

ENRICHED PURITY AND PRESENTABILITY IN BANACH SPACES

J. ROSICKÝ

ABSTRACT. The category **Ban** of Banach spaces and linear maps of norm ≤ 1 is locally \aleph_1 -presentable but not locally finitely presentable. We prove, however, that **Ban** is locally finitely presentable in the enriched sense over complete metric spaces. Moreover, in this sense, pure morphisms are just ideals of Banach spaces. We characterize classes of Banach spaces approximately injective to sets of morphisms having finite-dimensional domains and separable codomains.

1. INTRODUCTION

A model theoretical approach to Banach spaces (and other structures from functional analysis) is well established (see, e.g., [15]). Our aim is to place this approach into the framework of the theory of locally presentable and accessible categories. The first steps in this direction were done in [31] and [4]. Accessible categories were introduced by M. Makkai and R. Paré [27] as a foundation of categorical model theory. Their theory was further developed in [3] where, in particular, the importance of injectivity and purity have been understood. To deal with structures from functional analysis, we need this theory to be enriched over complete metric spaces. For instance, [4] showed that an analytical concept of approximate injectivity coincides with enriched injectivity. [4] also showed that finite-dimensional Banach spaces are finitely generated in the enriched sense; here, morphisms of Banach spaces are linear maps of norm ≤ 1 . This provides a new perspective to the construction of a Gurarii space.

Our first result improves [4] by showing that finite-dimensional Banach spaces are even finitely presentable in the enriched sense. This implies that the category of Banach spaces is locally finitely presentable as an enriched category. Let us add that, as an ordinary category, it is only locally \aleph_1 -presentable. We then prove that pure morphisms of Banach spaces in the enriched sense coincide with the well-established concept of ideals of Banach spaces ([29]). We also show that pure morphisms have the same model-theoretical meaning as pure morphisms in accessible categories when we use the logic of positive bounded formulas of [14, 15]. This complements the results of [31] where purity in metric enriched categories was introduced. Using purity, we

Date: June 11, 2022.

Supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant 19-00902S.

characterize classes of Banach spaces approximately injective to sets of morphisms having finite-dimensional domains and separable codomains.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to J. Adámek for a feedback concerning the presentation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We denote by **CMet** the category of complete metric spaces and nonexpanding maps as morphisms where we allow distances to be ∞ . This category is symmetric monoidal closed where the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ puts the $+$ -metric

$$d((x, y), (x', y')) = d(x, x') + d(y, y')$$

on the product $X \times Y$. The internal hom provides the hom-set $\mathbf{CMet}(X, Y)$ with the sup-metric $d(f, g) = \sup\{d(fx, gx) \mid x \in X\}$. Moreover, **CMet** is locally \aleph_1 -presentable (see [4] 2.3(2)). A category \mathcal{K} is enriched over **CMet** if hom-sets $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$ are complete metric spaces and the composition maps $\mathcal{K}(B, C) \otimes \mathcal{K}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A, C)$ are nonexpanding. Our principal examples are the category **CMet** itself and the category **Ban** of Banach spaces and linear maps of norm ≤ 1 . A functor $F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ between **CMet**-enriched categories is *enriched* if the mapping $\mathcal{K}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(FA, FB)$ is nonexpansive for all objects A and B in \mathcal{K} . An adjunction $U \vdash F$ is *enriched* if $\mathcal{L}(FK, L) \cong \mathcal{K}(K, UL)$ is an isomorphism of metric spaces for all objects K in \mathcal{K} and L in \mathcal{L} . Consult [3] for the concept of a locally presentable category and [16] for the theory of enriched categories. Enriched categories over **CMet** were studied in [31] and [4] from where we recall the following concepts.

Given morphisms $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ in a **CMet**-enriched category \mathcal{K} and $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that $f \sim_\varepsilon g$ if $d(f, g) \leq \varepsilon$ in the metric space $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$. An ε -commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & D \end{array}$$

is a square such that $\bar{f}g \sim_\varepsilon \bar{g}f$. Such a square is called an ε -pushout if for every ε -commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g' \\ C & \xrightarrow{f'} & D' \end{array}$$

there is a unique morphism $t : D \rightarrow D'$ such that $t\bar{f} = f'$ and $t\bar{g} = g'$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{h} & B' \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow \bar{g} & & \downarrow \bar{\bar{g}} \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & D & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & D'
 \end{array}$$

be a diagram in a **CMet**-enriched category where both squares are ε -pushouts. Then the outer rectangle is an ε -pushout.

Proof. Consider $u : B' \rightarrow X$ and $v : C \rightarrow X$ such that $uhf \sim_\varepsilon vg$. Then there exists a unique $t : D \rightarrow X$ such that $t\bar{g} = uh$ and $t\bar{f} = v$. Thus there exists a unique $t' : D' \rightarrow X$ such that $t'\bar{h} = t$ and $t'\bar{\bar{g}} = u$. Hence $t'\bar{h}\bar{f} = t\bar{f} = v$.

Consider $w : D' \rightarrow X$ such that $w\bar{\bar{g}} = u$ and $w\bar{h}\bar{f} = v$. Since $w\bar{h}\bar{g} = w\bar{\bar{g}}h = uh$, we have $w\bar{h} = t$. Hence $w = t'$. We have proved that the outer rectangle is an ε -pushout. \square

Remark 2.2. An ε -pushout in **Ban** is a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow \bar{g} \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & D
 \end{array}$$

where D is the coproduct $B \oplus C$ endowed with the norm

$$\| (x, y) \| = \inf \{ \| b \| + \| c \| + \varepsilon \| a \| \mid x = b + f(a), y = c - g(a) \}$$

(see [7, 6.5]). Hence an ε -pushout of finite-dimensional (or separable) Banach spaces is finite-dimensional (separable resp.).

Remark 2.3. (1) A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in a **CMet**-enriched category \mathcal{K} is called an *isometry* if for every $u, v : C \rightarrow A$ we have $d(u, v) = d(fu, fv)$. Isometries in **CMet** or **Ban** are the usual isometries.

(2) Let \mathcal{K} have pushouts. We say that isometries in \mathcal{K} are *stable under pushouts* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow \bar{g} \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & D
 \end{array}$$

is a pushout and f an isometry then \bar{f} is an isometry. Isometries in **Ban** are stable under pushouts (see [5] A.19).

Following [4, Corollary 3.19]), isometries in **Ban** are also stable under ε -pushouts.

(3) A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in a \mathbf{CMet} -enriched category is called an ε -isometry provided that there are isometries $g : B \rightarrow C$ and $h : A \rightarrow C$ such that $gf \sim_\varepsilon h$. If isometries are stable under pushouts then, following [4, Lemma 3.22], $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an ε -isometry if and only if in the following ε -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\text{id}}_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & P \end{array}$$

\bar{f} is an isometry. (The stability of isometries under pushouts is missing in [4, Lemma 3.22]).

