

# Quantum Hall and Synthetic Magnetic-Field Effects in Ultra-Cold Atomic Systems

*Invited Contribution to Encyclopedia of Condensed Matter Physics, 2nd edition*

Philipp Hauke\*

*INO-CNR BEC Center and Department of Physics,  
University of Trento, Via Sommarive 14, I-38123 Trento, Italy and  
INFN-TIFPA, Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, Trento, Italy*

Iacopo Carusotto†

*INO-CNR BEC Center and Department of Physics,  
University of Trento, Via Sommarive 14, I-38123 Trento, Italy  
(Dated: September 14, 2022)*

In this Chapter, we give a brief review of the state of the art of theoretical and experimental studies of synthetic magnetic fields and quantum Hall effects in ultracold atomic gases. We focus on integer, spin, and fractional Hall effects, indicate connections to topological matter, and discuss prospects for the realization of full-fledged gauge field theories where the synthetic magnetic field has its own dynamics. The advantages of these systems over traditional electronic systems are highlighted. Finally, interdisciplinary comparisons with other synthetic matter platforms based on photonic and trapped-ion systems are drawn. We hope this chapter to illustrate the exciting progress that the field has experienced in recent years.

## I. KEY POINTS/OBJECTIVES

This chapter aims to

- illustrate how synthetic magnetic fields can effectively be generated in neutral matter;
- highlight breakthroughs in realizing integer and spin quantum Hall effect;
- showcase steps towards strongly interacting systems displaying the fractional quantum Hall effect;
- illustrate some of the rich physics that can be achieved when the atoms act back on the synthetic gauge fields;
- emphasize the fascinating progress of the field and the outstanding main challenges.

## II. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the quantum Hall (QH) effects in two-dimensional electron gases subject to a strong magnetic field has revolutionized our view of quantum condensed-matter physics and has opened a new field of research at the crossroad with quantum information and topology (Tong 2016). While usual phase transitions such as ferromagnetism or even Bose–Einstein condensation are described within the Landau–Ginzburg theory by the onset of a macroscopic order parameter, topological states of matter cannot be revealed by the measurement of any

local observable and instead are characterized by subtle quantum correlations between the constituent particles (Kitaev and Preskill 2006, Levin and Wen 2006, Wen 1995, 2013). The most direct signature of integer and fractional quantum Hall states is the quantized value of the transverse conductivity in units of  $e^2/h$ . Besides opening windows into novel physical phenomena of fundamental interest, such states have been also anticipated for practical applications in quantum information processing, e.g., as a promising platform to transmit quantum information and protect it from decoherence (Nayak et al. 2008).

Beyond the traditional observation of quantum Hall effects in electron gases in solid-state devices, a strong activity is presently being devoted to synthetic quantum matter systems (Ozawa and Price 2019) such as gases of ultracold atoms (Cooper 2008, Pitaevskii and Stringari 2016), spin and phonon systems realized in trapped ions (Bermudez et al. 2011, Geier, Reichstetter and Hauke 2021, Manovitz et al. 2020, Nigg et al. 2014), or fluids of strongly interacting photons in nonlinear topological photonics devices (Carusotto and Ciuti 2013, Carusotto et al. 2020). In all these systems, the charge neutrality of the constituent particles requires introducing a so-called synthetic magnetic field in order to observe QH physics (Dalibard 2015, Dalibard et al. 2011, Goldman et al. 2014); at the same time, such systems allow for a broader range of techniques for the manipulation and diagnostics well beyond the standard transport and optical probes of solid state systems (Yoshioka 2002), which opens exciting new perspectives to experimental studies. While a number of phenomena related to the integer quantum Hall (IQH) effect have been observed in the last years for non-interacting particles in suitably designed band structures for either atoms or photons, fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effects require strong interactions among particles and low temperatures, and are

\* philipp.hauke@unitn.it

† iacopo.carusotto@unitn.it

still a subject of intense work.

In what follows, we give a timely account of the state of the art of experimental and theoretical research towards the realization of FQH liquids of ultracold atoms. We also discuss relatively novel directions, where the magnetic vector potential acquires its own dynamics, leading to interesting backaction effects of matter particles onto the synthetic magnetic field and even to full-fledged gauge theories reminiscent of quantum electrodynamics. This chapter thus complements other reviews on synthetic gauge fields (Dalibard 2015, Dalibard et al. 2011, Goldman et al. 2014), on rotating atomic clouds (Cooper 2008), and on topological effects in synthetic quantum matter (Cooper et al. 2019, Ozawa and Price 2019, Zhang et al. 2018). While the main focus of our discussion here will be on ultracold atoms, connections to other promising platforms such as trapped ions or photonic devices will also be drawn.

This review chapter is organized as follows. In Sec. III, we recapitulate approaches to imbuing ultracold atomic gases with synthetic magnetic fields, a key prerequisite for observing QH physics. Sections IV and V discuss recent advances in cold atom systems in observing the IQH and FQH, respectively. In Sec. VI, we highlight some recent developments in inducing backaction of the matter system onto the synthetic magnetic field, leading to the generation of dynamical Peierls phases and even to pioneering steps in the creation of lattice gauge theories. Section VII contains our conclusions and some further outlooks.

### III. SYNTHETIC MAGNETIC FIELDS

The motion of quantum mechanical particles of mass  $m$  and charge  $q$  in a classical background magnetic field is described via the minimal coupling Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{kin}} = \frac{(\mathbf{P} - \frac{q}{c}\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} \quad (1)$$

in terms of the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ , related to the magnetic field by  $\mathbf{B} = \text{rot}\mathbf{A}$ . In a discrete, spatially-periodic lattice geometry, this Hamiltonian can be reformulated in terms of a non-trivial hopping phase in the tight-binding Hamiltonian,

$$H_{\text{kin}} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \psi_i^\dagger U_{i,j} \psi_j, \quad (2)$$

where  $J$  is the bare hopping amplitude,  $\psi_i^\dagger$  and  $\psi_i$  are fermionic or bosonic creation and annihilation operators at lattice site  $i$ , respectively, and  $U_{i,j} = \exp(i\frac{q}{c} \int_{\mathbf{r}_i}^{\mathbf{r}_j} \mathbf{dr} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}))$  is the Peierls phase, corresponding to the Aharonov–Bohm phase accumulated by the particle when moving from site  $i$  to site  $j$ . Starting from these formulations, it is straightforward to derive the celebrated features of quantum mechanical motion in mag-




FIG. 1. Quantized vortices nucleated in an atomic BEC under the effect of a synthetic magnetic field. Figure adapted from (Lin et al. 2009).

netic fields, such as cyclotron motion and Landau levels (Girvin 1999, Tong 2016).

Since atoms are charge neutral ( $q = 0$ ), it is impossible to observe this physics using standard magnetic fields, whose effect on atoms typically reduces to Zeeman shifts of the internal levels. More subtle manipulations are therefore necessary to generate effective vector potentials affecting the orbital atomic motion along the lines of Eqs. (1) or (2). Over the years, a number of strategies to generate such *synthetic magnetic fields* have been developed. Here, we will briefly review the most promising and/or physically transparent ones (Dalibard 2015, Dalibard et al. 2011, Goldman et al. 2014). As the basic object realized in the experiments is typically the vector potential, gauge-invariance of the framework raises interesting subtleties in the conceptual analysis of the experiments (LeBlanc et al. 2015). Though synthetic gauge fields give rise also to other intriguing effects, e.g., supersolid behavior (Geier, Martone, Hauke and Stringari 2021, Li et al. 2017, Putra et al. 2020), our attention in this review will be focused on the family of integer and fractional Quantum Hall effects.

Historically, the first strategy was based on the mathematical analogy between the Coriolis force  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}$  in a rotating frame and the Lorentz force  $\mathbf{F} = q \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}$  in a magnetic field. By putting the atom trap into mechanical rotation around some axis, the atomic cloud gets to equilibrate in a rotating frame where the angular speed plays the role of the magnetic field. Over the years, this approach has led to pioneering advances for weakly interacting Bose–Einstein condensates, such as the observation of periodic arrays of quantized vortices (Abo-Shaeer et al. 2001, Bretin et al. 2004, Schweikhard et al. 2004) resembling the Abrikosov vortex arrays generated by magnetic fields in type-II superconductors.

