

# More on Boundary Conditions for Warped AdS<sub>3</sub> in GMG

S. N. Sajadi\* and Ali Hajilou†

Physics Department and Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran  
 School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Science (IPM),  
 P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

June 23, 2022

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the Ankit, Luca, Stephane, and Antoine (ALSA) boundary conditions [22] for Warped AdS<sub>3</sub> in the framework of general massive gravity (GMG) in the quadratic ensemble. We construct the phase space, the asymptotic structure, and the asymptotic symmetry algebra. We show that the global surface charges are finite, but not integrable, and we find the conditions to make them integrable. We obtain the bulk entropy of warped BTZ (WBTZ) black holes and compare them by counting the states belonging to a Warped-CFT.

## 1 Introduction

One of the interesting achievements of string theory in the last two decades is the Anti de-Sitter/Conformal Field Theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence. This correspondence has opened a new approach to studying two different areas of physics, i.e. quantum field theory and gravity theory. After introducing the AdS/CFT correspondence in [1, 2, 3] or more generally gauge/gravity duality many different questions on the field theory side have been investigated utilizing the gravity side [4]-[8] (For more details see [9] and references therein). This duality proposes a correspondence between a quantum field theory in d-dimensional space-time and gravity theory in (d+1)-dimensional space-time. Fields, parameters and different quantities in the gauge theory side are translated to equivalent quantities on the gravity side. For instance, the (vacuum) thermal state on the field theory side corresponds to the (pure AdS) black hole-AdS in the gravity theory. In addition, an extension of AdS/CFT correspondence to non-AdS geometries is Flat/Bondi-Metzner-Sachs invariant field theories (Flat/BMSFT) correspondence. According to this duality, asymptotically flat spacetimes in (d+1) dimensions are dual to d-dimensional BMSFTs [10]-[11].

As we know, the study of asymptotic symmetries in gauge theories is an old topic that has recently received attention. In the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence the asymptotic symmetries of the gravity theory in the bulk spacetime correspond to the global symmetries of the dual quantum field theory in the boundary through the holographic dictionary. Therefore, with strong control

---

\*Electronic address: naseh.sajadi@gmail.com

†Electronic address: hajilou@ipm.ir

of asymptotic symmetries, new holographic dualities can be investigated. The asymptotic symmetries are by definition those gauge transformations that leave the field configurations under consideration asymptotically invariant, and they are essential for a definition of the global charges of the theory. The asymptotic symmetry group is residual gauge diffeomorphisms preserving the boundary conditions with associated non-vanishing charges. The boundary conditions determine the structure of the asymptotic symmetry group. Brown and Henneaux studied asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional AdS space (AdS<sub>3</sub>) and found the symmetry algebra form two copies of Virasoro algebra with non-vanishing central charge ( $c = 3l/2G$ ) [18]. This implies that each consistent quantum gravity on AdS<sub>3</sub> is dual to a two-dimensional conformal field theory with this central charge. Strominger and collaborators have extended these results to the extremal Kerr which is known as the Kerr/CFT correspondence. Compere, Song, and Strominger (CSS) [19] have demonstrated a family of specific alternative boundary conditions in which the asymptotic symmetry algebra of a 3D theory turns out to consist of a semi-direct product of a Virasoro and  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody algebras which are symmetries of the 2-dimensional Warped-CFT's. In [20] and [21], the TMG and the GMMG under the CSS boundary conditions are studied. In [22], a new set of boundary conditions in three-dimensional topological massive gravity introduced so that the dual field theory is a warped conformal field theory (WCFT) in the quadratic ensemble. The boundary conditions of [22] generalize those of [19] by accommodating more solution. In this work, along the line of work [22], we introduced the ALSA boundary conditions, and study its consequences like solutions space, asymptotic symmetries, and charge algebra in the framework of the general massive gravity theory.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, after introducing the GMG theory and boundary conditions, we impose the field equations to determine the solution space. In section 3, we compute the asymptotic Killing vectors preserving the boundary conditions and the gauge and their corresponding surface charges in GMG. We find that the surface charges are not integrable, but by fixing a part of the solution space one can obtain the integrable charges. In section 4, we compute the bulk thermodynamic entropy and compare it with the WCFT Cardy formula, showing that they match once the vacuum is correctly identified. Finally, we provide some conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 GMG under ALSA boundary conditions

The generalized massive gravity theory is realized by adding both the CS deformation term and the higher derivative deformation term to pure Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant. This theory has two mass parameters and TMG and NMG are just two different limits of this generalized theory. The action for the generalized massive gravity theory can be written as [24],[33]

$$S_{GMG} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ s\mathcal{R} - 2\lambda + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_{CS} + \frac{1}{\zeta^2} \mathcal{L}_{NMG} \right], \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \left( \Gamma_{\lambda\sigma}^{\rho} \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^{\sigma} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\lambda\sigma}^{\rho} \Gamma_{\mu\tau}^{\sigma} \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^{\tau} \right), \quad \mathcal{L}_{NMG} = \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{R}^2, \quad (2)$$

and  $\mu$  and  $\zeta$  are mass parameters of the TMG and NMG, respectively.  $\lambda$  is a cosmological parameter with dimension of mass squared, and  $s$  is a convenient sign. Varying the action with respect to the metric, one can get the following equations of motion

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{s}\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\lambda}g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\zeta^2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu}$  the Cotton tensor, and  $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\mathcal{R} + 2\nabla^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} + 4\mathcal{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\mathcal{R}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{8}\mathcal{R}^2g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

The parameter  $\bar{s}$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  are the parameters which defined in terms of other parameters like  $s$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\zeta$ . The Fefferman-Graham gauge in three spacetime dimensions in coordinates  $x^\mu = (r, x^+, x^-)$  with three gauge-fixing conditions is:

$$g_{rr} = \frac{l^2}{r^2}, \quad g_{ra} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $x^\pm = t/L \pm \phi$ . The line element takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{r^2}dr^2 + \gamma_{ab}(r, x)dx^a dx^b. \quad (6)$$