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that isometries in a \mathbf{CMet} -enriched category are stable under pushouts. Then f is an ε -isometry if and only if there is an isometry h and a morphism g such that $gf \sim_\varepsilon h$.*

Proof. Necessity is evident and sufficiency follows from the proof of [4, Lemma 3.22]. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that isometries in a \mathbf{CMet} -enriched category are stable under pushouts. Let $f \sim_\varepsilon g$ where g is an isometry. Then f is an ε -isometry.*

Proof. Take the ε -pushout from 2.3(3). Since $g \cdot \text{id}_A \sim_\varepsilon \text{id}_B \cdot f$, there is $t : P \rightarrow B$ such that $t\bar{f} = g$. Since isometries are left cancellable, \bar{f} is an isometry. Thus f is an ε -isometry. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that isometries in a \mathbf{CMet} -enriched category are stable under pushouts. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an ε -isometry and $g : B \rightarrow C$ an δ -isometry then gf is an $(\varepsilon + \delta)$ -isometry.*

Proof. There are isometries $h_1 : B \rightarrow D_1$, $h_2 : A \rightarrow D_1$, $h_3 : C \rightarrow D_2$ and $h_4 : B \rightarrow D_2$ such that $h_1f \sim_\varepsilon h_2$ and $h_3g \sim_\delta h_4$. Consider an amalgam

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{h_1} & D_1 \\ h_4 \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{h}_4 \\ D_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}_1} & D \end{array}$$

Then

$$\bar{h}_1 h_3 g f \sim_\delta \bar{h}_1 h_4 f = \bar{h}_4 h_1 f \sim_\varepsilon \bar{h}_4 h_2,$$

and thus $\bar{h}_1 h_3 g f \sim_{\varepsilon+\delta} \bar{h}_4 h_2$. Since $\bar{h}_1 h_3$ and $\bar{h}_4 h_2$ are isometries, gf is an $(\varepsilon + \delta)$ -isometry. \square

Remark 2.7. (1) A linear mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ between Banach spaces is called an ε -isometry if

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|x\| \leq \|fx\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|.$$

This is equivalent to

$$|\|fx\| - \|x\|| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $x \in A$, $\|x\| \leq 1$ (see [4, 7.1(2)]). ε -isometries of norm ≤ 1 are precisely ε -isometries in the sense of 2.3(3) (see [4, Lemma 7.2]).

(2) There is a stronger concept of an ε -isometry on Banach spaces, namely a linear mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|x\| \leq \|fx\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x\|.$$

We will call this a *strong ε -isometry*. Since $(1 - \varepsilon) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, every strong ε -isometry is an ε -isometry.

(3) For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, an ε -isometry is a strong $\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$ -isometry. Indeed, $(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon})^{-1} = 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$.

(4) Every ε -isometry is injective. Indeed, if $\|fx\| = 0$ then $\|x\| = 0$.

For a **CMet**-enriched category \mathcal{K} , the arrow category $\mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}$ is a **CMet**-enriched category with the hom-space $\mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}(f, g)$ of $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : C \rightarrow D$ defined by the following pullback in **Met**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}(f, g) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(A, C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{K}(A, g) \\ \mathcal{K}(B, D) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(f, D)} & \mathcal{K}(A, D) \end{array}$$

Recall that objects of $\mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}$ are morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{K} and morphisms $(u, v) : f \rightarrow g$ are given by commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & D \end{array}$$

3. FINITE PRESENTABILITY

In an ordinary category \mathcal{K} , an object A is finitely presentable if its hom-functor $\mathcal{K}(A, -) : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves directed colimits. If \mathcal{K} is enriched over **CMet**, an object A is finitely presentable (in the enriched sense) if its hom-functor $\mathcal{K}(A, -) : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMet}$ preserves directed colimits. While only the trivial Banach space $\{0\}$ is finitely presentable in the ordinary sense (see [4, 2.7(1)]), we prove that finite-dimensional Banach spaces are finitely presentable (in the enriched sense). This

improves [4, 7.6] which showed that hom-functors $\mathcal{K}(A, -) : \mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMet}$ with A finite-dimensional preserve directed colimits of isometries.

Theorem 3.1. *Finite-dimensional Banach spaces are finitely presentable in the enriched sense.*

Proof. Let A be finite-dimensional and $(k_{ij} : K_i \rightarrow K_j)_{i \leq j \in I}$ be a directed diagram in \mathbf{Ban} with a colimit $(k_i : K_i \rightarrow K)_{i \in I}$. Following [4, 2.5], we have to prove the following

- (1) Given $f : A \rightarrow K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there are $i \in I$ and $g : A \rightarrow K_i$ such that $k_i g \sim_\varepsilon f$.
- (2) Given $f, g : A \rightarrow K_i$ then

$$\|k_i f - k_i g\| = \inf_{j \geq i} \|k_{ij} f - k_{ij} g\|.$$

We can assume that I is a well-ordered chain (see [3, 1.7]). If the cofinality $\text{cof}(I)$ of I is $> \aleph_0$ then I is \aleph_1 -directed and the result follows from the \aleph_1 -presentability of finite-dimensional Banach spaces ([4, 2.7(2)]). Assume that $\text{cof}(I) = \aleph_0$ and let $i_0, i_1, \dots, i_t, \dots$ be a cofinal chain in I .

(1) Every morphism $f : A \rightarrow K$ has a factorization $f = f_2 f_1$ where $f_2 : B \rightarrow K$ is an isometry and $f_1 : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective. Thus B is finite-dimensional provided that A is finite-dimensional and therefore, we can assume, without a loss of generality, that f is an isometry. At first, we prove

(*) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $i \in I$ and an ε -isometry $f^* : A \rightarrow K_i$ (not necessarily of norm ≤ 1) such that $f \sim_\varepsilon k_i f^*$.

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis of A . Since any two norms on a finite-dimensional Banach space are equivalent, there is a number r such that

$$\sum_{0 < m \leq n} |a_m| \leq r \left\| \sum_{0 < m \leq n} a_m e_m \right\|$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2r}$. Following [4, 2.5(4)], there are elements $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K_i$, $i < \mu$, such that

$$\left| \|k_i u_m\| - \|f e_m\| \right| \leq \delta$$

for $m = 1, \dots, n$. We can assume that $i = i_0$. Let $f' : A \rightarrow K_i$ be the linear mapping such that $f' e_m = u_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (k_i f' - f) \left(\sum_{0 < m \leq n} a_m e_m \right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{0 < m \leq n} |a_m| \left\| (k_i f' - f)(e_j) \right\| \leq \sum_{0 < m \leq n} |a_m| \delta \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\| \sum_{0 < m \leq n} a_m e_m \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $k_i f' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f$.

