

# ALGEBRAIC DECAY RATES FOR 3D NAVIER-STOKES AND NAVIER-STOKES-CORIOLIS EQUATIONS IN $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

MASAHIRO IKEDA, LEONARDO KOSLOFF, CÉSAR J. NICHE, AND GABRIELA PLANAS

**ABSTRACT.** An algebraic upper bound for the decay rate of solutions to the Navier-Stokes and Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is derived using the Fourier Splitting Method. Estimates are framed in terms of the decay character of initial data, leading to solutions with algebraic decay and showing in detail the roles played by the linear and nonlinear parts.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Critical spaces.** Our main goal in this article is to prove algebraic decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= \Delta u, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

and for the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p + \Omega e_3 \times u &= \Delta u, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) have a natural scaling and translation symmetry

$$u_{\lambda, x_0}(x, t) = \lambda u(\lambda(x - x_0), \lambda^2 t), \quad \lambda > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

i.e. if  $u$  is a solution, so is  $u_{\lambda, x_0}$ . A Banach space  $X$  is critical if  $\|u_{\lambda, x_0}\|_X = \|u\|_X$ , for all  $\lambda, x_0$ . As examples of critical spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for (1.1) and (1.2) we mention

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}; L^n; \dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{-1+\frac{n}{p}}, p \geq n; BMO^{-1}; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1},$$

where  $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}$  is maximal, in the sense that every  $X$  that is critical is continuously embedded in  $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}$ . An important feature of these spaces is that global mild

---

*Date:* November 23, 2023.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40; 35Q35; 35Q30; 35Q86.

*Key words and phrases.* Decay rates, Navier-Stokes, Navier-Stokes-Coriolis, Critical spaces.

M. Ikeda has been supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP 23K03174. L. Kosloff has been supported by FAPESP-Brazil grant 2016/15985-0. C.J. Niche acknowledges support from Bolsa PQ CNPq - 308279/2018-2 and PROEX - CAPES. G. Planas was partially supported by CNPq-Brazil grant 310274/2021-4, and FAPESP-Brazil grant 19/02512-5.

solutions can be obtained for small enough initial data through Banach's Fixed Point Theorem and these solutions are well posed in the Hadamard sense, with the notable exception of, precisely,  $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$ , see Bourgain and Pavlović [7], Germain [20]. There is a vast amount of bibliography concerning results about solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) in critical spaces, we refer to the books and surveys by Bahouri, Chemin and Danchin [3], Cannone [10], Gallagher [18] and Lemarié-Rieusset [29], [30] as a starting point.

**1.2. Decay results for Navier-Stokes equations.** In order to provide context, we briefly recall some well known results on the decay of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $s > 0$ . The formal equality

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = -\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

led Leray [31] to ask whether  $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$  goes to zero or not when time goes to infinity. Kato [26] and Masuda [33] showed that indeed the  $L^2$ -norm goes to zero, but they did not provide a rate of decay. An algebraic decay rate was obtained by M.E. Schonbek [40], [41]: for initial data  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $1 \leq p < 2$  she proved that

$$(1.4) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{2}{p}-1)}, \quad t > 0.$$

The key tool for attaining this result is the Fourier Splitting Method, which amounts to obtaining a differential inequality for the  $L^2$ -norm, in which the right hand side is the average of the solution  $u$  in a small, time dependent shrinking ball around the origin in frequency space. We carefully describe the Fourier Splitting Method in Section 2.1. Note that in (1.4) the rate is determined by the  $L^p$  part of the initial datum  $u_0$ . Building on this idea, Wiegner [44] proved decay under hypotheses on the decay of the linear part and of the external forces. Later, M.E. Schonbek and Wiegner [43] proved decay for the  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  norm, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using a rather different method based on Gevrey estimates, Oliver and Titi [37] were able to prove bounds for the radius of analyticity of solutions and estimates for decay for the  $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  norm with  $s > 0$ , provided information concerning the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  decay is known. Bae and Biswas [2] proved a  $L^p$ -version of the decay result in [37], however, estimates for decay hold only for the  $\dot{H}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm for  $s > \frac{3}{p} - 1$ . So, for  $p = 2$ , the critical case  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is not achieved. We stress that results in [37] and [2] assume initial datum in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We only assume initial datum in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , hence the decay for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  does not come out from [37] in this case.

A more general approach was introduced by Bjorland and M.E. Schonbek [6], and later refined by Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36] and Brandolese [8], by associating to a given  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  a decay character  $r^* = r^*(u_0)$ ,  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^* < \infty$ , which roughly speaking says that  $|\widehat{u_0}(\xi)| \approx |\xi|^{r^*}$  when  $|\xi| \approx 0$ . See Section 2.2 for details on the decay character. Using this quantity, it is possible to prove that for weak solutions to (1.1)

$$(1.5) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{3}{2}+r^*, \frac{5}{2}\}}.$$

From (1.5) we conclude that for initial data with  $r^* \leq 1$ , the decay is driven by the slower linear part, while for  $r^* > 1$  the nonlinear part becomes slower. See Section 2.2 for estimates on dissipative linear equations, including the heat equation.

For a thorough survey on results concerning decay and long time behaviour of the Navier-Stokes equations, see Brandolese and M.E. Schonbek [9].

**1.3. Main results: algebraic decay in critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .** Unlike the case of the Navier-Stokes equations discussed in the previous Section, there are few results on the decay of solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  assuming that the initial datum belongs just to the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . It is our main goal in this article to prove algebraic decay in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for mild solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) through the combined use of the Fourier Splitting Method and the decay character of initial data.