We consider the following fall-off boundary conditions [22]

$$\gamma_{++} = \mathcal{O}(r^4), \quad \gamma_{+-} = \mathcal{O}(r^2), \quad \gamma_{--} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \gamma_{rr} = \frac{L^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the field equations (3) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{++} = & j_{++}r^4 + h(x^+)r^2 + f_{++}(x^+) + \frac{(1-A^2)((A^2-1)h(x^+)^2 + 4j_{++}f_{++}(x^+))h(x^+)}{8j_{++}^2r^2(1+A^2)} \\ & + \frac{(A^2-1)^2(4j_{++}f_{++}(x^+) + (A^2-1)h^2(x^+))^2}{64(1+A^2)^2j_{++}^3r^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\gamma_{+-} = \varsigma_{+-}r^2 + \frac{(1-A^2)h(x^+)\varsigma_{+-}}{2j_{++}} + \frac{(1-A^2)((A^2-1)h(x^+)^2 + 4j_{++}f_{++}(x^+))\varsigma_{+-}}{8j_{++}^2(1+A^2)r^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma_{--} = \frac{(1-A^2)\varsigma_{+-}^2}{j_{++}}, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} = & \frac{1}{3087\mu^4L^4\zeta^2}[2L\zeta^2(3L^2\zeta^4 - 14\bar{s}L^2\mu^2\zeta^2 - 56\mu^2)\sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\bar{s})} - 18L^4\zeta^8 \\ & + 126\bar{s}\mu^2\zeta^6L^4 + (252 - 147\bar{s}^2\mu^2L^2)\mu^2L^2\zeta^4 - 4704\bar{s}\mu^4\zeta^2L^2 - 2352\mu^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The Ricci scalar of (6) is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2(1-4A^2)}{A^2L^2} = 6\bar{\lambda}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$A = \text{RootOf}((16\mu + 4\lambda L^4\mu\zeta^2)\_Z^4 + 63\mu - 16L\zeta^2\_Z + (-80\mu + 4\mu L^2\zeta^2\bar{s})\_Z^2 + 16\zeta^2L\_Z^3, \text{label} = \_L3). \quad (13)$$

Therefore, it is negative as long as  $\bar{\lambda}$  is. As can be seen, the solution space is characterized by four quantities: two constants  $j_{++}$  and  $\varsigma_{+-}$  and two functions  $h(x_+)$  and  $f_{++}(x_+)$ . By writing

the WBTZ black holes (97) in Fefferman-Graham gauge and including in the boundary conditions (7), one can get

$$j_{++} = -\frac{119\mu^2 - 6\zeta^4 L^2 + 2\zeta^2 L \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L(9\zeta^2 - 42\bar{s}\mu^2)} + 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2}{1176GL(LM - J)\mu^2}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_{+-} = & \frac{1}{43218\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + 29057\mu^4 \\ & - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{--} = & \frac{GL(LM - J)}{21609\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + \\ & 29057\mu^4 - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{++} = & \frac{GL(ML + J)}{43218\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + \\ & 72275\mu^4 - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$h = 0. \quad (18)$$

In the case of  $A = 1$  and arbitrary  $j_{++}$ , the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{L^2}{r^2} dr^2 + (j_{++} r^4 + h(x^+) r^2 + f_{++}(x^+)) dx^{+2} + \varsigma_{+-} r^2 dx^+ dx^- \quad (19)$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda} = -\frac{12L\zeta^2 - 35\mu}{4\mu L^4 \zeta^2}, \quad \bar{s} = \frac{6\zeta^2 L - 17\mu}{2\mu L^2 \zeta^2}. \quad (20)$$

This metric is not a solution for Einstein equation, because the Cotton tensor and NMG part have non-vanishing components ( $\mathcal{C}_-^+ = \frac{12r^2 j_{++}}{\varsigma_{+-} L^3}, \mathcal{K}_-^+ = -\frac{136j_{++} r^2}{\varsigma_{+-} L^4}$ ). In the case of  $A = 1$ ,  $\mu = \frac{6\zeta^2 L}{17+2\sigma\zeta^2 L^2}$  and  $j_{++} \rightarrow 0$  but keeping their ratio constant, the line element becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \frac{L^2}{r^2} dr^2 + \left[ h(x^+) r^2 + f_{++}(x^+) + \frac{h(x^+) \Delta [4f_{++}(x^+) + \Delta h^2(x^+)]}{16r^2} \right] dx^{+2} \\ & + \Delta \varsigma_{+-}^2 dx^{-2} + \left[ \varsigma_{+-} r^2 + \frac{h(x^+) \varsigma_{+-} \Delta}{2} + \frac{\varsigma_{+-} \Delta (4f_{++}(x^+) + h^2(x^+) \Delta)}{16r^2} \right] dx^+ dx^-, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{A^2 - 1}{j_{++}} = \text{constant}. \quad (22)$$

This metric is the CSS metric [19].

### 3 Symmetries and charges

The residual gauge diffeomorphisms are generated by the vector  $\xi$  satisfying

$$\mathbf{L}_\xi g_{rr} = 0, \quad \mathbf{L}_\xi g_{ra} = 0, \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}$  denotes the Lie derivative. The solution of these equations are

$$\xi = \xi^\mu \partial_\mu = \xi^r \partial_r + \xi^+ \partial_+ + \xi^- \partial_-, \quad (24)$$

with

$$\xi^r = r\eta(x^+), \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^+ &= \epsilon + \frac{2L^2 j_{++} \eta'(A^4 - 1)}{A^2((A^2 - 1)^2 h^2 + 4j_{++} f_{++}(A^2 - 1) + 8r^4 j_{++}^2 (A^2 + 1))}, \\ \xi^- &= \sigma - \frac{j_{++} L^2 \eta'(A^2 + 1)[(A^2 - 1)h - 4j_{++} r^2]}{A^2 \varsigma_{+-} [(A^2 - 1)^2 h^2 + 4j_{++} f_{++}(A^2 - 1) + A j_{++}^2 r^4 (A^2 + 1)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In these expressions,  $\sigma(x^+)$  and  $\epsilon(x^+)$  are field-independent arbitrary functions. By the variation of metric (6) along  $\xi$ , we find the variation of solution space as follows

