

Searching for ultralight scalar dark matter with muonium and muonic atoms

Yevgeny V. Stadnik

School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Abstract

Ultralight scalar dark matter may induce apparent oscillations of the muon mass, which may be directly probed via temporal shifts in the spectra of muonium and muonic atoms. Existing datasets and ongoing spectroscopy measurements with muonium are capable of probing scalar-muon interactions that are up to 11 orders of magnitude feebler than existing astrophysical and laboratory bounds. Ongoing experiments with muonium can also probe forces associated with the exchange of virtual ultralight scalar bosons between muons and standard-model particles, offering up to 6 orders of magnitude improvement in sensitivity over complementary astrophysical and laboratory bounds.

Introduction — Various astrophysical and cosmological observations at different epochs indicate that the Universe is predominantly composed of dark matter (DM) and dark energy, with only a mere five percent due to the ordinary matter that makes up stars, planets, dust and interstellar gases [1]. Unravelling the identity and microscopic properties of DM remains one of the greatest challenges in modern science. Conventional schemes for direct detection of DM have largely focused on possible *particlelike* signatures of weakly-interacting massive particles (WIMPs) with masses in the \sim GeV – TeV range [2]. On the other hand, ultralight bosons with sub-eV masses may produce distinctive *wavelike* signatures. Ultralight bosons may also resolve other outstanding problems outside of astrophysics; for example, the axion (a pseudoscalar particle) may resolve the strong CP problem of quantum chromodynamics [3], while the relaxion (a scalar particle) may resolve the electroweak hierarchy problem [4].

There has been growing interest in recent years to search for ultralight scalar DM via its interactions with photons, electrons and nucleons; see [5] for a recent overview. However, no direct searches of ultralight scalar DM via its interactions with muons have been performed to date. In contrast to electrons and nucleons, there are no known stable sources of muons in nature. As a result, the very stringent constraints on the linear interaction of ultralight scalar bosons with electrons and nucleons from laboratory searches for equivalence-principle-violating forces [6–11] are practically evaded in the case of muons. Additional motivation for probing scalar-muon interactions comes from the persistence of various anomalies in muon physics, including the proton radius inferred from measurements of the Lamb shift in hydrogen-like muonic atoms [12–16] and the muon anomalous magnetic moment [17–19].

In this paper, we point out that spectroscopy measurements with muonium and muonic atoms provide sensitive novel probes of ultralight scalar DM via its interaction with muons. Spectroscopy measurements in muonium [20–24] and muonic atoms [12–15] have provided high-precision tests of bound-state quantum electrodynamics, as well as precise determination of fundamental constants. We estimate that existing datasets and ongoing spectroscopy measurements with muonium are capable of probing scalar-muon interactions that are up to 11 orders of magnitude feebler than existing astrophysical and laboratory bounds. This opens an exciting new avenue in direct searches for DM.

Theory — Ultralight bosons are a good candidate to explain the observed DM. Ultralight spinless bosons may be produced non-thermally via the “vacuum misalignment” mechanism in the early Universe [25–27] and subsequently form a coherently oscillating classical field:

$$\phi(t) \approx \phi_0 \cos(\omega_\phi t), \quad (1)$$

which oscillates at the angular frequency $\omega_\phi \approx m_\phi c^2/\hbar$ governed approximately by the mass of the DM particle m_ϕ , with c the speed of light in vacuum, and \hbar the reduced Planck constant. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, we adopt the natural system of units $\hbar = c = 1$. The oscillating DM field in Eq. (1) carries an energy density, averaged over a period of oscillation, of $\langle \rho_\phi \rangle \approx m_\phi^2 \phi_0^2/2$. In the standard halo model, DM bosons in our local Galactic region are expected to have a root-mean-square speed relative to the Galactic Center of $\langle v_\phi^2 \rangle^{1/2} \sim 10^{-3}c$, with a comparable spread in boson speeds. The typical spread in the DM boson energies is hence expected to be $\Delta E_\phi/E_\phi \sim \langle v_\phi^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6}$,

implying a coherence time of $\tau_{\text{coh}} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_\phi \sim 10^6 T_{\text{osc}}$, where $T_{\text{osc}} \approx 2\pi/m_\phi$ is the DM period of oscillation.

