

# Configurational entropy of black hole quantum cores

R. Casadio<sup>ab\*</sup>, R. da Rocha<sup>c†</sup>, P. Meert<sup>c‡</sup>, L. Tabarroni<sup>a§</sup> and W. Barreto<sup>de¶</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna  
via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy*

<sup>b</sup>*I.N.F.N., Sezione di Bologna, I.S. FLAG  
viale B. Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy*

<sup>c</sup>*Federal University of ABC, Center of Mathematics  
Santo André, 09210-580, Brazil.*

<sup>d</sup>*Federal University of ABC, Center of Natural Sciences  
Santo André, 09580-210, Brazil*

<sup>e</sup>*Centro de Física Fundamental, Universidad de Los Andes,  
Mérida 5101, Venezuela*

June 22, 2022

## Abstract

Two types of information entropy are studied for the quantum states of a model for the matter core inside a black hole geometry. A detailed description is first given of the quantum mechanical picture leading to a spectrum of bound states for a collapsing ball of dust in general relativity with a non-trivial ground state. Information entropies are then computed, shedding new light on the stability of the ground state and the spectrum of higher excited states.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum aspects of the gravitational collapse are among the most investigated topics in contemporary theoretical physics. A quantum theory of gravity is expected to eliminate the singularities predicted by general relativity, in particular, those associated with incomplete geodesics at the final stage of the collapse of regular matter into a black hole [1]. Several methods for removing the singularity in approaches to quantum gravity have been proposed [2–7] and the appearance of a bounce at a minimum radius is generically obtained in semiclassical models [8, 9]. These results suggest

---

\*E-mail: casadio@bo.infn.it

†E-mail: roldao.rocha@ufabc.edu.br

‡E-mail: pedro.meert@ufabc.edu.br

§E-mail: luca.tabarroni@studio.unibo.it

¶E-mail: willians.barreto@ufabc.edu.br

that the role of matter in the description of black hole formation (and subsequent evolution [10]) is crucial.

In this work, we compute the entropy for the quantum states of the matter core inside a black hole found in Ref. [11] by studying the general relativistic model of gravitational collapse of a spherically symmetric ball of dust [12, 13]. The key idea is to quantise the geodesic equation for the areal radius of the ball as an effective quantum mechanical description of the dust ball and obtain a spectrum of bound states.<sup>1</sup> One then finds that the ball in the ground state properly compatible with general relativity has a macroscopically large surface area and no singularity therefore ever forms (see also Refs. [14] where the geometry sourced by this quantum core was also reconstructed).

Of course, the areal radius is not a fundamental degree of freedom of matter in the collapsing core, which should instead be described by quantum excitations of fields in the Standard Model of particle physics. All of these fundamental degrees of freedom are instead neglected for simplicity, similarly to the quantum mechanical treatment of the electron in the hydrogen atom. We expect that the existence of these underlying degrees of freedom should be encoded in a suitable entropy that can be computed from the states of the effective quantum mechanical theory.

Several relevant measures of information entropy have been proposed, mainly in the last decade, and employed to investigate gravitational systems and quantum field theories in the continuum limit. Among them, the configurational entropy (CE) [15] and its differential version (DCE) were shown to play a prominent role, because critical points of the CE and DCE usually correspond to preferred occupied states [16, 17]. These entropies quantify the informational content as well as the shape complexity, encoded by the probability density describing a physical system. In this context, besides the least action principle, physical systems do not only extremise the corresponding action but they also tend to “optimise” the entropic information. The DCE has been used in a wide variety of cases, such as the study of stability of AdS black holes [18, 19], brane-world models [20], neutron and boson stars [21–27], spontaneous emission in hydrogen atoms [28] and topological defects [?, 29, 30], to give a few concrete examples.

The main aim of this work is to explore the black hole quantum cores of Ref. [11] and to discuss the important role played by the different types of information entropy in extracting relevant knowledge about the spatial profile of the quantum mechanical system. In this context, the information entropy and DCE will be shown to shed new light on the properties of the ground state and the spectrum of higher modes as well. Section 2 is devoted to presenting a refined version of the model for black hole quantum cores from Ref. [11], with a derivation of the spectrum of bound states. In Section 3, the Shannon entropy [32] is introduced and the DCE and the information entropy underlying the quantum mechanical description of black hole quantum cores are computed and analysed. Section 4 is dedicated to the concluding remarks.

