

SOME THEOREMS ON COLOCALLY CONNECTED CONTINUA

EIICHI MATSUHASHI AND YOSHIYUKI OSHIMA

ABSTRACT. We show that each refinable map preserves colocal connectedness of the domain while a proximately refinable map does not necessarily. Also, we prove that colocal connectedness is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, unless otherwise stated, all spaces are assumed to be metrizable. When we use the term *function*, we do not assume it to be continuous necessarily while we require *map* to be continuous. Let X be a continuum and $x \in X$. We say that X is *colocally connected* if for each $x \in X$ and each neighborhood $V \subseteq X$ of x , there exists an open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x such that $x \in U \subseteq V$ and $X \setminus U$ is connected. A continuum X is said to be *aposyndetic* if for any two distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a subcontinuum $T \subseteq X$ such that $x \in \text{Int}_X T \subseteq T \subseteq X \setminus \{y\}$, where $\text{Int}_X T$ denotes the interior of T in X . Jones introduced aposyndetic continua in [10]. Since then, aposyndetic continua have been studied for many years. It is known that every colocally connected continuum is aposyndetic [12, Remark 5.4.15]. As the consequence, colocal connectedness implies many properties of continua (see [3, Figure 6]). See also [2, p.239] for other properties derived from colocal connectedness).

If (X, d) is a space and $A \subseteq X$, then we denote $\sup\{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in A\}$ by $\text{diam}_d A$. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be continua and let $\varepsilon > 0$. A surjective map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an ε -map if for each $y \in Y$, $\text{diam}_{d_X} f^{-1}(y) < \varepsilon$. If $g, g_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow Y$ are surjective maps such that g_ε is an ε -map and $d_Y(g(x), g_\varepsilon(x)) < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$, then g_ε is called an ε -refinement of g . A surjective map $r : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *refinable map* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an ε -refinement $r_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow Y$ of r . The notion of a refinable map was introduced in [4].

If X is a continuum, then we denote the space of all nonempty subcontinua of X endowed with the Hausdorff metric by $C(X)$. $C(X)$ is called the *hyperspace of X* . A *Whitney map* is a map $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \mu(X)]$ satisfying $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ whenever $A, B \in C(X)$ and $A \subsetneq B$. It is well-known that for each

Whitney map $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \mu(X)]$ and each $t \in [0, \mu(X)]$, $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is a continuum ([9, Theorem 19.9]).

A topological property P is called a *Whitney property* if a continuum X has property P , so does $\mu^{-1}(t)$ for each Whitney map μ for $C(X)$ and each $t \in [0, \mu(X)]$. Also, a topological property P is called a *Whitney reversible property* provided that whenever X is a continuum such that $\mu^{-1}(t)$ has property P for each Whitney map μ for $C(X)$ and for each $t \in (0, \mu(X))$, then X has property P . Many researchers have studied these properties so far. As for information about Whitney properties and Whitney reversible properties, for example, see [9, Chapter 8].

In [8], Hosokawa proved that each refinable map defined on a continuum preserves aposyndesis. Also, in [14] Petrus proved that aposyndesis is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property. In this paper, we show that each refinable map preserves colocal connectedness of the domain while a proximately refinable map does not necessarily (for the definition of a proximately refinable map, see section 2). Also, we prove that colocal connectedness is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property.

2. REFINABLE MAPS AND PROXIMATELY REFINABLE MAPS DEFINED ON COLOCALLY CONNECTED CONTINUA

In this section, we deal with topics on refinable maps. First, we show an example of a refinable map between colocally connected continua which is not a homeomorphism.

Example 2.1. Take a continuum X and a refinable map $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ such that X and $f(X)$ are not homeomorphic (for an example of a refinable map which is not a homeomorphism, see [4]). Let Y be a nondegenerate continuum. By [11, Lemma 3.5], $X \times Y$ and $f(X) \times Y$ are colocally connected. Then, a map $F : X \times Y \rightarrow f(X) \times Y; (x, y) \mapsto (f(x), y)$ is a refinable map which is not a homeomorphism.

