2\nu} - \frac{4ic_s}{1+2\nu} \right) \left( \frac{-2}{3+2\nu} - \frac{2ic_s}{1+2\nu} \right) p^{3+2\nu} + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \\
&= -\frac{\Gamma^2(\nu)}{2^{7-2\nu} \cdot 3\pi} (10M^2v + (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2)v^3)^2 \\
&\times \frac{1}{(H\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s)^3} p^{-6} \left( \frac{8}{(3+2\nu)^2} - \frac{16c_s^2}{(1+2\nu)^2} \right) \times 3
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Since the relation between the curvature fluctuation  $\zeta$  and inflaton  $\pi$  is  $\zeta = -H\pi$ , the non-Gaussianity can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\text{NL}} &= \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 \tilde{p}_\zeta^2} p^6 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-3} (-H)^3 \\
&\times \frac{-\Gamma^2(\nu)}{2^{7-2\nu} \cdot 3\pi} (10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2)v^3)^2 \\
&\times \frac{1}{(H\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s)^3} p^{-6} \left( \frac{8}{(3+2\nu)^2} - \frac{16c_s^2}{(1+2\nu)^2} \right) \times 3
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

by reviving  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  for  $\zeta$ . Here, the constant  $c_s$  has the relation  $r = 16\epsilon c_s$  with the tensor-scalar ratio  $r$  and the upper bound  $r < 0.036$  [102] gives

$$\epsilon c_s \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3 \sim -4}). \tag{50}$$

Since the constant in (49) has the order of

$$M_{\text{Pl}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{19}) \text{ GeV}, \quad v \sim M \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{15}) \text{ GeV}, \quad \tilde{p}_\zeta \sim 6.1 \times 10^{-9} \tag{51}$$

respectively, the non-Gaussianity is found as

$$f_{\text{NL}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-4 \sim -1}) \times \alpha, \tag{52}$$

where  $\alpha$  represents the similar contributions from other components such as  $\Sigma_{22}, \Sigma_{33}$  and  $\dot{\Sigma}_{11}$  and the additional group theoretical numerical factor that appears in extending to larger GUT gauge groups. The order is  $\alpha \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{0 \sim 1})$ . Since the current observation limit (Fig.4) is

$$|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1, \tag{53}$$

this result is consistent with the observation and it might be possible to detect the signature of the Higgs boson in GUT by 21cm spectrum, future LSS and future CMB depending on our model parameters.




Figure 4: Schematic illustration of current and future constraints on the non-Gaussianity (Figure taken from [103]).

Next, we consider interactions involving time derivatives. The sum of the graphs of  $(+, -)$  and  $(-, +)$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_2) d\tau_2 (-i) a^3(\tau_1) A i a^3(\tau_2) A \\
&\times G_{+-}(\tau_1, \tau_2, p_3) \frac{1}{a(\tau_1)} \dot{\Delta}_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_1) \frac{1}{a(\tau_1)} \dot{\Delta}_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_2) \frac{1}{a(\tau_2)} \dot{\Delta}_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p_3) \\
&+ (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where  $A$  is defined as

$$A := 10M^2v - (600\lambda_1 + 140\lambda_2)v^3. \tag{55}$$

Differentiating the propagation function  $\Delta_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p)$  with respect to the first time yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Delta}_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p) &= \dot{\Delta}_{>}(\tau_2, 0, p) \theta(\tau_2 - 0) + \Delta_{>}(\tau_2, 0, p) \delta(\tau_2 - 0) \\
&+ \dot{\Delta}_{<}(\tau_2, 0, p) \theta(0 - \tau_2) - \Delta_{<}(\tau_2, 0, p) \delta(0 - \tau_2) \\
&= \frac{1 + ic_s p \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{-ic_s p \tau_2} \delta(\tau_2) \\
&+ \left( \frac{-ic_s p}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} + ic_s p \frac{1 - ic_s p \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} \right) \theta(-\tau_2) - \frac{1 - ic_s p \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} \delta(-\tau_2) \\
&= \frac{1 + ic_s p \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{-ic_s p \tau_2} \delta(\tau_2) - \frac{1 - ic_s p \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} \delta(-\tau_2) + \frac{c_s \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} \theta(-\tau_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

which leads to a simple form

$$\dot{\Delta}_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p) = \frac{c_s \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p} e^{ic_s p \tau_2} \tag{57}$$

because of the  $\tau_2$ -integral. Similarly, differentiating the propagation function  $\Delta_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p)$  with respect to the second time yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Delta}_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p) = \dot{\Delta}_{<}(0, \tau_1, p) &= \frac{ic_s p}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{-ic_s p \tau_1} + (-ic_s p) \frac{1 + ic_s p \tau_1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s p^3} e^{-ic_s p \tau_1} \\
&= \frac{c_s \tau_1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p} e^{-ic_s p \tau_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Substituting these results,  $\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_2) d\tau_2 (-i) a^3(\tau_1) A i a^3(\tau_2) A G_{+-}(\tau_1, \tau_2, p_3) \\
&\quad \frac{1}{a(\tau_1)} \dot{\Delta}_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_1) \frac{1}{a(\tau_1)} \dot{\Delta}_{+-}(0, \tau_1, p_2) \frac{1}{a(\tau_2)} \dot{\Delta}_{++}(\tau_2, 0, p_3) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \\
&= \int d\tau_1 \int d\tau_2 \left( -\frac{1}{H\tau_1} \right)^2 \left( -\frac{1}{H\tau_2} \right)^3 A^2 \left( -\frac{\pi}{4} \right) e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} H^2(\tau_1 \tau_2)^{3/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-p\tau_2) H_\nu^{(2)}(-p\tau_1) \\
&\quad \times \frac{c_s \tau_1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p_1} e^{-i c_s p_1 \tau_1} \frac{c_s \tau_1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p_2} e^{-i c_s p_2 \tau_1} \frac{c_s \tau_2}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} p_3} e^{i c_s p_3 \tau_2} \\
&\quad + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Furthermore, using horizon exit approximations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &\sim \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_*} d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_*} d\tau_2 \frac{-1}{H^5 \tau_1^2 \tau_2^3} A^2 \\
&\quad \times \left( -\frac{\pi}{4} \right) H^2(\tau_1 \tau_2)^{3/2} (-i) \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} (-p_3 \tau_2)^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu) i \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} (-p_3 \tau_1)^{-\nu} \Gamma(\nu) \left( \frac{c_s}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^3 \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} \tau_1^2 \tau_2 \\
&\quad + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.} \\
&= \frac{2^{2\nu}}{4\pi} \Gamma^2(\nu) \frac{1}{H^3} \left( \frac{c_s}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^3 A^2 \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} p_3^{-2\nu} \\
&\quad \times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_*} d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_*} d\tau_2 \tau_1^{3/2} \tau_2^{-1/2} (\tau_1 \tau_2)^{-\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Here, if we introduce the UV cutoff

$$\tau_\Lambda = -\Lambda^{-1}, \tag{61}$$

we can calculate as

$$\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle \sim \frac{2^{2\nu}}{4\pi} \Gamma^2(\nu) \frac{1}{H^3} \left( \frac{c_s}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^3 A^2 \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} p_3^{-2\nu} \frac{1}{5/2 - \nu} \tau_1^{5/2 - \nu} \bigg|_{\tau_\Lambda}^{\tau_*} \frac{1}{1/2 - \nu} \tau_2^{1/2 - \nu} \bigg|_{\tau_\Lambda}^{\tau_*} \tag{62}$$

