

Ultrametric Smale's α -theory

Jazz G. Suchen

Institute for Interspecies Studies

Berlin, Germany

jazz.g.suchen@outlook.com

Josué Tonelli-Cueto

Inria Paris & IMJ-PRG

Paris, France

josue.tonelli.cueto@bizkaia.eu

Abstract

We present a version of Smale's α -theory for ultrametric fields, such as the p -adics and their extensions, which gives us a multivariate version of Hensel's lemma.

Hensel's lemma [4, §3.4] gives us sufficient condition for lifting roots mod p^k to roots in \mathbb{Z}_p . Alternately, Hensel's lemma gives us sufficient conditions for Newton's method convergence towards an approximate root. Unfortunately, in the multivariate setting, versions of Hensel's lemma are scarce [2]. However, in the real/complex world, Smale's α -theory [3] gives us a clean sufficient criterion for deciding if Newton's method will converge quadratically. In the p -adic setting, Breiding [1] proved a version of the γ -theorem, but he didn't provide a full α -theory. In this short communication, we provide an ultrametric version of Smale's α -theory for square systems—initially presented as an appendix in [5]—, together with an easy proof.

In what follows, and for simplicity¹, \mathbb{F} is a non-archimedean complete field of characteristic zero with (ultrametric) absolute value $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[n]$ the set of polynomial maps $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ where f_i is of degree d_i . In this setting, we will consider on \mathbb{F}^n the ultranorm given by $\|x\| := \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, its associated distance $\text{dist}(x, y) := \|x - y\|$, and on k -multilinear maps $A : (\mathbb{F}^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^q$ the induced ultranorm, which is given by

$$\|A\| := \sup_{v_1, \dots, v_k \neq 0} \frac{\|A(v_1, \dots, v_k)\|}{\|v_1\| \cdots \|v_k\|}. \quad (1)$$

In this context, we can define Smale's parameters as follows. Below $D_x f$ denotes the differential map of f at x and $D_x^k f$ the k -linear map induced by the k th order partial derivatives of f at x .

Definition 1 (Smale's parameters). Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[n]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$. We define the following:

- (a) *Smale's α* : $\alpha(f, x) := \beta(f, x)\gamma(f, x)$, if $D_x f$ is non-singular, and $\alpha(f, x) := \infty$, otherwise.
- (b) *Smale's β* : $\beta(f, x) := \|D_x f^{-1} f(x)\|$, if $D_x f$ is non-singular, and $\alpha(f, x) := \infty$, otherwise.
- (c) *Smale's γ* : $\gamma(f, x) := \sup_{k \geq 2} \left\| D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_x^k f}{k!} \right\|^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$, if $D_x f$ is invertible, and $\gamma(f, x) := \infty$, otherwise.

¹We focus on characteristic zero and polynomials to avoid technical details related to Taylor series.

If $D_x f$ is invertible, then the *Newton operator*,

$$N_f : x \mapsto x - D_x f^{-1} f(x),$$

is well-defined at x . For a point x , the *Newton sequence* is the sequence $\{N_f^k(x)\}$. Note that this sequence is well-defined (i.e., $N_f^k(x)$ makes sense for all k) if and only if $D_{N_x^k(x)} f$ is invertible at every k . Also note that

$$\beta(f, x) = \|x - N_f(x)\|,$$

so β measures the length of a Newton step.

Theorem 1 (Ultrametric α/γ -theorem). *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[n]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

$$(\alpha) \alpha(f, x) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma) \text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(0)) < 1/\gamma(f, x)$$

Moreover, if any of the above equivalent conditions holds, then the Newton sequence, $\{N_f^k(x)\}$, is well-defined and it converges quadratically to a non-singular zero ζ of f . More specifically, for all k , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \alpha(f, N_f^k(x)) &\leq \alpha(f, x)^{2^k}. & \text{(b)} \quad \beta(f, N_f^k(x)) &\leq \beta(f, x)\alpha(f, x)^{2^k-1}. & \text{(c)} \quad \gamma(f, N_f^k(x)) &\leq \gamma(f, x). \\ \text{(Q)} \quad \|N_f^k(x) - \zeta\| &= \beta(f, N_f^k(x)) &\leq \alpha(f, x)^{2^k-1}\beta(f, x) &< \alpha(f, x)^{2^k-1}/\gamma(f, x). \end{aligned}$$

In the univariate p -adic setting, we have that for $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\gamma(f, x) \leq 1/|f'(x)|,$$

since $|1/k!f^{(k)}(x)| \leq 1$ and $|f'(x)| \leq 1$. Therefore we can see that the condition $|f(x)| < |f'(x)|^2$ of Hensel's lemma implies $\alpha(f, x) < 1$ for a p -adic integer polynomial. In this way, we can see that Theorem 1 generalizes Hensel's lemma to the multivariate case.

