

SHARP FUNCTION AND WEIGHTED L^p ESTIMATES FOR PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH SYMBOLS IN GENERAL HÖRMANDER CLASSES

GUANGQING WANG

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove pointwise inequalities and to establish the boundedness on weighted L^p spaces for pseudo-differential operators T_a defined by the symbol $a \in S_{\varrho,\delta}^m$ with $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Firstly, we prove that if $m \leq -n(1 - \varrho)/2$, then

$$(T_a u)^\sharp(x) \lesssim M(|u|^2)^{1/2}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all Schwartz function u . Secondly, it is shown that if $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and $m \leq -\frac{n}{r}(1 - \varrho)$, then for any ω belongs to the class of Muckenhoupt weights $A_{p/r}$ with $r < p < \infty$, these operators are bounded on L_ω^p . Moreover, these results are sharp on the bound of m .

MSC (2010). Primary 42B20, Secondary 42B37.

Keywords: Pseudo-differential, Sharp function

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

As we all know, the theory of pseudo-differential operators initiated by Kohn and Nirenberg [9] and Hörmander [5] have played an important role in the analysis of linear partial differential equations (PDEs). These operators can be written in the form

$$T_a u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad (1.1)$$

where the symbol a is a amplitude function. The most widely used class of amplitudes are those, introduced by Hörmander in [6], named $S_{\varrho,\delta}^m$ class that consists of $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \varrho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|},$$

for $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varrho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and any multi-indices α, β . In order to state the backgrounds of relevant research and our results we begin by introducing some notations used in this paper. For a locally integrable function u , the sharp function u^\sharp and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $Mu(x)$ are defined by the formula

$$u^\sharp(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \inf_c \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |u(y) - c| dy \quad \text{and} \quad Mu(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |u(y)| dy$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x and its sides parallel to the coordinate axes, and c takes values all complex number. And for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, the generalized Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined by the function $M(|u|^p)^{1/p}(x)$. See [4] for more details.

One of the most important topics about pseudo-differential operators is whether they are bounded on weighted Lebesgue space, which follows partly from the direct pointwise estimates for these operators. So, there are many researchers pay close attention to these estimates, such as Miller [12], Chanillo [3], Yabuta [18], Miyachi [13] and so on. For more researches, we refer to [1, 8, 11, 16, 17]. Here we would like to mention some results that are closely related to the problem we focus on. For the symbol $a(x, \xi) \in S_{1, \delta}^0$ with $0 \leq \delta < 1$, Journé [8] proved that for each $1 < p < \infty$

$$(T_a u)^\sharp(x) \lesssim M_p u(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which would be reduced to Miller's result [12] when $\delta = 0$. And for the symbol $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-n(1-\varrho)/2}$ with $0 \leq \delta < \varrho < 1$, Chanillo and Torchinsky [3] showed

$$(T_a u)^\sharp(x) \lesssim M_2 u(x),$$

which was extended to the case $0 < \delta = \varrho < 1$ by Miyachi and Yabuta [13]. As for the case $0 < \varrho < \delta < 1$, Álvarez and Hounie [1] got a similar result but put a stronger condition on the parameter m , that is,

$$m \leq -n(1 - \varrho) - \mu,$$

where

$$2\mu = 1 + n(\varrho + \max\{0, \frac{\delta - \varrho}{2}\}) - \sqrt{(1 + n(\varrho + \max\{0, \frac{\delta - \varrho}{2}\}))^2 - 4n \max\{0, \frac{\delta - \varrho}{2}\}},$$

which was relaxed to

$$m \leq \frac{n(2\varrho - \delta - 1)}{2}$$

by Kim and Shin [10] in 1991.

In this paper, the bound on m is extended to $m \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 - \varrho)$ in general case.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)}$. Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that*

$$(T_a u)^\sharp \leq C M_2 u(x). \quad (1.2)$$

Let $\omega \in L_{loc}^1$ be a nonnegative function. One says that ω belongs to the class of Muckenhoupt A_p weights for $1 < p < \infty$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C. \quad (1.3)$$

One says that $\omega \in A_1$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$M\omega(x) \leq C\omega(x) \quad (1.4)$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The smallest constant appearing in (1.3) or (1.4) is called the A_p constant of ω which is denoted by $[\omega]_p$. The usual notation that

$$\|u\|_{L_\omega^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

will be adopted in this paper. In 1991, Chen [2] got the weighted boundedness of pseudo-differential operators in the case $0 \leq \delta < \varrho < 1$, which is extended to the case $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ in this paper.