Since the cutoff scale has a dimension of momentum, we can write

$$\Lambda = \gamma p, \tag{63}$$

using the dimensionless parameter  $\gamma$ . Therefore we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{5/2 - \nu} \tau_1^{5/2 - \nu} \bigg|_{\tau_\Lambda}^{\tau_*} \frac{1}{1/2 - \nu} \tau_2^{1/2 - \nu} \bigg|_{\tau_\Lambda}^{\tau_*} = \frac{4}{(5 - 2\nu)(1 - 2\nu)} (-p)^{2\nu - 3} (1 - \gamma^{\nu - 1/2} - \gamma^{\nu - 5/2} + \gamma^{2\nu - 3}). \tag{64}$$

From the definition, non-Gaussianity is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\text{NL}} &= \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 \tilde{p}_\zeta^2} p^6 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-3} (-H)^3 \langle \pi \pi \pi \rangle \\
&= \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 \tilde{p}_\zeta^2} p^6 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-3} (-H)^3 \\
&\quad \times \frac{2^{2\nu}}{4\pi} \Gamma^2(\nu) \frac{1}{H^3} \left( \frac{c_s}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^3 A^2 \frac{1}{p^3} p^{-2\nu} \\
&\quad \times \frac{4}{(5-2\nu)(1-2\nu)} (-p)^{2\nu-3} (1 - \gamma^{\nu-1/2} - \gamma^{\nu-5/2} + \gamma^{2\nu-3}) \\
&\sim 10^{-12} \left( \frac{c_s}{\epsilon} \right)^3 (1 - \gamma^{\nu-1/2} - \gamma^{\nu-5/2} + \gamma^{2\nu-3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Now, recalling that

$$\epsilon c_s \sim 10^{-3 \sim -4}, \tag{66}$$

we have

$$f_{\text{NL}} \sim 10^{-3 \sim 0} c_s^6 (1 - \gamma^{\nu-1/2} - \gamma^{\nu-5/2} + \gamma^{2\nu-3}). \tag{67}$$

From the viewpoint of effective field theory, the cutoff is the inflationary scale, and  $\gamma$  is

$$\gamma \sim 1. \tag{68}$$

In this case, non-Gaussianity has the width of

$$f_{\text{NL}} \sim 10^{-3 \sim 0} c_s^6. \tag{69}$$

$c_s$  is a quantity such that it is 1 in the simplest model, and is not expected to vary significantly in order estimation. This result is comparable to that of case (40) where the time derivative is not included. The reasons are shown in the following table.

|   | factor   | propagator   |
|---|--|--|
| $\langle \pi \pi \pi \rangle$                   | $\frac{2}{9} A H^2 \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \times 3 A H \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)$                                   | $G_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \Delta_{++}$   |
| $\langle \pi \pi \dot{\pi} \rangle$             | $\frac{2}{9} A H^2 \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \times A$<br>$A H (3 + 2\epsilon) \times 3 A H \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)$ | $G_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{++}$<br>$G_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \Delta_{++}$             |
| $\langle \pi \dot{\pi} \dot{\pi} \rangle$       | $A \times 3 A H \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)$<br>$A H (3 + 2\epsilon) \times A$   | $G_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \Delta_{++}$<br>$G_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \Delta_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{++}$ |
| $\langle \dot{\pi} \dot{\pi} \dot{\pi} \rangle$ | $A \times A$   | $G_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{+-} \dot{\Delta}_{++}$   |

Table 1: The factors and propagator for three point function of inflaton with various number of time derivatives.

Table 1 shows the coefficients and propagator for three point function of inflaton with various number of time derivatives. In the table, the case where the three point function of inflaton without a time derivative is denoted by  $\langle\pi\pi\pi\rangle$ , with one, two and three time derivatives by  $\langle\pi\pi\dot{\pi}\rangle$ ,  $\langle\pi\dot{\pi}\dot{\pi}\rangle$ , and  $\langle\dot{\pi}\dot{\pi}\dot{\pi}\rangle$ . For example,  $\langle\pi\pi\pi\rangle$  represents equation (36) and  $\langle\dot{\pi}\dot{\pi}\dot{\pi}\rangle$  represents equation (54). Taking into account that  $a^{-1} = H\tau$  is added when performing the time derivative of the propagator, the coefficients are all of the form  $A^2H^3$ . The coefficients except for  $A^2H^3$  of the interaction are all  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , and the propagator only differs by about  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  as long as the cutoff is set to  $\Lambda = \gamma p$ . Thus, they all give the same contribution to non-Gaussianity.

Next, we consider the graph created by the three-point interaction of  $\Sigma$  (Fig.5).




Figure 5: The graph generated by the three-point interaction of  $\Sigma$ . There are  $2^4$  in total, and this is an example, representing the graph of  $(-, -, -, +)$ .

Let  $\tau_4$  be the time for the part of 3-point interaction and  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$  be the time for the rest of  $\pi\Sigma$  interaction. According to the rule of in-in formalism, there exist  $2^4$  types of graphs. Consider the  $(-, -, -, +)$  graphs and its complex conjugation  $(+, +, +, -)$  in the order  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4)$ . The case where the interaction does not have time derivative is