A conceptually different approach to generate a synthetic magnetic field is based on the Berry phase that moving atoms experience when they are dressed by a suitable combination of optical, micro- and radio-wave, and static electromagnetic fields (Dum and Olshanii 1996, Juzeliūnas and Öhberg 2004, Ruseckas et al. 2005): in this framework, the roles of the vector potential and of the magnetic field are played by the Berry connection and the Berry curvature, respectively. Remarkable outcomes of this approach include the nucleation of quantized vortices under the effect of strong enough synthetic fields (Lin et al. 2009), as highlighted in Fig. 1.

Discrete, spatially periodic geometries realized by imposing optical lattice potentials offer additional tools to generate synthetic magnetic fields via non-trivial hopping phases. Complex hopping phases induced by intersite Raman processes were first proposed in Ref. (Jaksch and Zoller 2003, Mueller 2004, Osterloh et al. 2005, Sørensen et al. 2005) and then implemented to realize, e.g., an atomic Harper–Hofstadter model (Aidelsburger et al. 2013, Miyake et al. 2013).

Alternatively, non-trivial band geometries and topologies have been realized in a Floquet framework based on periodic shaking or time-modulation of the lattice potential (Bukov et al. 2015, Eckardt and Anisimovas 2015). By suitably choosing the shaking protocol, e.g., such that the periodic shaking function explicitly breaks time-reversal symmetry, one can obtain—in a time-averaged or stroboscopic Floquet description—an effective Hamiltonian that has a non-trivial Peierls phase (Goldman and Dalibard 2014, Hauke et al. 2012, Kitagawa et al. 2010, 2011, Kolovsky 2011, Oka and Aoki 2009, Struck et al. 2012). This approach has led to the realization of the Haldane (Jotzu et al. 2014) and the Harper–Hofstadter model (Aidelsburger et al. 2015).

An exciting new frontier of these investigations is to combine the internal and orbital dynamics of atoms into a single geometric entity so to realize configurations with a larger number of effective spatial dimensions than the usual three spatial ones (Celi et al. 2014), where to observe new magnetic and topological effects that are peculiar of high dimensionalities (Price et al. 2015). Pioneering steps in the direction of realizing such *synthetic dimensions* were reported in the experiments of Refs. (Mancini et al. 2015, Stuhl et al. 2015).

#### IV. INTEGER QUANTUM HALL EFFECT

In electronics, the main signature of the Integer Quantum Hall (IQH) effect consists in a quantized value of the transverse conductance to integer multiples of  $e^2/h$  whenever electrons completely fill an integer number of Landau levels (Tong 2016). Soon after the discovery of the IQH, it was realized that the quantized conductance can be related to integer-valued topological invariants characterizing the geometry of the electronic bands (Thouless et al. 1982). In a modern understanding, IQH systems are




FIG. 2. (a) Integer Quantum Hall effect. A synthetic magnetic field pierces a cloud of ultracold neutral atoms, induced, e.g., by trap rotation, Berry phase imprinting, or lattice shaking. Within the bulk, this leads to cyclotron motion. The corresponding skipping orbits at the boundary add up to a chiral edge current, which is protected by the topology of the sample. (b) Spin Hall effect. Two (pseudo-)spin states (e.g., two atomic hyperfine states) see an opposite synthetic magnetic field. As a result, the system has vanishing net charge transport, but the presence of helical edge states results in a topologically protected spin current around its boundaries.

then interpreted as an example of the wider class of topological insulators, where the bulk-boundary correspondence translates topological properties of the bands of the insulating bulk into chiral currents around the edges of the sample (Hasan and Kane 2010), see Fig. 2(a). Since these features are related to integer-valued topological invariants, they are resilient against disorder and similar types of small perturbations.

Based on such insights, the IQH effect could be studied in a number of atomic systems: even though conductivity experiments analogous to electronic ones are not naturally performed in atomic systems, many other observables are available to obtain an even deeper information on the properties of this state of matter.

##### A. IQH in cold atoms

Anomalous Hall effects were first predicted in the atomic context in Ref. (Dudarev et al. 2004) and experimentally observed in honeycomb-like lattices in Ref. (Jotzu et al. 2014) as a lateral displacement of the atoms whenever their  $k$ -space distribution is pushed across a finite-Berry-curvature region. A quantized displacement analogous to the IQH was then observed for an atomic cloud uniformly filling a band (Aidelsburger et al. 2015). A precursor of this was the measurement of the Zak phase characterizing topological Bloch bands in a one-dimensional optical lattice (Atala et al. 2013).

While chiral edge states have been the major workhorse to characterize topological photonic systems (Ozawa et al. 2019), a relatively limited number of experiments have investigated edge currents in atomic systems. On the one hand, this is due to the difficulty of directly measuring currents in cold-atom systems, though significant theoretical and experimental advances have been achieved in recent years (Brown et al. 2019, Geier,




FIG. 3. Experimental observation of edge-cyclotron orbits in a synthetic three-leg ladder system based on a spatial  $x$  dimension and a synthetic dimension encoded in the atomic spin. Figure adapted from (Mancini et al. 2015).

Reichstetter and Hauke 2021, Hauke et al. 2014, Jepsen et al. 2020, Keßler and Marquardt 2014, Krinner et al. 2015, Laflamme et al. 2017, Nichols et al. 2019, Scherg et al. 2018). On the other hand, an obstacle is the absence of sharp boundaries in standard, harmonically trapped atomic clouds. Also for this reason, the remarkable studies of edge transport in Ref. (Mancini et al. 2015, Stuhl et al. 2015) illustrated in Fig. 3 made use of a synthetic dimension set-up combining a spatially one-dimensional lattice with an additional dimension formed by the internal spin degrees.

A great advantage of atomic systems is the possibility of combining macroscopic transport measurements with a direct microscopic insight into the quantum many-body wavefunction (Gebert et al. 2020). In the IQH context, a remarkable example in this direction was the experimental tomography of the Berry phase (Fläschner et al. 2016, Hauke et al. 2014), with follow-ups such as the identification of dynamical topological invariants in the time evolution of an atomic system (Tarnowski et al. 2019).

### B. Extensions

An important extension of the IQH effect is obtained when a spin degree of freedom of the particles is included,  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ , such that Eq. (2) becomes  $H_{\text{kin}} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} \psi_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} U_{i,j}^{\sigma} \psi_{j,\sigma}$ . In the so-called spin-Hall ef-

fect (Hasan and Kane 2010), particles with different spin orientations see opposite magnetic fields,  $(U_{i,j}^{\uparrow})^{\dagger} = U_{i,j}^{\downarrow}$  and time-reversal symmetry is restored. The result is a system without any net charge current but chiral spin edge currents that run around the sample in opposite directions, see Fig. 2(b). Pioneering proposals have been based on extending schemes for light-induced vector potentials to several hyperfine levels (Zhu et al. 2006) and on state-dependent, slowly time-varying magnetic potentials engineered in an atom chip on a micron scale (Goldman et al. 2010). The spin Hall effect has been observed in free space, where spin-dependent Lorentz forces have been measured using a spatially inhomogeneous spin-orbit coupling field (Beeler et al. 2013), as well as in an optical lattice using laser-assisted tunneling induced in a tilted optical lattice via periodic driving (Aidelsburger et al. 2013).

This physics can be generalized even further by replacing the spin-dependent Peierls phase by a matrix,  $H_{\text{kin}} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \psi_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} U_{i,j}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \psi_{j,\sigma'}$ , where  $U_{i,j}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$  mixes the different spin states during hopping. Genuinely non-Abelian effects occur if the product of the  $U_{i,j}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$  around a closed path (the non-Abelian Wegner–Wilson loop) does not reduce to a simple phase factor  $e^{i\phi} \mathbb{1}$ . Such a situation can be obtained through periodically shaken optical superlattices where an internal degree of freedom of the atoms plays the role of the (pseudo-)spin (Hauke et al. 2012). As a striking consequence of the non-Abelian Hall effect, novel fractal features have been predicted to appear in the phase diagram (Bermudez et al. 2010, Goldman et al. 2009).

