

# CONVEXITY OF WEAKLY REGULAR SURFACES OF DISTRIBUTIONAL NONNEGATIVE INTRINSIC CURVATURE

MOHAMMAD REZA PAKZAD

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that the image of an isometric embedding into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of a two dimensionnal complete Riemannian manifold  $(\Sigma, g)$  without boundary is a convex surface provided both the embedding and the metric  $g$  enjoy a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity for some  $\alpha > 2/3$  and the distributional Gaussian curvature of  $g$  is nonnegative and nonzero. The analysis must pass through some key observations regarding solutions to the very weak Monge-Ampère equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

**1.1. Background.** In this short note we study the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embeddings of 2d Riemannian manifolds into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , when  $\alpha > 2/3$ . We generalize the main results of [1, 6] on 2d Riemannian manifolds with positive curvature to the nonnegative distributional curvature case. The main ingredient of the proof is to show that provided a Riemannian metric  $g \in C^{1,\alpha}$  on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , whose distributional Gaussian curvature is nonnegative, and any isometric immersion  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$  of  $(\Omega, g)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the image  $u(\Omega)$  is of bounded extrinsic curvature. Our analysis uses the ideas of Conti, De Lellis, and Székelyhidi in [6, 7] but cannot directly follow their methodology: The best conceivable result from their approach, if only the nonnegativity of the curvature is assumed, is that the degree of the Gauss map  $\vec{n}$  at any point in its image is nonnegative, which is not enough to conclude with a bound on the total variation of the areas of images of  $\vec{n}$ .

In order to pass to the analysis of the nonnegative curvature case, the image surface close to a point is considered as the graph of a function  $v$ . Writing the curvature in terms of  $v$  leads to a version of the Monge-Ampère equation with nonnegative data. A perturbation argument, originally due to Kirchhem [19], shows that if  $v$  satisfies  $\det(\nabla^2 v) \geq 0$ , then  $\nabla v$  can be uniformly approximated with deformations having positive Jacobian determinants, yielding that the positivity of the topological degree of  $\nabla v$  on its image points. This latter conclusion is the key for proving the bounded extrinsic property of the graph of  $v$ . The main technical difficulty is to apply this approach in a weak regularity setting.

To put our results in their proper context, our results must be compared with the statements in [29, 22, 30, 1, 2, 31, 6, 7, 16, 9, 5, 10, 25] on isometric immersions, in [19, 28, 35, 23] on the rigidity of Sobolev solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation, and in [3, 20, 21, 13, 26, 25] on geometric or topological properties of weakly regular deformations.

**1.2. Main results.** We adapt the terminology of [12]. We say  $f \geq g$  for two distributions  $f, h \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , when

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \quad \varphi \geq 0 \implies f[\varphi] \geq h[\varphi].$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A05, 53C24 53C21, 35J96.

*Key words and phrases.* rigidity, surfaces of nonnegative curvature, differential geometry at low regularity.

We remark that by [12, Theorem 1.4.2(ii)] any nonnegative distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  can be extended as bounded linear operator on  $C_c(\Omega)$  and hence induces a Radon measure  $\mu_f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ , satisfying

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \quad \int_\Omega \varphi d\mu_f = f[\varphi].$$

Conversely, any Radon measure induces a nonnegative distribution. Throughout the paper, we will hence use the notions of Radon measures and nonnegative distributions interchangeably. For  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  we say  $\mu \geq \nu$  if and only if for all Borel sets  $A \subset \Omega$   $\mu(A) \geq \nu(A)$ . This is an equivalent condition to  $f \geq h$  as distributions, when  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are respectively induced by  $f$  and  $h$ .

Here we also motivate and provide the definition of the distributional Gaussian curvature - which generalizes the standard notion to Riemannian metrics of lower regularity. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be any open set. We recall that the Christoffel symbols associated with a Riemannian metric  $g = [g_{ij}] \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{sym, pos}^{2 \times 2})$  are given by

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i(g) = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} (\partial_k g_{jm} + \partial_j g_{km} - \partial_m g_{jk}),$$

where the Einstein summation convention is used. In view of the formula for the  $(0, 4)$ -Riemann curvature tensor [15, Equation (2.1.2)]

$$R_{iljk} = g_{lm} (\partial_k \Gamma_{ij}^m - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^m + \Gamma_{ks}^m \Gamma_{ij}^s - \Gamma_{js}^m \Gamma_{ik}^s),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1212}(g) &= g_{1m} (\partial_1 \Gamma_{22}^m - \partial_2 \Gamma_{21}^m + \Gamma_{1s}^m \Gamma_{22}^s - \Gamma_{2s}^m \Gamma_{21}^s) \\ &= \partial_1 (g_{1m} \Gamma_{22}^m) - \partial_2 (g_{1m} \Gamma_{21}^m) - \partial_1 g_{1m} \Gamma_{22}^m + \partial_2 g_{1m} \Gamma_{21}^m + \Gamma_{1s}^m \Gamma_{22}^s - \Gamma_{2s}^m \Gamma_{21}^s \\ &= 2\partial_{12} g_{12} - \partial_{11} g_{22} - \partial_{22} g_{11} + -\partial_1 g_{1m} \Gamma_{22}^m + \partial_2 g_{1m} \Gamma_{21}^m + \Gamma_{1s}^m \Gamma_{22}^s - \Gamma_{2s}^m \Gamma_{21}^s \\ &= -\text{curl curl } g - \partial_1 g_{1m} \Gamma_{22}^m + \partial_2 g_{1m} \Gamma_{21}^m + \Gamma_{1s}^m \Gamma_{22}^s - \Gamma_{2s}^m \Gamma_{21}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the Gauss equation [15, Equation (2.1.7)], we have for the Gaussian curvature of  $g$ :

$$\kappa(g) := \frac{R_{1212}}{\det g}.$$

The above calculations motivate the following definition:

**Definition 1.1.** Assume  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an open set, and  $g \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R}_{sym, pos}^{2 \times 2})$ . Let

$$L(g) := \frac{1}{\det g} \left( -\partial_1 g_{1m} \Gamma_{22}^m + \partial_2 g_{1m} \Gamma_{21}^m + \Gamma_{1s}^m \Gamma_{22}^s - \Gamma_{2s}^m \Gamma_{21}^s \right) \in C(U).$$

The distributional Gaussian curvature  $\kappa_g$  of  $g$  is defined by

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U) \quad \kappa_g[\varphi] := \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\det g} (\text{curl } g) \cdot \nabla^\perp \varphi + (\text{curl } g) \cdot \nabla^\perp \left( \frac{1}{\det g} \right) \varphi + L(g) \varphi,$$

which can be extended to a bounded operator on  $W_0^{1,1}(U)$  if  $g \in C^1(\overline{U})$ .