$$\mathbb{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{L^2}{r^2} dr^2 + \delta_\xi \gamma_{ab}(r, x) dx^a dx^b \quad (27)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi \gamma_{++} &= \mathbb{L}_\xi \gamma_{++} \rightarrow \\ \delta_\xi j_{++} &= 2j_{++}(\epsilon' + 2\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\delta_\xi h = 2h\eta + 2\varsigma_{+-}\sigma' + \epsilon h' + 2h\epsilon' \quad (29)$$

$$\delta_\xi f_{++} = \epsilon f'_{++} + 2f_{++}\epsilon' + \frac{L^2 \eta''(A^2 + 1)}{2A^2} + \frac{h\sigma' \varsigma_{+-}(1 - A^2)}{j_{++}}, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\delta_\xi \gamma_{+-} = \mathbb{L}_\xi \gamma_{+-} \rightarrow \delta_\xi \varsigma_{+-} = \varsigma_{+-}(2\eta + \epsilon'). \quad (31)$$

By requiring  $j_{++}$  to be constant, from (28) we can get

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon' + \eta_0. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, transforming of  $j_{++}$  becomes:

$$\delta_\xi j_{++} = 4j_{++}\eta_0. \quad (33)$$

If we assume  $\eta_0 = 0$ , then we will obtain

$$\delta_\xi j_{++} = \delta_\xi \varsigma_{+-} = 0. \quad (34)$$

This means that  $j_{++}$  and  $\varsigma_{+-}$  are fixed along the residual orbits. Finally, we find the full residual variation of solution space as:

$$\delta_\xi j_{++} = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\delta_\xi h = (h\epsilon)' + 2\varsigma_{+-}\sigma', \quad (36)$$

$$\delta_\xi f_{++} = \epsilon f'_{++} + 2f_{++}\epsilon' - \frac{L^2 \epsilon'''(A^2 + 1)}{4A^2} + \frac{h\sigma' \varsigma_{+-}(1 - A^2)}{j_{++}}. \quad (37)$$

The general symmetries using (32) are as follows:

$$\xi^r = -\frac{1}{2}r\epsilon',$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^+ &= \epsilon - \frac{L^2 j_{++} \epsilon'' (A^4 - 1)}{A^2 ((A^2 - 1)^2 h^2 + 4j_{++} f_{++} (A^2 - 1) + 8r^4 j_{++}^2 (A^2 + 1))}, \\ \xi^- &= \sigma + \frac{j_{++} L^2 \epsilon'' (A^2 + 1) [(A^2 - 1)h - 4j_{++} r^2]}{2A^2 \varsigma_{+-} [(A^2 - 1)^2 h^2 + 4j_{++} f_{++} (A^2 - 1) + A j_{++}^2 r^4 (A^2 + 1)]},\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

where  $A$  is defined in (13). The residual symmetries (38) depend on two arbitrary chiral functions  $\epsilon(x^+)$  (generates the usual Witt algebra) and  $\sigma(x^+)$  (generates an abelian algebra). Therefore, the total asymptotic symmetry algebra is a direct of a Witt and a  $u(1)$  algebra.

### 3.1 Charges and Algebra

The surface charges are computed using [34], [28], [29] as follows

$$\delta Q^a(\bar{\xi}) = \int_{\Sigma} dS_i F^{ai}(g, h), \quad (39)$$

with

$$F_E^{ai}(\bar{\xi}) = \bar{\xi}_b \bar{\nabla}^a h^{ib} - \bar{\xi}_b \bar{\nabla}^i h^{ab} + \bar{\xi}^a \bar{\nabla}^i h - \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\nabla}^a h + h^{ab} \bar{\nabla}^i \bar{\xi}_b - h^{ib} \bar{\nabla}^a \bar{\xi}_b + \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\nabla}_b h^{ab} - \bar{\xi}^a \bar{\nabla}_b h^{ib} + h \bar{\nabla}^a \bar{\xi}^i, \quad (40)$$

$$F_C^{ai}(\bar{\xi}) = F_E^{ai}(\eta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \bar{\xi}_\lambda \left( \epsilon^{ai\rho} \delta G_\rho^\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ai\lambda} \delta G \right) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}} \epsilon^{ai\rho} \left[ \bar{\xi}_\rho h_\sigma^\lambda G_\lambda^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} h \left( \bar{\xi}_\sigma G_\rho^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_\rho R \right) \right], \quad (41)$$

$$F_{R^2}^{ab}(\bar{\xi}) = 2R F_E^{ab}(\bar{\xi}) + 4\bar{\xi}^{[a} \nabla^{b]} \delta R + 2\delta R \nabla^{[a} \bar{\xi}^{b]} - 2\bar{\xi}^{[a} h^{b]\alpha} \nabla_\alpha R, \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{R^2}^{ab}(\bar{\xi}) &= \nabla^2 F_E^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} F_{R^2}^{ab} - 2F_E^{[a} R^{b]} - 2\nabla^\alpha \bar{\xi}^\beta \nabla_\alpha \nabla^{[a} h^{b]} - 4\bar{\xi}^\alpha R_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^{[a} h^{b]\beta} - R h_\alpha^{[a} \nabla^{b]} \bar{\xi}^\alpha + 2\bar{\xi}^{[a} R^{b]} \nabla_\beta h^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + 2\bar{\xi}_\alpha R^{\alpha[a} \nabla_\beta h^{b]\beta} + 2\bar{\xi}^\alpha h^{\beta[a} \nabla_\beta R^{b]} + 2h^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\xi}^{[a} \nabla_\alpha R^{b]} - (\delta R + 2R^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}) \nabla^{[a} \bar{\xi}^{b]} - 3\bar{\xi}^\alpha R_\alpha^{[a} \nabla^{b]} h, \\ &\quad - \bar{\xi}^{[a} R^{b]\alpha} \nabla_\alpha h,\end{aligned}\quad (43)$$