Moreover, in the univariate setting, we can show the following proposition which gives a precise characterization of Smale's γ in the ultrametric setting as the separation between 'complex' roots—not only a bound as it happens in the complex/real setting.

Proposition 2 (Ultrametric separation theorem for γ). [5, Theorem 3.15] *Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ of \mathbb{F} with the corresponding extension of the ultranorm. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ and $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ a simple root, then*

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(f, \zeta)} = \text{dist}(\zeta, f^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\zeta\}). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of the theorem relies in the following three lemmas, stated for $f \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[n]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

Lemma 3. *If $\gamma(f, x)\|x - y\| < 1$, then $D_y f$ is invertible and $\|D_y f^{-1} D_x f\| = 1$.*

Lemma 4 (Variations of Smale's parameters). *If $\rho := \gamma(f, x)\|x - y\| < 1$, then:*

$$\text{(a)} \quad \alpha(f, y) \leq \max\{\alpha(f, x), \rho\}. \quad \text{(b)} \quad \beta(f, y) \leq \max\{\beta(f, x), \|y - x\|\}. \quad \text{(c)} \quad \gamma(f, y) = \gamma(f, x).$$

Moreover, if $\|y - x\| < \beta(f, x)$, all are equalities.

Lemma 5 (Variations along Newton step). *If $\alpha(f, x) < 1$, then:*

$$(a) \alpha(f, N_f(x)) \leq \alpha(f, x)^2. \quad (b) \beta(f, N_f(x)) \leq \alpha(f, x)\beta(f, x). \quad (c) \gamma(f, N_f(x)) = \gamma(f, x).$$

In particular, $N_f(N_f(x))$ is well-defined.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\alpha(f, x) < 1$, then, using induction and Lemma 5, we obtain that (a), (b) and (c) hold. But then the sequence $\{N_f^k(x)\}$ converges since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|N_f^{k+1}(x) - N_f^k(x)\| = 0$ and so it is a Cauchy sequence. Finally, (Q) follows from noting that for $l \geq k$

$$\|N_f^l(x) - N_f^k(x)\| \leq \alpha(f, x)^{2^{l-k}} \beta(f, N_f^k(x))$$

and taking infinite sum together with the equality case of the ultrametric inequality. In particular, we have $\text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(0)) = \|x - \zeta\| = \beta(f, x) < 1/\gamma(f, x)$. This shows that (α) implies (γ).

For the other direction, assume that $\text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(0)) < 1/\gamma(f, x)$. Then $\gamma(f, x)$ is finite, since otherwise $\text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(0)) < 0$, which is impossible. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}^n$ be a zero of f such that $\text{dist}(x, \zeta) < 1/\gamma(f, x)$. Then $0 = f(\zeta) = f(x) + D_x f(\zeta - x) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{D_x^k f}{k!}(\zeta - x, \dots, \zeta - x)$, and so

$$-D_x f^{-1} f(x) = \zeta - x + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_x^k f}{k!}(\zeta - x, \dots, \zeta - x).$$

Now, the higher order terms satisfy that $\left\| D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_x^k f}{k!}(\zeta - x, \dots, \zeta - x) \right\| \leq (\gamma(f, x) \|\zeta - x\|)^{k-1} \|\zeta - x\| < \|\zeta - x\|$ and so, by the equality case of the ultrametric inequality, $\beta(f, x) = \|\zeta - x\| < 1/\gamma(f, x)$, as desired. \square

Now, we prove the auxiliary lemmas 3, 4 and 5

Proof of Lemma 3. We have that $D_x f^{-1} D_y f = \mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_x^{k+1} f(y-x, \dots, y-x)}{k!}$. Now, under the given assumption, $\left\| D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_x^{k+1} f(y-x, \dots, y-x)}{k!} \right\| \leq (\gamma(f, x) \|y - x\|)^{k-1} < 1$ for $k \geq 2$, and so, by the ultrametric inequality, $\|D_x f^{-1} D_y f - \mathbb{I}\| < 1$. Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{I} - D_x f^{-1} D_y f)^k$ converges, and it does so to the inverse of $D_x f^{-1} D_y f$. Since, by assumption $D_x f$ is invertible, so it is $D_y f$.