Our main result is the following. For definitions regarding surfaces  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  of bounded extrinsic curvature we refer to [30, Chapter IX], see also Section 3.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an open set and that  $\alpha > 2/3$ . Let  $g$  be a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  Riemannian metric on  $\Omega$  for which the distributional Gaussian curvature is nonnegative (and hence is a Radon measure). If  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}((\Omega, g), \mathbb{R}^3)$  is an isometric embedding, then the surface  $S = u(\Omega)$  has the bounded extrinsic curvature property. Moreover,  $S$  is of nonnegative curvature, and if  $\kappa_g$  is nonzero, then the positive curvature of  $S$  is non-zero.

As we shall see in Proposition 4.5, the distributional Gaussian curvature is invariant under a natural change of coordinate formula for smooth enough changes of coordinates, establishing that its definition can be extended to  $C^1$  metrics over smooth manifolds of two dimensions. The following corollary of Theorem 1 on surfaces of nonnegative distributional curvature is immediately obtained by [30, Theorem 2, p. 615], generalizing the results of [1], and [7, Corollary B].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\alpha > 2/3$ , and  $\Sigma$  be a complete 2-dimensional  $C^2$  manifold with no boundary. Assume moreover that  $g$  is a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$  for which the distributional Gaussian curvature is nonnegative and nonzero. If  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}((\Sigma, g), \mathbb{R}^3)$  is an isometric embedding, then,  $u(\Sigma)$  is either a closed convex surface, or an unbounded convex surface with no boundary.*

**Remark 1.2.** *The above conclusions are not true if  $\alpha < 1/5$ , even for smooth metrics with positive curvature [9, 5]. The question remains open for the range  $1/5 \leq \alpha \leq 2/3$ . Gromov [14, Section 3.5.5.C, Open Problem 34-36] conjectures the critical exponent to be  $\alpha = 1/2$ , see also [13].*

To achieve the above goals, we will have to study the very weak Monge-Ampère equation in an open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Det} \mathcal{D}^2 v := -\frac{1}{2} \text{curl curl} (\nabla v \otimes \nabla v) = f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$$

See [17, 11] for an introduction to the very weak Hessian determinant. We will in particular consider the solutions<sup>1</sup>  $v$  to (1.1) for which the given distribution  $f \geq 0$  under the assumption that  $\alpha > 2/3$ . Let us recall that, on the other hand,  $v$  is called an Alexandrov solution to  $\det \nabla^2 v = \mu$  on  $\Omega$  when, for any convex subset  $U \subset \Omega$ ,  $v$  is convex on  $U$ ,  $\mu_v(A) = |\nabla v(A)|$  defines a Borel measure on  $U$ , and  $\mu_v = \mu$ . In this line another minor consequence of our analysis is the following statement.

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $2/3 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a nonnegative nonzero distribution. If  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a solution to  $\text{Det} \mathcal{D}^2 v = f$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then modulo a sign the function  $v$  is convex over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is an Alexandrov solution to  $\det \nabla^2 v = \mu_f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

As it is suggested in Remark 1.2, the main challenging problem is to extend the results of this paper to the case  $\alpha > 1/2$ . Apart from partial results regarding isometric extensions [8, 4] for this regime, the problem is still largely open and cannot be answered using the current methods. Here, we conjecture that slight improvements are possible regarding the  $2/3$  regime, in the line of analysis in [26, 25].

**Conjecture 4.** *The above results can be generalized with some extra technical maneuvers to Besov regularity regime  $B_{2/s,\infty}^{1+s}$ , for  $s > 2/3$ .*

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we will recall some known statements about the very weak Hessian determinant and its properties regarding the topological degree and the change of variable formula. In Section 3, we define and establish the bounded extrinsic property for the graphs of  $C^{1,\alpha}$  functions whose very weak Hessian determinants are nonnegative. We will prove Theorem 1 in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 is dedicated to a short proof of Theorem 3.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that the solutions are not assumed to be convex.

**1.3. Acknowledgments.** This project was based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation and was partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation). M.R.P. was supported by the NSF award DMS-1813738 and by the DFG via SFB 1060 - Project Id 211504053. This project was completed while the author was visiting Institut Élie Cartan de Lorraine at Nancy supported by CNRS funding, and he is grateful for their respective hospitality and support.

## 2. PROPERTIES OF THE VERY WEAK HESSIAN IN HIGHER REGULARITY REGIMES

In this section we gather some statements on the properties of  $\mathcal{Det}\mathcal{D}^2v$  in our Hölder regime of regularity and draw some useful conclusions. The first statement is in the same line of the known results on the compensated regularity of the distributional Jacobians dating back to Wentz [37] and spanning many important contributions, in particular [34, 3] concerning fractional regularity. But it is rather formulated for the very weak Hessian determinant operator and the proof uses its structure. For definitions and statements regarding Besov spaces  $B_{p,q}^s$  we refer to [32, 36].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open smooth bounded domain,  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$  and  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{V})$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{Det}\mathcal{D}^2v \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}(V).$$

*Proof.* We can extend  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{V})$  to  $\tilde{v} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|\nabla \tilde{v}\|_{0,\alpha} \leq C\|v\|_{1,\alpha;V}.$$

For a standard 2d mollifier  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$  and the sequence  $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-2}\psi(x/\varepsilon)$ , we define  $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon := \tilde{v} * \psi_\varepsilon$  and  $(\nabla \tilde{v} \otimes \nabla \tilde{v})_\varepsilon := (\nabla \tilde{v} \otimes \nabla \tilde{v}) * \psi_\varepsilon$  on  $V$ . We calculate for any  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{Det}\mathcal{D}^2\tilde{v}) * \psi_\varepsilon\|_0 &\leq \|\text{curl curl}(\nabla \tilde{v} \otimes \nabla \tilde{v})_\varepsilon\|_0 \\ &\leq \|\text{curl curl}(\nabla \tilde{v} \otimes \nabla \tilde{v})_\varepsilon - \text{curl curl}(\nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon)\|_0 + \|\text{curl curl}(\nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon)\|_0 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2\alpha-2} + \|\det \nabla^2 \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_0) \leq C\|v\|_{1,\alpha;V}^2 \varepsilon^{2\alpha-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where according to [6, Lemma 1] (see also [24, Lemma 4.3]) we used the commutator estimate

$$(2.2) \quad \|(\nabla \tilde{v} \otimes \nabla \tilde{v})_\varepsilon - (\nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla \tilde{v}_\varepsilon)\|_k \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-k} \|\nabla \tilde{v}\|_{0,\alpha}^2$$

and the estimate

$$\|\nabla^2 \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_0 \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \|\nabla \tilde{v}\|_{0,\alpha}.$$

Hence we obtain from [36, Corollary 1.12] that  $\mathcal{Det}\mathcal{D}^2\tilde{v} \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Restricting this distribution to  $V$  yields (2.1) according to [32, Definition 2.4.1/2].  $\blacksquare$

Now, note that when  $\alpha > 2/3$ ,  $C_c^{0,\alpha}(V)$  densely embeds into  $W_0^{2-2\alpha,1}(V)$ , since  $2-2\alpha < \alpha$ , and that by [32, Proposition 2.1.5]

$$(2.3) \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (B_{1,1}^{2-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n))' = (W^{2-2\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))'.$$

