

Weak $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays induced by FCNC in QCD

T. M. Aliev* and M. Savci†

Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, 06800, Turkey

S. Bilmis‡

*Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, 06800, Turkey and
TUBITAK ULAKBIM, Ankara, 06510, Turkey*

(Dated: June 17, 2022)

Abstract

With the discovery of the doubly heavy Ξ_{cc} baryon, comprehensive studies of the properties of the doubly heavy baryons are started. In the present work, we examine the $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays induced by flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) in the framework of the light-cone sum rules. After obtaining the sum rules for the form factors induced by the tensor current, the branching ratios of the relevant transitions are estimated. We found that the branching ratio for the $c \rightarrow u$ transition is around five orders smaller than the $b \rightarrow d$ transition. Our findings are also compared with other approaches.

arXiv:2206.08253v1 [hep-ph] 16 Jun 2022

* taliev@metu.edu.tr

† savci@metu.edu.tr

‡ sbilmis@metu.edu.tr

I. INTRODUCTION

The quark model has been quite successful in the classification of the hadrons. However, up to now, only the hadron state Ξ_{cc}^{++} has been discovered among all the baryons containing double heavy quarks anticipated by the quark model [1–3]. The detailed analysis to determine the properties of these hadrons is crucial to precisely testing the Standard Model(SM) as well as looking for new physics effects. Weak decays induced by flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) of doubly heavy baryons are an ideal framework to check SM predictions at the loop level. The new physics effects can manifest themselves in these interactions either by modifying the so-called Wilson coefficients existing in the SM without introducing new operators or by introducing new effective operators.

The observation of the doubly heavy hadrons triggered many theoretical studies on this subject (see [4] and the references therein). In this context, a comprehensive analysis of the weak decays of doubly heavy baryons occupies a special place. The main ingredient of weak decays is the transition matrix elements between the initial and final states due to the weak currents of quarks. These matrix elements are parametrized in terms of the form factors. Calculation of the form factors is the main ingredient of studying the weak decays which belong to the non-perturbative domain of the QCD. For this reason, some non-perturbative methods are needed for their calculation. Among various non-perturbative methods, sum rules method that is based on the fundamental QCD Lagrangian occupies an exceptional place. Form factors of some of the doubly heavy baryons due to the charged current are already studied with the traditional and light cone version of the sum rules in [5], and [6, 7], respectively. It should be noted here that form factors of the doubly heavy baryons decaying to single heavy baryons are studied in the works [8–11] in the framework of the light-front quark model. Moreover, FCNC processes of the doubly heavy baryons are studied within this approach in [12] and [10]. It should also be noted that the FCNC-induced decay of $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Lambda_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay within the light-cone sum rules are studied in [13].

Before we delve into our analysis, we would like to say a few words about the SU(3) classification of the doubly heavy baryons. A doubly heavy baryon contains two heavy and one light quark. Doubly heavy baryons with spin $\frac{1}{2}^+$ in the cc sector are Ξ_{cc}^{++} , Ξ_{cc}^+ and Ω_{cc}^+ , and those in the bb sector are Ξ_{bb}^0 , Ξ_{bb}^- and Ω_{bb}^+ . Additionally, there are two sets of baryons in the bc sector which are antisymmetric or symmetric under the interchange of b and c quarks. While the single heavy baryons Λ_Q , Ξ_Q belong to the triplet(anti) representation, Σ_Q , $\Sigma_{Q'}$, and Ω_Q baryons lie in the sextet representation of SU(3).

In the present work, we study the $\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$, and $\Xi_{bb}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_b^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay in the framework of the light cone QCD sum rules method (LCSR). This method is an extension of the traditional QCD sum rules method [14], and to the light cone [15, 16]. Within the framework of this method,

many aspects of the hadron physics are studied (see the review [17]). In the framework of the LCSR method, instead of the local operator product expansion (OPE), the light cone expansion of the non-local operators is used. Moreover, in this method, light-cone distribution amplitudes appear instead of the local condensates, and OPE is performed over twists rather than the dimensions of the local operators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we derive the sum rules for the relevant form factors for the $\Sigma_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay in framework of the LCSR method. Numerical analysis of these form factors is presented in Section III. In this section, we also estimate the branching ratios of the corresponding decay using these form factors. Conclusions and discussions of the obtained results are presented in the last Section IV.

