On a Scalable Path for Multimode MIMO-DSP

Fabio A. Barbosa⁽¹⁾, Stefan Rothe^(1,2), Dennis Pohle⁽²⁾, Jürgen W. Czarske⁽²⁾, Filipe M. Ferreira⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Optical Networks, Dept Electronic & Electrical Eng, University College London, UK, <u>f.ferreira@ucl.ac.uk</u> ⁽²⁾ Laboratory of Measurement and Sensor System Technique, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Abstract

A novel MIMO-DSP for space-division multiplexing over multimode fibres is proposed. A principal modes approach is shown to provide two-fold benefits: over 13 times channel memory reduction while minimising the number of optical front-ends needed to detect a subset of the spatial domain. ©2022 The Authors

Introduction

Space-division multiplexing (SDM) has emerged as a solution to overcome the capacity limit of single-mode fibres (SMFs)^[1]. Amongst SDM approaches, multi-mode fibres (MMFs) offer the highest spatial information density and thus potential integration gains in transceivers, optical amplifiers, WSSs, and both fibre- and chip-to-fibre interface.

One of the main challenges with multimode SDM is that conventional MIMO equalization requires all guided modes to be detected for successful equalisation^[2] – binding the number of fibre modes with the number of coherent front-ends required at transceivers. This prevents the installation of many-mode (\gg 1) fibres since it would not be possible to deploy transceivers with as many optical front-ends from day one. And given that new fibre deployments need a major motivation, this tie needs to be breakdown. Motivation such as that offered by MMFs and multiplexers approaching 1000 spatial and polarisation modes^{[3],[4]}.

In our project^[5], we aim to develop a new family of scalable transceivers to exploit a growing number of modes over the same fibre infrastructure over several transceiver generations. We are investigating programmable solutions for an optical mapping of spatially independent signals to a desired combination of modes. This process can be performed using a spatial light modulator (SLM) and multi-plane light conversion (MPLC)^[6]. We explore different approaches for designing mode multiplexers including both the established wavefront matching approach and an intelligent camerabased solution with actor neural networks^[7].

Here, we present a novel MIMO-DSP strategy capable of transmitting T spatial and pol. tributaries over a M-mode fibre, with M several times larger than T – a principal modes (PMs) inspired approach is demonstrated with the benefit of reducing the length of the channel impulse response.

Principal Modes

The MMF channel in Fig. 1 can be described by a frequency-dependent $M \times M$ matrix $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$, where M is the number of spatial and pol. modes. $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$ can account for effects of transceivers and other components of the transmission link. Using $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$ a group delay operator can be defined as^[8]


$$\mathbf{G}(\omega) = j \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\omega).$$
 (1)

The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\mathbf{G}(\omega)$ correspond to the *input* PMs and their group delays, respectively. The *output* PMs can be determined from $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$ and the input PMs. Since by definition PMs are frequency independent to 1st order, over a certain frequency interval, i.e. a coherence bandwidth, we can assume that^[9]

$$\mathbf{H}(\omega) = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}(\omega) \mathbf{U}^{H}, \qquad (2)$$

where **U** and **V** are the input and output PMs, respectively, $(\cdot)^H$ is the Hermitian and $\Lambda(\omega)$ is a diagonal matrix accounting for the overall group delays and mode gain/loss. The latter is given by the logarithm of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{D}(\omega) = \mathbf{H}(\omega)\mathbf{H}^H(\omega)$.

Here, we work under the assumption that channel information can be fed back from the receiver to the transmitter, as depicted in Fig. 1, allowing the SDM system to take advantage of the PMs. In such favourable conditions, our objective is to assess the possibility to develop a MIMO-DSP approach capable of reducing the channel memory and suppressing the modal crosstalk at the front of the receiver. An effort focused at reducing to T the number of optical front-ends necessary to transmit/detect T spatial tributaries (with T several times smaller than M-modes). Outside of this work is the problem of tracking of a dynamic channel (with realistic latency) and the respective impact on the performance of a transmission



system as in Fig. 1. In any case, our results show progress in dealing with the limit bandwidth of PMs by sub-band processing tributaries.

Estimation of the PMs

To estimate the MIMO channel $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$ for subsequent calculation of the PMs, we periodically insert training sequences (TSs) into the data transmitted in each tributary. Mutually orthogonal TSs are chosen so that training overhead can be minimised. The *L*-length TS on the *p*-th tributary is given by the inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) of a frequency-domain sequence defined as

$$S_p[k] = \begin{cases} C[k], & k = p + lM\\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where k is the discrete frequency index, l = $[0, 1, \dots, |L/M - 1|]$. And C[k], in this work, is a binary phase shift keying (BPSK) sequence. From (3), it follows that each tributary uses a different set of discrete frequencies to transmit the TSs. The time-domain TSs are then padded on each side by prefixes. At the receiver, a least-square (LS) frequency domain channel estimation is performed. The channel between the *i*-th transmitter and the *i*-th receiver, i.e. $H_{i,i}[k]$, is calculated as $\hat{H}_{i,j}[k] = R_i[k]/S_j[k], \ k = j + lN$, where $R_i[k]$ is the TS received at the *i*-th receiver. An additional interpolation and extrapolation step is required to estimate the channel over all frequency positions. Similar strategies can be exploited for OFDM signals. Averaging over multiple TSs, we reduce the impact of additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) on the estimates of $\mathbf{H}(\omega)$. Then, we estimate the input and output PMs through (1) and (2).