written down as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_2) d\tau_2 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_3) d\tau_3 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 a(\tau_4) d\tau_4 \\
&\times (-i)a(\tau_1)3a^3(\tau_1)AH\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)\Delta_{+-}(0,\tau_1,p_1)G_{-+}(\tau_1,\tau_4,p_1) \\
&\times (-i)a(\tau_2)3a^3(\tau_2)AH\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)\Delta_{+-}(0,\tau_2,p_2)G_{-+}(\tau_2,\tau_4,p_2) \\
&\times ia(\tau_4)(-1)a^3(\tau_4)40\lambda_1 v G_{+-}(\tau_4,\tau_3,p_3) \\
&\times (-i)a(\tau_3)3a^3(\tau_3)AH\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)\Delta_{-+}(\tau_3,0,p_3) + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Substituting the propagator, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &= 40 \times 3^3 \lambda_1 v \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)^3 A^3 H^{-7} \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} C_s}\right)^3 \left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 e^{-3\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3}\right)^3 \\
&\times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_2 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_3 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^0 d\tau_4 (1 + ic_s p_1 \tau_1) (1 + ic_s p_2 \tau_2) (1 + ic_s p_3 \tau_3) \\
&\times e^{-ic_s p_1 \tau_1} e^{-ic_s p_2 \tau_2} e^{-ic_s p_3 \tau_3} (\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3)^{-5/2} \tau_4^{1/2} \\
&\times H_\nu^{(1)}(-p_1 \tau_1) H_\nu^{(1)}(-p_2 \tau_2) H_\nu^{(1)}(-p_3 \tau_3) H_\nu^{(2)}(-p_1 \tau_4) H_\nu^{(2)}(-p_2 \tau_4) H_\nu^{(2)}(-p_3 \tau_4) \\
&+ (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_3) + (p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3) + \text{c.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Using horizon exit approximations, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &\simeq -6 \times 40 \times 3^3 \lambda_1 v \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)^3 A^3 H^{-7} \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} C_s}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 \left(\frac{2^\nu}{\pi}\right)^6 \Gamma^6(\nu) \left(\frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3}\right)^3 \\
&\times \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_{1*}} d\tau_1 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_{2*}} d\tau_2 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_{3*}} d\tau_3 \int_{-(1+i\epsilon)\infty}^{\tau_{4*}} d\tau_4 \\
&\times (\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3)^{-5/2} \tau_4^{1/2} (-p_1 \tau_1)^{-\nu} (-p_2 \tau_2)^{-\nu} (-p_3 \tau_3)^{-\nu} (-p_1 \tau_4)^{-\nu} (-p_2 \tau_4)^{-\nu} (-p_3 \tau_4)^{-\nu}
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

The first factor 6 comes from momentum exchange and contributions from complex conjugation. Now, if we insert the cutoff  $\Lambda = \gamma p$  for  $\tau_4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \pi\pi\pi \rangle &\simeq 6 \times 40 \times 3^3 \lambda_1 v \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)^3 A^3 H^{-7} \left(\frac{1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} C_s}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^3 \left(\frac{2^\nu}{\pi}\right)^6 \Gamma^6(\nu) \left(\frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3}\right)^3 \\
&\times \left(\frac{2}{3+2\nu}\right)^3 \frac{2}{3-6\nu} (p_1 p_2)^{3/2-\nu} (-1)^{3\nu-3/2} p_3^{2\nu} (1 - \gamma^{3\nu-3/2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

thus non-Gaussianity is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\text{NL}} &= \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 \tilde{p}_\zeta^2} p^6 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-3} (-H)^3 \langle \pi \pi \pi \rangle \\
&= \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 \tilde{p}_\zeta^2} p^6 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-3} (-H)^3 \\
&\quad \times 6 \times 40 \times 3^3 \lambda_1 v A^3 H^{-7} \left( \frac{1}{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}} c_s} \right)^3 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right)^3 \left( \frac{2^\nu}{\pi} \right)^6 \Gamma^6(\nu) \left( \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_3} \right)^3 \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{2}{3 + 2\nu} \right)^3 \frac{2}{3 - 6\nu} (p_1 p_2)^{3/2 - \nu} (-1)^{3\nu - 3/2} p_3^{2\nu} (1 - \gamma^{3\nu - 3/2}). \tag{74}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting numerical values as before gives the result

$$|f_{\text{NL}}| = \mathcal{O}(10^{-3 \sim 0}). \tag{75}$$

The calculations for the case where the interaction involves time derivatives can be performed similarly to the discussion in Table 1, and they all yield the same contribution to non-Gaussianity.

From the above, non-Gaussianity has the form

$$|f_{\text{NL}}| = \mathcal{O}(10^{-3 \sim 0}) \times \alpha \tag{76}$$

in summary.  $\alpha$  is the contribution from components other than  $\Sigma_{11}$ , or the additional group theoretical numerical factor that appears in extending to larger GUT gauge groups, and so on, that is the quantity summarizes the factors that bring about the same level of contribution, and has an order of magnitude of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{0 \sim 1})$ .

## 4 Conclusion

The Standard Model of elementary particles, which has successfully explained many physical phenomena, is probably one of the most successful physical theories. However, the nature is full of rich phenomena that cannot be explained by the Standard Model alone. GUT is one of the attempts to describe these interesting phenomena. GUT is a fascinating theory that unifies the three interactions that exist in nature: strong interaction, electromagnetic interaction, and weak interaction. Although many researchers have tried to verify it, it has not yet been confirmed. For example, we have been looking for proton decay in Super Kamiokande, but have not obtained that reaction. In addition, it is

difficult to investigate by using accelerators because the GUT scale is very high energy ( $10^{15}\text{GeV}$ ). For this reason, Cosmological Collider Physics has been the focus of much attention in recent years. Cosmological Collider Physics is a method to obtain information on elementary particles by using the effective field theory of inflation. Quantum fluctuations generated in the short time after the birth of the universe are stretched by inflation. It appears in the form of non-Gaussianity by observing the cosmic microwave background radiation. This means that Cosmological Collider Physics is a very interesting way to obtain information on high energy elementary particles that cannot be reached by terrestrial accelerators by means of precise observation of the universe.

In this paper, we focus on the case where the energy scale of the inflation is close to the GUT scale, and discuss if the GUT can be verified by calculating the non-Gaussianity due to the Higgs boson in GUT. Concretely, in addition to the effective action of inflation, we considered the action of the adjoint Higgs scalar field in  $SU(5)$  GUT. A characteristic feature of this model is that the Higgs boson has a vacuum expectation value due to spontaneous symmetry breaking, which leads to linear interactions of Higgs boson with the inflation. Using these interactions, the three point function of the inflatons is generated by the tree level exchange of the adjoint Higgs boson. The graphs contributing to the inflaton three point function can be computed by performing horizon exit approximation, and non-Gaussianity is evaluated from the obtained values. As a result, we have shown

$$|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 1 \quad (77)$$

for non-Gaussianity without a drastic fine-tuning of parameters. This result is consistent with the current observed limit and suggests the existence of the adjoint Higgs boson in GUT and it might be possible to detect the signature of the Higgs boson in GUT by 21cm spectrum, future LSS and future CMB depending on our model parameters.