Another exciting direction of research concerns the extension of IQH effects to higher-dimensional, 4+1 geometries as first proposed in Ref. (Price et al. 2015) using the concept of synthetic dimensions mentioned above. Pioneering experimental evidence of the role of higher-dimensional topological invariants in the atomic transport properties under crossed synthetic-electric and magnetic fields in 4-dimensional models was reported in Ref. (Lohse et al. 2018).

## V. FRACTIONAL QUANTUM HALL EFFECT IN COLD ATOMS

In the previous section, we have seen the intriguing effects that appear in the presence of completely occupied Landau levels or topological bands, but where interactions play at most a subdominant role. Even more subtle physics occurs when the macroscopic degeneracy of partially filled Landau levels is lifted by strong inter-particle interactions and the lowest energy state acquires a non-trivial topology for the many-body wavefunction (Kitaev and Preskill 2006, Levin and Wen 2006, Wen 1995, 2013).

One of the most celebrated examples of such topological many-body phases of matter is the so-called fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect, first detected in two-

FIG. 4. Chiral motion of two interacting atoms in a Harper–Hofstadter ladder, where each plaquette is pierced by a synthetic magnetic flux  $\Phi$ . Upper panel: sketch of the trajectories for non-interacting ( $U = 0$ ) and interacting ( $U \neq 0$ ) atoms. Lower panel: temporal dynamics along  $y$  displaying the chiral motion of the so-called skipping orbits. Figure adapted from (Tai et al. 2017).

dimensional electron gases under strong magnetic fields as a precise quantization of the transverse conductivity at rational values proportional to the electron filling, i.e., the number of electrons per unit magnetic flux piercing the system (Girvin 1999, Tong 2016, Yoshioka 2002). As even more intriguing signatures of the many-body topology of the FQH state, excitations with a fractional charge and fractional statistics have been anticipated for these systems, the so-called *anyons* (Stern 2008). While fractionally charged edge excitations have been observed in shot-noise measurements (De-Picciotto et al. 1998, Saminadayar et al. 1997), the observation of fractional statistical properties interpolating between bosonic and fermionic behaviours is still the subject of intense experimental work (Bartolomei et al. 2020, Nakamura et al. 2020).

First steps towards the realization of FQH fluids using ultracold atomic clouds were made by pushing the rotation frequency towards the trapping frequency, so that the atomic cloud expands in space under the effect of the centrifugal potential and reaches the required magnetic flux per particle values. For sufficiently low temperatures, it is then predicted to enter a FQH state (Cooper 2008). In spite of early concerns about heating due to spurious asymmetries of the trap potential and the need for a fine tuning of the rotation speed, remarkable advances on condensates in rotating traps have been recently reported (Fletcher et al. 2021, Mukherjee et al. 2022). Besides these works on a mean-field-interacting dynamics, hints of correlated FQH physics have been reported in small atomic clusters trapped at the rotating minima of a temporally modulated optical lattice potential (Gemelke et al. 2010).

A different strategy to realize a strongly interacting Harper–Hofstadter lattice using a combination of optical lattice potentials and Raman transitions was adopted in Ref. (Tai et al. 2017) and led to the observation of a correlated two-particle dynamics, a precursor of fractional quantum Hall effects, see Fig. 4. In the meanwhile, an intense theoretical activity has been devoted to the study of alternative protocols to realize FQH states using, e.g., adiabatic ramps along suitably designed paths in parameter space (Popp et al. 2004, Sørensen et al. 2005) or sequences of adiabatic flux insertion and hole replenishing (Grusdt et al. 2014).

The main advantage of FQH fluids of ultracold atoms over solid-state systems is the much wider variety of manipulation and diagnostics tools compared to the transport and optical probes traditionally available for elec-

tronic systems. While waiting for macroscopic FQH clouds to be experimentally realized, theoretical proposals to investigate their intriguing properties include interferometric techniques (Grusdt et al. 2016, Paredes et al. 2001), quasi-hole dynamics in response to external potentials (Graß et al. 2012), microscopic imaging of the quasi-hole density profile (Macaluso et al. 2020), quantum mechanics of anyonic molecules formed by an impurity bound to a FQH quasi-hole (Baldelli et al. 2021, de las Heras et al. 2020, Lundholm and Rougerie 2016, Yakaboylu et al. 2020, Zhang et al. 2014), the center-of-mass motion of the cloud (Repellin et al. 2020), its circular-dichroic response to circular excitations in the bulk (Repellin and Goldman 2019), the linear and non-linear response of the edge modes (Nardin and Carusotto 2022).

Together with direct measurements of the entanglement entropy (Jiang et al. 2012, Li and Haldane 2008) as experimentally pioneered in Ref. (Islam et al. 2015), we anticipate that these proposals will eventually be a most valuable tool to obtain a deeper insight in the basic physics of FQH fluids and synthetic topological matter, and to exploit the benefits of their atomic implementation in view of quantum information tasks (Nayak et al. 2008).

## VI. DYNAMICAL GAUGE FIELDS

In all of the above discussions, the vector potential appearing in Eqs. (1) and (2) was assumed to have a constant value, corresponding to a classical, externally imposed background field. Entirely new effects can appear once the vector potential is imbued with its own dynamics.

### A. Dynamical vector potentials and Peierls phases

In the first proposal for continuum systems Edmonds et al. (2013), a density-dependent vector potential was anticipated to arise from interaction-induced energy shifts of the internal atomic states involved in the optical transitions generating the Berry phase. Among the observable consequences, density-dependent persistent currents in ring geometries and chiral solitons were pointed out. Along similar lines, (Ballantine et al. 2017) proposed to replace one of the optical fields generating the Berry phase by a multimode cavity field. The density of the atoms can then act back on the cavity field, which—due to its multimode character—can acquire a spatial dependence. In this way, the equivalent of the celebrated Meissner effect can be generated, whereby a magnetic field is expelled from the atom-cloud sample, see Fig. 5.

In lattice systems, a complementary direction is taken by turning the Peierls phase into a quantum-mechanical operator. This can happen, e.g., through density-dependent tunneling, where the complex hopping phase




FIG. 5. Left: By suitably coupling the internal levels of ultra-cold atoms to a multi-mode cavity, a backaction from atoms onto the synthetic magnetic field can be engineered, where the spatial profile of the synthetic magnetic field varies depending on the atomic density. Right: Simulations of the atom-cavity system without (panels a,c,e) and with backaction (b,d,f). The backaction leads to an analog of the Meissner effect, where the magnetic field is expelled from the sample, leading to an extended region with  $B = 0$ . Figure adapted from (Ballantine et al. 2017).

$U_{i,j}$  becomes a function  $U_{i,j}(\hat{n}_i, \hat{n}_j)$  of the atom number operators  $\hat{n}_\ell = a_\ell^\dagger a_\ell$  at adjacent sites  $\ell = i, j$ . Such a situation can be generated by Floquet engineering (Meinert et al. 2016), as experimentally demonstrated in Ref. (Görg et al. 2019), and it can naturally appear in regimes of very strong interactions or high occupation numbers (Dutta et al. 2011). Such a density-dependent tunneling has been proposed to lead to deformations of phase diagrams and the generation of novel phases such as pair superfluids (Dutta et al. 2011, Johnstone et al. 2019, Maik et al. 2013, Suthar et al. 2020) as well as to topological transitions in the ground state (Raventós et al. 2016).

In other schemes, the role of the Peierls phase can also be taken over by a second, independent atomic species. E.g., in an atomic mixture, an itinerant species can be coupled to the (pseudo-)spin degree of freedom of a second, kinetically frozen species (Kasper et al. 2021, Mil et al. 2020). By replacing the static hopping matrix by an additional quantum mechanical spin degree of freedom,  $U_{i,j} \rightarrow S_{i,j}^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = x, y, z$ , backaction phenomena between two different fields can lead, e.g., to topological and fractionalization effects such as a Peierls transition where an alternating pattern of strong and weak bonds dynamically emerges similar to polyacetylene (González-Cuadra et al. 2019, 2020). The additional possibilities offered by these experiments with atomic mixtures also enabled the observation of a Lamb shift effect in the atomic self energy. Similarly to the Lamb shift experienced by QED electrons due to their interaction with the quantum mechanical vector potential, an energy shift is induced here on one atomic species by their interactions with a second one (Rentrop et al. 2016).