Theorem 1.2. Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{r}(1-\varrho)}$. Suppose $\omega \in A_{p/r}$ with $r < p < \infty$. Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that

$$\|T_a u\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^p}$$

Remark 1.1. For $0 < \delta < \varrho \leq 1$ Chanillo and Torchinsky [3] raise a question: where 2 in (1.2) is the smallest index that may be used in the right hand side of the inequality. Chen [2] gave a positive answer by the following result, which implies both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is sharp as well.

Theorem 1.3 (Chen [2]). Let $0 \leq \varrho < 1$, $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and $1 < p_0 < \infty$. If $\forall a(\xi) \in S_{\varrho, 0}^{-\frac{n}{r}(1-\varrho)}$ and $\forall \omega \in A_q$,

$$\|T_a u\|_{L_\omega^{p_0}} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^{p_0}}.$$

Then

$$q \leq \frac{p_0}{r}$$

In the next section we will give some estimates for the kernel of pseudo-differential operator, and based on these estimates, the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be shown. In section 3, the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be shown.

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First we introduce the standard Littlewood-Paley partition of unity. Let $C > 1$ be a constant. Set $E_{-1} = \{\xi : |\xi| \leq 2C\}$, $E_j = \{\xi : C^{-1}2^j \geq |\xi| \leq C2^{j+1}\}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Lemma 2.1. There exist $\psi_{-1}(\xi), \psi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty$, such that

- (1) $\text{supp } \psi \subset E_0$, $\text{supp } \psi_{-1} \subset E_{-1}$;
- (2) $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $0 \leq \psi_{-1} \leq 1$;
- (3) $\psi_{-1}(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$.

By Lemma 2.1, the operator T_a can be decomposed as

$$T_a u(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_j u(x) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$T_j u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_j(x, x-y) u(y) dy$$

with

$$K_j(x, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle w, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi.$$

Now we will make some necessary estimates for the operators T_j and its kernel $K_j(x, y)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $Q(x_0, l)$ be a fixed cube with side length $l < 1$. Suppose $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)}$. Then for any positive integer j satisfying $2^j l < 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| |K_j(x, x-y) - K_j(z, z-y)| dy \leq C 2^j l M_2 u(x_0), \quad \forall x, z \in Q(x_0, l)$$

where C is a constant independent of a and φ .

Proof. The idea behind the proof is standard which could be found in [3]. For convenience, we list the detail here. First, integrand on the left above can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} \psi(2^\nu l \xi) (a(x, \xi) - a(z, \xi)) d\xi \right| dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} \psi(2^\nu l \xi) a(z, \xi) (e^{i\langle x-z, \xi \rangle} - 1) d\xi \right| dy =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We consider I_1 firstly. Break up this integrand as follows

$$\int_{|y-x_0| \leq 2^{-j\varrho+1}} + \int_{|y-x_0| > 2^{-j\varrho+1}} =: I_{11} + I_{12}$$

For the first part I_{11} , Hölder's inequality and Parseval's identity show that it is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & |x - z| \left(\int_{|y-x_0| \leq 2^{-j\varrho+1}} |u(y)| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{|y-x_0| \leq 2^{-j\varrho+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} (\nabla_x a)(\tilde{x}, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim l 2^{-\frac{jn\varrho}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\nabla_x a)(\tilde{x}, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2 u(x_0) \\ & \lesssim 2^{j\delta} l M_2 u(x_0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

To estimate I_{12} , we use Hölder's inequality, integrating by parts, Parseval's identity and the fact $|y - x_0| \sim |y - x|$ that follows from $2^j l < 1$, $x \in Q(x_0, l)$ and $|y - x_0| > 2^{-j\varrho+1}$. They gives that I_{12} is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & |x - z| \left(\int_{|y-x_0| > 2^{-j\varrho+1}} \frac{|u(y)|}{|y - x_0|^{2N}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{|y-x_0| > 2^{-j\varrho+1}} |y - x_0|^{2N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} (\nabla_x a)(\tilde{x}, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim l 2^{-j\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_\xi^\alpha (\nabla_x a)(\tilde{x}, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2 u(x_0) \\ & \lesssim 2^{j\delta} l M_2 u(x_0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