Since $\|k_{i_0 i_t} f'\|$ converges pointwise to $\|k_i f'\|$ (see [4, 2.5(4)]) and the closed unit ball A_1 of A is compact, the convergence is uniform on A_1 following Dini's theorem (see [8]). Hence

$$\|k_{i_0 i_t} f'\| - \|k_{i_0} f'\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for some t . Let $a = \sum_{0 < m \leq n} a_m e_m \in A_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} | \|k_{i_0 i_t} f' a\| - \|a\| | &= | \|k_{i_0 i_t} f' a\| - \|k_{i_0} f' a\| + \|k_{i_0} f' a\| - \|a\| | \\ &\leq | \|k_{i_0 i_t} f' a\| - \|k_{i_0} f' a\| | + | \|k_{i_0} f' a\| - \|a\| | \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + | \|k_{i_0} f' a\| - \|a\| | \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|a\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f^* = k_{i_0 i_t} f' : A \rightarrow K_{i_t}$ is an ε -isometry and $f \sim_\varepsilon k_{i_t} f^*$. This proves (*).

Now, we prove (1). We take f^* from (*) for $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $\|\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j\| = 1$. Then

$$| \|f^*(\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j)\| - 1 | \leq \varepsilon'.$$

If $\|f^*(\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j)\| \geq 1$ then $\|f^*(\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j)\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon'$. If $\|f^*(\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j)\| \leq 1$ then, again, $\|f^*(\sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j)\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon'$. We have proved that $\|f^*\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon'$.

Hence $g = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon'} f^*$ is a morphism in **Ban**.

For $a = \sum_{0 < j \leq n} a_j e_j$ we have

$$\|f^* a - g a\| = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon'} \|(1+\varepsilon') f^* a - f^* a\| = \frac{\varepsilon'}{1+\varepsilon'} \|f^* a\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon'}{1+\varepsilon'} (1+\varepsilon') \|a\| = \varepsilon' \|a\|.$$

Hence $\|f^* - g\| \leq \varepsilon'$ and thus $\|k_{i_t} f^* - k_{i_t} g\| \leq \varepsilon'$. Since $\|k_{i_t} f^* - f\| \leq \varepsilon'$, we have $\|k_{i_t} g - f\| \leq \varepsilon$.

(2) We have to show that

$$\|k_i f - k_i g\| = \inf_{j \geq i} \|k_{ij} f - k_{ij} g\|$$

for $f, g : A \rightarrow K_i$. This means that

$$\|k_i f - k_i g\| = \lim_t \|k_{ii_t} f - k_{ii_t} g\|.$$

Following [4, 2.5(4)], $\|k_{ii_t}(f - g)\|$ converges pointwise to $\|k_i(f - g)\|$ on the closed unit ball A_1 of A . Using Dini's theorem, we get that the convergence is uniform. Hence

$$\|k_i(f - g)\| = \lim_t \|k_{ii_t}(f - g)\|.$$

□

Locally finitely presentable enriched categories were introduced in [17]. Since **CMet** is not locally finitely presentable as an ordinary category, [17] does not fully apply to **CMet**-enriched categories.

We say that a **CMet**-enriched category is *locally finitely presentable* if it has all weighted colimits and a set \mathcal{A} of finitely presentable objects (in the enriched sense) such that every object is a directed colimit of objects of \mathcal{A} .

These categories are locally finitely presentable in the sense of [17] because they have a strong generator consisting of finitely presentable objects. However, **CMet** is locally finitely presentable in the sense of [17] (see (3.4) in this paper) but not locally finitely presentable in our sense.

Corollary 3.2. ***Ban** is locally finitely presentable as a category enriched over **CMet**.*

Proof. **Ban** has all weighted colimits (see [4, 4.5(3)]). Thus the result follows from 3.1 and the fact that every Banach space is a directed colimit of finite-dimensional spaces. \square

Remark 3.3. [4, 7.7] characterizes Banach spaces A such that $\mathbf{Ban}(A, -) : \mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{CMet}$ preserves directed colimits of isometries as those admitting for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a morphism $u : A \rightarrow A_0$ to a finite-dimensional Banach space with $r : A_0 \rightarrow A$ such that $ru \sim_\varepsilon \text{id}_A$. These Banach spaces do not need to be finite-dimensional and satisfy (1) above for every directed colimit $(k_i : K_i \rightarrow K)$. Indeed, for $f : A \rightarrow K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we take $u : A \rightarrow A_0$ and $r : A_0 \rightarrow A$ with A_0 finite-dimensional and $ru \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \text{id}_A$. Following 3.1, there is $g : A_0 \rightarrow K_i$ such that $k_i g \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} fr$. Then

$$k_i g u \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} fr u \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f.$$

Hence $k_i g u \sim_\varepsilon f$.

We do not know whether these Banach spaces A can satisfy (2) as well. So, we do not know whether finitely presentable Banach spaces are finite-dimensional.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $U : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $F : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be an enriched adjunction such that U preserves directed colimits. Then F preserves finitely presentable objects (in the enriched sense).*

Proof. Let A be finitely presentable in \mathcal{L} and $(k_i : K_i \rightarrow K)$ be a directed colimit in \mathcal{K} . Consider $f : FA \rightarrow K$. Since U preserves directed colimits, $(Uk_i : UK_i \rightarrow UK)$ is a directed colimit in \mathcal{L} . Take $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow UK$ be the adjoint transpose of f . There is $g : A \rightarrow UK_i$ such that $U(k_i)g \sim_\varepsilon \tilde{f}$. Since the adjunction is enriched, $k_i \tilde{g} \sim_\varepsilon f$ where $\tilde{g} : FA \rightarrow K_i$ is the adjoint transpose of g . Thus FA is finitely presentable. \square

4. PURITY

A morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ in an ordinary locally finitely presentable category \mathcal{K} is pure if in every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finitely presentable there exists $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tg = u$. Pure morphisms are precisely directed colimits of split monomorphisms in $\mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}$ (see [3, 2.30]). Recall that a monomorphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ is split if there exists $s : L \rightarrow K$ such that $sf = \text{id}_K$.

Since **Ban** is not locally finitely presentable as an ordinary category, one cannot expect that pure morphisms can be defined in this way.

Definition 4.1. A morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ in **Ban** is *pure* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional, there exists $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tg \sim_{\varepsilon} u$.

In [31], these morphisms were called barely ap-pure.

Remark 4.2. (1) Ordinary purity can be expressed in the following way. Let $\mathcal{Q}(g, f)$ be the image of the projection $p_1 : \mathcal{K}^{\rightarrow}(g, f) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A, K)$ sending (u, v) to u . Hence $\mathcal{Q}(g, f)$ consists of those $u : A \rightarrow K$ for which there exists $v : B \rightarrow L$ such that $vg = fu$. Then f is pure if and only if the mapping

$$q : \mathcal{K}(B, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(g, f)$$

sending $t : B \rightarrow K$ to $(tg, ft) : g \rightarrow f$ is surjective for every $g : A \rightarrow B$ with A and B finitely presentable.

The approach of [21] suggests how to define enriched purity. We replace the factorization system (surjective, injective) in **Set** by the factorization system (dense, isometry) in **CMet** (see [4, 3.16(2)]). Then $\mathcal{Q}(g, f)$ is given by the (dense, isometry) factorization of p_1 and we demand that q above is dense. This leads to just defined pure morphisms.

