

NONCONFORMING FINITE ELEMENTS FOR THE BRINKMAN AND $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ PROBLEMS ON CUBICAL MESHES

QIAN ZHANG, MIN ZHANG, AND ZHIMIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We propose two families of nonconforming elements on cubical meshes: one for the $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ problem and the other for the Brinkman problem. The element for the $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ problem is the first nonconforming element on cubical meshes. The element for the Brinkman problem can yield a uniformly stable finite element method with respect to the parameter ν . The lowest-order elements for the $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ and the Brinkman problems have 48 and 30 degrees of freedom, respectively. The two families of elements are subspaces of $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ and $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$, and they, as nonconforming approximation to $H(\text{grad curl}; \Omega)$ and $[H^1(\Omega)]^3$, can form a discrete Stokes complex together with the Lagrange element and the L^2 element.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Brinkman problem is used to describe the flow of viscous fluids in porous media with fractures. Applications of this model include the petroleum industry, the automotive industry, underground water hydrology, and heat pipes modeling. Depending on the value of effective viscosity ν , the Brinkman problem can be locally viewed as a Darcy or Stokes problem. When the Brinkman problem is Darcy-dominating (ν tends to 0), applying stable Stokes finite element pairs such as the Crouzeix-Raviart element [10], the Mini element [4], and the Taylor-Hood elements [31] will lead to non-convergent discretizations. Similarly, when the Brinkman problem is Stokes-dominating (ν is a moderate size), stable Darcy finite elements such as Raviart-Thomas (RT) elements will fail. Since in real applications the number and location of Stokes-Darcy interfaces are usually unknown, it is desirable to construct finite elements that are uniformly stable with respect to the parameter ν . It is shown in [34] that a pointwise divergence-free finite element pair yields a uniformly stable numerical scheme. Conforming finite elements that satisfy this requirement include high-order Scott-Vogelius triangular elements on singular-vertex-free meshes [17], some macro finite elements [5, 9, 11, 14, 35, 38–41], and the elements in [16, 21, 27, 28]. As for nonconforming elements, Tai et al constructed low-order $[H^1]^3$ -nonconforming but div-conforming

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65N30 and 35Q60 and 65N15 and 35B45.

Key words and phrases. nonconforming elements, Brinkman problem, $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ problem, finite element de Rham complex, Stokes complex.

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants NSFC 12131005 and NSAF U1930402.

elements on triangular [23] and tetrahedral meshes [30] by modifying existing div-conforming elements. Later in 2012, Guzmán and Neilan [15] proposed a family of nonconforming elements on simplicial meshes in both two dimensions (2D) and three dimensions (3D) by using an idea similar to Tai et al's. In 2017, Chen et al further extended this idea to cubical meshes and constructed a low-order element with 33 degrees of freedom [8]. However, the general construction of arbitrary order elements is not available in the literature. We note that there are some other uniformly stable nonconforming elements that are not div-conforming like the above-mentioned elements, see e.g. [43].

Another model problem is the $-\text{curl}\Delta\text{curl}$ problem involved in electromagnetism and continuum mechanics [7, 24, 29]. Discretization of $H(\text{grad curl}; \Omega)$ can be viewed as a natural candidate to solve this problem. Two of the authors, together with their collaborators, constructed three families of grad curl-conforming elements with polynomial degree k starting from 2 in 2D [19, 20, 36]. The corresponding spectral construction of the three families of the rectangular elements is available in [33]. In 3D, two of the authors proposed a tetrahedral grad curl-conforming element in [37], which has 315 degrees of freedom on each element. Later on, they reduced the number of degrees of freedom to 18 by enriching the shape function space with piecewise-polynomial bubbles [21]. The construction of grad curl-conforming elements in 2D and on tetrahedral meshes is relatively complete. However, it is not the case for cubical elements. The only cubical grad curl-conforming element in [32] has 144 degrees of freedom. To reduce the number of degrees of freedom of cubical elements, we resort to nonconforming elements. So far, there are two nonconforming tetrahedral elements [22, 42], but there are no corresponding cubical elements.

Our goal in this paper is to construct a family of uniformly stable nonconforming elements for the Brinkman problem and a family of nonconforming elements for the $-\text{curl}\Delta\text{curl}$ problem on cubical meshes. The two model problems are closely related by the following Stokes complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} H^1(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} H(\text{grad curl}; \Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} [H^1(\Omega)]^3 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.1)$$

We will construct two finite element spaces $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $r \geq 2$ as the nonconforming approximations to $H(\text{grad curl}; \Omega)$ and $[H^1(\Omega)]^3$, respectively.

To construct the nonconforming finite element space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with arbitrary order, we follow the idea of constructing simplicial elements in [15]. To be specific, we first consider a discrete subcomplex of the de Rham complex:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap & & \downarrow \cap & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & H^1(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & H(\text{curl}; \Omega) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & H(\text{div}; \Omega) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & L^2(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

where $r \geq 2$. Examples of such finite element spaces are available in [3, 12, 25]. In particular, the two complexes in [3, 12] both start from serendipity finite elements [2] with one including trimmed polynomial

spaces and the other not. Their relation is analogous to the relation between the trimmed and (non-trimmed) polynomial finite element complexes on simplicial meshes [1, 25, 26]. In this paper, to reduce the number of degrees of freedom, we utilize a subcomplex that is generated by combining the two complexes in [3, 12]. According to Theorem 3.2 below, to make $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ work for the Brinkman problem, we should enforce some tangential continuity. To this end, we enrich the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with some high order divergence-free bubbles on the element K

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K) := \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K) \oplus \text{curl } \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K).$$

See (4.3) for the precise definition of $\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$. The functions in $\text{curl } \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$ are div-conforming and vanish on the degrees of freedom of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$. We then add some degrees of freedom to enforce extra tangential continuity. To construct $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, we enrich the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K) := \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K).$$

The functions in $\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$ are curl-conforming and vanish on the degrees of freedom of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. We add some degrees of freedom to correspond to the bubbles and to enforce weak continuity of the curl of shape functions. When $r = 2$, the $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ have 48 and 30 degrees of freedom on each element, respectively. We note that our lowest-order $[H^1(\Omega)]^3$ -nonconforming finite element has the same convergence property as the one in [8], but our element has 3 fewer degrees of freedom. The reason lies in that $\text{div } \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ contains piecewise constants not piecewise P_1 polynomials. We also note that our grad curl-nonconforming elements are curl-conforming, while the elements in [22, 42] are not.

The finite element spaces $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, together with $\mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$, can form a discrete de Rham complex with enhanced smoothness. A main difference between our complex and those in [8, 15, 23, 30] is that our complex starts from an H^1 -conforming finite element space instead of an H^2 -nonconforming finite element space.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notation that we use throughout the paper, and we present a discrete de Rham complex (1.2). In Section 3, we introduce the two model problems and their mixed finite element approximations. We also give the conditions that the finite element spaces should satisfy. Section 4 is the main part of the paper, where we construct the nonconforming finite element spaces $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, and we show that the two spaces form an exact discrete complex. In Section 5, we apply the two newly proposed finite element spaces to solve the model problems and provide the convergence analysis. Numerical experiments are presented to validate the nonconforming elements in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Notation. We assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a contractible Lipschitz domain throughout the paper. We adopt conventional notations for Sobolev spaces such as $H^m(D)$ or $H_0^m(D)$ on a sub-domain $D \subset \Omega$ furnished with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m,D}$ and the semi-norm $|\cdot|_{m,D}$. In the case of $m = 0$, the space $H^0(D)$ coincides with $L^2(D)$ which is equipped with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_D$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_D$. When $D = \Omega$, we drop the subscript D .