## B. Lattice gauge theories

In these approaches, the “vector potential” acquires its own dynamics, but the combined system of “particles” and “vector potential” does not necessarily respect the fundamental laws of QED, making it necessary to take similarities to magnetic fields with a grain of salt. To recover a full-fledged system of charged matter moving in a quantum mechanical electromagnetic field, additional care needs to be taken to enforce the  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry that interlinks the two different types of dynamical degrees of freedom. In particular, the dynamics of the system needs to conserve the local symmetry that underpins QED, given by Gauss’ law, at all spatial coordinates and throughout the entire evolution time.

Although this task is highly challenging, groundbreaking experiments with local gauge symmetry have already been realized in Rydberg quantum simulators (Bernien et al. 2017, Surace et al. 2020), using Floquet techniques in optical lattices (Schweizer et al. 2019), exploiting angular momentum conservation in atomic mixtures (Mil et al. 2020) (proposed, e.g., in Refs. (Stannigel et al. 2014, Zache et al. 2018, Zohar et al. 2013)), as well as with energy penalties in optical superlattices (Halimeh et al. 2021, Yang et al. 2020, Zhou et al. 2021). Pioneering realizations have also been demonstrated in other platforms, in particular trapped ions (Kokail et al. 2019, Martinez et al. 2016, Nguyen et al. 2021), superconducting qubits (Klco et al. 2018, 2020, Mildenberger et al. 2022, Wang et al. 2021), and even classical electronic circuits (Riechert et al. 2021).

Most of these implementations focused on salient physical phenomena in one spatial dimension, and it is a current challenge to advance ultracold-atom lattice gauge theories into higher spatial dimensions (Zohar 2022). In the future, these may enable, e.g., the investigation of strong-field effects on anomalous currents (Ott et al. 2020) as well as a range of new anomalous transport phenomena including the chiral magnetic effect (Fukushima et al. 2008, Kharzeev 2014), the chiral vortical effect (Son and Zhitnitsky 2004), or the conformal magnetic edge effect (Chernodub et al. 2019, Chu and Miao 2018).

## VII. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

This review chapter summarized our point of view on the rich physics that can be obtained by synthetic static and dynamical gauge fields in cold-atom setups. We have reviewed the way synthetic magnetic fields can be engineered in such systems, discussed progress in controlled implementations of the integer, spin, and fractional quantum Hall effects, as well as some of the fascinating phenomena that appear when the atoms act back on the synthetic magnetic fields, without or with respecting gauge symmetry given by Gauss’ law. These are, however, just some of the many facets of ongoing research in the broad context of synthetic gauge fields.

Promising implementations have been designed and realized in other synthetic quantum matter platforms. For example, topological photonic systems are presently one of the most active fields of research in optics, with potential technological applications such as efficient optical isolation and large-area, fabrication-disorder-robust topological lasers (Price et al. 2022). Synthetic magnetic field for photons are one of the key building blocks in this context and, analogously to the atomic case, can be realized in many different ways, from twisted optical cavities leading to an effective overall rotation (Schine et al. 2016), to static or time-dependent complex hopping phases (Hafezi et al. 2013, Roushan et al. 2017), to Floquet-like temporal modulations (Rechtsman et al. 2013). In combination with sizable photon-photon interactions arising from the optical nonlinearity of the underlying optical medium, a synthetic magnetic field for light may lead to the generation of novel states of photonic matter (Carusotto et al. 2020): baby versions of a

quantum Hall fluid of light of a few photons have been recently realized (Clark et al. 2020). Other promising platforms are, e.g., analog implementations in trapped ions, where techniques similar to those for optical lattices have been developed to imprint Peierls phases (Bermudez et al. 2011, Manovitz et al. 2020), as well as universal quantum computers, where proposals for realizing fractional quantum Hall states (Rahmani et al. 2020) as well as experimental realizations of topological matter exist (Nigg et al. 2014, Satzinger et al. 2021, Semeghini et al. 2021).

All together, the examples discussed in this review illustrate the on-going exciting progress in the study of quantum Hall effects in cold atomic fluids as well as in related synthetic quantum matter platforms (Ozawa and Price 2019). Beyond the remarkable achievements obtained so far, there are a lot of equally exciting open questions ahead of the community, as well as a number of potentially game-changing applications in quantum technologies (Nayak et al. 2008).

Abo-Shaeer, J. R., Raman, C., Vogels, J. M. and Ketterle, W. (2001), ‘Observation of vortex lattices in bose-einstein condensates’, *Science* **292**(5516), 476–479.

Aidelsburger, M., Atala, M., Lohse, M., Barreiro, J. T., Paredes, B. and Bloch, I. (2013), ‘Realization of the hofstadter hamiltonian with ultracold atoms in optical lattices’, *Physical review letters* **111**(18), 185301.

Aidelsburger, M., Lohse, M., Schweizer, C., Atala, M., Barreiro, J. T., Nascimbène, S., Cooper, N., Bloch, I. and Goldman, N. (2015), ‘Measuring the chern number of hofstadter bands with ultracold bosonic atoms’, *Nature Physics* **11**(2), 162–166.

Atala, M., Aidelsburger, M., Barreiro, J. T., Abanin, D., Kitagawa, T., Demler, E. and Bloch, I. (2013), ‘Direct measurement of the Zak phase in topological bloch bands’, *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 795.

Baldelli, N., Juliá-Díaz, B., Bhattacharya, U., Lewenstein, M. and Graß, T. (2021), ‘Tracing non-abelian anyons via impurity particles’, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 035133.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.035133>

Ballantine, K. E., Lev, B. L. and Keeling, J. (2017), ‘Meissner-like effect for a synthetic gauge field in multimode cavity qed’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 045302.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.045302>

Bartolomei, H., Kumar, M., Bisognin, R., Marguerite, A., Berroir, J.-M., Bocquillon, E., Placais, B., Cavanna, A., Dong, Q., Gennser, U. et al. (2020), ‘Fractional statistics in anyon collisions’, *Science* **368**(6487), 173–177.

Beeler, M. C., Williams, R. A., Jiménez-García, K., LeBlanc, L. J., Perry, A. R. and Spielman, I. B. (2013), ‘The spin hall effect in a quantum gas’, *Nature* **498**, 201–204.

Bermudez, A., Goldman, N., Kubasiak, A., Lewenstein, M. and Martin-Delgado, M. (2010), ‘Topological phase transitions in the non-Abelian honeycomb lattice’, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 033041.

Bermudez, A., Schaetz, T. and Porras, D. (2011), ‘Synthetic gauge fields for vibrational excitations of trapped ions’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 150501.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.150501>  
Bernien, H., Schwartz, S., Keesling, A., Levine, H., Omran, A., Pichler, H., Choi, S., Zibrov, A. S., Endres, M., Greiner, M., Vuletić, V. and Lukin, M. D. (2017), ‘Probing many-body dynamics on a 51-atom quantum simulator’, *Nature* **551**(7682), 579–584.

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24622>

Bretin, V., Stock, S., Seurin, Y. and Dalibard, J. (2004), ‘Fast rotation of a bose-einstein condensate’, *Physical review letters* **92**(5), 050403.

Brown, P. T., Mitra, D., Guardado-Sanchez, E., Nourafkan, R., Reyembaut, A., Hébert, C.-D., Bergeron, S., Tremblay, A.-M. S., Kokalj, J., Huse, D. A., Schauf, P. and Bakr, W. S. (2019), ‘Bad metallic transport in a cold atom Fermi-Hubbard system’, *Science* **363**(6425), 379–382.

URL: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/363/6425/379>  
Bukov, M., D’Alessio, L. and Polkovnikov, A. (2015), ‘Universal high-frequency behavior of periodically driven systems: from dynamical stabilization to floquet engineering’, *Advances in Physics* **64**(2), 139–226.