The following theorem is the main result in this section. Before the theorem, we give a notation. If X is a space and $A \subset X$, then $\text{Cl}_X A$ denotes the closure of A in X .

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be continua and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map. If X is colocally connected, then so is Y .*

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and let $V \subseteq Y$ be an open neighborhood of y . Since f is a refinable map, there exists a sequence $\{f_{\frac{1}{n}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\frac{1}{n}$ -refinements of f . We may assume that $\lim f_{\frac{1}{n}}^{-1}(y)$ exists. Let $\lim f_{\frac{1}{n}}^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$. Then, it is easy to see that $x \in f^{-1}(y)$. Since X is colocally connected, there exists an open neighborhood $B \subseteq X$ of x such that $x \in B \subseteq \text{Cl}_X B \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ and $X \setminus B$ is connected. Let $\delta = \inf\{d_Y(b, c) \mid b \in f(\text{Cl}_X B), c \in Y \setminus V\}$. Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^{-1}(y) \subseteq B$ and $d_Y(f(x), f_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(x)) <$

$\frac{\delta}{2}$ for each $x \in X$. Let $U = Y \setminus f_{\perp}^{\frac{\delta}{n_0}}(X \setminus B)$. Then, we see that $Y \setminus U = f_{\perp}^{\frac{\delta}{n_0}}(X \setminus B)$ is connected and $y \in U \subseteq f_{\perp}^{\frac{\delta}{n_0}}(\text{Cl}_X B) \subseteq V$. Therefore, we see that Y is colocally connected. \square

A continuum is said to be *semilocally connected* if for each $x \in X$ and each neighborhood $V \subseteq X$ of x there exists an open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of x such that $x \in U \subseteq V$ and $X \setminus U$ has finitely many components.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X and Y be continua and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map. If X is semilocally connected, then so is Y .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the previous result. In fact, change the proof of Theorem 2.2 as follows:

- In the proof of Theorem 2.2, we took an open neighborhood $B \subseteq X$ of x such that $X \setminus B$ is connected. Instead of it, take an open neighborhood $B \subseteq X$ of x such that $X \setminus B$ has finitely many components.
- Also, in the same proof, we stated that $Y \setminus U$ is connected. However, by taking B as above, we can easily see that $Y \setminus U$ has finitely many components.

By these two remarks, we can prove Theorem 2.3. \square

It is known that a continuum X is aposyndetic if and only if X is semilocally connected (see [10]). Hence, Theorem 2.3 implies the following result proven by Hosokawa [8] and vice versa.

Theorem 2.4. ([8, Corollary (p.368)]) *Let X and Y be continua and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a refinable map. If X is aposyndetic, then so is Y .*

If (X, d) is a space, $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then we denote the set $\{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ by $U_d(x, \varepsilon)$. A function $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ between spaces is said to be ε -continuous if for each $x \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x such that $f(U) \subseteq U_{d_Y}(f(x), \varepsilon)$. A surjective function $g : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ is called a *strong ε -function* if for each $y \in Y$, there exists an open neighborhood $V \subseteq Y$ of y such that $\text{diam}_{d_X} g^{-1}(V) < \varepsilon$.

Let $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ be a surjective function between continua. A surjective function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *proximate ε -refinement of f* if g is ε -continuous, g is a strong ε -function and $d_Y(f(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$. A surjective function $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *proximately refinable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a proximate ε -refinement of p . We can easily see that every proximately refinable function is a map. The notion of a proximately refinable map was introduced in [5].

The following result was proven by Grace and Vought in [6].

Theorem 2.5. ([6, Theorem 2]) *A surjective map defined on a graph is proximately refinable if and only if it is monotone.*

By the following example, we see that a proximately refinable map does not preserve colocal connectedness of the domain necessarily. Before the example, we give a notation. If X is a space and $A \subset X$, then $\text{Bd}_X A$ denotes the boundary of A in X .