As a consequence, by an extension argument,  $B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}(V) \hookrightarrow (C_c^{0,\alpha}(V))'$  and the action of  $\mathcal{Det}\mathcal{D}^2v$  any element of  $C_c^{0,\alpha}(V)$  is defined. Remember that for a continuous function  $u : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\deg(u, U, y)$  is its Brouwer degree at a point  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus u(\partial U)$ . We now state a slightly more general formulation of the degree formula from [24].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open domain. Assume that  $2/3 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ . For  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$  set  $u^\delta(x_1, x_2) := \nabla v(x_1, x_2) + \delta(-x_2, x_1)$ . Let  $U \Subset \Omega$  be an open set. Then for every<sup>2</sup>  $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus u^\delta(\partial U))$  the following formula holds true:*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y) \deg(u^\delta, U, y) \, dy = \text{Det} \mathcal{D}^2 v [g \circ u^\delta] + \delta^2 \int_U (g \circ u^\delta) \, dx.$$

*Proof.* Note that when  $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\alpha > 1/2$ , the distributional Jacobian derivative  $J(u) := \text{Det}(\nabla u)$  is well-defined as an element of  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  and  $J(u_k) \rightarrow J(u)$  if  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $C^{0,\alpha}$ -norm [3, Corollary 1]. It can hence be shown by approximation that for  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  in the same regime of regularity we have

$$J(u^\delta) = \text{Det} \mathcal{D}^2 v + \delta^2.$$

As a consequence, when  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}$  and  $\alpha > 2/3$ , (2.4) can be also deduced from similar degree formulas for the distributional Jacobian, see [25, Lemma 3.1] and also [27, 13]. We leave the details to the reader. ■

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be any open domain,  $U \Subset \Omega$  be an open set. Assume that  $\alpha, v$  are as in Proposition 2.2 and that*

$$f := \text{Det} \mathcal{D}^2 v \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

*Then*

- (i)  $\deg(\nabla v, U, \cdot) \geq \chi_{\nabla v(U) \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)}$
- (ii)  $\deg(\nabla v, U, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U))$  and:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy \leq \mu_f(U).$$

*Proof.* Let  $u^\delta$  be defined as in Proposition 2.2. We recall that  $\deg(u^\delta, U, \cdot)$  is well-defined and constant on each connected component  $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus u^\delta(\partial U)$ , and that it equals 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus u^\delta(\overline{U})$ . It follows from (2.4) and the nonnegativity of  $\mu$  that for any  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\deg(u^\delta, U, \cdot) \geq \chi_{u^\delta(U) \setminus u^\delta(\partial U)}.$$

Now, let  $\nabla v(x) = y \in \nabla v(U) \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)$  for some  $x \in U$ . Choose  $r > 0$  such that  $B(y, 2r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)$  and  $\delta_0$  small enough for which  $\|u^\delta - \nabla v\|_0 < r$  for all  $\delta < \delta_0$ . For all such  $\delta$  we have  $B(y, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus u^\delta(\partial U)$ , which implies that  $\deg(u^\delta, U, \cdot)$  is constant on  $B(y, r)$ . But  $|u^\delta(x) - \nabla v(x)| < r$ , i.e.  $u^\delta(x) \in B(y, r)$ , and hence

$$\deg(u^\delta, U, y) = \deg(u^\delta, U, u^\delta(x)) \geq 1.$$

Therefore, passing to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and using [18, Proposition 2.1] we conclude with (i).

To show (ii), we apply (2.4) for  $\delta = 0$  to an increasing sequence of test functions  $g_k \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U))$  that converge pointwise to  $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)}$ . In view of the monotone convergence theorem both sides of (2.4) converge by (i), from which we obtain in the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy = \mu_f \left( U \setminus (\nabla v)^{-1}(\nabla v(\partial U)) \right) \leq \mu_f(U).$$
■

---

<sup>2</sup> An approximation argument through Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem yields the same result for  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\text{supp } g \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)$ . We will not need this fact.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open simply-connected domain,  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ ,  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ . Assume that*

$$\mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v = f \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),$$

*and that  $U \Subset \Omega$  is piecewise smooth. Then*

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy = \mu_f(U).$$

*Proof.* The proposition is a consequence of the similar degree formula for the distributional Jacobian  $J(\nabla v) = \mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v$ , when  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}$  and  $\alpha > 1/2$ , see [13, Theorem 3 and its proof]. ■

### 3. THE GRAPH $S = G_v(\Omega)$ AS A SURFACE OF BOUNDED EXTRINSIC CURVATURE.

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a  $C^1$  surface. Recall [31, p. 590] that  $S$  has the bounded extrinsic curvature property whenever the set function  $\mathcal{H}^2(\vec{n}(E))$  induced by the Gauss map  $\vec{n} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ , is of bounded total variation, i.e.

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{E \in P} \mathcal{H}^2(\vec{n}(E)) < \infty$$

when  $\mathcal{P}$  is the collection of all finite partitions of  $S$  with measurable elements<sup>3</sup>. In this line, the *absolute curvature* of an open set  $O$  in  $S$ , is defined to be the supremum of  $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}^2(\vec{n}(E_i))$ , over all finite collections  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of closed subsets of  $O$  which are pairwise disjoint. The absolute curvature of any subset  $A$  of  $S$  is defined as the infimum of its absolute curvatures on open subsets containing  $A$ . By [31, p. 590, Theorem 2], the absolute curvature is an additive set function on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $S$ .

We will refer to [31, p. 591] for the definition of *regular*, *parabolic*, *hyperbolic*, and *flat* points  $y \in S$ . In particular a point  $y \in S$  is defined to be a *regular* point if the tangent plane at  $y$  is not parallel to any other tangent plane in a sufficiently small neighbourhood

$$(3.1) \quad \exists O \subset S \text{ open neighborhood of } y \quad \forall z \in O \quad \vec{n}(z) \neq \vec{n}(y).$$

For every set  $A \subset S$ , the *positive (resp. negative) curvature* of  $A$  consists in the absolute curvature of the subset of  $S$  formed by elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) points of  $A$ . The *total curvature* of  $A$  is defined to be the difference between its positive and negative curvatures and  $S$  is a *surface of nonnegative curvature* if the negative curvature of  $S$  equals zero [31, p. 611].

We denote by  $S = G_v(\Omega)$  the graph of  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , that is the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  surface that is the image of  $G_v(x) = (x, v(x))$ . Let  $N = \vec{n} \circ G_v \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ , and we note that up to the choice of the orientation of  $\vec{n}$ , and for  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ , defined by :

$$(3.2) \quad \xi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}}(x, -1),$$

we have  $N = \xi \circ \nabla v$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an arbitrary open set, and  $\alpha, v, \mu$  be as in Proposition 2.2. Assume that*

$$f := \mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v \geq 0.$$

---

<sup>3</sup> Occasionally, with a slight abuse of language, a surface is said to be of *bounded* extrinsic curvature when the above total variation is finite on any compact subset  $K \subset S$ .

