arXiv:2206.10427v3 [hep-th] 28 Jul 2022

Logarithmic Corrections, Entanglement Entropy, and UV Cutoffs in de Sitter Spacetime

Gabriel Arenas–Henriquez[a] **Felipe Diaz**[b] **Per Sundell**[c]

[a] *Centre for Particle Theory, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK.*

[b] *Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Sazié 2212, Santiago de Chile.*

[c] *Centro de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Avda. Andrés Bello 720, 3800708, Chillán, Chile.*

E-mail: gabriel.arenas-henriquez@durham.ac.uk, f.diazmartinez@uandresbello.edu, per.anders.sundell@gmail.com

Abstract: It has been argued that the entropy of de Sitter space corresponds to the entanglement between disconnected regions computable by switching on a replica parameter q modeled by the quotient $\mathrm{dS}/\mathbb{Z}_q$. Within this framework, we show that the centrally-extended asymptotic symmetry algebra near the cosmic horizon is a single copy of the Virasoro algebra. The resulting density of states matches the semi-classical result of Gibbons and Hawking up to an undetermined constant that is chosen to reproduce the entanglement entropy previously found in the literature. It follows that the logarithmic quantum corrections to the Cardy entropy reproduces the known one-loop result computed in the bulk in the presence of a cutoff. The resulting entanglement entropy follows the divergent area law, where the UV cutoff is now a function of the replica parameter. Thus, as the near-horizon CFT fixes the cutoff in units of the Planck scale, the model can be viewed as a probe into whether the defect Hilbert space has a finite dimension; indeed, the limit $q \to 0$, reproduces Banks' formula. We also study the quantum corrections of the effective description of the horizon entropy by means of Liouville field theory, where the large q limit corresponds to a realization of $\mathrm{dS}_3/\mathrm{CFT}_2$ correspondence matching the logarithmic corrections to three-dimensional de Sitter space obtained by computing the one-loop contribution to the quantum gravity partition function in the round three-sphere.

Contents

1 Introduction and summary **1**

2 Rindler horizons and defects **3**
- 2.1 Undeformed de Sitter geometry 3
- 2.2 Orbifolding the horizon 5
- 2.3 Semi-classical entropy computation 7

3 Near-Horizon algebra and Cardy entropy **8**
- 3.1 Asymptotic charges 8
- 3.2 Asymptotic Virasoro symmetries 9
- 3.3 Maximal entanglement condition 10

4 Logarithmic corrections and UV divergences **10**
- 4.1 q-dependent bulk cut-offs 10
- 4.2 Dimensionality formulae for large and small q 12

5 Codimension-2 holography in large q limit **12**

6 Conclusions and outlook **14**

A Entropy functions **15**

B Asymptotic charges **19**

C Bunch–Davies vacuum **20**

1 Introduction and summary

Four-dimensional de Sitter spacetime, dS_4, is a vacuum solution to Einstein equations with a positive cosmological constant, with maximal isometry group, $SO(1,4)$, which acts as a positive vacuum pressure. This solution can be interpreted as a FLRW universe with positively curved spatial slices given by round three-spheres and exponential scale factor. Current observations show that our universe is described by a cosmological constant with positive small value, and is expanding with accelerating pace [1, 2], such that at late times the universe may end in an exponential growth which is characterized by the dS_4 geometry. Early periods of the universe may have been dominated by an inflationary era after the big bang such that certain problems in cosmology can be understood by means of this inflationary process [3, 4]; thus, the understanding of de Sitter spacetime has a profound implication in the study of cosmology of our universe. One consequence of this exponential growth is that a static observer inside a dS_4 universe does not have access to the whole spacetime being limited by the existence of a cosmological horizon. Interestingly, this horizon is a Killing horizon which shares properties with the event horizon of a black hole. For instance, it has been shown that there is an associated temperature [5] analogue to Hawking radiation [6], and therefore an entropy that was found by Gibbons and

Hawking [7] which follows the area law [8]. Nonetheless, in contrast with black hole thermodynamics, these are observer dependent quantities, and due to the lack of access to the asymptotic boundary, an static observer is limited in constructing observables [9, 10].

The understanding of de Sitter spacetime poses a challenge to quantum gravity, and in particular to string and M theory, because of complications in constructing stable de Sitter solutions in supergravity [11, 12], which has stimulated the idea that de Sitter spacetime may actually belong to an untractable swampland of a string theory landscape [13, 14]. As a matter of fact, the treatment of asymptotically de Sitter backgrounds in the context of M-theory has lead to the proposal that the dimension of the Hilbert space of a quantum theory of gravity is finite [15] given by the exponential of the Gibbons–Hawking entropy. This implies a problem for the quantization of gravity with Einstein–Hilbert action as a starting point [9] .

In [16] has been shown, using covariant phase-space methods, that the constraint algebra of general relativity, upon taking a suitable set of boundary conditions, gives rise to a centrally extended, affine algebra. Particularly, if the boundary consists of a Killing horizon, it is possible to relate this algebra with one copy of the Virasoro algebra. Therefore, one can make use of conformal field theory (CFT) techniques in order to describe underlying degrees of freedom of the horizon. In the case of the black hole horizon, the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy matches the one of the horizon CFT [17]. Remarkably, this result can be easily applied to the cosmological horizon of de Sitter space, in which the Cardy entropy associated to the horizon CFT renders the result obtained by Gibbons and Hawking. Thus, by examining the asymptotic symmetry algebra of the horizon, one may obtain non-trivial information about the density of states of a Killing horizon, which thus extends the statistical mechanical approach to general relativity. Moreover, computing Gaussian fluctuations, Carlip showed [18] that the density of states acquires an extra contribution resulting in a logarithmic correction to the entropy of the horizon CFT. This reproduces the lowest order quantum corrections to black hole entropy that has been computed using other methods [19, 20]. In this approach, the asymptotic algebra is constructed by considering diffeomorphisms that preserve the asymptotic structure and have well defined asymptotic charges associated to them. In this construction, the central charge appears with a free parameter that can be fixed in order to recover the area law. This mechanism has been used [21] in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence such that the holographic Renyi entropy acquires an extra contribution that imply a logarithmic divergence in the entanglement limit.

Recently, it has been argued [22–37] by using different approaches, that de Sitter space corresponds to a maximally entangled state incorporating pairings between disconnected regions of spacetime, leading to a density matrix with an associated Renyi entropy that is constant. Therefore, one can interpret the Gibbons–Hawking entropy as the entanglement entropy between the entangled regions. In [27, 28], the entanglement between disconnected Rindler observers has been computed by using a single coordinate patch that contains two causally disconnected Rindler observers, and considering the reduced density matrix of one of them. This was achieved by letting $\mathbb{Z}_q$ act on the doubled Rindler patch so as to produce an orbifold geometry with two antipodal conical defects[1]. The parameter q can be identified as the replica parameter and the $q \to 1$ limit, referred to as the tensionless limit, leads back to the smooth de Sitter geometry. This limit is nothing else than the entanglement entropy limit of the Renyi entropy, which is bigger than the Gibbons–Hawking entropy due to the presence of both patches considered [27]. Moreover, following [38], a consistent truncation of this construction onto

[1] In principle, one may assign the two pole separate q_N and q_S parameters leading to a Thurston's spindle. In our work, we take $q_N = q_S = q$ such that the resulting geometry can be described by means of a single quotient $\mathrm{dS}/\mathbb{Z}_q$.

Liouville field theory gives a q-dependent central charge that vanishes in the tensionless limit, thus having zero Cardy entropy. The associated Renyi entropy of the system equals the Gibbons–Hawking entropy in agreement with previous results.

In this paper, we combine Carlip's conformal description of the cosmological horizon by imposing maximal entanglement requiring q-independent Renyi entropy which leaves one free parameter that can be used to match the entanglement entropy with the Gibbons–Hawking entropy at the semi-classical level. To this end, we use of the Barnich–Brandt formalism [39, 40] to compute the asymptotic charges using the Carlip–Silva asymptotic generators [41, 42]. This preserves the asymptotic structure and produces finite charges whose algebra yields the same non-trivial central charge as that found by Carlip [41]. Assuming furthermore, the validity of Cardy entropy formula, the Gibbons–Hawking entropy is recovered upon matching the free parameter. We then consider the quantum corrections to the Cardy entropy found in [18]. It follows that the Renyi entropy is no longer constant and diverges in the tensionless limit as a direct consequence of the fact that the central charge vanishes in this particular point. We also investigate other limits of the corrected Renyi entropy: the min-entropy limit, which gives the larges eigenvalue of the reduced density matrix; the max-entropy which gives the dimension of the Hilbert space, and the von Neumann entropy, that is, the entanglement entropy. We show that the latter now acquires a UV divergence in agreement with the entanglement area law in quantum field theory (QFT) due to the short-range interaction near the boundaries of the entanglement region [43]. This divergence arises in the tensionless limit (that as aforementioned, corresponds to the entanglement entropy limit), allowing us to introduce a UV cutoff giving a physical interpretation for the orbifold parameter. The IR finite term corresponds to the Gibbons–Hawking entropy, and we show that the proposed connection between the dimension of the Hilbert space and the entropy holds beyond the semiclassical order by noticing that the cutoff goes to infinity in the max-entropy limit.

In [28], it was shown that the large q limit resembles the three-dimensional global dS geometry with the defects corresponding to the conformal future- and past-infinities $\mathcal{I}^{\pm}$. Therefore, the Liouville central charge resembles the one found in [44] of $\mathcal{I}^{\pm}$ by considering an holographic CFT description of dS in three dimensions. The corresponding Cardy entropy renders the Gibbons–Hawking entropy. We also consider the logarithmic corrections to this scheme, showing that in the $q \to \infty$ limit, the resulting min-entropy corresponds to the three-dimensional de Sitter entropy with the quantum corrections recently found in [45, 46].