A scalar field ϕ can couple to standard-model (SM) fields via the following linear-in- ϕ interactions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_\gamma} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} - \sum_\psi \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_\psi} m_\psi \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (2)$$

where the first term represents the coupling of ϕ to the electromagnetic field tensor F , while the second term represents the coupling of ϕ to the SM fermion fields ψ , with m_ψ the ‘‘standard’’ mass of the fermion, and $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ the Dirac adjoint. The parameters Λ_γ and Λ_ψ denote the effective new-physics energy scales. The interactions in Eq. (2) effectively alter the electromagnetic fine-structure constant α and fermion masses according to [28, 29]:

$$\alpha \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{1 - \phi/\Lambda_\gamma} \approx \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_\gamma} \right), \quad m_\psi \rightarrow m_\psi \left(1 + \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_\psi} \right). \quad (3)$$

In the case of the oscillating scalar field ϕ in Eq. (1), one hence expects apparent oscillations in the value of the muon mass m_μ :

$$\frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu} \approx \frac{\phi_0 \cos(m_\phi t)}{\Lambda_\mu} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_\phi} \cos(m_\phi t)}{m_\phi \Lambda_\mu}. \quad (4)$$

Below we estimate the relative sensitivities of various transitions in muonium and muonic atoms to oscillations of m_μ and other fundamental constants. For simplicity, we assume that the CPT symmetry is conserved, which implies the equality of the muon and anti-muon masses, as well as equal interaction strength of ϕ with muons and anti-muons.

Muonium: Muonium is the bound state of an electron and an anti-muon, with a reduced system mass of $m_r = m_e m_\mu / (m_e + m_\mu) \approx m_e (1 - m_e/m_\mu)$, where m_e is the electron mass. The energy of an electronic state described by the principal quantum number n is approximately given by the Rydberg formula $E_n = -m_r \alpha^2 / (2n^2)$. The ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in muonium is approximately given by the Fermi formula $\Delta E_{\text{Fermi}} = 8m_r^3 \alpha^4 / (3m_e m_\mu)$. The main contribution to the $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in muonium comes from the one-loop self-energy and takes the form $\Delta E_{\text{SE}} = \mathcal{O}[m_e (m_r/m_e)^3 \alpha^5]$. The sensitivities of the $1S - 2S$ interval, ground-state hyperfine splitting interval and $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in muonium to variations of the fundamental constants are hence given by the following respective formulae:

$$\frac{\Delta \nu_{1S-2S}}{\nu_{1S-2S}} \approx 2 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta m_e}{m_e} + \frac{m_e}{m_\mu} \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\Delta \nu_{\text{HFS}}}{\nu_{\text{HFS}}} \approx 4 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} + 2 \frac{\Delta m_e}{m_e} - \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\Delta \nu_{2S-2P}}{\nu_{2S-2P}} \approx 5 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta m_e}{m_e} + 3 \frac{m_e}{m_\mu} \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}, \quad (7)$$

where the sensitivities to changes in m_μ in Eqs. (5) and (7) arise due to the dependence of m_r on m_μ .

True muonium: True muonium is the bound state of a muon and an anti-muon, with $m_r = m_\mu/2$. The Rydberg contribution to the $1S - 2S$ interval in true muonium is analogous to that in ordinary muonium. The ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in true muonium is approximately given by the Fermi-type formula $\Delta E_{\text{Fermi}} = 7m_\mu\alpha^4/12$, receiving comparable contributions from an annihilation-type process and the usual exchange-type process. The main contribution to the $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in true muonium comes from the one-loop electronic vacuum polarisation and takes the form $\Delta E_{\text{VP}} = \mathcal{O}(m_r\alpha^3)$. The sensitivities of the $1S - 2S$ interval, ground-state hyperfine splitting interval and $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in true muonium to variations of fundamental constants are hence given by the following respective formulae:

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_{1S-2S}}{\nu_{1S-2S}} \approx 2\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_{\text{HFS}}}{\nu_{\text{HFS}}} \approx 4\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_{2S-2P}}{\nu_{2S-2P}} \approx 3\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu}. \quad (10)$$