**1.3.1. Navier-Stokes equations.** Well posedness of mild solutions to (1.1) in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a classical result, which can be traced back to Fujita and Kato [17] (see also Bahouri, Chemin and Danchin [3], and Lemarié-Rieusset [29], [30] for a textbook version). Known decay estimates for solutions to (1.1) merely provide decay to zero with no explicit rates, i.e.

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0,$$

as proved by Gallagher, Iftimie and Planchon [19]. Sawada [38] proved space analyticity of mild solutions in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but his estimates provide decay for  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1+\alpha}$ -norms only, with  $\alpha > 0$ . As  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $L^2$ -based Sobolev space, we expect the Fourier Splitting Method may allow us to obtain decay rates in (1.6).

We state now our first result. From now on we denote by  $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  the Riesz potential.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  and  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$ , for small enough  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $-\frac{3}{2} < q^* = r^*(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0) < \infty$ . Then, for any mild solution to (1.1), we have that for some constant  $C = C(\epsilon, q^*)$*

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{3}{2}+q^*, 1\}}.$$

The starting point for this result is the rigorous proof of the inequality

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq - \left(1 - C \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

which, as  $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  is small for all time due to the fact that solutions are obtained by a fixed point argument, leads to an inequality analogous to (1.3) but for the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm. We then adapt the Fourier Splitting Method to this context and prove decay. Note that, as the  $L^2$  decay in (1.5), the decay in Theorem 1.1 is driven by the linear and nonlinear parts for different sets of initial data.

Recently, Duong, Khai and Tri [14] proved that for initial data in  $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$\|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^3} = o(t^{-\alpha}), \quad \|e^{t\Delta} u_0\|_{L^3} = O(t^{-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

then mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations are such that, respectively

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^3} = o(t^{-\alpha}), \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^3} = O(t^{-\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

As  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{3}{2}+q^*, 1\}},$$

for any  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Thus, this refines and extends their results.

**1.3.2. Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations.** In this setting, we are also motivated to study the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations (1.2). These equations are a prototype for geophysical models with strong rotation around a fixed axis, this being modelled by the last term in the left hand side, the Coriolis term, which is typically larger than the other terms because of the parameter  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a thorough study of the mathematical theory of this equation and others modelling rotating fluids see Chemin *et al.* [13]. Strong rotation is essential for proving global well-posedness in the case of large data in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and in this framework it can also be shown that the asymptotic limit system is determined by the 2D Navier-Stokes equations, (see Theorem 5.7 in Chemin *et al.* [13] for a precise statement). For similar results in the case of domains, including the treatment of the Ekman boundary layer problem, we refer to Chemin *et al.* [13], Giga, Inui, Mahalov and Matsui [21], and Iftimie, Raugel and Sell [23].

M.E. Schonbek and Vallis [42] proved decay results for the  $L^2$ -norm of solutions to a system of coupled Boussinesq and generalized damped Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations. However, the proof of the  $L^2$  decay of the velocity  $u$  for this system relies on the damping and coupling to the temperature  $\theta$ . As a result of this, these results do not directly apply to (1.2). For mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations (1.2) in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  only boundedness is known, see Hieber and Shibata [22] and Iwabuchi and Takada [25], respectively. Recently, Ahn, Kim and Lee [1] addressed decay of solutions to a fractional version of (1.2), but their results do not cover the critical case  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Egashira and Takada [15] proved some decay rates for solutions to (1.2) in  $L^p$ -norm, for  $p \geq 2$ , by considering initial datum in  $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ , again not covering the critical case  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We now state our result for decay in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for (1.2).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  and  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon$ , for small enough  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $\omega(u_0) > 0$  such that for any  $\Omega$  with  $|\Omega| > \omega(u_0)$ , if  $-\frac{3}{2} < q^* = r^*(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0) < \infty$ , then, for any mild solution to (1.2), we have that for a constant  $C = C(\epsilon, q^*, |\Omega|)$*

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{3}{2}+q^*, 1\}}.$$

As in Theorem 1.1, we turn (1.7) into an inequality analogous to (1.3) but for the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm, which we do through the use of the smallness of the solution. We also adapt to this context the Fourier Splitting Method.

**1.4. Organization of this article.** In Section 2, we present some preliminary results: we review the Fourier Splitting Method and the concept of decay character, and we recall some important inequalities that will be used in our proofs. In Section 3 we proceed to set up the semigroup framework in which the fixed point arguments yield the global existence of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) in the critical space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We conclude this Section with the proof of the decay estimate of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 4 we carry out the analysis of the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations leading to the proof of Theorem 1.2. An additional decay estimate for Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations (1.2) in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is also provided. The proof of this estimate is rather simple because in this case we do have  $L^2$  decay estimates at hand, due to the fact that the initial datum is in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**1.5. Acknowledgments.** We thank Edriss Titi for comments and remarks that improved the presentation of this article and for pointing out references related to our work.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**2.1. Fourier Splitting.** The Fourier Splitting Method was developed by M.E. Schonbek to study decay of energy for solutions to parabolic conservations laws [39] and to Navier-Stokes equations [40], [41] and rests on the observation that for these equations “for large enough times, remaining energy is concentrated at the low frequencies”. We describe now a rather general setting, introduced by Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36], in which this method can be used. Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and consider a linear diagonalizable pseudodifferential operator  $\mathcal{L} : X^n \rightarrow (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$ , this is an  $\mathcal{L}$  which has an associated symbol  $M(\xi)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad M(\xi) = P^{-1}(\xi)D(\xi)P(\xi), \quad \xi - a.e.$$

where  $P(\xi) \in O(n)$  and  $D(\xi) = -c_i|\xi|^{2\alpha}\delta_{ij}$ , for  $c_i > c > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Take the linear system

$$(2.2) \quad v_t = \mathcal{L}v,$$

multiply by  $v$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \langle \widehat{v}, M\widehat{v} \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \widehat{v}, P^{-1}DP\widehat{v} \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= -\langle (-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}P\widehat{v}, (-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}P\widehat{v} \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}P\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\alpha} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the  $L^2$ -norm decreases.