2 Rindler horizons and defects

2.1 Undeformed de Sitter geometry

Global geometry. Global de Sitter spacetime of signature $(1, d-1)$ and radius ℓ, here denoted by dS_d, is the solution to Einstein's field equations in d dimensions with cosmological constant $\Lambda = (d-1)(d-2)/(2\ell^2)$ given by the induced metric ds^2 on the hyperboloid

$$Y_A Y^A = \ell^2 \ , \qquad A = 0, 1, \ldots, d \ , \tag{2.1}$$

in $(d+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, viz.

$$ds^2 = -dY_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} dY_i^2 \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The global geometry is maximally symmetric with isometry group $O(1,d-1)$, and can be viewed as a foliation along a global time with the topology of $\mathbb{R}$ with space-like leaves given by round $(d-1)$-spheres with two conformal boundaries at $Y_0 \to \pm\infty$, denoted by $\mathcal{I}_d^{\pm}$, respectively. The intrinsic line-element can be coordinatized as

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2(T/\ell) d\Omega_{d-1}^2 \ , \tag{2.3}$$

using a global time coordinate $T \in]-\infty, +\infty[$, and the metric $d\Omega_{d-1}^2$ of the round, unit $(d-1)$-sphere.

Causal patches. The exponential inflation prevents a static observer, O, say, often referred to as a Rindler observer, from communicating with the entire geometry, since upon emitting light rays, these must return to O prior to having reached half-way across the spatial slice. The envelop of all such rays forms a causally connected region, $\mathfrak{R}_O \subset \mathrm{dS}$, referred to as a Rindler patch. Each such patch has S^2-topology and a metrically bifurcated boundary

$$\partial\mathfrak{R}_O = \mathcal{H}_- \cup (\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathbb{R}_-) \cup \mathcal{H}_\infty \cup (\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cup \mathcal{H}_+ \ , \qquad \mathcal{H}_\pm \cong \mathcal{H}_\infty \cong S^{d-2} \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ are the cosmological horizons, with past and future limits $\mathcal{H}_-$ and $\mathcal{H}_+$, respectively, residing as defects inside $\mathcal{I}^{\pm}$. The interior of $\mathfrak{R}_O$ as well as the branches of its bifurcated boundary are stabilized by

$$SO(1,d)_{\mathfrak{R}} \cong SO(1,d-1) \ , \tag{2.5}$$

which together with the discrete time-reversal operation makes up the maximal isometry group $O(1,d-1)$ of a Rindler patch.

Euclidean patch. Treating de Sitter path integral in the Euclidean regime, the required Wick rotation maps each Rindler patch into a round S^d, and the Euclidean field theory has a Hawking temperature [5]

$$T_{\mathrm{H}} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi\ell} \ , \tag{2.6}$$

with conjugated Gibbons–Hawking entropy [7]

$$S_{\mathrm{GH}} = \frac{A_{\mathcal{H}}}{4\hbar G_d} \ , \tag{2.7}$$

where $A_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the area of the cosmological horizon, and G_d is Newton's constant in d dimensions.

Double Rindler patch. From here on, we will work in four space-time dimensions, where $A_{\mathcal{H}} = 4\pi\ell^2$. In order to exhibit entanglement, it is natural to introduce coordinates adapted to unions $\mathfrak{R}_S \cup \mathfrak{R}_N$ of pair of causally disconnected Rindler patches, which can be achieved by the embedding [27]

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \sqrt{\ell^2 - r^2}\, \cos\theta\, \sinh(t/\ell) \ , \quad Y_1 = \sqrt{\ell^2 - r^2}\, \cos\theta\, \cosh(t/\ell) \ , \\ Y_2 &= r\, \cos\theta \ , \quad Y_3 = \ell \sin\theta \cos\phi \ , \quad Y_4 = \ell \sin\theta \sin\phi \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$-\infty < t < \infty \ , \quad -\ell < r < \ell \ , \quad 0 \le \theta \le \pi \ , \quad 0 \le \phi < 2\pi \ , \tag{2.9}$$

with N and S tracing out the worldlines $(r,\theta)=(0,0)$ and $(r,\theta)=(0,\pi)$, respectively. The resulting intrinsic line element, viz.

$$ds^2|_{\mathfrak{R}_S\cup\mathfrak{R}_N}=\ell^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\phi^2)+\cos^2\theta\left(-f(r)dt^2+\frac{dr^2}{f(r)}\right)\ ,\qquad f(r)=1-\frac{r^2}{\ell^2}\ , \tag{2.10}$$

is a fibration over S^2 with fibers above the equator given by points, and fibers above the strictly northern and southern hemispheres given by radially extended, two-dimensional Rindler patches, denoted by $\mathfrak{R}_2$, which are indeed contractible to points.




Fig. 1: Penrose diagram of de Sitter spacetime with two Rindler observers $\mathfrak{R}_N$ and $\mathfrak{R}_S$. $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ corresponds to the past and future conformal infinities of the global geometry.

We denote the northern and southern fibers by $\mathfrak{R}_2\pm$, respectively, such that N's worldline is located at $r=0$ in the $\mathfrak{R}_2^+$ above $\theta=0$, idem S and $\theta=\pi$. The stabilizers in $SO(1,4)$ of each embedded $\mathfrak{R}_2$-fiber is given by

$$SO(1,4)_{\mathfrak{R}_2^\pm}=SL(2,\mathbb{R})\times U(1)\ , \tag{2.11}$$

where the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-factor contains a $U(1)$ subgroup generated by the time-translation vector field $\vec{\partial}_t$, and the $U(1)$-factor is generated by the rotational vector field $\vec{\partial}_\phi$[2].

2.2 Orbifolding the horizon

We follow [27, 28], by considering the orbifold

$$dS_q:=(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}_N\cup\mathfrak{R}_S})_q/\mathbb{Z}_q\ ,\qquad f=f\sim g\sim\mathbb{Z}_q\subset SO(1,3)\ , \tag{2.12}$$

with q-fold, branched cover $(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}_N\cup\mathfrak{R}_S})_q$ with a natural $\mathbb{Z}_q$-action via a Killing vector field $\vec{J}$ of length $g(\vec{J},\vec{J})=1$; as $\vec{J}$ generates a $U(1)$ subgroup of $SO(1,3)$, the orbifold parameter can be extended from the positive integers to $q\in\mathbb{R}_+$. The orbifold fixed points form a pair (Σ_S,Σ_N) of antipodal, sub-manifolds of co-dimension two, referred to as defects, with induced $\mathfrak{R}_2$ metrics. The defects generate δ^2-function singularities in the Riemann curvature two-form [49] proportional to the conical deficit $2\pi\,(1-1/q)$. A well-posed variational principle requires to supplement the Einstein–Hilbert action with extra terms localized at the defects that provide singular stress tensors cancelling the singularities in the bulk Einstein tensor, such that the field equations hold everywhere, even at the

[2]The corresponding isometry group matches the one of the near horizon geometry of an extremal Kerr black hole, such that the asymptotic symmetry group generators of [47] can be used in order to reproduce the Gibbons–Hawking entropy [48].

defects [50]. To treat maximally symmetric defects, it suffices to dress the defects by Nambu–Goto actions with a q-dependent tension $\mathcal{T}_{q_\xi}$, resulting in a total action

$$I_q = I_{\rm EH} + I_{\rm def} \ , \qquad I_{\rm def} = \sum_{\xi={\rm N,S}} I_\xi \ , \tag{2.13}$$

$$I_\xi = -\mathcal{T}_{q_\xi} \int_{\Sigma_\xi} d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\det(g|_{\Sigma_\xi})} \ , \qquad \mathcal{T}_{q_\xi} = \frac{1}{4G_4}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_\xi}\right) \ , \qquad q_{\rm N} = q_{\rm S} = q \ . \tag{2.14}$$

Thus, the smooth de Sitter geometry is recovered in the $q \to 1$ limit, referred as the tensionless limit. The dressed defects can thus be interpreted [28] as Rindler observers with mass proportional to $1-q$, stretched out into strings (in the direction determined by $\vec{J}$) back-reacting to the surrounding geometry. Choosing polar coordinates on S^2 such that $\vec{J} = \vec{\partial}_\phi$, the orbifolded line element

$$ds_q^2 = \cos^2\theta \left(-f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)}\right) + \ell^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{q^2} d\phi^2\right) \ , \tag{2.15}$$

where the ranges of all coordinates are as before, which thus cover the entire q-deformed, double Rindler patch, with Rindler defects located at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$, respectively; it follows that

$$ds_q^2\big|_{\Sigma_{N,S}} = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} \ . \tag{2.16}$$

The q-deformed, double Rindler wedge has cosmological horizon given by a bifurcated Killing horizon S^2-topology located at $r = \pm\ell$, where the Killing vector field $\vec{\chi} := \vec{\partial}_t$ passes from being time-like to light-like, with q-independent surface gravity, viz.

$$\chi^2 := ds_q^2(\vec{\chi},\vec{\chi}) = \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\ell^2}\left(r^2-\ell^2\right) \ , \qquad \kappa^2 := -\lim_{\chi^2\to 0} \frac{\nabla_\mu\chi^2\nabla^\mu\chi^2}{4\chi^2} = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \ . \tag{2.17}$$

The orbifolding procedure of the horizon is illustrated in Figure 2




Fig. 2: The spherical geometry of the cosmological horizon $\mathcal{H}$ after the orbifolding deforms to that of the spindle $S^2/\mathbb{Z}_q$ with radius $\ell_q = \ell/q$. The set of fixed points Σ_N and Σ_S correspond to the defects located at $\theta = 0, \pi$ respectively, both with induced metric (2.16).