The estimation of the PMs can be severely affected by artefacts on the channel estimation. In this work, we also modify the output PMs by zeroforcing the residual channel, i.e. the end-to-end channel obtained after using the PMs for the transmission. This new set of PMs (including both input and output PMs) is indicated hereafter by PMs*. In addition, we also consider slicing the signal bandwidth in frequency blocks and estimate a different set of PMs for the central frequency of each block.

Results and Discussion

We performed transmission simulations over a 50km MMF (with 12 spatial and polarisation modes) using the parameters in Table (1) – using the semianalytical channel model in^[10]. The integrated modal crosstalk was assumed to be -20 dB/km.

Input and output PMs (or PMs^{*}), \mathbf{U}_e and \mathbf{V}_e , respectively, are estimated at the receiver. At the transmitter, tributaries are optically multiplexed to the MMF using \mathbf{U}_e assuming an ideal feedback path. At the receiver, tributaries are optically demultiplexed with \mathbf{V}_e before residual channel estimation with the strategy described above. Note that, \mathbf{U}_e and \mathbf{V}_e are applied in the optical domain assuming an ideal programmable mode multiplexer^[6]. Finally, we performed minimum mean-squared error (MMSE) MIMO equalisation to the received signals. We transmit 16-QAM signals at 33 GBd and use TSs with 4096 symbols.

LP Mode	$\alpha \left[\frac{dB}{km}\right]$	$DMD\left[\frac{ps}{km}\right]$	$CD\left[\frac{ps}{nm \times km} ight]$
01	0.1913	0	22.1761
02	0.1747	-10.5358	21.5473
11a	0.1830	-1.4619	22.1516
11b	0.1830	-1.4619	22.1516
21a	0.1747	10.2386	21.8434
21b	0.1747	10.2686	21.8434

Tab. 1: MMF parameters per LP mode.

Fig. 2 shows the channel intensity impulse response (CIR) at the receiver input for: just MMF h[n] (solid line), with PMs (line with circles), and with PMs* (dashed line). Note that one single set of PMs (or PMs*) is used for the entire signal bandwidth. The results show a significant compression of the CIR when using PMs (or PMs*).

To investigate the transmission of T tributaries over a M-mode fibre with M > T but using only Toptical-front ends, we simulated the transmission of 6 tributaries using the 6 best PMs (lowest group




Fig. 2: CIR of the actual channel (solid line) and of the residual channel when PMs (solid line with circles) and PMs* (dashed line) are used. Response integrated over all paths.

delays) over Tab. 1 MMF. Note that, T and M refer to spatial and pol. modes – thus for dual pol. frontends, only T/2 are required/used. At the receiver, the residual channel is estimated using only the 6 detected tributaries to perform MMSE MIMO equalisation. Performance is evaluated considering the received constellations SNR $(E|X|^2/E|X - Y|^2)$.

Results are shown in Fig. 3 for 6 and 12 tributaries transmission, both cases with MMSE for the residual channel. For comparison, it is also shown the theoretical SNR and the SNR using the Schmidt modes (SMs) for the transmission of 12 tributaries - SMs are obtained through the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the estimated $\mathbf{H}(\omega)^{[11]}$. Performance in both scenarios considered, i.e. 6 and 12 tributaries, is similar, and both PMs and PMs* performance is close to ideal SMs. Note that, the results in Fig. 3 (as in Fig. 2) were obtained with a single set of PMs along the entire signal bandwidth, making full use of PMs bandwidth. Since a single vector mode mapping is necessary, this strategy is implementable in the optical domain, e.g using a SLM and MPLC at both transmission ends. Consequently, to transmit T spatial tributaries one could excite T input PMs and use T (or T/2 dual pol.) receivers to detect their corresponding output PMs. Validating the potential for PMs to be exploited as a platform for flexible scale of multimode SDM transceivers.

Although SMs outperform PMs (and PMs*), they are not readily suitable for optical domain implementation due to their frequency-dependency. And, for a digital domain implementation of the SMs, suppression of modal crosstalk or reduction of channel memory would not be achieved at the receiver front-end.

Finally, we evaluate the case for slicing the signal bandwidth and using different sets of PMs for




Fig. 3: Received constellations SNR as a function of OSNR when SMs (dash-dotted line), PMs (lines with triangles) and PMs* (lines with circles) are used. Solid lines with markers for the 6 PMs case, while the dashed lines for the 12 PMs case.

each block, aiming at further CIR compression which in turn would allow for higher DMD fibres and/or lower equalisation complexity^[12]. Fig. 4 shows an increasing CIR compression vs #blocks, reaching a compression over 13 times. Although challenging, for a limited number of frequency bins, programmable multiplexers might be scaled up^[13].