II. SUM RULES OF THE TRANSITION FORM FACTORS FOR THE $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ DECAYS

The flavor-changing neutral $b \rightarrow q(d \text{ or } s) \ell^+ \ell^-$ transitions up to mass dimension six is described by the standard weak effective field theory [18]. The effective Hamiltonian for this transition can be written as

$$H_{eff} = -\frac{2G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \sum C_i^{eff}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i \quad (1)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, V_{ij} are the elements of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements, α is the electromagnetic coupling, $C_i^{eff}(\mu)$ are the short-distance Wilson coefficients and \mathcal{O}_i are the local effective field operators. For the transition under consideration, the leading operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_7 &= m_b \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b F_{\mu\nu} \\ \mathcal{O}_9 &= \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu l \\ \mathcal{O}_{10} &= \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 l \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

At the quark level, the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays take place through the $c \rightarrow u$ or $b \rightarrow d$ transitions. The hadronic matrix elements for the $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays are determined by sandwiching the transition currents between the initial and final hadron states. For example, the matrix element for the $b \rightarrow q \ell^+ \ell^-$ transition amplitude between the initial and final hadron states

can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \frac{G_F \alpha}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \left[\left(C_9^{eff} \langle \Sigma_b | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Xi_{bb} \rangle - \frac{2m_b}{q^2} C_7^{eff} \langle \Sigma_b | \bar{q} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) b | \Xi_{bb} \rangle \right) \bar{l} \gamma^\mu l \right. \\ & \left. + C_{10}^{eff} \langle \Sigma_b | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Xi_{bb} \rangle \bar{l} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 l \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The effective Wilson coefficient C_9^{eff} for the $b \rightarrow q \ell^+ \ell^-$ transition is,

$$\begin{aligned} C_9^{eff} = & \left\{ C_9 + h(m_c, q^2) C_0 + \lambda_u \left[h(m_c, q^2) - h(m_u, q^2) \right] (3C_1 + C_2) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} h(m_b, q^2) (4C_3 + 4C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6) - \frac{1}{2} h(0, q^2) (C_3 + 3C_4) \\ & \left. + \frac{2}{9} (3C_3 + C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where,

$$\lambda_u = \frac{V_{ud}^* V_{ub}}{V_{tb}^* V_{tq}}, \text{ and, } C_0 = 3C_1 + C_2 + 3C_3 + C_4 + 3C_5 + C_6, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(m_q, q^2) = & -\frac{8}{9} \ln \frac{m_q}{m_c} + \frac{8}{27} + \frac{4}{9} x - \frac{2}{9} (2+x) \sqrt{|1-x|} \\ & \times \left[\Theta(1-x_q) \left(\ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-x_q}}{1 - \sqrt{1-x_q}} - i\pi \right) + \Theta(x_q - 1) 2 \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_q - 1}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$h(0, q^2) = \frac{8}{27} - \frac{4}{9} \ln \frac{q^2}{m_c^2} + \frac{4}{9} i\pi. \quad (7)$$

In the last equation, $x_q = 4m_q^2/q^2$ and $\Theta(x)$ is the heaviside step function. Both $h(m_c, q^2)$, $h(m_b, q^2)$ can be obtained from $h(m_q, q^2)$ by making the replacements $m_q \rightarrow m_c$ and $m_q \rightarrow m_b$, respectively. The numerical values of the Wilson coefficients C_7^{eff} and C_{10}^{eff} as well as the other C_i for the $b \rightarrow d$ transition can be found in [19].

The matrix element for the $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c \ell^+ \ell^-$ can be obtained from Eq. (3) with the help of the following replacements:

$$V_{tb} V_{tq}^* \rightarrow V_{cd} V_{ud}^* + V_{cs} V_{bs}^* \quad m_b \rightarrow m_c \quad \Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}, \quad (8)$$

and replace C_i^{eff} for the b-quark case with the corresponding c-quark counterparts given as below.

The effective Wilson coefficient C_9^{eff} for $c \rightarrow u$ transition is given as [20],

$$\begin{aligned}
C_9^{eff} &= C_9 + h_c(m_c, q^2) \left(7C_3 + \frac{4}{3}C_4 + 76C_5 + \frac{64}{3}C_6 \right) \\
&\quad - h_c(m_c, q^2)(3C_3 + 30C_5) + \frac{4}{3}h(0, q^2) \left(3C_3 + C_4 + \frac{69}{2}C_5 + 16C_6 \right) + \frac{8}{3}(C_3 + 10C_5) \\
&\quad - \left[V_{cd}^* V_{ud} h(0, q^2) + V_{cs}^* V_{us} h(m_q, q^2) \right] \left(\frac{2}{3}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2 \right), \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

The values of the Wilson coefficients are presented in [21], and the effective Wilson coefficient C_7^{eff} is given in [20], which we shall use in further numerical analysis. Note also that, due to the GIM cancellation, C_{10}^{eff} is zero.

It should be noted here that, C_9^{eff} , which appears in the $c \rightarrow u$ and $b \rightarrow d$ transitions, receives contributions also from vector mesons (long-distance effects). However, we neglect these effects in our numerical analysis, and take only short-distance effects into account. After these preliminary remarks, we now proceed to calculate the form factors in the framework of the QCD sum rules.

