

LUSIN SPACES AS IMAGES OF LOCALLY COMPACT POLISH SPACES

ALINA KARGOL AND YURI KOZITSKY

ABSTRACT. A Lusin space is a Hausdorff space being the image of a Polish space under a continuous bijection. In this work, we specify Lusin spaces obtained as the images of a noncompact and locally compact Polish space (X, \mathcal{T}) . We call such spaces c -Lusin. The main result is the statement that a c -Lusin space $Y = f(X)$ can be presented in the form $Z \cup Y_1$, where Z is a locally compact Polish space (in the subspace topology), whereas Y_1 is another c -Lusin space in its own subspace topology. On the other hand, Y_1 is the set of the discontinuity points of f^{-1} , which is a nowhere dense closed subset of X . By the same arguments, Y_1 can also be decomposed as $Z_1 \cup Y_2$ with the properties as just mentioned. In the case where f can be extended to a continuous map $f : X \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow Y$ and Y_1 is a singleton, we explicitly construct a metric, δ , on X such that the metric space (X, δ) is compact and homeomorphic to the corresponding c -Lusin space $(f(X), \mathcal{T}')$.

1. INTRODUCTION

To have the freedom of dealing with different topologies defined on the same underlying set X , we use the notation (X, \mathcal{T}) for the corresponding topological space. For $A \subset X$, by \overline{A} we denote its closure. By a Polish space we mean a separable space the topology of which is consistent with a complete metric. A Lusin space, (Y, \mathcal{T}') , is a Hausdorff space that is the image of a Polish space, (X, \mathcal{T}) , i.e., $Y = f(X)$, where f is a continuous bijection, see, e.g., [3] or [6, page 273]. The main characteristic feature of such a pair (X, \mathcal{T}) and $(f(X), \mathcal{T}')$ is that these spaces are Borel isomorphic, see [6, Proposition 8.6.13, page 275]. As Polish spaces are standard for probabilistic applications, also Lusin spaces are frequently used as state spaces of stochastic processes, see, e.g., [4, 8].

Lusin spaces have a plenty of topological properties, see e.g., [3] and the literature quoted therein. Some of them may be quite different from those of Polish spaces. In particular, a Lusin space need not be metrizable. An example can be a separable infinite dimensional Banach space equipped with the weak topology. The situation with these properties can get more controllable if one assumes additional properties of the Polish space (X, \mathcal{T}) . If it is compact, then $(f(X), \mathcal{T}')$ is also compact and f is a homeomorphism, see, e.g., [5, Theorem 7.8, page 19]. In this work, we study Lusin spaces obtained as the images of a noncompact and locally compact Polish space (X, \mathcal{T}) . For further convenience, we call them c -Lusin spaces. First, in Proposition 2.3 we show that each Lusin space is a Baire space – even if the Polish space is not locally compact. By this property we then show (in Theorem 2.4) that the set, Y_1 , of the discontinuity points of f^{-1} is a nowhere dense closed subset of Y , which is a c -Lusin space in the subspace topology. At the same time, $Z := Y \setminus Y_1$ equipped with the corresponding subspace topology gets a locally compact Polish space. By repeating the same arguments, one obtains the decomposition $Y_1 = Z_1 \cup Y_2$, which can be continued ad infinitum, or to the step at which $Z_k = Y_k$ and thus the restriction of f^{-1} to Y_k gets continuous. In Sect. 3, we study the case where f can be continuously extended to the Alexandroff

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54E45; 54E40; 54E52; 54D45; 54C10.

Key words and phrases. Baire space; Alexandroff compactification; pseudo-completeness; c -Lusin space.

compactification $X \cup \{\infty\}$ and thus Y_1 is at most singleton. For $Y_1 = \{y_0\}$, in Theorem 3.2 we show that (Y, \mathcal{T}') is a compact Polish space homeomorphic to (X, \mathcal{T}_{x_0}) , where $x_0 = f^{-1}(y_0)$ and \mathcal{T}_{x_0} is the metric topology corresponding to an explicitly constructed complete metric δ .

2. THE MAIN RESULT

An essential property of Lusin spaces is related to Baire's category theorem. Recall that a set the closure of which has empty interior is called nowhere dense.