Hydrogen-like muonic atoms: H-like muonic atoms are bound states of a single muon and a hadronic nucleus, with $m_r = m_\mu M_{\text{nucl}}/(m_\mu + M_{\text{nucl}}) \approx m_\mu$, where M_{nucl} is the nuclear mass. The Rydberg contribution to the $1S - 2S$ interval in muonic atoms is analogous to that in muonium. The ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in muonic atoms is similar to that in ordinary muonium and reads $\Delta E_{\text{Fermi}} = 8Z^3\alpha^4\mu_{\text{nucl}}m_r^3/(3m_\mu M_{\text{nucl}})$, where μ_{nucl} is the nuclear magnetic moment in units of nuclear magnetons and Z is the proton number. Like in true muonium, the main contribution to the $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in muonic atoms comes from the one-loop electronic vacuum polarisation and takes the form $\Delta E_{\text{VP}} = \mathcal{O}(m_r Z^2\alpha^3)$. Assuming that $Z\alpha \ll 1$, the sensitivities of the $1S - 2S$ interval and $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in H-like muonic atoms to variations of fundamental constants are given by Eqs. (8) and (10), respectively, while the sensitivity of the ground-state hyperfine splitting interval takes the following form:

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_{\text{HFS}}}{\nu_{\text{HFS}}} \approx 4\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} + 2\frac{\Delta m_\mu}{m_\mu} - \frac{\Delta M_{\text{nucl}}}{M_{\text{nucl}}} + \frac{\Delta\mu_{\text{nucl}}}{\mu_{\text{nucl}}}. \quad (11)$$

The $\Delta\mu_{\text{nucl}}/\mu_{\text{nucl}}$ term typically provides a small sensitivity to variations of the light-quark masses [30].

References: A variety of reference frequencies can be used in measurements of transition frequencies in muonium or muonic atoms. For instance, the experiment at RAL [22, 31] used molecular iodine, the ongoing Mu-MASS experiment at PSI [32] uses GPS-based Cs and Rb microwave atomic clocks [33], the experiments at LAMPF [21, 34] used atomic frequency standards contributing to the LORAN-C navigation system, while the MuSEUM experiment at J-PARC [23] used an oven-controlled crystal oscillator [35]. All such conventional reference frequencies are sensitive to variations of α and m_e (and in some cases also to variations of the nucleon and light-quark masses), but are

practically *insensitive* to variations of m_μ . Hence in comparisons of transition frequencies in muonium or muonic atoms with conventional reference frequencies, the relative sensitivities to variations of m_μ are simply given by the relevant coefficients appearing before $\Delta m_\mu/m_\mu$ in Eqs. (5) – (11).

Direct signatures — Let us now appraise the sensitivities of spectroscopy measurements in muonium and muonic atoms to the new-physics energy scale Λ_μ appearing in Eqs. (2) and (4) via apparent oscillations of m_μ induced by an ultralight scalar DM field. To simplify the discussion, henceforth we shall assume that the interaction of the ultralight scalar field with muons is much stronger than with other SM fields. Searches for DM-induced oscillations of m_μ are directly sensitive to the combination of parameters $\sqrt{\rho_\phi}/\Lambda_\mu$ rather than Λ_μ itself, see Eq. (4). In order to infer information about Λ_μ , one must make assumptions about the local value of ρ_ϕ during the course of the measurements. The simplest possibility is to assume that ρ_ϕ equals the average local Galactic DM density, $\rho_\phi = \rho_{\text{DM}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$ [1]. In this case, ϕ_0 (and hence ρ_ϕ) are expected to remain approximately constant during measurements on timescales shorter than τ_{coh} , implying a coherent signal of constant amplitude and fixed phase. However, since ϕ_0 is expected to fluctuate stochastically on timescales greater than τ_{coh} [36, 37], sampling only a single value of ϕ_0 with measurements on a short timescale may cause statistical biasing in the analysis [38]. In the standard halo model, such partial sampling is expected to degrade the sensitivity to Λ_μ by a factor of ≈ 3 at the 2σ level.