So, (2.3) and (2.4) imply that

$$I_1 \lesssim 2^{j\delta} l M_2 u(x_0).$$

With the same argument as above, we can get

$$I_2 \lesssim 2^j l M_2 u(x_0).$$

Thus the desired estimate can be got immediately. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let $Q(x_0, l)$ be a fixed cube with side length $l < 1$. Suppose $0 < \varrho < \delta < 1$, $a \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)}$, then for any $1 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\varrho}$ and any positive integer $N > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) \left(l^\lambda 2^{j\delta} + 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)} l^{-\frac{\lambda n}{2}(1-\varrho)} + l^{\lambda \varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N)} 2^{j\varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N)} \right).$$

Proof. If $1 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\varrho}$. Then $l^\lambda < l$ since $l < 1$. Take integer L such that it is the first number no less than $l^{1-\lambda}$, that is $L-1 < l^{1-\lambda} \leq L$. Then there are L^n cubes with the same side length l^λ covering $Q(x_0, l)$. Moreover, we have

$$Q(x_0, l) \subset \cup_{i=1}^{L^n} Q(x_i, l^\lambda) \subset Q(x_0, 2l)$$

Clearly, the number of these cubes L^n less than $2^n l^{n(1-\lambda)}$

Denote

$$T_{j,i} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x_i, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{i=1}^{L^n} \left(\int_{Q(x_i, l^\lambda)} |T_j u(x) - T_{j,i} u(x)| dx + \int_{Q(x_i, l^\lambda)} |T_{j,i} u(x)| dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We claim that

$$|T_j u(x) - T_{j,i} u(x)| \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) |x - x_i| 2^{j\delta} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_{j,i} u(x)| dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) \left(2^{jm} l^{\frac{\lambda n}{2} + \frac{\varrho \lambda n}{2}} + l^{n\lambda} l^{\lambda \varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N)} 2^{j(m-\varrho N + \frac{n}{2})} \right) \quad (2.8)$$

Recall that $L^n \leq 2^n l^{n(1-\lambda)}$. Substituting both of them into (2.6), we can get the desired estimate.

First, we prove the estimate (2.7). Note that $|T_j u(x) - T_{j,i} u(x)|$ can be bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} (a(x, \xi) - a(x_i, \xi)) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right| dy$$

Then, (2.7) follows from the same argument as (2.2).

Now, we prove (2.8). For fixed x_i , we can see that $a(x_i, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) \in S_{\varrho, 0}^0$ with the bounds $\lesssim 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)}$. So

$$\|T_{j,i} u\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)} \|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Set

$$u_{i,1}(x) = u(x) \chi_{Q(x_i, 2l^\lambda)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{i,2}(x) = u(x) - u_{i,1}(x), \quad (2.9)$$

where $\chi_{Q(x_i, 2l^\lambda)}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the ball $Q(x_i, 2l^\lambda)$. Then the left hand of (2.8) can be bounded by

$$\int_{Q(x_i, l^\lambda)} |T_{j,i} u_{i,1}(x)| dx + \int_{Q(x_i, l^\lambda)} |T_{j,i} u_{i,2}(x)| dx =: M_1 + M_2$$

Recall that $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\varrho}$ and $Q(x_i, l^\lambda) \subset Q(x_0, 2l)$. We can get $x_0 \in Q(x_i, 2l^{\lambda\varrho})$. Hölder's inequality and L^2 -boundedness of $T_{j,i}$ imply that M_1 is bounded by

$$l^{\frac{\lambda n}{2}} \|T_{j,i} u_{i,1}\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)} l^{\frac{\lambda n}{2}} \|u_{i,1}\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)} l^{\frac{\lambda n}{2} + \frac{\varrho \lambda n}{2}} M_2 u(x_0). \quad (2.10)$$