(2) The definition of pure morphisms would not change if we take A and B finitely presentable in the enriched sense. Assume that f is pure and take $u_0 : A \rightarrow A_0$,

$r_0 : A_0 \rightarrow A$, $u_1 : B \rightarrow B_0$ and $r_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B$ from 3.3 such that $r_0 u_0 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \text{id}_A$ and $r_1 u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \text{id}_B$. Since f is pure, there exists $t : B_0 \rightarrow K$ such that $t u_1 f r_0 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} u r_0$. Then

$$t u_1 f \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} t u_1 f r_0 u_0 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} u r_0 u_0 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} u$$

Hence $t u_1 f \sim_{\varepsilon} u$.

Lemma 4.3. *A morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ in \mathbf{Ban} is pure if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every ε -commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional, there exists $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $t g \sim_{2\varepsilon} u$.

Proof. Sufficiency is evident. Let f be pure and consider an ε -commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional. Consider an ε -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & C \\ \text{id}_A \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{\text{id}}_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

There is a unique morphism $t : C \rightarrow L$ such that $t \bar{g} = f u$ and $t \bar{\text{id}}_A = v$. Thus we get a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow t \\ A & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & C \end{array}$$

Following 2.2, C is finite-dimensional and thus there exists $w : C \rightarrow K$ such that $w \bar{g} \sim_{\varepsilon} u$. Hence

$$w \bar{\text{id}}_A g \sim_{\varepsilon} w \bar{g} \sim_{\varepsilon} u.$$

Hence $(w \bar{\text{id}}_A) g \sim_{2\varepsilon} u$. □

Remark 4.4. In the terminology of [31], this means that barely ap-pure and weakly ap-pure morphisms coincide. The same holds for barely λ -ap-pure and weakly λ -ap-pure morphisms.

Proposition 4.5. *Pure morphisms are closed under directed colimits of in \mathbf{Ban}^\rightarrow .*

Proof. Let $(k_i, l_i) : (f_i \rightarrow f)_{i \in I}$ be a directed colimit of pure morphisms $f_i : K_i \rightarrow L_i$ in \mathbf{Ban}^\rightarrow . This means that $k_i : K_i \rightarrow K$ and $l_i : L_i \rightarrow L$ are directed colimits in \mathbf{Ban} and $f k_i = l_i f_i$ for every $i \in I$. Consider a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

where A and B are finite-dimensional and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Following 3.1, there is $i \in I$ and $u' : A \rightarrow K_i$ and $v' : B \rightarrow L_i$ such that $k_i u' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} u$, $l_i v' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} v$ and $f_i u \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} v' g$. Following 4.3, there is $t : B \rightarrow K_i$ such that $t g \sim_{\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}} u'$. Hence

$$k_i t g \sim_{\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}} k_i u' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} u.$$

Thus $k_i t g \sim_\varepsilon u$. □

Remark 4.6. (1) Split monomorphisms $f : K \rightarrow L$ in \mathbf{Ban} coincide with projections $p : L \rightarrow L$ of norm one on L . Indeed, if f is split by s (i.e., $sf = \text{id}_K$) then $p = fs$. Conversely, given a projection $p : L \rightarrow L$ of norm one then the embedding $p[L] \rightarrow L$ is split by p (see [6, 2.2.9]). Equivalently, L is a biproduct of $p[L]$ and $\ker(p)$.

(2) Every split monomorphism in \mathbf{Ban} is pure. It suffices to take $t = vs$.

Proposition 4.7. *A morphism f in \mathbf{Ban} is pure if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are h_1, h_2 such that $h_1 f \sim_\varepsilon h_2$ and h_2 is a directed colimit of split monomorphisms in \mathbf{Ban}^\rightarrow .*

Proof. Assume that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there are h_1, h_2 such that $h_1 f \sim_\varepsilon h_2$ where h_2 is a directed colimit of split monomorphisms in \mathbf{Ban}^\rightarrow . Consider a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

where A and B are finite-dimensional and take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h_1 : L \rightarrow M, h_2 : K \rightarrow M$ above for $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{h_2} & M \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow h_1 v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

$\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -commutes. Since split monomorphisms are pure, 4.5 implies that h_2 is pure. Following 4.3, there is $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tg \sim_\varepsilon u$. Thus f is pure.

Conversely, let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be pure and $\varepsilon > 0$. Express K as a directed colimit $(k_i : K_i \rightarrow K)_{i \in I}$ of isometries $k_{ii'}$ between finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Similarly, let $(l_j : L_j \rightarrow L)_{j \in J}$ be a directed colimit of isometries between finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Following 3.1 (or [4, 7.6]), for every $i \in I$ there is $f_i : K_i \rightarrow L_{j_i}$ such that $l_{j_i} f_i \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f k_i$. Following 4.3, for every $i \in I$ there is $t_i : L_{j_i} \rightarrow K$ such that $t_i f_i \sim_\varepsilon k_i$. Like in the proof of [31, 3.11], in the ε -pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_i} & \bar{L}_i \\ k_i \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{k}_i \\ A_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & L_{j_i} \end{array}$$

every \bar{f}_i is a split monomorphism. We get a directed diagram $(\text{id}_K, \bar{k}_{ii'}) : \bar{k}_i \rightarrow \bar{k}_{i'}$ of split monomorphisms whose colimit \bar{f} is given by the ε -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \bar{L} \\ \text{id}_K \uparrow & & \uparrow h_1 \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \end{array}$$

□

Remark 4.8. In the proof above, $\bar{k}_{ii'}$ are isometries (see 2.3(2)). Hence h_2 can be taken as a directed colimit of split monomorphisms and isometries in $\mathbf{Ban}^{\rightarrow}$.

Lemma 4.9. *Pure morphisms in \mathbf{Ban} are left-cancellable, i.e., $f_2 f_1$ pure implies that f_1 is pure.*

Proof. it follows from 4.7. □

Lemma 4.10. *Pure morphisms in \mathbf{Ban} are isometries.*

Proof. Since isometries are closed under directed colimits ([4, 2.5(4)]) and that split monomorphisms are isometries, h_2 in 4.7 are isometries. Following 4.7 and 2.4, a pure morphism f is an ε -isometry for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence f is an isometry (see 2.7(1)). \square

Recall that a closed subspace K of a Banach space L is called an *ideal* if the annihilator of K in the dual space L^* is the kernel of norm one projection in L^* . Ideals K in L are characterized as closed subspaces K such that for every finite-dimensional subspace B of L and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a linear map $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tx = x$ for $x \in K \cap B$ and $\|t\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ (see [25, 29]).

Proposition 4.11. *A closed subspace K of a Banach space L is an ideal if and only if the embedding $K \rightarrow L$ is pure.*

Proof. I. Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of an ideal. Consider a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $v = v_2 v_1$ be a (dense, isometry) factorization of v . Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow \bar{v} & & \uparrow v_2 \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & B_1 \end{array}$$

be a pullback. There is $w : A \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{v}w = u$ and $\bar{f}w = v_1 g$. Take $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$. There is a linear operator $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $t\bar{f} = \bar{v}$ and $\|t\| \leq 1 + \delta$. Then $t' = \frac{1}{1+\delta}t$ has norm ≤ 1 . For $x \in C$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, we have

$$\|t'\bar{f}x - \bar{v}x\| = \left\| \frac{t\bar{f}x}{1+\delta} - \bar{v}x \right\| = \left\| \frac{\bar{v}x}{1+\delta} - \bar{v}x \right\| = \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \|\bar{v}x\| \leq \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence $t'\bar{f} \sim_\varepsilon \bar{v}$. Therefore $t'gv_1 = t'\bar{f}w \sim_\varepsilon \bar{v}w = u$. We have proved that f is pure.

II. Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be pure. Consider a finite-dimensional subspace B of L and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $v : B \rightarrow L$ be the embedding and take the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow \bar{v} & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & B \end{array}$$

Then $\bar{f} : K \cap B = A \rightarrow B$ is the embedding of a finite-dimensional subspace of B . Let $e_1, \dots, e_m, \dots, e_n$ is a basis of B such that e_1, \dots, e_m is a basis of A . Since any two norms on a finite-dimensional Banach space are equivalent, there is a number $r \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{0 < i \leq n} |a_i| \leq r \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i e_i \right\|.$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$. Since f is pure, there exists $t' : B \rightarrow K$ such that $t' \bar{f} \sim_\delta \bar{v}$. Let $t : B \rightarrow K$ be defined as follows: $t(e_i) = e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $t(e_i) = t'(e_i)$ for $i = m+1, \dots, n$. Consider $a \in B$, $\|a\| \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|t(a)\| &= \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq m} a_i e_i + \sum_{m < i \leq n} a_i t'(e_i) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i (e_i - t'(e_i)) + \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i t'(e_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i (e_i - t'(e_i)) \right\| + \|t'(a)\| \leq \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i (e_i - t'(e_i)) \right\| + \|a\| \\ &\leq \sum_{0 < i \leq n} |a_i| \delta + \|a\| \leq r \delta \left\| \sum_{0 < i \leq n} a_i e_i \right\| + \|a\| = \varepsilon \|a\| + \|a\| = (1 + \varepsilon) \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|t\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Since $t(x) = x$ for $x \in A$, we have proved that K is an ideal in L . \square

Corollary 4.12. *A morphism in **Ban** is pure if and only if it is an embedding of an ideal.*

Proof. It follows from 4.10 and the fact that an isometry $f : K \rightarrow L$ makes $f[K]$ a closed subspace of L . \square

Proposition 4.13. *Pure morphisms in **Ban** are stable under ε -pushouts for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Consider an ε -pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{L} \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow \bar{u} \\ K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \end{array}$$

where f is pure. Following 4.7, there are g, h such that $gf \sim_\varepsilon h$, with h being a directed colimit of split monomorphisms. Take the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \bar{M} \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow \bar{u} \\ K & \xrightarrow{h} & M \end{array}$$

Since $\bar{u}gf \sim_\varepsilon \bar{u}h = \bar{h}u$, there is a unique $\bar{g} : \bar{L} \rightarrow \bar{M}$ such that $\bar{g}\bar{f} = \bar{h}$ and $\bar{g}\bar{u} = \bar{u}g$. Since split monomorphisms are stable under pushouts and pushouts commute with directed colimits, \bar{h} is a directed colimit of split monomorphisms. Then 4.7 implies that \bar{f} is pure. \square

Proposition 4.14. *Let $F : \mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$ be an enriched functor preserving directed colimits. Then F preserves pure morphisms.*

Proof. F clearly preserves directed colimits of split monomorphisms. Since F is enriched $f \sim_\varepsilon g$ implies $Ff \sim_\varepsilon Fg$. Thus the result follows from 4.7. \square

Remark 4.15. The projective tensor product functor $K \otimes - : \mathbf{Ban} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$ is enriched and preserve all colimits. Hence it preserves ideals, which was proved in [29, Theorem 1]. Add that it does not need to preserve isometries.

Remark 4.16. Following [29], the canonical embedding $d_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is an ideal. Recall that X^* is the space $\{X, \mathbb{C}\}$ of bounded linear mappings $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4.17. Banach spaces injective w.r.t. pure morphisms will be called *pure injective*.

Remark 4.18. Injective Banach spaces, i.e., injective w.r.t. isometries, are pure-injective.

Lemma 4.19. *Banach spaces K^* are pure-injective.*

Proof. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be pure and $f : A \rightarrow K^*$. Since $K \otimes -$ is left adjoint to $\{K, -\}$, we get the adjoint transpose $\tilde{f} : K \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the pure morphism $K \otimes h : K \otimes A \rightarrow K \otimes B$ (see 4.15). Since \mathbb{C} is injective, there is $g : K \otimes B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(K \otimes h) = \tilde{f}$. Then the adjoint transpose $\tilde{g} : B \rightarrow K^*$ satisfies $\tilde{g}h = f$. Hence K^* is pure injective. \square

Remark 4.20. \mathbf{Ban} has enough pure injectives because every Banach space admits a pure morphism $K \rightarrow K^{**}$ and K^{**} is pure injective. Following 4.9 and [2, 1.6], $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^\square)$ is a weak factorization system; here \mathcal{I} denote the class of all ideals.

The category of Banach spaces with ideals as morphisms is \aleph_1 -accessible. This follows from 4.5 and the fact for every separable subspace A of K there is a separable ideal B of K containing A (see [32]). Analogously to [23, 9/10], this category has the order property and thus it does not have a stable independence notion. Following [24, 3.1], \mathcal{I} is not cofibrantly generated. Add that also isometries are not cofibrantly generated (see [30, 3.1(2)]).

5. MODEL THEORY

Pure morphisms in locally finitely presentable categories have a model-theoretic characterization as morphisms elementary w.r.t. positive-primitive formulas (see [3, 5.15]). We will show the same for Banach spaces.

The logic of positive bounded formulas and approximate satisfaction was introduced in [14] (see [15]). We will write

$$K \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

if $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is approximately satisfied in K for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$.

A positive bounded formula will be called *positive-primitive* if it is existentially quantified conjunction of atomic formulas, i.e., it has the form

$$(\exists y_1, \dots, y_m) \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m).$$

Remark 5.1. Let A be a finite-dimensional Banach space with a basis e_1, \dots, e_n . Following [12, 2.1], let $M = \max |a_i|$ where $a = \sum a_i e_i$ for $\|a\| \leq 1$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{nM}$ and consider the positive-primitive bounded formula

$$\varphi_A = (\exists x_1, \dots, x_n) \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \leq \delta.$$

Then a Banach space K satisfies φ_A if and only if there exists a linear map $f : A \rightarrow K$ of norm ≤ 1 . And K approximately satisfies φ_A if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a linear map $f : A \rightarrow K$ of norm $\leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Lemma 5.2. *A closed subspace K of a Banach space L is an ideal if and only if for each positive-primitive bounded formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and each assignment of values $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$ we have*

$$K \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \Leftrightarrow L \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Proof. Let K be a closed subspace of L , $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a positive-primitive bounded formula and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$. Obviously,

$$K \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \Rightarrow L \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Thus, it is only the reverse implication that matters.