2.3 Semi-classical entropy computation

Using the formalism of Appendix A, we assign to each Rindler observer a q-independent Hamiltonian $H_{\mathfrak{R}}$, and a reduced density matrix $\rho_{\mathfrak{R}} := e^{-H_{\mathfrak{R}}}$. Introducing a modular temperature

$$T_q := q^{-1} \ , \tag{2.18}$$

treated as the conjugate variable of $H_{\mathfrak{R}}$, the resulting thermal partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} := \mathrm{tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{R}}} \rho_{\mathfrak{R}}^q = \mathrm{tr}_{H_{\mathfrak{R}}} \ \exp\left\{-qH_{\mathfrak{R}}\right\} \ , \tag{2.19}$$

computed on the q-fold, branched cover $\widehat{S}^4_q$ of the Euclideanized orbifold

$$S^4_q := \widehat{S}^4_q/\mathbb{Z}_q \ , \tag{2.20}$$

can be re-written using the Cardy–Calabrese formula [51]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}[\widehat{S}^4_q]}{\mathcal{Z}[S^4]^q} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}[S^4_q]}{\mathcal{Z}[S^4]}\right)^q \ . \tag{2.21}$$

In the semi-classical approximation,

$$\mathcal{Z}[S^4_q] \approx \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\hbar} I^E[S^4_q]\right\} \ , \qquad \mathcal{Z}[S^4] \approx \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\hbar} I^E[S^4]\right\} \ , \tag{2.22}$$

where the total Euclidean action

$$I^E_q[S^4_q] = -\frac{q}{16\pi G_4}\int_{S^4} d^4x\sqrt{\det(g_q)}\,(R-2\Lambda) + I^E_{\mathrm{def}} = \left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)\frac{\pi\ell^2}{G_4} \ . \tag{2.23}$$

It follows that each q-deformed Rindler observer has the modular free energy

$$F_q := -\frac{1}{q}\log\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} \approx \frac{1}{\hbar}(I^E_{\mathfrak{R}}[S^4/\mathbb{Z}_q] - I^E[S^4]) = 2\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\frac{\pi\ell^2}{\hbar G_4} \ ,$$

which is the quantity to be identified with the semi-classical entropy obtained by computing the thermal partition function in reduced phase-spaces attached to various regions of the Rindler patch. From the exact relation between Renyi entropy and free energy,

$$S_q = \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} F_q \ , \tag{2.24}$$

it follows that

$$S_q \approx \frac{2\pi\ell^2}{\hbar G_4} = 2S_{\mathrm{GH}} \ , \qquad \forall q \geqslant 1 \ , \tag{2.25}$$

whose q-independence can be interpreted as that the original pair of Rindler observers formed a maximally entangled state in agreement with [22, 24, 26–29, 35–37], supporting the interpretation of that the Gibbons–Hawking entropy is due to entanglement between causally disconnected Rindler patches[3].

[3] In [28], the modular free energy was instead computed by means of a consistent truncation of the total action to a Liouville theory on the defect, which indeed yields a constant Renyi entropy in the semiclassical regime as well.

3 Near-Horizon algebra and Cardy entropy

3.1 Asymptotic charges

Carlip has shown [16, 41, 52] that general relativity admits classes of asymptotic boundary conditions near Killing horizons forming modules of centrally extended, asymptotic Virasoro charges. Under the assumption of modular invariance, the asymptotic charges induce an entropy obeying the area law.

In what follows, we shall assign the q-deformed Rindler patches classes of boundary conditions at their cosmological horizons inducing Virasoro modules with finite central charges for $q > 1$ fixed up to one free parameter by assumption of maximal entanglement. These considerations are facilitated by the space-time covariant Barnich–Brandt–Compere (BBC) formalism [39, 40, 53, 54], which maps algebras of asymptotic Killing vector fields (AKVs) $\vec{\zeta}$ stabilizing spaces of metric fluctuations h in the near-cosmic horizon region to charges

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}[h;g] = \frac{1}{8\pi G_4}\oint\limits_{\mathcal{H}} k_{\vec{\zeta}}[h,g] \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the cosmological horizon, and[4]

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\vec{\zeta}}[h,g] = -\frac{1}{4}dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\Big[&\zeta^\nu \nabla^\mu h^\rho{}_\rho - \zeta^\nu \nabla_\sigma h^{\sigma\mu} + \zeta_\sigma \nabla^\nu h^{\mu\sigma} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}h^\rho{}_\rho \nabla^\nu \zeta^\mu - h^{\nu\sigma}\nabla_\sigma \zeta^\mu + \frac{1}{2}h^{\sigma\nu}\left(\nabla^\mu \zeta_\sigma + \nabla_\sigma \zeta^\mu\right)\Big] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

is a background-covariant two-form, obeying the centrally extended, Dirac-bracket algebra

$$\left\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}\right\} = \mathcal{Q}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]} + \frac{1}{8\pi G_4}\int\limits_{\mathcal{H}} k_{\vec{\zeta}}[\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}'}g, g] \ , \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}}$ denotes the Lie derivative along $\vec{\zeta}$. The two-form is a potential of the Noether three-form [55], which is closed on the entire Rindler patch, including the defect, as a consequence of the variational principle, which means that the central charge accounts for those asymptotic degrees of freedom that extend smoothly into the entire Rindler patch (including the defect).

A family of vectors satisfying the Diff(S^1) algebra (or de Witt algebra)

$$i[\vec{\zeta}_m, \vec{\zeta}_n] = (m-n)\vec{\zeta}_{m+n} \ , \tag{3.4}$$

and that preserve the asymptotic symmetries of a Killing horizon, has been found in [41, 42] and have the form of

$$\zeta^\mu_m = T_m \chi^\mu + R_m \rho^\mu \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Here χ^μ is the null vector defined in (2.17), while R_m and T_m are coordinate-dependent functions obtained by requiring that the structure of the horizon is preserved [16], i.e., χ^μ remains null at $r = \ell$, and

$$\rho_\mu = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\nabla_\mu \chi^2 \ , \tag{3.6}$$

[4] Tensorial indices are raised and lowered using the background metric g.

is a vector normal to the horizon with vanishing norm at the horizon. To obtain the Killing vectors $\vec{\zeta}$, is enough to assume that, near the horizon, they correspond to conformal Killing vectors [38, 42], viz.

$$\nabla_\mu \chi_\nu + \nabla_\nu \chi_\mu = \mathcal{O}(\chi^2) g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\chi^4) \ , \tag{3.7}$$

such that a set of diffeomorphisms $\vec{\zeta}_m$ of (2.10) must satisfy

$$\delta_\zeta \chi^2 = \mathcal{O}(\chi^4) \ , \tag{3.8}$$

$$\delta_\zeta \rho_\mu = \mathcal{O}(\chi^2) \rho_\mu \ , \tag{3.9}$$

that for (3.5) implies

$$\mathcal{L}_\chi T_n + \kappa R_n = \mathcal{O}(\chi^2) \ , \qquad \rho^\mu \nabla_\mu T_n = \mathcal{O}(\chi^2) T_n \ . \tag{3.10}$$

These asymptotic generators, indeed, satisfy a stronger condition imposed by Carlip [41]

$$\lim_{\chi^2 \to 0} \frac{\chi^\mu \chi_\nu}{\chi^2} \nabla_\mu \zeta_m^\nu = \lim_{\chi^2 \to 0} \chi^\mu \nabla_\mu \left(\frac{\chi_\nu \zeta_m^\nu}{\chi^2} \right) - \kappa \frac{\rho_\mu \zeta_m^\mu}{\chi^2} = 0 \ , \tag{3.11}$$

guaranteeing that χ^μ is a Killing vector in the horizon neighborhood. Therefore, the condition (3.11) can be seen as the horizon analogs to the fall-off conditions that one uses at infinity to obtain the asymptotic symmetry algebra. Particularly, the previous condition is satisfied for (3.5) with

$$T_m = -\frac{\ell}{\alpha} \exp\{ im(\phi - \alpha t/\ell) \} \ , \qquad R_m = \frac{\alpha}{l\kappa} im T_m \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where α is an undetermined real number, satisfying the de Witt algebra (3.4) and preserving the asymptotic structure. The α parameter has been chosen in order to reproduce the horizon entropy in [18, 41, 42, 52, 56]. In the following subsection, we will rescale α by requiring that the resulting Renyi entropy describe a maximally mixed entangled state as obtained in [26, 27], such that α depends on the orbifold parameter q, giving a central charge that resembles the one found in [28].