where  $\delta \mathcal{R} = -\mathcal{R}^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta h_{\alpha\beta} - \nabla^2 h$ ,  $\eta^\nu = \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\nabla}_\rho \bar{\xi}_\sigma$  and  $h = \delta g_{\mu\nu} (\delta\alpha, \alpha) = \partial g_{\mu\nu} / \partial \alpha \delta\alpha$ . For the  $u(1)$  sector and the Killing vector  $\underline{\sigma} = \sigma(x^+) \partial_-$ , the surface charge becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Q_{\underline{\sigma}} &= \frac{A^2 - 1}{8A^5 \mu L^3 \zeta^2 j_{++}^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sigma(x^+) [2(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 L A^3 + 2\mu A^2 (\bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 L A - 63\mu) \varsigma_{+-} - j_{++} \delta h \\ &\quad - j_{++} \delta \varsigma_{+-} ((4(-14 - \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) \mu A^4 + 14L A^3 \zeta^2 + 2\mu A^2 (4\bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 + 151) - 8\zeta^2 L A - 63\mu) h + (2\mu A^4 (10 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) \\ &\quad - 3\zeta^2 L A^3 - (\bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 + 83) \mu A^2 + 2\zeta^2 L A + 63\mu) \varsigma_{+-}) + (A^2 - 1) \varsigma_{+-} \delta j_{++} (\varsigma_{+-} - h) (\mu A^2 (10 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) \\ &\quad - L A \zeta^2 - 63)].\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

The Virasoro charges is

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Q_{\underline{\epsilon}} &= \frac{1}{16\mu L^3 \zeta^2 A^5 (A^2 + 1) j_{++}^2 \varsigma_{+-}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi (L^2 j_{++} (A^2 + 1) \epsilon'' ((A^2 - 1) (8L A^3 \zeta^2 - 2\mu A^2 (-14 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) \\ &\quad - 9A L^2 \zeta^2 + 21\mu)) \varsigma_{+-} - \delta j_{++} - A j_{++} \delta \varsigma_{+-} (10A^4 L \zeta^2 + A^3 (40\mu + 4\mu \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) - 25A^2 L \zeta^2 - 42\mu A + 11L \zeta^2)) \\ &\quad - A^2 \epsilon (A (A^2 - 1) \varsigma_{+-} - j_{++} \delta h (-12A^4 L \zeta^2 - 32A^3 \mu (4 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) + 10A^2 L \zeta^2 + L \zeta^2 + 144\mu A) + \varsigma_{+-} j_{++}^2 \delta f_{++} \times \\ &\quad (24L A^5 \zeta^2 - 24\mu A^4 (4 + 2\bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) + 44A^3 L \zeta^2) + 8\mu A^2 (35 + 2\bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) - 20A L \zeta^2 - 168\mu) - (A^2 - 1) \varsigma_{+-} \delta j_{++} \times \\ &\quad (-A h^2 (12A^4 L \zeta^2 + 16\mu A^3 (4 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) - 10A^2 L \zeta^2 - 72\mu A - 2L \zeta^2) + (A^2 + 1) \varsigma_{+-} h (16A^3 L \zeta^2 - 4A L \zeta^2 - 84\mu \\ &\quad + 8\mu A^2 (10 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) - 20(2A^2 + 1) A L f_{++} \zeta^2 j_{++}) - j_{++} \delta \varsigma_{+-} (12A^3 (A^2 - 1)^2 L \zeta^2 h^2 - (A^4 - 1) \varsigma_{+-} h (16\mu A^2 \times \\ &\quad (10 + \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2) + 16A L \zeta^2 - 168\mu) + 8A L \zeta^2 f_{++} j_{++} (10A^4 - 5A^2 - 3))))),\end{aligned}\quad (45)$$

where we have used  $\underline{\epsilon} = \xi$ , with  $\xi^r$ ,  $\xi^+$  and  $\xi^-$  provided in (38) and  $\sigma = 0$ . The above surface charges obtained first at  $(r, x^+)$  fixed, then at  $(r, x^-)$  fixed, added them and sent  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . These

charges are finite, but are not integrable. Non-integrability of charges implies that the finite charge expressions rely on the particular path that one chooses to integrate on the solution space, which is a common feature of a dissipative system. If  $\delta j_{++} = \delta \varsigma_{+-} = 0$  the charges become integrable. Also, one can find combination of vectors such that these charges become integrable even when  $\delta j_{++} \neq 0$ ,  $\delta \varsigma_{+-} \neq 0$ .

By using the integrable charges, the charge algebra is obtained. So, in the case  $\delta j_{++} = \delta \varsigma_{+-} = 0$ , the charges read as

$$\delta Q_{\underline{\sigma}} = \frac{(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)\varsigma_{+-}}{4A^5\mu L^3\zeta^2 j_{++}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sigma(x^+) \delta h, \quad (46)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_{\underline{\epsilon}} = & -\frac{1}{8j_{++}\mu A^3 L^3 \zeta^2 (A^2 + 1)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \epsilon(A(A^2 - 1)\delta h(h(-6A^4 L\zeta^2 - 16A^3\mu(4 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 5A^2 L\zeta^2 \\ & + 72\mu A + L\zeta^2) + (16A^2 - 14)\varsigma_{+-} L\zeta^2(A^2 + 1)) + j_{++}\delta f_{++}(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + \\ & 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

These charges can now be integrated. By integration, one can obtain

$$Q_{\underline{\sigma}} = \frac{(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)\varsigma_{+-}}{4A^5\mu L^3\zeta^2 j_{++}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sigma(x^+)(h + h_0), \quad (48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\underline{\epsilon}} = & -\frac{1}{16j_{++}\mu A^3 L^3 \zeta^2 (A^2 + 1)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \epsilon(A(A^2 - 1)(h^2(-6A^4 L\zeta^2 - 16A^3\mu(4 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 5A^2 L\zeta^2 \\ & + 72\mu A + L\zeta^2) + 2(16A^2 - 14)\varsigma_{+-} hL\zeta^2(A^2 + 1)) + 2j_{++}f_{++}(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + \\ & 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

For the  $u(1)$  sector, the charge algebra is computed as

$$\delta_{\sigma_2} Q_{\sigma_1}[g] = Q_{[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]} + K_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}. \quad (50)$$