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2015.1055918>

Carusotto, I. and Ciuti, C. (2013), ‘Quantum fluids of light’, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **85**(1), 299.

Carusotto, I., Houck, A. A., Kollár, A. J., Roushan, P., Schuster, D. I. and Simon, J. (2020), ‘Photonic materials in circuit quantum electrodynamics’, *Nature Physics* **16**(3), 268–279.

Celi, A., Massignan, P., Ruseckas, J., Goldman, N., Spielman, I. B., Juzeliūnas, G. and Lewenstein, M. (2014), ‘Synthetic gauge fields in synthetic dimensions’, *Physical review letters* **112**(4), 043001.

Chernodub, M., Goy, V. and Molochkov, A. (2019), ‘Conformal magnetic effect at the edge: A numerical study in scalar qed’, *Physics Letters B* **789**, 556–561.

URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269319300>

Chu, C.-S. and Miao, R.-X. (2018), ‘Weyl anomaly induced current in boundary quantum field theories’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 251602.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.251602>

- Clark, L. W., Schine, N., Baum, C., Jia, N. and Simon, J. (2020), ‘Observation of Laughlin states made of light’, *Nature* **582**(7810), 41–45.
- Cooper, N. R. (2008), ‘Rapidly rotating atomic gases’, *Advances in Physics* **57**(6), 539–616.
- Cooper, N. R., Dalibard, J. and Spielman, I. B. (2019), ‘Topological bands for ultracold atoms’, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015005.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.91.015005>
- Dalibard, J. (2015), ‘Introduction to the physics of artificial gauge fields’, *Quantum Matter at Ultralow Temperatures*.
- Dalibard, J., Gerbier, F., Juzeliūnas, G. and Öhberg, P. (2011), ‘Colloquium: Artificial gauge potentials for neutral atoms’, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83**(4), 1523.
- de las Heras, A. M., Macaluso, E. and Carusotto, I. (2020), ‘Anyonic molecules in atomic fractional quantum hall liquids: a quantitative probe of fractional charge and anyonic statistics’, *Physical Review X* **10**(4), 041058.
- De-Picciotto, R., Reznikov, M., Heiblum, M., Umansky, V., Bunin, G. and Mahalu, D. (1998), ‘Direct observation of a fractional charge’, *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **249**, 395–400.
- Dudarev, A. M., Diener, R. B., Carusotto, I. and Niu, Q. (2004), ‘Spin-orbit coupling and berry phase with ultracold atoms in 2d optical lattices’, *Physical review letters* **92**(15), 153005.
- Dum, R. and Olshanii, M. (1996), ‘Gauge structures in atom-laser interaction: Bloch oscillations in a dark lattice’, *Physical review letters* **76**(11), 1788.
- Dutta, O., Eckardt, A., Hauke, P., Malomed, B. and Lewenstein, M. (2011), ‘Bose-hubbard model with occupation-dependent parameters’, *New J. Phys.* **13**, 023019.
- Eckardt, A. and Anisimovas, E. (2015), ‘High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a floquet-space perspective’, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 093039.
- Edmonds, M. J., Valiente, M., Juzeliūnas, G., Santos, L. and Öhberg, P. (2013), ‘Simulating an interacting gauge theory with ultracold bose gases’, *Physical Review Letters* **110**(8), 085301.
- Fläschner, N., Rem, B., Tarnowski, M., Vogel, D., Lühmann, D.-S., Sengstock, K. and Weitenberg, C. (2016), ‘Experimental reconstruction of the berry curvature in a floquet bloch band’, *Science* **352**(6289), 1091–1094.
- Fletcher, R. J., Shaffer, A., Wilson, C. C., Patel, P. B., Yan, Z., Crépel, V., Mukherjee, B. and Zwierlein, M. W. (2021), ‘Geometric squeezing into the lowest landau level’, *Science* **372**(6548), 1318–1322.
- Fukushima, K., Kharzееv, D. E. and Warringa, H. J. (2008), ‘Chiral magnetic effect’, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 074033.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074033>
- Gebert, U., Irsigler, B. and Hofstetter, W. (2020), ‘Local chern marker of smoothly confined hofstadter fermions’, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 063606.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.063606>
- Geier, K. T., Martone, G. I., Hauke, P. and Stringari, S. (2021), ‘Exciting the goldstone modes of a supersolid spin-orbit-coupled bose gas’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 115301.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.115301>
- Geier, K. T., Reichstetter, J. and Hauke, P. (2021), ‘Non-invasive measurement of currents in analog quantum simulators’.  
**URL:** <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.12599>
- Gemelke, N., Sarajlic, E. and Chu, S. (2010), ‘Rotating few-body atomic systems in the fractional quantum hall regime’, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1007.2677*.
- Girvin, S. M. (1999), The quantum hall effect: Novel excitations and broken symmetries, in A. Comtet, T. Jolicœur, S. Ouvry and F. David, eds, ‘Aspects topologiques de la physique en basse dimension. Topological aspects of low dimensional systems’, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 53–175.
- Goldman, N. and Dalibard, J. (2014), ‘Periodically driven quantum systems: effective hamiltonians and engineered gauge fields’, *Physical review X* **4**(3), 031027.
- Goldman, N., Juzeliūnas, G., Öhberg, P. and Spielman, I. B. (2014), ‘Light-induced gauge fields for ultracold atoms’, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **77**(12), 126401.
- Goldman, N., Kubasiak, A., Gaspard, P. and Lewenstein, M. (2009), ‘Ultracold atomic gases in non-abelian gauge potentials: The case of constant wilson loop’, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 023624.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.023624>
- Goldman, N., Satija, I., Nikolic, P., Bermudez, A., Martin-Delgado, M. A., Lewenstein, M. and Spielman, I. B. (2010), ‘Realistic time-reversal invariant topological insulators with neutral atoms’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 255302.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.255302>
- González-Cuadra, D., Bermudez, A., Grzybowski, P. R., Lewenstein, M. and Dauphin, A. (2019), ‘Intertwined topological phases induced by emergent symmetry protection’, *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2694.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10796-8>
- González-Cuadra, D., Dauphin, A., Grzybowski, P. R., Lewenstein, M. and Bermudez, A. (2020), ‘Dynamical solitons and boson fractionalization in cold-atom topological insulators’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 265301.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.265301>
- Görg, F., Sandholzer, K., Minguzzi, J., Desbuquois, R., Messer, M. and Esslinger, T. (2019), ‘Realization of density-dependent peierls phases to engineer quantized gauge fields coupled to ultracold matter’, *Nature Physics* **15**(11), 1161–1167.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0615-4>
- Graß, T., Juliá-Díaz, B. and Lewenstein, M. (2012), ‘Quasi-hole dynamics as a detection tool for quantum hall phases’, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 053629.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.053629>
- Grusdt, F., Letscher, F., Hafezi, M. and Fleischhauer, M. (2014), ‘Topological growing of Laughlin states in synthetic gauge fields’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 155301.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.155301>
- Grusdt, F., Yao, N. Y., Abanin, D., Fleischhauer, M. and Demler, E. (2016), ‘Interferometric measurements of many-body topological invariants using mobile impurities’, *Nature communications* **7**(1), 1–9.
- Hafezi, M., Mittal, S., Fan, J., Migdall, A. and Taylor, J. (2013), ‘Imaging topological edge states in silicon photonics’, *Nature Photonics* **7**(12), 1001–1005.  
**URL:** <http://www.nature.com/nphoton/journal/v7/n12/full/nphoton.2013111>
- Halimeh, J. C., Lang, H., Mildenberger, J., Jiang, Z. and Hauke, P. (2021), ‘Gauge-symmetry protection using single-body terms’, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 040311.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040311>
- Hasan, M. Z. and Kane, C. L. (2010), ‘Colloquium: topological insulators’, *Reviews of modern physics* **82**(4), 3045.
- Hauke, P., Lewenstein, M. and Eckardt, A. (2014), ‘Tomography of band insulators from quench dynamics’, *Phys. Rev.*

*Lett.* **113**, 045303.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.045303>

Hauke, P., Tieleman, O., Celi, A., Ölschläger, C., Simonet, J., Struck, J., Weinberg, M., Windpassinger, P., Sengstock, K., Lewenstein, M. and Eckardt, A. (2012), ‘Non-abelian gauge fields and topological insulators in shaken optical lattices’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 145301.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.145301>

Islam, R., Ma, R., Preiss, P. M., Eric Tai, M., Lukin, A., Rispoli, M. and Greiner, M. (2015), ‘Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system’, *Nature* **528**(7580), 77–83.