## A Calculation of $\sqrt{-g}$

For the determinant of the metric, starting from the curvature fluctuation in unitary gauge  $\zeta(t, \mathbf{x})$ , we derive inflaton We can write determinant of the metric in unitary gauge as

$$\sqrt{-g} = N a^3(t) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{H(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \zeta(t)\right) a^3(t) \quad (78)$$

using ADM formalism. Curvature fluctuations  $\zeta(t, \mathbf{x})$  and NG boson  $\pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x})$  are related by the relation

$$\zeta(t, \mathbf{x}) = -H(\tilde{t})\pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x}) \quad (79)$$

by the transformation of time

$$t \mapsto \tilde{t} = t - \pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x}). \quad (80)$$

By rewriting the derivative of  $\zeta(t, \mathbf{x})$  with respect to  $t$  into the derivative with respect to  $\tilde{t}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\zeta(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{d\tilde{t}}{dt} \frac{d}{d\tilde{t}} (-H(\tilde{t})\pi(\tilde{t}, \mathbf{x})) \\ &= - \left[ 1 - \frac{d\tilde{t}}{dt} \dot{\pi}(\tilde{t}) \right] \left( \dot{H}\pi + H\dot{\pi} \right) \\ &= - (1 - \dot{\pi} + \dot{\pi}^2) \left( \dot{H}\pi + H\dot{\pi} \right) \\ &= \dot{H}(\tilde{t}) (-\pi + \pi\dot{\pi}) + H(\tilde{t}) (-\dot{\pi} + \dot{\pi}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

up to the second order of  $\pi$ , where the dot represents the derivative with respect to  $\tilde{t}$ . After applying the time transformation to  $H^{-1}(t)$ , we obtain

$$H^{-1}(t) = H^{-1}(\tilde{t} + \pi) = \left( H(\tilde{t}) + \dot{H}(\tilde{t})\pi + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{H}(\tilde{t})\pi^2 \right)^{-1} \quad (82)$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}(t) &\simeq \left( H(\tilde{t}) + \dot{H}(\tilde{t})\pi \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{H(\tilde{t})} \left( 1 + \frac{\dot{H}(\tilde{t})}{H(\tilde{t})}\pi \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{H(\tilde{t})} (1 - H(\tilde{t})\epsilon(\tilde{t})\pi)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{H(\tilde{t})} + \epsilon(\tilde{t})\pi + H\epsilon^2\pi^2 \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Note that  $\ddot{H}$  is in the second order of  $\epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$ . Similarly, applying the time transformation to the scale factor  $a(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^3(t) = a^3(\tilde{t} + \pi) &= \left[ a(\tilde{t}) + \dot{a}(\tilde{t})\pi + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{a}(\tilde{t})\pi^2 \right]^3 \\ &= a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^2(\tilde{t})\dot{a}(\tilde{t})\pi(\tilde{t}) + \frac{3}{2}a^2\ddot{a}\pi^2 + 3a\dot{a}^2\pi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Putting them together, the determinant of the metric (78) expands to

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-g} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{H(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \zeta(t, \mathbf{x})\right) a^3(t) \\
&= \left[1 + \frac{\dot{H}(\tilde{t})}{H(\tilde{t})} \{-\pi + \pi\dot{\pi}\} - \dot{H}\epsilon\pi^2 - \dot{\pi} + \dot{\pi}^2 - H\epsilon\pi\dot{\pi}\right] \\
&\quad \times \left[a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^2(\tilde{t})\dot{a}(\tilde{t})\pi(\tilde{t}) + \frac{3}{2}a^2\ddot{a}\pi^2 + 3a\dot{a}^2\pi^2\right] \\
&= a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^2\dot{a}\pi + \frac{3}{2}a^2\ddot{a}\pi^2 + 3a\dot{a}^2\pi^2 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}(a^3\pi + 3a^2\dot{a}\pi^2) + \frac{\dot{H}}{H}a^3\pi\dot{\pi} \\
&\quad - \dot{H}\epsilon a^3\pi^2 - a^3\dot{\pi} - 3a^2\dot{a}\pi\dot{\pi} + a^3\dot{\pi}^2 - H\epsilon a^3\pi\dot{\pi}. \tag{85}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation

$$\dot{a} = aH, \quad \ddot{a} = aH^2 + a\dot{H}, \tag{86}$$

and expressing the derivative of the scale factor  $a$  in terms of the Hubble  $H$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-g} &= a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^3H\pi + \frac{3}{2}a^3(H^2 + \dot{H})\pi^2 + 3a^3H^2\pi^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}a^3\pi - 3a^3\dot{H}\pi^2 + \frac{\dot{H}}{H}a^3\pi\dot{\pi} - \dot{H}\epsilon a^3\pi^2 - a^3\dot{\pi} - 3a^3H\pi\dot{\pi} + a^3\dot{\pi}^2 - H\epsilon a^3\pi\dot{\pi} \\
&= a^3(\tilde{t}) + 3a^3H\left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)\pi - a^3\dot{\pi} + a^3H^2\left(\frac{9}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\right)\pi^2 - a^3H(3 + 2\epsilon)\pi\dot{\pi} + a^3\dot{\pi}^2. \tag{87}
\end{aligned}$$

## B In-in formalism

In this section, we briefly describe the propagators and Feynman diagram in the in-in formalism (Schwinger-Keldysh formalism), which is a formalism describing non-equilibrium systems appearing in the inflationary universe. For details, please refer to [104].

For simplicity, we now take a real scalar field  $\varphi$  as an example, but the generalization to other fields is straightforward. To consider the generating function for a real scalar field  $\varphi$  in in-in formalism, we need two fields  $\varphi_{\pm}(\eta, \mathbf{x})$  and their corresponding sources  $J_{\pm}(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ .

$$Z[J_+, J_-] = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_+ \mathcal{D}\varphi_- \exp \left[ i \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta_f} d\eta d^3x (\mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}}[\varphi_+] - \mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}}[\varphi_-] + J_+\varphi_+ - J_-\varphi_-) \right]. \tag{88}$$

This is the form of the generating functional in flat spacetime with the addition of the complex conjugate contribution. The reason for adding such contributions is that the

expectation values of physical quantities in flat spacetime field theories are calculated assuming that the initial vacuum and the final vacuum are in the same state, whereas in inflationary spacetime the initial vacuum and the final vacuum are in different states. The physical meaning of this operation, which changes  $i$  to  $-i$ , is that the time evolution from the initial state to the final state and backward in time from there, which implies that the correct time evolution contribution of the vacuum is taken into account. Once written in a form that incorporates this contribution, we can proceed in the same way as in flat spacetime field theories. Dividing the Lagrangian into the free field part  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and the interaction part  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$ , we express the generating functional as follows.