Since  $Q_{[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]} = 0$ , the central extension for the  $u(1)$  sector is

$$K_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \frac{(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)\varsigma_{+-}^2}{2A^5\mu L^3\zeta^2 j_{++}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sigma_1 \sigma_2'. \quad (51)$$

Using the mode decomposition  $\sigma_1 = e^{imx^+}$ ,  $\sigma_2 = e^{inx^+}$ , and calling  $Q_{\underline{\sigma}^1} = P_m$ ,  $Q_{\underline{\sigma}^2} = P_n$ , it is easy to obtain

$$i\{P_m, P_n\} = m\frac{k}{2}\delta_{m+n,0} \quad \text{with} \quad k = \frac{2\pi(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)\varsigma_{+-}^2}{A^5\mu L^3\zeta^2 j_{++}}. \quad (52)$$

This is a centrally extended  $u(1)$  algebra with central extension  $k$  called Kac-Moody level. For the Virasoro sector we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{\underline{\epsilon}^1}, Q_{\underline{\epsilon}^2}\} = & \delta_{\epsilon_2} Q_{\epsilon_1}[g] = \frac{1}{16j_{++}\mu A^3 L^3 \zeta^2 (A^2 + 1)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2' - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1') [A(A^2 - 1)(h^2(-6A^4 L\zeta^2 \\ & - 16A^3\mu(4 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 5A^2 L\zeta^2 + 72\mu A + L\zeta^2) + 2(16A^2 - 14)\varsigma_{+-} hL\zeta^2(A^2 + 1)] - \\ & \frac{(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)}{32\mu LA^3\zeta^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2'''. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Using the mode decomposition representation  $\epsilon_1 = e^{imx^+}$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = e^{inx^+}$ , and calling  $Q_{\epsilon_1} = L_m$ ,  $Q_{\epsilon_2} = L_n$ , we obtain

$$i\{L_m, L_n\} = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m^3\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$c = \frac{3\pi(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)}{4\mu LA^3\zeta^2}. \quad (54)$$

In summary, the algebra is

$$i\{L_m, L_n\} = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m^3\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (55)$$

$$i\{L_m, P_n\} = -nP_{n+m} \quad (56)$$

$$i\{P_m, P_n\} = m\frac{k}{2}\delta_{m+n,0} \quad (57)$$

with central extensions

$$c = \frac{3\pi(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)}{4\mu LA^3\zeta^2},$$

$$k = \frac{2\pi(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)\zeta_{+-}^2}{A^5\mu L^3\zeta^2 j_{++}}. \quad (58)$$

In the limit  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mu > 0, L > 0$  one can obtain [22]

$$c = \frac{\mu^2 L^2 \bar{s}^2 + 9}{3\mu}, \quad k = -\frac{\zeta_{+-}^2(\mu^2 L^2 \bar{s}^2 - 9)}{\mu L^2 j_{++}}, \quad (59)$$

while in the case of  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , we have [35]

$$c = \frac{16\pi}{7} \sqrt{\frac{2}{21}} \frac{(\zeta^2 L^2 + 2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\zeta^2 L}, \quad k = -\frac{8\pi\sqrt{42}(2\zeta^2 L^2 - 17)\zeta_{+-}^2}{21\zeta^2 L^3 \sqrt{\zeta^2 L^2 + 2} j_{++}}. \quad (60)$$

This algebra is the one of the centrally extended group

$$Vir \otimes U(1). \quad (61)$$

Now, we study the Null Warped limit, in the case  $A = 1$ . In this case the  $u(1)$  level and charges vanish identically ( $k = 0$ ), we are left with a Virasoro symmetry algebra with central extension

$$c = \frac{18\pi(6\mu - L\zeta^2)}{\mu L\zeta^2}, \quad A \rightarrow 1. \quad (62)$$

As we know, the CSS limit is reached setting  $A = 1$  and  $j_{++} = 0$  while keeping  $\Delta = \frac{A^2 - 1}{j_{++}}$  constant. The charges read

$$Q_{\underline{a}} = \frac{\Delta\zeta_{+-}(2\zeta^2 L - 5\mu)}{\mu L^3\zeta^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi\sigma(x^+)(h + h_0), \quad (63)$$

$$Q_{\underline{\epsilon}} = -\frac{1}{4\mu L^3\zeta^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi\epsilon(x^+) [6f_{++}(6\mu - \zeta^2 L) + \Delta(6h^2(3\mu - \zeta^2 L) + \zeta_{+-}L\zeta^2 h)], \quad (64)$$

while the central extensions become

$$c = \frac{18\pi(6\mu - \zeta^2 L)}{\mu L\zeta^2}, \quad k = \frac{8\pi\Delta\zeta_{+-}^2(2\zeta^2 L - 5\mu)}{\mu L^3\zeta^2}. \quad (65)$$



This limit coincides with our results in [21]. We now turn our attention to WBTZ black holes. These are reached restricting the solution space to

$$j_{++} = -\frac{119\mu^2 - 6\zeta^4 L^2 + 2\zeta^2 L \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L(9\zeta^2 - 42\bar{s}\mu^2)} + 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2}{1176GL(LM - J)\mu^2}, \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_{+-} = & \frac{1}{43218\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + 29057\mu^4 \\ & - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{--} = & \frac{GL(LM - J)}{21609\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + \\ & 29057\mu^4 - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{++} = & \frac{GL(ML + J)}{43218\mu^4} [4L\zeta^2(-119\mu^2 + 6\zeta^4 L^2 - 14\bar{s}\mu^2 \zeta^2 L^2) \sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\mu^2 \bar{s})} + \\ & 72275\mu^4 - 4\zeta^2 L^2(18L^2 \zeta^6 - 84\bar{s}L^2 \mu^2 \zeta^4 - 273\zeta^2 \mu^2 + 49\bar{s}^2 L^2 \zeta^2 \mu^4 + 833\bar{s}\mu^4)], \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$h = 0. \quad (70)$$

