

The Mass-Radius relation for Quark Stars in Energy-Momentum Squared Gravity

Takol Tangphati,^{1,*} Indrani Karar,^{2,†} Ayan Banerjee,^{3,‡} and Anirudh Pradhan^{4,§}

¹Theoretical and Computational Physics Group,

Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS), Faculty of Science,
King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, 126 Prachauthid Rd., Bangkok 10140, Thailand

²Department of Registrar, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

³Astrophysics and Cosmology Research Unit, School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science,
University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa

⁴Centre for Cosmology, Astrophysics and Space Science,
GLA University, Mathura-281 406, Uttar Pradesh, India

(Dated: June 22, 2022)

We study the structure of quark stars (Qs) adopting homogeneously confined matter inside the star with a 3-flavor neutral charge and a fixed strange quark mass m_s . We explore the internal structure, and the physical properties of specific classes of Qs in the recently proposed energy-momentum squared gravity (EMSG). Also, we obtain the mass-radius ($M - R$) and mass-central energy density ($M - \rho_c$) relations for QS using the QCD motivated EoS. The maximum mass for Qs in EMSG is investigated depending on the presence and absence of the free parameter α . Furthermore, the stability of stars is determined by the condition $\frac{dM}{d\rho_c} > 0$. We observe that consideration of the EMSG has specific contributions to the structure of Qs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Of course, Modified gravity theories (MGT) are a new paradigm of modern physics for explaining the major problems in Einstein's theory of General Relativity (GR). Assuming this point of view, MGT became very popular due to its ability to provide an alternative framework instead of searching for new material ingredients. Thus, one of the expected outcomes and impact of MGT is to address the phenomenology of gravity at galactic, extragalactic, and cosmological scales [1, 2].

However, it is not easy to construct gravity theories fulfilling these requirements. Aiming these descriptions, an interesting theory has been recently proposed, dubbed energy-momentum squared gravity (EMSG). According to the EMSG theory the GR has been modified by adding a nonlinear $\mathbf{T}^2 = T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ term to the generic action, where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) [3, 4]. Such a generalization of GR includes extra contributions of the material stress to the right-hand side of the Einstein field equations. So, the matter fields are not conserved in this theory [4]. Such matter-geometry coupling theories are promising in constructing novel cosmological models (see [5–8] for a review). Besides cosmological solutions, astrophysical solutions have also been explored, so they do not conflict with GR

experimental results. For instance, the possibility of an existing wormhole solution was developed recently in [9, 10].

From a genuine mathematical point of view, the strong gravity regime is another way to check the viability of these theories. Regarding this stellar evolution can be considered a suitable test-beds for EMSG. Besides, that compact objects (i.e., neutron stars and white dwarfs) are formed by the gravitational collapse of intermediate-mass stars is an excellent laboratory for studying highly dense matter in extreme conditions. But to date, the composition and properties of compact objects are not well understood theoretically.

On the other hand, the discovery of pulsars with their masses and radii poses considerable constraints on the high-density region of the nuclear matter equation of state (EoS) and, consequently, the interior composition of neutron stars (NSs) [11]. They were commonly regarded as neutron stars (NSs) until Gell-Mann proposed the idea of quark stars (Qs) and Zweig [12, 13]. Nowadays, it is generally believed that NSs was the best candidate in the universe where quark matter could be found. Central density in the inner core of NSs may reach values several times higher than the nuclear saturation density, where a phase transition from nuclear to quark matter occurs [14–16]. This quark matter may be the true ground state of hadronic matter [17, 18]. Based on the 'quark matter' hypothesis the heaviest stars are interpreted as Qs.

Addressing the problem of exotic relativistic stars in modified gravity, we examine the existence of Qs with

* takoltang@gmail.com

† indrani.karar08@gmail.com

‡ ayanbanerjeemath@gmail.com

§ pradhan.anirudh@gmail.com

interacting quark EoS in the framework of EMSG. In the recent past, several works have been devoted to studying compact objects in the framework of EMSG, see Refs. [19–22]. In particular, Singh *et al* [23] have shown the existence of a stable, compact star in EMSG theory constraining the value of coupling constant with the recent constraints on the mass-radius relation.