Definition 2.1. *A topological space is called a Baire space if the union of any countable collection of its closed nowhere dense subsets is nowhere dense.*

A detailed presentation of the properties of Baire spaces can be found in [2, 7]. Among them one can find the following ones: every nonempty open subset of a Baire space is of second category [7, page 11]; both locally compact Hausdorff and completely metrizable spaces are Baire, see Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, page 19 *ibid*. In [2], the Baire spaces were 'recognized' by a spectrum of topological properties referred to as global, local, and almost *completeness*. It turns out, see [2, subsect. 1.3.2, page 8], that "any (topological) space having any of the completeness properties is a Baire space", whereas "any locally compact Hausdorff space and any completely metrizable space has all the completeness properties". Such properties include also *pseudo-completeness*, which we define here in accordance with [1, Sect. 2.1].

Definition 2.2. *A pseudo-base of a space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a collection \mathcal{P} of nonempty open subsets such that each nonempty $A \in \mathcal{T}$ contains some member of \mathcal{P} . A space (X, \mathcal{T}) is pseudo-complete if it has a sequence $\{\mathcal{P}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pseudo-bases such that any sequence $\{P_n \in \mathcal{P}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the property $\overline{P_{n+1}} \subset P_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies $\bigcap_n P_n \neq \emptyset$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Every Lusin space is pseudo-complete and hence Baire.*

Proof. Let the space in question be $(f(X), \mathcal{T}')$ where f is a continuous bijection acting from a Polish space (X, \mathcal{T}) . For any two topological spaces, according to [2, subsects. 1.2.4 and 4.3.5, Theorem 2] the following is true: if a map $g : (Z, \mathcal{T}_Z) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is closed and *irreducible*, then (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) is pseudo-complete if and only if (Z, \mathcal{T}_Z) has this property. The irreducibility means that, for each $A \in \mathcal{T}_Z$ and $y \in Y$, the fact that $A \cap \{z : g(z) = y\} \neq \emptyset$ implies $A \supset \{z : g(z) = y\}$, which is obviously the case if g is a bijection. Then the proof follows by this statement and the fact that the pre-image space is Polish and hence pseudo-complete, whereas $g = f^{-1}$ is closed and irreducible. \square

A special kind of Lusin spaces constitute the images of locally compact Polish spaces. Such spaces will be called *c-Lusin*. The main result of this work is the following statement.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (Y, \mathcal{T}') be a c-Lusin space. Then there exists a dense open subset $Z \subset Y$ such that: (a) (Z, \mathcal{T}'_Z) is a locally compact Polish space; (b) (Y_1, \mathcal{T}'_1) is a c-Lusin space. Here $\mathcal{T}'_Z = \{A \cap Z : A \in \mathcal{T}'\}$, $Y_1 = Y \setminus Z$ and $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{A \cap Y_1 : A \in \mathcal{T}'\}$.*

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be the corresponding locally compact Polish space, i.e., such that $Y = f(X)$ and f is a continuous bijection. Every locally compact and second countable space is also σ -compact. That is, there exists a sequence of compact subsets, $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that: (a) each K_n is contained in the interior of K_{n+1} ; (b) $X = \bigcup_n K_n$. For such a sequence, we set $Q_n = f(K_n)$ and let C_n be the interior of Q_n . Then $Y = \bigcup_n Q_n$, which by Proposition 2.3 means that $C_n \neq \emptyset$ for at least some of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $y \in Y$, by $\mathcal{T}'(y)$ we denote the set of all $C \in \mathcal{T}'$ such that $y \in C$. For $x \in X$, $\mathcal{T}(x)$ is defined analogously. Now we set

$$Z = \{y \in Y : \exists C \in \mathcal{T}'(y) \text{ } f^{-1}(C) \text{ has compact closure}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly, $Z \in \mathcal{T}'$ and $C_n \subset Z$ for each n . Therefore, $Z \neq \emptyset$. Next, we set $Y_1 = Y \setminus Z$ and $Q_{n,1} = Q_n \cap Y_1$, which means that $Y_1 = \cup_n Q_{n,1}$. Let $C_{n,1}$ be the interior of $Q_{n,1}$. Then $C_{n,1} \subset C_n \subset Z$; hence, $C_{n,1} = \emptyset$ for all n . This yields in turn that Y_1 is nowhere dense whereas Z is dense in Y . Denote $X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1)$ and $W = f^{-1}(Z)$, and also $\mathcal{T}_W = \{A \cap W : A \in \mathcal{T}\}$, $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{A \cap X_1 : A \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Since W is open and X_1 is closed, both (W, \mathcal{T}_W) and (X_1, \mathcal{T}_1) are Polish spaces, see [6, Proposition 8.1.2, page 240]. These spaces are also locally compact. Hence, (Y_1, \mathcal{T}'_1) is a c -Lusin space.