One of the main factors determining the sensitivity of spectroscopy measurements to the parameter Λ_μ is their *relative precision* rather than their (absolute) *accuracy*. This is because one looks for *changes* in transition frequencies instead of comparing the (mean) experimental value of the transition frequency with its prediction within the SM. Our considered measurements are inherently broadband, with a peak sensitivity to Λ_μ typically occurring when T_{osc} is comparable to the total duration of the measurements, t_{dataset} . For a temporally coherent signal, the sensitivity to Λ_μ scales as $\Lambda_\mu \propto m_\phi^{-1}$ for $t_{\text{cycle}} \lesssim T_{\text{osc}} \lesssim t_{\text{dataset}}$, where t_{cycle} is the time taken to perform a single measurement of the transition frequency, and as $\Lambda_\mu \propto m_\phi^{-2}$ for $T_{\text{osc}} \lesssim t_{\text{cycle}}$ when the transition frequency would be affected by at most one of many largely-cancelling DM oscillations (though the scaling may be more favourable if one also considers possible alterations of the transition lineshape due to effects of the DM field when scanning the transition line). When $T_{\text{osc}} \gtrsim t_{\text{dataset}}$, the sensitivity to Λ_μ generally scales as $\Lambda_\mu \propto m_\phi$, since one cannot exclude the possibility of being near an antinode (rather than a node) of the cosinusoidal signal during the course of measurements. We now consider specific experimental platforms.

Muonium: Measurements of the $1S - 2S$ interval in muonium were performed at RAL with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 4 \times 10^{-9}$ [22, 31]. About 5 weeks of measurements were performed over a period of ≈ 5 years, with a single line scan taking $\approx 30 - 40$ hours and consisting of ≈ 20 measurements at different frequencies. Using Eqs. (4) and (5), we estimate the sensitivity of this existing dataset to Λ_μ as shown by the solid red line in Fig. 1. The ongoing Mu-MASS experiment at PSI is targetting an improved precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 4 \times 10^{-12}$ with ≈ 40 days of measurements [32]. Assuming that a single line scan takes ≈ 7 hours [33], we estimate the sensitivity of these ongoing measurements to Λ_μ as shown by the dashed red line in Fig. 1.

Low-field measurements of the ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in muonium were performed

at LAMPF with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 3 \times 10^{-7}$ [20]. High-field measurements with an improved precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ were subsequently performed at LAMPF [21, 34, 39]. In the latter case, several months of measurements were performed over a period of nearly a decade, with a single line scan taking ≈ 1 hour. Using Eqs. (4) and (6), we estimate the sensitivity of this existing dataset to Λ_μ as shown by the solid blue line in Fig. 1. More recent low-field measurements were performed in the MuSEUM experiment at J-PARC with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 9 \times 10^{-7}$ [23], where only a single line scan was performed in ≈ 15 hours. The continuing MuSEUM experiment at J-PARC is targetting an improved precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ with ≈ 40 days of high-field measurements [23]. Assuming that a single line scan takes ≈ 6 hours [35], we estimate the sensitivity of these ongoing measurements to Λ_μ as shown by the dashed blue line in Fig. 1.

Recent measurements of the $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in muonium were performed in the Mu-MASS experiment at PSI with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ [24]. Data taking took place continuously over 2 days, with a single line scan taking ≈ 3 hours. The continuing Mu-MASS experiment at PSI is targetting an improved precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \approx 10^{-5}$ with ≈ 10 days of measurements [40]. True muonium has yet to be observed directly, but there are plans to measure its ground-state hyperfine splitting interval with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim 10^{-4}$ [33, 41].

Hydrogen-like muonic atoms: Measurements of the $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift have been performed in various H-like muonic atoms, including muonic hydrogen [12, 13], muonic deuterium [14] and muonic helium [15], with a typical precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim 10^{-5}$. These experiments were performed over the span of several years, with each experiment collecting ~ 10 days of data. Using Eqs. (4) and (10), we estimate the sensitivity of this combined existing dataset to Λ_μ as shown by the solid green line in Fig. 1. Measurements of $1S - 2P$ lines in H-like muonic gold have recently been performed in the muX experiment at PSI with a precision of $\Delta\nu/\nu \sim 10^{-3}$ [42].