I. Let K satisfies the reverse implication and consider a finite-dimensional subspace B of L and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis of $A = B \cap K$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n, \dots, e_{n+m}$ a basis of B . Consider the formula

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\exists x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}) \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n+m} \|x_i\| \leq \delta$$

where δ is from 5.1. Since $L \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(e_1, \dots, e_n)$, we have $K \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. Following 5.1, there exists a linear map $B \rightarrow K$ of norm $\leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Hence K is an ideal in L .

II. For the converse, let K be an ideal in L and consider a positive-primitive bounded formula

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\exists y_1, \dots, y_m) \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m).$$

There is a finite-dimensional Banach space B such that $\psi = \varphi_B$. In fact, B is a limit of the projection $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$ where $\mathcal{D} \subseteq l_1(m+n) \downarrow \mathbf{Ban}$ consists of $l_1(m+n) \rightarrow X$ whose image satisfies ψ .

Assume that $L \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Following 5.1, for every $\varepsilon' > 0$ there is a linear map $f : B \rightarrow L$ of norm $\leq 1 + \varepsilon'$. Let $f = f_2 f_1$ be a (surjective, isometry) factorization of f . Since K is an ideal in L , for every $\delta > 0$ there is a linear map $t' : f_1[B] \rightarrow K$ such that $t'a = a$ for $a \in K$ and $\|t'\| \leq 1 + \delta$. Hence

$$\|t' f_1\| \leq \|t'\| \|f_1\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon')(1 + \delta)$$

Thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a linear map $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $ta = a$ for $a \in K$ and $\|t\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Hence $K \models_{\text{ap}} \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. \square

A morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ in \mathbf{Ban} is a *u-extension* if there exists an ultrapower $K^{\mathcal{U}}$ and an isometry $g : L \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $gf = d_K$ (see [33] or [15] concerning ultraproducts of Banach spaces). Following [33], $f : K \rightarrow L$ is a u-extension if and only if for every finite-dimensional subspace B of L and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a strong ε -isometry $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tx = x$ for every $x \in K \cap B$. Hence u-extensions are ideals. In [1], u-extensions are called almost isometric ideals. This also follows from 4.9 and

Remark 5.3. Following 2.7(3), $f : K \rightarrow L$ is an u-extension if and only if for every finite-dimensional subspace B of L and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an ε -isometry $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tx = x$ for every $x \in K \cap B$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be an isometry in \mathbf{Ban} such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every commutative square of isometries*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ \uparrow u & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional, there exists an isometry $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tg \sim_{\varepsilon} u$. Then f is a u-extension.

Proof. We proceed like in II. of the proof of 4.11. Choose δ from [12, 2.1] for B and ε . Then $t' : B \rightarrow K$ is an isometry. Since $\|(t - t')(e_i)\| \leq \delta$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, [12, 2.1] implies that $\|t - t'\| \leq \varepsilon$. Following 2.5, t is an ε -isometry. \square

Remark 5.5. (1) Concerning a converse, in I. of the proof of 4.11, t is injective (see 2.74). But we would need that it is an isometry.

(2) The property in 5.4 says that f is pure in the category $\mathbf{Ban}_{\text{iso}}$ of Banach spaces with isometries as morphisms.

Proposition 5.6. *Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on a set I , K a Banach space and $d_K : K \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{U}}$ the canonical embedding of K to its ultrapower. Then d_K is pure.*

Proof. The ultrapower $K^{\mathcal{U}}$ is a directed colimit of powers K^U , $U \in \mathcal{U}$ (cf. [3, 5.17]) and d_K is a directed colimit of diagonals $d_U : K \rightarrow K^U$. Since d_U are split monomorphisms, d_K is \mathcal{F} -pure. Following 4.7, d_K is pure. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Ultrapowers preserve pure morphisms.*

Proof. Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be pure. We have to show that $f^{\mathcal{U}} : K^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow L^{\mathcal{U}}$ is pure. It is easy to see that $f^U : K^U \rightarrow L^U$ is pure for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Indeed, consider a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^U & \xrightarrow{f^U} & L^U \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional. Let $p^i : K^U \rightarrow K$ and $q_i : L^U \rightarrow L$ be projections for $i \in U$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for every $i \in U$, there is $t_i : B \rightarrow K$ such that $t_i g \sim_{\varepsilon} p_i u$. Then the induced morphism $t : B \rightarrow K^U$ satisfies $t g \sim_{\varepsilon} u$.

Since $f^{\mathcal{U}}$ is a directed colimit of f^U , the result follows from 4.5. \square

Remark 5.8. The category $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$ of modules over a ring R is locally finitely presentable. Pure injective modules coincide with algebraically compact ones (see [28, 4.3.11]). There is a set I and an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on I such that $K^{\mathcal{U}}$ is algebraically compact for every module K ([28, 4.2.18]). Hence pure morphisms and u-extensions coincide. Indeed, let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be pure. Like in 5.7, $f^{\mathcal{U}}$ is pure. Since $K^{\mathcal{U}}$ is pure injective, $f^{\mathcal{U}}$ is split by s . Then sd_L makes f an u-extension.

The reason is that pure morphisms in $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$ are cofibrantly generated and we can take a κ -good ultrafilter \mathcal{U} for sufficiently large κ . Following 4.20, one cannot expect this in **Ban**.

6. INJECTIVITY

Definition 6.1. Given a class \mathcal{H} of morphisms in a **CMet**-enriched category \mathcal{K} , an object K is called *approximately injective* to \mathcal{H} if for every $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} , every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every morphism $f : A \rightarrow K$ there exists a morphism $g : B \rightarrow K$ such that $gh \sim_{\varepsilon} f$.

The class of objects approximately injective to \mathcal{H} will be denoted as $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $U : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $F : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be an enriched adjunction. Then UK is approximately injective to \mathcal{H} iff K is approximately injective to $F(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. UK is approximately injective to \mathcal{H} iff for every $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} and every morphism $f : A \rightarrow UK$ there exists a morphism $g : B \rightarrow UK$ such that $gh \sim_{\varepsilon} f$.

This is the same as $\tilde{g}Fh = \tilde{g}\tilde{h} \sim_\varepsilon \tilde{f}$, which is equivalent to K being approximately injective to $F(\mathcal{H})$. \square

Remark 6.3. Lindenstrauss spaces are Banach spaces which are ideals in every superspace ([1]). Hence K is a Lindenstrauss space if and only if every isometry $K \rightarrow L$ is pure. This implies the well-known characterization of Lindenstrauss spaces as Banach spaces approximately injective w.r.t. isometries between finite-dimensional Banach spaces.

Indeed, let K be Lindenstrauss, $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an isometry between finite-dimensional Banach spaces and $f : A \rightarrow K$. Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & \bar{L} \\ f \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{f} \\ A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \end{array}$$

Since \bar{h} is an isometry, it is pure and thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $g : B \rightarrow K$ such that $gh \sim_\varepsilon f$. Hence L is approximately injective w.r.t. h . The converse is evident.