**Remark 2.1.** We note that the vectorial fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ; the vectorial Lamé operator  $\mathcal{L}u = \Delta u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u$ , see Example 2.9 in Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36], and the linear part of the magneto-micropolar system (see Niche and Perusato [35]), amongst others, are diagonalizable as in (2.1).

Now, we split frequency space into two parts by introducing a ball around the origin  $B(t)$ , with decreasing time dependent radius. More precisely, take  $B(t) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq g(t)\}$ , for a nonincreasing, continuous  $g$  to be determined later, with  $g(0) > 0$ . Then, for  $\alpha = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\widehat{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq -2C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \leq -2C \int_{B(t)^c} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq -2C g^2(t) \int_{B(t)^c} |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ &= -2C g^2(t) \|\widehat{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2C g^2(t) \int_{B(t)} |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which, after using the integrating factor

$$h(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t 2C g^2(s) ds\right),$$

leads to the key inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (h(t) \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq h'(t) \int_{B(t)} |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi.$$

Now, we would have to appropriately choose  $g$  and find a pointwise estimate for  $|\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|$  to obtain an upper bound after integrating (2.3).

Note that because of the form of our linear operator, i.e. (2.1), the linear part of  $\widehat{v}$  will be such that

$$(2.4) \quad e^{tM(\xi)} \widehat{v}_0(\xi) \approx \widehat{v}_0(\xi), \quad t \gg 1,$$

hence the decay of the linear part will be essentially determined by  $\widehat{v}_0(\xi)$ , with  $\xi \approx 0$ . This motivates the definition of decay character in the next Section.

**2.2. Decay character.** We now recall the definition and properties of the decay character, introduced by Bjorland and M.E. Schonbek [6], and refined by Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36], and Brandolese [8]. Loosely speaking, to any initial datum  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the decay character associates a number which measures its “algebraic order” near the origin, comparing  $|\widehat{v}_0(\xi)|$  to  $f(\xi) = |\xi|^r$  at  $\xi = 0$ . Due to (2.4),  $|\widehat{v}_0(\xi)|^2$  determines the behaviour of the right hand side of (2.3), thus providing decay estimates.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ , we define the decay indicator  $P_r(v_0)$  corresponding to  $v_0$  as

$$P_r(v_0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-2r-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\widehat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

provided this limit exists. In the expression above,  $B(\rho)$  denotes the ball at the origin with radius  $\rho$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The decay character of  $v_0$ , denoted by  $r^* = r^*(v_0)$  is the unique  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  such that  $0 < P_r(v_0) < \infty$ , provided that this number exists. We set  $r^* = -\frac{n}{2}$ , when  $P_r(v_0) = \infty$  for all  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  or  $r^* = \infty$ , if  $P_r(v_0) = 0$  for all  $r \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ .

It is possible to explicitly compute the decay character for many important examples. When  $v_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < 2$  and  $v_0 \notin L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\bar{p} < p$ , we have that  $r^*(v_0) = -n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ , see Example 2.6 in Ferreira, Niche and Planas [16]. When  $v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $|\widehat{v_0}(\xi)|$  is bounded away from zero near the origin, i.e.

$$0 < C_1 \leq |\widehat{v_0}(\xi)| \leq C_2, \quad |\xi| \leq \beta,$$

for some  $\beta > 0$  and  $0 < C_1 \leq C_2$ , then  $r^*(v_0) = 0$ , see Section 4 in M.E. Schonbek [41]. For  $v_0 \in L^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , i.e.

$$\|f\|_{L^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^\gamma |f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

we have from Lemma 3.1 in Ikehata [24] that

$$|\widehat{v_0}(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^\gamma + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_0(x) dx \right|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If  $v_0$  has zero mean, then  $r^*(v_0) = \gamma$ , if not, then  $r^*(v_0) = 0$ .

We now state the Theorem that describes decay in terms of the decay character for linear operators as in (2.1).

**Theorem 2.4.** (Theorem 2.10, Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36]) *Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  have decay character  $r^*(v_0) = r^*$ . Let  $v(t)$  be a solution to (2.2) with initial datum  $v_0$ , where the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is such that (2.1) holds. Then if  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^* < \infty$ , there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)} \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)}.$$

Let  $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for  $s > 0$ . We will need the following relation between the decay character  $r_s^*(u_0) = r^*(\Lambda^s u_0)$  and that of  $u_0$ ,  $r^*(u_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** (Theorem 2.11, Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36]) *Let  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $s > 0$ . Then, if  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^*(u_0) < \infty$  we have that*

$$-\frac{n}{2} + s < r_s^*(u_0) < \infty, \quad r_s^*(u_0) = s + r^*(u_0).$$

As a consequence of this, we obtain the following decay estimates for homogeneous Sobolev norms.

**Theorem 2.6.** (Theorem 2.12, Niche and M.E. Schonbek [36]) *Let  $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $s > 0$  have decay character  $r_s^* = r_s^*(v_0)$ . Then if  $-\frac{n}{2} < r^* < \infty$ , there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*+s)} \leq \|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*+s)}.$$

In Definitions 2.2 and 2.3, it is assumed that those limits exist, thus giving rise to a positive  $P_r(u_0)$ . However this does not hold for all of  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as Brandolese [8] constructed initial data, highly oscillating near the origin, for which the limit in Definition 2.2 does not exist for some  $r$ . As a result of this, the decay character does not exist. Brandolese also gave a slightly different definition of decay character, more general than that in Definitions 2.2 and 2.3, but which produces the same result when these hold. He also proved that the decay character  $r^*$  (in his

more general version) exists for  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $v_0$  belongs to a specific subset of a homogeneous Besov space, i.e.  $v_0 \in \dot{A}_{2,\infty}^{-(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)} \subset \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)}$ . Moreover, for diagonalizable linear operators  $\mathcal{L}$  as in (2.1), solutions to the linear system (2.2) with initial data  $v_0$  have algebraic decay, i.e.