The matrix elements entering into Eq.(3) are parametrized in terms of the form factors in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Sigma_Q(p) | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) Q | \Xi_{QQ}(p+q) \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Sigma_Q}(p) \left[\gamma_\mu f_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} f_2(q^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{q_\mu}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} f_3(q^2) - \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 g_1(q^2) - \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q^\nu}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} g_2(q^2) - \frac{\gamma_5 q_\mu}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} g_3(q^2) \right] u_{\Xi_{QQ}}(p+q), \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Sigma_Q(p) | \bar{q} i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) Q | \Xi_{QQ}(p+q) \rangle &= \bar{u}_{\Sigma_Q}(p) \left[(\gamma_\mu q^2 - q_\mu \not{q}) \frac{f_1^T(q^2)}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu f_2^T(q^2) + (\gamma_\mu q^2 - q_\mu \not{q}) \frac{g_1^T(q^2)}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} + i\sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q^\nu g_2^T(q^2) \right] u_{\Xi_{QQ}}(p+q). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

where u_{Σ_Q} and $u_{\Xi_{QQ}}$ are the spinors of the single and doubly heavy baryons. The form factors f_i and g_i are estimated in the framework of the light cone sum rules method in [7], and for this reason, we pay attention to the calculation of the form factors f_i^T and g_i^T using the LCSR method only.

In order to calculate the form factors f_i^T and g_i^T in the framework of the LCSR method, we start with the following form correlation function.

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \Sigma_Q(p) | \bar{q} i\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) Q | \bar{j}_{\Xi_{QQ}} | 0 \rangle, \tag{12}$$

where $Q = c$ or b . The interpolating current of the Ξ_{QQ} baryon is,

$$j_{\Xi_{QQ}}(0) = \varepsilon^{abc}(Q^{aT}C\gamma^\mu Q^b)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5q^c, \quad (13)$$

where a, b, c are the color indices. In the LCSR method, the expression of the correlation function is obtained in two different ways. One of the representations can be written in terms of the hadrons, and the other is from the QCD side, i.e. in terms of the quarks and gluons. On the hadronic side, the correlation function is obtained by inserting hadronic states with the quantum numbers of Ξ_{QQ} baryon. Then, isolating the ground state contributions of the Ξ_{QQ} baryon, we get,

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = \frac{\langle \Sigma_Q(p) | \bar{q} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (1 + \gamma_5) Q | \Xi_{QQ}(p+q) \rangle \langle \Xi_{QQ}(p+q) | \bar{j}_{\Xi_{QQ}}(0) \rangle}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}^2 - (p+q)^2}. \quad (14)$$

The second matrix element can be written as,

$$\langle \Xi_{QQ}(p+q) | \bar{j}_{\Xi_{QQ}}(0) \rangle = f_{\Xi_{QQ}} \bar{u}_{\Xi_{QQ}}(p+q),$$

and the first matrix element which is defined in terms of the form factors, is given in Eq.(11).

Using the completeness condition of the Dirac bispinors, we get the following result for the correlation function in terms of hadrons

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu(p, q) &= \frac{f_{\Xi_{QQ}}}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}^2 - (p+q)^2} \bar{u}(p) \left\{ \frac{f_1^T}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} (\gamma_\mu q^2 - q_\mu \not{q}) + i f_2^T \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{g_1^T}{m_{\Xi_{QQ}}} (\gamma_\mu q^2 - q_\mu \not{q}) \gamma_5 + i g_2^T \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu \gamma_5 \right\} (\not{p} + \not{q} + m_{\Xi_{QQ}}) \\ &= \frac{f}{m_1^2 - (p+q)^2} \bar{u}(v) \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} - 1 \right) f_1^T + f_2^T \right] \not{q} q_\mu + 2m_2 f_2^T \not{q} v_\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} + 1 \right) g_1^T + g_2^T \right] \not{q} \gamma_5 q_\mu - 2m_2 g_2^T \not{q} \gamma_5 v_\mu \right\} + \text{other structures.} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the last step of the derivation, we used the heavy quark limit, i.e., $p_\mu \rightarrow m_{\Sigma_Q} v_\mu$, and for brevity we replaced $m_{\Xi_{QQ}}$ by m_1 , m_{Σ_Q} by m_2 , and $f_{\Xi_{QQ}}$ by f . Having obtained the expression of the correlation function from the hadronic side, let us turn our attention to the calculation of the correlation function from the QCD side.