For M_2 , noticing that any $x \in Q(x_i, l^\lambda)$ and any $y \in Q^C(x_i, 2l^{\lambda\varrho})$, we have

$$|y - x| \geq \frac{|y - x_i|}{2}.$$

Moreover $|y - x_0| \sim |y - x_i|$ follows from $x_0 \in Q(x_i, 2l^{\lambda\varrho})$ and $y \in Q^C(x_i, 2l^{\lambda\varrho})$. Hölder's inequality, Integrating by parts and Parseval's identity give that $|T_{j,i} u_{i,2}(x)|$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|y-x_i| > l^{\lambda\varrho}} \frac{|u(y)|}{|y-x_i|^{2N}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{|y-x_i| > l^{\lambda\varrho}} |y-x_i|^{2N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} a(x_i, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_{|y-x_0| > l^{\lambda\varrho}} \frac{|u(y)|}{|y-x_0|^{2N}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_\xi^\alpha a(x_i, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim l^{\lambda\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} 2^{j\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} M_2 u(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$M_2 = \int_{Q(x_i, l^\lambda)} |T_{j,i} u_{i,2}(x)| dx \lesssim l^{n\lambda} l^{\lambda\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} 2^{j\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} M_2 u(x_0) \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, the desired estimate (2.8) follows from (2.10) and (2.11).

If $\lambda = 1$, we define

$$T_{j,0} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x_0, \xi) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.12)$$

Then the desired estimate can be got by the same argument as above with $T_{j,i} u$ replaced by $T_{j,0} u$.

So we complete the proof. \square

We remark that a similar result holds for the case $\varrho = 0$. The only change in the argument that are needed are as follows: The definition of the function $u_{i,1}(x)$ given by (2.9) must be modified to read

$$u_{i,1}(x) = u(x) \chi_{Q(x_i, 2l^{\frac{n\lambda}{2N}} 2^j \frac{n}{2N})}(x),$$

where $1 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{1-\delta}$ and positive integer $N > \frac{n}{1-\delta}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $Q(x_0, l)$ be a fixed cube with side length $l < 1$. Suppose $\varrho = 0$, $0 < \delta < 1$, $a \in S_{0,\delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}}$, then for any $1 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{1-\delta}$ and any positive integer $N > \frac{n}{1-\delta}$*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) (l^\lambda 2^{j\delta} + 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N})} l^{-\frac{\lambda n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N})})$$

Next we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. Here we only consider the case $\varrho < \delta < 1$ since the other case has been considered in [3, 8, 12, 13]. Before illustrating the proof, we recall a fundamental L^2 -estimate for the pseudo-differential operators due to Hounie [1].

Theorem 2.5. (Hounie [1]) Assume $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $a \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{\min(0, \frac{n}{2}(\varrho - \delta))}$. Then the operator T_a is bounded on L^2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, we assume that the symbol $a(x, \xi)$ vanishes for $|\xi| \leq 1$. Let $Q = Q(x_0, l)$ denote the cube centered at x_0 with the side length l . For any fixed cube Q , we are going to prove that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_a u(x) - C_Q| dx \leq C M_2 u(x_0), \quad (2.13)$$

where $C_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q T_a u(y) dy$. As usual the proof will be divided into two cases.

Case 1. $l < 1$. Note that the left hand of (2.13) can be controlled by

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^2} \int_Q \int_Q |T_a u(x) - T_a u(y)| dy dx. \quad (2.14)$$

We compose the operator T_a as (2.1), then estimate (2.14) by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q|^2} \int_Q \int_Q |T_j u(x) - T_j u(z)| dz dx. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $l < 1$, there is a positive integer j_0 such that $2^{j_0} \sim l^{-1}$. Lemma (2.2) implies that

$$|T_j u(x) - T_j u(z)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(y)| |K_j(x, x-y) - K_j(z, z-y)| dy \leq C 2^j |x-z| M_2 u(x_0)$$

So we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \frac{1}{|Q|^2} \int_Q \int_Q |T_j u(x) - T_j u(z)| dz dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) l \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} 2^j \lesssim M_2 u(x_0). \quad (2.16)$$

Clearly, it remains to show

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q|^2} \int_Q \int_Q |T_j u(x) - T_j u(z)| dz dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0). \quad (2.17)$$