Indirect signatures — The exchange of a virtual scalar boson ϕ between SM particles generates a potential between the particles. For simplicity, let us assume the flavour-dependent hierarchy of scales $\Lambda_\mu \ll \Lambda_e \ll \Lambda_{\text{other}}$, where Λ_{other} denotes new-physics energy scales associated with SM particles other than the electron and muon. The exchange of ϕ between a non-relativistic electron and muon generates the potential $V(r) = -m_e m_\mu \exp(-m_\phi r)/(4\pi\Lambda_e\Lambda_\mu r)$, where r is the distance between the electron and muon. Integrating over a homogeneous spherical source body of radius R and electron number density n_e , one finds:

$$V(r) = -\frac{n_e m_e m_\mu [m_\phi R \cosh(m_\phi R) - \sinh(m_\phi R)] \exp(-m_\phi r)}{m_\phi^3 \Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu r}. \quad (12)$$

In the limiting case when $m_\phi R \ll 1$, the potential in (12) simplifies to the two-pointlike-particle form with all source electrons localised at the centre of the source body.

A test body of mass m_{test} containing a single (anti)muon, such as ordinary muonium or a H-like muonic atom, would experience the additional acceleration $\mathbf{a}_\mu(r) = -\nabla V(r)/m_{\text{test}}$. On the other hand, ordinary test bodies lacking (anti)muons would experience much smaller additional accelerations, thereby violating the equivalence principle. For example, the value of the local gravitational acceleration due to Earth, \mathbf{g} , measured in free-fall experiments using muonium would differ from experiments using ordinary test masses, with the difference being $\Delta\mathbf{g} \approx \mathbf{a}_\mu$. The ongoing MAGE




FIG. 1. (Colour online) Estimated sensitivities to the scalar-muon interaction parameter Λ_μ in Eqs. (2,4) of searches for apparent oscillations of the muon mass using existing datasets (solid lines) and ongoing measurements (dashed lines) pertaining to spectroscopy measurements of the $1S - 2S$ interval in muonium (red), ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in muonium (blue), and $2S - 2P$ Lamb shift in muonic atoms (green). We assume that $\rho_\phi = \rho_{\text{DM}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$. However, note that for scalar masses $m_\phi \lesssim 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$, such scalars cannot account for 100% of the DM [43–46]. The sensitivities of the considered experiments to Λ_μ scale as $\sqrt{\rho_\phi}$, meaning that for $\rho_\phi/\rho_{\text{DM}} = \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ corresponding to the maximum allowable fraction when $m_\phi \lesssim 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$, the sensitivity weakens by a factor of ≈ 3 . Astrophysical bounds are shown by the light grey region [47], while the region of parameters required to resolve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly is shown by the black band [19]. Sensitivities and limits are given at the 1σ level.

experiment aims to measure g with a precision of $\Delta g/g \sim 0.1$ using free-falling muonium test bodies in ~ 10 days of measurements [48]. Using Eq. (12) and noting that $m_{\text{test}} \approx m_\mu$, we estimate the sensitivity of these ongoing measurements to the combination of parameters $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ as shown by the red line in Fig. 2. For boson masses $m_\phi \lesssim 1/R_\oplus \approx 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$, the sensitivity to $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ is approximately independent of m_ϕ . For boson masses $1/R_\oplus \lesssim m_\phi \lesssim 1/h$, where h is the apparatus height, the sensitivity to $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ scales approximately as $\propto m_\phi^{-1}$. Finally, for boson masses $m_\phi \gtrsim 1/h$, the sensitivity to $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ falls off exponentially with increasing m_ϕ . We assume $h \sim 1 \text{ m}$ in Fig. 2.