Then for every finite collection  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of closed subsets of  $\Omega$  which are pairwise disjoint:

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k |\nabla v(E_i)| \leq \mu_f(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if  $\mu_f(\Omega) < \infty$ , the surface  $S = G_v(\Omega)$  is a surface of bounded extrinsic curvature, and is of nonnegative curvature. Moreover, if  $f \not\equiv 0$ , then the positive curvature of  $S$  is non-zero.

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a finite collection of pairwise disjoint closed subsets of  $\Omega$ . There are pairwise disjoint open smooth sets  $U_i \supset E_i$ , compactly contained in  $\Omega$ , for  $i = 1 \dots k$ . Noting the fact that  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^k \nabla v(\partial U_i)| = 0$ , Corollary 5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k |\nabla v(E_i)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_{\nabla v(U_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U_i)} \chi_{\nabla v(U_i) \setminus \nabla v(\partial U_i)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\partial U_i)} \deg(\nabla v, U_i, y) \, dy \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_f(U_i) \leq \mu_f(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the nonnegativity of  $\mu$  in the last inequality. This proves (3.3). Since the map  $\xi$  in (3.2) is smooth, (3.3) implies  $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}^2(N(E_i)) \leq \mu_f(\Omega)$  for any collection  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$  as above. As a straightforward conclusion,  $S$  has the bounded extrinsic curvature property if  $\mu_f(\Omega) < \infty$  and the absolute curvature of  $S$  is bounded by  $\mu_f(\Omega)$ .

By [31, Lemma, p. 594], the topological index of  $\vec{n}$  at an elliptic, parabolic or hyperbolic point  $G_v(x) \in S$  equals, respectively,  $+1, 0, -1$ , and the index of a flat point is less than  $-1$ . But on the other hand this index equals  $\deg(\nabla v, B_{r/2}(x), \nabla v(x))$  when  $r$  satisfies (3.1), thus it follows from Corollary 5(i) that any regular point  $y \in S$  is elliptic. This implies that  $S$  is a surface of nonnegative curvature.

Let  $m_A(y)$  be the cardinality of the set  $A \cap \vec{n}^{-1}(y)$ . By [31, p. 577, Lemma 3], the function  $m_A$  is measurable. It follows then from [31, p. 590, Theorem 3] that the absolute curvature of  $A$  equals  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} m_A(y) \, ds(y)$ , for every Borel subset  $A$  of  $S$ . Hence, by a similar argument as above,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} m_A(y) \, ds(y) \leq \mu_f(A),$$

which implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_f(\Omega) < \infty \implies \mathcal{H}^2(m_\Omega^{-1}(+\infty)) = 0.$$

If,  $f$  is nonzero, then for some open disk  $U \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mu_f(U) > 0$ . We claim that  $m_\Omega(N(x)) < \infty$  for some  $x \in U$ . If, by contradiction,  $m_\Omega(N(x)) = +\infty$  for every  $x \in U$ , then  $N(U) \subset m_\Omega^{-1}(+\infty)$ . It follows from finiteness of  $\mu_f$  and (3.4) that  $\mathcal{H}^2(N(U)) \leq \mathcal{H}^2(m_\Omega^{-1}(+\infty)) = 0$ , yielding that  $|\nabla v(U)| = 0$ , since the mapping  $\xi$  in (3.2) is a smooth diffeomorphism. Thus (2.6) yields:

$$\mu_f(U) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nabla v(\bar{U})} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy = 0,$$

contradicting the assumption on  $U$ . Hence, there exists  $x \in U$  such that  $m_\Omega(N(x)) < +\infty$ , yielding that  $y = G_v(x)$  satisfies (3.1), and so it is a regular point. We already showed that any regular point must be elliptic. Since  $S$  contains elliptic points, [31, Theorem 12, p. 600] implies that the positive curvature of  $S$  is non-zero. ■

## 4. ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS OF SURFACES OF NONNEGATIVE CURVATURE

**4.1. Some properties of the distributional curvature.** Throughout this section,  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$  is a standard 2d mollifier, with  $\psi \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi = 1$ . For the sequence  $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \psi(x/\varepsilon)$ , and any function or mapping defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote, with an abuse of notation vis-à-vis  $\psi$  itself, the mollification  $f * \psi_\varepsilon$  by using the subscripted  $f_\varepsilon$ . We will need the following consequence of [6, Lemma 1]:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set,  $V \Subset \Omega$ , and  $f, g, h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ . Then*

$$\|(fgh)_\varepsilon - f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon\|_{1;V} \leq C(\|f\|_{\alpha;\Omega}, \|g\|_{\alpha;\Omega}, \|h\|_{\alpha;\Omega}) \varepsilon^{2\alpha-1}.$$

*Proof.* The estimate is obtained by an iterated use of

$$(4.1) \quad \|\nabla a_\varepsilon\|_{0;V} \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad \|(ab)_\varepsilon - a_\varepsilon b_\varepsilon\|_{j;V} \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-j}.$$

for  $a, b \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$  and  $j = 0, 1$ , as proved in [6, Lemma 1]. The details are left to the reader. ■

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set and  $g_1, g_2 \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R}_{sym,pos}^{2 \times 2})$ . Assume that  $V \Subset U$  is an open bounded set and that  $\det g_i \geq \lambda > 0$  on  $V$ . Then for a constant  $C := C(\|g_1\|_{0;V}, \|g_2\|_{0;V}, \lambda)$  we have*

$$\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,1}(V) \quad |\kappa_{g_1}[\varphi] - \kappa_{g_2}[\varphi]| \leq C\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,1}(V)} \sum_{j=0}^1 (\|g_1\|_{1;V} + \|g_2\|_{1;V})^{2-j} \|g_1 - g_2\|_{j;V}.$$

The proof is obtained by straightforward calculations. We leave the details to the reader.

We now observe that the independence of the Gaussian curvature from the coordinate system is still valid in our weaker setting.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume  $U$  and  $g$  as in Lemma 4.2. Let  $\xi : U' \rightarrow \Omega$  be a  $C^2$  smooth diffeomorphism. Let  $\xi^*g = (\nabla \xi)^T(g \circ \xi)\nabla \xi$ , be the pull-back of the metric  $g$  by  $\xi$  on  $U'$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \kappa_{\xi^*g} = \kappa_g \circ \xi,$$

where  $\kappa_g \circ \xi$  is defined through

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U') \quad (\kappa_g \circ \xi)[\varphi] := \kappa_g[|J(\xi)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1}].$$

**Remark 4.4.** *Since  $\xi \in C^2$ , we have  $|J(\xi)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1} \in W_0^{1,1}(U)$  and hence  $\kappa_g[\frac{1}{|J(\xi)|} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1}]$  is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Note the well known fact that the identity is valid for smooth  $g$ . Applying Lemma 4.2 to a regularizing sequence  $g_k \in C^\infty$  converging to  $g$  in  $C^1$ , the conclusion is obtained by a straightforward passage to the limit. ■

The following proposition is an immediate corollary.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a two dimensional  $C^2$  manifold and let  $g$  be a  $C^1$  Riemannian metric over  $\Sigma$ . The distributional Gaussian curvature  $\kappa_g$  can be defined in each chart of  $\Sigma$  and is invariant by the admissible changes of coordinates through the formula (4.2), and hence it is a well-defined distribution on  $\Sigma$ .*

In what follows we discuss further properties of  $\kappa_g$  when  $g$  further enjoys some Hölder regularity.