Let us now show that (Z, \mathcal{T}'_Z) is first countable. For $x \in X$ and a positive r , we set $B_r(x) = \{u \in X : d(x, u) < r\}$, where d is a complete metric of X consistent with \mathcal{T} . For $y \in Z$, set $x = f^{-1}(y)$, and then $C_r(y) = f(B_r(x))$. Since $y \in Z$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{T}(y)'$ such that $f^{-1}(C)$ has compact closure. Set $B = f^{-1}(C)$ and $K = \overline{B}$, which means that K and $Q := f(K)$ are compact and C is an open subset of Q . The restriction f_K of f to K is a continuous bijection of (K, \mathcal{T}_K) to (Q, \mathcal{T}'_Q) . Since the space (K, \mathcal{T}_K) is compact, f_K is also open, see [5, Theorem 7.8, page 19]. Let r be such that $B_r(x) \subset B \subset K$. Then for $q \in \mathbb{Q}_r := \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, r)$, one has $C_q(y) \subset C$ and $C_q(y) \in \mathcal{T}'_Q$, where \mathbb{Q} stands for the set of rational numbers. Hence, $C_q(y) \in \mathcal{T}(y)'$ for all such q . Let us show that $\mathcal{C}_y := \{C_q(y) : q \in \mathbb{Q}_r\}$ is a base of neighborhoods of y . To this end, we take any $C' \in \mathcal{T}(y)'$. For C as right above, we set $B' = f^{-1}(C' \cap C)$ and then pick $r' > 0$ such that $B_{r'}(x) \subset B'$. It is then obvious that $C_q(y) \subset C' \cap C$ whenever $q < \min\{r; r'\}$, which yields the desired property of \mathcal{C}_y .

Let $Q \subset Z$ be \mathcal{T}' -compact, hence \mathcal{T}'_Z -compact. Let then \mathcal{C} be its cover by open sets C satisfying the condition: $f^{-1}(C)$ has compact closure. Such a cover exists in view of (2.1). By the compactness of Q , \mathcal{C} contains a finite sub-cover, say $\{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$. Then $K := f^{-1}(Q)$ is contained in the compact set $\cup_{j=1}^m K_j$, K_j being the closure of $f^{-1}(C_j)$. Let now $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ converge to some $x \in X$. Then $\{f(x_l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Q$ converges to $f(x)$, which lies in Q as the latter is closed. This yields that K is closed and hence compact. Therefore, f_W is a proper map, which by [10, Corollary] yields that it is closed, hence open as it is a bijection. This implies (a) and thus completes the proof. \square

From the proof made above, it readily follows that Y_1 is precisely the set of discontinuity points of f^{-1} . In particular, this means that the set of such points is nowhere dense in Y . At the same time, $X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1)$ can be characterized by the following property. Let $X \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Alexandroff compactification of (X, \mathcal{T}) . Let also \mathfrak{X} stand for the set of all sequences $\{x_n\} \subset X$ that converge to ∞ . That is, each $\{x_n\} \in \mathfrak{X}$ is eventually in $X \setminus K$ for each compact K . Then $X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1)$ can be written in the form

$$X_1 = \{x \in X : \exists \{x_n\} \in \mathfrak{X} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

By (2.2) one can see that the structure of X_1 (and thus of Y_1) is predetermined by the properties of f in the vicinity of ∞ . In particular, X_1 is at most singleton if f has a continuous extension to $X \cup \{\infty\}$. Noteworthy, X_1 is nowhere dense in X if f is *feebly* open, that is, for each $A \in \mathcal{T}$, $f(A)$ has nonempty interior.

By repeating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following statement that establishes the structure of the c -Lusin space (Y, \mathcal{T}') .