A spherical body, such as Earth or the Sun, sources a scalar field $\phi(r) = V(r) \times \Lambda_\mu/m_\mu$, which owing to Eq. (3), will induce apparent variations of m_μ as the distance away from the source body changes. The distance between Earth and the Sun changes by $\approx 3\%$ during the year due to Earth’s elliptical orbit about the Sun. Transition frequencies in muonium or muonic atoms, referenced to conventional atomic frequency standards, should therefore undergo annual modulations correlated with changes in the Earth-Sun distance. The usual gravitational redshift effect cancels in co-located measurements of the ratio of a transition frequency in muonium or a muonic atom and a conventional atomic transition frequency. Assuming the targetted precisions of the Mu-MASS experiment on the $1S - 2S$ transition frequency in muonium [32] and the MuSEUM experiment on the ground-state hyperfine splitting interval in muonium [23], both of which correspond to $\Delta m_\mu/m_\mu \approx 10^{-9}$ via Eqs. (5) and (6), we estimate the sensitivity of these ongoing measurements to $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ as shown by the blue line in Fig. 2. Existing datasets in muonium [20–24] and muonic atoms [12–15] may likewise be analysed, potentially in combination with each another.

Let us briefly discuss bounds from complementary indirect signatures. Consideration of intra-




FIG. 2. (Colour online) Estimated sensitivities to the product of the scalar-electron and scalar-muon interaction parameters, $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$, of searches for an equivalence-principle-violating force in muonium free-fall experiments (red) and searches for annual modulations in muonium transition frequencies (blue). Complementary bounds from the comparison of the observed and predicted values of the $1S - 2S$ interval in muonium are shown by the dark grey region [24], while combined bounds from astrophysical observations and laboratory tests of the equivalence principle are shown by the light grey region. Sensitivities and limits are given at the 1σ level.

atomic exchange of a virtual scalar boson ϕ on the $1S - 2S$ interval in muonium rules out $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu \lesssim 10^5 \text{ GeV}^2$ for $m_\phi \ll m_e \alpha$ [24], as shown in Fig. 2 by the dark grey region. Feebler interactions can be constrained by combining astrophysical bounds on Λ_μ with laboratory bounds on Λ_e . The consideration of the emission of ϕ -bosons from the interior of supernova SN1987a rules out the range of parameters $10^3 \text{ GeV} \lesssim \Lambda_\mu \lesssim 2 \times 10^7 \text{ GeV}$ for $m_\phi \lesssim 30 \text{ MeV}$ [47]. Searches for ϕ -mediated equivalence-principle-violating forces rule out $\Lambda_e \lesssim 2 \times 10^{21} \text{ GeV}$ for $m_\phi \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$ based on data from the space-based MICROSCOPE mission [10, 11] and $\Lambda_e \lesssim 4 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$ for $m_\phi \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$ based on data from the ground-based Rot-Wash experiment [6, 9]. The combination of these astrophysical and laboratory bounds is shown in Fig. 2 by the light grey region. We see that ongoing experiments with muonium are capable of probing values of $\Lambda_e \Lambda_\mu$ that are up to 6 orders of magnitude feebler than complementary astrophysical and laboratory bounds.

Discussion — From Fig. 1, we can see that searches for apparent oscillations of m_μ with muonium spectroscopy measurements are capable of probing scalar-muon interactions that are up to 11 orders of magnitude feebler than complementary astrophysical [47] and laboratory [19] bounds, which do not necessarily assume that ultralight scalars make up any fraction of the DM and hence are independent of ρ_ϕ . The peak sensitivities of older datasets and ongoing measurements to Λ_μ are comparable; however, newer ongoing measurements taken over shorter timescales may provide better sensitivity to Λ_μ in a range of higher scalar masses than older datasets of much longer duration. Noting that our DM-induced signals scale as $\sqrt{\rho_\phi}/\Lambda_\mu$, we see that our proposed methods are capable of probing the range of values of Λ_μ required to resolve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly (indicated by the black band in Fig. 1) for fractional scalar DM abundances as small as $\rho_\phi/\rho_{\text{DM}} \sim 10^{-32}$.

Our proposed spectroscopy methods to probe ultralight DM via the scalar-muon coupling offer significantly more reach than the storage-ring methods discussed in Refs. [49, 50] to probe ultralight

DM via the pseudoscalar-muon coupling. The reason is that scalar-type couplings are non-derivative in nature and their DM-induced signatures grow as $\propto m_\phi^{-1}$ with decreasing m_ϕ . On the other hand, pseudoscalar-type couplings are derivative in nature and their DM-induced signatures are independent of m_ϕ . (Astrophysical and purely laboratory bounds, which are independent of ρ_ϕ , are independent of m_ϕ for both scalar-type and pseudoscalar-type couplings in the relevant m_ϕ range.)