For $0 < \varrho < \delta < 1$, we claim that there is a positive integer j_γ with $2^{j_\gamma} \sim l^{-\frac{1}{\delta\gamma}} > l^{-\frac{1}{\varrho}}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=j_1}^{j_\gamma} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx \leq \tilde{C}_\gamma M_2 u(x_0), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\gamma \geq 1$ is positive integer and \tilde{C}_γ is a constant independent of l . Actually, for any positive integer k satisfy $\frac{1}{\delta^k} \leq \frac{1}{\varrho}$, there are positive integer j_k, j_{k+1} satisfy $2^{j_k} \sim l^{-\frac{1}{\delta^k}}, 2^{j_{k+1}} \sim l^{-\frac{1}{\delta^{k+1}}}$, respectively. Take $\lambda = \frac{1}{\delta^k}$ in Lemma 2.3. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=j_k}^{j_{k+1}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx &\leq C_k M_2 u(x_0) \sum_{j=j_k}^{j_{k+1}} \left(l^{\frac{1}{\delta^k}} 2^{j\delta} + 2^{jm} l^{-\frac{n}{2\delta^k}(1-\varrho)} + l^{\frac{\varrho}{\delta^k}(\frac{n}{2}-N)} 2^{j\varrho(\frac{n}{2}-N)} \right) \\ &\leq C_k M_2 u(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Notice that there is a positive integer γ such that $\frac{1}{\delta^{\gamma-1}} \leq \frac{1}{\varrho} < \frac{1}{\delta^\gamma}$ since $0 < \varrho < \delta < 1$. Furthermore, there are positive integer $j_\gamma, j_{\gamma-1}$ with $2^{j_{\gamma-1}} \sim l^{-\frac{1}{\delta^{\gamma-1}}} \leq l^{-\frac{1}{\varrho}} < l^{-\frac{1}{\delta^\gamma}} \sim 2^{j_\gamma}$. So we can write

$$\sum_{j=j_1}^{j_\gamma} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx = \left(\sum_{j=j_1}^{j_2} + \sum_{j=j_2}^{j_3} + \dots \sum_{j=j_k}^{j_{k+1}} + \dots \sum_{j=j_{\gamma-1}}^{j_\gamma} \right) \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx.$$

Combine this and (2.19), we can get (2.18) immediately.

For $0 = \varrho < \delta < 1$, (2.18) is valid for some positive integer j_γ with $2^{j_\gamma} \sim l^{-\frac{1}{\delta^\gamma}} > l^{-\frac{2}{1-\delta}}$. The only change in the argument is that we need Lemma 2.4, instead of Lemma 2.3.

Then, (2.17) and (2.18) implies that it remains to show

$$\sum_{j=j_\gamma}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_j u(x)| dx \leq C M_2 u(x_0) \quad (2.20)$$

In order to get this estimate, fixed N_0 such that $\frac{n}{2} < N_0 < \frac{n}{2}(1 + \frac{1-\delta}{\varrho})$ (if $\varrho = 0$, fixed $N_0 > \frac{2}{1-\delta}$) and denote

$$\Gamma = 2^{\frac{j}{N_0} \left(\varrho \left(\frac{n}{2} - N_0 \right) + \frac{n}{2} (1-\delta) \right)} l^{\frac{n}{2N_0}}.$$

Set $u_1(x) = u(x) \chi_{Q(x_0, 2\Gamma)}(x)$ and $u_2(x) = u(x) - u_1(x)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u_1(x)| dx + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q(x_0, l)} |T_j u_2(x)| dx \quad (2.21)$$

Notice that $a(x, \xi) \psi(2^{-j} \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}(\delta-\varrho)}$ with bounds $\lesssim 2^{-j \frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)}$. Hölder's inequality and the L^2 -estimate of T_j give that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_j u_1(x)| dx \lesssim 2^{-j \frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)} l^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-j \frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)} l^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma^{\frac{n}{2}} M_2 u(x_0) \quad (2.22)$$

Notice that $\Gamma > l$. We have $|y - x| \sim |y - x_0|$ for $\forall x \in Q(x_0, l)$ and $\forall y \in Q^C(x_0, 2\Gamma)$. So direct computation show that