$$Z[J_+, J_-] = \exp \left[ i \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta_f} d\eta d^3x \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \left[ \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_+} \right] - \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \left[ -\frac{\delta}{i\delta J_-} \right] \right) \right] Z_0[J_+, J_-], \quad (89)$$

$$Z_0[J_+, J_-] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_+ \mathcal{D}\varphi_- \exp \left[ i \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta_f} d\eta d^3x (\mathcal{L}_0[\varphi_+] - \mathcal{L}_0[\varphi_-] + J_+\varphi_+ - J_-\varphi_-) \right]. \quad (90)$$

The difference from the flat spacetime field theory is that there are four kinds of propagators, according to the subscripts of  $+$  and  $-$ .

$$-iG_{ab}(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1; \eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2) \equiv \frac{\delta}{ia\delta J_a(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1)} \frac{\delta}{ib\delta J_b(\eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2)} Z_0[J_+, J_-] \Big|_{J_{\pm}=0}, \quad (91)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  denote  $a, b = \pm$ . To give an example, a concrete propagator of type  $(+, +)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} -iG_{++}(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1; \eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2) &= \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_+(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1)} \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_+(\eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2)} Z_0[J_+, J_-] \Big|_{J_{\pm}=0} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_+ \mathcal{D}\varphi_- \varphi_+(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1) \varphi_+(\eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2) e^{i \int d\eta d^3x (\mathcal{L}_0[\varphi_+] - \mathcal{L}_0[\varphi_-])} \\ &= \langle \Omega | T \{ \varphi(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}_1) \varphi(\eta_2, \mathbf{x}_2) \} | \Omega \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where  $T$  is the time-ordered product operator. Using the translational and rotational symmetries at each time in the background spacetime, we can perform the Fourier transformation and move to the three-dimensional momentum space. We can obtain the propagators in momentum space

$$\Delta_{ab}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = -i \int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} G_{ab}(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}; \eta_2, \mathbf{0}), \quad (93)$$

where  $-i$  is added to simplify the Feynman rule in momentum space. Here  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$  is the magnitude of the three-dimensional momentum, and the propagator in momentum space

$\Delta_{ab}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k)$  is a function of magnitude due to the rotational symmetry, independent of the direction of the three-dimensional momentum. The field  $\varphi$  can be represented by a mode function  $v(\eta, \mathbf{k})$  and creation and annihilation operators for a given three-dimensional momentum  $\mathbf{k}$ . The four types of propagators are concretely written as

$$\Delta_{++}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = \Delta_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \theta(\eta_1 - \eta_2) + \Delta_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \theta(\eta_2 - \eta_1), \quad (94)$$

$$\Delta_{+-}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = \Delta_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k), \quad (95)$$

$$\Delta_{-+}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = \Delta_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k), \quad (96)$$

$$\Delta_{--}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = \Delta_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \theta(\eta_1 - \eta_2) + \Delta_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \theta(\eta_2 - \eta_1), \quad (97)$$

where  $\Delta_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k)$  and  $\Delta_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k)$  are defined by

$$\Delta_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \equiv v(\eta_1, k) v^*(\eta_2, k), \quad (98)$$

$$\Delta_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) \equiv v^*(\eta_1, k) v(\eta_2, k) \quad (99)$$

and  $\theta(\eta)$  is a step function of  $\eta$ . To represent these four propagators in terms of a diagram, we can use black and white circles for  $+$  and  $-$ , respectively (Fig.6). These are graphical




Figure 6: Graphical representation for an internal line in in-in formalism.

rules for internal lines. The external line connects the time slice with end time  $\eta = \eta_f$  (boundary point) to the other time slice. Since the boundary points do not have the distinction between  $+$  and  $-$ , there are only two types of propagators for the external lines, which are called as bulk-to-boundary propagators. To represent this boundary point, we use a square in a diagrams (Fig.7).

As an example, we consider the propagator of a complex scalar field  $\phi$  with mass  $m$  in the de Sitter spacetime. By using conformal time  $\eta$  and the Minkowski metric  $\eta^{\mu\rho}$  to




Figure 7: Graphical representation for an external line in in-in formalism.

write down the action, we have

$$S = \int d^4x \left[ \eta^{\mu\rho} \phi^* \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \phi - a^2 m^2 |\phi|^2 \right]. \quad (100)$$

From this action, we obtain the equation of motion

$$(\eta^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \partial_\rho - a^2 m^2) \phi = 0, \quad (101)$$

which is the Klein-Gordon equation with mass  $a^2 m^2$ . Due to the rotational symmetry, we can transform the scalar field  $\phi$  into three dimensional momentum space and write its mode function as  $u(\eta, k)$ , the Klein-Gordon equation (101) is

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \eta^2}(\eta, k) + \left( k^2 + \frac{m^2}{H^2 \eta^2} \right) u(\eta, k) = 0. \quad (102)$$

The solution to this Klein-Gordon equation is

$$u(\eta, k) = -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\pi(\nu/2+1/4)} (-\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} H_\nu(-k\eta), \quad (103)$$

where  $H_\nu(-k\eta)$  is a Hankel function of the first kind and we define the index

$$\nu \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \left( \frac{m}{H} \right)^2}. \quad (104)$$

Therefore, a scalar field with mass  $m^2$  in de Sitter spacetime has propagators

$$\begin{aligned} G_{>}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) &= -i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\pi(\nu/2+1/4)} H(-\eta_1)^{3/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\eta_1) \times \\ &\quad i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{i\pi(\nu^*/2+1/4)} H(-\eta_2)^{3/2} H_\nu^{(2)}(-k\eta_2) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{4} e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} H^2(\eta_1 \eta_2)^{3/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\eta_1) H_\nu^{(2)}(-k\eta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

$$G_{<}(\eta_1, \eta_2, k) = -\frac{\pi}{4} e^{-\pi \text{Im}(\nu)} H^2(\eta_1 \eta_2)^{3/2} H_\nu^{(1)}(-k\eta_2) H_\nu^{(2)}(-k\eta_1) \quad (106)$$

from eq.(98) and eq.(99).