## 2 Core quantum spectrum

Let us consider a perfectly isotropic ball of dust with total ADM mass [33]  $M$  and areal radius  $R = R(\tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is the proper time measured by a clock comoving with the dust. Dust particles inside this collapsing ball will follow radial geodesics  $r = r(\tau)$  in the Schwarzschild space-time

---

<sup>1</sup>This reduction of degrees of freedom is common to canonical quantisation of most general relativistic models [34]. For similar results for thin shells, see Ref. [35] (see also Refs. [36–39]).

metric <sup>2</sup>

$$ds^2 = - \left( 1 - \frac{2 G_N M_0}{r} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2 G_N M_0}{r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) , \quad (2.1)$$

where  $M_0 = M_0(r)$  is the (constant) fraction of ADM mass inside the sphere of radius  $r = r(\tau)$ .

In particular, we can consider the outermost (thin) layer of (average) radius  $r = R(\tau)$  and mass  $\mu = \epsilon M$ , where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  is the fraction of the ball mass in the chosen layer. The equation governing the evolution of the radius of this layer is then given by the mass-shell condition for the massive layer of four-velocity  $u^\alpha = (\dot{t}, \dot{R}, 0, 0)$ , that is

$$\frac{E_\mu^2}{\mu^2} - \dot{R}^2 + \frac{2 G_N M_0}{R} - \left( 1 - \frac{2 G_N M_0}{R} \right) \frac{L_\mu^2}{R^2 \mu^2} = 1 , \quad (2.2)$$

where  $M_0 = (1 - \epsilon) M$ , and  $E_\mu$  and  $L_\mu$  are the conserved momenta conjugated to  $t = t(\tau)$  and  $\phi = \phi(\tau)$ , respectively. For the particular case of radial motion, one must set  $L_\mu = 0$  and Eq. (2.2) reads

$$H \equiv \frac{P^2}{2 \epsilon M} - \frac{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon) G_N M^2}{R} = \frac{\epsilon M}{2} \left( \frac{E_\mu^2}{\epsilon^2 M^2} - 1 \right) \equiv \mathcal{E} , \quad (2.3)$$

where  $P = \mu \dot{R}$  is the momentum conjugated to  $R = R(\tau)$ . Notice that Eq. (2.3) contains the parameter  $\epsilon$ , and all of the results will therefore depend on the distribution of dust between the outermost layer of mass  $\mu = \epsilon M$  and the inner spherical core of mass  $M_0 = (1 - \epsilon) M$ . This represents a first improvement with respect to the more qualitative analysis of Ref. [11].

Having established the equation of motion governing the collapse, we apply the canonical quantization prescription

$$P \mapsto \hat{P} = -i \hbar \partial_R , \quad (2.4)$$

which allows us to write Eq. (2.3) as the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H} \Psi_{\bar{n}} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2 \epsilon M} \left( \frac{d^2}{dR^2} + \frac{2}{R} \frac{d}{dR} \right) - \frac{\epsilon (1 - \epsilon) G_N M^2}{R} \right] \Psi_{\bar{n}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{n}} \Psi_{\bar{n}} . \quad (2.5)$$

The above equation is formally the same as the one for the  $s$ -states of the hydrogen atom, <sup>3</sup> so that the solutions are given by the Hamiltonian eigenfunctions

$$\Psi_{\bar{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^6 (1 - \epsilon)^3 M^9}{\pi \ell_p^3 m_p^9 \bar{n}^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\epsilon^2 (1 - \epsilon) M^3 R}{m_p^3 \ell_p} \right) L_{\bar{n}-1}^1 \left( \frac{2 \epsilon^2 (1 - \epsilon) M^3 R}{m_p^3 \ell_p} \right) , \quad (2.6)$$

where  $L_{\bar{n}-1}^1$  are Laguerre polynomials and  $\bar{n} = 1, 2, \dots$ , corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{E}_{\bar{n}} = -\frac{\epsilon^3 (1 - \epsilon)^2 M^5}{2 m_p^4 \bar{n}^2} . \quad (2.7)$$

<sup>2</sup>We shall always use units with  $c = 1$  and often write the Planck constant  $\hbar = \ell_p m_p$  and the Newton constant  $G_N = \ell_p / m_p$ , where  $\ell_p$  and  $m_p$  are the Planck length and mass, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Perfect isotropy implies that the angular momentum quantum numbers  $l = m = 0$ . The case of a (slowly and rigidly) rotating ball is left for future development.