In addition to the standard Sobolev spaces, we also define

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{curl}; D) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in [L^2(D)]^3 : \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in [L^2(D)]^3\}, \\ H(\text{div}; D) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in [L^2(D)]^3 : \text{div } \mathbf{u} \in L^2(D)\}, \\ H(\text{grad curl}; D) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in [L^2(D)]^3 : \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \in [H^1(D)]^3\}, \\ H^s(\text{curl}; D) &:= \{\mathbf{u} \in [H^s(D)]^3 : \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in [H^s(D)]^3\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a subdomain D , we use $P_r(D)$, or simply P_r when there is no possible confusion, to denote the space of polynomials with degree at most r on D . We denote \tilde{P}_r to be the space of homogeneous polynomials of order r .

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a partition of the domain Ω consisting of shape-regular cubicals. For $K = (x_1^c - h_1, x_1^c + h_1) \times (x_2^c - h_2, x_2^c + h_2) \times (x_3^c - h_3, x_3^c + h_3)$, we denote $h_K = \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_3^2}$ as the diameter of K and $h = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K$ as the mesh size of \mathcal{T}_h . Denote by \mathcal{V}_h , \mathcal{E}_h , and \mathcal{F}_h the sets of vertices, edges, and faces in the partition, and $\mathcal{V}_h(K)$, $\mathcal{E}_h(K)$, and $\mathcal{F}_h(K)$ the sets of vertices, edges, and faces related to K . We use $\boldsymbol{\tau}_e$ and \mathbf{n}_f to denote the unit tangential vector and the unit normal vector to $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, respectively. Suppose $f = K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{F}_h$. For a function v defined on $K_1 \cup K_2$, we define $[[v]]_f = f|_{K_1} - f|_{K_2}$ to be the jump across f . When $f \subset \partial\Omega$, $[[v]]_f = v$.

We use C to denote a generic positive constant that is independent of h .

2.2. A finite element de Rham complex on cubical meshes. In this section, we present the definition of the spaces involved in (1.2). Since the space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ has to include piecewise P_1 polynomials, and we want its dimension to be as small as possible, we use the complex (1.2) with spaces $\mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$, $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$, and $\mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$ constructed in [3] and space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ constructed in [12]. In the following, we give the precise definition.

Space $\mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$. For $r \geq 1$, the shape function space $\mathcal{S}_r^0(K)$ on K contains all polynomials of superlinear degree at most r , where the superlinear degree of a polynomial is the degree with ignoring variables which enter linearly. For example, x^2yz^3 is a polynomial with superlinear degree 5. To be specific, the space $\mathcal{S}_2^0(K)$ is spanned by the monomials in $P_2(K)$ and

$$x_1x_2^2, x_1x_3^2, x_2x_1^2, x_2x_3^2, x_3x_1^2, x_3x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3^2, x_1^2x_2x_3, x_1x_2^2x_3.$$

We have $\dim \mathcal{S}_1^0(K) = 8$, $\dim \mathcal{S}_2^0(K) = 20$, and $\dim \mathcal{S}_r^0(K) = \frac{(r+1)(r^2+5r+24)}{6}$ for $r \geq 3$. For $u \in \mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- function values $u(v)$ at all vertices $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$,
- moments $\int_e uq ds$, $\forall q \in P_{r-2}(e)$ at all edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$,
- moments $\int_f uq dA$, $\forall q \in P_{r-4}(f)$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, and
- moments $\int_K uq dV$, $\forall q \in P_{r-6}(K)$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$. For $r \geq 2$, the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ on K is

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) = \text{grad } \mathcal{S}_r^0(K) + [P_{r-1}(K)]^3 \times \mathbf{x} + (x_2x_3(w_2 - w_3), x_1x_3(w_3 - w_1), x_1x_2(w_1 - w_2))^T,$$

where w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, belong to $P_{r-1}(K)$. We have

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{1,2}^1(K) = 36, \text{ and } \dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) = r^3/2 + 5r^2/2 + 9r + 3, \quad r \geq 3.$$

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- moments $\int_e \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_e q ds$, $\forall q \in P_{r-1}(e)$ at all edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$,
- moments $\int_f \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-3}(f)]^2 \oplus \text{grad}_f \tilde{P}_{r-1}(f)$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, and
- moments $\int_K \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{q} dV$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-5}(K)]^3 \oplus \text{curl}[\tilde{P}_{r-3}(K)]^3$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

The above degrees of freedom yield the canonical interpolation $\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1: \{\mathbf{u} \in [H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\Omega)]^3, \delta > 0 : \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \in L^p(\Omega), p > 2\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

Space $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$. For $r \geq 2$, the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ on K is

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K) = [P_{r-1}(K)]^3 + \text{curl} (x_2x_3(w_2 - w_3), x_1x_3(w_3 - w_1), x_1x_2(w_1 - w_2))^T,$$

where w_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, belong to $P_{r-1}(K)$. The dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K)$ is

$$\frac{r(r^2 + 3r + 8)}{2}.$$

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- moments $\int_f \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f q dA$, $\forall q \in P_{r-1}(f)$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$ and
- moments $\int_K \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{q} dV$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-3}(K)]^3$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

The above degrees of freedom lead to the canonical interpolation $\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2: [H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\Omega)]^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$, $\delta > 0$.

Space $\mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$. For $r \geq 2$, the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$ on K is $P_{r-2}(K)$. For $u \in \mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- moments $\int_K u \cdot q dV$, $\forall q \in P_{r-2}(K)$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

The above degrees of freedom lead to the canonical interpolation $\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^3: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$. From the degrees of freedom, we can show that

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^3 \text{div } \mathbf{w} = \text{div } \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2 \mathbf{w} \text{ for } \mathbf{w} \in [H^1(\Omega)]^3. \quad (2.1)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^m(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_r^m(\mathcal{T}_h) : \text{tr } \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on the boundary of } \Omega\}, \quad m = 0, 2, \\ \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^3(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \mathcal{S}_r^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap L_0^2(\Omega), \\ \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) : \text{tr } \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on the boundary of } \Omega\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. The complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

is exact when Ω is contractible.