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)} \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{n}{2}+r^*)},$$

if and only if the decay character  $r^* = r^*(v_0)$  exists. This provides a complete and sharp characterization of algebraic decay rates for such systems and provides a key tool for studying decay for nonlinear systems.

**2.3. Inequalities.** We will need the following two versions of Gronwall's inequality.

**Proposition 2.7** (Theorem 1, page 356, Mitrinović, Pečarić and Fink [34]). *Let  $x, k : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and  $a, b : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Riemann integrable in  $J = [\alpha, \beta]$ . Suppose that  $b, k \geq 0$  in  $J$ . Then, if*

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{\alpha}^t k(s)x(s) ds, \quad t \in J$$

*then*

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{\alpha}^t a(s)k(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t b(r)k(r) dr\right) ds, \quad t \in J.$$

**Proposition 2.8** (Corollary 1.2, page 4, Bainov and Simeonov [4]). *Let  $a, k, u, : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous in  $J = [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $k \geq 0$ . If  $a(t)$  is nondecreasing then*

$$\psi(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{\alpha}^t k(s)\psi(s) ds, \quad t \in J$$

*implies*

$$\psi(t) \leq a(t) \exp\left(\int_{\alpha}^t k(s) ds\right) \quad t \in J.$$

### 3. NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

We start recalling results concerning solutions to Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) that we will need in this work. We follow Chapter 5 of Bahouri *et al.* [3]. For other important sources of information for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in critical spaces, see Cannone [10], Gallagher [18], and Lemarié-Rieusset [29], [30].

Given a vector field  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , through the Leray projector  $\mathbb{P}$  we obtain a divergence-free vector field  $\mathbb{P}v$  with components

$$\widehat{(\mathbb{P}v)}^j(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \left( \delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) \widehat{v}^k(\xi).$$

A mild solution, or simply solution, to (1.1) is a function defined on a Banach space  $E$ , which is a fixed point of the map  $\mathcal{G} : E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(u) = e^{t\Delta} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(s) ds.$$

From Theorem 5.6 in Bahouri *et al.* [3], we know that there exists a (small)  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$  then the 3D Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) have a unique solution in  $E = L^4([0, \infty); \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , which is also in  $F = C([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap$



$L^2([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . As the solution is obtained through Banach's Fixed Point Theorem, we have that the smallness condition  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$  leads to

$$(3.2) \quad \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2\epsilon, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We now recall the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** (Lemma 5.10, Bahouri *et al.* [3]). *Let  $v \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be the solution to*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \Delta v &= f, \\ v(x, 0) &= v_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f \in L^2([0, T]; \dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $v_0 \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we have the energy identity

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 d\tau = \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle v(\tau), f(\tau) \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} d\tau.$$

As an immediate consequence of this Lemma, solutions to (1.1) obey the energy identity with  $f = -\mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(\tau)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau &= \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle u(\tau), \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(\tau) \rangle_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This identity is the key for the proof, in Proposition 5.13 in Bahouri *et al.* [3], that the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  norm is a Lyapunov function, i.e. it is a decreasing function of time on non-stationary solutions. Moreover, as we mentioned in the Introduction,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0,$$

see Gallagher *et al.* [19] (and also Theorem 5.17 in Bahouri *et al.* [3]).

In order to obtain energy inequalities for equation (1.1) we will need the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) \rangle_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= \langle \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u, \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) \rangle_{L^2} \\ (3.3) \quad &\leq C \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 5.12 in Bahouri *et al.* [3] and interpolation.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We know that the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm is a nonincreasing function, hence has a derivative a.e. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 &= 2 \langle \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t), \partial_t \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t) \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t), \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta u(t) - (\mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(t))) \rangle \\ &= -2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 - 2 \langle \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t), \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, after using (3.3) in the last term there follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq - \left(1 - C \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

which is a well known estimate, already formally obtained by Kato [27] and Cannone, Section 7.1 in [10]. From (3.2), we know that  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$  leads to  $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 2\epsilon$ , for all  $t > 0$ , hence choosing  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{C}$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq -2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

and then we can use the Fourier Splitting Method from Section 2.1. We thus obtain, for a nonincreasing and continuous  $g$  to be determined later, with  $g(0) = K > 0$ , the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \exp \left( \int_0^t 2g^2(s) ds \right) \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2g^2(t) \left( \exp \left( \int_0^t 2g^2(s) ds \right) \right) \int_{B(t)} \|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi, t)\|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(t) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq g(t)\}$ . We now need a pointwise estimate for  $\|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi, t)\|^2$  in  $B(t)$ , so we take  $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of the solution obtained as a fixed point of (3.1) and then Fourier transform to have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B(t)} \|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi, t)\|^2 d\xi \leq C \int_{B(t)} |e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ & + C \int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for the linear part is

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B(t)} |e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)},$$

where we used Theorem 2.4 and  $q^* = r^* \left( \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0 \right)$ .