Finally, by the invertibility of $D_y f$, we have that $D_y f^{-1} D_x f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{I} - D_x f^{-1} D_y f)^k$, and so, by the equality case of the ultrametric inequality, $\|D_y f^{-1} D_x f\| = 1$, as desired. \square

Proof of Lemma 4. We first prove (c) and then (b). (a) follows from (b) and (c) immediately.

(c) We note that under the given assumption, for $k \geq 2$,

$$\left\| D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_y^k f}{k!} \right\| \leq \gamma(f, x)^{k-1}. \quad (2)$$

For this, we expand the Taylor series of $\frac{D_y^k f}{k!}$ (with respect y) and note that its l th term is dominated by

$$\gamma(f, x)^{k+l-1} \|y - x\|^l,$$

which, by the ultrametric inequality, gives the above inequality. In this way, for $k \geq 2$,

$$\left\| D_y f^{-1} \frac{D_y^k f}{k!} \right\| \leq \|D_y f^{-1} D_x f\| \left\| D_x f^{-1} \frac{D_y^k f}{k!} \right\| \leq \gamma(f, x)^{k-1}$$

by Lemma 3 and (2). Thus $\gamma(f, y) \leq \gamma(f, x)$. Now, due to this, the hypothesis $\gamma(f, y)\|x - y\| < 1$ holds, and so, by the same argument, $\gamma(f, x) \leq \gamma(f, y)$, which is the desired equality.

(b) Arguing as in (c), we can show that

$$\|D_x f^{-1} f(y)\| \leq \max\{\|D_x f^{-1} f(x) + y - x\|, \gamma(f, x)\|y - x\|^2\} \quad (3)$$

by noting that the general term (of the Taylor series of $D_x f^{-1} f(y)$ with respect y) is dominated by $\gamma(f, x)^{k-1}\|y - x\|^k < \gamma(f, x)\|y - x\|^2$. Now, $\beta(f, y) \leq \|D_y f^{-1} D_x f\| \|D_x f^{-1} f(y)\|$, and so, by Lemma 3 and (3),

$$\beta(f, y) \leq \max\{\|D_x f^{-1} f(x) + y - x\|, \gamma(f, x)\|y - x\|^2\} \leq \max\{\beta(f, x), \|y - x\|\}.$$

For the equality case, note that, by the same argument, we have $\beta(f, x) \leq \max\{\beta(f, y), \|y - x\|\} = \beta(f, y)$ where the equality on the right-hand side follows from $\beta(f, x) > \|y - x\|$. \square

Proof of Lemma 5. (a) follows from combining (b) and (c), and (c) from Lemma 4 (c). We only need to show (b). We use equation (3) in the proof of Lemma 4 with $y = N_f(x)$. By (3) and Lemma 3,

$$\beta(f, N_f(x)) \leq \max\{\|D_x f^{-1} f(x) + N_f(x) - x\|, \gamma(f, x)\|N_f(x) - x\|^2\}.$$

Now, $N_f(x) - x = -D_x f^{-1} f(x)$, so the above becomes $\beta(f, N_f(x)) \leq \max\{0, \gamma(f, x)\beta(f, x)^2\}$, which gives the desired claim. \square

Acknowledgements

J.G.S. is supported by a Companion Species Research Fellowship funded by the Durlacher Foundation. J.T.-C. is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the 2020 ‘‘Interaction’’ program of the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris, and partially supported by ANR JCJC GALOP (ANR-17-CE40-0009).

J.G.S. and J.T.-C. are thankful to Elias Tsigaridas for useful suggestions, and to Evgenia Lagoda for moral support.

References

- [1] P. Breiding. On a p -adic Newton Method. Master’s thesis, Universität Göttingen, 2013.
- [2] Keith Conrad. A multivariate Hensel’s lemma. Manuscript at <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/multivarhensel.pdf>.
- [3] J.-P. Dedieu. *Points fixes, zéros et la méthode de Newton*, volume 54 of *Mathématiques & Applications*. Springer, 2006.
- [4] F. Q. Gouvêa. *p -adic numbers. An Introduction*. Universitext. Springer, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [5] J. Tonelli-Cueto. A p -adic Descartes solver: the Strassman solver, 3 2022. arXiv:2203.07016.