Proof. We show that the complex is exact at each space. The exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ follows from the standard argument of proving exactness at 1-forms. Now we prove the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$. For $q_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset \dot{L}^2(\Omega)$, there exists a function $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\text{div } \mathbf{w} = q_h$ [13, Corollary 2.4]. By using the commutativity of interpolations and exterior derivatives (2.1),

$$q_h = \pi_h^3 q_h = \pi_h^3 \text{div } \mathbf{w} = \text{div } \pi_h^2 \mathbf{w} \in \text{div } \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Finally, the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ follows from a dimension count. Let \mathcal{V}_0 , \mathcal{E}_0 , \mathcal{F}_0 , and \mathcal{K} denote the number of interior vertices, interior edges, interior faces, and 3D cells.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \mathcal{V}_0 + (r-1)\mathcal{E}_0 + \frac{(r-3)(r-2)}{2}\mathcal{F}_0 + \frac{(r-5)(r-4)(r-3)}{6}\mathcal{K}, \\ \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) &= r\mathcal{E}_0 + (r^2 - 2r + 2)\mathcal{F}_0 + \frac{r^3 - 7r^2 + 18r - 18}{2}\mathcal{K}, \\ \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \frac{(r+1)r}{2}\mathcal{F}_0 + \frac{(r-1)(r-2)r}{2}\mathcal{K}, \\ \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \frac{(r+1)(r-1)r}{6}\mathcal{K} - 1,\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) - \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h) + \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h) - \dim \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) = \mathcal{V}_0 - \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{F}_0 - \mathcal{K} + 1.$$

Let \mathcal{V}_b , \mathcal{E}_b , and \mathcal{F}_b denote the number of vertices, edges, and faces on the boundary. Then according to the Euler's formula $\mathcal{V}_b - \mathcal{E}_b + \mathcal{F}_b = 2$, we have $\mathcal{V}_0 - \mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{F}_0 - \mathcal{K} + 1 = 0$. \square

3. MODEL PROBLEMS

3.1. **Brinkman problem.** The Brinkman model of porous flow is to find $(\mathbf{u}; p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\nu \operatorname{grad} \mathbf{u}) + \alpha \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{grad} p &= \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} &= g \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here \mathbf{u} is the velocity, p is the pressure, $\alpha > 0$ is the dynamic viscosity divided by the permeability, $\nu > 0$ is the effective viscosity, and $\mathbf{f} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^3$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ are two forcing terms. We assume α, ν are constants and g satisfies the compatibility criterion $\int_{\Omega} g dV = 0$.

The variational formulation is to find $(\mathbf{u}; p) \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^3 \times L_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - b_1(\mathbf{v}, p) &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega), \\ b_1(\mathbf{u}, w) &= (g, w) \quad \forall w \in L_0^2(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $a_1(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \nu(\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{u}, \operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v}) + \alpha(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ and $b_1(\mathbf{v}, p) = (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}, p)$.

The nonconforming finite element method seeks $(\mathbf{u}_h; p_h) \in \mathbf{V}_h \times W_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}) - b_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, p_h) &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h, \\ b_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}_h, w) &= (g, w) \quad \forall w \in W_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $a_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \nu(\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{u}, \operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v})_K + \alpha(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_K$ and $b_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, p) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}, p)_K$. The finite element spaces \mathbf{V}_h and W_h should satisfy the inf-sup condition:

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h} \frac{b_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, w)}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,h}} \geq C\|w\| \quad \forall w \in W_h, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{1,h}^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\cdot\|_{1,K}^2$.

In addition to the inf-sup condition, the following theorem presents an additional condition to make the numerical scheme uniformly stable with respect to ν .

Theorem 3.1. ([34, Theorem 3.1]) Define

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_h^2 := a_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + M \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_K^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_h(g) := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h : b_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, w) = (g, w) \quad \forall w \in W_h\}$$

with $M = \max\{\nu, \alpha\}$. Then finite element pair $\mathbf{V}_h \times W_h$ satisfying the inf-sup condition (3.4) are uniformly stable under the norm $\|\cdot\|_h$ for the model problem (3.1) if and only if

$$Z_h(0) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}|_K = 0 \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \tag{3.5}$$

The condition (3.5) holds if the following stronger condition is satisfied

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{V}_h \subseteq W_h. \tag{3.6}$$

According to Theorem 3.1, the div-conforming finite element presented in Section 2.2 is a good candidate for the space \mathbf{V}_h since it satisfies both the conditions (3.4) and (3.6) and it has fewer degrees of freedom compared to the RT elements. However, to make the numerical scheme converge, we need some tangential continuity across interior faces according to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. ([15, Theorem 1.2]) Assume that $\mathbf{V}_h \subset H_0(\text{div}; \Omega)$ satisfy (3.4) and (3.6). Then the problem (3.3) has a unique solution (\mathbf{u}_h, p_h) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{a_{1,h}} &\leq C \left(\inf_{\mathbf{v} \in Z_h(g)} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{a_{1,h}} + \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{a_{1,h}}} \right), \\ \|p - p_h\| &\leq C \left(\inf_{w \in W_h} \|p - w\| + M^{1/2} \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in Z_h(g)} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{a_{1,h}} + \sup_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{a_{1,h}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where M and $Z_h(g)$ are defined in Theorem 3.1, $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{a_{1,h}}^2 = a_{1,h}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$, and the consistency error

$$E_{1,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h} \langle \nu \text{curl } \mathbf{u}, \llbracket \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}_f \rrbracket_f \rangle_f. \quad (3.7)$$

3.2. $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ problem. For $\mathbf{f} \in H(\text{div}^0; \Omega)$, the $-\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl}$ problem is to find \mathbf{u} such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{curl } \Delta \text{curl } \mathbf{u} + \gamma \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \text{curl } \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here $\gamma \geq 0$, \mathbf{n} is the unit outward normal vector on $\partial\Omega$, and $H(\text{div}^0; \Omega)$ is the space of $[L^2(\Omega)]^3$ functions with vanishing divergence, i.e.,

$$H(\text{div}^0; \Omega) := \{\mathbf{u} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^3 : \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0\}.$$

We define $H_0(\text{grad curl}; \Omega)$ with vanishing boundary conditions:

$$H_0(\text{grad curl}; \Omega) := \{\mathbf{u} \in H(\text{grad curl}; \Omega) : \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

We use a Lagrange multiplier p to deal with the divergence-free condition. The mixed variational formulation is to find $(\mathbf{u}; p) \in H_0(\text{grad curl}; \Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b_2(\mathbf{v}, p) &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H_0(\text{grad curl}; \Omega), \\ b_2(\mathbf{u}, q) &= 0, \quad \forall q \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

with $a_2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := (\text{grad curl } \mathbf{u}, \text{grad curl } \mathbf{v}) + \gamma(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$.

Taking $\mathbf{v} = \text{grad } p$ in (3.9) and using the vanishing boundary condition of p , we can obtain that $p \equiv 0$.