Note that the normalisation is defined in the scalar product which makes the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  Hermitian when acting on the above spectrum, that is

$$\langle \bar{n} | \bar{n}' \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty R^2 \Psi_{\bar{n}}^*(R) \Psi_{\bar{n}'}(R) dR = \delta_{\bar{n}\bar{n}'} . \quad (2.8)$$

The expectation value of the areal radius on these eigenstates is thus given by

$$\bar{R}_{\bar{n}} \equiv \langle \bar{n} | \hat{R} | \bar{n} \rangle = \frac{3 m_{\text{p}}^3 \ell_{\text{p}} \bar{n}^2}{2 \epsilon^2 (1 - \epsilon) M^3} . \quad (2.9)$$

As was noted in Ref. [11], so far the quantum picture is the same that one would have in Newtonian physics, with the ground state  $\bar{n} = 1$  having a width  $\bar{R}_1 \sim \ell_{\text{p}} (m_{\text{p}}/M)^3$  and energy  $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim -M (M/m_{\text{p}})^4$ . This state is practically indistinguishable from a point-like singularity for any macroscopic black hole of mass  $M \gg m_{\text{p}}$ .

In fact, the only general relativistic feature that the model retains is given by the form of  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(E_\mu)$  in Eq. (2.3). By then assuming that the conserved  $E_\mu$  remains well-defined for the allowed quantum states, we obtain

$$0 \leq \frac{E_\mu^2}{\epsilon^2 M^2} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2 (1 - \epsilon)^2}{n^2} \left( \frac{M}{m_{\text{p}}} \right)^4 , \quad (2.10)$$

which yields the lower bound for the principal quantum number

$$\bar{n} \geq N_M \equiv \epsilon (1 - \epsilon) \left( \frac{M}{m_{\text{p}}} \right)^2 . \quad (2.11)$$

Saturating the inequality (2.11) corresponds to the fundamental state of the outer layer, which results in a huge number of states (with  $\bar{n} < N_M$ ) that remain inaccessible for the collapsing ball. Among these states there is also the one discussed above with  $\bar{R}_{\bar{n}=1} \sim 0$ , which means that the singularity is then precluded, as one expects from semiclassical models [8, 9]. More precisely, since the only information that one can extract from the wave equation, and confront with a classical quantity, is the areal radius  $\langle \hat{R} \rangle$ , one does not identify the potential singularity with a principal quantum number  $\bar{n}$ , rather than using the value of  $R$  corresponding to that  $\bar{n}$ . All quantum states can access  $R = 0$  *a priori*, however since the lower bound for  $\bar{n}$  is much bigger than the one corresponding to  $R \sim \bar{R}_1 \sim 0$ , the probability that the shell of dust is found in the singularity is practically zero for  $M \gg m_{\text{p}}$ .

We recall that there are no reasons for a ball of dust evolving solely under the gravitational force, to stop contracting classically. Quantum mechanics instead shows that, in order to have a well-defined energy spectrum, the proper ground state compatible with general relativity has width

$$\bar{R}_{N_M} = \frac{3}{4} (1 - \epsilon) R_{\text{H}} , \quad (2.12)$$

where  $R_{\text{H}} = 2 G_{\text{N}} M$  is the classical Schwarzschild radius of the ball. Since  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , one can conclude that  $\bar{R}_{N_M} < R_{\text{H}}$  and a finite number of states (2.6) with

$$\bar{n} = N_M + n \quad (2.13)$$

and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  will exist inside the horizon.

In the above analysis, the parameter  $\epsilon$  remains undetermined. One of the goals of this work is to determine a preferred value from entropic considerations. Before we do that, we notice that the uncertainty in the areal radius is given by

$$\frac{\Delta R_{\bar{n}}}{\bar{R}_{\bar{n}}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\langle \bar{n} | \hat{R}^2 | \bar{n} \rangle - \bar{R}_{\bar{n}}^2}}{\bar{R}_{\bar{n}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{n}^2 + 2}}{3\bar{n}} , \quad (2.14)$$

which asymptotes to a minimum of  $1/3$  for  $\bar{n} \rightarrow \infty$ . We thus expect that  $N_M \gg 1$  for the ground state and consequently  $\epsilon(1 - \epsilon) \sim 1$ . For instance, for  $M = M_{\odot} \simeq 10^{30}$  kg, one finds  $N_M \sim 10^{76}$ , which makes it impossible to handle the wavefunction (2.6), either analytically or numerically. We shall therefore limit our investigation to values of  $M$  small enough to allow for simple numerical calculations and extrapolate to larger values of  $M$ .