In the present study, we consider the interacting EoS as our primary input to obtain a configuration for QS and satisfy the current observational data on the ($M - R$) relations. The paper is organized as follows: In Section II, we present the field equations for EMSG and derive the equations of motion. The structure of QS is developed by assuming the case of the spherically symmetric metric of these equations and obtaining the modified Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations. In Section III, we present an overview of a QCD motivated EoS. Numerically we solved the modified TOV equations with appropriate boundary conditions in Section IV. We found that the presence of α (EMSG coupling constant) can lead to larger masses for QSs from the predictions of GR. Finally, we summarize the results in the conclusion section V.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS IN ENERGY-MOMENTUM EMSG GRAVITY (EMSG)

We start with the action of the EMSG gravity [4] (we set $G = 1$ and $c = 1$ in the action and in the rest of this section)

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int (\mathcal{R} + \alpha T^2) \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \int \mathcal{L}_m \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where $T^2 = T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor, g is the determinant of the metric, R is the scalar curvature and $\kappa = 8\pi$. The \mathcal{L}_m denotes the Lagrangian density corresponding to the matter source and define by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{L}_m g_{\mu\nu} - 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (2)$$

which depends only on the metric tensor components $g_{\mu\nu}$, and not on its derivatives. For the sake of simplicity the stress-energy tensor is usually assumed to be a perfect fluid form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + P)u_\mu u_\nu + P g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the energy density, P is the (isotropic) pressure, u^μ is the (timelike) 4-velocity of the fluid and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor. Varying the action (1) with respect to

the metric, one obtains the following gravitational field equations

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi\alpha \left(g_{\mu\nu} T_{\beta\gamma} T^{\beta\gamma} - 2\Theta_{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. The new tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\mu\nu} &= T^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\delta T_{\beta\gamma}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} + T_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\delta T^{\beta\gamma}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \\ &= -2\mathcal{L}_m (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T) - TT_{\mu\nu} + 2T_{\mu}^{\gamma} T_{\nu\gamma} - \\ &4T^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu} \partial g^{\beta\gamma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$, the trace of EMT. As it is well know that there is no unique definition of the matter Lagrangian; one could choose $\mathcal{L}_m = P$ or $\mathcal{L}_m = -\rho$. In the current paper we consider $\mathcal{L}_m = P$ and the covariant divergence of Eq. (2) reads

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = -\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\mu (T_{\beta\gamma} T^{\beta\gamma}) + 2\alpha \nabla^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6)$$

Note that the local/covariant energy-momentum conservation $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu}$ is not identically zero, for $\alpha \neq 0$.

Now, substituting Eq. (3) in Eq. (5), and then using the resultant equation in Eq. (4), the field equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu} &= 8\pi\rho \left[\left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{P}{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} \right] + 8\pi\alpha\rho^2 \\ &\left[2 \left(1 + \frac{4P}{\rho} + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2}\right) u_\mu u_\nu + \left(1 + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2}\right) g_{\mu\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To derive the modified field equations that describe the internal structure of a star in EMSG, we choose the spherically symmetric line element in the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\nu} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (8)$$

where $\nu(r)$ and $\lambda(r)$ are functions of the radial coordinate, r . Using the metric given in Eq. (8) in Eq. (7), the (tt) and (rr) components are (see Ref. [22])

$$\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r^2} (2r\lambda' - 1) + \frac{1}{r^2} = \rho_{\text{eff}}(r), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r^2} (2rv' + 1) - \frac{1}{r^2} = P_{\text{eff}}(r), \quad (10)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to r . The effective density and pressure are given by

$$\rho_{\text{eff}}(r) = 8\pi\rho + 8\pi\alpha\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{8P}{\rho} + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} \right),$$

$$P_{\text{eff}}(r) = 8\pi P + 8\pi\alpha\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} \right).$$

By representing the metric tensor coefficient $e^{-2\lambda}$ as

$$e^{-2\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}, \quad (11)$$

where $m(r)$ is the total mass inside the sphere of radius r . The other metric function, $\nu(r)$, is related to the pressure via

$$\frac{dv}{dr} = - \left[\rho \left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho} \right) \left\{ 1 + 2\alpha\rho \left(1 + \frac{3P}{\rho} \right) \right\} \right]^{-1} \left[(1 + 6\alpha P)P'(r) + 2\alpha\rho\rho'(r) \right], \quad (12)$$