The nonconforming finite element method for (3.9) seeks $(\mathbf{u}_h; p_h) \in \mathbf{W}_h \times S_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_2(\mathbf{v}_h, p_h) &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}_h), \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h, \\ b_2(\mathbf{u}_h, q_h) &= 0, \quad \forall q_h \in S_h, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\text{grad}_h \text{curl}_h \mathbf{u}_h, \text{grad}_h \text{curl}_h \mathbf{v}_h)_K + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\gamma \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h)_K$ and $S_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Define

$$N_h(0) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}_h : b_2(\mathbf{v}, q) = 0, \quad \forall q \in S_h\},$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}^2 = a_2(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h), \quad \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2 + \|\text{curl } \mathbf{v}_h\|_{1,K}^2.$$

The finite element spaces \mathbf{W}_h and S_h should satisfy:

- the inf-sup condition

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}_h} \frac{b_2(\mathbf{v}, w)}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}} \geq C \|w\|_1, \quad \forall w \in S_h, \quad (3.11)$$

- the coercivity condition

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{a_{2,h}} \geq c \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl}, h} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{v}_h \in N_h(0), \quad (3.12)$$

- discrete divergence-free condition

$$\text{grad } S_h = \ker(\text{curl}) \cap \mathbf{W}_h. \quad (3.13)$$

The following Theorem implies the continuity condition that the spaces W_h should satisfy except for the above-mentioned three conditions.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $\mathbf{W}_h \subset H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ and $S_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfy (3.11) – (3.13). Then the problem (3.10) has a unique solution (\mathbf{u}_h, p_h) such that $p_h = 0$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl}, h} \leq C \left(\inf_{\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_h\|_{\text{grad curl}, h} + \sup_{\mathbf{z}_h \in N_h(0) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h)}{\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}} \right),$$

where the consistency error

$$E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h} \langle \text{curl } \text{curl } \mathbf{u}, \llbracket \text{curl } \mathbf{z}_h \times \mathbf{n}_f \rrbracket_f \rangle_f.$$

Proof. Taking $\mathbf{v}_h = \text{grad } p_h$ in (3.10), then

$$(\text{grad } p_h, \text{grad } p_h) = 0,$$

which together with the vanishing boundary condition of p_h leads to $p_h = 0$.

For any $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h$, by using integration by parts, we can show that

$$a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_2(\mathbf{v}_h, p) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}_h) = E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_h). \quad (3.14)$$

For any $\mathbf{w}_h \in N_h(0)$, applying equations (3.10) and (3.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& c \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{a_{2,h}} \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq a_{2,h}(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) \\
& = a_{2,h}(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) - a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) \\
& = a_{2,h}(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + a_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + b_2(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) \\
& = a_{2,h}(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + b_2(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h, p_h - p) \\
& \leq \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{a_{2,h}} \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{a_{2,h}} + E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h) + b_2(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h, p_h - p).
\end{aligned}$$

The facts $p = 0$ and $p_h = 0$ lead to $b_2(\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h, p_h - p) = 0$, and hence we have

$$c \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{a_{2,h}} + \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h)}{\|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}} \leq \|\mathbf{w}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{a_{2,h}} + \sup_{\mathbf{z}_h \in N_h(0) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h)}{\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}}.$$

Then we use triangle inequality and get

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq C \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} + C \sup_{\mathbf{z}_h \in N_h(0) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h)}{\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}}. \quad (3.15)$$

For any $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h$, we decompose \mathbf{v}_h as

$$\mathbf{v}_h = \text{grad } q_h + \mathbf{w}_h$$

with $\mathbf{w}_h \in N_h(0)$ and $\text{grad } q_h \in \text{grad } S_h$ satisfying

$$(\text{grad } q_h, \text{grad } \theta_h) = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h, \text{grad } \theta_h) \text{ for any } \theta_h \in S_h.$$

Taking $\theta_h = q_h$ yields $\|\text{grad } q_h\| \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|$.

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} + \|\text{grad } q_h\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h},$$

which, combined with (3.15), leads to

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq C \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} + C \sup_{\mathbf{z}_h \in N_h(0) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h)}{\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}}.$$

Taking infimum over $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h$ on both sides leads to

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq C \inf_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} + C \sup_{\mathbf{z}_h \in N_h(0) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{E_{2,h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_h)}{\|\mathbf{z}_h\|_{a_{2,h}}}.$$

□

Based on Theorem 3.3, we can construct \mathbf{W}_h by enforcing a curl-conforming finite element space with extra tangential continuity of its curl.

4. A FAMILY OF NONCONFORMING FINITE ELEMENT STOKES COMPLEXES

In this section, we construct the following nonconforming finite element subcomplex of (1.1) by modifying the complex (1.2):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathcal{S}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $r \geq 2$.

4.1. $[H^1(\Omega)]^3$ -**nonconforming elements** — $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. According to Theorem 3.2, to make $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ work for the Brinkman problem (3.1), we need some tangential continuity. To this end, we consider to include the following degrees of freedom:

- $\int_f \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$.

On an element $K = (x_1^c - h_1, x_1^c + h_1) \times (x_2^c - h_2, x_2^c + h_2) \times (x_3^c - h_3, x_3^c + h_3)$, to correspond to these degrees of freedom, we enrich the space $\mathcal{S}_{r-2}^{+,2}(K)$ with a space $\mathcal{U}^{r-3}(K)$, whose dimension is $6(r-1)r$.

Define

$$B_K = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x_i - x_i^c + h_i)(x_i^c + h_i - x_i),$$

$$B_f = \frac{B_K}{\lambda_f},$$

where λ_f is linear function such that $\lambda_f|_f = 0$. For example, on the face $x_1 = x_1^c - h_1$, $\lambda_f = x_1 - (x_1^c - h_1)$. Then

$$\text{grad } B_K = -B_f \mathbf{n}_f \quad \text{on } f. \quad (4.2)$$

We define the space $\mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K) = \text{curl } \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K),$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) = B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K) = \{ \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(K)]^3 \times \mathbf{n}_f : (\mathbf{q}, B_K B_f \mathbf{w})_K = 0, \forall \mathbf{w} \in [P_{r-3}(K)]^3 \times \mathbf{n}_f \}. \quad (4.4)$$

The following lemmas show some properties of the functions in $\mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$.

Lemma 4.1. If $\mathbf{z} = \text{curl} (B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f) \in \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$ with $\mathbf{q}_f \in \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$, then

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{n}_f = -B_f^2 \mathbf{q}_f \quad \text{on } f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K), \quad (4.6)$$

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{w} \in [P_{r-2}(K)]^3. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. First, since B_K vanishes on ∂K , we have

$$\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = \operatorname{curl} \left(B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = \operatorname{div}_f \left(B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f \times \mathbf{n}_f \right) = 0 \text{ on } \partial K.$$

Then, using the product rule and (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{n}_f &= B_K \operatorname{curl} \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f \right) \times \mathbf{n}_f + B_f (\operatorname{grad} B_K) \times \mathbf{q}_f \times \mathbf{n}_f \\ &= 0 - B_f^2 \mathbf{n}_f \times \mathbf{q}_f \times \mathbf{n}_f \text{ restricted on } f. \end{aligned}$$