### 3 Configurational Entropy

The CE is a measure for the amount of information carried by physical solutions to the equations of motion of a given theory [15]. A way to come up with it is by considering the original formulation of information entropy by Shannon [32]: information is measured by the minimum number of bits needed to convert symbols into a coded form, in such a way that the highest transmission rate of messages, consisting of an array of the given symbols, can be communicated through a channel. Shannon then defined a measure of information by the expression

$$S_{\text{Sh}} = - \sum_{c_i \in \mathcal{C}} p_{c_i} \log p_{c_i} , \quad (3.1)$$

where the sum is taken over the set  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_i; i = 1, \dots, N\}$  of symbols with a distribution  $p_i = p(c_i)$  determining their occurrence. The amount of information carried by each symbol was determined by Shannon as  $\mathbb{I}(c_i) = -\log_2 p_i$ , so that

$$\langle \mathbb{I} \rangle = \sum_{c_i \in \mathcal{C}} p(c_i) \mathbb{I}(c_i) = - \sum_{c_i \in \mathcal{C}} p(c_i) \log_2 p(c_i) = S_{\text{Sh}} . \quad (3.2)$$

In fact,  $\log_2 N$  is the number of bits to describe  $N$  symbols and the entropy is the highest possible for a uniform distribution with  $p(c_i) = 1/N$ , that is  $\langle \mathbb{I} \rangle = \log_2 N$ .<sup>4</sup>

Shannon entropy can be straightforwardly connected with the Gibbs entropy, which generalises the Boltzmann entropy  $S_{\text{B}}$  to thermodynamic systems described by microstates that do not necessarily have equal probabilities,

$$S_{\text{G}} = -k_{\text{B}} \sum_a p_a \ln p_a , \quad (3.3)$$

where  $k_{\text{B}}$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $p_a$  stands for the probability of occurrence of each microscopic configuration  $a$  of the system. Beside the overall constant  $k_{\text{B}}$ , which is needed to give the entropy its natural thermodynamical units, one finds  $S_{\text{G}} \sim S_{\text{Sh}}$  if one can identify  $p_a = p(c_i)$  for

---

<sup>4</sup>Note that  $S_{\text{Sh}}$  is dimensionless and the base of the logarithm in Eq. (3.1) is a matter of choice. The binary base 2 measures the information entropy in bits (or Sh for Shannon), but one can also employ the natural logarithm to measure the information entropy in the natural units called nat, with  $1 \text{ nat} = (1/\ln 2) \text{ Sh}$ .

a given thermodynamical system. Moreover, when  $p_a = p$  for all microstates in the given system,  $S_G = S_B = k_B \ln(W)$ , where  $W$  is the number of equiprobable microstates.

The CE is a form of Shannon entropy for static macroscopic systems computed by assuming that the underlying microstates  $a$  in  $S_G$  are defined by plane or standing waves of wavenumber  $\vec{k}$  in flat momentum space, that is

$$a_{\vec{k}} = e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} . \quad (3.4)$$

In order to compute the probability  $p(\vec{k})$  of occurrence of each microstate  $a_{\vec{k}}$ , one can therefore start by taking the Fourier transform  $\rho(\vec{k})$  of the probability density  $\rho(\vec{x})$  of a given macroscopic system in position space. The probability  $p(\vec{k})$  is then defined by a suitable normalisation such that  $\sum_{\vec{k}} p(\vec{k}) = 1$ .

To introduce the DCE for the spherically symmetric wavefunctions in Eq. (2.6), we start from the probability density

$$\rho(R) = 4\pi R^2 |\Psi_n(R)|^2 , \quad (3.5)$$

with  $n \geq 0$  defined in Eq. (2.13). The spectral Fourier transform of Eq. (3.5), in spherical coordinates, can be written as

$$\rho(k) = \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty R^{3/2} \rho(R) J_{1/2}(kR) dR , \quad (3.6)$$

where  $J_{1/2}$  denotes the Bessel function of order 1/2. In the numerical calculations, an equivalent Hankel transform is implemented, which is proportional to the radially symmetric spherical Fourier transform by a  $(2\pi)^{3/2}$  factor. In the computations that follow, the function  $\rho(k)$  is therefore given by the Hankel transform of the probability density (3.5) associated with our wavefunctions.