**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain. If  $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$  for  $1/2 < \alpha' < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\kappa_g$  can be uniquely extended as a bounded linear operator over  $C_0^{0,\alpha}(U)$ , and*

$$\forall \varphi \in C_0^{0,\alpha'}(U) \quad |\kappa_g[\varphi]| \leq C(g) \|\varphi\|_{\alpha';U}.$$

*Proof.* First note that since  $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}) = B_{\infty,\infty}^{1+\alpha}(U)$  [32, Proposition 2.1.2], we have

$$\text{curl curl } g \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U)$$

by [32, Proposition 2.1.4/2]. According to [32, Theorem 4.6.1/2(i)] the product

$$(4.3) \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U) \cdot B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha}(U) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U),$$

is continuous, which yields  $\kappa_g \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U)$ , since  $(\det g)^{-1} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$ , and  $L(g)$  is regular enough.

Now, since  $\alpha > 1/2$ , we can fix  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $1 - \alpha < 1/2 < \sigma < \alpha'$ . By [32, Theorems 2.4.4/1 and 2.4.4/4], we have the embedding

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U) \hookrightarrow B_{2,2}^{-\sigma}(U) = (\dot{B}_{2,2}^{\sigma}(U))'$$

On the other hand, also by [32, Theorem 2.4.4/1],  $C_0^{0,\alpha'}(U) \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha'}$  embeds densely in  $\dot{B}_{2,2}^{\sigma}(U)$ , which yields the embedding

$$(\dot{B}_{2,2}^{\sigma}(U))' \hookrightarrow (C_0^{0,\alpha'}(U))'.$$

Overall, we obtained

$$\kappa_g \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{\alpha-1}(U) \hookrightarrow (C_0^{0,\alpha'}(U))'.$$

as required. ■

**Corollary 6.** *Assume  $U$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $g$  as in Proposition 4.6. Let  $\xi : U' \rightarrow U$  be a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  smooth diffeomorphism. Then the composition  $\kappa_g \circ \xi$  is a well-defined distribution and for any sequence of diffeomorphisms  $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$  converging in  $C^{1,\alpha'}(U')$  to  $\xi$  we have:*

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(U') \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\kappa_g \circ \xi_k)[\varphi] = (\kappa_g \circ \xi)[\varphi].$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to observe that, since the diffeomorphism  $\xi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}')$ , we have

$$|J(\xi)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1} \in C_c^{0,\alpha'}(U')$$

and hence the action of  $\kappa_g$  on  $\frac{1}{|J(\xi)|} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1}$  is well-defined. The continuity follows from Proposition 4.6 by observing that

$$|J(\xi_k)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi_k^{-1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\xi)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1} \quad \text{in } C^{0,\alpha'}(U').$$

In view of the above, we would have liked to claim that  $\kappa_{\xi^*g} = \kappa_g \circ \xi$  when  $\xi$  is merely of  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity, but our observations do not guarantee that the distributional Gaussian curvature of  $\xi^*g$  is well-defined, as it might lack the assumed  $C^1$  regularity. We will analyze hence the regularization of the pull-back metrics. ■

**Lemma 4.7.** *Assume  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is an open set and  $\alpha > 1/2$ , and  $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{R}_{sym,pos}^{2 \times 2})$ . Let  $\xi : U' \rightarrow U$  be a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  diffeomorphism and*

$$\xi^*g := (\nabla \xi)^T (g \circ \xi) \nabla \xi$$

be the pull-back metric. Let  $(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon$  and  $\xi_\varepsilon$  be the respective regularizations of  $\xi^*g$  and  $\xi$ . If  $x' \in U'$ , there exists a disk  $B' \Subset U'$  centered at  $x'$  such that for all  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $\xi_\varepsilon$  is a diffeomorphism on  $\overline{B'}$ ,

$$\inf_{\varepsilon} \inf_{B'} \det(\xi_\varepsilon^*g) > 0,$$

$$\|(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon\|_{j;B'} + \|\xi_\varepsilon^*g\|_{j;B'} \leq C(\|\xi\|_{1,\alpha}, \|g\|_{1,\alpha})\varepsilon^{j(\alpha-1)}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

and

$$\|(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon - \xi_\varepsilon^*g\|_{j;B'} \leq C(\|\xi\|_{1,\alpha}, \|g\|_{1,\alpha})\varepsilon^{(1+j)\alpha-j}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

*Proof.* Noting the uniform convergence of  $\nabla \xi_\varepsilon$  to  $\nabla \xi$  on a neighborhood of  $x'$ , the inverse function theorem can be applied uniformly on a ball  $B'$  centered at  $x'$ , to obtain that each  $\xi_\varepsilon$  is a diffeomorphism on  $B'$  and  $\det \xi_\varepsilon^*g$  is uniformly bounded from below on  $B'$ . It is straightforward that  $(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon$  and  $\xi_\varepsilon^*g$  are uniformly  $C^0$ -bounded, and the estimates on their  $C^1$  norms follow immediately from the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity of  $\xi$  and  $g$ , via the first estimate in (4.1).

We now estimate, letting  $F := \nabla \xi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ :

$$\|(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon - \xi_\varepsilon^*g\|_{j;B'} \leq \underbrace{\|(\xi^*g)_\varepsilon - F_\varepsilon^T(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon\|_{j;B'}}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\|F_\varepsilon^T(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon - F_\varepsilon^T(g \circ \xi_\varepsilon) F_\varepsilon\|_{j;B'}}_{I_2}$$

The first term is estimated using Lemma 4.1:

$$\|I_1\|_{j;B'} = \left\| \left( F_\varepsilon^T(g \circ \xi) F \right)_\varepsilon - F_\varepsilon^T(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon F_\varepsilon \right\|_{j;B'} \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-j} \leq C\varepsilon^{(1+j)\alpha-j}.$$