Corollary 2.5. *There exists a descending sequence $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of closed subsets of Y such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds: (a) Y_{k+1} is nowhere dense and $Z_k := Y_k \setminus Y_{k+1}$ is dense in (Y_k, \mathcal{T}'_k) ; (b) (Y_k, \mathcal{T}'_k) and $(Z_k, \mathcal{T}'_{Z_k})$ are a c -Lusin space and a locally compact Polish space, respectively. Here $\mathcal{T}'_k = \{A \cap Y_k : A \in \mathcal{T}'\}$ and $\mathcal{T}'_{Z_k} = \{A \cap Z_k : A \in \mathcal{T}'\}$.*

Note that the aforementioned sequence may end up with $Y_{k+1} = \emptyset$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which corresponds to the case $Y_k = Z_k$, i.e., Y_k being a locally compact Polish space. Here by Y_0 and Z_0 we mean Y and Z , respectively. For $A \in \mathcal{T}'$, set $A_{k+1} = A \cap Z_k$,

$k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $A_k \cap A_{k'} = \emptyset$ for all distinct k and k' . Therefore,

$$A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$$

is a disjoint decomposition of A in which $A_k \in \mathcal{T}'_{Z_{k-1}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note, however, that $\bigcup_{l \geq k+1} A_l$ is nowhere dense in \mathcal{T}'_{k-1} .

Now we introduce a complete metric δ_k , consistent with \mathcal{T}'_{Z_k} , $k \geq 0$. Recall that Z_0 is just Z as in Theorem 2.4. In this context, we also set $W_k = f^{-1}(Z_k)$, $k \geq 0$. Let d be a complete metric consistent with \mathcal{T} . For $x \in X_k$ and $y \in Z_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define

$$d(x, X_{k+1}) = \inf_{v \in X_{k+1}} d(x, v), \quad \varkappa_k(y) = 1/d(f^{-1}(y), X_{k+1}), \quad (2.3)$$

where $X_k := f(Y_k)$. That is, $d(f^{-1}(y), X_{k+1})$ is the distance from the pre-image of y to $X_{k+1} = X_k \setminus W_k$. Now similarly as in [6, page 240] we set

$$\delta_k(y, y') = d(f^{-1}(y), f^{-1}(y')) + |\varkappa_k(y) - \varkappa_k(y')|, \quad y, y' \in Z_k, \quad k \geq 0.$$

By this construction, it follows that

$$\delta_k(y, y') = d_k(x, x') := d(x, x') + \left| \frac{1}{d(x, X_{k+1})} - \frac{1}{d(x', X_{k+1})} \right|,$$

where $x = f^{-1}(y)$, $x' = f^{-1}(y')$. Since d_k is a complete metric consistent with \mathcal{T}_{W_k} , then δ_k is the metric in question.

3. A SPECIAL CASE

Here we consider the case where f has a continuous extension to the Alexandroff compactification of X . As mentioned above, this property corresponds to X_1 consisting of at most one element. If $X_1 = \emptyset$, then f is a homeomorphism. For a singleton X_1 , we have the following statement.

Proposition 3.1. *If $X_1 = \{x_0\}$, then (Y, \mathcal{T}') is compact.*

Proof. Let $\{y_\iota\} \subset Y$ be a net, for which we have the corresponding net of $x_\iota = f^{-1}(y_\iota)$. Then either $\{x_\iota\} \subset K$ for some compact $K \subset X$, or it contains a sub-net, $\{x_\kappa\}$, convergent to ∞ . In the former case, the net $\{y_\iota\}$ is contained in the compact $f(K)$, and hence has accumulation points. Otherwise, the sub-net $\{y_\kappa\}$ converges to $f(x_0)$, which completes the proof. \square

A priori, even in this case (Y, \mathcal{T}') need not be metrizable. In the next statement, we nevertheless show that it is.

Theorem 3.2. *If $X_1 = \{x_0\}$, there exists a metric, δ , on X such that (X, δ) is a compact metric space homeomorphic to (Y, \mathcal{T}') .*

The proof will be done by an explicit construction of δ . Its main idea stems from the proof of Proposition 3.1, by which (Y, \mathcal{T}') is a one-point compactification of (Z, \mathcal{T}'_Z) . To figure it out, we take two (disjoint) sequences $\{x_k\}, \{x'_k\} \subset X \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $x'_k \rightarrow x_0$. Then the closures in Y of their f -images contain $f(x_0)$. This yields that the map f^{-1} from Z to $X \cup \{\infty\}$ is not uniformly \mathcal{T}'/\mathcal{T} -continuous. Hence, by Taimanov's theorem, see [11], it does not have a continuous extension to Y in this case. At the same time, it may get such an extension if one identifies ∞ and x_0 , and thus their neighborhoods. Then δ is obtained by applying the corresponding construction of [9], modified to take into account the mentioned identification. We thus begin by making this step.