# References

- [1] S. Weinberg, “Quantum Contributions to Cosmological Correlations,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 043514, arXiv: hep-th/0506236 [hep-th].
- [2] X. Chen and Y. Wang, “Large non-Gaussianities with Intermediate Shapes from Quasi- Single Field Inflation,” *Phys. Rev.* **D 81**, 063511 (2010) [arXiv:0909.0496 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] X. Chen, “Primordial Non-Gaussianities from Inflation Models,” *Adv.Astron* 2010 (2010) 638979, arXiv:1002.1416 [astro-ph.CO].
- [4] V. Assassi, D. Baumann and D. Green, “On Soft Limits of Inflationary Correlation Functions,” *JCAP* **11**, 047 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4207 [hep-th]].
- [5] E. Sefusatti, J. R. Fergusson, X. Chen and E. P. S. Shellard, “Effects and Detectability of Quasi-Single Field Inflation in the Large-Scale Structure and Cosmic Microwave Background,” *JCAP* **08**, 033 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6318 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] J. Norena, L. Verde, G. Barenboim and C. Bosch, “Prospects for constraining the shape of non-Gaussianity with the scale-dependent bias,” *JCAP* **08**, 019 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6324 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [7] X. Chen and Y. Wang, “Quasi-Single Field Inflation with Large Mass,” *JCAP* **09**, 021 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0160 [hep-th]].
- [8] S. Pi and M. Sasaki, “Curvature Perturbation Spectrum in Two-field Inflation with a Turning Trajectory,” *JCAP* **10**, 051 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0161 [hep-th]].
- [9] T. Noumi, M. Yamaguchi and D. Yokoyama, “Effective field theory approach to quasi-single field inflation and effects of heavy fields,” *JHEP* **06**, 051 (2013) [arXiv:1211.1624 [hep-th]].
- [10] J. O. Gong, S. Pi and M. Sasaki, “Equilateral non-Gaussianity from heavy fields,” *JCAP* **11**, 043 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3691 [hep-th]].
- [11] R. Emami, “Spectroscopy of Masses and Couplings during Inflation,” *JCAP* **04**, 031 (2014) [arXiv:1311.0184 [hep-th]].

- [12] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “High Energy Physics Signatures from Inflation and Con-formal Symmetry of de Sitter,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **63**, 531 (2015) [arXiv:1501.03515 [hep-th]].
- [13] J. Liu, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, “Inflation with Massive Vector Fields,” *JCAP* **08**, 033 (2015) [arXiv:1502.05138 [hep-th]].
- [14] N. Arkani-Hamed and J. Maldacena, “Cosmological Collider Physics,” arXiv:1503.08043 [hep-th].
- [15] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello and M. Kamionkowski, “Imprints of Massive Primordial Fields on Large-Scale Structure,” *JCAP* **02**, 017 (2016) [arXiv:1504.05993 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [16] F. Schmidt, N. E. Chisari and C. Dvorkin, “Imprint of inflation on galaxy shape correlations,” *JCAP* **10**, 032 (2015) [arXiv:1506.02671 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] X. Chen, M. H. Namjoo and Y. Wang, “Quantum Primordial Standard Clocks,” *JCAP* **02**, 013 (2016) [arXiv:1509.03930 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [18] L. V. Delacretaz, T. Noumi and L. Senatore, “Boost Breaking in the EFT of Inflation,” *JCAP* **02**, 034 (2017) [arXiv:1512.04100 [hep-th]].
- [19] B. Bonga, S. Brahma, A. S. Deutsch and S. Shandera, “Cosmic variance in inflation with two light scalars,” *JCAP* **05**, 018 (2016) [arXiv:1512.05365 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [20] X. Chen, Y. Wang, and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Loop Corrections to Standard Model Fields in Inflation,” *JHEP* **08**, 051 (2016) [arXiv:1604.07841 [hep-th]].
- [21] R. Flauger, M. Mirbabayi, L. Senatore and E. Silverstein, “Productive Interactions: heavy particles and non-Gaussianity,” *JCAP* **10**, 058 (2017) [arXiv:1606.00513 [hep-th]].
- [22] H. Lee, D. Baumann and G. L. Pimentel, “Non-Gaussianity as a Particle Detector,” *JHEP* **12**, 040 (2016) [arXiv:1607.03735 [hep-th]].
- [23] L. V. Delacretaz, V. Gorbenko and L. Senatore, “The Supersymmetric Effective Field Theory of Inflation,” *JHEP* **03**, 063 (2017) [arXiv:1610.04227 [hep-th]].

- [24] P. D. Meerburg, M. Münchmeyer, J. B. Muñoz and X. Chen, “Prospects for Cosmological Collider Physics,” *JCAP* **03**, 050 (2017) [arXiv:1610.06559 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] X. Chen, Y. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “Standard Model Background of the Cosmological Collider,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no.26, 261302 (2017) [arXiv:1610.06597 [hep-th]].
- [26] X. Chen, Y. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “Standard Model Mass Spectrum in Inflationary Universe,” *JHEP* **04**, 058 (2017) [arXiv:1612.08122 [hep-th]].
- [27] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “On the Inflationary Perturbations of Massive Higher-Spin Fields,” *JCAP* **07**, 046 (2017) [arXiv:1705.05834 [hep-th]].
- [28] H. An, M. McAneny, A. K. Ridgway and M. B. Wise, “Quasi Single Field Inflation in the non-perturbative regime,” *JHEP* **06**, 105 (2018) [arXiv:1706.09971 [hep-ph]].
- [29] X. Tong, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, “On the Effective Field Theory for Quasi-Single Field Inflation,” *JCAP* **11**, 045 (2017) [arXiv:1708.01709 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] A. V. Iyer, S. Pi, Y. Wang, Z. Wang and S. Zhou, “Strongly Coupled Quasi-Single Field Inflation,” *JCAP* **01**, 041 (2018) [arXiv:1710.03054 [hep-th]].
- [31] H. An, M. McAneny, A. K. Ridgway and M. B. Wise, “Non-Gaussian Enhancements of Galactic Halo Correlations in Quasi-Single Field Inflation,” *Phys. Rev.* **D97**, no.12, 123528 (2018) [arXiv:1711.02667 [hep-ph]].
- [32] S. Kumar and R. Sundrum, “Heavy-Lifting of Gauge Theories By Cosmic Inflation,” *JHEP* **05**, 011 (2018) [arXiv:1711.03988 [hep-ph]].
- [33] S. Riquelme M., “Non-Gaussianities in a two-field generalization of Natural Inflation,” *JCAP* **04**, 027 (2018) [arXiv:1711.08549 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [34] G. Franciolini, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “Imprints of Spinning Particles on Primordial Cosmological Perturbations,” *JCAP* **02**, 023 (2018) [arXiv:1712.06626 [hep-th]].
- [35] X. Tong, Y. Wang, and S. Zhou, “Unsuppressed primordial standard clocks in warm quasi-single field inflation,” *JCAP* **06**, 013 (2018) [arXiv:1801.05688 [hep-th]].