From Eq. (3.6), one can immediately define the so-called information entropy as the continuous version of the Shannon entropy in Eq. (3.1), which reads

$$S_{\text{Sh}} = - \int_0^\infty \rho(k) \ln[\rho(k)] dk . \quad (3.7)$$

The continuum limit can be problematic, and alternative expressions for the entropy have thus been conjectured.

Gleiser and Sowinski introduced the DCE by first defining the modal fraction [16, 17] as a measure for the relative weight of a given mode in the probability distribution. In our case, the modal fraction is explicitly given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(k) = \frac{|\rho(k)|^2}{\int_0^\infty |\rho(k')|^2 dk'} . \quad (3.8)$$

The DCE can then be defined as the functional

$$S_{\text{DCE}}[\tilde{\lambda}] = - \int_0^\infty \hat{\lambda}(k) \ln[\hat{\lambda}(k)] dk , \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$\hat{\lambda}(k) = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(k)}{\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{MAX}}} , \quad (3.10)$$




Figure 1: Information entropy (3.7) (left panel) and DCE (right panel) for the ground state  $n = 0$  for different values of  $\epsilon$ . Note the different values on the vertical axes (all quantities in Planck units).

where  $\tilde{\lambda}_{MAX}$  is the peak of the momentum distribution  $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(k)$ . This last step is introduced to ensure that  $\tilde{\lambda}(k) \leq 1$  for all values of  $k > 0$ . The higher the DCE, the more delocalised the density, the higher the uncertainty, and the smaller the accuracy in predicting the spatial localisation of the system. One might also speculate that there is a relationship between the DCE and the effect of tracing out degrees of freedom in the present context.

Starting from the wavefunctions (2.6), we first computed numerically the Fourier transform (3.6) and the modal fraction (3.8) for the ground state with  $n = 0$  (that is,  $\bar{n} = N_M$ ). The resulting DCE is displayed in Fig. 1, where we include the information entropy for comparison, and all quantities are expressed in units of  $\ell_p$  and  $m_p$  (equivalent to setting  $\hbar = G_N = 1$ ). The left panel of Fig. 1 shows that the information entropy  $S_{Sh}$  is a monotonic increasing function of the mass  $M$ , for each fixed value of the parameter  $\epsilon$ , and a monotonic decreasing function of  $\epsilon$ , for fixed value of  $M$ . A similar profile holds for  $S_{DCE}$ , as shown in the right panel. In absolute values, comparing the two panels of Fig. 1 makes explicit the subtle distinction between the information entropy (3.7) and the DCE (3.9). Although both entropies have a similar qualitative behaviour, their values are different, with the DCE being smaller for the same  $M$  and  $\epsilon$ . We recall that the outermost thin layer has (average) radius  $R$  and mass  $\mu = \epsilon M$ , with  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , so that  $\epsilon$  is the fraction of the ball mass in the chosen layer. From Fig. 1, we can then conclude that the higher the amount of dust in the outer layer, the lower both the information entropy and the DCE.




Figure 2: Information entropy (left panel) and DCE (right panel) for  $\epsilon = 1/4$  (all quantities in Planck units).




Figure 3: Information entropy (left panel) and DCE (right panel) for  $\epsilon = 1/2$  (all quantities in Planck units).




Figure 4: Information entropy (left panel) and DCE (right panel) for  $\epsilon = 3/4$  (all quantities in Planck units).

We next computed the information entropy and the DCE for the first few excited states with  $\bar{n} > N_M$  (corresponding to  $n > 0$ ), for different values of  $\epsilon$  and a specific value of the mass  $M$ . The




Figure 5: Information entropy (left panel) and DCE (right panel).

	$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$	$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$	$\epsilon = \frac{3}{4}$
$S_{\text{Sh}}$	$\alpha = 0.757$	$\alpha = 0.779$	$\alpha = 0.746$
$S_{\text{Sh}}$	$\beta = 0.583$	$\beta = 0.109$	$\beta = -0.408$
$S_{\text{DCE}}$	$\alpha = 0.885$	$\alpha = 0.572$	$\alpha = 0.405$
$S_{\text{DCE}}$	$\beta = -0.099$	$\beta = -0.099$	$\beta = -0.219$

Table 1: Fitting parameters for information entropy and DCE.