Lemma 6.4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a set of morphisms between finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Then $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$ is closed under products, directed colimits and ideals.*

Proof. Following [31, 4.3], $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$ is closed under products. Let $(k_i : K_i \rightarrow K)_{i \in I}$ be a directed colimit of $K_i \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$. Consider $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} , $f : A \rightarrow K$ and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Following 3.1, there exists $i \in I$ and $f' : A \rightarrow K_i$ such that $k_i f' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f$. There is $g : B \rightarrow K_i$ such that $gh \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f'$. Hence

$$k_i gh \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} k_i f' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} f$$

Thus $(k_i g)h \sim_\varepsilon f$. Hence $K \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}}$.

The closure if \mathcal{K} under ideals follows from [31, 4.5], [31, 3.6(2)] and 4.11. \square

Remark 6.5. (1) In locally finitely presentable categories, classes of objects closed under products, directed colimits and pure submodules are called *definable* (see [18]). They coincide with classes of objects injective to a set of morphisms between finitely presentable objects (see [3, 4.11]). We do not know whether this holds in **Ban**.

(2) In [31, 5.5], there are characterized classes $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}}^\lambda \mathcal{H}$ of Banach spaces where the domains and the codomains of morphisms in \mathcal{H} have density character $< \lambda$. They are classes closed under products, λ -directed colimits and λ -pure subspaces. Here, λ -pure morphisms are defined as in 4.1 with A and B of density character $< \lambda$. Following 4.4, they coincide with weakly λ -ap-pure morphisms.

Definition 6.6. A morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ in **Ban** will be called (ω, ω_1) -pure if in every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ u \uparrow & & \uparrow v \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}$$

where A is finite-dimensional and B separable and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $tg \sim_\varepsilon u$.

Theorem 6.7. *Classes of Banach spaces closed under products, directed colimits and (ω, ω_1) -pure subspaces are precisely classes of Banach spaces approximately injective w.r.t. a set of morphisms having finite-dimensional domain and separable codomain.*

Proof. I. Like in 6.4, $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$ is closed under products and directed colimits. The closure under (ω, ω_1) -pure subspaces follows from the proof of [31, 4.5]. Indeed, let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be (ω, ω_1) -pure and $L \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$. Consider $u : A \rightarrow K$, $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $v : B \rightarrow L$ such that $vh \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} fu$. Following 4.4, there is $t : B \rightarrow K$ such that $th \sim_\varepsilon u$. Hence $K \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$.

II. Let \mathcal{L} be closed under products, directed colimits and (ω, ω_1) -pure subspaces. Like in the proof of [31, 4.8], \mathcal{L} is weakly reflective in **Ban**. Hence every Banach space K comes with a morphism $r_K : K \rightarrow K^*$, $K^* \in \mathcal{L}$ such that every object of \mathcal{L} is injective to r_K . Let \mathcal{H} consist of all morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that A is finite-dimensional, B is separable and every object of \mathcal{L} is approximately injective to f . We have to prove that $\text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. For this, it suffices to show that, for $K \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$, any weak reflection $r : K \rightarrow K^*$ is (ω, ω_1) -pure.

Thus, given $K \in \text{Inj}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$ and a weak reflection $r : K \rightarrow K^*$ in \mathcal{L} , we are to prove that in any commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ K & \xrightarrow{r} & K^* \end{array}$$

with A and B finite-dimensional the morphism u ε -factors through h .

Claim: For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a factorization $u = u_2 \cdot u_1$ and an ε -homomorphism $(u_1, v_1) : h \rightarrow \bar{r}$ (i.e., $v_1 h \sim_\varepsilon \bar{r} u_1$) where $\bar{r} : \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}^*$ is a weak reflection into \mathcal{L} of a finite-dimensional \bar{K} .

Proof of claim. Following the proof of [31, 4.8], for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is (u_1, v_1) from the claim with \bar{K} separable. Assume that the claim is false for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then it is false

for $\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$. Take an $\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ -homomorphism (u_1, v_1) from the claim with \bar{K} separable. We express \bar{K} as a colimit of a smooth chain $k_{ij} : K_i \rightarrow K_j$ ($i \leq j < \omega$) of finite-dimensional subspaces K_i . This provides weak reflections $r_i : K_i \rightarrow K_i^*$ into \mathcal{L} such that their colimit $r_\omega : \bar{K} \rightarrow K_\omega^*$ factorizes through \bar{r} , i.e., $r_\omega = s\bar{r}$ for some $s : \bar{K}^* \rightarrow K_\omega^*$. Since $\bar{r}u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} v_1h$, we have $r_\omega u_1 = s\bar{r}u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} sv_1h$, so that $(u_1, sv_1) : h \rightarrow r_\omega$ is an $\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ -homomorphism. Following 3.1, there is $n < \omega$, $u' : A \rightarrow K_n$ and $v' : B \rightarrow K_n^*$ such that $k_n u' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} u_1$ and $k_n^* v' \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} sv_1$. Hence

$$k_n^* v' h \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} sv_1 h \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} r_\omega u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{6}} r_\omega k_n u' = k_n^* r_n u'.$$

Hence $k_n^* v' h \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} k_n^* r_n u'$. Following 3.1, $v' h \sim_\varepsilon r_n u'$, which gives the claim for ε and yields a contradiction.

We are ready to prove that u ε -factors through h . Let us consider a factorization $u = u_2 \cdot u_1$ and a morphism $(u_1, v_1) : h \rightarrow \bar{r}$ as in the above claim for $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let us express \bar{K}^* as a ω_1 -directed colimit of separable Banach spaces K_i , $i \in I$, with a colimit cocone $k_i : K_i \rightarrow \bar{K}^*$. Since B and \bar{K} are finite-dimensional, there is $i \in I$, \tilde{r} and \tilde{v}_1 such that $k_i \tilde{v}_1 = v_1$ and $k_i \tilde{r} = \bar{r}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\
 \downarrow u_1 & & \searrow \tilde{v}_1 \\
 & & K_i \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{r} & \searrow k_i \\
 \bar{K} & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & \bar{K}^* \\
 & & \downarrow v_1
 \end{array}$$

Since all objects of \mathcal{L} are injective to \bar{r} , there exists $s : \bar{K}^* \rightarrow K$ such that $s\bar{r} = u_2$. Hence

$$u = u_2 u_1 = s\bar{r}u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} sv_1 h = sk_i \tilde{v}_1 h$$

Thus $u \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} sq_t \tilde{v}_1$. Since $\tilde{r} \in \mathcal{H}$, K is approximate injective to \tilde{r} . Choosing $t : K_i \rightarrow K$ with $u_2 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} t\tilde{r}$ we obtain

$$u = u_2 u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} t\tilde{r}u_1 \sim_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} t\tilde{v}_1 h.$$

Thus $u \sim_\varepsilon t\tilde{v}_1 h$. Hence, r is (ω, ω_1) -pure. \square

7. SATURATION

Definition 7.1. Given a class \mathcal{H} of isometries in a **CMet**-enriched category \mathcal{K} , an object K is called *approximately saturated* to \mathcal{H} if for every $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} , every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every isometry $f : A \rightarrow K$ there exists an isometry $g : B \rightarrow K$ such that $gh \sim_\varepsilon f$.