Next, integration by parts and the definition of $\mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{curl} \left(B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f \right), \mathbf{w}) &= (B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} (\mathbf{q}_f, B_K B_f \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}) = 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{w} \in [P_{r-2}(K)]^3. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. Assume $\mathbf{q}_f \in \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$. If $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on f , then $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on K . In addition,

$$\dim \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) = \dim \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K) = 6(r-1)r.$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$, then $\mathbf{q}_f = \lambda_f \mathbf{p}$ with $\mathbf{p} \in [P_{r-3}(K)]^3 \times \operatorname{mod} n_f$. It follows from $B_K \mathbf{p} = B_f \mathbf{q}_f$ that

$$0 = (\mathbf{q}_f, B_f B_K \mathbf{p})_K = (\mathbf{q}_f, B_f^2 \mathbf{q}_f)_K,$$

which implies $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on K . Note that the dimension of $[P_{r-2}(K)]^3 \times \mathbf{n}_f$ is $\frac{(r+1)(r-1)r}{3}$ and the dimension of $[P_{r-3}(K)]^3 \times \mathbf{n}_f$ is $\frac{(r-2)(r-1)r}{3}$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K) = \frac{(r+1)(r-1)r - (r-2)(r-1)r}{3} = r(r-1),$$

and hence,

$$\dim \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) = 6r(r-1).$$

To prove that $\dim \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) = \dim \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$, we show that the kernel of curl in $\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$ is empty. To this end, let $\mathbf{w} = B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f$ with $\mathbf{q}_f \in \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$ and suppose $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w} = 0$. We shall show that $\mathbf{w} = 0$. From Lemma 4.1,

$$0 = (\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{n}_f)|_f = -(B_f^2 \mathbf{q}_f)|_f \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K),$$

which yields $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on f , and hence $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on K . Therefore, $\mathbf{w} = 0$. □

To summarize, the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ on K is

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K) = \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K) \oplus \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K).$$

The right hand side is a direct sum since if $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K) \cap \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$, then according to Lemma 4.1, \mathbf{u} vanishes at the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$ (we regard \mathcal{T}_h as one element K), and hence $\mathbf{u} = 0$ due to the unisolvence of the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Therefore the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K)$ is

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K) = \dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K) + \dim \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K) = \frac{r(r^2 + 3r + 8)}{2} + 6r(r-1). \quad (4.8)$$

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- (1) moments $\int_f \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f q dA$, $\forall q \in P_{r-1}(f)$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$,
- (2) moments $\int_f \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, and
- (3) moments $\int_K \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{q} dV$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-3}(K)]^3$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Theorem 4.1. The above degrees of freedom (1)–(3) for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ are unisolvent.

Proof. According to (4.8), the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K)$ is exactly the number of degrees of freedom given by (1)–(3) on one element. Therefore, we only need to show that if the degrees of freedom (1)–(3) vanish for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K)$, then $\mathbf{v} \equiv 0$.

To this end, we first write $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_b$ with $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^2(K)$ and $\mathbf{v}_b \in \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K)$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the degrees of freedom (1) and (3) vanish for \mathbf{v}_b , and hence for \mathbf{v}_0 . Then $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$.

Now $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_b = \text{curl} (B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f)$ for some $\mathbf{q}_f \in \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$0 = \int_f \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q}_f dA = - \int_f B_f^2 \mathbf{q}_f \cdot \mathbf{q}_f dA \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K).$$

which leads to $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on f . From Lemma 4.2, $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ on K , and hence $\mathbf{v} = 0$. \square

The above degrees of freedom (1)–(3) naturally lead to the canonical interpolation $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2: [H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\Omega)]^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\delta > 0$. By the definition of $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2$, the following commutative property can be derived:

$$\text{div } \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^3 \text{div } \mathbf{v}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in [H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\Omega)]^3, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^3$ is defined in Section 2.2.

Denote

$$\mathcal{U}^{r-2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{\mathbf{z} \in H_0(\text{div}; \Omega) : \mathbf{z}|_K \in \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

To show the approximation property of the interpolation $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2$, we define an interpolation: $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}}: [H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\Omega)]^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{r-2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\delta > 0$ by

- $\int_f (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA = 0$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$.

Since $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}})(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2)$ make the degrees of freedom (4)–(7) vanish as $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2$ and $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2) \mathbf{u})|_K \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K)$, we have

$$\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}})(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2). \quad (4.10)$$

Following the idea of [15], we will use the identity (4.10) to obtain the approximation property of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2$. To this end, we derive first a stability estimate for $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}}$ and then the approximation property of $\mathbf{\pi}_h^2$.

Proceeding as in the proof of [15, Theorem 3.4], we can prove the boundedness of $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}}$:

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{w}\|_K \leq Ch_K^{1/2} \|\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{n}_{\partial K}\|_{0,\partial K} \leq C(\|\mathbf{w}\|_K + h_K \|\mathbf{w}\|_{1,K}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{w} \in [H^1(K)]^3. \quad (4.11)$$

By a standard argument, we can prove for $\mathbf{v} \in [H^s(K)]^3$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ ($\delta > 0$),

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq m \leq s \leq r.$$

We summarize the result in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let m be an integer and $s \geq 1$ satisfying $0 \leq m \leq s \leq r$. Then for any $\mathbf{v} \in [H^s(K)]^3$, there holds

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K}.$$

4.2. $H(\text{grad curl})$ -**nonconforming elements** — $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. According to the construction of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the shape function space of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ on K is

$$\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K) = \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) \oplus \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K).$$

The right hand side is a direct sum since if $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) \cap \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$, then \mathbf{u} vanishes at the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ (we regard \mathcal{T}_h as one element K), and hence $\mathbf{u} = 0$ due to the unisolvence of the degrees of freedom for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K)$. Therefore the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K)$ is

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K) = \dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) + \dim \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) = \dim \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K) + 6r(r-1).$$

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the degrees of freedom are

- (4) moments $\int_e \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_e q ds$, $\forall q \in P_{r-1}(e)$ at all edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$,
- (5) moments $\int_f \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-3}(f)]^2 \oplus \text{grad}_f \tilde{P}_{r-1}(f)$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$,
- (6) moments $\int_f \text{curl } \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2$ at all faces $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, and
- (7) moments $\int_K \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{q} dV$, $\forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-5}(K)]^3 \oplus \text{curl}[\tilde{P}_{r-3}(K)]^3$ at all elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

Theorem 4.3. The above degrees of freedom (4)–(7) for $\mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ are unisolvent.

Proof. As in Theorem 4.1, we suppose the degrees of freedom (4)–(7) vanish for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K)$ and write $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_b$ with $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^1(K)$ and $\mathbf{v}_b \in \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$. We will show that $\mathbf{v} = 0$. Obviously, the degrees of freedom (4)–(5) vanish for \mathbf{v}_b . Suppose $\mathbf{v}_b = B_K \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} B_f \mathbf{q}_f$ with $\mathbf{q}_f \in \mathcal{V}_f^{r-2}(K)$. For $\mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-4}(K)]^3$, according to the definition of $\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$, we have

$$(\mathbf{v}_b, \mathbf{q})_K = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} (B_K B_f \mathbf{q}_f, \mathbf{q})_K = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)} (\mathbf{q}_f, B_K B_f \mathbf{q})_K = 0.$$

Therefore the degrees of freedom (4), (5), and (7) vanish for \mathbf{v}_b , and hence for \mathbf{v}_0 . Then $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$.