Example 2.6. Let X be the subcontinuum in \mathbb{R}^2 defined by $X = \text{Bd}_{\mathbb{R}^2}[0, 1]^2 \cup \{(\frac{1}{2}, y) \mid 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$. Let Y be the quotient space obtained from X by shrinking $\{(\frac{1}{2}, y) \mid 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ to the point and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the quotient map. Then, it is easy to see that f is a surjective monotone map, X is a colocally connected graph, and Y is not colocally connected. By Theorem 2.5, f is proximately refinable. Hence, colocal connectedness of the domain is not necessarily preserved by a proximately refinable map.

3. A WHITNEY PROPERTY AND A WHITNEY REVERSIBLE PROPERTY

Let X be a continuum and $p \in X$. We say that p is a *weak cut point* of X if there exist distinct points $x, y \in X \setminus \{p\}$ such that for each subcontinuum $C \subseteq X$ with $x, y \in C$, $p \in C$. If a point of X is not a weak cut point, then the point is called a *non-weak cut point*. It is easy to see that if a continuum X is colocally connected, then X is a continuum having only non-weak cut points.

As mentioned earlier, aposyndesis is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property. Also, it is known that the property of having only non-weak cut points is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property ([1, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.10]). In this section, we prove that colocal connectedness is a Whitney property and is not a Whitney reversible property.

The main aim of this section is to prove Theorem 3.2. To prove the theorem, we need Lemma 3.1. Before stating those results, we give notation. If (X, d) is a continuum and A is a closed subset of X , then we denote the set $\{x \in X \mid \text{there exists } a \in A \text{ such that } d(x, a) < \varepsilon\}$ by $N_d(A, \varepsilon)$. Also, H_d denotes the Hausdorff metric on $C(X)$ induced by d . Finally, if \mathcal{A} is a family of subsets of X , then we denote $\sup\{\text{diam}_d A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ by $\text{mesh}_d \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.1. ([13, Exercise 4.33 (b)]) *Let (X, d) be a continuum and let $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \mu(X)]$ be a Whitney map. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $A, B \in C(X)$ satisfy $B \subseteq N_d(A, \delta)$ and $|\mu(A) - \mu(B)| < \delta$, then $H_d(A, B) < \varepsilon$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Colocal connectedness is a Whitney property.*

Proof. Let (X, d) be a colocally connected continuum, let $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \mu(X)]$ be a Whitney map and let $t \in (0, \mu(X))$. Let $A \in \mu^{-1}(t)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We will find an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mu^{-1}(t)$ of A such that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq U_{H_d}(A, \varepsilon)$ and $\mu^{-1}(t) \setminus \mathcal{O}$ is connected.

Take $\delta > 0$ as in the statement of Lemma 3.1. Since X is colocally connected, for each $a \in A$, there exists an open neighborhood $O_a \subset X$ such that $\text{diam}_d O_a < \delta$, $X \setminus O_a$ is connected and $\mu(X \setminus O_a) > t$. Then, $\{O_a\}_{a \in A}$ covers A . Also, since X is colocally connected and A is compact, we can find a finite collection $\mathcal{H} = \{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of open subsets of X such that

- (1) \mathcal{H} covers A ,
- (2) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $A \cap H_i \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $X \setminus H_i$ is connected, and
- (4) if $H, H' \in \mathcal{H}$ and $H \cap H' \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $a \in A$ such that $H \cup H' \subseteq O_a$.

We may assume that if $H_i, H_j \in \mathcal{H}$ and $|i - j| \leq 1$, then $H_i \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$. In this case, note that it is possible $H_i = H_j$ despite $i \neq j$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let $\mathcal{H}_i = \{C \in \mu^{-1}(t) \mid C \subseteq X \setminus H_i\}$. Now, we show the following:

- $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$ is a subcontinuum of $\mu^{-1}(t)$.