We address now the nonlinear part. By the Fubini Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 d\xi \\ & \leq \int_{B(t)} |\xi| \left( \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)| ds \right)^2 d\xi \\ & = \int_{B(t)} |\xi| \int_0^t \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)| |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s')| ds ds' d\xi \\ & \leq g(t) \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_{B(t)} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)| |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s')| d\xi ds ds' \\ & \leq g(t) \int_0^t \int_0^t \left( \int_{B(t)} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B(t)} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s')|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds ds'. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that

$$|\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))| \leq C|\xi| |\mathcal{F}(|u|^2)|,$$

so, by using Hölder and Hausdorff-Young inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(t)} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)|^2 d\xi &\leq C \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(|u|^2)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^6 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \int_{B(t)} |\mathcal{F}(|u|^2)|^3 \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
&\leq C g(t)^3 \|u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 = C g(t)^3 \|u\|_{L^3}^4 \\
&\leq C g(t)^3 \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Plugging this in the previous estimate we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C g(t)^4 \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 ds \right)^2 \\
(3.7) \quad &\leq C g(t)^4 t \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We first choose  $g^2(t) = \frac{3}{2}((e+t)\ln(e+t))^{-1}$ . From (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), as we know that  $\|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C$  for all  $s > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left( (\ln(e+t))^3 \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \\
&\leq C ((e+t)\ln(e+t))^{-1} (\ln(e+t))^3 \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
(3.8) \quad &\leq C \frac{(\ln(e+t))^2}{e+t} \left( (1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} + (\ln(e+t))^{-2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We check that

$$\int_0^t \frac{(\ln(e+s))^2}{e+s} (1+s)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} ds \leq C \int_0^t \frac{(\ln(e+s))^2}{(e+s)^{(\frac{5}{2}+q^*)}} ds \leq C = C(q^*).$$

Thereby, after integrating (3.8), we arrive at the first estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C (\ln(e+t))^{-2},$$

which we use to bootstrap in order to obtain finer decay estimates. Now, let  $g^2(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2}(1+t)^{-1}$ , for  $\alpha > 0$  large enough. Using (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7) again we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left( (1+t)^\alpha \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \\
&\leq C (1+t)^{\alpha-1} \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
(3.9) \quad &\leq C (1+t)^{\alpha-1} \left( (1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} + (1+t)^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2}{(\ln(e+s))^2} ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha > \max\{\frac{3}{2} + q^*, 1\}$ . After integrating and dividing by  $(1+t)^{\alpha-1}$  on both sides we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad (1+t)\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+q^*)} + C \int_0^t \frac{(1+s)\|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2}{(1+s)(\ln(e+s))^2} ds.$$

Suppose  $q^* \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ . We then apply Gronwall's inequality from Proposition 2.8 with

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= (1+t)\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \quad a(t) = C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+q^*)} \\ k(t) &= \frac{C}{(1+t)(\ln(e+t))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\int_0^t k(s) ds = C \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1+s)(\ln(e+s))^2} \leq C,$$

we obtain

$$(1+t)\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+q^*)}$$

which leads, to

$$(3.11) \quad \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)}.$$

We now address the case  $q^* > -\frac{1}{2}$ . We proceed as before, but instead of obtaining (3.10) from (3.9), we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} + C(1+t)^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{\|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2}{(\ln(e+s))^2} ds.$$

We now use Proposition 2.7 with

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \quad a(t) = C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} \\ b(t) &= \frac{C}{1+t}, \quad k(t) = \frac{1}{(\ln(e+t))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\int_s^t b(r)k(r) dr = C \int_s^t \frac{dr}{(1+r)(\ln(e+r))^2} \leq C,$$

hence we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} + C(1+t)^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{(1+s)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)}}{(\ln(e+s))^2} ds.$$

The condition  $q^* > -\frac{1}{2}$  implies that the integral on the right hand side is finite, so we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)} + C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

This decay together with (3.11) entails the desired result.  $\square$

## 4. NAVIER-STOKES-CORIOLIS EQUATIONS

We first describe results concerning Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations (1.2) which we will need to prove our estimates. We closely follow Hieber and Shibata [22] (see also Iwabuchi and Takada [25]).

**4.1. Linear part.** We first address the linear part of (1.2), i.e.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \Omega e_3 \times u + \nabla p &= \Delta u, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (4.1) we can explicitly solve this equation and see that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{u}(\xi, t) &= e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} \widehat{u}_0 \\ &= \cos\left(\Omega \frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} t\right) e^{-t|\xi|^2} I_3 \widehat{u}_0(\xi) + \sin\left(\Omega \frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} t\right) e^{-t|\xi|^2} R(\xi) \widehat{u}_0(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence,

$$\widehat{p}(\xi) = i \frac{\Omega}{|\xi|^2} (\xi_2 \widehat{u}_1(\xi) - \xi_1 \widehat{u}_2(\xi)).$$

In (4.2),  $I_3$  is the identity matrix and

$$R(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} & -\frac{\xi_2}{|\xi|} \\ -\frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} & 0 & \frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|} \\ \frac{\xi_2}{|\xi|} & -\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then obtain a semigroup such that for divergence free  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$(4.3) \quad T(t) f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \cos\left(\Omega \frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} t\right) e^{-t|\xi|^2} I_3 \widehat{f}(\xi) + \sin\left(\Omega \frac{\xi_3}{|\xi|} t\right) e^{-t|\xi|^2} R(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \right).$$

In fact, by Mihlin's Theorem,  $T(t)$  can be extended to a  $C_0$  semigroup  $T_p(t)$  on divergence free  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$ .