$$|T_j u_2(x)| \leq \int_{|y-x_0| \geq 2\Gamma} |K_j(x, x-y)| |u(y)| dy \lesssim \Gamma^{(\frac{n}{2}-N_0)} 2^{j \varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N_0)} M_2 u(x_0) \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=j_\gamma}^{\infty} (2^{-j \frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)} l^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma^{\frac{n}{2}} + \Gamma^{(\frac{n}{2}-N_0)} 2^{j \varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N_0)}) &= 2 l^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N_0})} \sum_{j=j_\gamma}^{\infty} 2^{-j \left(\frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)(1-\frac{n}{2N_0}) + \frac{n\varrho}{2N_0}(N_0-\frac{n}{2}) \right)} \\ &\lesssim l^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N_0})} 2^{-j_\gamma \left(\frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)(1-\frac{n}{2N_0}) + \frac{n\varrho}{2N_0}(N_0-\frac{n}{2}) \right)} \\ &\leq l^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N_0})} l^{\frac{1}{\varrho} \left(\frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)(1-\frac{n}{2N_0}) + \frac{n\varrho}{2N_0}(N_0-\frac{n}{2}) \right)} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Form (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23), it follows that the left hand of (2.20) is bounded by

$$M_2 u(x_0) \sum_{j=j_\gamma}^{\infty} (2^{-j \frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)} l^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma^{\frac{n}{2}} + \Gamma^{(\frac{n}{2}-N_0)} 2^{j \varrho (\frac{n}{2}-N_0)})$$

$$\lesssim M_2 u(x_0) l^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{n}{2N_0})} 2^{-j\gamma \left(\frac{n}{2}(1-\delta)(1-\frac{n}{2N_0}) + \frac{n\varrho}{2N_0}(N_0 - \frac{n}{2}) \right)} \leq M_2 u(x_0). \quad (2.24)$$

The last inequality holds because of $2^{j\gamma} > l^{-\frac{1}{\varrho}}$ and $N_0 > \frac{n}{2}$. Thus, the proof in this case is finished.

Case 2. $l \geq 1$. Set $u_3(x) = u(x)\chi_{Q(x_0, 2l)}(x)$ and $u_4(x) = u(x) - u_3(x)$. Then

$$T_a u(x) = T_a u_3(x) + T_a u_4(x).$$

We estimate $T_a u_3$ firstly. Note that $S_{\varrho, \delta}^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\varrho)} \subset S_{\varrho, \delta}^{\min(0, \frac{n}{2}(\varrho-\delta))}$ for any $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Schwartz's inequality and Lemma 2.5 yield

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_a u_3(x)| dx \leq |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|T_a u_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim M_2 u(x_0) \quad (2.25)$$

As to $T_a u_4$, direct computation show

$$|T_a u_4(x)| \lesssim M_2 u(x_0),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_a u_4(x)| dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0). \quad (2.26)$$

From (2.25) and (2.26), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_a u(x)| dx \lesssim M_2 u(x_0).$$

Combining all these estimates, we could get the desired conclusion. □

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, Theorem 1.2 will be proved and the method is inspired by Chen [2]. The outline of this proof is that the case $r = 2$ and $r = 1$ is proved firstly and then the case $1 \leq r \leq 2$ can be got by some interpolations. Notice that the case $r = 2$ is a direct conclusion of Theorem 1.1, that is,

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $m = -\frac{n}{2}(1 - \varrho)$. Suppose $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^m$, $\forall \omega \in A_{p/2}$ with $p > 2$. Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that*

$$\|T_a u\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Next the case $r = 1$ is considered.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $m = -n(1 - \varrho)$. Suppose $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^m$, $\omega \in A_p$ with $1 < p < \infty$. Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that*

$$\|T_a u\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Proof. To do this, take advantage of decomposition (2.1) and write T_j as the following form

$$T_j u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle 2^{j\varrho} x - y, \xi \rangle} a(x, 2^{j\varrho} \xi) \psi(2^{-j(1-\varrho)} \xi) d\xi u(2^{-j\varrho} y) dy.$$

Denote $a_j(x, \xi) = a(2^{-j\varrho}x, 2^{j\varrho}\xi)\psi(2^{-j(1-\varrho)}\xi)$, $\tau_j u(x) = u(2^{j\varrho}x)$ and

$$\tilde{T}_j u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{K}_j(x, x-y)u(y)dy$$

with

$$\tilde{K}_j(x, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle w, \xi \rangle} a_j(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Then

$$T_j u(x) = \tau_j \tilde{T}_j \tau_{-j} u(x).$$

Notice that $\text{supp}_\xi a_j(x, \xi) \subset \{\xi : C^{-1}2^{j(1-\varrho)} \leq |\xi| \leq C2^{j(1-\varrho)}\}$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_j(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} 2^{-jn(1-\varrho) + |\alpha|(\delta-\varrho)} \quad (3.1)$$

for any multi-indices α, β , which implies two facts. One is that

$$\|\tilde{T}_j u\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-jn(1-\varrho - \frac{\max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2})} \|u\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