After using the Wick theorem for the correlation function from the QCD side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu(p, q) = & \int d^4x e^{i(q \cdot x)} \left\{ [i\sigma_{\mu\nu} \not{q}^\nu (1 + \gamma_5)]_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma_5 \gamma_\tau)_{\rho\gamma} (C\gamma_\tau)_{\sigma\phi} \epsilon^{abc} \right. \\ & \times \langle \Sigma_Q(v) | (-\bar{q}_\alpha^e(x) \bar{q}_\rho^c(0) \bar{Q}_\phi^a(0) S_{\beta\sigma}^{eb}(x) + \bar{q}_\alpha^e(x) \bar{q}_\rho^c(0) \bar{Q}_\phi^b(0) S_{\beta\phi}^{ea}(x)) | 0 \rangle \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The matrix element $\epsilon^{abc} \langle \Sigma_c(v) | \bar{q}_\alpha^a(t_1) q_\beta^b(t_2) \bar{Q}_\rho^c(0) | 0 \rangle$ appearing in Eq.(16) is determined in terms of the heavy baryon DAs. The light cone distribution amplitudes are studied in [22]. In determining the parameters appearing in DAs, the standard sum rules method in heavy quark mass limit is considered. The distribution amplitudes of the Σ_Q baryon in the sextet representation of SU(3) are determined in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{v}^\mu}{v_+} \epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | q_1^{aT} C \not{n} q_2^b(t_2) Q_\gamma^c(0) | \Sigma_Q(v) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_2(t_1, t_2) f^{(1)} \epsilon_{\parallel}^\mu u_\gamma, \\ i \frac{\bar{v}^\mu}{v_+} \epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | q_1^{aT} C \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_2^b(t_2) Q_\gamma^c(0) | \Sigma_Q(v) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_3^a(t_1, t_2) f^{(2)} \epsilon_{\parallel}^\mu u_\gamma, \\ \bar{v}^\mu \epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | q_1^{aT} C q_2^b(t_2) Q_\gamma^c(0) | \Sigma_Q(v) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_3^\sigma(t_1, t_2) f^{(2)} \epsilon_{\parallel}^\mu u_\gamma, \\ -v_+ \bar{v}^\mu \epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | q_1^{aT} C \not{\bar{n}} q_2^b(t_2) Q_\gamma^c(0) | \Sigma_Q(v) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_4(t_1, t_2) f^{(1)} \epsilon_{\parallel}^\mu u_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where ψ_i are the distribution amplitudes with definite twist, t_i are the distance between the i th light quark and the origin along the direction of n , n^μ and \bar{n}^μ are the two light vectors, $\bar{v}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n^\mu}{v_+} - v_+ \bar{n}^\mu \right)$, $v^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n^\mu}{v_+} + v_+ \bar{n}^\mu \right)$, and the space coordinates are taken as $t_i n^\mu$. In further discussion we will work in the rest frame of the Σ_Q heavy baryons, i.e., $v_+ = 1$.

As a result, the matrix element $\epsilon^{abc} \langle \Sigma_Q(v) | q_{1\alpha}^a(t_1) \bar{q}_{2\beta}^b(t_2) Q_\gamma^c(0) | 0 \rangle$ in terms of Σ_Q distribution amplitudes can be written as

$$\epsilon^{abc} \langle \Sigma_Q(v) | \bar{q}_{1\alpha}^a(t_1) \bar{q}_{2\beta}^b(t_2) \bar{Q}_\gamma^c(0) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i (\bar{u}_\Sigma \not{\bar{p}} \gamma_5)_\gamma (C^{-1} \Gamma_i)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{8} \psi_2(t_1, t_2), & A_3 &= \frac{1}{4} \psi_3^{(s)}(t_1, t_2), \\ A_2 &= -\frac{1}{8} \psi_3^{(\sigma)}(t_1, t_2), & A_4 &= \frac{1}{8} \psi_4(t_1, t_2), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and $\Gamma_1 = \bar{\not{q}}$, $\Gamma_2 = i\sigma_{\alpha\beta}n^\alpha\bar{n}^\beta$, $\Gamma_3 = I$, $\Gamma_4 = \not{q}$. The distribution amplitudes ψ are defined as,

$$\psi(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^\infty dw w \int_0^1 du e^{-iw(t_1u+t_2\bar{u})} \psi(t_1, t_2) ,$$

where $\bar{u} = 1 - u$, $t_i = vx_i$, $w_2 = \bar{u}w$ and w is the total light diquark momentum. Although the DAs are presented only for the bottomed baryons in [22], one can use these DAs for the baryons containing charm quarks as well in the heavy quark mass limit. In the present work, both Σ_c and Σ_b are described by the same DAs given in [22]. Their explicit forms are,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(u, w) &= w^2 \bar{u}u \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{3/2}(2u-1)}{\varepsilon_n^4 |C_n^{3/2}|^2} e^{-w/\varepsilon_n} , \\ \psi_4(u, w) &= w^2 \bar{u}u \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{1/2}(2u-1)}{\varepsilon_n^2 |C_n^{1/2}|^2} e^{-w/\varepsilon_n} , \\ \psi_3^{(\sigma,s)}(u, w) &= \frac{w}{2} \bar{u}u \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{1/2}(2u-1)}{\varepsilon_n^3 |C_n^{1/2}|^2} e^{-w/\varepsilon_n} . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The values of the parameters a_0 , a_1 , a_2 , and ε_0 , ε_1 , ε_2 are given in [22], and $C_n^\lambda(2u-1)$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial.

Substituting the light-cone distribution amplitudes Eq(17) into Eq(16) and using the heavy quark propagator in momentum representation and performing integration over x , the correlation function at the QCD level can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu^{QCD}[(p+q)^2, q^2] &= \int du \int dw \sum_{n=1}^3 \left\{ \frac{\rho_n^{(1)}(u, w)}{(\Delta - m_Q^2)^n} q_\mu \not{q} + \frac{\rho_n^{(2)}(u, w)}{(\Delta - m_Q^2)^n} \not{q} v_\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\rho_n^{(3)}(u, w)}{(\Delta - m_Q^2)^n} q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 + \frac{\rho_n^{(4)}(u, w)}{(\Delta - m_Q^2)^n} \not{q} v_\mu \gamma_5 + \text{other structures} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the invariant functions $\rho_n^{(i)}$, ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are presented in Appendix , and

$$\Delta = \frac{\bar{u}w}{m_{\Sigma_Q}}(p+q)^2 + q^2 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}w}{m_{\Sigma_Q}}\right) - \bar{u}w(m_\Sigma - \bar{u}w) . \quad (21)$$

Matching the coefficients of the structures $\not{q}q_\mu$, $\not{q}v_\mu$, $\not{q}\gamma_5q_\mu$, and $\not{q}\gamma_5v_\mu$ in both representations of the correlation function and applying the Borel transformation with respect to the variable $-(p+q)^2$ in order to enhance the contributions of the ground states, and suppress the higher states and continuum contributions, the desired sum rules for the f_i^T and g_i^T form factors are obtained from

the following equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
f \left\{ \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} - 1 \right) f_1^T + f_2^T \right\} e^{-m_1^2/M^2} &= \Pi_1^B, \\
2m_2 f e^{-m_1^2/M^2} f_2^T &= \Pi_2^B, \\
f \left\{ \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} + 1 \right) g_1^T + g_2^T \right\} e^{-m_1^2/M^2} &= \Pi_3^B, \\
-2m_2 f e^{-m_1^2/M^2} g_2^T &= \Pi_4^B,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where Π_i^B are the Borel transformed coefficients of the structures mentioned above, and M^2 is the Borel mass parameter.

The Borel transformation and continuum subtraction is performed with the help of the following master formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int dw \frac{\rho(u, w)}{(\Delta - m_Q^2)^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (-1)^n \int_0^{w_0} dw \frac{e^{-s/M^2}}{(n-1)!(M^2)^{n-1}} I_n \right. \\
&\left. - \left[\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-s/M^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(M^2)^{n-j-1}} \frac{1}{s'} \left(\frac{d}{dw} \frac{1}{s'} \right)^{j-1} I_n \right]_{w=w_0} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= \frac{m_Q^2 - \bar{u}w(\bar{u}w - m_2) - \left(1 - \frac{\bar{u}w}{m_2}\right) q^2}{\frac{\bar{u}w}{m_2}}, \\
I_n &= \frac{\rho(u, w)}{\left(\frac{\bar{u}w}{m_2}\right)^n}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Note that $w = w_0$ is the solutions of the equations $s = s_{th}$ (and also $s = 4m_Q^2$), $s' = \frac{ds}{dw}$, and the differential operator is defined as,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dw} \frac{1}{s'} \right)^{j-1} I_n \rightarrow \frac{d}{dw} \left[\frac{d}{dw} \frac{1}{s'} \cdots I_n \right], \tag{24}$$

where \cdots means the operation should be repeated $j - 1$ times.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

The primary aim of this section is to determine the q^2 dependence of the form factors f_1^T , f_2^T , g_1^T , and g_2^T , whose LCSR are derived in the previous section. Then we estimate the branching ratios of the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays.

The LCSR of the form factors contain numerous input parameters. In further numerical analysis, we choose the masses of the heavy quarks in the \overline{MS} scheme, i.e., $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = (1.28 \pm 0.03)$ GeV, and $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = (4.18 \pm 0.03)$ GeV [23]. The masses, lifetime and decay constants f of the doubly heavy baryons are given in Table I (see also [24–28]).

Baryons	Mass (GeV)	Life time (f_s)	f (GeV) ³ [29]
Ξ_{cc}^{++}	3.621 [1]	256 [3]	0.109 ± 0.021
Ξ_{cc}^+	3.621 [1]	45 [30]	0.109 ± 0.021
Ξ_{bb}^0	10.143 [31]	370 [32]	0.281 ± 0.071
Ξ_{bb}^-	10.143 [31]	370 [32]	0.281 ± 0.071

TABLE I. The mass, decay constants and lifetimes of the doubly heavy Ξ_{QQ} baryons.

The mass and decay constants of the Σ_Q baryon are chosen as $m_{\Sigma_c} = 2.454$ GeV, $m_{\Sigma_b} = 5.814$ GeV, and $f^{(1)} = f^{(2)} = 0.38$ [33]

In addition to these input values, two extra auxiliary parameters, continuum threshold s_{th} , and the Borel mass parameter M^2 , appear in the LCSR method. These parameters are determined with the following criteria. The working region of M^2 is determined by requiring that the power corrections and continuum contributions both should be suppressed compared to the leading twist-2 contribution. The continuum threshold s_{th} is determined so that the mass sum rule reproduces the experimentally measured value of mass to within $\pm 5\%$ accuracy.

Based on these conditions imposed by the LCSR method, we obtain the following working regions of the parameters s_{th} and M^2 for the transitions under consideration, i.e., $s_{th} = (16 \pm 1)$ GeV², $M^2 = (10 \pm 2)$ GeV² for the $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c$ transition, and $s_{th} = (112 \pm 2)$ GeV², $M^2 = (20 \pm 2)$ GeV² for the $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b$ transition, respectively. It should be emphasized here that these working regions are more or less in the same range as those determined for the transitions induced by the charged current [7].

It should be reminded that LCSR predictions are reliable in the low-energy region. Our calculations show that the sum rule for the form factors is meaningful in the domains $q^2 \leq 0.5$ GeV² for the $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c$ transition and $q^2 \leq 10$ GeV² for the $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b$ transition, respectively.

Having determined the working regions for the QCD results of the form factors, we can extend

the LCSR predictions to the entire physical region. For this goal, we extrapolate these form factors to the physical region in such a way that in the region where LCSR is reliable, the result of the fit formula and the result LCSR method coincide with each other. Our analysis shows that the best-fit formula which satisfies the required restrictions is given as,

$$F_i^T(q^2) = \frac{f_i^T(0)}{1 - \frac{q^2}{m_{fit}^2} + \delta \left(\frac{q^2}{m_{fit}^2} \right)^2}, \quad (25)$$

where the values of the fit parameters $f_i^T(0)$, m_{fit} , and δ are presented in Table II.

Form factors (c-sector)	$f(0)$	m_{fit}	δ
$f_1^T(q^2)$	3.05 ± 0.7	1.69	0.43
$f_2^T(q^2)$	0.49 ± 0.1	1.15	4.21
$g_1^T(q^2)$	1.44 ± 0.26	1.43	0.42
$g_2^T(q^2)$	0.53 ± 0.13	—	—
Form factors (b-sector)			
$f_1^T(q^2)$	-1.72 ± 0.35	3.60	0.31
$f_2^T(q^2)$	0.32 ± 0.06	3.49	0.75
$g_1^T(q^2)$	0.21 ± 0.04	—	—
$g_2^T(q^2)$	0.32 ± 0.06	2.93	0.42

TABLE II. The values of the fit parameters for the form factors of the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q$ transition.

Branching Ratios	Ours	[10]	[12]
$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{++} \ell^+ \ell^-$	$1.62(1 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-13}$	—	—
$\Xi_{bb}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_b^0 e^+ e^-$	$2.51(1 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-8}$	9.00×10^{-9}	5.91×10^{-9}
$\Xi_{bb}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_b^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.73(1 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-8}$	7.94×10^{-9}	4.89×10^{-9}
$\Xi_{bb}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_b^0 \tau^+ \tau^-$	$1.50(1 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-9}$	1.18×10^{-9}	1.91×10^{-10}

TABLE III. The branching ratios of the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays

The errors presented in the values of $f_i^T(q^2 = 0)$ point are due to the uncertainties in the mass of the heavy quark, Borel mass parameter, continuum threshold s_{th} , as well as from the input parameters appearing in the DAs of the Σ_b baryon.

Having the results for the form factors, we now proceed to calculate the corresponding branching ratios of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{++} \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $\Xi_{bb}^0 \rightarrow \Sigma_b^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays. Using the definition of the matrix element

of the $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ the decay width is obtained as

$$\frac{d\Gamma(s)}{ds} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 m_1}{4096 \pi^5} |V_{tb} V_{td}^*|^2 v \sqrt{\lambda(1, r, s)} \left[T_1(s) + \frac{1}{3} T_2(s) \right]$$

where $v = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\ell^2}{q^2}}$ is the lepton velocity, $\lambda(1, r, s) = 1 + r^2 + s^2 - 2r - 2s - 2rs$, $s = \frac{q^2}{m_1^2}$, and $r = \frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2}$. The lengthy expressions $T_1(s)$ and $T_2(s)$ can be found in [13]

Performing integration over the parameter s in the domain $\frac{q^2}{m_1^2} \leq s \leq (1 - \sqrt{r})$, and using the lifetimes of Ξ_{cc}^{++} , Ξ_c^+ , and Ξ_{bb}^0 , we calculate the branching ratios of the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q$ decays, whose numerical results are all presented in Table III. For comparison, we also present the corresponding branching ratios predicted by the Light Front approach [10, 11]. We observe from this comparison that our prediction for the $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) transition is larger than the predictions of the Light Front approach. Considering the results summarized in Table III, one can conclude that the branching ratios of the $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays could be measured in future experiments at LHCb, while the measurement of the branching ratios of the $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays presents quite a complex problem.

IV. CONCLUSION

The decays induced by the flavor-changing neutral currents $b \rightarrow d$ and $c \rightarrow u$ of the doubly heavy baryons are studied in the framework of the Light Cone Sum Rules method. We derive the LCSR of the form factors induced by the tensor current. Using the results of the form factors obtained, we estimated the corresponding branching ratios. We found out that the branching ratios for $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) is at the order of $\sim 10^{-8}$. Moreover, for $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Sigma_c \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay, the branching ratios are much smaller and at the order of $\sim 10^{-13}$. The relatively large value of the branching ratio $\Xi_{bb} \rightarrow \Sigma_b \ell^+ \ell^-$ indicates the possibility of being observed in future experiments at LHCb.

Future improvements for the DA's of the Σ_Q baryon and the inclusion of the gluon radiative corrections to the correlation function could pave the way to more accurate sum rules and numerical predictions.

Appendix: The expression of the invariant functions $\rho_n^{(i)}(u, w)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1^{(1)} &= f^{(2)} w \psi_3^{(s)}(u, w) , \\
\rho_2^{(1)} &= \bar{u} \left\{ \left[f^{(1)} m_Q \widehat{\psi}_4(u, w) \right] + 2f^{(2)} \left[\bar{u}w - (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - f^{(1)} \left[m_Q - 4(q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_2(u, w) - 4f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w \widehat{\psi}_3^{(s)}(u, w) + 2\widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right] \right\} , \\
\rho_3^{(1)} &= 8f^{(2)} \bar{u}^2 \left[q^2 - \bar{u}^2 w^2 - 2m_Q (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) , \\
\rho_1^{(2)} &= 2f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w^2 \psi_3^{(s)}(u, w) + \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right] , \\
\rho_2^{(2)} &= -4\bar{u} \left\{ f^{(1)} q^2 \widehat{\psi}_2(u, w) + f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w(q \cdot v) \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2m_Q \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) + \bar{u}w \left(w \widehat{\psi}_3^{(s)}(u, w) + 4\widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right) \right] \right\} , \\
\rho_3^{(2)} &= 16f^{(2)} \bar{u}^2 \left[q^2 (m_Q + \bar{u}w) + \bar{u}^2 w^2 (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) , \\
\rho_1^{(3)} &= -f^{(2)} w \psi_3^{(s)}(u, w) , \\
\rho_2^{(3)} &= \bar{u} \left\{ f^{(1)} m_Q \widehat{\psi}_4(u, w) - 2f^{(2)} \left[\bar{u}w - (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - f^{(1)} \left[m_Q - 4(q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_2(u, w) + 4f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w \widehat{\psi}_3^{(s)}(u, w) + 2\widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right] \right\} , \\
\rho_3^{(3)} &= -8f^{(2)} \bar{u}^2 \left[q^2 - \bar{u}^2 w^2 - 2m_Q (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) , \\
\rho_1^{(4)} &= -2f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w^2 \psi_3^{(s)}(u, w) + \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right] , \\
\rho_2^{(4)} &= -4\bar{u} \left\{ \left[f^{(1)} q^2 \widehat{\psi}_2(u, w) \right] - f^{(2)} \bar{u} \left[w(q \cdot v) \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2m_Q \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) + \bar{u}w \left(w \widehat{\psi}_3^{(s)}(u, w) + 4\widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) \right) \right] \right\} , \\
\rho_3^{(4)} &= -16f^{(2)} \bar{u}^2 \left[q^2 (m_Q + \bar{u}w) + \bar{u}^2 w^2 (q \cdot v) \right] \widehat{\psi}_3^{(\sigma)}(u, w) . \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$

The functions $\widehat{\psi}(u, w)$ and $\widehat{\widehat{\psi}}(u, w)$ are defined as,

$$\widehat{\psi}(u, w) = \int_0^w d\tau' \psi(u, \tau') \tau' ,$$

$$\widehat{\widehat{\psi}}(u, w) = \int_0^w d\tau' \widehat{\psi}(u, \tau') .$$

-
- [1] **LHCb** Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, “*Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++}* ,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** no. 11, (2017) 112001, [1707.01621].
- [2] **LHCb** Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, “*First Observation of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Decay $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$* ,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** no. 16, (2018) 162002, [1807.01919].
- [3] **LHCb** Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, “*Measurement of the Lifetime of the Doubly Charmed Baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++}* ,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** no. 5, (2018) 052002, [1806.02744].
- [4] T. Aliev and S. Bilmis, “*Properties of doubly heavy baryons in QCD*,” Turk. J. Phys. **46** no. 1, (2022) 1–26, [2203.02965].
- [5] Y.-J. Shi, W. Wang, and Z.-X. Zhao, “*QCD Sum Rules Analysis of Weak Decays of Doubly-Heavy Baryons*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **80** no. 6, (2020) 568, [1902.01092].
- [6] Y.-J. Shi, Y. Xing, and Z.-X. Zhao, “*Light-cone sum rules analysis of $\Xi_{QQ'q} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q'}$ weak decays*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **79** no. 6, (2019) 501, [1903.03921].
- [7] X.-H. Hu and Y.-J. Shi, “*Light-cone sum rules analysis of $\Xi_{QQ'} \rightarrow \Sigma_{Q'}$ weak decays*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **80** no. 1, (2020) 56, [1910.07909].
- [8] W. Wang, F.-S. Yu, and Z.-X. Zhao, “*Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the $1/2 \rightarrow 1/2$ case*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **77** no. 11, (2017) 781, [1707.02834].
- [9] Z.-X. Zhao, “*Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the $1/2 \rightarrow 3/2$ case*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **78** no. 9, (2018) 756, [1805.10878].
- [10] Z.-P. Xing and Z.-X. Zhao, “*Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the FCNC processes*,” Phys. Rev. D **98** no. 5, (2018) 056002, [1807.03101].
- [11] H.-W. Ke, F. Lu, X.-H. Liu, and X.-Q. Li, “*Study on $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi_c$ and $\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi'_c$ weak decays in the light-front quark model*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **80** no. 2, (2020) 140, [1912.01435].
- [12] X.-H. Hu, R.-H. Li, and Z.-P. Xing, “*A comprehensive analysis of weak transition form factors for doubly heavy baryons in the light front approach*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **80** no. 4, (2020) 320, [2001.06375].
- [13] T. M. Aliev, S. Bilmis, and M. Savci, “*Analysis of FCNC $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Lambda_Q l^+ l^-$ decay in light-cone sum*

- rules,” [2205.14012].
- [14] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, “*QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations*,” Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 385–447.
- [15] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky, “*Asymptotic Behavior of Exclusive Processes in QCD*,” Phys. Rept. **112** (1984) 173.
- [16] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun, and A. V. Kolesnichenko, “*Radiative Decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \gamma$ in Quantum Chromodynamics*,” Nucl. Phys. B **312** (1989) 509–550.
- [17] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, “*QCD sum rules, a modern perspective*,” [hep-ph/0010175].
- [18] A. J. Buras and M. Munz, “*Effective Hamiltonian for $B \rightarrow X(s) e^+ e^-$ beyond leading logarithms in the NDR and HV schemes*,” Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 186–195, [hep-ph/9501281].
- [19] W. Altmannshofer, P. Ball, A. Bharucha, A. J. Buras, D. M. Straub, and M. Wick, “*Symmetries and Asymmetries of $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ Decays in the Standard Model and Beyond*,” JHEP **01** (2009) 019, [0811.1214].
- [20] S. de Boer and G. Hiller, “*Flavor and new physics opportunities with rare charm decays into leptons*,” Phys. Rev. D **93** no. 7, (2016) 074001, [1510.00311].
- [21] S. de Boer, B. Müller, and D. Seidel, “*Higher-order Wilson coefficients for $c \rightarrow u$ transitions in the standard model*,” JHEP **08** (2016) 091, [1606.05521].
- [22] A. Ali, C. Hambrock, A. Y. Parkhomenko, and W. Wang, “*Light-Cone Distribution Amplitudes of the Ground State Bottom Baryons in HQET*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **73** no. 2, (2013) 2302, [1212.3280].
- [23] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, M. Tanabashi *et al.*, “*Review of Particle Physics*,” Phys. Rev. D **98** (Aug, 2018) 030001. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001>.
- [24] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, “*Baryons with two heavy quarks: Masses, production, decays, and detection*,” Phys. Rev. D **90** no. 9, (Nov, 2014) 094007, [1408.5877].
- [25] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and M. Savci, “*Doubly Heavy Spin-1/2 Baryon Spectrum in QCD*,” Nucl. Phys. A **895** (2012) 59–70, [1205.2873].
- [26] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, and A. K. Rai, “*Excited State Mass spectra of doubly heavy baryons Ω_{cc} , Ω_{bb} and Ω_{bc}* ,” Eur. Phys. J. C **76** no. 10, (2016) 530, [1609.03030].
- [27] Z. Shah and A. K. Rai, “*Excited state mass spectra of doubly heavy Ξ baryons*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **77** no. 2, (2017) 129, [1702.02726].
- [28] V. V. Kiselev and A. K. Likhoded, “*Baryons with two heavy quarks*,” Phys. Usp. **45** (2002) 455–506, [hep-ph/0103169].
- [29] X.-H. Hu, Y.-L. Shen, W. Wang, and Z.-X. Zhao, “*Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: “decay constants”*,” Chin. Phys. C **42** no. 12, (2018) 123102, [1711.10289].

- [30] H.-Y. Cheng and Y.-L. Shi, “*Lifetimes of Doubly Charmed Baryons,*” Phys. Rev. D **98** no. 11, (2018) 113005, [1809.08102].
- [31] Z. S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel, and K. Orginos, “*Charmed bottom baryon spectroscopy from lattice QCD,*” Phys. Rev. D **90** no. 9, (2014) 094507, [1409.0497].
- [32] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, “*Discovery of doubly-charmed Ξ_{cc} baryon implies a stable $(bb\bar{u}\bar{d})$ tetraquark,*” Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** no. 20, (2017) 202001, [1707.07666].
- [33] S. Groote, J. G. Korner, and O. I. Yakovlev, “*QCD sum rules for heavy baryons at next-to-leading order in α_s ,*” Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 3016–3026, [hep-ph/9609469].