Finally, solving the continuity equations (9)-(12), the modified TOV equations take the following convenient form [5]

$$m'(r) = 4\pi\rho r^2 \left[1 + \alpha\rho \left(\frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{8P}{\rho} + 1 \right) \right], \quad (13)$$

and

$$P'(r) = -\frac{m\rho}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{4\pi Pr^3}{m} + \alpha \frac{4\pi r^3 \rho^2}{m} \left(\frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} + 1 \right) \right] \left[1 + 2\alpha\rho \left(1 + \frac{3P}{\rho} \right) \right] \left[1 + 2\alpha\rho \left(\frac{d\rho}{dP} + \frac{3P}{\rho} \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

Naturally, by setting the parameter $\alpha = 0$, the standard TOV equation is recovered. Similar to the case in GR, the modified TOV equations are solved numerically with a specific EoS relating to the pressure and energy density of the fluid. It has been shown in [22] that the parameter α has been constrained by using the observational measurements of NSs, which is

$$-3.5 \times 10^{-38} \leq \alpha \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-37} \text{cm}^3/\text{erg}. \quad (15)$$

Here, we will try to obey the range to study the solution for QSS in EMSG with quark matter EoS.

III. QUARK MATTER EQUATION OF STATE

The basic idea relies on a strange star consisting of strange quark matter (SQM), composed of almost equal numbers of u , d , and s quarks, and a small number of electrons to attain the charge neutrality. As a result, SQM may be the true ground state of matter [18, 24], because its energy per baryon could be less than that of the most stable atomic nucleus, such as ^{56}Fe and ^{62}Ni .

The possible existence of quark matter in compact stars is an issue that has attracted considerable attention for a long time.

In this work, we use a model presented in [25], which is based on the homogeneously confined matter inside the star with a 3-flavor neutral charge and a fixed strange quark mass m_s . Within this model, one can describe this phase using the simple thermodynamic Bag model EoS [26] with $\mathcal{O}(m_s^4)$ corrections. Such EoS can be expressed via explicit expressions for energy density (ρ) and pressure (p) [27]

$$p = \frac{1}{3}(\rho - 4B) - \frac{m_s^2}{3\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\rho - B}{a_4}} + \frac{m_s^4}{12\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{a_4} + 3 \ln \left(\frac{8\pi}{3m_s^2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho - B}{a_4}} \right) \right], \quad (16)$$

where the interacting parameter a_4 provides relatively tight constraints on the quark matter EoS (see, e.g., [27–29]). In [26], authors showed the existence of $M \approx 2M_\odot$ hybrid stars for $a_4 \approx 0.7$. Using the same formalism, several authors have studied the influence of a_4 on mass-radius ($M - R$) relations of QSSs [30, 31]. The strange quark mass m_s has been taken 100 MeV [32] and the Bag constant B lies in the range of $57 \leq B \leq 92 \text{ MeV}/\text{fm}^3$ [33, 34].

Now, using the EoS we are in a position to close the system of equations. To ensure regularity of interior spacetime, we specify the following initial conditions at the center of the star

$$\rho(0) = \rho_c, \quad \text{and} \quad m(0) = 0, \quad (17)$$

where ρ_c is the central energy density, and we integrate outwards up to the pressure vanishes. The radius of the star r_s is identified by the condition

$$p(r_s) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where r_s is the radius of the star. For the exterior solution, we assume a Schwarzschild solution as in GR, and hence the continuity of the metric at the surface imposes a boundary condition which yields

$$e^{-2\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_s}, \quad (19)$$

where $m(r_{\text{sur}}) \equiv M$ is the total mass at the surface of the star.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The modified TOV equations (13)-(14) combined with a quark matter EoS does not have an analytical solution.

Thus, we numerically solve the set of equations starting from the origin $r = 0$ to the surface at $r = r_s$ where the pressure vanishes. Here, we have four parameters; the MIT bag constant B in MeV/fm^3 , the strange quark mass m_s in MeV , the interacting parameter a_4 , and the coupling constant α in cm^3/erg . In our calculations the mass of the stars is measured in solar masses, M_\odot and the radius of the stars in km.

Using the method described above, we present the numerical results based on the variation of two sets of parameters; (i) the variation of α in the range of $-3.0 \times 10^{-38} \leq \alpha \leq 0 \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$ and (ii) the variation of a_4 in the range of $(0,1)$. In order to compare the structure of the stars in EMSG and standard GR, we presented the solutions of $M - R$, $M - \rho_c$ and compactness ($M - M/R$) in Figs. 1-4, respectively. Moreover, obtained results are enlisted in Tables I-II.