corresponding results are shown in Figs. 2-4. We remark that all of the values of the entropy are plotted as discrete points, since the the Laguerre polynomials in the wavefunction (2.6) must be of integer order (the spectrum of allowed states is discrete). From Eq. (2.11), if one fixes the value of  $\epsilon$ , the order is given by an integer only for certain values of  $M$ . We also notice that the plots show a range of very small values of the mass, since  $M$  is in units of the Planck mass. However, since the order of the Laguerre polynomials grows with  $M^2$ , it becomes numerically impossible to reach masses of astrophysical relevance. The main result clearly shown in Figs. 2-4 is that excited states have higher information entropy and DCE than the respective ground state for each value of  $\epsilon$ . Both kinds of entropy sharply increase, which supports the fact that excited states are in fact unstable and will naturally decay into the ground state. It is a general rule of the continuous limit of the Shannon entropy that states with higher information entropy are less stable and can eventually be less predominant from the phenomenological point of view. Moreover, excited quantum states have similarities with the ground state in Fig. 1, since higher values of  $\epsilon$  correspond to lower  $S_{\text{Sh}}$  and  $S_{\text{DCE}}$ , for each fixed value of  $n$ .

Finally, we look back at the ground state entropies and argue whether Shannon's information entropy and the DCE in Fig. 1 follow specific scaling laws. In fact, we can fit quite accurately the numerically computed values with logarithmic curves,

$$S = \alpha \ln \left( \frac{M}{m_{\text{p}}} \right) + \beta, \quad (3.11)$$

where the fitting parameters for each curve are displayed in Table 1. The fitting curves and their extrapolation to larger values of  $M$  are displayed in Fig. 5. Since the mass  $M$  is proportional to the volume of the matter core, the above scaling relations are compatible with the interpretation that the number of microscopic degrees of freedom counted by the exponential of the entropy grows

with the volume of the ball to some power  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . This is indeed the expected behaviour for matter and should be contrasted with the Bekenstein area law [40], according to which the number of gravitons involved in the geometry sourced by this core [14] scales like the horizon area, which is instead proportional to  $M^2$  in Planck units. The matter entropies computed in this work therefore remain sub-dominant with respect to the gravitational contribution for a (quantum) black hole. It is nonetheless interesting to note that  $\alpha \simeq 0.75$  for the Shannon entropy for all tested values of  $\epsilon$ , whereas the power  $\alpha$  for the DCE decreases significantly for increasing  $\epsilon$ .

## 4 Concluding remarks and discussion

We have investigated the entropy for a matter core inside black holes obtained from the quantum mechanical description of the gravitational collapse of a ball of dust of mass  $M$  introduced in Ref. [11]. Improving on that model, we have explicitly considered the wavefunction for the average radius  $R$  of the outermost layer of dust with mass  $\mu = \epsilon M$ , for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , which is again shown to belong to a discrete spectrum similar to the one for the hydrogen atom. Like in Ref. [11], the ground state quantum number  $N_M$  is found to be proportional to  $M^2$  in Planck units. For black holes of astrophysical size, such ground states are therefore described by Laguerre polynomials of a huge order, which makes their analysis very difficult, both analytically and numerically.

In particular, two different types of information entropy were employed to probe this scenario, namely the DCE and the continuous limit of Shannon's entropy, both of which can only be computed numerically and for relatively small principal quantum numbers  $\bar{n} = N_M + n$ . The results obtained for both entropies are qualitatively similar, showing a (much) higher information entropy for excited states (with  $n > 0$ ) when compared to the ground state (with  $n = 0$ ). Moreover, both kinds of entropy for the ground state show a logarithmic dependence on  $M^\alpha$ , with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , which can be extrapolated to arbitrarily large values of  $M$ . This behaviour is consistent with the notion that the number of matter microstates is proportional to the total mass (hence volume), albeit to a non-trivial power.

Finally, looking at the entropy for different values of the fraction  $\epsilon$ , we have found that both the information entropy and the DCE are smaller for larger fractions of dust in the outermost layer. It corroborates the expectation that thinner layers are more unstable, also from the point of view of the configurational entropy. This last result, however, will have to be further tested by considering refined models of the collapsing ball with more than just two layers.

## Acknowledgments

R.C. is partially supported by the INFN grant FLAG and his work has also been carried out in the framework of activities of the National Group of Mathematical Physics (GNFM, INdAM). P.M. thanks Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001. R.dR. is grateful to FAPESP (Grants No. 2022/01734-7 and No. 2021/01089-1) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development – CNPq (Grant No. 303390/2019-0), for partial financial support.