3.2 Asymptotic Virasoro symmetries

The resulting symmetry group generated by the AKVs (3.5) is given by a single copy of (3.3) with central extension

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int_{\mathcal{H}} k_{\vec{\zeta}_m} [\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}_n} g, g] = -i \left(\frac{\ell^2}{4q\alpha G_4} \right) (\alpha^2 m^3 + 2m) \delta_{m+n,0} \ . \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, promoting the Dirac bracket to a quantum commutator, viz. $\{\cdot,\cdot\} \to \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot]$, and defining quantum operators

$$L_m := \mathcal{Q}_m + \frac{3\ell^2}{8G_4} \frac{\alpha}{q} \delta_{m,0} \ , \tag{3.14}$$

yields a chiral Virasoro algebra

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n) L_{m+n} + \frac{c_q}{12} m(m^2 - 1) \delta_{m+n,0} \ , \tag{3.15}$$

with central charge

$$c_q = 12i \lim_{r \to \ell} \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}_m} [\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}_{-m}} g, g]\big|_{m^3} = \frac{3\ell^2}{\hbar G_4} \frac{\alpha}{q} \ . \tag{3.16}$$

3.3 Maximal entanglement condition

Assuming that the asymptotic charges give rise to a modular invariant thermal quantum theory, the semi-classical approximation of the corresponding Virasoro partition yields the Cardy entropy [17]

$$S_{\rm C} \approx S_{\rm C}^{(0)} := 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{c_q\Delta}{6}} = \frac{\pi^2}{3}c_q T \ , \tag{3.17}$$

where T, which is the temperature of the chiral modes near the horizon, has been eliminated by means of

$$\frac{\partial S_{\rm C}}{\partial\Delta} = \frac{1}{T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta = \tfrac{\pi^2}{6}c_q T^2 \ . \tag{3.18}$$

Viewing the orbifolding procedure as a computation in a quantum theory using replicas, the Cardy entropy (3.17) is identified as the modular free energy F_q of the degrees of freedom in the q-deformed near-horizon region. Let us redefine $\alpha = 2\gamma(q-1)$, where $\gamma > 0$ is a finite undetermined constant, by requiring that the original pair of Rindler observers formed a maximally mixed entangled state, that is, that the corresponding Renyi entropy S_q, which is given by Baez' formula (2.24), is q-independent. Therefore, the central charge, Cardy entropy, and Renyi entropy are given by

$$c_q = \frac{6\ell^2}{\hbar G_4}\gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \ , \qquad S_{\rm C}^{(0)} = \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\gamma S_{\rm GH} \ , \qquad S_q^{(0)} = \gamma S_{\rm GH} \ , \tag{3.19}$$

respectively. When $\gamma = 1$, the above result agrees with previous computations [22, 24, 26, 27, 37]. Therefore, the cosmological horizon of the deformed geometry reduces to that of the smooth dS geometry, whose thermal properties can thus be interpreted as being due to the entanglement between the causally disconnected Rindler observers encoded into the two-dimensional thermal CFT with modes having temperature (C.6) and central charge (3.16).

4 Logarithmic corrections and UV divergences

4.1 q-dependent bulk cut-offs

Working perturbatively inside the bulk, on a single Wick-rotated Rindler patch, $\mathfrak{R}$, the free energy can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} \approx \exp\left\{-\tfrac{1}{\hbar}I_q^E - \frac{1}{2}\log\det\nabla\right\} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

including the semi-classical Gibbons–Hawking entropy and its one-loop correction. By our hypothesis, the thermal description of the near-horizon entropy is captured by a chiral CFT with Bunch–Davies temperature and central charge c_q given in Eq. (3.19). We also recall that in a thermal replica theory with normalized inverse temperature q, the Renyi entropy S_q is related via (2.24) to the moduluar, free energy F_q in its turn given by

$$F_q = -\frac{1}{q}\log\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} = S_{\rm C} \ ; \tag{4.2}$$

for further details, see Appendix A. Including Carlip's correction to the Cardy entropy [18], the density of states for a two-dimensional, thermal, chiral CFT can be written as

$$\rho(\Delta) \approx \left(\frac{c}{96\Delta^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left\{2\pi\sqrt{\frac{c\Delta}{6}}\right\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \rho(T) \approx \frac{c}{144}(S_{\rm C}^{(0)})^{-\frac{3}{2}}\exp\left\{S_{\rm C}^{(0)}\right\} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

Using the central charge c_q for the asymptotic charges in the near-horizon region, and the corresponding semi-classical Cardy entropy $S_{\rm C}^{(0)}$, both given in (3.19), the logarithmically corrected Cardy entropy

$$S_{\rm C} \approx S_{\rm C}^{(0)} - \frac{3}{2}\log S_{\rm C}^{(0)} + \log\left(\frac{c}{144}\right) = \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\gamma S_{\rm GH} - \frac{3}{2}\log\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma S_{\rm GH}\right] \ , \tag{4.4}$$

modulo constants, which thus contains a divergent quantum correction in the tensionless limit. Following subsection 2.3, we can obtain the modular free energy by inverting (2.24), which yields the Renyi entropy

$$S_q = \gamma S_{\rm GH} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\log\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma S_{\rm GH}\right] \ , \tag{4.5}$$

with the following limits

$$S_{\rm E} = \lim_{q\to 1} S_q = \gamma S_{\rm GH} - \frac{3}{2}\lim_{q\to 1}\frac{q}{q-1}\log\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\gamma S_{\rm GH}\right] \ , \tag{4.6}$$

$$S_\infty = \lim_{q\to\infty} S_q = \gamma S_{\rm GH} - \frac{3}{2}\log\gamma S_{\rm GH} \ , \tag{4.7}$$

$$S_0 = \lim_{q\to 0} S_q = \gamma S_{\rm GH} \ . \tag{4.8}$$

As we can see from (4.6), the entanglement entropy acquires an extra divergent term coming from the 1-loop determinant and a finite term corresponding to the Gibbons–Hawking entropy as previously computed in different contexts [22, 24, 26–29, 35–37]. The extra logarithmic divergence can be rewritten as

$$S_{\rm E} = \gamma\frac{A_{\mathcal{H}}}{\delta_q^2} + \gamma\frac{A_{\mathcal{H}}}{4\hbar G_4} \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where δ_q reads as

$$\delta_q^2 = \frac{2\gamma A_{\mathcal{H}}}{3}\left(\frac{1-q}{q}\right)\log\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{\gamma A_{\mathcal{H}}}{4\hbar G_4}\right]^{-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) = \frac{\delta_q^2}{2\gamma A_{\mathcal{H}}}W_0\left(\frac{128\hbar^3 G_4^3}{\gamma^2 A_{\mathcal{H}}^2\delta_q^2}\right), \tag{4.10}$$

where $W_0(x)$ is the Lambert W function. We can see that in the tensionless limit $q\to 1$,

$$\lim_{q\to 1}\delta_q = 0 \ , \tag{4.11}$$

giving the divergent area-law of entanglement entropy [43, 57] where δ_q can be identified with the UV cutoff appearing in the standard QFT description literature (see Appendix A). Therefore, the cutoff is related to the geometric orbifold parameter which acquires a physical interpretation in terms of energy scales. The finite contribution corresponds to the Gibbons–Hawking entropy in agreement with [24, 26, 58]. One can also compare the result with the one-loop contributions to black-hole entropy obtained in [59] by considering conical singularities associated to time coordinate. These conical singularities modifies the temperature of the black hole giving quantum corrections to the entropy which contain two divergences; one associated to interactions near the tip of the cone, and other related to UV divergences. In order to obtain the correct result one needs to match the regulators for both divergences. In particular, for the presented case, we have only one regulator associated to both, the UV divergences and the conical defects.

4.2 Dimensionality formulae for large and small q

The limits $q \to \infty$ and $q \to 0$ of the Renyi entropy, referred to, respectively, as the min-entropy and the max-, or Hartley, entropy, yield information of the dimensionality of the density matrix ρ_A [60, 61], namely

$$S_\infty = -\log \lambda_1 \ , \tag{4.12}$$

$$S_0 = \log \mathcal{D} \ , \tag{4.13}$$

where λ_1 is the largest eigenvalue that is active in ρ_A, and $\mathcal{D}$ corresponds to the number of non-vanishing elements of ρ_A. In terms of (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$\lambda_1 = (\gamma S_{\rm GH})^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\{-\gamma S_{\rm GH}\} \ , \tag{4.14}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \exp\{\gamma S_{\rm GH}\} \ , \tag{4.15}$$

because of the large value of $S_{\rm GH}$ (for example, see [62]) we get $\lambda_1 \ll 1$ which is consistent with the fact that S_∞ represents the minimum value of the Renyi entropy, and $\mathcal{D} \gg 1$ gives the dimensionality of Hilbert space associated to a single Rindler observer $\mathcal{H}_A$ such that it has dimension $\exp\{\gamma S\}$, as is already proposed in [9, 15] when $\gamma = 1$. The limit $q \to 0$ corresponds to the limit in which the cutoff moves to the infrared $\delta \to \infty$ leading to the properties of dS spacetime in the IR.