Therefore, their charges in the quadratic ensemble take the form

$$P_m = \frac{2\pi h_0(A^2 - 1)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)(LM - J)(2H^2 - 1)}{A^5 \mu L^2 \zeta^2 H^2} \delta_{m,0}, \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_m = & \frac{\pi G(J + ML)(H^2 - 1)}{2\mu A^3 L^2 \zeta^2 (A^2 + 1)} [12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2) + 22LA^3 \zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) \\ & - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu] \delta_{m,0}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

with  $M$  and  $J$  the Einstein charges. The GMG mass and angular momentum of these solutions are defined as

$$\mathcal{M} = Q_{\partial_t} = \frac{1}{L}(Q_{\partial_+} + Q_{\partial_-}), \quad \mathcal{J} = Q_{\partial_\phi} = Q_{\partial_+} - Q_{\partial_-}, \quad (73)$$

and we also have

$$Q_{\partial_-} = P_0, \quad Q_{\partial_+} = L_0. \quad (74)$$

Then, we obtain the relationship between the GMG mass and angular momentum and the zero modes of the charges

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{L}(P_0 + L_0) = & \frac{\pi}{2\mu L^3 \zeta^2 A^5 H^2 (A^2 + 1)} [4h_0(A^4 - 1)(2H^2 - 1)(LM - J)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 \\ & + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu) + (H^2 - 1)A^2 H^2 (J + ML)(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2) \\ & + 22LA^3 \zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu)], \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} = L_0 - P_0 = & \frac{\pi}{2\mu L^2 \zeta^2 A^5 H^2 (A^2 + 1)} [(H^2 - 1)A^2 H^2 (J + ML)(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2) \\ & + 22LA^3 \zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu) - 4h_0(A^4 - 1)(2H^2 - 1)(LM - J)(-8\mu A^4 \\ & + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2 \zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu)]. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

So, the bulk solution space has a symmetry algebra identified with that of a WCFT in quadratic ensemble.

## 4 Entropy matching

The WBTZ black hole solution in ADM form is given as [22], [32]:

$$ds^2 = -N(r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)^2} + R(r)^2 (N^\phi(r) dt + d\phi)^2, \quad (77)$$

with

$$N^2(r) = -\frac{4(2H^2 - 1)(J - ML)(16J^2 L^2 - 8ML^2 r^2 + r^4)}{L(16H^2 L^2 J^2 + H^2 r^4 - 4Lr^2(ML + J(2H^2 - 1)))}, \quad (78)$$

$$f^2(r) = \frac{16J^2}{r^2} - 8M + \frac{r^2}{L^2}, \quad (79)$$

$$R^2(r) = -\frac{16H^2 J^2 L^2 + H^2 r^4 - 4Lr^2(2H^2 J - J + ML)}{4L(ML - J)}, \quad (80)$$

$$N^\phi(r) = \frac{H^2 r^4 - 8MH^2 L^2 r^2 - 16JL^2(J(H^2 - 1) + LM(1 - 2H^2))}{L(H^2 r^4 + 16H^2 J^2 L^2 - 4Lr^2(ML + J(2H^2 - 1)))}. \quad (81)$$

By taking  $\xi = \partial_t + \frac{r_-}{Lr_+} \partial_\phi$  and given (77), the entropy of black hole in GMG is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} S^{GMG} = & \frac{\pi^2}{4\mu L^2 \zeta^2 A^5 H^2 (A^2 + 1) \sqrt{M^2 L^2 - J^2}} [4h_0(A^4 - 1)(2H^2 - 1)(LM - J)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 \\ & + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu) + (H^2 - 1)A^2 H^2 (J + ML)(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) \\ & + 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu) \sqrt{ML^2 + L\sqrt{M^2 L^2 - J^2}} + ((H^2 - 1)A^2 H^2 \\ & (J + ML)(12L\zeta^2 A^5 - 24\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}L^2\zeta^2) + 22LA^3\zeta^2 + 4\mu A^2(35 + 2\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2) - 10AL\zeta^2 - 84\mu) - \\ & 4h_0(A^4 - 1)(2H^2 - 1)(LM - J)(-8\mu A^4 + 4\zeta^2 LA^3 + 2\mu A^2(\bar{s}L^2\zeta^2 + 34) - 2\zeta^2 LA - 63\mu) \times \\ & \sqrt{ML^2 - L\sqrt{M^2 L^2 - J^2}}], \quad (82) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_\pm$  are the horizons of black holes (solutions of the equation  $f(r) = 0$ ) given by

$$r_\pm = 2\sqrt{GL} \sqrt{LM \pm \sqrt{L^2 M^2 - J^2}}. \quad (83)$$

The Hawking temperature and angular velocity of black hole are given as

$$T = \frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{2\pi r_+ L^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{L^2 M^2 - J^2}}{\pi L^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{ML + \sqrt{M^2 L^2 - J^2}}}, \quad (84)$$

and

$$\Omega = \frac{r_-}{Lr_+} = \frac{\sqrt{ML - \sqrt{L^2 M^2 - J^2}}}{L\sqrt{ML + \sqrt{M^2 L^2 - J^2}}}. \quad (85)$$

As expected, the above thermodynamic quantities (75-85) satisfy the first law

$$dM = TdS + \Omega dJ. \quad (86)$$

Here, we want to obtain the entropy from the field theory side by counting the degeneracy of states in the boundary. The first step is to define the vacuum of the theory. The line element of vacuum is obtained by setting  $J = 0$ ,  $M = -1/8G$  as

$$ds_{vac}^2 = (L^2 + r^2)(2H^2 r^2 + L^2(2H^2 - 1)) \frac{dt^2}{L^4} + \frac{L^2 dr^2}{L^2 + r^2} + 4H^2 r^2 (L^2 + r^2) \frac{dt d\phi}{L^3} + \left( r^2 + \frac{2H^2 r^4}{L^2} \right) d\phi^2, \quad (87)$$