A. The mass-radius relations

TABLE I. Properties of QSs in EMSG: The maximum masses and corresponding their radii have been tabulated for specific central energy density ρ_c . Results are given for different values $\alpha \in [-3.0 \times 10^{-38}, 0]$ with other parameters are same as of Fig. 1. The compactness M/R is measured as a dimensionless quantity. Typical value of $\alpha = 0$ leads to the GR solution.

$\alpha \times 10^{-38}$ cm^3/erg	M M_\odot	R km	ρ_c MeV/fm^3	M/R
0.0 (GR)	1.782	9.814	1379	0.2691
-0.5	1.786	9.827	1435	0.2694
-1.0	1.790	9.865	1463	0.2689
-1.5	1.794	9.875	1547	0.2692
-2.0	1.797	9.899	1632	0.2690
-2.5	1.799	9.926	1745	0.2687
-3.0	1.802	9.950	1970	0.2684

For a given quark matter EoS (16), we plot mass-radius ($M - R$) and compactness ($M - M/R$) of QSs in Fig. 1. We show results for different values $\alpha \in [-3.0 \times 10^{-38}, 0]$ with other parameters are $B = 70 \text{ MeV}/\text{fm}^3$, $a_4 = 0.7$ and $m_s = 50 \text{ MeV}$, respectively. The purple solid curve corresponds to GR solution ($\alpha = 0$). Fig. 1 illustrates that the mass of the star increases with decreasing values of α . Notice that the maximum mass for the given EoS in EMSG theory is $1.802M_\odot$ at $\alpha = -3.0 \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$. However the difference is not too significant compared to GR solution, see Table. I for details. Interestingly, we find that QS does not exist for $\alpha < -3.0 \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$, which provides the most

conservative bound on α of the EoS considered in this paper. Thus, we never reach $2M_\odot$ stars in our present discussion. The maximum mass has a minimum, $1.782 M_\odot$ at $\alpha = 0$. Moreover, the influence of α is reflecting on the compactness of QSs in EMSG. The maximum compactness in GR and in EMSG with various values of α is plotted in Fig. 1 (lower panel). For EMSG the compactness (M/R) gets its maximum value for maximum mass which cover a range of $0.2684 < M/R < 0.2694$, see Table. I. In this prescription of QSs, we found the compactness is always smaller than that of the equivalent models in GR counterpart ($M_{GR}/R \sim 0.2691$).




FIG. 1. The mass-radius ($M - R$) relation and the compactness ($M - M/R$) of QSs have been plotted for $B = 70 \text{ MeV}/\text{fm}^3$, $a_4 = 0.7$, $m_s = 50.0 \text{ MeV}$ and $\alpha \in [-3.0, 0.0] \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$.

Put in the above way, we plot Fig. 2 with the set of parameters; $B = 70 \text{ MeV}/\text{fm}^3$, $m_s = 50 \text{ MeV}$, $\alpha = -3.0 \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$ and $a_4 \in [0.1, 0.9]$. We choose α in such a way to get the maximum effect on star model, which is already recorded in the previous section. The trend of ($M - R$) and ($M - M/R$) relations are almost similar to the Fig. 1. Table II summarizes the main aspects of the models used in this work and we recorded the maximum mass is $1.805M_\odot$ at $a_4 = 0.9$. We see that the gravitational mass increases with increasing a_4 . Considering the EMSG one can obtain the maximum compactness $\frac{M}{R} \sim 0.2685$ at $a_4 = 0.9$, which is consistent according to Fig. 2.

TABLE II. Properties of QSs in EMSG gravity: The maximum masses and corresponding their radii have been tabulated for specific central energy density ρ_c . Results are given for different values $a_4 \in [0.1, 0.9]$ and a fixed value of $\alpha = -3.0 \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$. Other parameters are same as of Fig. 1.

a_4	M M_\odot	R km	ρ_c MeV/fm ³	M/R
0.1	1.756	9.758	2139	0.2667
0.3	1.787	9.887	2026	0.2679
0.5	1.797	9.920	2026	0.2684
0.7	1.802	9.950	1970	0.2684
0.9	1.805	9.961	1970	0.2685




FIG. 2. The mass-radius ($M - R$) relation and the compactness ($M - M/R$) of QSs have been plotted for $B = 70 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$, $m_s = 50 \text{ MeV}$, $\alpha = -3.0 \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$ and $a_4 \in [0.1, 0.9]$.