For a nonempty $D \subset X$ and $r > 0$, we set $D^r = \{x \in X : \exists v \in D \, d(x, v) < r\}$, where d is as in (2.3). Let $\{K_n\} \subset X$ be an ascending sequence of compact subsets that exhausts

X and is such that K_n is contained in the interior of K_{n+1} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one finds $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, +\infty)$ such that: (a) $K^{r_n} \subset K_{n+1}$; (b) $r_n > r_{n+1}$; (c) $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Of course, we can also assume that $x_0 \in K_1$. Let us now define the following functions

$$g(x) = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [r_n - d(x, K_n)], \quad h(x) = \min\{d(x, x_0); g(x)\}, \quad x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $h(x_0) = 0$ and $g(x_0) = r_1 > 0$. Moreover, $g(x) > 0$ for all x . Let us prove that

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X, \quad (3.2)$$

i.e., $x \mapsto h(x)$ is Lipschitz-continuous. Since the right-hand side of (3.2) is symmetric with respect to the interchange $x \leftrightarrow y$, it is enough to show that

$$h(x) - h(y) \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X. \quad (3.3)$$

For $h(y) = d(y, x_0)$, (3.3) holds true by the triangle inequality for d . Indeed, by (3.1) we have

$$h(x) - d(y, x_0) \leq d(x, x_0) - d(y, x_0) \leq d(x, y).$$

Assume now that $h(y) = g(y)$. By (3.1) we then have $h(x) - h(y) \leq g(x) - g(y)$, which means that (3.3) will follow by

$$g(x) - g(y) \leq d(x, y).$$

First we consider the case of $g(x) = r_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which corresponds to $x \in K_n$. For this n , by (3.1) we have $g(y) \geq r_n - d(y, K_n)$, which implies

$$g(x) - g(y) \leq d(y, K_n) \leq d(x, y).$$

For $g(x) = r_n - d(x, K_n)$, similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) - g(y) &\leq d(y, K_n) - d(x, K_n) = d(y, K_n) - d(x, z) \\ &\leq d(y, z) - d(x, z) \leq d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in K_n$ is such that $d(x, K_n) = d(x, z)$. This completes the proof of (3.2).

Let us now introduce a candidate for another metric on X . Set

$$\delta(x, y) = \min\{d(x, y); h(x) + h(y)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.3. *It follows that δ defined in (3.4) is a metric, such that the metric space (X, ρ) is compact.*

Proof. Obviously δ is symmetric and $\delta(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$. Then to prove that δ is a metric it remains to show that

$$\delta(x, y) \leq \delta(x, z) + \delta(y, z), \quad x, y, z \in X. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.4), it follows that each δ in (3.5) can equal either the corresponding d or the sum of two corresponding h . If all the three δ 's equal the corresponding d 's, then (3.5) follows by the triangle inequality for d . Let us consider the case where one of the δ 's in (3.5) equals the sum of the h 's, whereas the remaining two equal the corresponding d 's. If this holds on the left-hand side – which by (3.4) corresponds to $h(x) + h(y) \leq d(x, y)$ – then (3.5) turns into

$$h(x) + h(y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z).$$

As just mentioned, $h(x) + h(y) \leq d(x, y)$, which yields the validity of (3.5) by the triangle inequality for d . Now let the mentioned equality holds on the right-hand side of (3.5). By the symmetry $x \leftrightarrow y$, it is enough to consider only the case $\delta(y, z) = h(y) + h(z)$. Then (3.5) turns into

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + h(y) + h(z). \quad (3.6)$$

Since $\delta(x, y) = d(x, y)$, by (3.5) and (3.2) it follows that

$$d(x, y) \leq h(x) + h(y) = h(x) - h(z) + h(y) + h(z) \leq d(x, z) + h(y) + h(z),$$

which yields (3.6). Now let two δ 's be equal to the corresponding sums of the h 's. This case splits into the following ones

$$d(x, y) \leq h(x) + h(y) + 2h(z), \quad (3.7)$$

$$h(x) \leq d(x, z) + h(z).$$

The validity of the first line in (3.7) follows by the fact that $d(x, y) \leq h(x) + h(y)$, see (3.5). The validity of the second one follows by (3.2). This completes the proof of (3.5).