5 Codimension-2 holography in large q limit

In [28], it was observed that in the limit $q \to \infty$, the orbifold dS_q reduces to global, three-dimensional de Sitter spacetime (dS_3) and the defects are sent to $\mathcal{I}_3^\pm$. Moreover, it was shown that Liouville theory on Σ_ξ was a consistent truncation at the classical level, and the associated central charge reproduces the one of (3.19) for $\gamma = 1/2$. Upon dimensional reduction of Newton's constant, the sum of the central charges of the two Rindler observers resembles the one appearing in the context of dS/CFT correspondence [44, 63, 64]. As a matter of fact, this can be viewed as a realization of $\mathrm{dS}_3/\mathrm{CFT}_2$ holography starting from the higher-dimensional singular spacetime $\mathrm{dS}_4/\mathbb{Z}_q$. Thus, we will extract information of the two-dimensional CFTs living at the space-like boundaries of three-dimensional de Sitter space $\mathcal{I}^\pm$. In particular, the quantum corrections to the corresponding two-dimensional holographic CFT are thus given by the large-q limits of those computed in the previous section, which remain finite, and have been computed in [18]. The large-q limit of the embedding coordinates (2.8) is given by

$$Y_0 = \sqrt{\ell^2 - r^2}\cos\theta \sinh(t/\ell) \ , \qquad Y_1 = \sqrt{\ell^2 - r^2}\cos\theta\cosh(t/\ell) \ ,$$

$$Y_2 = r\cos\theta \ , \qquad Y_3 = \ell \sin\theta \ , \qquad Y_4 = 0 \ , \tag{5.1}$$

upon using the $\mathbb{Z}_q$ action, satisfying the hyperboloid constraint $Y_A Y^A = \ell^2$ for $A = 0, \ldots, 3$, resulting in the induced line element

$$ds^2 = \cos^2\theta \left(-f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)}\right) + \ell^2 d\theta^2 \ . \tag{5.2}$$

As proposed in [27], the double Wick rotation $t \to i\ell\hat{\varphi}$, $\theta \to i\hat{\tau}/\ell$ resembles dS_3 in global coordinates, which in our case the embbeding coordinates lead to the line element

$$ds^2 = -d\hat{\tau}^2 + \cosh^2(\hat{\tau}/\ell)\left(\frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + \ell^2 f(r) d\hat{\varphi}^2\right) \ , \qquad \hat{\tau} \in \mathbb{R} \ , \qquad \hat{\varphi} \in [0, 2\pi] \ , \tag{5.3}$$

where the line element at fixed $\hat{\tau}$ corresponds to a Euclidean $\mathfrak{R}_2$, that is, a round S^2. Thus, taking $r = \ell \cos\hat{\phi}$, we get

$$ds^2 = -d\hat{\tau}^2 + \ell^2 \cosh^2(\hat{\tau}/\ell) d\hat{\Omega}_2^2 \ , \qquad \hat{\phi} \in [0, \pi] \ , \tag{5.4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\hat{\Omega}_2^2 = d\hat{\phi}^2 + \sin^2\hat{\phi}\ d\hat{\varphi}^2$ is the line element of the unit two-sphere. As expected, in the $q \to \infty$ limit, the minimal surfaces Σ_ξ corresponds to the past and future conformal infinities $\mathcal{I}_3^\pm$ of dS_3. The large q limit is illustrated in Figure 3.




Fig. 3: The $q \to \infty$ limit of the geometry corresponds to the zero radius ℓ_q limit where the spindle shrinks to a single traverse dimension between the defects. The resulting geometry corresponds to global dS_3 spacetime.

As in [27], the central charge (3.16) of the defects reproduces the result of Strominger [44] for dS_3, which is

$$c(\Sigma_N \cup \Sigma_S) \overset{q\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} c(\mathcal{I}_3^+ \cup \mathcal{I}_3^-) = \frac{3\ell}{2\hbar G_3} \ , \tag{5.5}$$

by using the relation $G_4 = 4\ell G_3$, and was shown to reproduce the three-dimensional de Sitter entropy by taking the large q limit of the modular free energy of the total space given by the Cardy entropy of both observers,

$$S_C(\mathfrak{R}_N \cup \mathfrak{R}_S) \overset{q\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\pi\ell}{2\hbar G_3} \ . \tag{5.6}$$

Considering now the quantum corrections of the Cardy entropy on the defects, obtained in section 4, in the large q limit we get

$$S_C(\mathfrak{R}_N \cup \mathfrak{R}_S) \overset{q\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\pi\ell}{2\hbar G_3} - 3\log\frac{\pi\ell}{2\hbar G_3} + \text{const} \ , \tag{5.7}$$

giving the recently obtained first quantum correction of three-dimensional de Sitter [45, 46]. In this limit the dual theory becomes non-thermal, as $T = q^{-1} \to 0$, in agreement with the interpretation of [27, 65, 66] as dS_3 entropy being the Liouville momentum avoiding the problems pointed out in [67]. A natural generalization of this construction would be the inclusion of higher co-dimensional defects. This has been explored in Anti-de Sitter space by considering a causal wedge of AdS with defects generalizing the idea of AdS/CFT [68–71].

6 Conclusions and outlook

In this work, following the ideas of [27, 28], we consider Einstein gravity on the quotient $\mathrm{dS}/\mathbb{Z}_q$ with Nambu–Goto improvement terms at defects so as to obey the variational principle [49], such that the smooth de Sitter geometry re-appears in the tensionless limit $q \to 1$. We find that the cosmological horizon is stabilized by the asymptotic Killing vector fields of [41, 42], inducing an asymptotic symmetry algebra à la Barnich–Brandt [39] given by a single copy of the Virasoro algebra with non-trivial central charge. We fix the q-dependence of the central charge by requiring maxima disorder, resulting in a q-dependent Cardy entropy. We also choose the remaining free parameter, which was present already in the construction [41], by demanding constant Renyi entropy, which reproduces the semi-classical result [27, 28], leading to the conclusion that the Gibbons–Hawking entropy can be interpreted as the entanglement entropy between causally disconnected Rindler patches. Following [18], we consider Gaussian fluctuations in the density of states in the thermal CFT describing the horizon, which results into finite logarithmic corrections for $q > 1$. In the $q \to 1$ limit of the Renyi entropy, namely, the tensionless limit, we obtain a divergent von Neumann entropy satisfying the entanglement area law of QFTs [43]. We regulate the UV divergence by introducing a cutoff given as a function of the orbifold parameter q, allowing us to reinterpret this geometric construction in terms of the energy scale of the theory. We also study the $q \to 0$ limit, in which the cutoff moves into the IR, that gives the logarithm of the dimension of the associated Hilbert space, and the $q \to \infty$ limit which gives the larges eigenvalue of the reduced density matrix. The former indeed reproduces Bank's proposal [15] that a quantum theory of gravity in an asymptotically de Sitter spacetime has a Hilbert space of finite dimension that equals the logarithm of the Gibbons–Hawking entropy.

We have studied quantum logarithmic corrections of the Cardy entropy of the Liouville field theory that was obtained in [28] by considering a reduction of the improved Einstein action to the defects. In the large q limit, the central charges of this model reproduce the $\mathrm{dS}_3/\mathrm{CFT}_2$ results of [44], in which the central charges of the defects now correspond to those of the conformal asymptopia, and the corresponding Cardy entropy contains logarithmic corrections and reproduces those of three-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity found by computing the one-loop correction to its partition function on the round three-sphere [45].

It would be interesting to match the results of the current work with those found in [72], where it was found that the logarithmic correction to entropy is a Noether charge given in terms of three ingredients: the horizon Euler characteristic, the a-trace anomaly appearing the quantum corrections of Einstein field equations, and the solution $\phi_{\mathcal{H}}$ to the uniformization problem for Q-curvature [73]. Particularly, these corrections were computed for Schwarzschild and massless topological AdS black holes. In the current work, we show that the latter corresponds to the double Wick rotation of the static patch in dS spacetime. Therefore, the solution for $\phi_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the same as in the case of massless, topological black holes, while the Euler characteristic has opposite sign. Since both are conformally flat backgrounds, it is possible to obtain information about the trace anomaly on the four-dimensional dS background using this formalism and comparing with our result.

Finally, similar spacetimes have been recently studied in supergravity with negative cosmological constant. In particular, the resulting near-horizon geometry of branes wrapping spindles corresponds to a direct product of the type $AdS \times \Sigma$ where Σ is a spindle [74–76]. For instance, it is argued that the near-horizon limit of a M2-brane wrapped around a spindle is described by a well-known classical solution, the Plebanski-Demianski metric [77, 78]. Here, the tension of the cosmic string produces the

acceleration of the black hole [79, 80] which interplays within the cosmological constant creating a Rindler horizon if the acceleration is large enough. This second horizon resembles the characteristics of the cosmological horizon as it disconnects the regions of the spacetime preventing access to the AdS boundary. The thermodynamics of these spacetimes seems to be well-understood in the regime where the acceleration is *slow* and the Rindler horizon vanishes [79, 81–83]. Nevertheless, a consistent thermodynamic description of the accelerating horizon is still unclear, and one could expect that using the asymptotic symmetry algebra of this horizon as in section 3 could lead to a better understanding of accelerating black holes and their holographic description. We leave this question open for future work.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank C. Arias, R. Aros, A. Faraggi, C. Farías, C. Iazeolla, K. Lara, N. Nguyen, M. Valenzuela, and B. Vallilo for enlightening discussions on various topics related to this work. We also want to thank to K. Narayan for his interesting comments regarding the notion of entanglement in de Sitter. Ps acknowledges the kind support of the Centro de Ciencias Exactas at Universidad del Bio-Bio. The work of Gah is funded by Becas Chile (ANID) Scholarship N° 72200271. The work of Fd is supported by Beca Doctorado nacional (ANID) 2021 Scholarship N° 21211335.

A Entropy functions

A key distinguishing feature of quantum mechanics vis-à-vis classical mechanics is the notion of quantum entanglement, whereby a system built from localizable degrees of freedom attached to two separate regions, A and B, say, of a manifold, or a set of points, is assigned a direct-product Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_A \otimes \mathfrak{H}_B$, which contains *pure* quantum states [5] given by superpositions that correlate observables confined to A and B, that is, the eigenvalues of operators of the form $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_B$ and $\mathrm{Id}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$. Thus, an observer in one of the two regions, B, say, measuring $\mathcal{O}_B$ by performing a von Neumann measurement, which eventually produces a pure state in $\mathfrak{H}_A \otimes \mathfrak{H}_B$ with fixed eigenvalue of $\mathrm{Id}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B$, bears an impact on the spectrum of $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathrm{Id}_B$ in region A (independently of the scale of the spatial separation).