Acknowledgements — I am grateful to Paolo Crivelli, Klaus Jungmann and Sohtaro Kanda for helpful discussions and for providing helpful information about experimental details of spectroscopy measurements with muonium. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council under the Discovery Early Career Researcher Award DE210101593.

-
- [1] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *The Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
 - [2] L. Roszkowski, E. M. Sessolo, and S. Trojanowski, *WIMP dark matter candidates and searches — current status and future prospects*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **81**, 066201 (2018).
 - [3] J. E. Kim and G. Carosi, *Axions and the strong CP problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 557 (2010).
 - [4] P. W. Graham, D. E. Kaplan, and S. Rajendran, *Cosmological Relaxation of the Electroweak Scale*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 221801 (2015).
 - [5] D. Antypas *et al.*, *New Horizons: Scalar and Vector Ultralight Dark Matter*, arXiv:2203.14915.
 - [6] G. L. Smith, C. D. Hoyle, J. H. Gundlach, E. G. Adelberger, B. R. Heckel, and H. E. Swanson, *Short-range tests of the equivalence principle*, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 022001 (1999).
 - [7] S. Schlamminger, K.-Y. Choi, T. A. Wagner, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, *Test of the Equivalence Principle Using a Rotating Torsion Balance*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 041101 (2008).
 - [8] T. A. Wagner, S. Schlamminger, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, *Torsion-balance tests of the weak equivalence principle*, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 184002 (2012).
 - [9] N. Leefler, A. Gerhardus, D. Budker, V. V. Flambaum, and Y. V. Stadnik, *Search for the Effect of Massive Bodies on Atomic Spectra and Constraints on Yukawa-Type Interactions of Scalar Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 271601 (2016).
 - [10] P. Touboul *et al.*, *MICROSCOPE Mission: First Results of a Space Test of the Equivalence Principle*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 231101 (2017).
 - [11] A. Hees, O. Minazzoli, E. Savalle, Y. V. Stadnik, and P. Wolf, *Violation of the equivalence principle from light scalar dark matter*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 064051 (2018).
 - [12] R. Pohl *et al.*, *The size of the proton*, Nature **466**, 213 (2010).
 - [13] A. Antognini *et al.*, *Proton Structure from the Measurement of 2S-2P Transition Frequencies of Muonic Hydrogen*, Science **339**, 417 (2013).
 - [14] R. Pohl *et al.* (The CREMA Collaboration), *Laser spectroscopy of muonic deuterium*, Science **353**, 669 (2016).
 - [15] J. J. Krauth *et al.*, *Measuring the α -particle charge radius with muonic helium-4 ions*, Nature **589**, 527 (2021).
 - [16] B. Batell, D. McKeen, and M. Pospelov, *New Parity-Violating Muonic Forces and the Proton Charge Radius*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 011803 (2011).
 - [17] G. W. Bennett *et al.* (Muon g-2 Collaboration), *Final report of the E821 muon anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 072003 (2006).
 - [18] B. Abi *et al.* (Muon g-2 Collaboration), *Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.46 ppm*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 141801 (2021).
 - [19] C.-Y. Chen, M. Pospelov, and Y.-M. Zhong, *Muon beam experiments to probe the dark sector*, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 115005 (2017).