B. The stability criterion and the adiabatic indices

The stability of the stellar model is one of the most important criteria. To address this question, one can analyze the relation between total mass M and the central energy density ρ_c at the turning point where $dM/d\rho_c = 0$. From the definition of *static stability criterion* [35, 36], which reads

$$\frac{dM}{d\rho_c} < 0 \rightarrow \text{unstable configuration}, \quad (20)$$




FIG. 3. Gravitational mass M is plotted against the central energy density ρ_c . Two different values of $\alpha \in [-3.0, 0.0] \times 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^3/\text{erg}$ and $a_4 \in [0.1, 0.9]$ are considered (see the captions of Figs. 1 and 2). The circle on each $(M - \rho_c)$ curves correspond to the maximum-mass configuration.

$$\frac{dM}{d\rho_c} > 0 \rightarrow \text{stable configuration}, \quad (21)$$

to be satisfied by all stellar configurations. The criteria mentioned above represent a boundary that separates the stable configuration region from the unstable one at the point $(M_{\text{max}}, R_{M_{\text{max}}})$. But, it is a necessary condition but not sufficient. In Fig. 3, we plot QSs as a function of the stellar mass M and the central energy density ρ_c , which grows with decreasing values of α and increasing values of a_4 in agreement with the $M - R$ profiles given in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The pink circles on each $M - \rho_c$ curve correspond to the maximum mass of stellar configuration.

Another way to check the stability of QS constructed in EMSG gravity we need to study the adiabatic index γ of these stars. The corresponding study was first performed by Chandrasekhar [37] based on the variational method to determine the dynamical stability of spherical configurations of a perfect fluid. The adiabatic index γ can be written as follows,

$$\gamma \equiv \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{P}\right) \left(\frac{dP}{d\rho}\right)_s, \quad (22)$$




FIG. 4. The figure demonstrates the adiabatic index, γ , with the same set of parameters, see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively.

where $dP/d\rho$ is the speed of sound and the subscript S indicates the derivation at constant entropy. In general, Eq. (22) is a dimensionless quantity.

For the case of relativistic polytropes, the value of γ should be $\gamma > \gamma_{cr} = 4/3$ [38], where γ_{cr} is the critical adiabatic index. This value is strictly dependent on the ratio ρ/P at the center of the star. To check this criterion for interacting quark EoS, we plot γ as a function radial coordinate in Fig. 4 for several representative values of α and a_4 . Similar to static fluid spheres in GR, we have seen that the criterion $\gamma > \gamma_c$, ensures the dynamical stability, and the configuration will prevent progressive collapse.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Quick development in the astronomical observable techniques provides a strong constraint on the high-density region of the nuclear matter EoS and, consequently, the inner composition of NSs. These indications might be a possible appearance of quark matter

in compact stars, which may be the true ground state of strong interaction. In this paper, we explore the possibility and the corresponding implications of the idea that compact stars are made of interacting quark EoS (16), which strictly depends on the interaction parameter, a_4 and the bag constant, B .

We consider QS models in the framework of energy-momentum squared gravity (EMSG). Specifically, EMSG is treated as a simple generalization to Einstein's general relativity by adding a nonlinear $T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ term to the generic action. The modified TOV equations are solved numerically to obtain the mass-radius ($M - R$) relations for the interacting quark EoS. We have studied the stability of QS and their physical properties depending on the values of coupling constant α and the interaction parameter a_4 . Our analysis showed EMSG leads to larger masses for all values of central pressure and negative values of α (up to a certain value). However, the difference is not too significantly from their standard GR counterparts. It would also imply that QS masses can't reach $2 M_\odot$, see Fig. 1. On the other hand, increasing the value of a_4 , the maximum masses of Qs increase with increasing radii and the maximum mass for QS is about $1.805 M_\odot$ at $a_4 = 0.9$. In this prescription we derive the maximum compactness for EMSG is $\frac{M}{R} \sim 0.2685$ (assuming $a_4 = 0.9$) for a range of plausible values of α .