First, by [9, Exercise 27.7], each \mathcal{H}_i is a subcontinuum of $\mu^{-1}(t)$ (note that each \mathcal{H}_i is nonempty by the fact that $\mu(X \setminus O_a) > t$ for each $a \in A$ and [9, Theorem 14.6]). Hence, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_i \cap \mathcal{H}_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Since $H_i \cap H_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $H_i \cup H_{i+1} \subseteq O_a$. Since $\mu(X \setminus O_a) > t$, by [9, Theorem 14.6] we see that there exists a subcontinuum $K \subseteq X \setminus O_a$ such that $\mu(K) = t$. Note that $K \in \mathcal{H}_i \cap \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Therefore, we see that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$ is a continuum.

Let $\mathcal{O} = \mu^{-1}(t) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$. By the above argument, $\mu^{-1}(t) \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$ is connected. Also, by (2), it follows that $A \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$. Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mu^{-1}(t)$ is an open neighborhood of A . Finally, we show that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq U_{H_d}(A, \varepsilon)$. Let $B \in \mathcal{O}$. Then, $B \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$. Hence, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $B \cap H_i \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{H} covers A and $\text{mesh}_d \mathcal{H} < \delta$, it follows that $A \subseteq N_d(B, \delta)$. Note that $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = t$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we see that $H_d(A, B) < \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq U_{H_d}(A, \varepsilon)$.

Thus, we see that $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is colocally connected. This completes the proof. \square

The following example is appeared in [1, Example 2.10] to show that the property of having only non-weak cut points is not a Whitney reversible property. By the same example, we see that colocal connectedness is not a Whitney reversible property.

Example 3.3. (cf. [1, Example 2.10]) Let X be a dendrite whose branch points are dense in X and let $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \mu(X)]$ be a Whitney map. By [7, Theorem 4.8], for each $t \in (0, \mu(X))$, $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. Hence, $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is colocally connected for each $t \in (0, \mu(X))$. Since X is a dendrite, X is not colocally connected.

Therefore, we see that colocal connectedness is not a Whitney reversible property.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Bautista-Callejas, M. Chacón-Tirado and R. Escobedo, *Non-weak cut, shore and non-cut points in Whitney levels*, *Topology Appl.*, **283** (2020), 6pp.
- [2] J. Bobok, P. Pyrih and B. Vejnar, *Non-cut, shore and non-block points in continua*, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **51**(71) (2016), no.1, 237-253.
- [3] B. Espinoza and E. Matsuhashi, *D-continua, D*-continua and Wilder continua*, *Topology Appl.*, **285** (2020), 25pp.
- [4] J. Ford and J. W. Rogers, Jr., *Refinable maps*, *Colloq. Math.*, **39** (1978), No.2, 263-269.
- [5] E. E. Grace, *Generalized refinable maps*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98** (1986), No.2, 329-335.
- [6] E. E. Grace and E. J. Vought, *Proximally refinable maps and θ_n -continua*, *Topology Proc.*, **15** (1990), 39-51.
- [7] J. D. Goodykoontz and S. B. Nadler Jr., *Whitney levels in hyperspaces of certain Peano continua*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **274** (1982), No.2, 671-694.
- [8] H. Hosokawa, *Aposyndesis and coherence of continua under refinable maps*, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **7** (1983), No.2, 367-372.
- [9] A. Illanes and S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Hyperspaces: Fundamentals and Recent Advances*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [10] F. B. Jones, *Aposyndetic continua and certain boundary problems*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **63** (1941), 545-553.
- [11] I. Lončar, *Weight and metrizability of inverses under hereditarily irreducible mappings*, *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat.* **16** (2008), No.2, 67-82.
- [12] S. Macías, *Topics on continua*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- [13] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Continuum theory: an introduction*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [14] A. Petrus, *Whitney maps and Whitney properties of $C(X)$* , *Topology Proc.*, **1** (1976), 147-172.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE, SHIMANE 690-8504, JAPAN.

Email address: matsuhashi@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE, SHIMANE 690-8504, JAPAN.

Email address: n20d101@matsu.shimane-u.ac.jp