in the case of  $H = 0$ , the metric becomes global AdS<sub>3</sub>. The values of the GMG charges are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \frac{\pi}{8L^2\mu(A^2+1)A^5\zeta^2H^2}(-16\mu h_0 A^8 - 16L\zeta^2 A^7 H^2 h_0 + 12\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^4 - 12\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 \\ & - 8\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 h_0 + 4\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 h_0 - 272\mu A^6 H^2 h_0 + 11L\zeta^2 A^5 H^2 - 4L\zeta^2 A^5 h_0 - 70\mu A^4 H^4 \\ & + 70\mu A^4 H^2 - 110\mu A^4 h_0 + 5L\zeta^2 A^3 H^4 - 5L\zeta^2 A^3 H^2 - 8L\zeta^2 A^3 h_0 + 42A^2 \mu H^4 - 42A^2 \mu H^2 \\ & - 136A^2 \mu h_0 - 252\mu h_0 H^2 + 8L\zeta^2 A^5 H^2 h_0 - 4\mu A^4 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^4 + 4\mu A^4 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 + 220\mu A^4 H^2 h_0 \\ & + 16L\zeta^2 A^3 H^2 h_0 + 8A^2 \mu \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 h_0 - 4A^2 \mu \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 h_0 + 272A^2 \mu H^2 h_0 - 8L\zeta^2 h_0 A H^2 + 126\mu h_0 \\ & - 6L\zeta^2 A^7 H^4 + 6L\zeta^2 A^7 H^2 + 8L\zeta^2 A^7 h_0 + 24\mu A^6 H^4 + 4L\zeta^2 h_0 A + 32\mu h_0 A^8 H^2 - 24\mu A^6 H^2 + \\ & 136\mu A^6 h_0 - 11L\zeta^2 A^5 H^4), \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} = & -\frac{\pi}{8\mu(A^2+1)H^2 A^5 L \zeta^2}(-16\mu h_0 A^8 - 16L\zeta^2 A^7 H^2 h_0 - 12\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^4 + 12\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 \\ & - 8\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 h_0 + 4\mu A^6 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 h_0 - 272\mu A^6 H^2 h_0 - 11L\zeta^2 A^5 H^2 - 4L\zeta^2 A^5 h_0 + 70\mu A^4 H^4 \\ & - 70\mu A^4 H^2 - 110\mu A^4 h_0 - 5L\zeta^2 A^3 H^4 + 5L\zeta^2 A^3 H^2 - 8L\zeta^2 A^3 h_0 - 42A^2 \mu H^4 + 42A^2 \mu H^2 \\ & - 136A^2 \mu h_0 - 252\mu h_0 H^2 + 8L\zeta^2 A^5 H^2 h_0 + 4\mu A^4 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^4 - 4\mu A^4 \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 + 220\mu A^4 H^2 h_0 \\ & + 16L\zeta^2 A^3 H^2 h_0 + 8A^2 \mu \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 H^2 h_0 - 4A^2 \mu \zeta^2 \bar{s} L^2 h_0 + 272A^2 \mu H^2 h_0 - 8L\zeta^2 h_0 A H^2 + \\ & 126\mu h_0 + 6L\zeta^2 A^7 H^4 - 6L\zeta^2 A^7 H^2 + 8L\zeta^2 A^7 h_0 - 24\mu A^6 H^4 + 4L\zeta^2 h_0 A + 32\mu h_0 A^8 H^2 + \\ & 24\mu A^6 H^2 + 136\mu A^6 h_0 + 11L\zeta^2 A^5 H^4). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

In the quadratic ensemble, the Warped Cardy formula takes the form

$$S_{WCFT} = 4\pi\sqrt{-P_0^{vac}P_0} + 4\pi\sqrt{-L_0^{vac}L_0}, \quad (90)$$

where, the zero modes for vacuum metric become

$$P_0^{vac} = \frac{\pi h_0(-1+2H^2)(A^2-1)(8\mu A^4 - 4\zeta^2 LA^3 - 2A^2 \mu \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 - 68A^2 \mu + 2\zeta^2 LA + 63\mu)}{4LH^2 A^5 \mu \zeta^2}, \quad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^{vac} = & -\frac{\pi(H^2-1)}{8LA^3\mu(A^2+1)\zeta^2}(6A^5 L \zeta^2 - 24\mu A^4 - 12A^4 \mu \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 + 11\zeta^2 LA^3 + 4A^2 \mu \bar{s} L^2 \zeta^2 + \\ & 70A^2 \mu - 5\zeta^2 LA - 42\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Inserting this in (90), one can find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{WCFT} = & \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^2}{H^2 A^5 \mu \zeta^2 (A^2+1)}(2h_0(A^4-1)(2H^2-1)\sqrt{Y}(8\mu A^4 - 4\zeta^2 A^3 - 4\mu A^2(34 + \bar{s}\zeta^2) + 4\zeta^2 A + 63\mu) \\ & + H^2(H^2-1)A^2\sqrt{X}(6\zeta^2 A^5 - 12\mu A^4(2 + \bar{s}\zeta^2) + 11\zeta^2 A^3) + 2\mu A^2(2\bar{s}\zeta^2 + 35) - 5A\zeta^2 - 42\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

where  $X = ML + J, Y = ML - J$ . After some manipulations, this expression matches the bulk thermodynamic WBTZ entropy (82) if:

$$h_0 = \frac{H^2 A^2 (H^2 - 1)(r_+ + r_-)(2X + \sqrt{2X}(r_- - r_+))C}{2(2H^2 - 1)(A^4 - 1)(r_+ - r_-)D}, \quad (94)$$

where

$$C = 6A^5 \zeta^2 - 12\mu \bar{s} A^4 \zeta^2 - 24\mu A^4 + 11\zeta^2 A^3 + 70\mu A^2 + 4\mu \bar{s} A^2 \zeta^2 - 5\zeta^2 A - 42\mu, \quad (95)$$

$$D = 2Y + \sqrt{2Y}(r_- + r_+)(8\mu A^4 - 4\zeta^2 A^3 - 2\mu A^2 \bar{s} \zeta^2 - 68\mu A^2 + 2\zeta^2 A + 63\mu). \quad (96)$$