- [36] A. Moradinezhad Dizgah, H. Lee, J. B. Munoz, and C. Dvorkin, “Galaxy Bispectrum from Massive Spinning Particles,” *JCAP* **05**, 013 (2018) [arXiv:1801.07265 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [37] R. Saito, “Cosmological correlation functions including a massive scalar field and an arbitrary number of soft-gravitons,” arXiv:1803.01287 [hep-th].
- [38] X. Chen, W. Z. Chua, Y. Guo, Y. Wang, Z.-Z. Xianyu, and T. Xie, “Quantum Standard Clocks in the Primordial Trispectrum,” *JCAP* **05**, 049 (2018) [arXiv:1803.04412 [hep-th]].
- [39] R. Saito and T. Kubota, “Heavy Particle Signatures in Cosmological Correlation Functions with Tensor Modes,” *JCAP* **06**, 009 (2018) [arXiv:1804.06974 [hep-th]].
- [40] G. Cabass, E. Pajer and F. Schmidt, “Imprints of Oscillatory Bispectra on Galaxy Clustering,” *JCAP* **09**, 003 (2018) [arXiv:1804.07295 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [41] Y. Wang, Y. P. Wu, J. Yokoyama and S. Zhou, “Hybrid Quasi-Single Field Inflation,” *JCAP* **07**, 068 (2018) [arXiv:1804.07541 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [42] X. Chen, Y. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “Neutrino Signatures in Primordial Non-Gaussianities,” *JHEP* **09**, 022 (2018) [arXiv:1805.02656 [hep-ph]].
- [43] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello and G. Tasinato, “Probing the inflationary particle content: extra spin-2 field,” *JCAP* **08**, 016 (2018) [arXiv:1806.00850 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [44] L. Bordin, P. Creminelli, A. Khmelnitsky and L. Senatore, “Light Particles with Spin in Inflation,” *JCAP* **10**, 013 (2018) [arXiv:1806.10587 [hep-th]].
- [45] W. Z. Chua, Q. Ding, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, “Imprints of Schwinger Effect on Primordial Spectra,” *JHEP* **04**, 066 (2019) [arXiv:1810.09815 [hep-th]].
- [46] N. Arkani-Hamed, D. Baumann, H. Lee and G. L. Pimentel, “The Cosmological Bootstrap: Inflationary Correlators from Symmetries and Singularities,” *JHEP* **04**, 105 (2020) [arXiv:1811.00024 [hep-th]].
- [47] S. Kumar and R. Sundrum, “Seeing Higher-Dimensional Grand Unification In Primordial Non-Gaussianities,” *JHEP* **04**, 120 (2019) [arXiv:1811.11200 [hep-ph]].

- [48] G. Goon, K. Hinterbichler, A. Joyce and M. Trodden, “Shapes of gravity: Tensor non-Gaussianity and massive spin-2 fields,” *JHEP* **10**, 182 (2019) [arXiv:1812.07571 [hep-th]].
- [49] Y. P. Wu, “Higgs as heavy-lifted physics during inflation,” *JHEP* **04**, 125 (2019) [arXiv:1812.10654 [hep-ph]].
- [50] D. Anninos, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “Cosmological Shapes of Higher-Spin Gravity,” *JCAP* **04**, 045 (2019) [arXiv:1902.01251 [hep-th]].
- [51] P. D. Meerburg et al., “Primordial Non-Gaussianity,” [arXiv:1903.04409 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [52] L. Li, T. Nakama, C. M. Sou, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, “Gravitational Production of Superheavy Dark Matter and Associated Cosmological Signatures,” *JHEP* **07**, 067 (2019) [arXiv:1903.08842 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [53] M. McAneny and A. K. Ridgway, “New Shapes of Primordial Non-Gaussianity from Quasi-Single Field Inflation with Multiple Isocurvatons,” *Phys. Rev.* **D100**, no.4, 043534 (2019) [arXiv:1903.11607 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [54] S. Kim, T. Noumi, K. Takeuchi and S. Zhou, “Heavy Spinning Particles from Signs of Primordial Non-Gaussianities: Beyond the Positivity Bounds,” *JHEP* **12**, 107 (2019) [arXiv:1906.11840 [hep-th]].
- [55] C. Sleight, “A Mellin Space Approach to Cosmological Correlators,” *JHEP* **01**, 090 (2020) [arXiv:1906.12302 [hep-th]].
- [56] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, “Bootstrapping Inflationary Correlators in Mellin Space,” *JHEP* **02**, 098 (2020) [arXiv:1907.01143 [hep-th]].
- [57] S. Alexander, S. J. Gates, L. Jenks, K. Koutrolikos, and E. McDonough, “Higher Spin Supersymmetry at the Cosmological Collider: Sculpting SUSY Ripples in the CMB,” *JHEP* **10**, 156 (2019) [arXiv:1907.05829 [hep-th]].
- [58] S. Lu, Y. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “A Cosmological Higgs Collider,” *JHEP* **02**, 011 (2020) [arXiv:1907.07390 [hep-th]].

- [59] A. Hook, J. Huang and D. Racco, “Searches for other vacua. Part II. A new Higgs story at the cosmological collider,” *JHEP* **01**, 105 (2020) [arXiv:1907.10624 [hep-ph]]. 25
- [60] A. Hook, J. Huang and D. Racco, “Minimal signatures of the Standard Model in non-Gaussianities,” *Phys. Rev.* **D 101**, no.2, 023519 (2020) [arXiv:1908.00019 [hep-ph]].
- [61] S. Kumar and R. Sundrum, “Cosmological Collider Physics and the Curvaton,” *JHEP* **04**, 077 (2020) [arXiv:1908.11378 [hep-ph]].
- [62] T. Liu, X. Tong, Y. Wang, and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Probing P and CP Violations on the Cosmological Collider,” *JHEP* **04**, 189 (2020) [arXiv:1909.01819 [hep-ph]].
- [63] L. T. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “In Search of Large Signals at the Cosmological Collider,” *JHEP* **02**, 044 (2020) [arXiv:1910.12876 [hep-ph]].
- [64] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee, and G. L. Pimentel, “The cosmological bootstrap: weight-shifting operators and scalar seeds,” *JHEP* **12**, 201 (2020) [arXiv:1910.14051 [hep-th]].
- [65] D.-G. Wang, “On the inflationary massive field with a curved field manifold,” *JCAP* **01**, 046 (2020) [arXiv:1911.04459 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [66] Y. Wang and Y. Zhu, “Cosmological Collider Signatures of Massive Vectors from Non-Gaussian Gravitational Waves,” *JCAP* **04**, 049 (2020) [arXiv:2001.03879 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [67] L. Li, S. Lu, Y. Wang and S. Zhou, “Cosmological Signatures of Superheavy Dark Matter,” *JHEP* **07**, 231 (2020) [arXiv:2002.01131 [hep-ph]].
- [68] L. T. Wang and Z. Z. Xianyu, “Gauge Boson Signals at the Cosmological Collider,” *JHEP* **11**, 082 (2020) [arXiv:2004.02887 [hep-ph]].
- [69] D. Baumann, C. Duaso Pueyo, A. Joyce, H. Lee, and G. L. Pimentel, “The Cosmological Bootstrap: Spinning Correlators from Symmetries and Factorization,” *SciPost Phys.* **11**, 071 (2021) [arXiv:2005.04234 [hep-th]].