Jaksch, D. and Zoller, P. (2003), ‘Creation of effective magnetic fields in optical lattices: the hofstadter butterfly for cold neutral atoms’, *New Journal of Physics* **5**(1), 56.

Jepsen, P. N., Amato-Grill, J., Dimitrova, I., Ho, W. W., Demler, E. and Ketterle, W. (2020), ‘Spin transport in a tunable Heisenberg model realized with ultracold atoms’, *Nature (London)* **588**(7838), 403–407.

Jiang, H.-C., Wang, Z. and Balents, L. (2012), ‘Identifying topological order by entanglement entropy’, *Nature Physics* **8**(12), 902–905.

Johnstone, D., Westerberg, N., Duncan, C. W. and Öhberg, P. (2019), ‘Staggered ground states in an optical lattice’, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 043614.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.043614>

Jotzu, G., Messer, M., Desbuquois, R., Lebrat, M., Uehlinger, T., Greif, D. and Esslinger, T. (2014), ‘Experimental realization of the topological haldane model with ultracold fermions’, *Nature* **515**(7526), 237–240.

Juzeliūnas, G. and Öhberg, P. (2004), ‘Slow light in degenerate fermi gases’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 033602.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.033602>

Kasper, V., González-Cuadra, D., Hegde, A., Xia, A., Dauphin, A., Huber, F., Tiemann, E., Lewenstein, M., Jendrzejewski, F. and Hauke, P. (2021), ‘Universal quantum computation and quantum error correction with ultracold atomic mixtures’, *Quantum Science and Technology* **7**(1), 015008.

**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ac2d39>

Keßler, S. and Marquardt, F. (2014), ‘Single-site-resolved measurement of the current statistics in optical lattices’, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 061601.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.061601>

Kharzeev, D. E. (2014), ‘The chiral magnetic effect and anomaly-induced transport’, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **75**, 133–151.

**URL:** <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S014664110000039>

Kitaev, A. and Preskill, J. (2006), ‘Topological entanglement entropy’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110404.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.110404>

Kitagawa, T., Berg, E., Rudner, M. and Demler, E. (2010), ‘Topological characterization of periodically driven quantum systems’, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 235114.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235114>

Kitagawa, T., Oka, T., Brataas, A., Fu, L. and Demler, E. (2011), ‘Transport properties of nonequilibrium systems under the application of light: Photoinduced quantum hall insulators without landau levels’, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235108.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.235108>

Klco, N., Dumitrescu, E. F., McCaskey, A. J., Morris, T. D., Pooser, R. C., Sanz, M., Solano, E., Lougovski, P. and Savage, M. J. (2018), ‘Quantum-classical computation of schwinger model dynamics using quantum computers’, *Phys.*

*Rev. A* **98**, 032331.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032331>

Klco, N., Savage, M. J. and Stryker, J. R. (2020), ‘Su(2) non-abelian gauge field theory in one dimension on digital quantum computers’, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 074512.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.074512>

Kokail, C., Maier, C., van Bijnen, R., Brydges, T., Joshi, M. K., Jurcevic, P., Muschik, C. A., Silvi, P., Blatt, R., Roos, C. F. and Zoller, P. (2019), ‘Self-verifying variational quantum simulation of lattice models’, *Nature* **569**(7756), 355–360.

**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1177-4>

Kolovsky, A. R. (2011), ‘Creating artificial magnetic fields for cold atoms by photon-assisted tunneling’, *Euro. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 20003.

Krinner, S., Stadler, D., Husmann, D., Brantut, J.-P. and Esslinger, T. (2015), ‘Observation of quantized conductance in neutral matter’, *Nature (London)* **517**(7532), 64–67.

Laflamme, C., Yang, D. and Zoller, P. (2017), ‘Continuous measurement of an atomic current’, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 043843.

LeBlanc, L. J., Jiménez-García, K., Williams, R. A., Beeler, M. C., Phillips, W. D. and Spielman, I. B. (2015), ‘Gauge matters: observing the vortex-nucleation transition in a bose condensate’, *New Journal of Physics* **17**(6), 065016.

**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/6/065016>

Levin, M. and Wen, X.-G. (2006), ‘Detecting topological order in a ground state wave function’, *Physical review letters* **96**(11), 110405.

Li, H. and Haldane, F. D. M. (2008), ‘Entanglement spectrum as a generalization of entanglement entropy: Identification of topological order in non-abelian fractional quantum hall effect states’, *Physical review letters* **101**(1), 010504.

Li, J.-R., Lee, J., Huang, W., Burchesky, S., Shteynas, B., Top, F. C., Jamison, A. O. and Ketterle, W. (2017), ‘A stripe phase with supersolid properties in spin-orbit-coupled bose-einstein condensates’, *Nature (London)* **543**, 91.

Lin, Y.-J., Compton, R. L., Jiménez-García, K., Porto, J. V. and Spielman, I. B. (2009), ‘Synthetic magnetic fields for ultracold neutral atoms’, *Nature* **462**(7273), 628–632.

Lohse, M., Schweizer, C., Price, H. M., Zilberberg, O. and Bloch, I. (2018), ‘Exploring 4d quantum hall physics with a 2d topological charge pump’, *Nature* **553**(7686), 55–58.

Lundholm, D. and Rougerie, N. (2016), ‘Emergence of fractional statistics for tracer particles in a Laughlin liquid’, *Physical Review Letters* **116**(17), 170401.

Macaluso, E., Comparin, T., Umucalılar, R. O., Gerster, M., Montangero, S., Rizzi, M. and Carusotto, I. (2020), ‘Charge and statistics of lattice quasiholes from density measurements: A tree tensor network study’, *Physical review research* **2**(1), 013145.

Maik, M., Hauke, P., Dutta, O., Zakrzewski, J. and Lewenstein, M. (2013), ‘Density dependent tunneling in the extended bose-hubbard model’, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 113041.

**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/11/113041>

Mancini, M., Pagano, G., Cappellini, G., Livi, L., Rider, M., Catani, J., Sias, C., Zoller, P., Inguscio, M., Dalmonte, M. et al. (2015), ‘Observation of chiral edge states with neutral fermions in synthetic hall ribbons’, *Science* **349**(6255), 1510–1513.

Manovitz, T., Shapira, Y., Akerman, N., Stern, A. and Ozeri, R. (2020), ‘Quantum simulations with complex geometries and synthetic gauge fields in a trapped ion chain’, *PRX Quantum* **1**, 020303.