For the second term, we first establish an estimate on the  $C^0$  norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{0;B'} &\leq C\|(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon - g \circ \xi_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq \|(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon - g \circ \xi\|_{0;B'} + \|g \circ \xi_\varepsilon - g \circ \xi\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

since both  $\xi$  and  $g$  are  $C^1$ . For the  $C^0$  norm of the derivatives of  $I_2$  we have the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla I_2\|_{0;B'} &\leq C\|\nabla F_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'}\|(g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon - (g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\|_{0;B'} + C\left\| \nabla \left( (g \circ \xi)_\varepsilon - g \circ \xi_\varepsilon \right) \right\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\varepsilon + \left\| \left( \nabla(g \circ \xi) \right)_\varepsilon - \nabla(g \circ \xi) \right\|_{0;B'} + \|\nabla(g \circ \xi) - \nabla(g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-1} + C\varepsilon^\alpha + \|(\nabla g \circ \xi)\nabla \xi - (\nabla g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\nabla \xi_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $g \circ \xi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U})$ . It remains to estimate the last term in order to conclude. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla g \circ \xi)\nabla \xi - (\nabla g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\nabla \xi_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'} &\leq \|(\nabla g \circ \xi - \nabla g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\nabla \xi\|_{0;B'} \\ &\quad + \|(\nabla g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)(\nabla \xi - \nabla \xi_\varepsilon)\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq C\|(\nabla g \circ \xi) - (\nabla g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)\|_{0;B'} + C\|\nabla \xi - \nabla \xi_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'} \\ &\leq C\|\xi - \xi_\varepsilon\|_{0;B'}^\alpha + C\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where once again we used the  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuity of  $\nabla g$ . ■

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $u : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be given according to the assumptions of Theorem 1, and let  $S = u(\Omega)$ . For the first assertion, it is enough to show that for any  $p \in S$ , the area induced by the Gauss map  $\vec{n}$  on an open neighborhood of  $p$  has finite total variation. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $p = 0$ , and that  $\vec{n}(p) = \vec{e}_3$ , so that the tangent plane  $T_p S$  is identified with  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ .

Let  $O$  be an open neighborhood of  $p = 0$  in  $S$ , and let  $\Omega_0 := u^{-1}(O)$ ,  $x_0 = u^{-1}(p)$ . We write  $u := (\tilde{u}, u_3)$ , where  $\tilde{u} := (u_1, u_2) : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . We note that

$$\frac{\partial_1 u \times \partial_2 u}{|\partial_1 u \times \partial_2 u|} = \vec{n}(0) = \vec{e}_3,$$

which yields that at  $x_0$

$$\det \nabla \tilde{u} = (\partial_1 \tilde{u})^\perp \cdot \partial_2 \tilde{u} = (\vec{e}_3 \times \partial_1 u) \cdot \partial_2 u = (\partial_1 u \times \partial_2 u) \cdot \vec{e}_3 > 0$$

since  $u$  is an immersion. Implicit function theorem implies that there exists open sets  $U \subset \Omega_0$ , and  $U' = \tilde{u}(U) \ni 0$ , such that  $\tilde{u} : U \rightarrow U'$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism. Let  $\xi \in C^1(U', U)$  be the inverse of  $\tilde{u}$ . If necessary by shrinking  $U$  and  $U'$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{u} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}, \overline{U'})$  and  $\det \nabla \tilde{u} > b_0 > 0$  in  $\overline{U} \subset \Omega$ .

**Lemma 4.8.**  $\xi$  belongs to  $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U'}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ .

*Proof.* We estimate for any  $x, y \in U$ ,  $F = \nabla \tilde{u} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2})$  and  $F := \det \nabla \tilde{u} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{U})$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\nabla \tilde{u})^{-1}(x) - (\nabla \tilde{u})^{-1}(y)| &= |b^{-1} \text{Cof}(F)(x) - b^{-1} \text{Cof}(F)(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{\|F\|_{0;U}}{b_0^2} |b(x) - b(y)| + \frac{\|b\|_{0;U}}{b_0^2} |F(x) - F(y)| \\ &\leq C(\|F\|_{0,\alpha;U}, \|b\|_{0,\alpha;U}, b_0) |x - y|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any  $x', y' \in U'$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \xi(x') - \nabla \xi(y')| &\leq |(\nabla \tilde{u})^{-1}(\xi(x')) - (\nabla \tilde{u})^{-1}(\xi(y'))| \\ &\leq C(\|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\alpha;U}, b_0) |\xi(x') - \xi(y')|^\alpha \\ &\leq C(\|\xi\|_{1;U}, \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\alpha;U}, b_0) |x' - y'|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

■

To proceed we define

$$v : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v := u_3 \circ \xi.$$

Note that  $v(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla v(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider the graph mapping

$$\xi_v : U' \rightarrow O, \quad \xi_v(x') := (x', v(x')),$$

and note that

$$\xi_v = u \circ \xi,$$

and that  $\xi_v(U') = u(U) \subset O \subset S$  is the graph of  $v$  over  $U$ . We obtain hence the identity

$$g' := \text{Id} + \nabla v \otimes \nabla v = (\nabla \xi_v)^T \nabla \xi_v = \nabla(u \circ \xi)^T \nabla(u \circ \xi) = \nabla \xi^T (g \circ \xi) \nabla \xi = \xi^* g,$$

where we used the fact that  $u : (\Omega, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is an isometry, i.e.

$$\nabla u^T \nabla u = g.$$

From now on we use the fact that by assumption  $\alpha > 2/3$ . Fix  $2/3 < \beta < \alpha$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(U').$$

Also note that  $(1 + |\nabla v|^2)^2 \in C^{0,\beta}(\overline{U}') = B_{\infty,\infty}^\beta(U')$ . Since  $\beta + (2\beta - 2) > 0$ , we deduce that the distributional product

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{g'} := (1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-2}(\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v)$$

is well defined as an element of  $B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(\overline{U}')$  [32, Theorem 4.6.1/2(i)].

**Proposition 4.9.**  *$\tilde{\kappa}_{g'}$  can be extended as a bounded linear operator on  $C_c^{0,\alpha}(U')$  and*

$$(4.4) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U') \quad \tilde{\kappa}_{g'}[\varphi] = \mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v[(1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-2}\varphi].$$

Moreover, let  $V' \Subset U'$  and let  $v_\varepsilon$  is the usual mollification of  $v$ , defined on  $V'$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Then

$$(4.5) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(V') \quad \int_{V'} (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{-2}(\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v_\varepsilon) \varphi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\kappa}_{g'}[\varphi].$$

*Proof.* First note that  $C_c^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}')$  densely embeds into  $W_0^{2-2\beta,1}(U')$ , since  $2-2\beta < \alpha$ , which together with the duality (2.3), imply the first assertion through applying an extension argument. Also an approximation argument and the continuity of the product

$$(4.6) \quad B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(V') \cdot B_{\infty,\infty}^\beta(V') \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(V'),$$

according to [32, Theorem 4.6.1/2(i)], imply we have for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$  and  $f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{U}')$

$$(\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v)\varphi[f] = \mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v[\varphi f],$$

which yields (4.4). For the last assertion, first we claim that

$$\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v \quad \text{in } B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(V').$$