- [70] J. Fan and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “A Cosmic Microscope for the Preheating Era,” *JHEP* **01**, 021 (2021) [arXiv:2005.12278 [hep-ph]].
- [71] E. Pajer, D. Stefanyszyn, and J. Supel, “The Boostless Bootstrap: Amplitudes without Lorentz boosts,” *JHEP* **12**, 198 (2020) [arXiv:2007.00027 [hep-th]].
- [72] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, “From AdS to dS exchanges: Spectral representation, Mellin amplitudes, and crossing,” *Phys. Rev.* **D104**, no.8, L081902 (2021) [arXiv:2007.09993 [hep-th]].
- [73] H. Goodhew, S. Jazayeri, and E. Pajer, “The Cosmological Optical Theorem,” *JCAP* **04**, 021 (2021) [arXiv:2009.02898 [hep-th]].
- [74] K. Kogai, K. Akitsu, F. Schmidt, and Y. Urakawa, “Galaxy imaging surveys as spin-sensitive detector for cosmological colliders,” *JCAP* **03**, 060 (2021) [arXiv:2009.05517 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [75] A. Bodas, S. Kumar and R. Sundrum, “The Scalar Chemical Potential in Cosmological Collider Physics,” [arXiv:2010.04727 [hep-ph]].
- [76] E. Pajer, “Building a Boostless Bootstrap for the Bispectrum,” *JCAP* **01**, 023 (2021) [arXiv:2010.12818 [hep-th]].
- [77] S. Aoki and M. Yamaguchi, “Disentangling mass spectra of multiple fields in cosmological collider,” *JHEP* **04**, 127 (2021) [arXiv:2012.13667 [hep-th]].
- [78] S. Lu, “Axion Isocurvature Collider,” [arXiv:2103.05958 [hep-th]].
- [79] S. Melville and E. Pajer, “Cosmological Cutting Rules,” *JHEP* **05**, 249 (2021) [arXiv:2103.09832 [hep-th]].
- [80] H. Goodhew, S. Jazayeri, M. H. Gordon Lee, and E. Pajer, “Cutting cosmological correlators,” *JCAP* **08**, 003 (2021) [arXiv:2104.06587 [hep-th]].
- [81] C. M. Sou, X. Tong, and Y. Wang, “Chemical-potential-assisted particle production in FRW spacetimes,” *JHEP* **06**, 129 (2021) [arXiv:2104.08772 [hep-th]].
- [82] L. Di Pietro, V. Gorbenko, and S. Komatsu, “Analyticity and unitarity for cosmological correlators,” *JHEP* **03**, 023 (2022) [arXiv:2108.01695 [hep-th]].

- [83] Q. Lu, M. Reece, and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Missing scalars at the cosmological collider,” *JHEP* **12**, 098 (2021) [arXiv:2108.11385 [hep-ph]].
- [84] G. Cabass, E. Pajer, D. Stefanyszyn, and J. Supel, “Bootstrapping Large Graviton non-Gaussianities,” [arXiv:2109.10189 [hep-th]].
- [85] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, “From dS to AdS and back,” *JHEP* **12**, 074 (2021) [arXiv:2109.02725 [hep-th]].
- [86] L.-T. Wang, Z.-Z. Xianyu, and Y.-M. Zhong, “Precision calculation of inflation correlators at one loop,” *JHEP* **02**, 085 (2022) [arXiv:2109.14635 [hep-ph]].
- [87] X. Tong, Y. Wang, and Y. Zhu, “Cutting rule for cosmological collider signals: a bulk evolution perspective,” *JHEP* **03**, 181 (2022) [arXiv:2112.03448 [hep-th]].
- [88] Y. Cui and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Probing Leptogenesis with the Cosmological Collider,” [arXiv:2112.10793 [hep-th]].
- [89] X. Tong and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Large Spin-2 Signals at the Cosmological Collider,” [arXiv:2203.06349 [hep-ph]].
- [90] D. Baumann, D. Green, A. Joyce, E. Pajer, G. L. Pimentel, C. Sleight, and M. Taronna, “Snowmass White Paper: The Cosmological Bootstrap,” in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study. 3, 2022. [arXiv:2203.08121 [hep-th]].
- [91] A. Achucarro et al., “Inflation: Theory and Observations,” [arXiv:2203.08128 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [92] M. Reece, L.-T. Wang, and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Large-Field Inflation and the Cosmological Collider,” [arXiv:2204.11869 [hep-ph]].
- [93] G. L. Pimentel and D.-G. Wang, “Boostless Cosmological Collider Bootstrap,” [arXiv:2205.00013 [hep-th]].
- [94] Z. Qin and Z.-Z. Xianyu, “Phase Information in Cosmological Collider Signals,” [arXiv:2205.01692 [hep-th]].
- [95] J. Maldacena, “Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models,” *JHEP* **0305**, 013(2003), arXiv:0210603 [astro-ph].



- [96] C. Cheung, *et al.*, “The Effective Field Theory of Inflation,” *JHEP* **0803**, 014(2008), arXiv:0709.0293 [hep-th].
- [97] J. Schwinger, “Brownian Motion of a Quantum Oscillator,” *J. Math. Phys.* **2** (1961) 407; R. Jordan, “Effective Field Equations for Expectation Values,” *Phys. Rev.* **D33** (1986) 444; E. Calzetta and B. Hu, “Closed Time Path Functional Formalism in Curved Space-Time: Application to Cosmological Back Reaction Problems,” *Phys. Rev.* **D35** (1987) 495
- [98] L. Senatore, “Lectures on Inflation,” arXiv:1609.00716 [hep-th].
- [99] Shinji Mukohyama, a book “SGC library 170 the gravitational theory beyond general relativity and cosmology”, Science 2021(in Japanese).
- [100] X. Chen and Y. Wang, “Quasi-Single Field Inflation and Non-Gaussianities,” *JCAP* **04**, 027 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3380 [hep-th]].
- [101] D. Baumann and D. Green, “Signatures of Supersymmetry from the Early Universe,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 103520 (2012) [arXiv:1109.0292 [hep-th]].
- [102] BICEP/Keck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade, *et al.*, “BICEP / Keck XIII: Improved Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and BICEP/Keck Observations through the 2018 Observing Season,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 151301(2021), arXiv:2110.00483 [astro-ph.CO].
- [103] D. Baumann, “TASI Lectures on Primordial Cosmology,” arXiv:1807.03098 [hep-th].
- [104] X. Chen, Y. Wang, and Z. Z. Xianyu, “Schwinger-Keldysh Diagrammatics for Primordial Perturbations,” *JCAP* **1712**, 006(2017), arXiv:1703.10166 [hep-th].