Fig. 4: CIR compression vs the number of frequency blocks when PMs (solid line) and PMs* (dashed line) are used.

Conclusions

A novel MIMO-DSP inspired on PMs has been demonstrated to reduce channel memory over 13x while using only T spatial and pol. tributaries and T (or T/2 dual pol.) optical-front ends at the receiver for a M-mode fibre (with M several times T). This approach opens the way to a new transceivers capable scaling the number of spatial tributaries in line with ever-growing traffic demand.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship MR/T041218/1 and the German Research Foundation with grant no. (CZ 55/42-2). Underlying data at doi.org/10.5522/04/19739365.

References

- D. J. Richardson, J. M. Fini, and L. E. Nelson, "Spacedivision multiplexing in optical fibres", *Nature Photonics*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 354–362, Apr. 2013. DOI: 10.1038/ nphoton.2013.94.
- [2] P. J. Winzer and G. J. Foschini, "MIMO capacities and outage probabilities in spatially multiplexed optical transport systems", *Opt. Express*, vol. 19, no. 17, pp. 16680– 16696, Aug. 2011. DOI: 10.1364/DE.19.016680. [Online]. Available: http://opg.optica.org/oe/ abstract.cfm?URI=oe-19-17-16680.
- [3] F. M. Ferreira and F. A. Barbosa, "Towards 1000-mode Optical Fibres", in *European Conference on Optical Communication*, 2022. DOI: submitted.
- [4] N. K. Fontaine, H. Chen, M. Mazur, L. Dallachiesa, K. Kim, R. Ryf, D. Neilson, and J. Carpenter, "Hermite-Gaussian mode multiplexer supporting 1035 modes", in *Optical Fiber Communication Conference (OFC) 2021*, Optica Publishing Group, 2021, p. M3D.4. DOI: 10.1364/ OFC.2021.M3D.4. [Online]. Available: http://opg. optica.org/abstract.cfm?URI=0FC-2021-M3D.4.
- Ucl, Beyond Exabit Optical Communications: From new devices, via New Dimensions to new systems, Jun. 2021.
 [Online]. Available: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/iccs/ beyond-exabit.
- [6] N. K. Fontaine, H. Chen, R. Ryf, D. Neilson, J. C. Alvarado, J. van Weerdenburg, R. Amezcua-Correa, C. Okonkwo, and J. Carpenter, "Programmable Vector Mode Multiplexer", in 2017 European Conference on Optical Communication (ECOC), 2017, pp. 1–3. DOI: 10.1109/EC0C.2017.8346099.
- [7] D. Pohle, S. Rothe, F. Barbosa, F. M. Ferreira, N. Koukourakis, and J. W. Czarske, "Intelligent Self Calibration Tool for Adaptive Mode Multiplexers using Multiplane Light Conversion", in 25th Congress of the International Commission for Optics, submitted, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2022.
- [8] D. Askarov and J. M. Kahn, "Long-Period Fiber Gratings for Mode Coupling in Mode-Division-Multiplexing Systems", *J. Lightwave Technol.*, vol. 33, no. 19, pp. 4032– 4038, Oct. 2015. [Online]. Available: http://opg. optica.org/jlt/abstract.cfm?URI=jlt-33-19-4032.
- [9] S. Fan and J. M. Kahn, "Principal modes in multimode waveguides", Opt. Lett., vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 135–137, Jan. 2005. DOI: 10.1364/OL.30.000135. [Online]. Available: http://opg.optica.org/ol/abstract.cfm?URI=ol-30-2-135.
- [10] F. M. Ferreira, C. S. Costa, S. Sygletos, and A. D. Ellis, "Semi-Analytical Modelling of Linear Mode Coupling in Few-Mode Fibers", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 35, no. 18, pp. 4011–4022, 2017. DOI: 10.1109/ JLT.2017.2727441.
- [11] K.-P. Ho and J. M. Kahn, "Linear Propagation Effects in Mode-Division Multiplexing Systems", *Journal of Light-wave Technology*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 614–628, 2014. DOI: 10.1109/JLT.2013.2283797.
- B. Inan, B. Spinnler, F. Ferreira, D. van den Borne, A. Lobato, S. Adhikari, V. A. J. M. Sleiffer, M. Kuschnerov, N. Hanik, and S. L. Jansen, "DSP complexity of mode-division multiplexed receivers", *Opt. Express*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 10859–10869, May 2012. DOI: 10.1364/0E. 20.010859. [Online]. Available: http://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-20-10-10859.

[13] M. Mounaix, N. K. Fontaine, D. T. Neilson, R. Ryf, H. Chen, J. C. Alvarado-Zacarias, and J. Carpenter, "Time reversed optical waves by arbitrary vector spatiotemporal field generation", *Nature Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, Nov. 2020. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-19601-3. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-020-19601-3.