The class of objects approximately saturated to \mathcal{H} will be denoted as $\text{Sat}_{\text{ap}} \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 7.2. *If \mathcal{K} has conical colimits, \mathcal{K}_0 is wellpowered and \mathcal{H} is stable under pushouts then every objects approximately saturated to \mathcal{H} is approximately injective to \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Consider $h : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{H} , $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f : A \rightarrow K$. Following [4, Theorem 3.14], $f = f_2 f_1$ where f_2 is an isometry. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f}_1 \\ A_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & B_1 \end{array}$$

be a pushout. Since $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{H}$, there is an isometry $g_2 : B_1 \rightarrow K$ such that $g_1 \bar{h} \sim_\varepsilon f_2$. Then $g_2 \bar{f}_1 h \sim_\varepsilon f$. \square

Remark 7.3. (1) In order to get 6.2 for approximately saturated objects, we would need that the adjoint isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(h, UK) \cong \mathcal{K}(Fh, K)$ preserves and reflects isometries.

(2) If \mathcal{H} consists of isometries between finite-dimensional Banach spaces then a Banach space K is approximately saturated to \mathcal{H} iff it is of almost universal disposition for \mathcal{H} in the sense of [5] (see [19]). These spaces are called Gurarii spaces.

(3) Like in 6.3, K is Gurarii if and only if every isometry $K \rightarrow L$ satisfies the condition from 5.4. Following [1, 4.2], K is Gurarii if and only if every isometry $K \rightarrow L$ is a u-extension.

(4) 7.2 yields a well-known fact that every Gurarii space is a Lindenstrauss space (see [13]).

Remark 7.4. In [4, 5.23(1)], a morphism $f : K \rightarrow L$ was called approximately split if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $s : L \rightarrow K$ such that $sf \sim_\varepsilon f$. Since an approximately split morphism is pure, it is an isometry.

Lemma 7.5. *A Banach space K is Lindenstrauss if and only if it has an approximately split morphism $K \rightarrow L$ to a Gurarii space L .*

Proof. Following 7.3(4), every Gurarii space is Lindenstrauss. Since approximately split morphisms are pure (7.4), 6.4 implies that approximately split subspaces of a Gurarii space are Lindenstrauss.

Conversely, let K be a Lindenstrauss space. Following [11, 3.6], there is an isometry $f : K \rightarrow L$ where L is Gurarii. Then 6.3 and 4.11 imply that f approximately splits. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Abrahamsen, V. Lima and O. Nygaard, *Almost isometric ideals in Banach spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. 56 (2014), 385-407.
- [2] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, J. Rosický and W. Tholen, *Weak factorization system and topological functors*, Appl. Categ. Struct. 10 (2002), 237-249.
- [3] J. Adámek and J. Rosický, *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*, Cambridge University Press 1994.
- [4] J. Adámek and J. Rosický, *Approximate injectivity and smallness in metric-enriched categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 226 (2022), 106974.
- [5] A. Avilés, F. C. Sánchez, J. M. F. Castillo, M. González and Y. Moreno, *Separably Injective Banach Spaces*, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer 2018.
- [6] T. Bühler and D. A. Salamon, *Functional Analysis*, AMS 2018.
- [7] I. Di Liberti and J. Rosický, *Enriched locally generated categories*, Th. Appl. Categ. 38 (2022), 661-683.
- [8] C. H. Edwards, Jr., *Advanced Calculus of Several variables*, Dover Publ., New York 1973.
- [9] H. Fakhoury, *Sélections linéaires associé au théoreme de Hahn-Banach*, J. Func. Anal. 11 (1972), 436-452.
- [10] J. Garbulińska-Węgrzyn, *Isometric uniqueness of a complementably universal Banach space for Schauder decompositions*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 8 (2014), 211-220.
- [11] J. Garbulińska and W. Kubiś, *Remarks on Gurarii spaces*, Extracta Math. 26 (2011/2012), 235-269.
- [12] J. Garbulińska-Węgrzyn and W. Kubiś, *A note on universal operators between separable Banach spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fí. Nat., Ser. A Math. 114 (2020), 148.
- [13] V. I. Gurarii, *Spaces of universal placement, isotropic spaces and a problem of Mazur on rotations of Banach spaces*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 7 (1966), 1002-1013 (in Russian).
- [14] C. W. Henson, *Nonstandard hulls of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 22 (1975), 57-67.
- [15] J. Iovino, *Applications of Model Theory to Functional Analysis*, Dover Publ. 2014.
- [16] G. M. Kelly, *Basic Concepts of Enriched Category Theory*, Cambridge University Press 1982.
- [17] G. M. Kelly, *Structures defined by finite limits in the enriched context, I*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catégoriques 23 (1982), 3-42.
- [18] A. Kuber and J. Rosický, *Definable categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018), 1006-1025.
- [19] W. Kubiś, *Game-theoretic characterization of the Gurarii space*, Archiv Math. 110 (2018), 53-59.
- [20] W. Kubiś and S. Solecki, *A proof of uniqueness of the Gurarii space*, Israel J. Math. 195 (2013), 449-456.
- [21] S. Lack and J. Rosický, *Enriched weakness*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), 1807-1822.
- [22] M. Lieberman and J. Rosický, *Metric abstract elementary classes as accessible categories*, J. Symb. Logic. 82 (2017), 1022-1040.
- [23] M. Lieberman, J. Rosický and S. Vasey, *Forking independence from the categorical point of view*, Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 719-772.
- [24] M. Lieberman, J. Rosický and S. Vasey, *Cellular categories and stable independence*, arXiv:1904.05691.

- [25] A. Lima, *The metric approximation property, norm-one projections and intersection property of balls*, Israel. J. Math. 84 (1995), 451-475.
- [26] M. Lupini, *Fraïssé limits in functional analysis*, Adv. Math. 338 (2018), 93-174.
- [27] M. Makkai and R. Paré, *Accessible Categories: The Foundations of Categorical Model Theory*, AMS 1989.
- [28] M. Prest, *Purity, Spectra and Localisations*, Cambridge Univ. Press 2009.
- [29] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *On ideals in Banach spaces*, Rocky Mount. J. Math. 31 (2001), 595-609.
- [30] J. Rosický, *On the uniqueness of cellular injectives*, Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 167 (2019), 489-504.
- [31] J. Rosický and W. Tholen, *Approximate injectivity*, Appl. Categ. Struct. 26 (2018), 699-716.
- [32] B. Sims and D. Yost, *Linear Hahn-Banach extension operators*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 32 (1989), 53-57.
- [33] J. Stern, *Some applications of model theory in Banach space theory*, Ann. Math. Logic 9 (1976), 49-121.

J. ROSICKÝ
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
MASARYK UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES,
KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2, 611 37 BRNO,
CZECH REPUBLIC
Email address: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`