Let us turn now to proving the compactness, which is equivalent to the completeness of (X, δ) and the total boundedness of δ . Let $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a δ -Cauchy sequence and the ascending sequence as in (3.1), respectively. Then either $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K_n$ for some n , or there exists a subsequence $\{x_{l_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each n , there exists m_n such that $x_{l_m} \in X \setminus K_n$ for all $m > m_n$. In the former case, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{l_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ d -convergent to a certain $x \in K_n$. By (3.4) $\{x_{l_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also δ -convergent to x ; hence, the whole $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is δ -convergent. In the latter case, $g(x_{l_m}) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$, see (3.1). The latter implies $h(x_{l_m}) \rightarrow 0$, which yields

$$0 \leq \delta(x_{l_m}, x_0) \leq h(x_{l_m}) + h(x_0) = h(x_{l_m}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\{x_{l_m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, and thus also $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge in δ to x_0 . This yields the completeness of δ . To prove the total boundedness, we have to show that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite $D_\varepsilon \subset X$ such that $B_\varepsilon^\delta(x) \cap D_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ holding for each $x \in X$. Here $B_\varepsilon^\delta(x) = \{y \in X : \delta(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$, which by (3.4) contains the ball $B_\varepsilon(x)$. Let $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence that appears in (3.1). For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, find $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_n < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. By (3.1) we then have that $h(x) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X \setminus K_{n_\varepsilon}$. Since K_{n_ε} is compact in (X, \mathcal{T}) , one finds a finite $C_\varepsilon \subset K_{n_\varepsilon}$ such that $B_\varepsilon(x) \cap C_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$, holding for each $x \in K_{n_\varepsilon}$. Then the set in question is $D_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon \cup \{x_0\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. In view of Lemma 3.3, it remains to show that the spaces (X, \mathcal{T}_{x_0}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}') are homeomorphic. Here by $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \subset \mathcal{T}$ we mean the metric topology associated with δ . It is clear now that for any two disjoint δ -convergent sequences $\{x_k\}, \{x'_k\} \subset X$, the closures of $\{f(x_k)\}$ and $\{f(x'_k)\}$ in (Y, \mathcal{T}') are disjoint, which means that the map $f^{-1} : Z \rightarrow X$ can now be continuously extended to the whole Y . As such, it turns in a homeomorphism, cf. [5, Theorem 7.7, page 19], which yields the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Aarts, D. J. Lutzer, Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* (1973) 48: 1–10.
- [2] J. M. Aarts, D. J. Lutzer, Completeness Properties Designed for Recognizing Baire Spaces, *Dissertationes Math.*, CXVI, Warszawa PWN, 1974.
- [3] A. Bešlagić, Embedding cosmic spaces in Lusin spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1983) 89: 515–518.
- [4] L. Beznea, N. Boboc, M. Rőckner, Quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and L^p -resolvents on measurable spaces, *Potential Anal.* (2006) 25: 269–282.
- [5] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 139, Springer New York, 1993.
- [6] D. L. Cohn, *Measure Theory*. Second edition. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [7] R. C. Haworth, R. A. MaCoy, *Baire Spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.*, CXLI, Warszawa PWN, 1977.
- [8] Z. M. Ma, L. Overbeck, M. Rőckner, Markov processes associated with semi-Dirichlet forms, *Osaka J. Math.* (1995) 32: 97–119.
- [9] M. Mandelkern, Metrization of the one-point compactification. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1989) 107: 1111–1115.

- [10] R. S. Palais, When proper maps are closed, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1970) 24: 835–836.
- [11] A.D. Taimanov, On extension of continuous mappings of topological spaces. Mat. Sbornik N.S. (1952) 31: 459–463.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTET MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND
Email address: `akargol@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl`

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTET MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND
Email address: `jkozi@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl`