arXiv:2206.08323v2 [math.RA] 4 Feb 2023

Signatures of graphs for bicommutative Hopf algebras

Diego Caudillo[1], Joscha Diehl[2], Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard[1], Emanuele Verri[2]

[1] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology*

[2] *Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Greifswald*

Abstract

This article approaches the counting of subgraphs, in terms of signature-type functionals defined over combinatorial Hopf algebras of graphs. Well-known algebraic identities that arise in the context of counting subgraphs are then captured by their character property and a type of "Chen's identity". While different notions of subgraphs (and homomorphisms) correspond to different combinatorial Hopf algebras on graphs, we will show that they are all isomorphic to a polynomial Hopf algebra. In addition, the isomorphy between the Hopf algebras can be realized by maps that respect the counting operations.

Keywords: *Combinatorial Hopf algebras, graph theory, graph counting, graph products, signature, Chen's identity*

Contents

1 Hopf algebras of graphs **4**

1.1 Simple graphs . . . 4

1.2 Graph homomorphisms . . . 5

1.3 Free vector space on graphs . . . 6

1.4 Algebras and coalgebras on graphs . . . 6

1.4.1 Coproducts related to the graph's connected components and polynomial algebras of graphs . . . 6

1.4.2 Coproducts related to subgraphs . . . 8

1.4.3 Coproducts related to induced subgraphs . . . 9

1.5 Bialgebras and Hopf algebras . . . 11

2 Isomorphisms to the polynomial Hopf algebra on connected graphs **13**

2.1 Bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebras . . . 13

2.2 The polynomial Hopf algebra of graphs . . . 15

3 Signatures and translation between counting **16**

3.1 Counting functions . . . 16

3.2 Graph counting signatures . . . 18

3.3 Multiplicative and comultiplicative properties . . . 19

3.4 Translating between the counting characters . . . 22

4 Conclusions and outlook **26**

A Appendix **28**
A.1 Background on Hopf algebras . . . 28
A.1.1 Hopf algebras . . . 28
A.1.2 Algebras and coalgebras . . . 28
A.1.3 Bialgebras . . . 30
A.1.4 Hopf algebra . . . 30
A.1.5 Grading, filtration, and connectedness . . . 32
A.1.6 Connected filtered Hopf algebra . . . 32
A.1.7 Dual vector spaces, adjoint maps . . . 34
A.1.8 Characters . . . 35
A.2 Coassociativity, cocommutativity, associativity and commutativity . . . 36
A.3 Categorical background . . . 37
A.3.1 Factorization of morphisms . . . 37
A.3.2 Category of finite simple graph . . . 38

Introduction

We propose to consider the number of times $c_\sigma(\Lambda)$ a graph σ appears as a substructure of a graph Λ as a *feature* of the latter. We store these features, i.e., the numbers $c_\sigma(\Lambda)$, in the so-called *graph signature* $\mathtt{GC}$ of the graph Λ

$$\mathtt{GC}(\Lambda) := \sum_\gamma c_\gamma(\Lambda)\gamma^*.$$

It is a linear functional defined on a certain (Hopf) algebra of graphs. A basis of this Hopf algebra is given by graphs γ, and γ^* denotes elements of the dual basis.

Here, we were purposefully vague when speaking of "substructures". In fact there are several relevant ways of considering σ a substructure of Λ.

- There exists a morphism $\sigma \to \Lambda$.
- There exists an injective morphism $\sigma \to \Lambda$.
- Restricted to a subset A of the edges, $\Lambda_{|A}$ is isomorphic to σ.
- The graph induced by a subset B of the vertices, Λ_B is isomorphic to σ.

Moreover one has to decide whether one wants to count multiplicities of the automorphism group of σ (as is done, when considering injective morphisms) or not (as is done, when counting isomorphic copies obtained by edge-restriction).

Several of these incarnations of counting operations have appeared in the literature, as is summarized below. We bring additional structure to this assortment of feature maps by establishing them as characters on certain Hopf algebras.

In particular,

- We realize several counting operations (some of the well-known, some of them less-known) as characters on bicommutative Hopf algebras.
- We establish that all these Hopf algebras are isomorphic (to a polynomial Hopf algebra).
- The translation between the different counting characters are realized as Hopf isomorphisms.

Related work The procedure of storing features of some data structure as a character on some Hopf algebra originates in combinatorics [ABS06] and rough path theory [Lyo98; BF20; CO18; DET20b; DET20a; KO19].

The idea of associating a graph Λ to its sequence of numbers of homomorphisms is well-established in the literature, see for instance [Lov67, Thm. 3.6], [Lov12, Thm. 5.29] and the introduction of [Bor+06], where it is called the *profile* of a graph (see also [Pen22]).

Outline

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 1, we recall basic notions about graphs, in particular the types of subgraphs and graph homomorphisms which will be used throughout the text. We then endow graphs with graded linear structures and introduce a variety of products: m_{hom}, $m_{\mathsf{hom}'}$, m_{mono}, $m_{\mathsf{mono}'}$, m_{regmono}, $m_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ and their respective dual coproducts.

Some of the products and coproducts form filtered or (graded) Hopf algebras, as we show in Section 1.5.

In Section 2, we show that these Hopf algebras are isomorphic to the polynomial Hopf algebra on connected graphs. This is an application of Samuelson–Leray's theorem. As a consequence, we also obtain that the underlying algebras are free commutative over connected graphs.

In Section 3, we introduce counting-signatures defined over these Hopf algebras. First, in Section 3.1, we introduce three different homomorpism numbers, which are respectively based on: morphism, monomorphisms and regular monomorphism. The terminology stems from category theory, as explained in Appendix A.3.2. We denote them $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)$, $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)$, and $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda)$. Three other counting operations are defined analogously except that we divide them by the cardinality of $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)$. We denote them as $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda)$, $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)$, and $c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda)$. They allow us to count the occurrences of edge-restricted subgraphs and vertex-induced subgraphs as well. In Section 3.2 we introduce three signature-type objects denoted $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)$, $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)$, $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda)$, and also $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda)$, $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)$, and $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda)$. They encode the counting operations as linear functionals over graphs and satisfy both a character property as well as a version of Chen's identity.

Finally, in Section 3.4, translations between counting characters are formulated in terms of Hopf algebra isomorphisms, including those already known in the computer science literature. This also gives an alternative proof of the isomorphy between these Hopf algebras.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Harald Oberhauser for pointing out the paper [Bor15] and for many fruitful discussions on signature-type objects.

All authors benefited from the mobility fund of the project Pure Mathematics in Norway, funded by the Trond Mohn Foundation and Tromsø Research Foundation. The third author is supported by the Research Council of Norway through project 302831 Computational Dynamics and Stochastics on Manifolds (CODYSMA). Many discussions took place in the installations of the Alfried Krupp Wissenschaftskolleg in Greifswald, Germany, and The Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematics and Physics in Vienna, Austria.

1 Hopf algebras of graphs

In this section, we introduce several algebras and coalgebras on graphs and determine which combination of them form Hopf algebras. We start by introducing graphs, subgraphs and the free vector space on graphs. For a brief introduction on Hopf algebras and related concepts used throughout the text, we refer to Appendix A.1 and the references cited there.

1.1 Simple graphs

The combinatorial objects under consideration in this work are **simple graphs**. Recall that a graph τ consists of a pair (V, E) where $V = V(\tau)$ is the set of vertices and $E = E(\tau) \subseteq [V]^2$ is the set of edges; $[V]^2$ denotes the set of all 2-element subsets of V[1]. We denote the **empty graph** $\mathsf{e} := (\emptyset, \emptyset)$. The graphs considered in this paper are finite, i.e., $|V| < \infty$. We now define subgraphs.

Definition 1.1. Given a subset of vertices $U \subseteq V(\tau)$ and a subset of edges $A \subseteq E(\tau)$, such that $\cup A \subseteq U$, we define the **subgraph**

$$\tau\,|_{U,A} := (U, A).$$

Two important special cases are as follows.

First, given a collection of vertices $U \subseteq V(\tau)$, we denote the **vertex-induced subgraph** by τ_U, where $V(\tau_U) = U$ and

$$E(\tau_U) := \big\{ e \in E(\tau) \,|\, e \subset U \big\}.$$

Second, given $A \subset E(\tau)$, we denote the **edge-restricted** subgraph with $\tau\,|_A$, where

$$E\left(\tau\,|_A\right) = A \quad \text{and} \qquad V\left(\tau\,|_A\right) := \bigcup A.$$

[1] Note that this definition allows for isolated vertices, but no parallel edges and no self-loops.

Given two sets S_1 and S_2, we write $S_1 \dot\cup S_2$ for their (external) disjoint union. We define the **disjoint union of graphs**, σ and τ, as follows:

$$\sigma \sqcup \tau := \big(V(\sigma)\dot\cup V(\tau)\,,\; E(\sigma)\dot\cup E(\tau)\big)\,. \tag{1}$$

1.2 Graph homomorphisms

Definition 1.2. Let σ, τ be graphs, a map $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ is a **graph homomorphism** if for all $i, j \in V(\sigma)$

$$\{i, j\} \in E(\sigma) \Rightarrow \{f(i), f(j)\} \in E(\tau).$$

For a graph homomorphism f we also write $f : \sigma \to \tau$ instead of $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$. Accordingly $\mathrm{im}_V f := f(V(\sigma))$ is the image of the vertex set. By slight abuse of notation, we also denote by f the induced map on edges, in particular,

$$f(E(\sigma)) = \{\{f(i), f(j)\} \mid \{i, j\} \in E(\sigma)\} \subset E(\tau).$$

We call f a **graph isomorphism** if it is a bijection and for all $i, j \in V(\sigma)$

$$\{i, j\} \in E(\sigma) \Leftrightarrow \{f(i), f(j)\} \in E(\tau).$$

If there exists a graph isomorphism $f : \sigma \to \tau$, then we write $\sigma \cong \tau$.

The notion of isomorphism allows us to consider the set of equivalence classes of graphs, denoted with $\mathcal{G}$. We will refer to graphs when considering both graphs or equivalence classes of graphs. The meaning will hopefully be clear from the context. The following families of graph homomorphisms will be important for the rest of the text.

- $\mathrm{Hom}(\sigma, \tau)$ is the set of all graph homomorphisms.
- $\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \tau)$ is the set of all injective (on vertices) graph homomorphisms.
- $\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \tau)$ is the set of all graph embeddings, i.e. $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma, \tau)$ and $f : \sigma \to \tau_{f(V(\sigma))}$ is an isomorphism.
- $\mathrm{Epi}(\sigma, \tau)$ the set of all surjective (on vertices) graph homomorphisms.
- $\mathrm{RegEpi}(\sigma, \tau)$ the set of all surjective (on vertices) graph homomorphisms such that the induced function on edges is also surjective.
- $\mathrm{Iso}(\sigma, \tau)$ the set of all graph isomorphisms between σ and τ. We also denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Iso}(\sigma, \sigma)$ the group of automorphisms of σ.

The given nomenclature originates in category theory, see Appendix A.3.




Figure 1: Examples of the classes of graph homomorphisms.

1.3 Free vector space on graphs

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of equivalence classes of isomorphic graphs. We denote by $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the **free vector space** spanned by the elements in $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., formal *finite* linear combinations of graphs with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, the rational numbers. Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ be the set of equivalence classes of graphs with n vertices. We can define a grading on $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \langle \mathcal{G}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{2}$$

This vector space is connected since the zero level is spanned by the singleton $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\mathsf{e}\}$. Moreover, it is *locally finite*, i.e., each vector space appearing in the direct sum is finite-dimensional.

In the following we will also consider the dual space $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^* = (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \langle \mathcal{G}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}})^*$. Note that $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^*$ can be identified with the space of formal, *infinite* linear combinations $\sum_\tau c_\tau \tau^* \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^*$, whose pairing with an element $\sum_\sigma d_\sigma \sigma \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined as

$$\left\langle \sum_\tau c_\tau \tau^*, \sum_\sigma d_\sigma \sigma \right\rangle := \sum_\gamma c_\gamma d_\gamma. \tag{3}$$

The graded dual $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^\circ := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\langle \mathcal{G}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}})^* \subset \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^*$ will be used too.

While we sometimes denote elements in $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^*$ with τ^* or δ_τ, when it is clear from the context, we will use τ.

1.4 Algebras and coalgebras on graphs

We introduce several coproducts (or products), that can be broadly classified into three groups: coproducts that only consider the connected components of a graph, coproducts that relate to edge-restricted subgraphs and coproducts that relate to vertex-induced subgraphs.


1.4.1 Coproducts related to the graph's connected components and polynomial algebras of graphs

Consider the operation in (1), and extend it linearly to a product

$$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

The notation $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$ reflects the compatibility with homomorphism counting (see Theorem 3.15 below).

Example 1.3.




Since $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$ is a graded map, we can use (25) in Appendix A.1.7 to define a corresponding coproduct:

Definition 1.4 (**co-disjoint union**).

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} &\to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) &:= \sum_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)\in\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau, \tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau_2 \rangle \; \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2.\end{aligned}$$

Example 1.5.



Remark 1.6. *Note that Δ_{hom} only "sees" connected components. By a slight abuse of notation we can write a graph $\tau = \tau_1^{q_1} \cdots \tau_m^{q_m} \in \mathcal{G}$, where the q_i's tell us the multiplicity of the respective connected graph. Then, we can write*

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{0\le p_1\le q_1 \\ \vdots \\ 0\le p_m\le q_m}} \tau_1^{p_1} \cdots \tau_m^{p_m} \otimes \tau_1^{(q_1-p_1)} \cdots \tau_m^{(q_m-p_m)}. \tag{4}$$

where $\tau^0 := \mathsf{e}$.


We now introduce a coproduct that turns the polynomial algebra into a bialgebra.

Definition 1.7. On $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, define for connected graphs τ

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau) := \tau \otimes \mathsf{e} + \mathsf{e} \otimes \tau,$$

and extend it as an algebra morphism for the $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$ product.

Example 1.8.



Remark 1.9. *Like Δ_{hom}, the coproduct $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ only "sees" connected components. Again we write $\tau = \tau_1^{q_1} \cdots \tau_m^{q_m} \in \mathcal{G}$, where the q_i's tell us the multiplicity of the respective connected graph. It follows then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau_1^{q_1} \cdots \tau_m^{q_m}) &= \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau_1^{\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} q_1} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \cdots \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau_m^{\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} q_m}) \\ &= (\mathsf{e} \otimes \tau_1 + \tau_1 \otimes \mathsf{e})^{\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} q_1} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \cdots \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} (\mathsf{e} \otimes \tau_m + \tau_m \otimes \mathsf{e})^{\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} q_m}.\end{aligned}$$

which yields:

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{0\le p_1\le q_1 \\ \vdots \\ 0\le p_m\le q_m}} \binom{q_1}{p_1} \cdots \binom{q_m}{p_m} \tau_1^{p_1} \cdots \tau_m^{p_m} \otimes \tau_1^{(q_1-p_1)} \cdots \tau_m^{(q_m-p_m)}.$$

Notice that

$$\frac{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau_1^{q_1} \cdots \tau_m^{q_m})|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau_1^{p_1} \cdots \tau_m^{p_m})||\mathrm{Aut}(\tau_1^{(q_1-p_1)} \cdots \tau_m^{(q_m-p_m)})|} = \binom{q_1}{p_1} \cdots \binom{q_m}{p_m}.$$

We can define a corresponding product $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'} : \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'} \tau_2 := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\gamma)\rangle\, \gamma.$$

The following remark shows the explicit relationship between hom and hom'.


Remark 1.10. *With the linear map $\phi : \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying $\phi(\tau) = |\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|\tau$, it is immediate to see that*

$$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'} = \phi \circ \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \circ (\phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1}),$$

which can be seen to be equivalent to

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'} = (\phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1}) \circ \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}} \circ \phi.$$

Example 1.11.




1.4.2 Coproducts related to subgraphs

Definition 1.12.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) := \sum_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}} \frac{|\{f \in \mathrm{RegEpi}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2, \tau) \mid f\,|_{\sigma_1}, f\,|_{\sigma_2} \text{ are monomorphisms}\}|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}\, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

where $f\,|_{\sigma_1}, f\,|_{\sigma_2}$ are restrictions of the map f to the vertices of σ_1 and σ_2 respectively.


Example 1.13.



We can use Lemma A.25 to define a product $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} : \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, given by

$$\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \tau_2 := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}}(\gamma)\rangle\, \gamma.$$

Example 1.14.



We now define a coproduct that is obtained from Δ_{mono}, in the same way that $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ was obtained from Δ_{hom}, see Remark 1.10.

Definition 1.15.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau) := \sum_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}} \frac{|\{f \in \mathrm{RegEpi}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2, \tau) | f\,|_{\sigma_1}, f\,|_{\sigma_2} \text{ are monomorphisms}\}|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_1)||\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_2)|}\, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2.$$


Alternatively, it can be defined directly on the vertex set and the edge set as follows

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{A\cup B=V(\tau)\\ C\cup D=E(\tau)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\, \cup D\subseteq B}} \tau\,|_{A,C} \otimes \tau\,|_{B,D}.$$

Again, from Lemma A.25, we can define a product $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} : \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, given by

$$\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \tau_2 := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\gamma)\rangle\, \gamma.$$

Example 1.16.



Remark 1.17.

i. This product also appears in [Mau+20, Def. 5].


ii. In [Bor15], the author introduces the restriction of $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, the set of graphs without isolated vertices. This restriction corresponds to a quasi-shuffle product, $\overset{\mathsf{qs}}{\sqcup\!\sqcup}\colon \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We name it quasi-shuffle since we can write

$$\tau_1 \overset{\mathsf{qs}}{\sqcup\!\sqcup} \tau_2 = \sum_{\gamma\in\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} |\{A, B \subset E(\gamma) | A \cup B = E(\gamma), \gamma\,|_A \cong \tau_1, \gamma\,|_B \cong \tau_2\}|\gamma.$$

Here, one can define a dual coproduct, as a map $\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\Delta_{\overset{\mathsf{qs}}{\sqcup\!\sqcup}}(\tau) := \sum_{A\cup B=E(\tau)} \tau\,|_A \otimes \tau\,|_B.$$

For example,



1.4.3 Coproducts related to induced subgraphs

Definition 1.18.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}(\tau) := \sum_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}} \frac{|\{f \in \mathrm{Epi}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2, \tau) \mid f\,|_{\sigma_1}, f\,|_{\sigma_2} \text{ are regular monomorphisms}\}|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}\, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

The corresponding product is given by

$$\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}} \tau_2 := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}(\gamma)\rangle\, \gamma.$$

As in the previous section, we define a rescaled version of the coproduct, see Remark 1.10.

Definition 1.19.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\tau) := \sum_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}} \frac{|\{f \in \mathrm{Epi}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2, \tau) | f_{|_{\sigma_1}}, f_{|_{\sigma_2}} \text{ are regular monomorphisms}\}|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_1)||\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma_2)|}\, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2,$$

or, directly on the set of vertices as

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\tau) := \sum_{I\cup J = V(\tau)} \tau_I \otimes \tau_J.$$

The corresponding product is given by

$$\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \tau_2 := \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} \langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\gamma)\rangle\, \gamma.$$

Note that we can write

$$\langle \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\gamma)\rangle = |\{A, B \subseteq V(\gamma) | A \cup B = V(\tau), \tau_A \cong \tau_1, \tau_B \cong \tau_2\}|,$$

which is the way it appears in [Pen22].

Remark 1.20. $\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ *appears in [Sch95] and was probably rediscovered in [Pen22]. Schmitt constructed this coalgebra to provide an example of a Hopf algebra that is* not *of incidence type.*

Example 1.21.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\bullet) = \bullet\otimes \mathsf{e} + \mathsf{e}\otimes\bullet + \bullet\otimes\bullet.$$
$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\,) = \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\otimes \mathsf{e} + \mathsf{e}\otimes \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\bullet\otimes\bullet + \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\otimes \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\bullet\otimes \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\otimes\bullet.$$

Remark 1.22. *Observe that*

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{I\cup J = V(\tau)\\ I\cap J=\emptyset}} \tau_I\otimes\tau_J + \sum_{\substack{I\cup J = V(\tau)\\ I\cap J\neq\emptyset}} \tau_I\otimes\tau_J.$$

In [Sch94, Section 12], Schmitt introduces a variant of this coproduct, which "ignores" overlapping sets of vertices, i.e.

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{Schmitt}}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{I\cup J = V(\tau)\\ I\cap J=\emptyset}} \tau_I\otimes\tau_J.$$

As an example,

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\bullet) - \Delta_{\mathsf{Schmitt}}(\bullet) = \bullet\otimes\bullet.$$
$$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\,) - \Delta_{\mathsf{Schmitt}}(\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\,) = \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\otimes\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\bullet\otimes\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}\otimes\bullet.$$

Example 1.23.

$$\bullet \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \bullet = 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + \bullet$$
$$\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} = 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 4\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 4\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 6\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + \vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 2\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}} + 6\,\vcenter{\hbox{\includegraphics[height=1.2em]{}}}$$

In Appendix A.2 it is shown that the (co)products defined above for graphs are (co)commutative and (co)associative. Moreover, all of them share the same (co)unit.

Definition 1.24. The unit map is given by $u : \mathbb{Q} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $u(1_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathsf{e}$. The counit map is defined by

$$\varepsilon(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \tau = \mathsf{e} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following result is given in Appendix A.2.

Theorem 1.25. *The coproducts in Section 1.4.1, Section 1.4.2, and Section 1.4.3 are counital, coassociative and cocommutative. Dually, the corresponding products are unital, associative and commutative.*

The following lemma shows that the (co)products respect the grading defined in Section 1.3. The proof is immediate.

Lemma 1.26. *Given the grading by the number of vertices in Eq. (2), the products and coproducts behave as follows.*

i. $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ *are graded;*

ii. $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ *are filtered;*

Remark 1.27. *On the contrary,* $\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ *are* not *filtered, according to the filtration induced by Eq. (2).*

1.5 Bialgebras and Hopf algebras

We now determine which operations are compatible in the sense of bialgebra.

Lemma 1.28 (Bialgebra property)**.** *Considering all possible product-coproduct combinations:*

Bialgebra	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$
Δ_{hom}	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes
$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
Δ_{mono}	no	yes	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}$	yes	no	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}$	no	yes	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$	yes	no	no	no	no	no

Proof. Since $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$ is a bialgebra by construction, it follows that its graded dual $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^*, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ is also a bialgebra.

We now prove that $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'})$ is a bialgebra. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{G}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\sigma \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau) &:= \sum_{\substack{A\sqcup B = V(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau)\\ C\sqcup D = E(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\ \cup D\subseteq B}} (\sigma \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau)\big|_{A,C} \otimes (\sigma \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau)\big|_{B,D} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\sqcup B = V(\sigma)\dot\cup V(\tau)\\ C\sqcup D = E(\sigma)\dot\cup E(\tau)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\ \cup D\subseteq B}} \sigma\big|_{A\cap V(\sigma),C\cap E(\sigma)} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau\big|_{A\cap V(\tau),C\cap E(\tau)} \otimes \sigma\big|_{B\cap V(\sigma),D\cap E(\sigma)} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau\big|_{B\cap V(\tau),D\cap E(\tau)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\sqcup B = V(\sigma)\dot\cup V(\tau)\\ C\sqcup D = E(\sigma)\dot\cup E(\tau)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\ \cup D\subseteq B}} \sigma\big|_{A\cap V(\sigma),C\cap E(\sigma)} \otimes \sigma\big|_{B\cap V(\sigma),D\cap E(\sigma)} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \tau\big|_{A\cap V(\tau),C\cap E(\tau)} \otimes \tau\big|_{B\cap V(\tau),D\cap E(\tau)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\sqcup B = V(\sigma)\\ C\sqcup D = E(\sigma)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\ \cup D\subseteq B}} \sigma\big|_{A,C} \otimes \sigma\big|_{B,D} \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sum_{\substack{A\sqcup B = V(\tau)\\ C\sqcup D = E(\tau)\\ \cup C\subseteq A,\ \cup D\subseteq B}} \tau\big|_{A,C} \otimes \tau\big|_{B,D} \\
&= \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\sigma) \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second to last equality holds because the following two sets are equal

$$S_1 := \{(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, W_1, Z_1, W_2, Z_2) | X_1 \cup X_2 = V(\sigma), Y_1 \cup Y_2 = E(\sigma), W_1 \cup W_2 = V(\tau), Z_1 \cup Z_2 = E(\tau) \\ \cup Y_1 \subseteq X_1, \cup Y_2 \subseteq X_2, \cup W_1 \subseteq Z_1, \cup W_2 \subseteq Z_2\},$$
$$S_2 := \{(A \cap V(\sigma), C \cap E(\sigma), B \cap V(\sigma), D \cap E(\sigma), A \cap V(\tau), C \cap E(\tau), B \cap V(\tau), D \cap E(\tau)) | \\ A \cup B = V(\sigma) \dot\cup V(\tau), C \cup D = E(\sigma) \dot\cup E(\tau), \cup C \subseteq A, \cup D \subseteq B\}.$$

Indeed, let $a_1 \in S_1$. Now let $A = X_1 \dot\cup W_1$, $B = X_2 \dot\cup W_2$, $C = Y_1 \dot\cup Y_2$ and $D = Z_1 \dot\cup Z_1$. Then $a_1 \in S_2$. Notice that if $\cup C \subseteq A$, then $\cup(C \cap E(\sigma)) \subseteq A \cap V(\sigma)$. If $a_2 \in S_2$, then it is immediate to see that it is also in S_1.

The proof of $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'})$ being a bialgebra is very similar. It follows dually that $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ and $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ are bialgebras as well.

For $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$ and $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$, we can use that $\phi : (\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$, where $\phi(\tau) := |\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|\tau$, is a bialgebra isomorphism. We then use Remark 1.10 to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\sigma \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \tau) &= \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\phi^{-1}(\phi(\sigma) \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \phi(\tau))) \\
&= \phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1} \circ \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}} \circ \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \circ (\phi \otimes \phi)(\sigma \otimes \tau) \\
&= \phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1} \circ \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \circ (\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}} \otimes \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}) \circ (\phi \otimes \phi)(\sigma \otimes \tau) \\
&= \phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1} \circ \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) \otimes (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ (\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'} \otimes \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})(\sigma \otimes \tau) \\
&= \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\sigma) \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

For $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$ the proof is analogous. It then follows dually that $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}})$ and $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}})$ are also bialgebras.

The rest of the proof consists in counterexamples, that can be easily checked. □

We now determine which of the bialgebras are in fact Hopf algebras. Recall that all of them are commutative and cocommutative. The underlying set is always $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proposition 1.29. *If we consider the bialgebras of Lemma 1.28,*

- $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$ *and* $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ *are Hopf algebras, connected and graded by* (2),
- $(\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ *are connected, filtered (with filtration induced by* (2)*) Hopf algebras,*
- $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}})$, $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'})$, $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}})$ *and* $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'})$ *fail to be Hopf algebras.*

Remark 1.30. *Some of these Hopf algebras appear in the literature already.* $(\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ *appears in [Pen22]. So does the Hopf algebra* $(\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overset{\mathsf{qs}}{\sqcup\!\sqcup}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ *in [Bor15] which is a sub-Hopf algebra of* $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$*, see Remark 1.17, Item ii..*

Proof. For connected, filtered bialgebras we can use Proposition A.22. We now show for $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'})$ that the antipode does not exist. Analogous arguments work for the other cases. Suppose the antipode exists. A direct calculation of (22) in the Appendix on the single vertex graph, $\bullet$, yields:

$$S(\bullet) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \bullet^{\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} n} = -\bullet + \bullet\bullet - \bullet\bullet\bullet + \cdots,$$

which is not an element of $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. [2] □

[2] One could work with the dual coalgebra of [Rad11, Section 2.5] to dualize $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ to a Hopf algebra. But we shall not need this here.

Hopf algebra	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$
Δ_{hom}	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes
$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
Δ_{mono}	no	no	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}$	no	no	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}$	no	no	no	no	no	no
$\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$	no	no	no	no	no	no

2 Isomorphisms to the polynomial Hopf algebra on connected graphs

In this section, we investigate some of the properties of the Hopf algebras introduced in Section 1. We will show that all of them are isomorphic to the polynomial Hopf algebra on connected graphs. For some of the Hopf algebras that we consider, this result is already known. The product $\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ appeared as a working example in reference [Pen22], where it was interpreted in the context of the theory of species. There it is shown that $(\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$ is isomorphic to the polynomial Hopf algebra. Schmitt used in [Sch94, Thm. 10.2] the theory of incidence Hopf algebras to prove this result for $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{Schmitt}})$, which is similar to $(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'})$, see Remark 1.22. This section relies on a classical result from the literature to Hopf algebras, based on the universal enveloping algebra on primitive elements, i. e. [CP21, Thms. 4.3.1 and 4.3.3].

2.1 Bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebras

In our setting, we can restrict our analysis to the family of bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebras.

Definition 2.1. A bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be **unipotent** if for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists $N(a) \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N(a)$:

$$(\mathrm{id} - u \circ \varepsilon)^{*n}(a) = 0.$$

If a bialgebra is commutative and cocommutative we say that it is **bicommutative**.

Note that any filtered connected bialgebra is unipotent and henceforth a Hopf algebra (see e.g. Proposition A.22). We present a technical definition for constructing algebra homomorphisms.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that (A_1, m_1) and (A_2, m_2) are unital commutative associative algebras and (A_1, m_1) is freely generated by $\{x_i\} \subset A_1$. For any linear function $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}\langle\{x_i\}\rangle \to A_2$, we define its **natural extension** $\widehat{\varphi} : (A_1, m_1) \to (A_2, m_2)$ by the linear extension of

$$\widehat{\varphi} \circ m_1^{n-1}(x_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_n}) := m_2^{n-1}(\varphi(x_{i_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(x_{i_n})).$$

Since (A_1, m_1) is free, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is well defined and an algebra homomorphism.

These natural extensions appear in a theorem by Samuelson and Leray as found in [CP21, Thm. 4.3.3]. This is a particular case of Cartier's theorem [CP21, Thm. 4.3.1] for bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebras.

Theorem 2.3 (Samuelson–Leray–Cartier)**.** *Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is a bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of primitive elements in $\mathcal{H}$. The inclusion ι of $\mathtt{Prim}\,(\mathcal{H})$ in $\mathcal{H}$ naturally extends to an isomorphism of Hopf algebras*

$$\hat{\iota} : (\langle \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H})\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot, \Delta) \to \mathcal{H},$$

where $(\langle \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H})\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot, \Delta)$ is the free commutative Hopf algebra on the primitive elements.

This theorem is paramount for the current text. We extend this result into a practical tool for verifying that a map is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that (A_1, m_1, Δ_1) is a bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebra, and (A_2, m_2, Δ_2) is a bialgebra. If $\varphi : (A_1, m_1) \to (A_2, m_2)$ is an algebra homomorphism and*

$$\varphi\big(\mathtt{Prim}(A_1, \Delta_1)\big) \subset \mathtt{Prim}(A_2, \Delta_2),$$

then φ is a coalgebra homomorphism, i.e. $\Delta_2 \circ \varphi = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.3, we know that (A_1, m_1) is free with generators $\{x_i\} \subset \mathtt{Prim}(A_1, \Delta_1)$. FIrst note that when $\varphi(1_{A_1}) = 1_{A_2}$, then $(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1(1_{A_1}) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi)(1_{A_1} \otimes 1_{A_1}) = \varphi(1_{A_1}) \otimes \varphi(1_{A_1}) = 1_{A_2} \otimes 1_{A_2} = \Delta_2(1_{A_2}) = \Delta_2(\varphi(1_{A_1}))$. Let us then prove the comultiplicative property of φ using induction on the size n of monomials $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n}$. We now use induction with base case $n = 1$. From the hypothesis, we know that any primitive element $a \in \mathtt{Prim}(A_1, \Delta_1)$ has a primitive image. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 \circ \varphi(a) &= \varphi(a) \otimes 1_{A_2} + 1_{A_2} \otimes \varphi(a) \\ &= (\varphi \otimes \varphi)(a \otimes 1_{A_1} + 1_{A_1} \otimes a) \\ &= (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1(a). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that for $b = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_n} \in A_1$, it holds that

$$\Delta_2 \circ \varphi(b) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1(b).$$

For $a \in \mathtt{Prim}(A_1)$, we use the multiplicative property of φ, and that (A_2, m_2, Δ_2) is a bialgebra.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 \circ \varphi \circ m_1(a \otimes b) &= \Delta_2 \circ m_2 \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi)(a \otimes b) \\ &= m_{A_2 \otimes A_2} \circ (\Delta_2 \otimes \Delta_2) \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi)(a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

We use now that $\Delta_2 \circ \varphi(a) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1(a)$ and also the induction hypothesis. Then, the last expression equals

$$m_{A_2 \otimes A_2} \circ \big((\varphi \otimes \varphi) \otimes (\varphi \otimes \varphi)\big) \circ (\Delta_1(a) \otimes \Delta_1(b)).$$

Recall now that $\varphi \otimes \varphi : (A_1 \otimes A_1, m_{A_1 \otimes A_1}) \to (A_2 \otimes A_2, m_{A_2 \otimes A_2})$ is an algebra homomorphism. The former expression reduces to the following by the bialgebra compatibility of Δ_1 and m_1.

$$(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ m_{A_1 \otimes A_1} \circ (\Delta_1(a) \otimes \Delta_1(b)) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1 \circ m_1(a \otimes b).$$

□

An important consequence of these results is that we only need to define a function on the primitive elements to obtain Hopf algebra homomorphisms. Even more, linear isomorphisms on primitive elements extend to Hopf algebra isomorphisms. The main idea is that linear combination of generators can be generators, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}[x + y, x - y] = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{H}_1, m_1, \Delta_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, m_2, \Delta_2)$ are bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebras. If $\varphi : \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_1, \Delta_1) \to \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_2)$ is an invertible linear map, then its natural extension is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : (\mathcal{H}_1, m_1, \Delta_1) \to (\mathcal{H}_2, m_2, \Delta_2)$.*

Proof. Theorem 2.3 implies that $(\mathcal{H}_1, m_1), (\mathcal{H}_2, m_2)$ are freely generated by $\{x_i\} \subset \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_1, \Delta_1)$ and $\{y_j\} \subset \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_2)$, respectively. Since φ is a linear isomorphism $\varphi(\{x_i\}) \subset \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_2)$ is also a basis for $\mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_2)$ and freely generates $(\mathcal{H}_2, m_2)$. Therefore, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is injective and surjective by construction. Lemma 2.4 implies that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a bijective bialgebra homomorphism. By Lemma A.5 and Proposition A.15, it is a Hopf algebra isomorphism. □

We note that the reach of Proposition 2.5 can be extended to cocommutative unipotent bialgebras in the more general version of Theorem 2.3 by Cartier [CP21, Theorem 4.3.1].

2.2 The polynomial Hopf algebra of graphs

One common technique for understanding Hopf algebras is comparing them to well-known objects. The preferred candidate for us is $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$, commonly called the symmetric, polynomial, or free commutative Hopf algebra [HGK10; CP21; GR20]. This preference is persistent in the literature, in [Sch94, Section 9], Schmitt calls $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ "the usual coproduct". Some also call it the *shuffle* Hopf algebra in the commutative alphabet of graphs [DET20a; CP21]. As we use Theorem 2.3 for this comparison, it is necessary to determine which elements are primitive for Δ_{hom}. Unsurprisingly, these are the connected graphs.

Lemma 2.6. *Let us denote the connected graphs by* $\mathcal{G}^0 := \{\tau \in \mathcal{G} \,|\, \tau \text{ is connected}\}$. *The primitive elements of both* Δ_{hom}, *and* $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ *are given by the span of the connected graphs, i.e.*

$$\mathtt{Prim}\big(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}\big) = \langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathtt{Prim}\big(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}\big).$$

Proof. For $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$, it follows from its definition that $\langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathtt{Prim}\big(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}\big)$. It is immediate to see that also $\langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathtt{Prim}\big(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}\big)$. Now, consider a linear combination of basis elements, such that at least one of the element is not a connected graph, then

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}\left(\sum c_i\tau_i\right) = \sum c_i\,(\mathsf{e}\otimes\tau_i + \tau_i\otimes\mathsf{e}) + \sum c_i(*)$$

and now for $\sum c_i(*) = 0$ implies that all $c_i = 0$ because the terms in $(*)$ are (also) linearly independent. An analogous argument shows that $\langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathtt{Prim}\big(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}\big)$. □

Aided by Theorem 2.3, we construct an isomorphism from the polynomial Hopf algebra to the other Hopf algebras of Proposition 1.29.

Theorem 2.7. *The inclusion* $\psi : \langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *extends naturally –in the sense of Definition 2.2– to the Hopf algebra isomorphisms,*

$$\widehat{\psi_\dagger} : (\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to \mathcal{H}_\dagger,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\dagger$, *denotes any of the Hopf algebra of Proposition 1.29,*

$$\dagger = \{(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})\}.$$

In particular, this implies that, all of them, as algebras, are free commutative on the set of connected graphs.

Remark 2.8. *The isomorphism* $\widehat{\psi}_{(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})}$ *is the well-known self-duality of the polynomial Hopf algebra, while* $\widehat{\psi}_{(\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})}$ *was given by [Pen22]. In [Sch94], Schmitt showed that the cocommutative incidence Hopf algebras (of graphs), i.e.* $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{Schmitt}})$ *(see Remark 1.22), is also isomorphic to* $(\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$.

Proof. All these Hopf algebras are bicommutative and unipotent, so we apply Theorem 2.3.


Using Lemma 2.6, we know that $\mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}) = \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) = \langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Therefore the inclusion $\psi : \langle\mathcal{G}^0\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ extends to an isomorphism between Hopf algebras

$$\widehat{\psi_\dagger} : (\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to \mathcal{H}_\dagger,$$

where $\dagger = \{(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}), (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})\}$. □

The isomorphy between Hopf algebras of Proposition 1.29 will be shown again in Section 3, using different Hopf algebra maps.

Remark 2.9. *As a consequence of Theorem 2.7, every graph can be uniquely written as a polynomial on connected graphs. As an example:*



3 Signatures and translation between counting

One can find various classes of definitions for subgraph counting, see for instance [CDM17; Big78; Bor+06; Bra+21; Pen22; Bor15]. For the most part, such definitions of counting are based on enumerative combinatorics, either based on some for of set-restrictions or based on graph homomorphisms.

3.1 Counting functions

The following definitions and theorems provide a formal setting to speak of graph counting functions. Due to the variety of them, we use the notation $c_\alpha^\dagger(\Gamma)$, where the super-index '†' keeps a record of the sort of counting that we perform. As a cultural note, in a statistical setting, the graph α resp. Γ are usually called a **pattern** resp. **sample**. The following counting function was introduced in [Lov67].

Definition 3.1 (Homomorphisms counting)**.** For $\sigma, \Upsilon \in \mathcal{G}$, define

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Upsilon) := \big|\mathrm{Hom}(\sigma, \Upsilon)\big|$$

Note that c^{hom} is *not* counting sub-graphs. We also introduce the counting scaled by the cardinality of the automorphism group:

Definition 3.2 (Scaled homorphisms counting)**.** Let $\tau, \Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$.

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Upsilon) := \frac{\big|\mathrm{Hom}(\sigma, \Upsilon)\big|}{\big|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma))\big|}.$$

which is again *not* counting subgraphs, as it does not have to be a non-negative integer.

We now introduce a counting function that is based on graph monomorphisms.

Definition 3.3 (Monomorphisms counting)**.** Let $\tau, \Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$.

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Upsilon) := \big|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \Upsilon)\big|.$$

which is an unnormalized counting of subgraphs.

Definition 3.4. Suppose that $\tau, \Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$. Define the **sub-graph counting** of τ inside of Λ as,

$$c_\tau^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda) : = \left| \left\{ A \subseteq V(\Lambda), B \subseteq E(\Lambda) \mid \cup B \subseteq A,\ \Lambda\big|_{A,B} \cong \tau \right\} \right|$$

These two counting operations are basically the same, as shown the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that Λ is a fixed graph in $\mathcal{G}$. If $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$, then*

$$c_\tau^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda) = \frac{\big|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \Lambda)\big|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}.$$

Proof. First we realize that

$$\mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \Lambda) = \bigcup_{\substack{A \subset V(\Lambda) \\ B \subset E(\Lambda) \\ \cup B = A \\ \Lambda\big|_{A,B} \cong \tau}} \mathrm{Iso}\left(\tau, \Lambda\big|_{A,B}\right),$$

and then it follows that

$$\Big|\bigcup_{\substack{A\subset V(\Lambda)\\ B\subset E(\Lambda)\\ \cup B=A\\ \Lambda|_{A,B}\cong\tau}} \mathrm{Iso}\left(\tau,\,\Lambda|_{A,B}\right)\Big| = \sum_{\substack{A\subset V(\Lambda)\\ B\subset E(\Lambda)\\ \cup B=A\\ \Lambda|_{A,B}\cong\tau}} \Big|\mathrm{Iso}\left(\tau,\,\Lambda|_{A,B}\right)\Big|$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{A\subset V(\Lambda)\\ B\subset E(\Lambda)\\ \cup B=A\\ \Lambda|_{A,B}\cong\tau}} \Big|\mathrm{Aut}\,(\tau)\Big| = c_\tau^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)\Big|\mathrm{Aut}\,(\tau)\Big|$$

□

Proposition 3.6. *If $\Lambda \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\tau \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, i.e. if they both have no isolated vertex, subgraph counting based only on edge subsets can be obtained from convolutions, using the restricted and corestricted version of the coproduct, $\Delta_{\underline{\mathsf{mono}'}} : \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \langle\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see Remark 1.17, Item ii.)*

$$c_\tau^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda) = \frac{\left(\tau^* *_{\cdot,\mathsf{mono}'}\, \zeta\right)}{2^{|E(\tau)|}}(\Lambda).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\zeta *_{\underline{\mathsf{mono}'}} \tau^*\right)(\Lambda) &= m_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (\zeta \otimes \tau^*) \circ \Delta_{\underline{\mathsf{mono}'}}(\Lambda)\\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\subseteq E(\Lambda)\\ E(\Lambda)\setminus A\subseteq B\subseteq E(\Lambda)}} m_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (\zeta \otimes \tau^*)\Lambda|_A \otimes \Lambda|_B\\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\subseteq E(\Lambda)\\ E(\Lambda)\setminus A\subseteq B\subseteq E(\Lambda)}} m_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (\zeta \otimes \tau^*)\Lambda|_B \otimes \Lambda|_A\\
&= \sum_{\substack{A\subseteq E(\Lambda)\\ E(\Lambda)\setminus A\subseteq B\subseteq E(\Lambda)}} \tau^*(\Lambda|_A)\\
&= 2^{|E(\tau)|} \sum_{A\subseteq E(\Lambda)} \tau^*(\Lambda|_A)\\
&= 2^{|E(\tau)|} c_\tau^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

□

We finally define two counting operations that are based on regular monomorphisms.

Definition 3.7. For σ and Υ in $\mathcal{G}$, define the **regular monorphisms counting** of σ inside of Υ as

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Upsilon) := |\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Upsilon)|.$$

Counting induced subgraphs is called **motif counting**, see e.g. [Bre+18; Mil+02].

Definition 3.8. For σ and Υ in $\mathcal{G}$, define the **vertex-induced counting** of σ inside of Υ as

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Upsilon) := \Big|\big\{U \subseteq V(\Upsilon) \mid \Upsilon_U \cong \sigma\big\}\Big|.$$

We have again a reformulation in terms of graph homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{G}$ is fixed. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, then*

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Upsilon) = \frac{\big|\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Upsilon)\big|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}.$$

Proof. This time, the equality is due to

$$\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Upsilon) = \bigcup_{U \subset V(\Upsilon)} \mathrm{Iso}(\sigma, \Upsilon_U).$$

Now proceed analogously to the proof of in Proposition 3.5. □

Analogous to Proposition 3.6, we obtain the following. The proof is very similar and omitted.

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{G}$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$, then*

$$c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Upsilon) = \frac{\left(\zeta *_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \sigma^*\right)(\Upsilon)}{2^{|V(\sigma)|}}.$$

3.2 Graph counting signatures

In this section, we aim at extending the notion of signatures to the setting of graphs. A time-series signature is a linear functional over a combinatorial Hopf algebra with multiplicative and comultiplicative properties. Each evaluation of this functional provides information on iterated-sums/integrals of a time-dependent function. The interaction of these functionals with the Hopf algebra operations allows us to simplify computations.

We can find plenty of examples of products and coproducts of graphs and plenty of graph functionals or indices [Mil+02; Rec+19; GB20]. Among those, some products seem to respect counting functions, e.g. [Mau+20; Pen22; Lov67]. Such interactions motivates us to define a collection of *signatures for graphs*. In the following, we will prove the signature property and further show that all these functionals are in some sense equivalent. Moreover, the equivalence is given by Hopf algebra isomorphisms.

Definition 3.11 (Graph counting signatures)**.** Assume that $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ is a fixed graph. We define the following **graph counting signatures**.

i. $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{*}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{*}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.

ii. $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.

iii. $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ}$ given by $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} c_\sigma^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda)\ \sigma$.

Remark 3.12. *These functionals were defined in a fashion that resembles the iterated-integrals signature, i.e.*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Gamma), \alpha \right\rangle = c_\alpha^{\dagger}(\Gamma).$$

Example 3.13.

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + 9\,\bullet\bullet + 6\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 27\,\bullet\bullet\bullet + 18\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 12\,\curlyvee + 6\,\triangle\ + \cdots$$

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + \frac{9}{2}\,\bullet\bullet + 3\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + \frac{9}{2}\,\bullet\bullet\bullet + 9\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 6\,\curlyvee + \triangle\ + \cdots$$

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + 6\,\bullet\bullet + 6\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 6\,\bullet\bullet\bullet + 6\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 6\,\curlyvee + 6\,\triangle$$

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + 3\,\bullet\bullet + 3\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + \bullet\bullet\bullet + 3\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 3\,\curlyvee + \triangle$$

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + 6\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + 6\,\triangle$$

$$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\ \triangle\ ) = \mathsf{e} + 3\,\bullet + 3\,\bullet\!\!\!\!\bullet + \triangle$$

$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ can all be considered as changes of basis.

Lemma 3.14. *The linear functions* $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}, \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ *are linear automorphism.*

Proof. We show $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}$. There are analogus arguments for the others. We assign a total order on $\mathcal{G}$, first by number of vertices and then by number of edges, and resolve ties arbitrarily. For such an order, the matrix of $[\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}]_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an invertible lower-triangular.

i. $\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda), \sigma \right\rangle \neq 0$ and $|V(\sigma)| = |V(\Lambda)|$ together with $|E(\sigma)| = |E(\Lambda)|$ implies $\sigma = \Lambda$. And hence, any choice of resolving ties is consistent with a triangular structure.

ii. $\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda), \sigma \right\rangle \neq 0$ implies that $\left|V(\sigma)\right| \leq \left|V(\Lambda)\right|$ and $\left|E(\sigma)\right| \leq \left|E(\Lambda)\right|$, i. e. the elements above the main diagonal are zero.

iii. It is invertible since the diagonal satisfies

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda), \Lambda \right\rangle = 1 \neq 0.$$

□

As a consequence of this lemma, $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda) = \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda')$ implies $\Lambda = \Lambda'$. This is an analog for graph counting to Lovász' result in [Lov67] for $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}$.

3.3 Multiplicative and comultiplicative properties

We will now show that, given a fixed graph, the functionals $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)$, and $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda)$ are characters over the appropriate Hopf algebras and furthermore satisfy a version of Chen's identity.

Theorem 3.15 (Character property)**.** *Suppose that* $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ *is a fixed graph.*

i. The functional $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)$ *is a character over* $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}})$*, i.e.*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \sigma_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

ii. The functional $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)$ *is a character over* $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}})$*, i.e.*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

iii. The functional $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda)$ *is a character over* $(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}})$*, i.e.*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}} \sigma_2 \right\rangle.$$

Proof.

i. This is a well known result, see for example [Lov67, Thm. 3.6]. The proof follows immediately by observing that there is a bijection between

$$\{(f, g) | f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma_1, \Lambda), g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma_2, \Lambda)\} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2, \Lambda)$$

$$(f, g) \mapsto h,$$

where $h(a) = f(a)$ if $a \in V(\sigma_1)$ and $h(a) = g(a)$, otherwise.

ii. Take the set

$$\{(f,g)|f \in \mathrm{Mono}(\sigma_1,\Lambda), g \in \mathrm{Mono}(\sigma_2,\Lambda)\}.$$

First,

$$|\{(f,g)|f \in \mathrm{Mono}(\sigma_1,\Lambda), g \in \mathrm{Mono}(\sigma_2,\Lambda)\}| = |\{h \in \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2,\Lambda)|h_{|_{\sigma_1}}, h_{|_{\sigma_2}} \text{ are monomorphisms}\}|.$$

Indeed, there is a bijection $(f,g) \mapsto h$ where h is defined as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), \text{ if } x \in V(\sigma_1) \\ g(x), \text{ if } x \in V(\sigma_2). \end{cases}$$

We can then use *iv.* of Corollary A.38 to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{h \in \mathrm{Hom}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2,\Lambda) \mid h_{|_{\sigma_1}}, h_{|_{\sigma_2}} \text{ are monomorphisms}, \Lambda_{|_{h(V(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2), h(E(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2))}} \cong \gamma\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\gamma)|} \left|\left\{h \in \mathrm{RegEpi}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2,\gamma) \mid h_{|_{\sigma_1}}, h_{|_{\sigma_2}} \text{ are monomorphisms}\right\}\right| |\mathrm{Mono}(\gamma,\Lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

iii. Take the set

$$\{(f,g)|f \in \mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma_1,\Lambda), g \in \mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma_2,\Lambda)\}.$$

Let $h \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_2,\Lambda)$ defined as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), \text{ if } x \in V(\sigma_1) \\ g(x), \text{ if } x \in V(\sigma_2). \end{cases}$$

We can then use *iii.* of Corollary A.38.

□

Remark 3.16. *From the definitions of* $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}$, $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}$ *and* $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ *and Remark 1.10 it follows immediately that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda),\tau_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda),\tau_2 \right\rangle &= \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda),\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'} \tau_2 \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_2 \right\rangle &= \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'} \sigma_2 \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_1 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_2 \right\rangle &= \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda),\sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \sigma_2 \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The result regarding $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)$ is known in the computer science literature, see for example [Mau+20, Lemma 2]. It was stated also by [Bor15] using a representation in symmetric functions instead of graph algebras. In [Pen22], we have also a description for the character property of $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}$.

Remark 3.17. *Let* $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ *and* $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$, *then*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda),\tau \right\rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \prod_{j \in J} \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda),\theta_{ij} \right\rangle.$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\theta_{ij} \in \mathcal{G}^0$, *i.e., they are connected. This is folklore in the computer science community, and it is a consequence of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.15.*

The respective result for $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}$ *is immediate given that*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Upsilon),\sigma \right\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Upsilon),\sigma_i \right\rangle,$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \cdots \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \sigma_n$.

Another property common for signatures is Chen's identity. This is the compatibility of the signature with respect to a product in the parameter, not in the argument. We first prove the following lemma. Chen's identity will then simply follow.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $(\mathcal{H}, m, \Delta)$ be a bicommutative unipotent Hopf algebra. If $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^*$ are characters, and*

$$\langle \varphi, x \rangle = \langle \varphi_1, x \rangle + \langle \varphi_2, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$, then for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle \varphi, a \rangle = \big\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \Delta(a) \big\rangle.$$

Proof. From the hypothesis, for $x \in \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, x \rangle &= \langle \varphi_1, x \rangle + \langle \varphi_2, x \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1, x \rangle \langle \varphi_2, 1_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle + \langle \varphi_1, 1_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle \langle \varphi_2, x \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x \rangle \\ &= \big\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \Delta(x) \big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the m-monomial $a = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i$, we use the character property and the previous computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, a \rangle &= \prod_i \langle \varphi, x_i \rangle \\ &= \prod_i \big\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \Delta(x_i) \big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$ is a character for $m_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, x \rangle &= \prod_i \big\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \Delta(x_i) \big\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \prod_i \Delta(x_i) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2, \Delta\Big(\prod_i x_i \Big) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from $\mathcal{H}$ being freely generated by $\{x_i\} \subset \mathtt{Prim}(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$. □

Theorem 3.19 (Chen's identity)**.** *Suppose that $\sigma, \Lambda, \Psi \in \mathcal{G}$ are fixed. If the notation $\dagger$ represents any of the symbols in $\{\mathsf{hom}, \mathsf{mono}, \mathsf{regmono}\}$, and $\ddagger$ represents $\{\mathsf{hom}', \mathsf{mono}', \mathsf{regmono}'\}$ then it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \Psi), \sigma \Big\rangle &= \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda) \otimes \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Psi), \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\sigma) \Big\rangle \\ \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\ddagger}(\Lambda \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \Psi), \sigma \Big\rangle &= \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\ddagger}(\Lambda) \otimes \mathtt{GC}^{\ddagger}(\Psi), \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}(\sigma) \Big\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.20.

$$\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \Psi), \; \bullet\!\!\bullet \rangle = \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda) \otimes \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Psi), \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}(\; \bullet\!\!\bullet) \rangle$$

Remark 3.21. *Chen's identity for iterated sums (or integrals) yields a procedure of efficient calculation. Theorem 3.19 is very weak in this regard, since it does not allow to simplify the calculation of $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)$ if Λ is connected.*

Proof. For any connected graph τ, i.e. $\tau \in \mathtt{Prim}(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$, the following equation holds true for $\dagger$ in $\{\mathsf{hom}, \mathsf{mono}, \mathsf{regmono}\}$.

$$\Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda \cdot_{\mathsf{hom}} \Psi), \sigma \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda), \sigma \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Psi), \sigma \Big\rangle.$$

The proof follows from Lemma 3.18, since $\mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda\Psi), \mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathtt{GC}^{\dagger}(\Psi)$ are all characters for $\big(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \dagger, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}\big)$. For the other three, the proof is analogous. □

Remark 3.22. *Note that we are showing the well known fact that counting graph homomorphisms can be "reduced" to counting connected graphs on connected graphs. Using Theorem 3.15 and Theorem 3.19, consider* $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_n$, *and* $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m$ *where the* Λ_i*'s and the* σ_i*'s are all connected, then, as an example, it holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}\left(\Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_n\right), \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m \right\rangle &= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}\left(\Lambda_1 \cdots \Lambda_n\right), \sigma_j \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda_i) \right), \Delta^{n}_{\mathsf{hom}'} \sigma_j \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}\left(\Lambda_i\right), \sigma_j \right\rangle \Big).\end{aligned}$$

	Character property	Chen's identity
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$	$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Upsilon)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}$	Δ_{hom}
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}$	$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}$	Δ_{hom}
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Upsilon)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}$	$\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$
$\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Upsilon)$	$\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$	Δ_{hom}

Multiplicative (signature or character property) and comultiplicative properties (Chen) .

3.4 Translating between the counting characters

Here we show the equivalence of the different counting procedures. This equivalence is used in practice due to the computational efficiency of counting graph homomorphisms, see for instance [CDM17, Section 1.4], and it is well known. Later on, we will show that these maps are Hopf algebra isomorphisms.

Definition 3.23. Define the linear maps $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$:

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\left|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\sigma) \cap \mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\right|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}\, \sigma, \tag{5}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau,\sigma)\right|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}\, \sigma, \tag{6}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\left|\mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\right|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}\, \sigma, \tag{7}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) = \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) = \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}}(\tau) := |\mathrm{Aut}\,(\tau)|\tau. \tag{8}$$

Example 3.24.

$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}($  $) =$ 

$\Phi_{\mathsf{hom}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}($  $) =$ 

$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}($  $) =$

Remark 3.25. *For all these maps it holds that connected graphs are mapped to connected graphs.*

These maps allow to translate between the different counting operations.

Theorem 3.26. *For $\tau, \Lambda \in \mathcal{G}$, it holds that*

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) \right\rangle, \tag{9}$$

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) \right\rangle, \tag{10}$$

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) \right\rangle, \tag{11}$$

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}'}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) \right\rangle, \tag{12}$$

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}'}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) \right\rangle, \tag{13}$$

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}'}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}}(\tau) \right\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Using Corollary A.38.i we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle &= \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \Lambda)\right| \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} \left| \left\{ \phi \in \mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \sigma \cong \Lambda_{\phi(V(\tau))} \right\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} \left|\mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma) \cap \mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \frac{\left|\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right|}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \\
&= \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (9).

Using Corollary A.38.iv we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle &= \left|\mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda)\right| \\
&= \left| \left\{ \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \sigma \cong \Lambda_{|_{\phi(V(\tau)), \phi(E(\tau))}} \right\} \right| \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right| \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} \left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \frac{\left|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right|}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \\
&= \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (10), and (11) follows by composition. (12), (13) and (14) are obvious. □

Remark 3.27. *Using Corollary A.38.ii*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} &= \sum_{\sigma,\gamma} \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\gamma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \gamma) \cap \mathrm{Epi}(\sigma, \gamma)\right| \gamma \\
&= \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\gamma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \gamma)\right| \gamma = \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.28. *These results are already known in the literature for enumerative combinatorics.*

i. A result traditionally attributed to Lovász, which can be read in [CDM17, Equation (8)], is the same as

$$\left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) \right\rangle.$$

In [CDM17], $\mathrm{Spasm}(\tau)$ *denotes the set of graphs* $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ *such that* $\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma) \neq \emptyset$.

ii. By (9) one has

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\Lambda)| &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda),\tau\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \sum_{\sigma} \big|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\sigma)\cap \mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\big|\ \sigma\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \big|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\sigma)\cap \mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\big| \frac{\big|\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma,\Lambda)\big|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|},\end{aligned}$$

which can be shown to be equal to [Lov12, Equation (5.15)], but the formulation is slightly different. In [Lov12, Equation (5.15)], it is stated that $\forall\sigma,\Upsilon\in\mathcal{G}$, *and* $|V(\sigma)|= n$,

$$|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma,\Upsilon)|= \sum_{E(\sigma)\subseteq X\subseteq E(K)} |\mathrm{RegMono}(K_{V(\sigma),X},\Upsilon)|$$

where K *denotes the complete graph on the vertices of* σ, *and* $K_{V(\sigma),X} := (V(\sigma),X)$. *To obtain the counting of the regular monomorphisms in terms of the monomorpshims, Möbius inversion can be used: see [Lov12, Equation (5.17)].*

iii. Recreating [Lov12, Eq. 5.16], we obtain

$$\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda),\tau\rangle = \sum_{\pi\in P_{V(\tau)}} |\mathrm{Mono}(\tau/\pi,\Lambda)| \tag{15}$$

where π *runs over the set partitions of* $V(\tau)$ *and* τ/π *is the simple graph obtained by identifying the vertices in each block. Note that for* $\tau/\pi\cong\sigma$, *it holds that*

$$\frac{|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau,\sigma)|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|} = |\{\pi\in P_{V(\tau)} : \tau/\pi\cong\sigma\}|$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{Hom}(\tau,\Lambda)| &= \sum_{\pi\in P_{V(\tau)}} |\mathrm{Mono}(\tau/\pi,\Lambda)| \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} |\{\pi:\ \tau/\pi\cong\sigma\}||\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma,\Lambda)| \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \frac{|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau,\sigma)|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma,\Lambda)| \\ &= \Big\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}\tau\Big\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Möbius inversion also plays a role since Eq. (15) can be written more explicitly in the language of incidence algebra as follows:

$$g(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = (\zeta * f)(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = \sum_{\hat{0}\le\pi\le\hat{1}} \zeta(\hat{0},\pi) f(\pi,\hat{1})$$

where π *ranges over the lattice of partitions of* $V(\tau)$ *and* $\hat{0}$ *and* $\hat{1}$ *are the finest and the coarsest partitions respectively. Let* $g(\pi,\hat{1}) := |\mathrm{Hom}(\tau/\pi,\Lambda)|$ *and* $f(\pi,\hat{1}) := |\mathrm{Mono}(\tau/\pi,\Lambda)|$. *Moreover* $g(\cdot,\pi')=0$ *and* $f(\cdot,\pi')=0$ *as well if* $\pi'\neq\hat{1}$. *We can then recover* f *using the Möbius function:*

$$f(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = (\mu * g)(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = \sum_{\hat{0}\le\pi\le\hat{1}} \mu(\hat{0},\pi) g(\pi,\hat{1})$$

which is

$$|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\Lambda)| = \sum_{\pi\in P_{V(\tau)}} \mu(\hat{0},\pi)\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{hom}}(\Lambda), \tau/\pi\rangle$$

which is [Lov12, Eq. 5.18]. An explicit formula for the Möbius function is given in [Lov12, Eq. A.2]:

$$\mu(\hat{0},\pi) = (-1)^{|V(\tau)|-|\pi|}\prod_{B\in\pi}(|B|-1)!$$

These translating maps behave well with respect to the underlying Hopf structure.

Theorem 3.29. *The maps of Theorem 3.26*

i. $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$

ii. $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$

iii. $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'})$


iv. $\Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}}),$

v. $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$

vi. $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}} : (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) \to (\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$

are Hopf algebra isomorphisms.

Remark 3.30. *We can summarize the isomorphisms in the following picture:*



where we add all the inverse maps and where $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}'} := \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{hom}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}'}$ *and* $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}'} := \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}'}$. *Compare:*

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}\sum_{\sigma} \big|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\sigma)\cap\mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\big|\sigma, \tag{16}$$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}'}(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}\sum_{\sigma} \big|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau,\sigma)\big|\ \sigma, \tag{17}$$

with Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) respectively. The vertical arrows (pointing in the direction) coincide as linear maps.

Remark 3.31. *Note that* $\Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}, \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}, \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} := \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}, \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$ *and* $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}} := \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$ *are* not *the isomorphisms listed in Theorem 2.7. As an example:*

$$\widehat{\psi}_{(\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'},\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})}(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau) = \sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}\tau = \frac{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau)|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)||\mathrm{Aut}(\tau)|}\ \sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau \neq |\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau)|\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau = \Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\sigma\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}\tau)$$

Proof. We want to use Proposition 2.5, and divide the proof into three parts.

i. The functions are proved to be algebra homomorphisms.

ii. We verify that they are linear bijections when restricted and corestricted to $\langle \mathcal{G}^0 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

iii. From Theorem 2.7, we know that the algebras are commutative free on the primitive elements. Hence all algebra homomorphisms are natural extensions (Definition 2.2) of linear functions defined on the generators (the primitive elements with respect to either Δ_{hom} or $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$, i.e. the connected graphs). By Proposition 2.5, these functions are then Hopf algebra isomorphisms.

To show i., let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \tau_2)\rangle &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \tau_2\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau_1\rangle\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}(\Lambda), \tau_2\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_1)\rangle\langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_2)\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}}(\Lambda), \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_1) \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_2)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{regmono}} : \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ} \to \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\circ}$ is a linear automorphism, see Lemma 3.14, we can conclude that $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_1 \cdot_{\mathsf{mono}} \tau_2) = \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_1) \cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'} \Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau_2)$$

For $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$, the argument is analogous. For $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$ we use that $\mathtt{GC}^{\mathsf{mono}}$ is also a linear automorphism, see Lemma 3.14. For $\Phi_{\mathsf{hom}'\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$, $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}$, $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}'\leftarrow\mathsf{regmono}}$ the proof is obvious.

Recall that

$$\mathtt{Prim}(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}) = \langle \mathcal{G}^0 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathtt{Prim}(\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{hom}})$$

as proved in Lemma 2.6. Clearly

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\left|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau,\sigma) \cap \mathrm{Epi}(\tau,\sigma)\right|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}\ \sigma,$$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}(\tau) := \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau,\sigma)\right|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|}\ \sigma,$$

map connected graphs to connected graphs, and the same holds for $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$. If we order the graphs by the number of vertices, and then solve ties arbitrarily, the representation matrix associated to $\Phi_{\mathsf{mono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$ is upper triangular, with diagonal entries all different from zero. If we order the graphs by the number of vertices, and then for each fixed positive integer n order the graphs with n vertices by the number of edges and then solve ties arbitrarily, the representation matrix associated to $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{mono}}$ is lower triangular, with diagonal entries all different from zero. We then use Proposition 2.5 and we are done. It follows that by composition that $\Phi_{\mathsf{regmono}\leftarrow\mathsf{hom}}$ is also a Hopf algebra isomorphisms. For the other maps one can verify immediately that they are Hopf isomorphisms. □

4 Conclusions and outlook

This work explored different definitions of subgraph counting (related to edge-restriction subgraphs, to vertex-induction, and to homomorphisms) from the point of view of combinatorial Hopf algebra. These perspectives are equivalent in the sense that the corresponding Hopf algebras are isomorphic, where the isomorphy can be chosen to respect the counting operations. The counting functions, parameterized by the counted-in graphs, are interpreted as signature-type objects (a concept from rough path theory).

As observed in Remark 3.17, counting occurrences can be expressed as polynomials of the counting occurrences on connected graphs alone. This result can be traced back to the classical articles of Whitney

[Whi32] and Biggs [Big78]. However, we remark that these two articles express the counting of *decomposable* graphs in terms of *indecomposable* graphs. For instance, the following relation

$$c_{\triangle\,\cdot\cdot}(\Lambda) = c_{\triangle}(\Lambda)(c_{\cdot\cdot}(\Lambda) - 3).$$

appears in [Big78], which cannot be obtained from the product treated in the current work. While the concepts of indecomposable and connected graphs are tightly related, the precise algebraic relations remain to be explored in further work.

We also describe Chen's identity – the comultiplicative property of graph counting. It permits the simplification of computations for disconnected graphs in terms of their connected components. However, we remark that it does *not* decompose connected graphs into smaller objects. This is deemed important in practice since graph counting problems usually appear on large connected sample graphs. It is an open problem to find a more practical type of Chen's identity.

References

[ABS06] Marcelo Aguiar, Nantel Bergeron, and Frank Sottile. "Combinatorial Hopf algebras and generalized Dehn–Sommerville relations". In: *Compositio Mathematica* 142.1 (2006), pp. 1–30.

[AHS90] J Adamek, H Herrlich, and GE Strecker. *Abstract and Concrete Categories: The Joy of Cats*. 1990.

[BF20] Gérard Biau and Adeline Fermanian. "Learning with signatures". In: *International Workshop on Functional and Operatorial Statistics*. Springer. 2020, pp. 19–26.

[Big78] Norman Biggs. "On cluster expansions in graph theory and physics". In: *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 29.2 (1978), pp. 159–173.

[Bor+06] Christian Borgs, Jennifer Chayes, László Lovász, Vera T Sós, and Katalin Vesztergombi. "Counting graph homomorphisms". In: *Topics in discrete mathematics*. Springer, 2006, pp. 315–371.

[Bor15] Nicolas Borie. "The Hopf algebra of graph invariants". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05843* (2015).

[Bra+21] Gecia Bravo-Hermsdorff, Lee M Gunderson, Pierre-André Maugis, and Carey E Priebe. "A principled (and practical) test for network comparison". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.11403* (2021).

[Bre+18] Marco Bressan, Flavio Chierichetti, Ravi Kumar, Stefano Leucci, and Alessandro Panconesi. "Motif counting beyond five nodes". In: *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD)* 12.4 (2018), pp. 1–25.

[Car07] Pierre Cartier. "A primer of Hopf algebras". In: *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II*. Springer, 2007, pp. 537–615.

[CDM17] Radu Curticapean, Holger Dell, and Dániel Marx. "Homomorphisms are a good basis for counting small subgraphs". In: *Proceedings of the 49th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*. 2017, pp. 210–223.

[CO18] Ilya Chevyrev and Harald Oberhauser. "Signature moments to characterize laws of stochastic processes". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.10971* (2018).

[CP21] Pierre Cartier and Frédéric Patras. *Classical Hopf algebras and their applications*. Springer, 2021.

[DET20a] Joscha Diehl, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, and Nikolas Tapia. "Generalized iterated-sums signatures". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04597* (2020).

[DET20b] Joscha Diehl, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, and Nikolas Tapia. "Iterated-sums signature, quasisymmetric functions and time series analysis". In: *Sem. Lothar. Combin B* 84 (2020), p. 86.

[GB20] Lee M Gunderson and Gecia Bravo-Hermsdorff. "Introducing Graph Cumulants: What is the Variance of Your Social Network?" In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.03959* (2020).

[GR20] Darij Grinberg and Victor Reiner. *Hopf algebras in combinatorics*. Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH, 2020.

[HGK10] Michiel Hazewinkel, Nadezhda Mikhailovna Gubareni, and Vladimir V Kirichenko. *Algebras, rings and modules: Lie algebras and Hopf algebras*. Vol. 3. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.

[KO19] Franz J Király and Harald Oberhauser. "Kernels for sequentially ordered data". In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 20.31 (2019), pp. 1–45.

[Lov12] László Lovász. *Large networks and graph limits*. Vol. 60. American Mathematical Soc., 2012.

[Lov67] László Lovász. "Operations with structures". In: *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* 18.3-4 (1967), pp. 321–328.

[Lyo98] Terry J Lyons. "Differential equations driven by rough signals". In: *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 14.2 (1998), pp. 215–310.

[Man08] Dominique Manchon. "Hopf algebras in renormalisation". In: *Handbook of algebra* 5 (2008), pp. 365–427.

[Mau+20] P-AG Maugis, SC Olhede, CE Priebe, and PJ Wolfe. "Testing for equivalence of network distribution using subgraph counts". In: *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 29.3 (2020), pp. 455–465.

[Mil+02] Ron Milo, Shai Shen-Orr, Shalev Itzkovitz, Nadav Kashtan, Dmitri Chklovskii, and Uri Alon. "Network motifs: simple building blocks of complex networks". In: *Science* 298.5594 (2002), pp. 824–827.

[nla] nlab. *category of simple graphs - nlab*. URL: https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/category+of+simple+graphs (visited on 06/16/2021).

[Pen22] Raul Penaguiao. "Pattern Hopf algebras". In: *Annals of Combinatorics* online first (2022).

[Rad11] David E Radford. *Hopf algebras*. Vol. 49. World Scientific, 2011.

[Rec+19] Stefano Recanatesi, Gabriel Koch Ocker, Michael A Buice, and Eric Shea-Brown. "Dimensionality in recurrent spiking networks: global trends in activity and local origins in connectivity". In: *PLoS computational biology* 15.7 (2019), e1006446.

[Sch94] William R Schmitt. "Incidence Hopf algebras". In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 96.3 (1994), pp. 299–330.

[Sch95] William R Schmitt. "Hopf algebra methods in graph theory". In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 101.1 (1995), pp. 77–90.

[Sim97] Juan Jacobo Simón. "There is no analog of the transpose map for infinite matrices". In: *Publicacions Matemàtiques* (1997), pp. 653–657.

[Whi32] Hassler Whitney. "The coloring of graphs". In: *Annals of Mathematics* (1932), pp. 688–718.

A Appendix

A.1 Background on Hopf algebras

A.1.1 Hopf algebras

This section is based on [GR20] and [Man08]. Here we give the definition of Hopf algebra. We start by recalling the basic notions necessary for their definition.

A.1.2 Algebras and coalgebras

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field (of characteristic zero). All vector spaces considered here are $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces and all linear maps are $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps. We now give the definition of (associative) algebra.

Definition A.1 (Algebra)**.** A **$\mathbf{k}$-algebra** A is a vector space equipped with a **product** $m : A \otimes A \to A$ and a linear functional $u : \mathbf{k} \to A$ called **unit** for the which two conditions hold.

$$m \circ (m \otimes \mathrm{id}) = m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes m)$$
$$m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes u) \circ l = \mathrm{id} = m \circ (u \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ l'.$$

The first condition says that the product is **associative**, while the second means that there is a unit element in A. Here $l : A \to A \otimes \mathbf{k}$ and $l' : A \to \mathbf{k} \otimes A$, are isomorphisms sending $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes a$, respectively. Note that in the following we will write $ab = a \cdot_A b := m(a \otimes b)$. The unit map is simply $u(1_{\mathbf{k}}) = 1_A$.

The definition of coalgebra can be seen as the dual notion of algebra.

Definition A.2 (Coalgebra)**.** A **k-coalgebra** C is a vector space equipped with two linear maps: a **coproduct** $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ and a **counit** $\varepsilon : C \to \mathbf{k}$ such that the following holds:

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \tag{18}$$

$$t \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id} = t' \circ (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta. \tag{19}$$

The first condition is called **coassociativity**. Here $t : C \otimes \mathbf{k} \to C$, $t' : \mathbf{k} \otimes C \to C$, are isomorphisms mapping $c \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto c$ respectively $1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes c \mapsto c$. Note that for a given coproduct Δ there is at most one map ε such that the previous condition holds.

Definition A.3 (Commutative, Cocommutative)**.** We say that an algebra A is commutative if $m_A = m_A \circ \tau$, where $\tau : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \tau(a_1 \otimes a_2) = a_2 \otimes a_1$. A coalgebra C is cocommutative if $\Delta_C = \tau \circ \Delta_C$.

For the coproduct, we will use **Sweedler's notation**.

$$\Delta(c) = \sum_{(c)} c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}.$$

We now introduce homomorphisms for algebras and coalgebras.

Definition A.4. A linear map $\varphi : (A, m_A) \to (B, m_B)$ is an **algebra homomorphism** or an **algebra map** whenever

$$\varphi \circ m_A = m_B \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi).$$

Additionally, $\varphi \circ u_A = u_B$ for unital associative algebras. A **coalgebra map** or **coalgebra homomorphism** is a linear function $\psi : (C, \Delta_C) \to (D, \Delta_D)$ which preserves the coalgebra structure.

$$(\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_C = \Delta_D \circ \psi.$$

If the coalgebra is counital, then $\varepsilon_D \circ \psi = \varepsilon_C$.

Isomorphisms of algebras and coalgebras are bijective homomorphisms such that the inverses are also morphisms. We have the following result.

Lemma A.5. *Let $(A_1, m_1), (A_2, m_2)$ be algebras and let $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ be a homomorphism. In case ϕ admits a compositional inverse, ϕ is an isomorphism. Moreover, let (C_1, Δ_1), (C_2, Δ_2) be coalgebras and let $\psi : C_1 \to C_2$ be a homomorphism. In case ψ admits a compositional inverse, ψ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The definition of algebra homomorphism implies that $\phi \circ m_1 = m_2 \circ (\phi \otimes \phi)$. Composing by ϕ^{-1} on the left yields $m_1 = \phi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ m_1 = \phi^{-1} \circ m_2 \circ (\phi \otimes \phi)$. The result follows from composing the last equation with $\phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1}$ on the right

$$m_1 \circ (\phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1}) = \phi^{-1} \circ m_2.$$

For coalgebras,

$$\Delta_1 = (\psi^{-1} \otimes \psi^{-1}) \circ (\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_1 = (\psi^{-1} \otimes \psi^{-1}) \circ \Delta_2 \circ \psi,$$

and the result follows once we compose on the right with ψ^{-1}. □

A.1.3 Bialgebras

Definition A.6 (Tensor product of two algebras)**.** Given two coalgebras A, B, the tensor product $A \otimes B$ becomes a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra with multiplication defined as follows:

$$m_{A\otimes B} : (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \to A \otimes B$$
$$m((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) := aa' \otimes bb'$$

and unit map:

$$u_{A\otimes B} : \mathbf{k} \to A \otimes B$$
$$u_{A\otimes B}(1_k) = 1_A \otimes 1_B.$$

We are now ready to define bialgebras.

Definition A.7 (Bialgebra)**.** Let A be both a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and a $\mathbf{k}$-coalgebra. We say that A is a bialgebra if for all $x, y \in A$:

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y),$$

i.e. the coproduct $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ is an algebra morphism, and

$$\varepsilon(xy) = \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(y),$$

i.e. $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbf{k}$ is an algebra morphism.

Definition A.8 (Bialgebra morphism)**.** Let A, B be $\mathbf{k}$-bialgebras. Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map that is an algebra as well as a coalgebra homomorphism. Then we say that φ is a bialgebra homomorphism.

Definition A.9. Let x be an element in the coalgebra C. We say that x is group-like if $\Delta(x) = x \otimes x$ and $\varepsilon(x) = 1$.

Definition A.10. Let A be a bialgebra, we say that $x \in A$ is primitive if $\Delta(x) = 1_A \otimes x + x \otimes 1_A$.

Remark A.11. *Note that zero is not group-like. In fact, zero is primitive,* $\Delta(0) = 0 = 1_A \otimes 0 + 0 \otimes 1_A$*, as the set of primitive elements forms a subspace.*

Definition A.12 (Ideal, Coideal)**.** i) J is a two-sided **ideal** of an algebra A, if it is a subspace of A such that $m(J \otimes A) \subset A$ and $m(A \otimes J) \subset A$. The quotient A/J is again an algebra.
ii) J is a two-sided **coideal** of a coalgebra C, if it is a subspace of C such that $\Delta(J) \subset J \otimes A + A \otimes J$ and $\varepsilon(J) = 0$. The quotient C/J is again a coalgebra.
iii) In case J is both a two-sided ideal as well as a coideal of a bialgebra A, then the quotient A/J is a bialgebra.

A.1.4 Hopf algebra

A Hopf algebra is a bialgebra with a particular linear map called antipode. The latter is defined to be the inverse of id for the convolution product. Hence, to define a Hopf algebra we need to introduce the concept of convolution algebra. Let C be a coalgebra and A an algebra. Consider the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ of linear maps. It becomes a unital algebra by defining the convolution product:

$$f * g := m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_C$$

for $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$. The unit is given by $u_A \circ \varepsilon_C$. Indeed, using (19), we find for every $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$:

$$\sum f(c_{(1)})\varepsilon(c_{(2)}) = f(c) = \sum \varepsilon(c_{(1)})f(c_{(2)}).$$

In particular, we can endow $\mathrm{End}(A)$ with a convolution product when A is a bialgebra.

Definition A.13 (Hopf Algebra)**.** A **Hopf algebra** is a bialgebra $(\mathcal{H}, m, u, \Delta, \epsilon)$ with an antipode, i.e., there exists a linear map $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$S * \mathrm{id} = u \circ \epsilon = \mathrm{id} * S. \tag{20}$$

The following statement tells us that if the antipode exists, it is unique. Moreover, it is an algebra anti-homomorphism.

Theorem A.14. *Let $(\mathcal{H}, m, u, \Delta, \epsilon)$ be a bialgebra. If S and S' are both antipodes, then $S = S'$. We have $S(1_\mathcal{H}) = 1_\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the antipode is an anti-homomorphism.*

$$S \circ m(a \otimes b) = m \circ (S(b) \otimes S(a)).$$

Proof. Uniqueness is guaranteed by the fact that inverse elements in a ring are unique. Let S and S' be inverses of id under the convolution product then: $(S - S') * \mathrm{id} = u \circ \epsilon - u \circ \epsilon = 0$. Since $\Delta(1_\mathcal{H}) = 1_\mathcal{H} \otimes 1_\mathcal{H},$, $S(1_\mathcal{H})1_\mathcal{H} = 1_\mathcal{H}$ means that $S(1_\mathcal{H}) = 1_\mathcal{H}$. For the anti-homomorphism property check the proof of [GR20, Proposition 1.4.10]. □

It is known, as noted in [CP21, p. 43], that given two Hopf Algebras, $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$, any bialgebra homomorphism is automatically a Hopf algebra homomorphism.

Proposition A.15. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{H}_1, m_1, \Delta_1, S_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, m_2, \Delta_2, S_2)$ are Hopf algebras. For all bialgebra homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, it holds that*

$$\varphi \circ S_1 = S_2 \circ \varphi. \tag{21}$$

Proof. Notice that both functions $\varphi \circ S_1$ and $S_2 \circ \varphi$ are convolutional inverses of ϕ. We can first use the multiplicative property of φ, in order to see it.

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi \circ S_1)\Delta_1 &= m_2 \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ (\mathrm{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ \Delta_2 \\ &= \varphi \circ m_1 \circ (\mathrm{id}_1 \otimes S_1) \circ \Delta_1 \\ &= \varphi \circ (u_1 \circ \varepsilon_1) \\ &= u_2 \circ \varepsilon_1 \end{aligned}$$

This is the same function we obtain by using the comultiplicative property.

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 \circ \varepsilon_1 &= (u_2 \circ \varepsilon_2) \circ \varphi \\ &= m_2 \circ (S_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2) \circ \Delta_2 \circ \varphi \\ &= m_2 \circ (S_2 \otimes \mathrm{id}_2) \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_1. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from the uniqueness of the inverse in associative unital algebras. □

In addition to the abstract definitions, there are some natural examples of what is a Hopf algebra. Perhaps the most important of them, according to Theorem 2.3, is the polynomial Hopf algebra.

Example A.16. *The **polynomial** Hopf algebra in one generator x is defined in the space*

$$\boldsymbol{k}[x] := \left(\boldsymbol{k}\left\langle 1, x, x^2, x^3, \ldots \right\rangle, m, \Delta \right)$$

where product and coproduct are

$$m(x^m \otimes x^n) := x^{m+n} \quad \textit{resp.} \quad \Delta(x^n) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} \otimes x^k.$$

The counit and antipode are given by

$$\varepsilon(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \qquad \textit{resp.} \quad S(x^n) = (-1)^n x^n.$$

Notice that if $e_a : \boldsymbol{k}[x] \to \boldsymbol{k}$ is the linear extension of the evaluation in $a \in \boldsymbol{k}$: $x^n \mapsto a^n$, then

$$m \circ (e_a \otimes e_b) \circ \Delta = e_{a+b}.$$

This is due to the binomial theorem.

A.1.5 Grading, filtration, and connectedness

We give the definition of a graded vector space, from which one can define graded algebras, coalgebras, and bialgebras. We will see that in some cases the weaker notion of filtered algebras and coalgebras can be used when these objects are not graded.

Definition A.17 (Graded vector space)**.** A graded $\mathbf{k}$-vector space V is a vector space that admits a decomposition as direct sum $V := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} V_n$ of vector spaces $\{V_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Note that for $V \otimes V$, one considers the induced grading given by V

$$(V \otimes V)_n := \bigoplus_{i+j=n} V_i \otimes V_j.$$

It is natural to consider graded linear maps.

Definition A.18 (Graded maps)**.** Let V, W be graded vector spaces. Then $\phi : V \to W$ is graded if $\phi(V_n) \subset W_n$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Let V be a graded vector space. In case $V_0 \cong \mathbf{k}$ we say that V is connected. This is a technical definition: its meaning will become clearer when introducing filtered connected bialgebras.

Definition A.19 (Graded algebras and coalgebras)**.** A graded algebra and coalgebra, $A = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A_n$ respectively $C = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} C_n$, are graded vector spaces such that for all $n, m \geq 0$

$$A_n A_m \subset A_{n+m} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \Delta(C_n) \subset \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_i \otimes C_j.$$

In other words, products and coproducts are graded maps.

A bialgebra is graded if it is graded as an algebra as well as a coalgebra.

Definition A.20 (Filtered algebras and coalgebras)**.** We consider now an algebra $A = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A^n$ such that $A^0 \subset A^1 \subset \cdots \subset A^n \subset \cdots$. We say that A is a filtered algebra if $A^n A^m \subset A^{n+m}$.
Similarly, a coalgebra $C = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} C^n$ is filtered if

$$\Delta(C^n) \subset \sum_{i+j=n} C^i \otimes C^j.$$

A bialgebra is filtered if is filtered as an algebra as well as a coalgebra.

Note that a graded bialgebra is always filtered since we can define the filtration in terms of

$$A^n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} A_i.$$

We can define filtered maps (this is a very weak notion) between filtered vector spaces V, W as linear maps $\phi : V \to W$ such that $\phi(V^n) \subset W^n$, for all $n \geq 0$.

A.1.6 Connected filtered Hopf algebra

Here we show that in the particular case where the bialgebra is connected and filtered, the antipode always exists. We start by introducing some notation. Let A be an algebra, for $k \geq 0$ we define inductively the iterated product $m_k : A^{\otimes(k+1)} \to A$

$$\begin{aligned} m^0 &:= \mathrm{id} \\ m^k &:= m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes m^{k-1}), \quad \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for a coalgebra C we define the iterated coproduct $\Delta^k : C \to C^{\otimes(k+1)}$

$$\Delta^0 := \mathrm{id}$$

$$\Delta^k := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta^{k-1}) \circ \Delta, \quad \text{if } k \geq 1.$$

We can now define for any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ and $n \geq 1$, the n-th convolution power

$$f^{*0} := u_A \circ \varepsilon_C \quad \text{and} \quad f^{*n+1} := m^n(\underbrace{f \otimes \cdots \otimes f}_{\text{n+1 times}})\Delta^n.$$

Lemma A.21. *[Man08, Section 4.2] Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered bialgebra, then for any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$ with $n \geq 1$, we can write:*

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x + \widetilde{\Delta}(x),$$

where the reduced coproduct (the non primitive part)

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(x) \in \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \neq 0, j \neq 0}} \mathcal{H}^i \otimes \mathcal{H}^j.$$

In particular, if $|x| = 1$, where $|x| := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in \mathcal{H}^n\}$ then

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x.$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is coassociative on $\ker(\varepsilon)$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_k := (\mathrm{id}^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta}) \circ (\mathrm{id}^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \widetilde{\Delta}) \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{\Delta}$ sends $\mathcal{H}^n$ to $(\mathcal{H}^{n-k})^{\otimes k+1}$.

Note that $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ is group-like, i.e., $\Delta(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}}$. We will use the following variation to Sweedler's notation for the reduced coproduct $\widetilde{\Delta}$:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(x) := \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x''.$$

From the next proposition, it follows that the antipode always exists in the connected filtered case.

Proposition A.22. *[Man08, Section 4.3] Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered bialgebra. Suppose A is an algebra. Consider the convolution algebra $(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, A), *)$ with unit $u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}$. The convolution product defines a group law on the set*

$$G(A) := \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, A), \varphi(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_A\}.$$

Proof. $G(A)$ is closed under the convolution product. Indeed

$$\varphi * \varphi'(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = m_A \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi') \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_A$$

We need to show the existence of inverses. For this we consider

$$\varphi^{*-1} := (u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - (u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi))^{*-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi)^{*n}.$$

The series always terminates. Indeed, first consider the elements of $\mathcal{H}^0$. We have $(u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}})(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_A$ and $(u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi)^{*n}(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, since $\Delta^n(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_{\mathcal{H}}^{\otimes(n+1)}$. Due to the filtered bialgebra being connected, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}^0$, it is true that $\varphi^{*k}(x) = 0$ for $k > 0$.

Now for $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$, suppose that $(u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi)^{*k}(x) = 0$ for $k > n$. If $y \in \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$, then it holds true that

$$\Delta(y) = y \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes y + \sum_{(y)} y' \otimes y'',$$

where $y', y'' \in \mathcal{H}^n$ by Lemma A.21. Using coassociativity, one can observe that for $k > n$,

$$(u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi)^{*k+1}(y) = m^k \circ (u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi)^{\otimes k+1} \Delta^k(y)$$

$$= m^k \circ (\varphi^{\otimes k} \otimes \varphi) \left(\Delta^{k-1}(y) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + \Delta^{k-1}(1_{\mathcal{H}}) \otimes y + \sum_{(y)} \Delta^{k-1}(y') \otimes y'' \right)$$
$$= \varphi^{*k}(y)\varphi(1_{\mathcal{H}}) + \varphi^{*k}(1_{\mathcal{H}})\varphi(y) + \sum_{(y)} \varphi^{*k}(y')\varphi(y'').$$

Notice that, by induction hypothesis $y' \in \mathcal{H}^n$ and hence

$$\varphi(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = \varphi^{*k}(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = \varphi^{*k}(y') = 0.$$

□

In the special case in which we consider the convolution algebra on $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H})$, recall that the antipode is the convolutional inverse of the identity:

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} (u \circ \varepsilon - \mathrm{id})^{*n}. \tag{22}$$

Remark A.23. *As pointed out in [Man08], when having a connected filtered Hopf algebra, we can compute the antipode recursively. Recall that $S(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$, $n \geq 1$ then*

$$m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(x) = u \circ \varepsilon(x) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(x) &= m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id})(1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x + x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}} + \widetilde{\Delta}(x)) \\ &= x + S(x) + m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id})\widetilde{\Delta}(x) \\ &= x + S(x) + \sum_{(x)} S(x')x''. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the recursion

$$S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} S(x')x'', \tag{23}$$

where in the sum on the righthand side, S is evaluated on strictly smaller elements.

A.1.7 Dual vector spaces, adjoint maps

We introduce notation for the dual of a vector space and for the graded dual. The main reference for this section is [GR20]. The vector spaces we will be working on are of **finite type**, i.e. they are graded $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$ and each subspace V_n has finite dimension.

Definition A.24 (Graded dual)**.** Let V be a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space. The dual of V is the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $V^* := \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbf{k})$. When $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$ is a graded vector space, the **graded dual** is the subspace $V^\circ := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n^* \subset V^*$.

Note that $V^* = \prod_{n \geq 0} V_n^*$ is a larger space than V°. Functions that are non-zero on infinitely many V_n are also part of V^*. For instance, let $\zeta \in V^*$ be the functional sending each basis element of V to 1. Then, $\zeta \in V^*$, but $\zeta \notin V^\circ$.

For a vector space V, we denote the **bilinear pairing** as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : & V^* \otimes V \to \mathbf{k} \\ & f \otimes v \mapsto f(v). \end{aligned}$$

Consider now a $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\phi : V \to W$. It induces an **adjoint map** $\phi_{adj} : W^* \to V^*$ defined as follows:

$$\langle g, \phi(v) \rangle_W = \langle \phi_{adj}(g), v \rangle_V$$

In case V, W are finite-dimensional, then $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(W^*, V^*)$ are isomorphic as vector spaces via the adjoint map. This connection is carried on by the matrix transpose on this finite case. However, there is not an equivalent notion of matrix transpose for the infinite-dimensional case [Sim97].

Fortunately, it is known [GR20; HGK10; Car07] that this notion is well defined for the graded dual of finite type vector spaces and homomorphisms respecting that grading.

For a graded coalgebra (C, Δ_C), the **dual product** on $C^\circ \otimes C^\circ$ is uniquely defined as follows.

$$\langle m_{C^\circ \otimes C^\circ}(a \otimes b), c\rangle_C := \langle a \otimes b, \Delta_C(c)\rangle_{C\otimes C}. \tag{24}$$

The linear transformation $m_{C^\circ\otimes C^\circ}$ is then the adjoint map of Δ_C accordingly restricted to the graded dual. Note that this is only a subalgebra of the convolution algebra on C^*.

Moreover, for a graded algebra (A, m_A) on a vector space of finite type, one can uniquely define its **dual coproduct**.

$$\langle \Delta_{A^\circ}(c), a \otimes b\rangle_A := \langle c, m_A(a \otimes b)\rangle_{A\otimes A}. \tag{25}$$

These definitions allow us to dualize immediately graded bialgebras. In the following, we will consider bialgebras that are not graded and give sufficient conditions so that (24) and (25) can still be applied.

Lemma A.25. *Suppose that (C, Δ) is a coalgebra where $C = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} C_n$ is of finite type. If*

$$\Delta(C_n) \subset \bigoplus_{i+j\geq n} C_i \otimes C_j,$$

then its dual product can be defined as in Eq. (24). Assume that (A, m_A) is an algebra where $A = \bigoplus_n A_n$ is of finite type. If

$$m(A_n \otimes A_k) \subset \bigoplus_{\ell\geq \max(n,k)} A_\ell,$$

then we can define its dual coalgebra $\Delta_{A^\circ}(c)$ as in (25).

Proof. Fix $a\otimes b \in C_i^\circ \otimes C_j^\circ$. Then $\langle a\otimes b, \Delta(c)\rangle_{C\otimes C}$ can be non-zero only for finitely many basis elements of C. Indeed, for $c \in C_\ell$ where $\ell > i + j$ it holds that $\Delta(c) \in \bigoplus_{x+y\geq l} C_x \otimes C_y$. Since $i + j < \ell$ and $x + y \geq \ell$,

$$\langle a \otimes b, \Delta(c)\rangle_{C\otimes C} = 0$$

Fix $c \in A_t^\circ$. $\langle c, m(a\otimes b)\rangle_{A\otimes A}$ can be non-zero only for a finite number of basis elements of $A\otimes A$. Indeed:

$$a \otimes b \in \bigoplus_{i>t \text{ or } j>t} A_i \otimes A_j \implies \langle c, m(a \otimes b)\rangle_{A\otimes A} = 0.$$

□

A.1.8 Characters

Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hopf Algebra. The characters form a group.

Proposition A.26. *If (A, m_A, u_A) is a commutative unital associative algebra. If $f, g : (\mathcal{H}, m_\mathcal{H}) \to (A, m_A)$ are unital algebra homomorphisms, then $f * (g \circ S)$ is also a unital algebra morphism.*

Proof. Recall that $h \circ m_\mathcal{H} = m_A \circ (h \otimes h)$ if h is an algebra morphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_\mathcal{H} \circ m_\mathcal{H} &= m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ (m_\mathcal{H} \otimes m_\mathcal{H}) \circ \tau_{(2,3)} \circ (\Delta_\mathcal{H} \otimes \Delta_\mathcal{H}) \\ &= m_A \circ (m_A \otimes m_A) \circ (f \otimes f \otimes g \otimes g) \circ \tau_{(2,3)} \circ (\Delta_\mathcal{H} \otimes \Delta_\mathcal{H}) \\ &= m_A \circ (m_A \otimes m_A) \circ \tau_{(2,3)} \circ (f \otimes g \otimes f \otimes g) \circ (\Delta_\mathcal{H} \otimes \Delta_\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\sigma_{(2,3)} := \mathrm{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathrm{id}$. Thanks to commutativity of A, we have that

$$m_A \circ (m_A \otimes m_A) \circ \tau_{(2,3)} = m_A \circ (m_A \otimes m_A).$$

Therefore,

$$m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \circ m_{\mathcal{H}} = m_A \circ (m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}) \otimes (m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

This shows that the set of algebra morphisms is closed under the convolution product. Now, regarding inverses, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} m_A \circ (g \otimes (g \circ S)) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}} &= m_A \circ (g \otimes g) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= g \circ m_{\mathcal{H}} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= g \circ u_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= u_A \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

A.2 Coassociativity, cocommutativity, associativity and commutativity

Theorem A.27 (as in Theorem 1.25)**.** *The coproducts in Section 1.4.1, Section 1.4.2, and Section 1.4.3 are counital, coassociative and cocommutative. Dually, the corresponding products are unital, associative and commutative.*

Proof. It is immediate to see that the coproducts are counital and the products are unital. Cocommutativity is also immediate. Associativity of $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$ and $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ is immediate. Coassociativity of Δ_{hom} and $\Delta_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ then follows since $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}}$ and $\cdot_{\mathsf{hom}'}$ are graded and the underlying vector space is of finite type.

We now show the coassociativity of $\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}$. Define for $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$, the following sets,

$$\begin{aligned} S := \{((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2)) | A_1 \cup B_1 \cup C_1 = V(\tau), A_2 \cup B_2 \cup C_2 = E(\tau), \\ \bigcup A_2 \subseteq A_1, \bigcup B_2 \subseteq B_1, \bigcup C_2 \subseteq C_1\} \\ T := \{((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2) | A_1 \cup B_1 = V(\tau), A_2 \cup B_2 = E(\tau), \bigcup A_2 \subseteq A_1, \bigcup B_2 \subseteq B_1\} \end{aligned}$$

and the maps,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : S \to T,\ ((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2)) \mapsto ((A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cup B_2), (C_1, C_2)) \\ \psi : S \to T,\ ((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2)) \mapsto ((A_1, A_2), (B_1 \cup C_1, B_2 \cup C_2)). \end{aligned}$$

ϕ and ψ are clearly surjective. We denote with $s := ((A_1, A_2), (B_1, B_2), (C_1, C_2))$ an element of S and also write $f(s) := \tau|_{A_1,A_2} \otimes \tau|_{B_1,B_2} \otimes \tau|_{C_1,C_2}$. We then have

$$\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in \psi^{-1}(t)} f(s) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(t)} f(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in \psi^{-1}(t)} f(s) &= \sum_{\substack{X_1 \cup Y_1 = V(\tau) \\ X_2 \cup Y_2 = E(\tau) \\ \cup X_2 \subseteq X_1, \cup Y_2 \subseteq Y_1}} \sum_{\substack{A_1 \cup B_1 = X_1 \\ A_2 \cup B_2 = X_2 \\ \cup A_2 \subseteq A_1, \cup B_2 \subseteq B_1}} \tau|_{A_1,A_2} \otimes \tau|_{B_1,B_2} \otimes \tau|_{Y_1,Y_2} \\ \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(t)} f(s) &= \sum_{\substack{X_1 \cup Y_1 = V(\tau) \\ X_2 \cup Y_2 = E(\tau) \\ \cup X_2 \subseteq X_1, \cup Y_2 \subseteq Y_1}} \sum_{\substack{A_1 \cup B_1 = Y_1 \\ A_2 \cup B_2 = Y_2 \\ \cup A_2 \subseteq A_1, \cup B_2 \subseteq B_1}} \tau|_{X_1,X_2} \otimes \tau|_{A_1,A_2} \otimes \tau|_{B_1,B_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\forall \tau \in \mathcal{G}$:

$$(\Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}) \circ \Delta_{\mathsf{mono}'}(\tau).$$

The proof for $\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ is very similar. It then follows, using Lemma A.25 that $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}'}$ and $\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}'}$ are associative products. We can then easily see, thanks to Remark 1.10, that $\cdot_{\mathsf{mono}}$ and $\cdot_{\mathsf{regmono}}$ are also associative and using once again Lemma A.25 we obtain the coassociativity of Δ_{mono} and $\Delta_{\mathsf{regmono}}$. □

A.3 Categorical background

We recall basic notions from category theory.

A.3.1 Factorization of morphisms

For the moment we work in an arbitrary category $\mathcal{C}$. Let A, B be objects in $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{mor}(A, B)$ is

- a **monomorphism** if for all objects C and all $g, h \in \mathrm{mor}(C, A)$
$$f \circ g = f \circ h \Rightarrow g = h.$$
- a **regular monomorphism** if it is the equalizer of some parallel pair of morphisms, i.e. if there is a limit diagram of the form
$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightrightarrows D.$$
It is well-known that a regular monomorphism is a monomorphism.
- an **epimorphism**, if for all objects C and for all $g, h \in \mathrm{mor}(B, C)$
$$g \circ f = h \circ f \Rightarrow g = h.$$
- a **regular epimorphism** if it is the coequalizer of some parallel pair of morphisms, i.e. if there is a colimit diagram of the form
$$D \rightrightarrows A \xrightarrow{f} B.$$
It is well-known that a regular epimorphism is an epimorphism.
- a **section** if f as a left inverse, i.e. if there is an $f_L \in \mathrm{mor}(B, A)$ such that
$$f_L \circ f = \mathrm{id}_A .$$
- a **retraction** if f as a right inverse, i.e. if there is an $f_R \in \mathrm{mor}(B, A)$ such that
$$f \circ f_R = \mathrm{id}_A .$$
- an **isomorphism** if it has a two sided inverse: there is $f^{-1} \in \mathrm{mor}(B, A)$ with $f^{-1} \circ f = \mathrm{id}_A$, $f \circ f^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_B$.
- an **extremal monomorphism** if whenever $f = c \circ e$ with e an epimorphism, then e is an isomorphism.
- an **extremal epimorphism** if whenever $f = m \circ c$ with m a monomorphism, then m is an isomorphism.

Let E, M be classes of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ has (E, M)**-factorization** if every morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$ can be written as $f = e \circ m$ for some $e \in E, m \in M$.

From [AHS90] , we recall the following definition.

Definition A.28. A category $\mathcal{C}$ is (E, M)**-structured** if

i. E and M are both closed under composition of isomorphisms,

ii. $\mathcal{C}$ has (E, M)**-factorization**,

iii. $\mathcal{C}$ has the **unique** (E, M)**-diagonalization property**, i. e., for each commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ C & \xrightarrow[m]{} & D \end{array}$$

with $e \in E$ and $m \in M$ there exists a unique morphism d such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \overset{d}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ C & \xrightarrow[m]{} & D \end{array}$$

The proof of the following proposition can be found in [AHS90, Proposition 14.4].

Proposition A.29. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is (E, M)-structured, then (E, M)-factorizations are essentially unique, i.e.,*

i. if $A \xrightarrow{e_i} C_i \xrightarrow{m_i} B$ are (E, M)-factorizations of $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ for $i = 1, 2$, then there exists a (unique) isomorphism h, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{e_1} & C_1 \\ {\scriptstyle e_2}\downarrow & \overset{h}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_1} \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow[m_2]{} & B \end{array}$$

ii. if $A \xrightarrow{f} B = A \xrightarrow{e} C \xrightarrow{m} B$ is a factorization and $C \xrightarrow{h} D$ is an isomorphism, then $A \xrightarrow{f} B = A \xrightarrow{h \circ e} D \xrightarrow{m \circ h^{-1}} B$ is also an (E, M)-factorization of f.

We also recall part of [AHS90, Proposition 14.14].

Proposition A.30. *If a category $\mathcal{C}$ admits* (RegEpi, Mono) *((*Epi, RegMono*))* *factorization, then the category is* (RegEpi, Mono)*((*Epi, RegMono*))-structured. This factorization is unique in the sense of Proposition A.29.*

Proof. As an example, RegMono is closed under precomposition with isomorphisms. Indeed, if $f \in \mathrm{mor}(A, B)$ is a regular monomorphism, it is the equalizer of some parallel pair $\ell, m \in \mathrm{mor}(B, C)$. If $\phi : \mathrm{mor}(X, A)$ is an isomorphism, then $f \circ \phi$ is the equalizer of the same parallel pair ℓ, m.

□

A.3.2 Category of finite simple graph

The category of finite simple graphs consists of finite simple graphs $\sigma = (V(\sigma), E(\sigma))$ as objects and graph homomorphisms as morphisms, i.e. $f : \sigma \to \tau$ is a morphism if $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\sigma)$ implies $\{f(v_i), f(v_j)\} \in E(\tau)$. We now give a concrete description of some of thes above classes of morphisms.

Proposition A.31. *In the category of finite simple graphs $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$ is*

i. a monomorphism if and only if the function $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ is injective (injective on vertices[3]).

ii. an epimorphism if and only if the function $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ is surjective (surjective on vertices).

Proof. i. Let $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$, $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ be injective on vertices. Now take any $g, g' \in \mathrm{mor}(\tau, \gamma)$, $g, g' : V(\tau) \to V(\gamma)$. If $(f \circ g)(v) = (f \circ g')(v)$, then it follows that $g(v) = g'(v)$ for all $v \in V(\sigma)$, i.e. f is a monomorphism. Now let $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$ be a monomorphism. Assume that f is not injective. Then there exists two different vertices $v, v' \in V(\sigma)$ such that $f(v) = f(v')$. Take the graph with a unique vertex, $H := (*, \emptyset)$ and consider $g, g' \in \mathrm{mor}(H, \sigma)$ defined as $g(*) = v$ and $g'(*) = v'$. Then we have $f \circ g = f \circ g'$ and $g \neq g'$, i.e. f is not a monomorphism, which is a contradiction.

[3].. and then automatically on edges ..

ii. It is easy to see that if $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$, $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ is surjective on vertices, then it is an epimorphism. Now assume that f is an epimorphism but is not surjective. Then, define the graph τ' as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tau') &:= \operatorname{im} f \,\dot\cup\, (V(\tau) \setminus \operatorname{im} f) \,\dot\cup ((V(\tau) \setminus \operatorname{im} f) \times \{*\}) \\ E(\tau') &:= \{\{x, y\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\tau) \text{ or } x = (x', *), y = (y', *) \text{ with } \{x', y'\} \in E(\tau) \\ &\qquad \text{or } x \in V(\tau), y = (y', *) \text{ with } \{x, y'\} \in E(\tau)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $g, g' : \tau \to \tau'$ as $g(v) = v, \forall v \in V(\tau)$ and

$$g'(v) := \begin{cases} v & v \in \operatorname{im} f \\ (v, *) & a \in V(\tau) \setminus \operatorname{im} f \end{cases}.$$


then it follows that $g \circ f = g' \circ f$ and $g \neq g'$, i.e. f is not an epimorphism, which is a contradiction.

□

We now show how equalizers and coequalizers behave in this category.

Lemma A.32. *In the category of simple graphs, equalizers always exist.*

Proof. Let $f, f' \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$. We define $S := \{a \in V(\sigma) \mid f(a) = f'(a)\}$ and consider the induced subgraph σ_S and the inclusion map $\iota : \sigma_S \to \sigma$. Clearly $\iota \circ f = \iota \circ f'$ and $\iota \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma_S, \sigma)$. Let (σ', g), with $g : \sigma' \to \sigma$, be a cone: i. e. $f \circ g = f' \circ g$. Clearly, $g(V(\sigma')) \subset S$. Define the co-restriction of g on $S \subset V(\sigma)$, $g_S : V(\sigma') \to S$, $g_S(a) := g(a), \forall a \in V(\sigma')$. Clearly $g_S \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma', \sigma_S)$ and $g = \iota \circ g_S$. Uniqueness of g_S holds because ι is a monomorphism. To summarize, we have shown that the following diagram commutes:



.

□

On the contrary,

Example A.33. *In the category of simple graphs, coequalizers do not always exist.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\})$ and $\tau(\{1, 2, 3\}, \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\})$. Define the two graph homomorphisms $f, f' : \sigma \to \tau$ as $f(1) = 1, f(2) = 2, f(3) = 3$, and $f'(1) = 1, f'(2) = 3, f'(3) = 2$. Clearly there does not exist a graph γ and a graph homorphisms g such that

$$\sigma \underset{f'}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} \tau \xrightarrow{g} \gamma.$$

□

We now characterize the existence of coequalizers.

Lemma A.34. *Consider*

$$\sigma \underset{f'}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} \tau$$


any parallel pair in the category of finite simple graphs. Consider the partition on the vertex set of τ, $P_{V(\tau)}$, induced by the smallest equivalence relation containing $\bigcup_{v \in V(\sigma)} \{(f(v), f'(v))\}$. Consider the graph, possibly with loops,

$$\tau' := (P_{V(\tau)}, \{\{p, q\} \in [V]^2_{\mathbf{multi}} \mid \exists x \in p, \exists y \in q \ : \{x, y\} \in E(\tau)\}),$$

where $[V]^2_{\mathbf{multi}}$ *denotes the set of multisets of cardinality* 2 *with elements in* $P_{V(\tau)}$*. A coequalizer exists if and only if* τ' *does not contain loops. The coequalizer is given by* τ' *together with the map* $g : \tau \to \tau'$ *that takes each vertex to the respective block. This map is surjective and* $g(E(\tau)) = E(\tau')$*.*

Proof. When τ' has a loop, we have that a "proper" multiset $\{p, p\} \in [V]^2_{\mathbf{multi}}$, i. e. $\exists\{x, y\} \subseteq p \subseteq V(\tau)$ such that $\{x, y\} \in E(\tau)$. Now Let $f^1 := f$ and $f^{-1} := f'$. If there is a loop then $\exists v_1, ..., v_n \in V(\sigma)$ and $\exists e_1, ..., e_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that $x = f^{e_1}(v_1) \sim f^{-e_1}(v_1) = f^{e_2}(v_2) \sim f^{-e_2}(v_2), \ldots, f^{e_{n-1}}(v_{n-1}) \sim f^{-e_{n-1}}(v_{n-1}) = f^{e_n}(v_n) \sim f^{-e_n}(v_n) = y$. Since $g \circ f^{e_i}(v_i) = g \circ f^{-e_i}(v_i)$, for all $i = 1, ..., n$, then $g(x) = g(y)$. Hence, since $\{x, y\} \in E(\tau)$, g is *not* a graph homomorphism (i.e. in the category of simple, undirected graphs).

For the other direction, assume now that τ' does not have a loop. We show that for all graphs γ and graph homomorphism h from τ to γ such that $h \circ f = h \circ f'$, the following diagram commutes:



where u is the unique map such that $h = u \circ g$. Recall that $g : \tau \to \tau'$ is the map taking each vertex to the corresponding block. The equality $g \circ f = g \circ f'$ clearly holds. Moreover, g is a graph homomorphism, since

$$\{x, y\} \in E(\tau) \implies \{g(x), g(y)\} \in E(\tau')$$

by definition of $E(\tau')$. We then argue as follows: in order for h and γ to be a cocone it must be that the partition induced on the vertex set of τ by the preimages of h is at least as coarse as the one induced by the preimages of g. Then we define $u : \tau' \to \gamma$ as:

$$u(c) := h \circ g^{-1}(c)$$

which is well defined because $\forall c \in V(\tau')$, $\exists v \in V(\gamma)$ such that $g^{-1}(c) \subseteq h^{-1}(v)$. It is also a graph homomorphism since

$$\{p, q\} \in E(\tau') \implies \{u(p), u(q)\} \in E(\gamma)$$

which holds because

$$\{p, q\} \in E(\tau') \implies \exists x \in p, \exists y \in q \ : \{x, y\} \in E(\tau) \implies \{h(x), h(y)\} \in E(\gamma).$$

and since $h(x) = u(p)$ and $h(y) = u(q)$

$$\{h(x), h(y)\} \in E(\gamma) \implies \{u(p), u(q)\} \in E(\gamma).$$

Finally $g : \tau \to \tau'$ is obviously surjective and for each $\{p, q\} \in E(\tau')$, recall that $\exists x \in p, \exists y \in q \ : \{x, y\} \in E(\tau)$ and $p = g(x)$ and $q = g(y)$. Uniqueness of u follows from g being an epimorphism.

□

We now give a concrete description of regular monomorphisms and regular epimorphisms in the category of finite simple graphs.

Proposition A.35. *In the category of finite simple graphs* $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$ *is*

i. a regular monomorphism if and only if the function $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ *is injective and*

$$\{i, j\} \in E(\sigma) \Leftrightarrow \{f(i), f(j)\} \in E(\tau),$$

i.e. if and only if the 'corestricted' map $f : \sigma \to \tau_{f(V(\sigma))}$ *is an isomorphism.*

ii. a regular epimorphism if and only if the function $f : V(\sigma) \to V(\tau)$ *is surjective* and *the induced function* $f : E(\sigma) \to E(\tau)$ *is surjective (surjective on vertices and edges).*

Remark A.36. *It is not clear to us whether there exists a useful alternative characterization of sections in the category of simple graphs.*

A section is automatically a regular monomorphism, but the converse is not true in general. For example, in the category of simple graphs, the map taking an edge to one of the edges of a triangle is a regular monomorphism but not a split monomorphism.

Proof. We provide hands-on proofs. For high-level proofs of these statements, see [nla].

i. Let $f \in \mathrm{mor}(\sigma, \tau)$ be an equalizer of a parallel pair of morphisms $g, g' \in \mathrm{mor}(\tau, \gamma)$. Define $S = \{a \in V(\tau) | g(a) = g'(a)\}$. Using Lemma A.32, we know that τ_S together with the inclusion $\iota : \tau_S \to \tau$ is also an equalizer. Since two equalizers must be isomorphic, we have that $f = \iota \circ h$, where $h \in \mathrm{Iso}(\sigma, \tau_S)$. We now show that $f(V(\sigma)) = S$. If $v \in S$, then $v \in f(V(\sigma))$, since $\iota(v) = v = f(h^{-1}(v))$. If $v' \in f(V(\sigma))$, then $v' \in S$, since $\exists v \in V(\sigma)$ such that $v' = f(v) = \iota \circ h(v)$. Therefore: $\sigma \cong \tau_S = \tau_{f(V(\sigma))}$.

For the other direction, assume that $f : \sigma \to \tau$ is injective such that moreover $f : \sigma \to \tau_{\mathrm{im}\, f}$ is an isomorphism. We can also assume f not to be surjective, otherwise we would have an isomorphism. It is easy to see that any $f \in \mathrm{Iso}(\sigma, \tau)$ is an equalizer simply by taking the parallel arrows to be both equal to id_τ. Define the graph τ' as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tau') &:= \mathrm{im}\, f \,\dot\cup\, (V(\tau) \setminus \mathrm{im}\, f) \,\dot\cup ((V(\tau) \setminus \mathrm{im}\, f) \times \{*\}) \\ E(\tau') &:= \{\{x, y\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\tau) \text{ or } x = (x', *), y = (y', *) \text{ with } \{x', y'\} \in E(\tau) \\ &\qquad \text{or } x \in V(\tau), y = (y', *) \text{ with } \{x, y'\} \in E(\tau)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $g, g' : \tau \to \tau'$ as $g(v) = v, \forall v \in V(\tau)$ and

$$g'(v) := \begin{cases} v & v \in \mathrm{im}\, f \\ (v, *) & a \in V(\tau) \setminus \mathrm{im}\, f \end{cases}.$$

From the proof of Lemma A.32 we know that τ_S is an equalizer with the inclusion map and $S = \{a \in V(\tau) | g(a) = g(a')\}$. Then by construction $S = f(V(\sigma))$ and $\sigma \cong \tau_{f(V(\sigma))} = \tau_S$. Let $f_S : \sigma \to \tau_S$ be the unique map defined as $f_S(x) := f(x)$ such that $f = \iota \circ f_S$. In particular f_S is an isomorphism. Then given any graph γ and every $\ell \in \mathrm{mor}(\gamma, \tau)$ such that $g \circ \ell = g' \circ \ell$, we can define $u := f_S^{-1} \circ \ell_S$, where $\ell_S : \gamma \to \tau_S$ is defined as $\ell_S(v) := \ell(v)$, which is the only map which satisfies $\ell = f \circ u$.

ii. let $f : \tau \to \gamma$ be the coequalizer of

$$\sigma \underset{h'}{\overset{h}{\rightrightarrows}} \tau$$

Then we know that $\gamma \cong \tau'$ where (τ', g), with $g \in \mathrm{mor}(\tau, \tau')$, is the coequalizer defined as in Lemma A.34. Since $f = u \circ g$ where $u \in \mathrm{Iso}(\tau', \gamma)$, both u and g are surjective maps on vertices and edges. It then follows that $f(E(\gamma)) = E(\tau')$.

For the other direction, let $f : \tau \to \gamma$ be a surjective map, such that the induced map on the edges is also surjective. Consider the partition of the vertices of τ where each block is given by $f^{-1}(v)$ for all $v \in V(\gamma)$. Let $\sigma := (V(\tau), \emptyset)$. Now let $h : \sigma \to \tau$ be defined as $h(v) := v$, for all $v \in V(\sigma)$ and $h' : \sigma \to \tau$ defined on each block of the partition of the vertices of σ, $b = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ as $h'(v_i) := v_i$ if the cardinality of the block b is one, and $h'(v_i) := v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$ and $h'(v_n) := v_1$, otherwise. Consider the partition on the vertices of τ induced by the smallest equivalence relation which contains

$$\bigcup_{i \in V(\sigma)} (h(i), h'(i)). \tag{26}$$

This partition coincides with the one induced by the preimages of f. Indeed if $|f^{-1}(v)| = 1$, we have $h(f^{-1}(v)) = f^{-1}(v) = h'(f^{-1}(v))$ and $f^{-1}(v) \sim f^{-1}(v)$, and there is no other vertex in τ which is in relation with $f^{-1}(v)$. Now consider a block $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ where $|f^{-1}(v')| > 1$. By construction,

since h is the identity on the block and h' is a cycle on $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$, it holds that $b_1 \sim \cdots \sim b_n$, and again there is no other vertex in τ which is in relation with the elements of $f^{-1}(v')$. Now a coequalizer exists for

$$\sigma \overset{h}{\underset{h'}{\rightrightarrows}} \tau.$$

using Lemma A.34: the graph τ' whose vertices are given by the partition induced by (26) has no loops since by assumption there are no edges between vertices in the same block. We now show that $\gamma \cong \tau'$. τ' together with $g : \tau \to \tau'$ (the map that is sending the vertex of σ to its block with partition induced by (26)) is a coequalizer. The unique map $u : \tau \to \gamma$ such that $f = u \circ g$ is given by $f \circ g^{-1}$. We now show that $g \circ f^{-1}$ is well defined and a graph homomorphism as well, which means that $f \circ g^{-1}$ is an isomorphism. Well-definiteness comes from the fact the partitions induced by the preimages of f and g are equal: for all $x \in V(\gamma)$ there exists a unique $a \in V(\tau')$ such that $g \circ f^{-1}(x) = a$. It is also a graph homomorphism, because given $\{x, x'\} \in E(\gamma)$, there exists $\{y, y'\} \in E(\tau)$ such that $y = f(x)$ and $y' = f(x')$ (f is surjective on the edges of γ) and then we use that g is a graph homomorphism, so that $\{g(y), g(y')\} \in E(\tau')$. Now let θ be a graph and let $\ell \in \mathrm{mor}(\tau, \theta)$ be such that $\ell \circ h = \ell \circ h'$. Now $s := \ell \circ g^{-1}$ is the unique map which satisfies $\ell = s \circ g$. Then $v \in \mathrm{mor}(\gamma, \theta)$ defined as $v = (\ell \circ g^{-1}) \circ (g \circ f^{-1}) = \ell \circ f^{-1}$, is the unique map which satisfies $\ell = v \circ f$.

□

Proposition A.37. *The category of finite simple graphs is* (RegEpi, Mono)*-structured and* (Epi, RegMono)*-structured.*

Proof. We show that any graph homomorphism, $f : \sigma \to \tau$ can be written as $g \circ f'$, where f' is a regular epimorphism and g a monomorphism. Simply take $f' : \sigma \to \tau_{|_{f(V(\sigma)),f(E(\sigma))}}$ defined as $f'(a) := f(a)$ and $g : \tau_{|_{f(V(\sigma)),f(E(\sigma))}} \to \tau$ defined as $g(a) := a$. Moreover any homomorphism can be written as $h \circ f'$ where f' is an epimorphism and h a regular monomorphism. Let $f' : \sigma \to \tau_{f(V(\sigma))}$ where $f'(a) := f(a)$ and $h : \tau_{f(V(\sigma))} \to \tau_{f(V(\sigma))}$, with $h(a) := a$. We then use Proposition A.30. □

These factorizations are unique in the sense of Proposition A.29. We can now deduce the following statement, which is used in the main text.

Corollary A.38. *In the category of finite simple graphs*

i.

$$\left|\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}\right| = \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\tau, \sigma) \cap \mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right|,$$

ii.

$$\left|\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \rho) \mid \rho_{|_{\phi(V(\tau)),\phi(E(\tau))}} \cong \sigma\right\}\right| = \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \rho) \cap \mathrm{Epi}(\sigma, \rho)\right|$$


iii.

$$\left|\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}\right| = \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right|$$

iv.

$$\left|\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{|_{\phi(V(\tau)),\phi(E(\tau))}} \cong \sigma\right\}\right| = \frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)\right|} \left|\mathrm{RegEpi}(\tau, \sigma)\right| \left|\mathrm{Mono}(\sigma, \Lambda)\right|$$

Proof. We only show iii. Let $f \in \left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}$. Each f can be factorized as $f = \iota \circ f'$, where $f' : \tau \to \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi}$, is defined as $f'(v) := f(v)$ and $\iota : \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \to \Lambda$ as $\iota(v) := v$. Let $f = m \circ e$ with $e \in \mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma)$ and $m \in \mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda)$ be another factorization of f. Then, from Proposition A.29 we know that there exists a unique $h \in \mathrm{Iso}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi}, \sigma)$ such that:



the diagram commutes, which means that $e = h \circ f'$ and $m = \iota \circ h^{-1}$. For any $e \in \mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma)$ and $m \in \mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda)$ we have that $m \circ e = f \in \left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}$. Define the map, ψ:

$$\psi : \mathrm{Epi}(\tau, \sigma) \times \mathrm{RegMono}(\sigma, \Lambda) \to \left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}$$
$$\psi((e, m)) := m \circ e$$

This map is clearly surjective and for each $f \in \left\{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\tau, \Lambda) \mid \Lambda_{\mathrm{im}\,\phi} \cong \sigma\right\}$, $|\psi^{-1}(f)| = |\mathrm{Aut}(\sigma)|$. □