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can proof $\mathbf{q}_f = 0$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_h(K)$, and hence $\mathbf{v}_b = 0$. \square

We use the above degrees of freedom (4)–(7) to define the canonical interpolation $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^1: H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\text{curl}; \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\delta > 0$. Using the degrees of freedom (1)–(7) and integration by parts, we can show the following commutative property:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \text{curl } \mathbf{u} = \text{curl } \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1 \mathbf{u}. \quad (4.12)$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{V}^{r-2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) : \mathbf{z}|_K \in \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

We now show the approximation property of the interpolation $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^1$. To this end, we define an interpolation $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} : H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}(\text{curl}; \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\delta > 0$ by

$$\bullet \int_f \text{curl}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2 \text{ at all faces } f \in \mathcal{F}_h.$$

It also holds the commutative property:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{U} \text{curl } \mathbf{u} = \text{curl } \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{u}. \quad (4.13)$$

Since $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V})(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1)$ make the degrees of freedom (4)–(7) vanish as $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1$ and $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1) \mathbf{u})|_K \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(K)$, we have

$$\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1 = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V})(\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1). \quad (4.14)$$

We will again use the identity (4.14) to obtain the approximation property of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1$. From the argument in Lemma 4.2, we see that $\|\text{curl } \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{w}\|_K$ is a norm for $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(K)$. By the scaling argument and (4.13), we have

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{w}\|_K \leq Ch_K \|\text{curl } \mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{w}\|_K = Ch_K \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{U} \text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_K.$$

By the boundedness (4.11) of $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{U} \text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_K \leq C(\|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_K + h_K \|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_{1,K}) \text{ for } \text{curl } \mathbf{w} \in [H^1(K)]^3,$$

and hence

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^\mathcal{V} \mathbf{w}\|_K \leq Ch_K(\|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_K + h_K \|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}\|_{1,K}) \text{ for } \text{curl } \mathbf{w} \in [H^1(K)]^3.$$

By a standard argument, we can prove for $\mathbf{v} \in H^s(\text{curl}; K)$, $s \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ ($\delta > 0$),

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1 \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K} \text{ with } 0 \leq m \leq s \leq r,$$

$$\|\text{curl}(\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^1 \mathbf{v})\|_{m,K} = \|\text{curl } \mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\pi}_h^2 \text{curl } \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\text{curl } \mathbf{v}|_{s,K} \text{ with } 0 \leq m \leq s \leq r.$$

Theorem 4.4. Let m be an integer and $s \geq 1$ satisfying $0 \leq m \leq s \leq r$. Then for any $\mathbf{v} \in H^s(\text{curl}; K)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1 \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} &\leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K}, \\ \|\text{curl}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^1 \mathbf{v})\|_{m,K} &= \|\text{curl} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \text{curl} \mathbf{v}\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{s-m} |\text{curl} \mathbf{v}|_{s,K}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h) : \text{the boundary degrees of freedom of } \mathbf{u} \text{ are vanishing}\}, \\ \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) &= \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) : \text{the boundary degrees of freedom of } \mathbf{u} \text{ are vanishing}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 4.5. The complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.15)$$

is exact when Ω is contractible.

Proof. We first prove the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$. From [13, Corollary 2.4], for any $q_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset L_0^2(\Omega)$, there exists $\mathbf{w} \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^3$ satisfying $\text{div} \mathbf{w} = q_h$. By using the commutativity of interpolations and exterior derivatives (4.9),

$$q_h = \pi_h^3 q_h = \pi_h^3 \text{div} \mathbf{w} = \text{div} \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{w} \in \text{div} \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

To show the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, suppose $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\text{div} \mathbf{w}_h = 0$. We prove that $\mathbf{w}_h = \text{curl} \mathbf{v}_h$ for some $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. By the definition of $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, we have that

$$\mathbf{w}_h = \mathbf{w}_0 + \text{curl} \mathbf{v}_1 \text{ with } \mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^2(\mathcal{T}_h) \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathcal{V}^{r-2}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Then $\text{div} \mathbf{w}_h = 0$ leads to $\text{div} \mathbf{w}_0 = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$ such that $\mathbf{w}_0 = \text{curl} \mathbf{v}_0$. Define

$$\mathbf{v}_h := \mathbf{v}_0 + \mathbf{v}_1,$$

then $\mathbf{w}_h = \text{curl} \mathbf{v}_h$. Since $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, we have $\int_f \llbracket \mathbf{w}_h \times \mathbf{n}_f \rrbracket_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA = 0$, and hence $\int_f \llbracket \text{curl} \mathbf{v}_h \times \mathbf{n}_f \rrbracket_f \cdot \mathbf{q} dA = 0$, for all $\mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-3}(f)]^2$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$. Thus $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

Finally, the exactness at $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1,r}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ follows from a dimension count. \square

5. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF THE MODEL PROBLEMS

5.1. **the Brinkman problem.** We apply $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$ to the mixed scheme (3.3) for the Brinkman problem (3.2). We study the convergence analysis of the numerical scheme in this subsection.

We take

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_h &= \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1}^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{\mathbf{v} \in H_0(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) : \mathbf{v}|_K \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}^{+,2}(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \\ &\quad \langle \llbracket \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket_f, \mathbf{q} \rangle_f = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2 \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}_h\}, \\ W_h &= \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-2}^3(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{w \in L_0^2(\Omega) : w|_K \in \mathcal{S}_{r-2}^3(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.5, $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{V}_h = W_h$.

We prove the inf-sup condition (3.4). From [13, Corollary 2.4], for any $q \in W_h \subset L_0^2(\Omega)$, there exists $\mathbf{v} \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^3$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = q \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 \leq C\|q\|.$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.5, we have $\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v} \in W_h$ such that

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v} = q.$$

Besides, Theorem 4.2 leads to

$$\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{1,h} \leq C\|\mathbf{v}\|_1, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in [H^1(\Omega)]^3.$$

Therefore, for any $q \in W_h$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h} \frac{b_1(\mathbf{v}_h, q)}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{1,h}} \geq \frac{b_1(\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}, q)}{\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{1,h}} \geq \frac{\|q\|^2}{\|\mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{1,h}} \geq C\|q\|.$$

Remark 5.1. From the definition of \mathbf{V}_h ,

$$\int_f \llbracket \mathbf{v}_h \rrbracket dS = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_h \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h.$$

By [6, (1.3)], we have

$$\|\operatorname{grad}_h \mathbf{v}_h\| \geq C\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{1,h}, \tag{5.1}$$

where grad_h means taking gradient piecewisely. Then the nonconforming element can also be used to solve Stokes problems.

We are now at the point to present the uniformly convergent results.