- [20] D. E. Casperson *et al.*, *A new high precision measurement of the muonium hyperfine structure interval $\Delta\nu$* , Phys. Lett. B **59**, 397 (1975).
- [21] W. Liu *et al.*, *High Precision Measurements of the Ground State Hyperfine Structure Interval of Muonium and of the Muon Magnetic Moment*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 711 (1999).
- [22] V. Meyer *et al.*, *Measurement of the 1s-2s Energy Interval in Muonium*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1136 (2000).
- [23] S. Kanda *et al.*, *New precise spectroscopy of the hyperfine structure in muonium with a high-intensity pulsed muon beam*, Phys. Lett. B **815**, 136154 (2021).
- [24] B. Ohayon *et al.* (Mu-MASS Collaboration), *Precision Measurement of the Lamb Shift in Muonium*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 011802 (2022).
- [25] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, *Cosmology of the invisible axion*, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 127 (1983).
- [26] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *A cosmological bound on the invisible axion*, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 133 (1983).
- [27] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *The not-so-harmless axion*, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 137 (1983).
- [28] Y. V. Stadnik and V. V. Flambaum, *Searching for Dark Matter and Variation of Fundamental Constants with Laser and Maser Interferometry*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 161301 (2015).
- [29] Y. V. Stadnik and V. V. Flambaum, *Can Dark Matter Induce Cosmological Evolution of the Fundamental Constants of Nature?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 201301 (2015).
- [30] V. V. Flambaum and A. F. Tedesco, *Dependence of nuclear magnetic moments on quark masses and limits on temporal variation of fundamental constants from atomic clock experiments*, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 055501 (2006).
- [31] V. Meyer, *Measurement of the Muon Mass by Doppler-Free Two-Photon Spectroscopy of the 1s-2s Transition in Muonium, Hydrogen and Deuterium*, PhD Thesis, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [32] P. Crivelli, *The Mu-MASS (muonium laser spectroscopy) experiment*, Hyperfine Interact. **239**, 49 (2018).
- [33] P. Crivelli, *Private Communication*.
- [34] W. Liu, *High precision measurement of muonium ground state hyperfine interval and muon magnetic moment*, PhD Thesis, Yale, 1997.
- [35] S. Kanda, *Private Communication*.
- [36] A. Derevianko, *Detecting dark-matter waves with a network of precision-measurement tools*, Phys. Rev. A **97**, 042506 (2018).
- [37] J. W. Foster, N. L. Rodd, and B. R. Safdi, *Revealing the dark matter halo with axion direct detection*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 123006 (2018).
- [38] G. P. Centers *et al.*, *Stochastic fluctuations of bosonic dark matter*, Nat. Commun. **12**, 1 (2021).
- [39] I. Reinhard, *Precision Spectroscopy of the Muonium Hyperfine Structure with the “Old Muonium” Technique*, PhD Thesis, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [40] G. Janka, B. Ohayon, and P. Crivelli, *Muonium Lamb shift: theory update and experimental prospects*, arXiv:2111.13951.
- [41] P. Crivelli, *Progress on the true muonium front*, talk at the “PBC General Meeting”, CERN, 3rd Dec 2021.
- [42] A. Skawran, *Towards nuclear structure with radioactive muonic atoms*, Il Nuovo Cimento **42 C** (2019) 125.
- [43] V. Irsic, M. Viel, M. G. Haehnelt, J. S. Bolton, and G. D. Becker, *First Constraints on Fuzzy Dark Matter from Lyman- α Forest Data and Hydrodynamical Simulations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 031302 (2017).
- [44] M. Nori, R. Murgia, V. Irsic, M. Baldi, and M. Viel, *Lyman α forest and non-linear structure characterization in Fuzzy Dark Matter cosmologies*, Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc. **482**, 3227 (2019).
- [45] D. J. E. Marsh and J. C. Niemeyer, *Strong Constraints on Fuzzy Dark Matter from Ultrafaint Dwarf Galaxy Eridanus II*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 051103 (2019).
- [46] K. Schutz, *Subhalo mass function and ultralight bosonic dark matter*, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 123026 (2020).
- [47] A. Caputo, G. Raffelt, and E. Vitagliano, *Muonic boson limits: Supernova redux*, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 035022 (2022).
- [48] A. Antognini *et al.*, *Studying Antimatter Gravity with Muonium*, Atoms **6**, 17 (2018).
- [49] C. Smorra *et al.*, *Direct limits on the interaction of antiprotons with axion-like dark matter*, Nature **575**, 310 (2019).

- [50] P. W. Graham, S. Hacımeroglu, D. E. Kaplan, Z. Omarov, S. Rajendran, and Y. K. Semertzidis, *Storage ring probes of dark matter and dark energy*, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 055010 (2021).