The other is that for any $\omega \in A_2$

$$\|\tilde{T}_j u\|_{L_\omega^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_\omega^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

In fact, (3.2) is a direct conclusion of L^2 boundedness of pseudo-differential since that $a_j(x, \xi) \in S_{0, \frac{\delta-\varrho}{1-\varrho}, -\frac{n \max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2(1-\varrho)}}$ with bounds $\lesssim 2^{-jn(1-\varrho - \frac{\max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2})}$ follows from (3.1). For (3.3), integrating by parts and (3.1) give that

$$|\tilde{K}_j(x, x-y)| \leq C(1 + |x-y|^2)^{-2N}$$

for some positive integer N large enough. So, (3.3) follows immediately from the following pointwise estimate

$$|\tilde{T}_j u(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x-y|^2)^{-2N} |u(y)| dy \lesssim Mu(x).$$

If $\omega \in A_2$, then that $\omega^{1+\epsilon} \in A_2$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ follows from the reverse Hölder inequality. Thus, (3.3) gives that

$$\|\tilde{T}_j u\|_{L_{\omega^{1+\epsilon}}^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L_{\omega^{1+\epsilon}}^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $\omega = (\omega^{1+\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \times 1^{1-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}$. For (3.4) and (3.2), the interpolation theorem with change of measures (Stein-Weiss [15]) implies that

$$\|\tilde{T}_j u\|_{L_\omega^2} \leq C 2^{-jn(1-\varrho - \frac{\max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2})} (\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}) \|u\|_{L_\omega^2}.$$

Moreover, the fact that if $\omega \in A_p$, then both $\tau_j \omega$ and $\tau_{-j} \omega$ belong to A_p and the A_p constant $[\omega]_p$ of them is the same as that of ω , gives

$$\|T_j u\|_{L_\omega^2} = \|\tau_j \tilde{T}_j \tau_{-j} u\|_{L_\omega^2} \leq C 2^{-jn(1-\varrho - \frac{\max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2})} (\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}) \|u\|_{L_\omega^2}.$$

Therefore, the following estimate is valid from that $1 - \varrho - \frac{\max\{\delta-\varrho, 0\}}{2} > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\|T_a u\|_{L_\omega^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^2}, \quad \forall \omega \in A_2.$$

By extrapolation theorem of Rubio de Francia [14], we can get the desired estimate immediately. □

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2, the following L^p estimate of pseudo-differential operators is necessary.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^m$ with*

$$m \leq -n(1 - \varrho) \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right| - n \frac{\max\{\delta - \varrho, 0\}}{\max\{p, 2\}}.$$

Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that

$$\|T_a u\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof. For $1 < p \leq 2$, it has been proved by Álvarez [1] and Hounie [7]. For $2 < p < \infty$, it can be proved by interpolation theorem due to Fefferman and Stein [4] between Lemma 2.5 and the following corollary of Theorem 1.1. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $m = -\frac{n}{2}(1 - \varrho)$. Suppose $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^m$. Then there is a constant C independent of a and u , such that*

$$\|T_a u\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}.$$

This corollary follows from the fact that $u^\sharp \in L^\infty$ if and only if $u \in \text{BMO}$, where BMO denotes the spaces of functions of bounded mean oscillation.

Remark 3.1. For $0 \leq \varrho \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$ the (L^∞, BMO) estimate in Corollary 3.4 is sharp. In fact, if we consider the symbol $a(\xi) = \phi(\xi) |\xi|^m e^{i|\xi|^{1-\varrho}} \in S_{\varrho, \delta}^m$, where ϕ is a smooth function which vanishes in a neighborhood of origin and equals 1 outside a compact set, then the operator associated to this symbol does not map L^∞ to BMO if $m > -\frac{n}{2}(1 - \varrho)$ (see Miyachi [13])