Theorem 5.1. Let $(\mathbf{u}; p) \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of the variational problem (3.2) and $(\mathbf{u}_h; p_h) \in \mathbf{V}_h \times W_h$ be the solution of the discrete problem (3.3). Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in [H^s(\Omega)]^3$ with $2 \leq s \leq r$, then there holds

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{a_1, h} \leq C(\nu^{1/2}h^{s-1} + \alpha^{1/2}h^s)|\mathbf{u}|_s. \quad (5.2)$$

For $p \in H^{s-1}(\Omega)$, there holds

$$\|p - p_h\|_0 \leq C(h^{s-1}|p|_{s-1} + M^{1/2}(\nu^{1/2}h^{s-1} + \alpha^{1/2}h^s)|\mathbf{u}|_s). \quad (5.3)$$

Here $M := \max\{\nu, \alpha\}$.

Proof. In light of Theorem 4.2, for $\mathbf{u} \in [H^s(\Omega)]^3$ with $2 \leq s \leq r$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{a_1, h} &\leq C \left(\nu^{1/2} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{u}|_{1, h} + \alpha^{1/2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h^2 \mathbf{u}\|_0 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\nu^{1/2} h^{s-1} + \alpha^{1/2} h^s \right) |\mathbf{u}|_s. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

For $p \in H^{s-1}(\Omega)$, there holds the following the estimation:

$$\|p - \pi_h^3 p\|_0 \leq C h^{s-1} |p|_{s-1}. \quad (5.5)$$

To estimate the consistency error (3.7), let $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h$. Proceeding as in [15], the consistent error has the following estimate

$$|E_{1, h}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_h)| \leq C \nu^{1/2} h^{s-1} |\mathbf{u}|_s \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{a_1, h}. \quad (5.6)$$

From Theorem 3.2, we can arrive at (5.8) and (5.3) by combining (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6). \square

5.2. the $-\text{curl} \Delta \text{curl}$ problem. We apply $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1, r}^{+, 1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$ to the mixed scheme (3.10) for the $-\text{curl} \Delta \text{curl}$ problem (3.9). We study the convergence analysis of the numerical scheme in this subsection.

We take

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_h &= \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{r-1, r}^{+, 1}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{\mathbf{w} \in H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) : \mathbf{w}|_K \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1, r}^{+, 1}(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \\ &\quad \langle \llbracket \text{curl } \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{n} \rrbracket_f, \mathbf{q} \rangle_f = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in [P_{r-2}(f)]^2 \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}_h\}, \\ S_h &= \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_r^0(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{w \in H_0^1(\Omega) : w|_K \in \mathcal{S}_r^0(K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.5,

$$\text{grad } S_h = \ker(\text{curl}) \cap \mathbf{W}_h. \quad (5.7)$$

The inf-sup condition 3.11 holds since for all $w \in S_h$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}_h} \frac{b_2(\mathbf{v}, w)}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}} \geq \frac{b_2(\text{grad } w, w)}{\|\text{grad } w\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}} = \|\text{grad } w\| \geq C \|w\|_1.$$

Now we prove the coercivity. For $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h$, we have $\text{curl } \mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h$. By (5.1), we have

$$\|\text{grad}_h \text{curl } \mathbf{w}_h\| \geq C \|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}_h\|_{1,h}.$$

Following the argument in the Theorem [18, Theorem 4.7], we can prove the following discrete Poincaré inequality for $\mathbf{W}_h \cap N_h(0)$:

$$\|\text{curl } \mathbf{w}_h\| \geq C \|\mathbf{w}_h\|.$$

In conclusion, for $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_h \cap N_h(0)$, the following coercivity holds:

$$\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{a_2,h} \geq c \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h}.$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 5.1 and applying Theorem 3.3, we can prove the convergence results for (3.10).

Theorem 5.2. Let $(\mathbf{u}; p) \in H_0(\text{grad curl}; \Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the solution of the variational problem (3.9) and $(\mathbf{u}_h; p_h) \in \mathbf{W}_h \times S_h$ be the solution of the discrete problem (3.10). Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in H^s(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ with $2 \leq s \leq r$, then there holds $p = p_h = 0$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl},h} \leq Ch^{s-1} (|\mathbf{u}|_s + |\text{curl } \mathbf{u}|_s). \quad (5.8)$$

6. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

Example 1.

We consider the Brinkman problem (3.1) with $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Cut the unit cube into eight cubes and each of the eight cubes is refined into eight half-sized cubes uniformly to get a higher-level grid. The mesh size h of each level is $1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16$, respectively.





We use the finite element space $\mathcal{S}_1^{+,2}(\mathcal{T}_h) \times \mathcal{S}_0^3(\mathcal{T}_h)$ to discretize $(\mathbf{u}; p)$ in (3.2). Let $\alpha = 1$ in (3.1), and let the effective viscosity ν be $1, 10^{-2}, 10^{-4},$ and 10^{-6} . We consider the system (3.1) with $g = 0$ and $\mathbf{f} = -\text{div}(\nu \text{grad } \mathbf{u}) + \alpha \mathbf{u} + \text{grad } p$, where

$$\mathbf{u} = \text{curl} \begin{pmatrix} y^2(1-y)^2x(1-x)z^2(1-z)^3 \\ x^2(1-x)^2y(1-y)z^2(1-z)^3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$p = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right) (1-z). \quad (6.2)$$

For different values of ν and h , we present, in Figure 6.1–6.2, the errors of the velocity \mathbf{u} measured in the L^2 norm and discrete H^1 norm, and in Figure 6.3, the errors of the pressure p measured in the L^2 norm. It can be observed that the optimal order of convergence is achieved, and the discretization is stable as ν tends to 0.

FIGURE 6.1. $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|$ FIGURE 6.2. $\|\text{grad}_h(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h)\|$ FIGURE 6.3. $\|p - p_h\|$ FIGURE 6.4. $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}$

Example 2.

We use the finite element spaces $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}^{+,1}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and $\mathcal{S}_2^0(\mathcal{T}_h)$ to solve the $-\text{curl}\Delta\text{curl}$ problem with $\gamma = 1$ on $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. We use the exact solution

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin^3(\pi x) \sin^2(\pi y) \sin^2(\pi z) \cos(\pi y) \cos(\pi z) \\ \sin^3(\pi y) \sin^2(\pi z) \sin^2(\pi x) \cos(\pi z) \cos(\pi x) \\ -2 \sin^3(\pi z) \sin^2(\pi x) \sin^2(\pi y) \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the right-hand side can be derived by a simple calculation. We plot in Figure 6.4 the error of $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h$ in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{\text{grad curl}, h}$. We can see from the figure that the error converges to 0 with order 1, which agrees with the theoretical estimate.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we constructed a family of uniformly stable $[H^1]^3$ -nonconforming cubical elements for the Brinkman problem and a family of grad curl-nonconforming elements for the $-\text{curl} \Delta \text{curl}$ problems. The two families of elements can fit into a de Rham complex starting with the Lagrange elements and ending with the L^2 discontinuous elements. Because of their div- and curl-conformity, they can also be applied to the Darcy problem and Maxwell equations even though this is not a focus of this paper.

The newly-proposed grad curl-nonconforming element has at least 48 DOFs on each element. To further reduce the number of degrees of freedom, we will construct fully nonconforming elements in future works.