## References

- [1] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, “The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973)
- [2] I. Kuntz and R. Casadio, Phys. Lett. B **802** (2020) 135219 [arXiv:1911.05037 [hep-th]].
- [3] I. Kuntz, Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018) 3 [arXiv:1712.06582 [gr-qc]].
- [4] H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 104020 [arXiv:1407.0989 [gr-qc]].
- [5] I. Kuntz and R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) 447 [arXiv:1903.10642 [hep-th]].
- [6] W. Piechocki and T. Schmitz, Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020) 046004 [arXiv:2004.02939 [gr-qc]].
- [7] T. Schmitz, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) 064074 [arXiv:2012.04383 [gr-qc]].
- [8] V. P. Frolov and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B **106** (1981) 307.
- [9] R. Casadio, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9** (2000) 511 [arXiv:gr-qc/9810073 [gr-qc]].
- [10] R. Casadio and A. Giusti, Phys. Lett. B **797** (2019) 134915 [arXiv:1904.12663 [gr-qc]].
- [11] R. Casadio, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) 10 [arXiv:2103.14582 [gr-qc]].
- [12] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **56** (1939) 455.
- [13] H. Stephani, “Relativity” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [14] R. Casadio, “Quantum black holes and resolution of the singularity,” [arXiv:2103.00183 [gr-qc]]; R. Casadio, Universe **7** (2021) 478.
- [15] M. Gleiser and N. Stamatopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **713** (2012) 304 [arXiv:1111.5597 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. Gleiser and D. Sowinski, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) 056026 [arXiv:1807.07588 [hep-th]].
- [17] M. Gleiser, M. Stephens and D. Sowinski, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) 096007 [arXiv:1803.08550 [hep-th]].
- [18] N. R. F. Braga and R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **767** (2017) 386 [arXiv:1612.03289 [hep-th]].
- [19] N. R. F. Braga, Phys. Lett. B **797** (2019) 134919 [arXiv:1907.05756 [hep-th]].
- [20] R. A. C. Correa and R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 522 [arXiv:1502.02283 [hep-th]].
- [21] M. Gleiser and N. Jiang, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 044046 [arXiv:1506.05722 [gr-qc]].
- [22] M. Gleiser and D. Sowinski, Phys. Lett. B **727** (2013) 272 [arXiv:1307.0530 [hep-th]].
- [23] W. Barreto and R. da Rocha, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) 064049 [arXiv:2201.08324 [hep-th]].
- [24] R. Casadio and R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **763** (2016) 434 [arXiv:1610.01572 [hep-th]].
- [25] C. O. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **772** (2017) 471 [arXiv:1705.09047 [gr-qc]].

- [26] A. Fernandes-Silva, A. J. Ferreira-Martins and R. da Rocha, *Phys. Lett. B* **791** (2019) 323 [arXiv:1901.07492 [hep-th]].
- [27] R. da Rocha, *Phys. Lett. B* **823** (2021) 136729 [arXiv:2108.13484 [gr-qc]].
- [28] M. Gleiser and N. Jiang, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **57** (2018) 1691 [arXiv:1703.06818 [physics.atom-ph]].
- [29] M. Gleiser and M. Krackow, *Phys. Lett. B* **805** (2020) 135450 [arXiv:2003.10899 [hep-th]].
- [30] D. Bazeia, D. C. Moreira and E. I. B. Rodrigues, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **475** (2019) 734 [arXiv:1812.04950 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [31] A. E. Bernardini and R. da Rocha, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 107 [arXiv:1605.00294 [hep-th]].
- [32] C. E. Shannon, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* **27** (1948) 379.
- [33] R.L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **116** (1959) 1322.
- [34] C. Kiefer, “Quantum Gravity,” (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- [35] C. Vaz, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 086020 [arXiv:2204.02435 [gr-qc]].
- [36] P. Hajicek, B. S. Kay and K. V. Kuchar, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 5439.
- [37] P. Hajicek and C. Kiefer, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **10** (2001) 775 [arXiv:gr-qc/0107102 [gr-qc]].
- [38] R. Casadio and G. Venturi, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** (1996) 2715 [arXiv:gr-qc/9512032 [gr-qc]].
- [39] V. Husain, J. G. Kelly, R. Santacruz and E. Wilson-Ewing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022)121301 [arXiv:2109.08667 [gr-qc]].
- [40] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2333.