To check the stability of Qs constructed in EMSG, we study the static stability criterion and the adiabatic index γ , which indicate the onset of instability. To distinguish the regions made by stable and unstable configurations, we infer the condition $\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} \leq 0$. It is seen from the Fig. 3 that the configurations on the segments $\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} > 0$ are always stable against radial oscillations but does not guarantee stellar stability in EMSG. Finally, we investigate the critical values of the adiabatic index, and our results clearly show that $\gamma > \gamma_{cr} = 4/3$ (see Fig. 4), which means a stable QS may exist in EMSG theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

T. Tangphati was supported by King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi's Post-doctoral Fellowship. A. Pradhan thanks to IUCCA, Pune, India for providing facilities under associateship programmes.

[1] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, eConf C0602061, 06 (2006).

[2] S. Capozziello and M. Francaviglia, Gen. Rel. Grav. 40,

- 357 (2008).
- [3] N. Katirci and M. Kavuk, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129**, 163 (2014).
- [4] M. Roshan and F. Shojai, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 044002 (2016).
- [5] Ö. Akarsu, N. Katirci and S. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 024011 (2018).
- [6] O. Akarsu, N. Katirci and S. Kumar, PoS CORFU **2017**, 105 (2018).
- [7] M. C. F. Faria, C. J. A. P. Martins, F. Chiti and B. S. A. Silva, Astron. Astrophys. **625**, A127 (2019).
- [8] A. H. Barbar, A. M. Awad and M. T. AlFiky, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 044058 (2020).
- [9] P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 024007 (2018).
- [10] M. Sharif and M. Zeeshan Gul, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **136**, 503 (2021).
- [11] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, Phys. Rept. **621**, 127 (2016).
- [12] M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. **8**, 214 (1964).
- [13] G. Zweig, CERN-TH-412 (1964).
- [14] C. Alcock, E. Farhi and A. V. Olinto, Astrophys. J. **310**, 261 (1986).
- [15] J. Madsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3311 (1998).
- [16] N. K. Glendenning and F. Weber, Astrophys. J. **400**, 647 (1992).
- [17] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 272 (1984).
- [18] A. R. Bodmer, Phys. Rev. D **4**, 1601 (1971).
- [19] M. Sharif and M. Z. Gul, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **19**, 2250012 (2022).
- [20] N. Nari and M. Roshan, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 024031 (2018).
- [21] G. A. Carvalho, P. H. R. S. Moraes, S. I. dos Santos, B. S. Gonçalves and M. Malheiro, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 483 (2020).
- [22] Ö. Akarsu, J. D. Barrow, S. Çıkıntoğlu, K. Y. Ekşi and N. Katirci, "Constraint on energy-momentum squared gravity from neutron stars and its cosmological implications," Phys. Rev. D **97**, 124017 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.124017
- [23] K. N. Singh, A. Banerjee, S. K. Maurya, F. Rahaman and A. Pradhan, Phys. Dark Univ. **31**, 100774 (2021).
- [24] N. Itoh, Prog. Theor. Phys. **44**, 291 (1970).
- [25] C. V. Flores, Z. B. Hall and P. Jaikumar, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 065803 (2017).
- [26] M. Alford, M. Braby, M. Paris and S. Reddy, Astrophys. J. **629**, 969 (2005).
- [27] E. A. Becerra-Vergara, S. Mojica, F. D. Lora-Clavijo and A. Cruz-Osorio, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 103006 (2019).
- [28] A. Banerjee, T. Tangphati, D. Samart and P. Channuie, Astrophys. J. **906**, 114 (2021).
- [29] G. Panotopoulos, T. Tangphati, A. Banerjee and M. K. Jasim, Phys. Lett. B **817**, 136330 (2021).
- [30] T. Tangphati, A. Pradhan, A. Errehymy and A. Banerjee, Phys. Lett. B **819**, 136423 (2021).
- [31] T. Tangphati, A. Pradhan, A. Errehymy and A. Banerjee, Annals Phys. **430**, 168498 (2021).
- [32] J. Beringer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
- [33] D. Blaschke and N. Chamel, Astrophys. Space Sci. Libr. **457**, 337 (2018).
- [34] G. Fiorella Burgio and A. F. Fantina, Astrophys. Space Sci. Libr. **457**, 255 (2018).
- [35] B. K. Harrison, *Gravitational Theory and Gravitational Collapse*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965.
- [36] Y. B. Zeldovich, and I. D. Novikov, *Relativistic Astrophysics, Vol. I: Stars and Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1971.
- [37] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. **140**, 417 (1964).
- [38] E. N. Glass and A. Harpaz, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc., **202**, 1 (1983).