**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.020303>

Martinez, E. A., Muschik, C. A., Schindler, P., Nigg, D.,

- Erhard, A., Heyl, M., Hauke, P., Dalmonte, M., Monz, T., Zoller, P. and Blatt, R. (2016), ‘Real-time dynamics of lattice gauge theories with a few-qubit quantum computer’, *Nature* **534**(7608), 516–519.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18318>
- Meinert, F., Mark, M. J., Lauber, K., Daley, A. J. and Nägerl, H.-C. (2016), ‘Floquet engineering of correlated tunneling in the bose-hubbard model with ultracold atoms’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 205301.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.205301>
- Mil, A., Zache, T. V., Hegde, A., Xia, A., Bhatt, R. P., Oberthaler, M. K., Hauke, P., Berges, J. and Jendrzejewski, F. (2020), ‘A scalable realization of local  $u(1)$  gauge invariance in cold atomic mixtures’, *Science* **367**(6482), 1128–1130.  
**URL:** <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/367/6482/1128>
- Mildenberger, J., Mruczkiewicz, W., Halimeh, J. C., Jiang, Z. and Hauke, P. (2022), ‘Probing confinement in a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theory on a quantum computer’.  
**URL:** <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08905>
- Miyake, H., Siviloglou, G. A., Kennedy, C. J., Burton, W. C. and Ketterle, W. (2013), ‘Realizing the harper hamiltonian with laser-assisted tunneling in optical lattices’, *Physical review letters* **111**(18), 185302.
- Mueller, E. J. (2004), ‘Artificial electromagnetism for neutral atoms: Escher staircase and laughlin liquids’, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 041603.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041603>
- Mukherjee, B., Shaffer, A., Patel, P. B., Yan, Z., Wilson, C. C., Crépel, V., Fletcher, R. J. and Zwierlein, M. (2022), ‘Crystallization of bosonic quantum hall states in a rotating quantum gas’, *Nature* **601**(7891), 58–62.
- Nakamura, J., Liang, S., Gardner, G. C. and Manfra, M. J. (2020), ‘Direct observation of anyonic braiding statistics’, *Nature Physics* **16**(9), 931–936.
- Nardin, A. and Carusotto, I. (2022), ‘Linear and nonlinear edge dynamics of trapped fractional quantum hall droplets beyond the chiral luttinger liquid paradigm’, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.02539*.
- Nayak, C., Simon, S. H., Stern, A., Freedman, M. and Das Sarma, S. (2008), ‘Non-abelian anyons and topological quantum computation’, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083–1159.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083>
- Nguyen, N. H., Tran, M. C., Zhu, Y., Green, A. M., Alderete, C. H., Davoudi, Z. and Linke, N. M. (2021), ‘Digital quantum simulation of the schwinger model and symmetry protection with trapped ions’.  
**URL:** <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.14262>
- Nichols, M. A., Cheuk, L. W., Okan, M., Hartke, T. R., Mendez, E., Senthil, T., Khatami, E., Zhang, H. and Zwierlein, M. W. (2019), ‘Spin transport in a Mott insulator of ultracold fermions’, *Science* .  
**URL:** <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2018/12/05/1242622>
- Nigg, D., Mueller, M., Martinez, E. A., Schindler, P., Heinrich, M., Monz, T., Martin-Delgado, M. A. and Blatt, R. (2014), ‘Quantum computations on a topologically encoded qubit’, *Science* **345**, 302–305.
- Oka, T. and Aoki, H. (2009), ‘Photovoltaic hall effect in graphene’, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 081406.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.081406>
- Osterloh, K., Baig, M., Santos, L., Zoller, P. and Lewenstein, M. (2005), ‘Cold atoms in non-abelian gauge potentials: From the hofstadter ”moth” to lattice gauge theory’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010403.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.010403>
- Ott, R., Zache, T., Mueller, N. and Berges, J. (2020), ‘Non-cancellation of the parity anomaly in the strong-field regime of qed2+1’, *Physics Letters B* **805**, 135459.  
**URL:** <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269320302030>
- Ozawa, T. and Price, H. M. (2019), ‘Topological quantum matter in synthetic dimensions’, *Nature Reviews Physics* **1**(5), 349–357.
- Ozawa, T., Price, H. M., Amo, A., Goldman, N., Hafezi, M., Lu, L., Rechtsman, M. C., Schuster, D., Simon, J., Zilberberg, O. et al. (2019), ‘Topological photonics’, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **91**(1), 015006.
- Paredes, B., Fedichev, P., Cirac, J. and Zoller, P. (2001), ‘1 2-anyons in small atomic bose-einstein condensates’, *Physical review letters* **87**(1), 010402.
- Pitaevskiĭ, L. and Stringari, S. (2016), *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity*, International series of monographs on physics, Oxford University Press.  
**URL:** [https://books.google.it/books?id=k\\_ZGCwAAQBAJ](https://books.google.it/books?id=k_ZGCwAAQBAJ)
- Popp, M., Paredes, B. and Cirac, J. I. (2004), ‘Adiabatic path to fractional quantum hall states of a few bosonic atoms’, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 053612.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.053612>
- Price, H., Chong, Y., Khanikaev, A., Schomerus, H., Maczewsky, L. J., Kremer, M., Heinrich, M., Szameit, A., Zilberberg, O., Yang, Y. et al. (2022), ‘Roadmap on topological photonics’, *Journal of Physics: Photonics* .
- Price, H. M., Zilberberg, O., Ozawa, T., Carusotto, I. and Goldman, N. (2015), ‘Four-dimensional quantum hall effect with ultracold atoms’, *Physical review letters* **115**(19), 195303.
- Putra, A., Salces-Cárcoba, F., Yue, Y., Sugawa, S. and Spielman, I. B. (2020), ‘Spatial coherence of spin-orbit-coupled bose gases’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 053605.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.053605>
- Rahmani, A., Sung, K. J., Putterman, H., Roushan, P., Ghaemi, P. and Jiang, Z. (2020), ‘Creating and manipulating a laughlin-type  $\nu = 1/3$  fractional quantum hall state on a quantum computer with linear depth circuits’, *PRX Quantum* **1**, 020309.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.020309>
- Raventós, D., Graß, T., Juliá-Díaz, B., Santos, L. and Lewenstein, M. (2016), ‘Topological phases of lattice bosons with a dynamical gauge field’, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 033605.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.033605>
- Rechtsman, M. C., Zeuner, J. M., Plotnik, Y., Lumer, Y., Podolsky, D., Dreisow, F., Nolte, S., Segev, M. and Szameit, A. (2013), ‘Photonic floquet topological insulators’, *Nature* **496**(7444), 196–200.  
**URL:** <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v496/n7444/full/nature12061>
- Rentrop, T., Trautmann, A., Olivares, F. A., Jendrzejewski, F., Komnik, A. and Oberthaler, M. K. (2016), ‘Observation of the photonic  $\pi$  lamb shift with a synthetic vacuum’, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041041.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041041>
- Repellin, C. and Goldman, N. (2019), ‘Detecting fractional chern insulators through circular dichroism’, *Physical review letters* **122**(16), 166801.
- Repellin, C., Leonard, J. and Goldman, N. (2020), ‘Fractional chern insulators of few bosons in a box: Hall plateaus from center-of-mass drifts and density profiles’, *Physical Review A* **102**(6), 063316.
- Riechert, H., Halimeh, J. C., Kasper, V., Bretheau, L., Zohar, E., Hauke, P. and Jendrzejewski, F. (2021), ‘Engineering a  $u(1)$  lattice gauge theory in classical electric circuits’.

URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.01086>

Roushan, P., Neill, C., Megrant, A., Chen, Y., Babbush, R., Barends, R., Campbell, B., Chen, Z., Chiaro, B., Dunsworth, A. et al. (2017), ‘Chiral ground-state currents of interacting photons in a synthetic magnetic field’, *Nature Physics* **13**(2), 146.

URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nphys3930>

Ruseckas, J., Juzeliūnas, G., Öhberg, P. and Fleischhauer, M. (2005), ‘Non-abelian gauge potentials for ultracold atoms with degenerate dark states’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010404.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.010404>

Saminadayar, L., Glattli, D., Jin, Y. and Etienne, B. c.-m. (1997), ‘Observation of the  $e/3$  fractionally charged Laughlin quasiparticle’, *Physical Review Letters* **79**(13), 2526.

Satzinger, K. J., Liu, Y.-J., Smith, A., Knapp, C., Newman, M., Jones, C., Chen, Z., Quintana, C., Mi, X., Dunsworth, A., Gidney, C., Aleiner, I., Arute, F., Arya, K., Atalaya, J., Babbush, R., Bardin, J. C., Barends, R., Basso, J., Bengtsson, A., Bilmes, A., Broughton, M., Buckley, B. B., Buell, D. A., Burkett, B., Bushnell, N., Chiaro, B., Collins, R., Courtney, W., Demura, S., Derk, A. R., Eppens, D., Erickson, C., Faoro, L., Farhi, E., Fowler, A. G., Foxen, B., Giustina, M., Greene, A., Gross, J. A., Harrigan, M. P., Harrington, S. D., Hilton, J., Hong, S., Huang, T., Huggins, W. J., Ioffe, L. B., Isakov, S. V., Jeffrey, E., Jiang, Z., Kafri, D., Kechedzhi, K., Khattnar, T., Kim, S., Klimov, P. V., Korotkov, A. N., Kostitsa, F., Landhuis, D., Laptev, P., Lochlala, A., Lucero, E., Martin, O., McClean, J. R., McEwen, M., Miao, K. C., Mohseni, M., Montazeri, S., Mruczkiewicz, W., Mutus, J., Naaman, O., Neeley, M., Neill, C., Niu, M. Y., O’Brien, T. E., Opremcak, A., Pató, B., Petukhov, A., Rubin, N. C., Sank, D., Shvarts, V., Strain, D., Szalay, M., Villalonga, B., White, T. C., Yao, Z., Yeh, P., Yoo, J., Zalcman, A., Neven, H., Boixo, S., Megrant, A., Chen, Y., Kelly, J., Smelyanskiy, V., Kitaev, A., Knap, M., Pollmann, F. and Roushan, P. (2021), ‘Realizing topologically ordered states on a quantum processor’, *Science* **374**(6572), 1237–1241.