We describe now some pointwise properties of  $\widehat{u}(\xi, t)$  we need for using the Fourier Splitting Method. Through an elementary computation there follows

$$|\widehat{u}(\xi, t)| = |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} \widehat{u}_0| \leq C e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\widehat{u}_0|,$$

from which, using Theorem 2.4, we obtain that for  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with decay character  $-\frac{3}{2} < r^* = r^*(u_0) < \infty$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} \widehat{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+r^*)}.$$

**4.2. Existence of solutions in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .** To obtain existence of global solutions to (1.2) in the critical, homogeneous space  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  we turn (1.2) into an integral equation

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{T}(u) = T(t)u_0 - \int_0^t T(t-s) \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(s) ds,$$

where  $T$  is given by (4.3) and find an appropriate Banach space  $X$  on which this has a fixed point. We note that there are differences with the Navier-Stokes' case that must be taken into account. As we mentioned in Section 3, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that for initial data with  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$ , the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) have a global solution in  $C([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . For the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations (1.2), Iwabuchi and Takada [25] and Koh, Lee and Takada [28] proved that in  $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with  $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{9}{10}$ , the condition

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq C|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{1}{2})}$$

implies existence of solutions in  $L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Hence, in both cases, conditions on the size of initial data lead to global existence. However, this is not the case in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , where the conditions for global existence depend on the initial data, not merely its size, as can be seen in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** (Theorem 1.3, Iwabuchi and Takada [25]). *For any  $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ , there exists a  $\omega = \omega(u_0)$  such that for  $\omega < |\Omega|$  there exists a unique global solution to (1.2) in  $C([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^4([0, \infty); \dot{H}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .*

Here,  $\dot{H}_p^s = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) / P(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Lambda^s f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  is the homogeneous potential space.

**Remark 4.2.** *The fact that the size condition for global existence involves the profile of initial data instead of of its norm is also usually seen in dispersive critical equation, such as in the  $L^2$ -critical Schrödinger equation, see Cazenave and Weissler [11] and the generalized critical KdV equation, see Birnir, Kenig, Ponce, Svanstedt and Vega [5] (see also Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 7.7 in Linares and Ponce [32]).*

In order to be able to use the Fourier Splitting Method to prove decay of solutions we need to show that for a given constant  $C > 0$  we have that

$$(4.5) \quad \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \frac{1}{C}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We claim that this is possible for  $u_0$  with small enough  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm.

We now describe the setting in which Iwabuchi and Takada [25] prove existence of solutions and we then show how we can attain (4.5).

Consider the ball

$$B = \left\{ u \in C^0([0, \infty), \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \|u\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_{3,x}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 2\delta, \operatorname{div} u = 0 \right\}$$

in the metric space  $X = L^4([0, \infty); \dot{H}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with the metric induced by the norm and where  $\delta > 0$  is small enough. The integral operator associated to equation (1.2) is a contraction in  $B$ , and hence has a fixed point. The fixed point argument does

not furnish the smallness of the solution in  $L^\infty((0, \infty); \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . However, the solution obtained also obeys the key estimate

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C' \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 4C'' \delta^2,$$

where  $C', C'' > 0$  do not depend on  $\delta$ , see (3.5), page 739 in [25]. We then see that (4.5) holds provided  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and  $\delta > 0$  are small enough.

**4.3. Norm in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Lyapunov function.** In order to prove that the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a Lyapunov function also for the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations, we need the following Lemma, which we state with no proof.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $w \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  be the solution to*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w + Lw - \Delta w &= f, \\ w(x, 0) &= w_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f \in L^2([0, T]; \dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $w_0 \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a continuous linear map induced by a linear map  $\tilde{L} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\langle Lw, w \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} = 0$ , then we have the energy identity

$$\|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla w(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 d\tau = \|w_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle w(\tau), f(\tau) \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} d\tau.$$

We now project equation (1.2) onto the space of divergence free vector fields with  $\mathbb{P}$ . If we consider  $\tilde{L}(u) = \mathbb{P}(e_3 \times u)$ , as a consequence of

$$\mathbb{P}(\widehat{e_3 \times u}) = \left( -\left(1 - \frac{\xi_1^2}{|\xi|^2}\right) \widehat{u}_2 - \frac{\xi_1 \xi_2}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_1, \frac{\xi_1 \xi_2}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_2 + \left(1 - \frac{\xi_2^2}{|\xi|^2}\right) \widehat{u}_1, \frac{\xi_1 \xi_3}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_2 - \frac{\xi_3 \xi_2}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}_1 \right),$$

and  $\widehat{\operatorname{div} u} = \xi \cdot \widehat{u} = 0$ , we have that  $\langle Lu, u \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} = \langle \mathbb{P}(e_3 \times u), u \rangle_{\dot{H}^s} = 0$ . We then, as before, obtain the energy identity

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau &= \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle u(\tau), \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u(\tau)) \rangle_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and through Proposition 5.13 in Bahouri *et al.* [3], we get that the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm is a Lyapunov function for the Navier-Stokes-Coriolis equations.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** From the previous Section, we know that the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm is a Lyapunov function, so proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = -\left(1 - C\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

From Section 4.2, by choosing  $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and  $\delta > 0$  small enough, (4.6) leads to  $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \frac{1}{C}$ , hence we can use the Fourier Splitting Method. Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 we arrive at the key estimate (3.4).

Hence, we need a pointwise estimate for

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\
 & \leq C \int_{B(t)} |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\
 (4.7) \quad & + C \int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for the linear part is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(t)} |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\
 & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+q^*)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 2.4 and  $q^* = r^* \left( \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0 \right)$ .