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $r < p < \infty$, denote $p_0 = \frac{2p}{r}$ and $p_1 = \frac{p}{r}$, then $p_1 > 1$, $p_0 > 2$ and $p_1 \leq p \leq p_0$. By Proposition 3.1, $\forall \omega \in A_{p/r} = A_{p_0/2}$, we have

$$\|T_j u\|_{L_\omega^{p_0}} \leq C 2^{-jn(1-\varrho)(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})} \|u\|_{L_\omega^{p_0}}.$$

By Proposition 3.2, $\forall \omega \in A_{p/r} = A_{p_1}$, we have

$$\|T_j u\|_{L_\omega^{p_1}} \leq C 2^{-jn(1-\varrho)(\frac{1}{r}-1)} \|u\|_{L_\omega^{p_1}}.$$

The Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem gives

$$\|T_j u\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C \|u\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Denote $\nu = n(1 - \varrho) \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right| + n \frac{\max\{\delta - \varrho, 0\}}{\max\{p, 2\}}$. Then Theorem 3.3 implies that

$$\|T_j u\|_{L^p} \leq C 2^{-j(\frac{n(1-\varrho)}{r}-\nu)} \|u\|_{L^p}.$$

By the reverse Hölder inequality and the interpolation theorem with change of measures again, we get

$$\|T_j u\|_{L_\omega^p} \leq C 2^{-j(\frac{n(1-\varrho)}{r}-\nu)(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon})} \|u\|_{L_\omega^p}.$$

Note that $\frac{n(1-\varrho)}{r} - \nu > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. The desired estimate can be got immediately. \square

Acknowledgements The author would like to express gratitude to Prof. Wenyi Chen and Prof. Xiangrong Zhu for valuable suggestions concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Álvarez J, Hounie J. Estimates for the kernel and continuity properties of pseudo-differential operators. Arkiv för matematik, 1990, 28(1): 1-22.
- [2] Chen W.Y. Weighted modulus estimates for pseudodifferential operators and the conjecture of Chanillo-Torchinsky. Chinese Annals of Mathematics. Series A. 1991, 12(B06): 6-11.
- [3] Chanillo S, Torchinsky A. Sharp function and weighted L^p estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators. Arkiv för matematik, 1986, 24(1): 1-25.
- [4] Fefferman C, Stein E M. H^p spaces of several variables. Acta mathematica, 1972, 129(1): 137-193.
- [5] Hörmander L. Pseudo-differential operators. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1965, 18(3): 501-517.
- [6] Hörmander L. Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations, Singular integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. X, Chicago, Ill., 1966), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967, 138-183.
- [7] Hounie J. On the L^2 -continuity of pseudo-differential operators. Communications in partial differential equations, 1986, 11(7): 765-778.
- [8] Journé J L. Calderón-Zygmund operators, pseudo-differential operators and the Cauchy integral of Calderón. Springer, 2006.
- [9] Kohn J J, Nirenberg L. An algebra of pseudo-differential operators. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1965, 18(1-2): 269-305.
- [10] Kim H S, Shin S S. sharp function estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators. East Asian mathematical journal, 1992, 7(2): 119-128.
- [11] Nishigaki S. Weighted norm inequalities for certain pseudo-differential operators. Tokyo Journal of Mathematics, 1984, 7(1): 129-140.
- [12] Miller N. Weighted Sobolev spaces and pseudodifferential operators with smooth symbols. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1982, 269(1): 91-109.
- [13] Miyachi A. On some singular Fourier multipliers. Journal of the Faculty of Science, the University of Tokyo. Sect. IA, Mathematics, 1981, 28(2): 267-315.
- [14] Rubio de Francia J L. Factorization and extrapolation of weights. Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematical Society, 1982, 7(2): 393-395.
- [15] Stein E M, Weiss G. Interpolation of operators with change of measures. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1958, 87(1): 159-172.
- [16] Tang L. Weighted norm inequalities for pseudo-differential operators with smooth symbols and their commutators. Journal of Functional Analysis, 2012, 262(4): 1603-1629.
- [17] Wang R, Li C. On the L^p -boundedness of several classes of Pseudo-Differential operators. Chinese annals of mathematic, series B, 1984, 5(2): 193-214.
- [18] Yabuta K. Weighted norm inequalities for pseudodifferential operators. Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 1986, 23(3): 703-723.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FUYANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUYANG, ANHUI 236041, P.R.CHINA

Email address: wanggqmath@whu.edu.cn (G.Wang)