Indeed we estimate, using (2.2) and interpolation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v_\varepsilon - (\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v) * \psi_\varepsilon\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(V')} &\leq \|\text{curl}(\nabla v_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla v_\varepsilon) - \text{curl}(\nabla v \otimes \nabla v)_\varepsilon\|_{0,2\beta-1;V'} \\ &\leq \|\nabla v_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla v_\varepsilon - (\nabla v \otimes \nabla v)_\varepsilon\|_{2;V'}^{2\beta-1} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla v_\varepsilon - (\nabla v \otimes \nabla v)_\varepsilon\|_{1;V'}^{1-(2\beta-1)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{(2\alpha-2)(2\beta-1)+(2\alpha-1)(2-2\beta)} = C\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\beta)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields our claim, since as  $\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v \in B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\alpha-2}(U')$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v) * \psi_\varepsilon$  converges to  $\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v$  in  $B_{\infty,\infty}^{2\beta-2}(V')$ . Further

$$(1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{-2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-2} \quad \text{in } B_{\infty,\infty}^\beta(V').$$

Using (4.6) once again (4.5) is at hand. ■

The following proposition reduces the problem to the case we studied in Section 2 regarding the very weak Monge-Ampère equation:

**Proposition 4.10.** *There exists an open disk  $B' \Subset V'$  containing  $x_0$  such that*

- (i) *If  $\kappa_g \geq 0$  as a distribution, then  $\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v \geq 0$  in  $B'$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\kappa_g \not\equiv 0$ , then, with a suitable choice of  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{D}et\mathcal{D}^2v \not\equiv 0$  in  $B'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v_\varepsilon$  be the mollifying sequence defined on  $V'$  as above. Consider the sequence of Riemannian metrics

$$g'_{(\varepsilon)} := (\nabla \xi_{v_\varepsilon})^T \nabla \xi_{v_\varepsilon} = \text{Id} + \nabla v_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla v_\varepsilon,$$

for the graph parameterization  $\xi_{v_\varepsilon} = (x, v_\varepsilon(x))$ . It is a well known fact for smooth graphs that

$$\kappa_{g'_{(\varepsilon)}} = (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{-2} (\det \nabla^2 v_\varepsilon) = (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{-2} (\mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v_\varepsilon).$$

By the estimates (4.1)

$$\|g'_\varepsilon\|_{1,V'} + \|g'_{(\varepsilon)}\|_{1,V'} \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1},$$

and

$$\|g'_\varepsilon - g'_{(\varepsilon)}\|_{j,V'} \leq \|(\nabla v \otimes \nabla v)_\varepsilon - \nabla v_\varepsilon \otimes \nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{j,V'} \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-j}.$$

Now, fix the open disk  $B' \ni x_0$  in  $V'$  according to Lemma 4.7. Note that

$$\det g'_{(\varepsilon)} = 1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 \geq 1$$

and as  $\|\det g'_\varepsilon - (1 + |\nabla v|^2)\|_{0,V'} = \|\det g'_\varepsilon - \det g'\|_{0,V'} \leq C\varepsilon^{2\alpha}$ , we also have

$$(4.7) \quad \det g'_\varepsilon \geq 1/2$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. In view of the uniform  $C^0$ -boundedness of both  $g'_\varepsilon$  and  $g'_{(\varepsilon)}$ , and via an application of Lemma 4.2 we have for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B')$

$$|\kappa_{g'_\varepsilon}[\varphi] - \kappa_{g'_{(\varepsilon)}}[\varphi]| \leq C\varepsilon^{3\alpha-2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

On the other hand, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.7 and (4.7) together imply in the same manner

$$|\kappa_{g'_\varepsilon}[\varphi] - \kappa_{\xi_\varepsilon^* g}[\varphi]| \leq C\varepsilon^{3\alpha-2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Combining the last two convergences, and taking into account (4.4) and (4.5) we finally obtain for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B')$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v [(1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{-2} \varphi] &= \tilde{\kappa}_{g'}[\varphi] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{V'} (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{-2} (\mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v_\varepsilon) \varphi \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_{g'_{(\varepsilon)}}[\varphi] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_{\xi_\varepsilon^* g}[\varphi] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\kappa_g \circ \xi_\varepsilon)[\varphi] = (\kappa_g \circ \xi)[\varphi] \\ &= \kappa_g[|J(\xi)|^{-1} \varphi \circ \xi^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.3, Corollary 6 in the last two lines. (i) immediately follows by an approximation argument.

To see (ii), note that if  $\kappa_g \not\equiv 0$ , then there is a point  $x \in S$  for which for all  $r > 0$ , there exists  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B(x, r))$  for which  $\kappa_g[\varphi] \neq 0$ . ■

Applying Proposition 3.1, it follows immediately that under the assumptions of Theorem 1, and for any  $p \in S$ ,  $G_v(B') = u \circ \xi(B')$ , which is an open neighborhood of  $p$  in  $S$ , is a surface of bounded extrinsic curvature, of nonnegative curvature. This local property is sufficient to show that  $S$  is also such a surface. If the distributional curvature of  $g$  is nonzero, Proposition 3.1 once again implies that for a suitable choice of  $p$ , the positive curvature of a neighborhood of  $p$  is nonzero, and hence, since the absolute curvature is an additive nonnegative set function, the positive curvature of  $S$  is nonzero as required. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

By the assumptions of Theorem 3,  $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a solution to  $\mathcal{D}et \mathcal{D}^2 v = f \geq 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $2/3 < \alpha < 1$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ . Since  $\mu_f$  is nonzero, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $\mu_f(U) > 0$  for some open disk  $U$  containing  $x$ . Apply Proposition 3.1 to increasing disks  $\Omega = B_R(x)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , and deduce that the graph of  $v$  over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a complete surface of nonnegative curvature with total nonzero curvature. [31, Theorem 1, p. 603] will now imply that  $S$  is an unbounded convex surface. As a consequence,  $v$  is either globally convex or concave.

It remains to be shown that  $v$  is an Alexandrov solution to  $\det \nabla^2 v = \mu_f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We first claim that  $\nabla v$  is globally 1-1 on the set  $\mathbb{R}_r^2$  of points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $G_v(x)$  is regular. This is obvious since the convexity of  $v$  implies that if  $\nabla v(x) = \nabla v(z)$  for  $x \neq z$ , then  $\nabla v$  is constant on the segment  $[x, z]$ , so that  $G_v(x)$  cannot be a regular point.