For the nonlinear part we proceed as in (3.7) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 \\
 & \leq \int_{B(t)} \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s)| ds \right)^2 d\xi \\
 & \leq Cg(t)^4 t \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can now continue in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to obtain the corresponding estimate.  $\square$

**4.5. Additional decay estimate in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .** We now turn our attention to the space  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , where existence of solutions was proved by Hieber and Shibata [22] by adapting arguments of Fujita and Kato [17]. They managed to express the conditions for existence of solutions in terms of the size of  $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  only, with no reference to the rotation strength  $\Omega$ . Indeed, a solution to (1.2) will be a fixed point of the map  $\mathcal{T} : E \rightarrow E$  given by (4.4). In this case, we have  $E = E_\epsilon$ , where

$$E_\epsilon = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in C([0, \infty); H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \langle u \rangle_t \leq \epsilon, \forall t > 0; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t) - u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0, \\ u \in C((0, \infty); L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [u]_{q, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2q}, t} = 0, \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ \nabla u \in C((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [\nabla u]_{2, \frac{1}{4}, t} = 0, \end{array} \right\}$$

with metric

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u \rangle_t & = \sup_{0 < s < t} \|u(s)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \sup_{0 < s < t} s^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2q}} \|u(s)\|_{L^q} \\
 & + \sup_{0 < s < t} s^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{2q}} \|u(s)\|_{L^q} + \sup_{0 < s < t} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point in  $E_\epsilon$ , provided  $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is small enough, see pages 488 - 490 in Hieber and Shibata [22]. This guarantees existence of global solutions in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Note that, unlike what happens when studying existence of weak solutions (see Chemin *et al.* [12], [13]),  $\epsilon > 0$  does not



depend on  $\Omega$ . However, their solutions are just bounded, i.e.  $\|u(t)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C$ , and no decay is provided. Our next result establishes such rates.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , with  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  and  $\|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \epsilon$ , for small enough  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $-\frac{3}{2} < r^* = r^*(u_0) < \infty$ . Then, for any mild solution to (1.2) we have that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\min\{\frac{3}{2}+r^*, \frac{5}{2}\}}.$$

Also,

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\min\{\frac{3}{2}+r^*, \frac{5}{2}\})}.$$

Observe that, as is expected, the  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$  decay of solutions with initial datum in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is driven by the  $L^2$  decay. Moreover, there is a gain of  $\frac{1}{2}$  on the decay in analogy with that happens for Navier-Stokes equations.

*Proof.* First, we observe that solutions to (1.2) satisfy the energy inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

As pointed out in Section 4.1, we have in frequency space the pointwise estimate

$$|e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} \widehat{f}| \leq C e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\widehat{f}|,$$

so the proof of decay for the  $L^2$ -norm is analogous as that for the Navier-Stokes equations.

We will use the decay for the  $L^2$ -norm to prove the decay of solutions in the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm. As the  $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -norm is a nonincreasing function (Section 4.3), proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough we then have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq -2\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

thus we can use the Fourier Splitting Method from Section 2.1. Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 we arrive at the key estimate (3.4). So, we need to estimate  $\|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi, t)\|^2$  in  $B(t)$  from (4.7).

For the linear part in (4.7), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(t)} |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |e^{t\mathcal{M}(\xi)} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\ (4.8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2}+r^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 2.6.

For the nonlinear part in (4.7), by estimating

$$|\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))| \leq C|\mathcal{F}(\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))| \leq C|\xi| |\widehat{u \otimes u}| \leq C|\xi| \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

there follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^t e^{(t-s)|\mathcal{M}(\xi)|} |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (u \otimes u))(\xi, s) ds \right)^2 &\leq C \left( \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} |\xi|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq C|\xi|^3 \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider  $r^* \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Using the decay estimate for the  $L^2$ -norm of  $u$  we obtain

$$\left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 \leq \begin{cases} C, & \text{if } r^* > -\frac{1}{2} \\ C(1+t)^{-(1+2r^*)}, & \text{if } r^* < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Taking  $g^2(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2}(1+t)^{-1}$  with large enough  $\alpha > 0$  leads to

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^3 \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-3}, & \text{if } r^* > -\frac{1}{2} \\ C(1+t)^{-(4+2r^*)}, & \text{if } r^* < -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

If  $r^* = -\frac{1}{2}$ , we proceed in a slightly different way, using that

$$\left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 \leq Ct \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^4 ds \leq Ct.$$

Hence

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^3 \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^2 d\xi \leq Ct \int_{B(t)} |\xi|^3 d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-2}.$$

Now, comparing (4.8) to (4.9) and (4.10) we notice that the slower decay is given by the nonlinear part, i.e. (4.9) and (4.10), when  $r^* \geq 1$  and by the linear part, i.e. (4.8), when  $r^* < 1$ . Plugging this in (3.4), we then have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( (1+t)^\alpha \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \leq C(1+t)^{\alpha-1} (1+t)^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \min\{\frac{3}{2}+r^*, \frac{5}{2}\})}.$$

Choosing  $\alpha - 1 - (\frac{1}{2} + \min\{\frac{3}{2}+r^*, \frac{5}{2}\}) > -1$ , after integrating, there follows the desired estimate.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jaewook Ahn, Junha Kim, and Jihoon Lee. Coriolis effect on temporal decay rates of global solutions to the fractional Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Ann.*, 383(1-2):259–289, 2022.
- [2] Hantaek Bae and Animikh Biswas. Gevrey regularity for a class of dissipative equations with analytic nonlinearity. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 22(4):377–408, 2015.
- [3] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [4] Drumi Bařnov and Pavel Simeonov. *Integral inequalities and applications*, volume 57 of *Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992. Translated by R. A. M. Hoksbergen and V. Covachev [V. Khr. Kovachev].
- [5] Björn Birnir, Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, Nils Svanstedt, and Luis Vega. On the ill-posedness of the IVP for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 53(3):551–559, 1996.
- [6] Clayton Bjorland and María E. Schonbek. Poincaré’s inequality and diffusive evolution equations. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 14(3-4):241–260, 2009.
- [7] Jean Bourgain and Nataša Pavlović. Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(9):2233–2247, 2008.
- [8] Lorenzo Brandolese. Characterization of solutions to dissipative systems with sharp algebraic decay. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48(3):1616–1633, 2016.
- [9] Lorenzo Brandolese and Maria E. Schonbek. Large time behavior of the Navier-Stokes flow. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pages 579–645. Springer, Cham, 2018.