URL: <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.abi8378>

Scherg, S., Kohlert, T., Herbrych, J., Stolpp, J., Bordia, P., Schneider, U., Heidrich-Meisner, F., Bloch, I. and Aidelburger, M. (2018), ‘Nonequilibrium mass transport in the 1D Fermi–Hubbard model’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 130402.

Schine, N., Ryou, A., Gromov, A., Sommer, A. and Simon, J. (2016), ‘Synthetic Landau levels for photons’, *Nature* **534**(7609), 671–675.

Schweikhard, V., Coddington, I., Engels, P., Mogendorff, V. P. and Cornell, E. A. (2004), ‘Rapidly rotating Bose-Einstein condensates in and near the lowest Landau level’, *Physical Review Letters* **92**(4), 040404.

Schweizer, C., Grusdt, F., Berngruber, M., Barbiero, L., Demler, E., Goldman, N., Bloch, I. and Aidelburger, M. (2019), ‘Floquet approach to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theories with ultracold atoms in optical lattices’, *Nature Physics* **15**(11), 1168–1173.

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0649-7>

Semeghini, G., Levine, H., Keesling, A., Ebadi, S., Wang, T. T., Bluvstein, D., Verresen, R., Pichler, H., Kalinowski, M., Samajdar, R., Omran, A., Sachdev, S., Vishwanath, A., Greiner, M., Vuletić, V. and Lukin, M. D. (2021), ‘Probing topological spin liquids on a programmable quantum simulator’, *Science* **374**(6572), 1242–1247.

URL: <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.abi8794>

Son, D. T. and Zhitnitsky, A. R. (2004), ‘Quantum anomalies

in dense matter’, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 074018.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074018>

Sørensen, A. S., Demler, E. and Lukin, M. D. (2005), ‘Fractional quantum hall states of atoms in optical lattices’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 086803.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.086803>

Stannigel, K., Hauke, P., Marcos, D., Hafezi, M., Diehl, S., Dalmonte, M. and Zoller, P. (2014), ‘Constrained dynamics via the Zeno effect in quantum simulation: Implementing non-abelian lattice gauge theories with cold atoms’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 120406.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.120406>

Stern, A. (2008), ‘Anyons and the quantum hall effect—a pedagogical review’, *Annals of Physics* **323**(1), 204–249.

Struck, J., Ölschläger, C., Weinberg, M., Hauke, P., Simonet, J., Eckardt, A., Lewenstein, M., Sengstock, K. and Windpassinger, P. (2012), ‘Tunable gauge potential for neutral and spinless particles in driven optical lattices’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 225304.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.225304>

Stuhl, B., Lu, H.-I., Aycock, L., Genkina, D. and Spielman, I. (2015), ‘Visualizing edge states with an atomic Bose gas in the quantum hall regime’, *Science* **349**(6255), 1514–1518.

Surace, F. M., Mazza, P. P., Giudici, G., Leroche, A., Gambassi, A. and Dalmonte, M. (2020), ‘Lattice gauge theories and string dynamics in Rydberg atom quantum simulators’, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 021041.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.021041>

Suthar, K., Kraus, R., Sable, H., Angom, D., Morigi, G. and Zakrzewski, J. (2020), ‘Staggered superfluid phases of dipolar bosons in two-dimensional square lattices’, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 214503.

URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.214503>

Tai, M. E., Lukin, A., Rispoli, M., Schittko, R., Menke, T., Borgnia, D., Preiss, P. M., Grusdt, F., Kaufman, A. M. and Greiner, M. (2017), ‘Microscopy of the interacting Harper–Hofstadter model in the two-body limit’, *Nature* **546**(7659), 519–523.

Tarnowski, M., Unal, F. N., Flaeschnner, N., Rem, B. S., Eckardt, A., Sengstock, K. and Weitenberg, C. (2019), ‘Measuring topology by dynamics: Chern number from linking number’, *Nature Communications* **10**, 1728.

Thouless, D. J., Kohmoto, M., Nightingale, M. P. and den Nijs, M. (1982), ‘Quantized hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential’, *Physical Review Letters* **49**(6), 405.

Tong, D. (2016), ‘Lectures on the quantum hall effect’, *ArXiv e-prints*.

Wang, Z., Ge, Z.-Y., Xiang, Z., Song, X., Huang, R.-Z., Song, P., Guo, X.-Y., Su, L., Xu, K., Zheng, D. and Fan, H. (2021), ‘Observation of emergent  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge invariance in a superconducting circuit’.

URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.05048>

Wen, X.-G. (1995), ‘Topological orders and edge excitations in fractional quantum hall states’, *Advances in Physics* **44**(5), 405–473.

Wen, X.-G. (2013), ‘Topological order: From long-range entangled quantum matter to a unified origin of light and electrons’, *International Scholarly Research Notices* p. 198710.

Yakoboylu, E., Ghazaryan, A., Lundholm, D., Rougerie, N., Lemesko, M. and Seiringer, R. (2020), ‘Quantum impurity model for anyons’, *Physical Review B* **102**(14), 144109.

Yang, B., Sun, H., Ott, R., Wang, H.-Y., Zache, T. V., Hal-

- imeh, J. C., Yuan, Z.-S., Hauke, P. and Pan, J.-W. (2020), ‘Observation of gauge invariance in a 71-site bose–hubbard quantum simulator’, *Nature* **587**(7834), 392–396.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2910-8>
- Yoshioka, D. (2002), *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Physics and astronomy online library, Springer.  
**URL:** <https://books.google.it/books?id=hFpOcAgCviQC>
- Zache, T. V., Hebenstreit, F., Jendrzejewski, F., Oberthaler, M. K., Berges, J. and Hauke, P. (2018), ‘Quantum simulation of lattice gauge theories using wilson fermions’, *Quantum Science and Technology* **3**(3), 034010.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/aac33b>
- Zhang, D.-W., Zhu, Y.-Q., Zhao, Y. X., Yan, H. and Zhu, S.-L. (2018), ‘Topological quantum matter with cold atoms’, *Advances in Physics* **67**(4), 253–402.  
**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2019.1594094>
- Zhang, Y., Sreejith, G. J., Gemelke, N. D. and Jain, J. K. (2014), ‘Fractional angular momentum in cold-atom systems’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 160404.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.160404>
- Zhou, Z.-Y., Su, G.-X., Halimeh, J. C., Ott, R., Sun, H., Hauke, P., Yang, B., Yuan, Z.-S., Berges, J. and Pan, J.-W. (2021), ‘Thermalization dynamics of a gauge theory on a quantum simulator’.
- Zhu, S.-L., Fu, H., Wu, C.-J., Zhang, S.-C. and Duan, L.-M. (2006), ‘Spin hall effects for cold atoms in a light-induced gauge potential’, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 240401.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.240401>
- Zohar, E. (2022), ‘Quantum simulation of lattice gauge theories in more than one space dimension—requirements, challenges and methods’, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A* **380**(2216), 20210069.
- Zohar, E., Cirac, J. I. and Reznik, B. (2013), ‘Quantum simulations of gauge theories with ultracold atoms: Local gauge invariance from angular-momentum conservation’, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 023617.  
**URL:** <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.023617>