Renyi entropy and modular free energy. Consider the reduced density matrix

$$\rho_A := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_B}\, \rho_{AB} \ , \tag{A.1}$$

whose spectral properties manifest the degree of entanglement of the original pure state. These properties are encoded into the spectral function

$$S_{q,A} := \frac{1}{1-q} \log \mathcal{Z}_A \ , \qquad \mathcal{Z}_A := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_A}\, (\rho_A)^q \ , \qquad \mathrm{Re}(q) > 0 \ , \tag{A.2}$$

known as the Renyi entropy; when restricted to the strictly positive integers, the parameter q is referred to as the replica number. The Renyi entropy is q-independent iff the reduced density matrix describes an equiprobable ensemble, in which case the original state is said to be maximally entangled (with

[5] In classical mechanics, the system is assigned a direct-product symplectic manifold $M_A \times M_B$; probability distributions on this space can describe mixed classical states in which the values observables in A and B are correlated, but there is no classical counterpart of quantum entanglement at the level of pure, classical states, which are given by delta functions; indeed, pure, classical states remain pure as one of the symplectic manifolds are integrated out. Moreover, defining a pure classical state as a delta-sequence, its von Neumann entropy tends to minus infinity as the volume of support goes to zero, manifesting a classical "catastrophe".

respect to the separation of the point set into $A \cup B$). The limits

$$S_{\mathrm{E},A} := \lim_{q\to 1} S_{q,A} \ , \qquad S_{0,A} := \lim_{q\to 0} S_{q,A} \ , \qquad S_{\infty,A} := \lim_{q\to\infty} S_{q,A} \ , \tag{A.3}$$

are referred to, respectively, as the entanglement, Hartley and minimum entropies of ρ_A. From

$$S_{\mathrm{E},A} = -\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_A}\, \rho_A \, \log \rho_A \ , \tag{A.4}$$

it follows that the entanglement entropy is the von Neumann entropy of ρ_A, which serves as a good measure of the degree of entanglement in the original pure state[6]. The Hartley entropy can be identified as

$$S_{0,A} := \log \mathcal{D}_A \ , \tag{A.5}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_A$ is the number of non-zero eigenvalues of ρ_A, that is, the dimension of the subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_A$ that participates in the entanglement. Assuming that the reduced density matrix is in the image of the exponential map, viz.

$$\rho_A =: \exp\{-H_A\} \ , \tag{A.6}$$

where H_A is referred to as the modular Hamiltonian, one has

$$S_{\infty,A} := \lim_{q\to\infty} S_{q,A} \equiv -\log \lambda_A^{\max} \ , \tag{A.7}$$

where $\lambda_A^{\max}$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{H}_A$. The existence of such a Hamiltonian implies that the Renyi entropy can be identified as

$$S_{q,A} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} F_A \ , \qquad F_A := -\frac{1}{q} \log \mathcal{Z}_A \ , \tag{A.8}$$

where F_A is thus the free energy of the corresponding thermal system with temperature, entropy, and thermal energy given by

$$T_q := q^{-1} \ , \qquad S_A := (1 - q\partial_q) \log \mathcal{Z}_A \ , \qquad E_A = -\partial_q \log \mathcal{Z}_A \ \ , \tag{A.9}$$

respectively (and one has $\lim_{q\to 1} S_A = S_{\mathrm{E},A}$); this system is often referred to as the modular system, and F_A, T_q, S_A and E_A as the modular free energy, temperature, modular entropy and modular energy, respectively. The Renyi and modular entropies are related by

$$S_A = q^2 \partial_q \left(\frac{q-1}{q} S_{q,A} \right) \ . \tag{A.10}$$

Inverting this relation yields

$$S_{q,A} = \frac{q}{q-1} \int_1^q \frac{d\tilde{q}}{\tilde{q}^2} S_A \ . \tag{A.11}$$

[6] Viewing the reduction operations as processes taking place in a modified, nonlinear version of quantum mechanics, one may think of quantum dynamics acts as the fundamental source of (entanglement) entropy.

Cardy–Calabrese formula and orbifolds. In quantum field theories on metric backgrounds, the modular partition function can be computed by letting $\rho_A \equiv \exp\{-H_A\}$ represent the foliation of a smooth, Euclidean geometry (M_A, ds^2), with $M_A \supset A$, generated by H_A in one unit of Euclidean time, with A treated as a co-dimension one Cauchy surface; this yields the Cardy–Calabrese formula

$$\mathcal{Z}_A = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_A\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2\right]}{\left(\mathcal{Z}_A\left[M_A, ds^2\right]\right)^q} \ , \tag{A.12}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_A\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, ds^2\right]$ is computed on the q-fold, branched, Euclidean cover geometry of (M_A, ds^2), with $(\widehat{M}_{A,1}, d\hat{s}^2) \equiv (M_A, ds^2)$, constructed by gluing together q copies of (M_A, ds^2) along A, which yields a branched geometry with a smooth metric $d\hat{s}^2$, and a natural $\mathbb{Z}_q$-action with ramification surface given by the entangling surface ∂A. Thus, the Renyi entropy

$$S_{q,A} = \frac{1}{1-q}\left(\log \mathcal{Z}_A\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2\right] - q \log \mathcal{Z}_A\left[M_A, ds^2\right]\right) \ . \tag{A.13}$$

In the semi-classical limit, one has

$$\mathcal{Z}_A\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2\right] \approx \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\hbar} I_{\rm E}\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2\right]\right\}\Big|_{\rm Saddle} \ , \tag{A.14}$$

where $I_{\rm E}$ is the Euclidean action and the saddle-point requires a suitable boundary condition; assuming locality, it follows that

$$I_{\rm E}\left[\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2\right] = q I_{\rm E}\left[(\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2)/\mathbb{Z}_q\right] \ , \tag{A.15}$$

where the orbifold geometry

$$(\widehat{M}_{A,q}, d\hat{s}^2)/\mathbb{Z}_q = (M_A, ds_q^2) \ , \tag{A.16}$$

that is, it is given by the manifold M_A equipped with a non-smooth metric ds_q^2 with a conical singularity of co-dimension two at ∂A with a deficit angle $2\pi(1-q^{-1})$. The quantum corrections to Renyi entropy contains short-distance divergences inside the bulk, that are independent of entanglement data, and at the border of the traced-out region, referred to as the entanglement surface; the latter exhibit universal scaling behaviours leading to area laws for the entanglement entropy, viz.

$$S_{{\rm E},A} = \gamma \frac{A(\partial A)}{\delta^{d-2}}(1 + o(\delta)) \ , \qquad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ , \tag{A.17}$$

where δ is a UV cut-off, and γ depends on the field content of the theory.

At high temperature, the highest UV-finite contribution is dominated by the thermal entropy of the subset A [84], and consdering B to be empty, the entanglement entropy corresponds to the thermal entropy

$$S_{{\rm E},A} = \beta\langle H_A\rangle + \log \mathcal{Z}_A = \beta(E_A - F_A) = S_{{\rm th},A} \ . \tag{A.18}$$

q-derivatives. Defining

$$\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\right)_q \equiv \frac{F(qx) - F(x)}{qx - x} \ , \tag{A.19}$$

referred to as q-derivative of $F(x)$, which recovers the standard derivative wehen $q = 1$, the Renyi entropy can be seen as the q^{-1}-derivative of the negative free energy [85], viz.

$$S_q = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}\right)_{q^{-1}} \ . \tag{A.20}$$

This relation can be generalized by considering a re-scaled temperature

$$T = \frac{T_0}{q} \ , \tag{A.21}$$

where we normalize the partition function at T_0 as $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(T_0) = 1$; then

$$S_{q,A} = \frac{T \log \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(T) - q \log \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(T_0)}{T - T_0} \ , \tag{A.22}$$

which by normalizing $T_0 = 1$ simplifies to Eq. (A.8) with $F(q) = F_A$. In other words, the Renyi entropy amounts to the maximum work that a system in thermal equilibrium can perform by reducing its temperature by a factor of q^{-1}, divided by the change in temperature.

Disorder and von Neumnann entropy. The von Neumann entropy has a natural thermodynamic interpretation as the equilibrium value of a disorder functional. Let us refer to a set $\{\sigma_\xi\}_{\xi=1}^N \equiv \Sigma$ of N labelled elements, or micro states, as an N-state system. Let $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ be the N-dimensional real cone. A map $\rho : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+^N$ obeying

$$\sum_{\xi=1}^{N} \rho(\sigma_\xi) = 1 \ , \tag{A.23}$$

is referred to as a macro-state. Each disjoint union $\Sigma = \Sigma_1' \cup \Sigma_2'$ induces a 2-state system $\check{\Sigma} := \{\Sigma_1', \Sigma_2'\}$, whose micro states are thus given by the two disjoint subsystems, with a macro state $\check{\rho}$ defined by

$$\check{\rho}(\Sigma_i') = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i'} \rho(\sigma) \ , \qquad i = 1, 2 \ . \tag{A.24}$$

The disorder functional $D : \rho \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by requiring that

$$D\left[\rho\right] = \check{\rho}(\Sigma_1') D\left[\frac{1}{\check{\rho}(\Sigma_1')} \rho|_{\Sigma_1'}\right] + \check{\rho}(\Sigma_2') D\left[\frac{1}{\check{\rho}(\Sigma_2')} \rho|_{\Sigma_2'}\right] + D\left[\check{\rho}\right] \ . \tag{A.25}$$

for any disjoint union, that is, the disorder of ρ is given by the weighted contributions from the two subsystems plus an extra contribution arising due to forming the disjoint union, referred to as the mixing entropy. The latter is clearly negligible in the large N limit, but significant after repeated partitions down to a large number of subsystems of size of order one, and the sole source of entropy in a process setting out from separate micro states. It follows that

$$D[\rho] = k \sum_{\xi} \rho(\sigma_\xi) \log \frac{1}{\rho(\sigma_\xi)} \ , \tag{A.26}$$

where k is an undetermined constant.