In this paper, we considered cubical elements, while it is not hard to extend this construction to rectangular meshes. In the future, we will extend the construction to general quadrilateral and hexahedral meshes.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. N. Arnold. *Finite Element Exterior Calculus*, volume 93. SIAM, 2018.
- [2] D. N. Arnold and G. Awanou. The serendipity family of finite elements. *Foundations of computational mathematics*, 11(3):337–344, 2011.
- [3] D. N. Arnold and G. Awanou. Finite element differential forms on cubical meshes. *Mathematics of Computation*, 83(288):1551–1570, 2014.
- [4] D. N. Arnold, F. Brezzi, and M. Fortin. A stable finite element for the Stokes equations. *Calcolo*, 21(4):337–344, 1984.
- [5] D. N. Arnold and J. Qin. Quadratic velocity/linear pressure Stokes elements. *Advances in computer methods for partial differential equations*, 7:28–34, 1992.
- [6] S. C. Brenner. Poincaré–Friedrichs inequalities for piecewise H^1 functions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 41(1):306–324, 2003.
- [7] L. Chacón, A. N. Simakov, and A. Zocco. Steady-state properties of driven magnetic reconnection in 2D electron magnetohydrodynamics. *Physical review letters*, 99(23):235001, 2007.
- [8] S. Chen, L. Dong, and J. Zhao. Uniformly convergent cubic nonconforming element for Darcy–Stokes problem. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 72(1):231–251, 2017.
- [9] S. H. Christiansen and K. Hu. Generalized finite element systems for smooth differential forms and Stokes’ problem. *Numerische Mathematik*, 140(2):327–371, 2018.
- [10] M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart. Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations I. *Revue française d’automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle. Mathématique*, 7(R3):33–75, 1973.
- [11] G. Fu, J. Guzmán, and M. Neilan. Exact smooth piecewise polynomial sequences on Alfeld splits. *Mathematics of Computation*, 89(323):1059–1091, 2020.

- [12] A. Gillette and T. Kloefkorn. Trimmed serendipity finite element differential forms. *Mathematics of Computation*, 88(316):583–606, 2019.
- [13] V. Girault and P. Raviart. *Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations: theory and algorithms*, volume 5. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [14] J. Guzman, A. Lischke, and M. Neilan. Exact sequences on Worsley-Farin Splits. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2008.05431*, 2020.
- [15] J. Guzmán and M. Neilan. A family of nonconforming elements for the brinkman problem. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 32(4):1484–1508, 2012.
- [16] J. Guzmán and M. Neilan. Inf-sup stable finite elements on barycentric refinements producing divergence-free approximations in arbitrary dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 56(5):2826–2844, 2018.
- [17] J. Guzmán and L. Scott. The Scott-Vogelius finite elements revisited. *Mathematics of Computation*, 88(316):515–529, 2019.
- [18] R. Hiptmair. Finite elements in computational electromagnetism. *Acta Numerica*, 11:237–339, 2002.
- [19] K. Hu, Q. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. Simple curl-curl-conforming finite elements in two dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 42(6):A3859–A3877, 2020.
- [20] K. Hu, Q. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. Simple curl-curl-conforming finite elements in two dimensions. *arXiv:2004.12507v2*, 2020.
- [21] K. Hu, Q. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. A family of finite element stokes complexes in three dimensions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 60(1):222–243, 2022.
- [22] X. Huang. Nonconforming finite element stokes complexes in three dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14068*, 2020.
- [23] K. A. Mardal, X. Tai, and R. Winther. A robust finite element method for Darcy–Stokes flow. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 40(5):1605–1631, 2002.
- [24] R. D. Mindlin and H. F. Tiersten. Effects of couple-stresses in linear elasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 11(1):415–448, 1962.
- [25] J. C. Nédélec. Mixed finite elements in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Numerische Mathematik*, 35(1):315–341, 1980.
- [26] J. C. Nédélec. A new family of mixed finite elements in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Numerische Mathematik*, 50(1):57–81, 1986.
- [27] M. Neilan. Discrete and conforming smooth de Rham complexes in three dimensions. *Mathematics of Computation*, 84(295):2059–2081, 2015.
- [28] M. Neilan and D. Sap. Stokes elements on cubic meshes yielding divergence-free approximations. *Calcolo*, 53(3):263–283, 2016.
- [29] S. K. Park and X. Gao. Variational formulation of a modified couple stress theory and its application to a simple shear problem. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 59(5):904–917, 2008.
- [30] X. Tai and R. Winther. A discrete de Rham complex with enhanced smoothness. *Calcolo*, 43(4):287–306, 2006.
- [31] C. Taylor and P. Hood. A numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations using the finite element technique. *Computers & Fluids*, 1(1):73–100, 1973.
- [32] L. Wang, H. Li, and Z. Zhang. $H(\text{curl}^2)$ -conforming spectral element method for quad-curl problems. *Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, 21(3):661–681, 2021.
- [33] L. Wang, W. Shan, H. Li, and Z. Zhang. $H(\text{curl}^2)$ -conforming quadrilateral spectral element method for quad-curl problems. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 31(10):1951–1986, 2021.
- [34] X. Xie, J. Xu, and G. Xue. Uniformly-stable finite element methods for Darcy-Stokes-Brinkman models. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, pages 437–455, 2008.

- [35] X. Xu and S. Zhang. A new divergence-free interpolation operator with applications to the Darcy–Stokes–Brinkman equations. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 32(2):855–874, 2010.
- [36] Q. Zhang, L. Wang, and Z. Zhang. $H(\text{curl}^2)$ -conforming finite elements in 2 dimensions and applications to the quad-curl problem. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 41(3):A1527–A1547, 2019.
- [37] Q. Zhang and Z. Zhang. A family of curl-curl conforming finite elements on tetrahedral meshes. *CSIAM Transactions on Applied Mathematics*, 1(4):639–663, 2020.
- [38] S. Zhang. A new family of stable mixed finite elements for the 3D Stokes equations. *Mathematics of computation*, 74(250):543–554, 2005.
- [39] S. Zhang. On the P_1 Powell-Sabin divergence-free finite element for the Stokes equations. *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, pages 456–470, 2008.
- [40] S. Zhang. A family of $Q_{k+1,k} \times Q_{k,k+1}$ divergence-free finite elements on rectangular grids. *SIAM journal on numerical analysis*, 47(3):2090–2107, 2009.
- [41] S. Zhang. Quadratic divergence-free finite elements on Powell–Sabin tetrahedral grids. *Calcolo*, 48(3):211–244, 2011.
- [42] B. Zheng, Q. Hu, and J. Xu. A nonconforming finite element method for fourth order curl equations in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Mathematics of Computation*, 80(276):1871–1886, 2011.
- [43] X. Zhou, Z. Meng, and J. Su. Low-order nonconforming brick elements for the 3D Brinkman model. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 98:201–217, 2021.

Email address: qzhang15@mtu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, HOUGHTON, MI 49931, USA.

Email address: zhangminyy@csrc.ac.cn (corresponding author)

BEIJING COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: zmzhang@csrc.ac.cn; zzhang@math.wayne.edu

BEIJING COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER, BEIJING, CHINA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MI 48202, USA