- [10] Marco Cannone. Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III*, pages 161–244. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [11] Thierry Cazenave and Fred B. Weissler. Some remarks on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical case. In *Nonlinear semigroups, partial differential equations and attractors (Washington, DC, 1987)*, volume 1394 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 18–29. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [12] Jean-Yves Chemin, Benoît Desjardins, Isabelle Gallagher, and Emmanuel Grenier. Anisotropy and dispersion in rotating fluids. In *Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar, Vol. XIV (Paris, 1997/1998)*, volume 31 of *Stud. Math. Appl.*, pages 171–192. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [13] Jean-Yves Chemin, Benoît Desjardins, Isabelle Gallagher, and Emmanuel Grenier. *Mathematical geophysics: An introduction to rotating fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations*, volume 32 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [14] Vũ T. T. Duong, Dao Q. Khai, and N. M. Tri. Time decay rates of the  $L^3$ -norm for strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 485(2):123864, 17, 2020.
- [15] Takanari Egashira and Ryo Takada. Large Time Behavior of Solutions to the 3D Rotating Navier-Stokes Equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 25(1):23, 2023.
- [16] Lucas C. F. Ferreira, César J. Niche, and Gabriela Planas. Decay of solutions to dissipative modified quasi-geostrophic equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(1):287–301, 2017.
- [17] Hiroshi Fujita and Tosio Kato. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem. I. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 16:269–315, 1964.
- [18] Isabelle Gallagher. Critical function spaces for the well-posedness of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem. In *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*, pages 647–685. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [19] Isabelle Gallagher, Dragoş Iftimie, and Fabrice Planchon. Non-explosion en temps grand et stabilité de solutions globales des équations de Navier-Stokes. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 334(4):289–292, 2002.
- [20] Pierre Germain. The second iterate for the Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(9):2248–2264, 2008.
- [21] Yoshikazu Giga, Katsuya Inui, Alex Mahalov, Shin’ya Matsui, and Jürgen Saal. Rotating Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  with initial data nondecreasing at infinity: the Ekman boundary layer problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 186(2):177–224, 2007.
- [22] Matthias Hieber and Yoshihiro Shibata. The Fujita-Kato approach to the Navier-Stokes equations in the rotational framework. *Math. Z.*, 265(2):481–491, 2010.
- [23] Dragoş Iftimie, Geneviève Raugel, and George R. Sell. Navier-Stokes equations in thin 3D domains with Navier boundary conditions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 56(3):1083–1156, 2007.
- [24] Ryo Ikehata. New decay estimates for linear damped wave equations and its application to nonlinear problem. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 27(8):865–889, 2004.
- [25] Tsukasa Iwabuchi and Ryo Takada. Global solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations in the rotational framework. *Math. Ann.*, 357(2):727–741, 2013.
- [26] Tosio Kato. Strong  $L^p$ -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ , with applications to weak solutions. *Math. Z.*, 187(4):471–480, 1984.
- [27] Tosio Kato. Liapunov functions and monotonicity in the Navier-Stokes equation. In *Functional-analytic methods for partial differential equations (Tokyo, 1989)*, volume 1450 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 53–63. Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [28] Youngwoo Koh, Sanghyuk Lee, and Ryo Takada. Dispersive estimates for the Navier-Stokes equations in the rotational framework. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 19(9-10):857–878, 2014.
- [29] Pierre G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, volume 431 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

- [30] Pierre G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *The Navier-Stokes problem in the 21st century*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [31] Jean Leray. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.*, 63(1):193–248, 1934.
- [32] Felipe Linares and Gustavo Ponce. *Introduction to nonlinear dispersive equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [33] Kyūya Masuda. Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 36(4):623–646, 1984.
- [34] Dragoslav S. Mitrinović, Josip E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink. *Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives*, volume 53 of *Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [35] César J. Niche and Cilon F. Perusato. Sharp decay estimates and asymptotic behaviour for 3D magneto-micropolar fluids. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 73(2):Paper No. 48, 20, 2022.
- [36] César J. Niche and María E. Schonbek. Decay characterization of solutions to dissipative equations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 91(2):573–595, 2015.
- [37] Marcel Oliver and Edriss S. Titi. Remark on the rate of decay of higher order derivatives for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(1):1–18, 2000.
- [38] Okihito Sawada. On analyticity rate estimates of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel-potential spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 312(1):1–13, 2005.
- [39] María E. Schonbek. Decay of solutions to parabolic conservation laws. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 5(7):449–473, 1980.
- [40] María E. Schonbek.  $L^2$  decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 88(3):209–222, 1985.
- [41] María E. Schonbek. Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 11(7):733–763, 1986.
- [42] María E. Schonbek and Geoffrey K. Vallis. Energy decay of solutions to the Boussinesq, primitive, and planetary geostrophic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 234(2):457–481, 1999.
- [43] María E. Schonbek and Michael Wiegner. On the decay of higher-order norms of the solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 126(3):677–685, 1996.
- [44] Michael Wiegner. Decay results for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 35(2):303–313, 1987.

(M. Ikeda) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, 223-8522, JAPAN/CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT, RIKEN, JAPAN

*Email address:* masahiro.ikeda@keio.jp/masahiro.ikeda@riken.jp

(L. Kosloff) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, RUA SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, 13083-859, CAMPINAS - SP, BRAZIL

*Email address:* kosloff@ime.unicamp.br

(C.J. Niche) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA. UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CEP 21941-909, RIO DE JANEIRO - RJ, BRAZIL

*Email address:* cniche@im.ufrj.br

(G. Planas) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS, RUA SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, 13083-859, CAMPINAS - SP, BRAZIL

*Email address:* gplanas@unicamp.br