Classical thermodynamics amounts to maximizing the disorder in the space of macro-states while keeping expectation values of observables fixed; in the absence of any constraints, the extremal macro-state is the equiprobable distribution of micro states, while the presence of constraints imply that the extremal macro-states are given by Boltzmann distributions with conjugate variables arising as Lagrange multipliers. An interesting question is thus how to modify the above notions such that the resulting extremization procedure leads to Renyi entropy.

B Asymptotic charges

Let M be a manifold with interior M' and boundary ∂M admitting a one-parameter foliation with leafs Σ for a canonical formulation, and denote by $\mathrm{Vect}(M;\partial M)$ the algebra of vector fields on M that stabilizes ∂M. Let i) g be an on-shell metric that equips ∂M with an asymptotic structure $[g]|_{[\partial M]}$; ii) $\mathcal{C}$ be a space of classical solutions $\hat{g} := g + h$ that are smooth in the interior of M, containing $\hat{g} = g$; and iii) $\mathfrak{K}$ be a Lie algebra represented by subalgebra of $\mathrm{Vect}(M;\partial M)$, referred to as the AKVs, that stabilizes $\mathcal{C}$. The canonical formalism [39, 42] assigns the system asymptotic charges

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{(\epsilon)}_{\vec{\zeta}}[\hat{g}] = \oint_{\Sigma_\epsilon} \hat{k}_{\vec{\zeta}}[\hat{g}] \ , \tag{B.1}$$

where $\vec{\zeta}$ is the vector field representing $\zeta \in \mathfrak{K}$, and $\Sigma_\epsilon \subset M \overset{\epsilon\to 0}{\longrightarrow} \Sigma$, that are diffeomorphism invariant, that is

$$\int_M \left((\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}}\hat{g})_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}} + (\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}}\vec{\zeta})^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta(\vec{\zeta})^\mu} \right) \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{(\epsilon)}_{\vec{\zeta}} = 0 \ , \tag{B.2}$$

for smooth $\vec{v}$ on M, i.e.

$$\int_M (\mathcal{L}_{\vec{v}}\hat{g})_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{(\epsilon)}_{\vec{\zeta}} = -\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{(\epsilon)}_{[\vec{v},\vec{\zeta}]} \ , \tag{B.3}$$

such that if

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{(\epsilon)}_{\vec{\zeta}} \ , \tag{B.4}$$

are finite, then they are moment functionals for a projective representation of $\mathfrak{K}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ by means of a Dirac bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, in which g is treated as a constant background, viz.

$$\{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}}, h\} := \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}} h \ . \tag{B.5}$$

It follows that $\{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \hat{g}\} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}}\hat{g} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}} g$, which yields

$$\{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}'}\} \equiv \int_M (\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}})_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}} = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]} - \int_M (\mathcal{L}_\zeta g])_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}'} \ , \tag{B.6}$$

upon using (B.3) and when combined with (B.4). Assuming fall-off conditions on h such that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}}[\hat{g}] = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\vec{\zeta}}[g] + \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}[h;g] \ , \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}[h;g] := \oint_\Sigma k_{\vec{\zeta}}[h;g] \ , \tag{B.7}$$

where $k_{\vec{\zeta}}[h;g]$ is linear in h, one has

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}\} = \mathcal{Q}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]} + C(\vec{\zeta},\vec{\zeta}';g) \ , \tag{B.8}$$

where the central term

$$C(\vec{\zeta},\vec{\zeta}';g) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]}[g] + \oint_\Sigma k_{\vec{\zeta}}[\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}'} g; g] \tag{B.9}$$

which is an anti-symmetric bi-linear form on $\mathfrak{K}$ built from background charges and the charges of $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}} g$ treated as fluctuations; as a simple sign check, one has

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \underbrace{\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}, h\}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}'}h}\} + \{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}, \underbrace{\{h, \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}\}}_{-\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}}h}\} + \{h, \underbrace{\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}\}}_{\mathcal{Q}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]}+C}\} = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}'}\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}}, h\} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\zeta}}\{\mathcal{Q}_{\vec{\zeta}'}, h\} - \mathcal{L}_{[\vec{\zeta}',\vec{\zeta}]} h \ , \tag{B.10}$$

i.e. the algebra is indeed consistent with the Jacobi identity. While the computation of the central charge is based on self-consistency, the existence of the projective representation requires a duality relation [39] between the scaling behaviours of elements in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ in the asymptotic region, referred to as the asymptotic boundary conditions (ABC). Relaxing the ABC on $\mathcal{C}$, enlarges $\text{Vect}(M;\partial M)_{\mathcal{C}}$ and restricts $\mathcal{C}^*_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}}$, where $\text{Vect}(M;\partial M)_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}}$, respectively, denote the stabilizer and $\mathcal{Q}$-dual of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\text{Vect}(M;\partial M)$; thus, imposing ABC such that

$$\text{Vect}(M;\partial M)_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}^*_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}} \ , \tag{B.11}$$

yields symplectic spaces of boundary states with finite central charges containing the orbit of g under the $\mathfrak{K}$-action. In a near-horizon geometry, the null Killing vector field $\vec{\chi}$ (NKV) induces an algebra $\mathfrak{K}_{\vec{\chi}}$ of AKVs that preserve the NKV, in its turn inducing $\mathcal{C}_{\vec{\chi}} = (\mathfrak{K}_{\vec{\chi}})^*_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}}$.

C Bunch–Davies vacuum

Considering the deformed background (2.15), we can characterize macroscopic thermal properties of a entangled state that is encoded in the Boltzmann factor $\exp\{-\omega/T_{dS}\}$ where ω corresponds to the energy eigenstate with temperature T_{dS}. Near to the defects, the line element (2.15)

$$ds^2 \approx -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + \dots \ , \tag{C.1}$$

and taking the near horizon limit $r \to \ell$, by considering the dimensionless Rindler coordinates $\tau = \ell t$ and $\Upsilon^2 = 2(1 - r/\ell)$,

$$ds^2 \approx -\Upsilon^2 d\tau^2 + d\Upsilon^2 \ , \qquad \Upsilon \ll 1 \ . \tag{C.2}$$

Considering a scalar field [86] on the near-to-defect background (C.1) expanded in eigenstates with energy ω

$$\Phi(t,r) = \sum_n \phi_n(r) \exp\{-i\omega t\} \ , \qquad \omega > 0 \ , \tag{C.3}$$

and taking the near horizon limit, the mode expansion in terms of the Rindler coordinates (C.2) renders

$$\Phi(\tau,\Upsilon) = \sum_n \phi_n(\Upsilon) \exp\{-iN\tau\} \ , \qquad N \equiv \ell\omega \ , \tag{C.4}$$

which turns the Boltzmann factor near the horizon to

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{\omega}{T_{dS}}\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{N}{T}\right\} \ , \tag{C.5}$$

where thus N corresponds to the number of states with temperature

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ , \tag{C.6}$$

referred as the Bunch–Davies vacuum or Euclidean vacuum [86]. We follow to use the temperature of modes near the horizon (C.6) in the Cardy formula.

References

[1] **Supernova Cosmology Project** Collaboration, S. Perlmutter et al., *Measurements of* Ω *and* Λ *from 42 high redshift supernovae*, *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565–586, [astro-ph/9812133].

[2] **Supernova Search Team** Collaboration, A. G. Riess et al., *Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant*, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009–1038, [astro-ph/9805201].

[3] A. D. Linde, *A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems*, *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (1982) 389–393.

[4] A. D. Linde, *Inflationary Cosmology*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **738** (2008) 1–54, [arXiv:0705.0164].

[5] R. Figari, R. Hoegh-Krohn, and C. R. Nappi, *Interacting Relativistic Boson Fields in the de Sitter Universe with Two Space-Time Dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **44** (1975) 265–278.

[6] S. W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199–220. [Erratum: Commun.Math.Phys. 46, 206 (1976)].

[7] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2738–2751.

[8] J. D. Bekenstein, *Black holes and entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (Apr, 1973) 2333–2346.

[9] E. Witten, *Quantum gravity in de Sitter space*, in *Strings 2001: International Conference*, 6, 2001. hep-th/0106109.

[10] T. Banks, W. Fischler, and S. Paban, *Recurrent nightmares? Measurement theory in de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 062, [hep-th/0210160].

[11] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, *Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no go theorem*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16** (2001) 822–855, [hep-th/0007018].

[12] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, *De Sitter vacua in string theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 046005, [hep-th/0301240].

[13] C. Vafa, *The String landscape and the swampland*, hep-th/0509212.

[14] S. K. Garg and C. Krishnan, *Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland*, *JHEP* **11** (2019) 075, [arXiv:1807.05193].

[15] T. Banks, *Cosmological breaking of supersymmetry?*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16** (2001) 910–921, [hep-th/0007146].

[16] S. Carlip, *Entropy from conformal field theory at killing horizons*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **16** (sep, 1999) 3327–3348.

[17] J. L. Cardy, *Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **270** (1986) 186–204.

[18] S. Carlip, *Logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy from the Cardy formula*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 4175–4186, [gr-qc/0005017].

[19] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 5255–5257, [gr-qc/0002040].

[20] S. Das, P. Majumdar, and R. K. Bhaduri, *General logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 2355–2368, [hep-th/0111001].