Now, consider any bounded piece-wise smooth open  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $\mu_v(U) = \mu_f(U)$  to conclude the proof. Note that by [31, Theorems 1 and 4, p. 590-591], we have  $\mathcal{H}^2(N(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}_r^2)) = 0$ , and thus  $|\nabla v(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}_r^2)| = 0$ . Hence

$$(5.1) \quad |U'| = |\nabla v(U) \setminus (\nabla v(\partial U))|,$$

where  $U' := \nabla v(U) \setminus (\nabla v(\partial U) \cup \nabla v(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}_r^2))$ . We observe that for all  $y \in U'$ ,  $(\nabla v)^{-1}(y) \subset \mathbb{R}_r^2$ , which, since  $\nabla v$  is injective on  $\mathbb{R}_r^2$ , yields that for any such  $y$  that  $(\nabla v)^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We now choose  $r$  as in (3.1) and arguing as in Proposition 3.1 we note that  $\deg(\nabla v, B_{r/2}(x), y) = 1$ . For  $y \in U'$ , there is no other solution to  $\nabla v(z) = y$  in  $U$  other than  $x$ , which implies by the properties of the [18, Corollaire 2.4]:

$$\forall y \in U' \quad \deg(\nabla v, U, y) = \deg(\nabla v, B_{r/2}(x), y) = 1,$$

and thus (2.6), (5.1) and [6, Lemma 4] imply

$$\mu_v(U) = |\nabla v(U)| = |U'| = \int_{U'} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy = \int_{\nabla v(U) \setminus \nabla v(\partial U)} \deg(\nabla v, U, y) \, dy = \mu_f(U).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Yu. F. Borisov, *The parallel translation on a smooth surface. III.*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **14** (1959) no. 1, 34–50.
- [2] Yu. F. Borisov, *Irregular surfaces of the class  $C^{1,\beta}$  with an analytic metric*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **45** no. 1, (2004), 25–61; translation in Siberian Math. J. **45** no. 1, (2004), 19–52.
- [3] H. Brezis and H. Nguyen, *The Jacobian determinant revisited*, Invent. Math., **185**(1), (2011), 17–54.
- [4] W. Cao and D. Inauen; *Rigidity and Flexibility of Isometric Extensions*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2010.00418.
- [5] W. Cao and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Global Nash-Kuiper theorem for compact manifolds*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1906.08608.
- [6] S. Conti, C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *h-principle and rigidity for  $C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embeddings*, Proceedings of the Abel Symposium 2010.
- [7] S. Conti, C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *Errata on “h-principle and rigidity for  $C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embeddings”*, (2020), [www.math.ias.edu/delellis/sites/math.ias.edu.delellis/files/Errata-iso.pdf](http://www.math.ias.edu/delellis/sites/math.ias.edu.delellis/files/Errata-iso.pdf).
- [8] C. De Lellis, D. Inauen,  *$C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embeddings of polar caps*, Adv. Math. **363** (2020).
- [9] C. De Lellis, D. Inauen and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *A Nash-Kuiper theorem for  $C^{1,\frac{1}{5}-\delta}$  immersions of surfaces in 3 dimensions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **34** (2018) no. 3, 1119–1152.

- [10] C. De Lellis and M.R. Pakzad, *The geometry of  $C^{1,\alpha}$  flat isometric immersions*, arXiv e-prints (2020) arXiv:2001.11000, (to appear in Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin.).
- [11] I. Fonseca and J. Malý, *From Jacobian to Hessian: distributional form and relaxation*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, **7**, (2005), 4\*, 45–74.
- [12] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Souček, *Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [13] P. Gladbach and H. Olbermann, *Coarea formulae and chain rules for the Jacobian determinant in fractional Sobolev spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **278**.2, (2020), 108312.
- [14] M. Gromov, *Geometric, algebraic, and analytic descendants of Nash isometric embedding theorems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **54** (2017), 173–245.
- [15] Q. Han and J.X. Hong, *Isometric embedding of Riemannian manifolds in Euclidean spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **130** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2006).
- [16] P. Hornung and I. Velčić, *Regularity of intrinsically convex  $H^2$  surfaces and the derivation of homogenized bending shell models*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **115**, (2018), 1–23.
- [17] T. Iwaniec, *On the concept of weak Jacobian and Hessian*, Report Univ. Jyväskylä **83** (2001), 181–205.
- [18] O. Kavian, *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques: et applications aux problèmes elliptiques*, Mathématiques et Applications (Book 13), Springer (1993).
- [19] B. Kirchheim, *Geometry and Rigidity of Microstructures*. Habilitation Thesis, Leipzig, (2001), Zbl pre01794210.
- [20] M.V. Korobkov, *Properties of the  $C^1$ -smooth functions with nowhere dense gradient range*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **48** no. 6, (2007), 1272–1284; translation in Siberian Math. J. **48**, no. 6, (2007), 1019–1028.
- [21] M.V. Korobkov, *Properties of  $C^1$ -smooth mappings with one-dimensional gradient range* Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **50** no. 5, (2009), 874–886; translation in Siberian Math. J. **50**, no. 5, (2009), 874–886.
- [22] N.H. Kuiper, *On  $C^1$ -isometric imbeddings. I, II.*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. **58**, (1955) 545–556, 683–689.
- [23] M. Lewicka, L. Mahadevan and M.R. Pakzad, *The Monge-Ampère constraint: matching of isometries, density and regularity and elastic theories of shallow shells*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis, Vol **34**, Issue 1, 45–67, (2017).
- [24] M. Lewicka and M.R. Pakzad, *Convex integration for the Monge-Ampère equation in two dimensions*, Analysis and PDE, **10**, No. 3, (2017), 695–727.
- [25] S. Li, M.R. Pakzad and A. Schikorra, *Fractional Sobolev isometric immersions of planar domains*, arXiv e-print (2021), arXiv:2103.01723.
- [26] S. Li and A. Schikorra,  *$W^{s,\frac{n}{s}}$ -maps with positive distributional Jacobians*, to appear in Pot. A., (2020).
- [27] H. Olbermann *Integrability of the Brouwer degree for irregular arguments*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-linéaire **34**-4 (2017), 933–959.
- [28] M.R. Pakzad, *On the Sobolev space of isometric immersions*, Journal of Differential Geometry, **66**, (2004), 47–69.
- [29] J. Nash,  *$C^1$  isometric imbeddings*, Ann. Math., **60**, (1954), 383–396.
- [30] A.V. Pogorelov, *Surfaces with bounded extrinsic curvature* (Russian), Kharhov, 1956.
- [31] A.V. Pogorelov, *Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs vol. 35, American Math. Soc., 1973.
- [32] T. Runst and W. Sickel, *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 3 of De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
- [33] A. Schikorra and J. M. Scott, *Weak limits of fractional Sobolev homeomorphisms are almost injective: A note*, arXiv e-prints 2020, arXiv:2011.03198.
- [34] W. Sickel and A. Youssfi, *The characterisation of the regularity of the Jacobian determinant in the framework of potential spaces*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 59(1), (1999), 287–310.
- [35] V. Šverák, *On regularity for the Monge-Ampère equation without convexity assumptions*, preprint, Heriot-Watt University (1991).
- [36] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces III* (Monographs in Mathematics, 100), Birkhäuser 2006.
- [37] H. C. Wente, *An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 26 (1969), 318–344.

(Mohammad Reza Pakzad) UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 139 UNIVERSITY  
PLACE, PITTSBURGH, PA 15260  
*Email address:* pakzad@pitt.edu