[21] S. Mahapatra, *Logarithmic black hole entropy corrections and holographic Rényi entropy*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018), no. 1 23, [arXiv:1609.02850].

[22] D. N. Kabat and G. Lifschytz, *De Sitter entropy from conformal field theory*, *JHEP* **04** (2002) 019, [hep-th/0203083].

[23] K. Narayan, *Extremal surfaces in de Sitter spacetime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), no. 12 126011, [arXiv:1501.03019].

[24] Y. Nomura, P. Rath, and N. Salzetta, *Spacetime from Unentanglement*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), no. 10 106010, [arXiv:1711.05263].

[25] K. Narayan, *On extremal surfaces and de Sitter entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **779** (2018) 214–222, [arXiv:1711.01107].

[26] X. Dong, E. Silverstein, and G. Torroba, *De Sitter Holography and Entanglement Entropy*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 050, [arXiv:1804.08623].

[27] C. Arias, F. Diaz, and P. Sundell, *De Sitter Space and Entanglement*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37** (2020), no. 1 015009, [arXiv:1901.04554].

[28] C. Arias, F. Diaz, R. Olea, and P. Sundell, *Liouville description of conical defects in dS_4, Gibbons-Hawking entropy as modular entropy, and dS_3 holography*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 124, [arXiv:1906.05310].

[29] K. Narayan, *de Sitter entropy as entanglement*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28** (2019), no. 14 1944019, [arXiv:1904.01223].

[30] K. Fernandes, K. S. Kolekar, K. Narayan, and S. Roy, *Schwarzschild de Sitter and extremal surfaces*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020), no. 9 866, [arXiv:1910.11788].

[31] K. Narayan, *de Sitter future-past extremal surfaces and the entanglement wedge*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020), no. 8 086014, [arXiv:2002.11950].

[32] H. Geng, *Non-local entanglement and fast scrambling in de-Sitter holography*, *Annals Phys.* **426** (2021) 168402, [arXiv:2005.00021].

[33] A. Manu, K. Narayan, and P. Paul, *Cosmological singularities, entanglement and quantum extremal surfaces*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 200, [arXiv:2012.07351].

[34] K. Goswami, K. Narayan, and H. K. Saini, *Cosmologies, singularities and quantum extremal surfaces*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 201, [arXiv:2111.14906].

[35] L. Susskind, *Entanglement and Chaos in De Sitter Space Holography: An SYK Example*, *JHAP* **1** (2021), no. 1 1–22, [arXiv:2109.14104].

[36] E. Shaghoulian, *The central dogma and cosmological horizons*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 132, [arXiv:2110.13210].

[37] E. Shaghoulian and L. Susskind, *Entanglement in De Sitter Space*, arXiv:2201.03603.

[38] S. N. Solodukhin, *Conformal description of horizon's states*, *Phys. Lett. B* **454** (1999) 213–222, [hep-th/9812056].

[39] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, *Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **633** (2002) 3–82, [hep-th/0111246].

[40] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) F15–F23, [gr-qc/0610130].

[41] S. Carlip, *Entropy from conformal field theory at Killing horizons*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 3327–3348, [gr-qc/9906126].

[42] S. Silva, *Black hole entropy and thermodynamics from symmetries*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 3947–3962, [hep-th/0204179].

[43] M. Srednicki, *Entropy and area*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 666–669, [hep-th/9303048].

[44] A. Strominger, *The dS / CFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 034, [hep-th/0106113].

[45] D. Anninos, F. Denef, Y. T. A. Law, and Z. Sun, *Quantum de Sitter horizon entropy from quasicanonical bulk, edge, sphere and topological string partition functions*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 088, [arXiv:2009.12464].

[46] E. Coleman, E. A. Mazenc, V. Shyam, E. Silverstein, R. M. Soni, G. Torroba, and S. Yang, *de Sitter Microstates from $T\bar{T} + \Lambda_2$ and the Hawking-Page Transition*, arXiv:2110.14670.

[47] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 124008, [arXiv:0809.4266].

[48] F. Diaz, *de Sitter Entanglement and Conformal Description of the Cosmological Horizon*, arXiv:1911.06627.

[49] D. V. Fursaev and S. N. Solodukhin, *On the description of the Riemannian geometry in the presence of conical defects*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 2133–2143, [hep-th/9501127].

[50] R. Gregory, *GLOBAL STRING SINGULARITIES*, *Phys. Lett. B* **215** (1988) 663–668.

[51] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406** (2004) P06002, [hep-th/0405152].

[52] S. Carlip, *Black hole entropy from horizon conformal field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **88** (2000) 10–16, [gr-qc/9912118].

[53] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability*, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008) 042901, [arXiv:0708.2378].

[54] G. Compere, *Symmetries and conservation laws in Lagrangian gauge theories with applications to the mechanics of black holes and to gravity in three dimensions*. PhD thesis, Brussels U., 2007. arXiv:0708.3153.

[55] B. Julia and S. Silva, *Currents and superpotentials in classical gauge invariant theories. 1. Local results with applications to perfect fluids and general relativity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** (1998) 2173–2215, [gr-qc/9804029].

[56] S. Carlip, *What we don't know about BTZ black hole entropy*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** (1998) 3609–3625, [hep-th/9806026].

[57] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee, and R. D. Sorkin, *A Quantum Source of Entropy for Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986) 373–383.

[58] C. Arias, F. Diaz, and P. Sundell, *Gibbons–Hawking entropy as entanglement entropy*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2163** (2019), no. 1 090002.

[59] S. N. Solodukhin, *The Conical singularity and quantum corrections to entropy of black hole*, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 609–617, [hep-th/9407001].

[60] M. Headrick, *Entanglement Renyi entropies in holographic theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 126010, [arXiv:1006.0047].

[61] L.-Y. Hung, R. C. Myers, M. Smolkin, and A. Yale, *Holographic Calculations of Renyi Entropy*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 047, [arXiv:1110.1084].

[62] D. Podolskiy, *Microscopic origin of de Sitter entropy*, arXiv:1801.03012.

[63] F.-L. Lin and Y.-S. Wu, *Near horizon Virasoro symmetry and the entropy of de Sitter space in any dimension*, *Phys. Lett. B* **453** (1999) 222–228, [hep-th/9901147].

[64] M. Banados, T. Brotz, and M. E. Ortiz, *Quantum three-dimensional de Sitter space*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 046002, [hep-th/9807216].

[65] D. Klemm, *Some aspects of the de Sitter / CFT correspondence*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **625** (2002) 295–311, [hep-th/0106247].

[66] D. Klemm and L. Vanzo, *De Sitter gravity and Liouville theory*, *JHEP* **04** (2002) 030, [hep-th/0203268].

[67] L. Dyson, J. Lindesay, and L. Susskind, *Is there really a de Sitter/CFT duality?*, *JHEP* **08** (2002) 045, [hep-th/0202163].

[68] I. Akal, Y. Kusuki, T. Takayanagi, and Z. Wei, *Codimension two holography for wedges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 12 126007, [arXiv:2007.06800].

[69] R.-X. Miao, *An Exact Construction of Codimension two Holography*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 150, [arXiv:2009.06263].

[70] H. Geng, A. Karch, C. Perez-Pardavila, S. Raju, L. Randall, M. Riojas, and S. Shashi, *Information Transfer with a Gravitating Bath*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021), no. 5 103, [arXiv:2012.04671].

[71] R.-X. Miao, *Codimension-n holography for cones*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 8 086031, [arXiv:2101.10031].

[72] R. Aros, D. E. Diaz, and A. Montecinos, *Logarithmic correction to BH entropy as Noether charge*, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 012, [arXiv:1003.1083].

[73] A. Malchiodi et al., *Conformal metrics with constant q-curvature*, *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **3** (2007) 120.

[74] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. Pérez Ipiña, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *D3-Branes Wrapped on a Spindle*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021), no. 11 111601, [arXiv:2011.10579].

[75] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *M5-branes wrapped on a spindle*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 002, [arXiv:2105.13344].

[76] F. Faedo and D. Martelli, *D4-branes wrapped on a spindle*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 101, [arXiv:2111.13660].

[77] P. Ferrero, J. P. Gauntlett, J. M. P. Ipiña, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Accelerating black holes and spinning spindles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 4 046007, [arXiv:2012.08530].

[78] D. Cassani, J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *Thermodynamics of accelerating and supersymmetric AdS4 black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021), no. 8 086005, [arXiv:2106.05571].

[79] M. Appels, R. Gregory, and D. Kubiznak, *Black Hole Thermodynamics with Conical Defects*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 116, [arXiv:1702.00490].

[80] R. Gregory, *Accelerating Black Holes*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **942** (2017), no. 1 012002, [arXiv:1712.04992].

[81] A. Anabalón, M. Appels, R. Gregory, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann, and A. Ovgün, *Holographic Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), no. 10 104038, [arXiv:1805.02687].

[82] R. Gregory and A. Scoins, *Accelerating Black Hole Chemistry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **796** (2019) 191–195, [arXiv:1904.09660].

[83] G. Arenas-Henriquez, R. Gregory, and A. Scoins, *On acceleration in three dimensions*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 063, [arXiv:2202.08823].

[84] J. Cardy and C. P. Herzog, *Universal Thermal Corrections to Single Interval Entanglement Entropy for Two Dimensional Conformal Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014), no. 17 171603, [arXiv:1403.0578].

[85] J. C. Baez, *Rényi entropy and free energy*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1102.2098* (2011).

[86] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Field Theory in de Sitter Space: Renormalization by Point Splitting*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **360** (1978) 117–134.