## 5 Conclusion

In this work, in the framework of general massive gravity we studied the asymptotic symmetry algebra, the solution space and the global charges using the ALSA boundary conditions in quadratic ensemble. Under the mentioned boundary conditions, we construct the solution space with two arbitrary functions ( $f_{++}(x^+), h(x^+)$ ) and two constants ( $\varsigma_{+-}, j_{++}$ ). The solution space is different from the counterpart for Einstein's gravity because the Cotton tensor and NMG part are not equal to zero, and in the limit  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , return to the Einstein's solution space. Then, we obtained the asymptotic symmetry and their algebra ( $\sigma$  generates an abelian algebra and  $\epsilon$  generates the usual Witt algebra) by imposing FG gauge fixing on the metric and new fall-offs boundary conditions. We obtained the integrable surface charges using the the Iyer-Wald method by fixing a part of the solution space ( $\delta j_{++} = \delta \varsigma_{+-} = 0$ ). We have obtained the centrally extended charge algebra which is  $Vir \otimes u(1)$  algebra with the central charges which are provided in (58). While the TMG and NMG coupling tend to zero, the central charges lead to its Einstein counterpart. We also show that the boundary counting of the degeneracy of states correctly reproduces the bulk thermodynamic entropy for WBTZ black holes. These confirmed the phase space has the same symmetries as that of a WCFT in the quadratic ensemble.

## A WAdS<sub>3</sub> metric

WAdS<sub>3</sub> black holes are different from the AdS<sub>3</sub> black holes and closer to Kerr black holes. The asymptotic symmetry group of WAdS<sub>3</sub> black holes is the semi-direct product of a chiral Virasoro algebra with an  $u(1)$  current. The metric of WBTZ can be obtained by a deformation of the BTZ black hole spacetime as follows [31]

$$ds_{WBTZ}^2 = ds_{BTZ}^2 - 2H^2 \xi \otimes \xi, \quad (97)$$

where

$$ds_{BTZ}^2 = \frac{L^2 r^2 dr^2}{16J^2 L^2 - 8ML^2 r^2 + r^4} + (4ML^2 - r^2) dx^+ dx^- + 2L(LM + J) dx^{+2} + 2L(LM - J) dx^{-2}, \quad (98)$$

with

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2GL(LM - J)}} \partial_-. \quad (99)$$

The metric of WBTZ is a solution for GMG field equation if

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{3087\mu^4 L^4 \zeta^2} [2L\zeta^2(3L^2\zeta^4 - 14\bar{s}L^2\mu^2\zeta^2 - 56\mu^2)\sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\bar{s})} - 18L^4\zeta^8 + 126\bar{s}\mu^2\zeta^6 L^4 + (252 - 147\bar{s}^2\mu^2 L^2)\mu^2 L^2\zeta^4 - 4704\bar{s}\mu^4\zeta^2 L^2 - 2352\mu^4], \quad (100)$$

$$H^2 = \frac{119\mu^2 - 6\zeta^4 L^2 + 2\zeta^2 L\sqrt{84\mu^2 + \zeta^2 L^2(9\zeta^2 - 42\bar{s}\mu^2)} + 14\bar{s}\mu^2\zeta^2 L^2}{294\mu^2}. \quad (101)$$

For  $\bar{s} = (6\zeta^2 L - 17\mu)/(2\mu L^2 \zeta^2)$ ,  $H$  become zero and  $\bar{\lambda} = -(12\zeta^2 L - 35\mu)/4\mu\zeta^2 L^4$  and metric WBTZ become BTZ metric. For  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , and assuming  $L > 0, \mu > 0$  we have

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{-36\bar{s} + \bar{s}^2\mu^2 L^2}{27L^2}, \quad H^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\bar{s}^2\mu^2 L^2}{18}, \quad (102)$$

which are the same as [22] for TMG. In the case of  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$

$$\bar{\lambda} = -\frac{\bar{s}^2\zeta^4 L^4 + 32\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2 + 16}{21\zeta^2 L^4}, \quad H^2 = \frac{17}{42} + \frac{\bar{s}\zeta^2 L^2}{21}, \quad (103)$$

which are the same as [35] for NMG.

## References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113 (1999) [*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998)] [hep-th/9711200].
- [2] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998) [hep-th/9802150].
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428**, 105 (1998) [hep-th/9802109].
- [4] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, “More on a holographic dual of QCD,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **114**, 1083-1118 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507073 [hep-th]].
- [5] H. Bohra, D. Dudal, A. Hajilou and S. Mahapatra, “Anisotropic string tensions and inversely magnetic catalyzed deconfinement from a dynamical AdS/QCD model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **801**, 135184 (2020) [arXiv:1907.01852 [hep-th]].
- [6] H. Bohra, D. Dudal, A. Hajilou and S. Mahapatra, “Chiral transition in the probe approximation from an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton gravity model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.8, 086021 (2021) [arXiv:2010.04578 [hep-th]].
- [7] D. Dudal, A. Hajilou and S. Mahapatra, “A quenched 2-flavour Einstein–Maxwell–Dilaton gauge-gravity model,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**, no.4, 142 (2021) [arXiv:2103.01185 [hep-th]].
- [8] D. Masoumi, L. Shahkarami and F. Charmchi, “Effect of electromagnetic fields on deformed AdS<sub>5</sub> models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.12, 126011 (2020) [arXiv:2003.06848 [hep-th]].
- [9] J. Casalderrey-Solana, H. Liu, D. Mateos, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, “Gauge/String Duality, Hot QCD and Heavy Ion Collisions,” [arXiv:1101.0618 [hep-th]].
- [10] A. Bagchi, “Correspondence between Asymptotically Flat Spacetimes and Nonrelativistic Conformal Field Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 171601 (2010) [arXiv:1006.3354 [hep-th]].
- [11] M. R. Setare and S. N. Sajadi, “Flat limit chiral gravity in GMMG and EGMG,” *Phys. Lett. B* **822**, 136667 (2021)
- [12] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, “The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849-1851 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9204099 [hep-th]].
- [13] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, “Geometry of the (2+1) black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 1506-1525 (1993) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 069902 (2013)] [arXiv:gr-qc/9302012 [gr-qc]].
- [14] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 975 (1982).
- [15] A. Sinha, “On the new massive gravity and AdS/CFT,” *JHEP* **06** (2010), 061 [arXiv:1003.0683 [hep-th]].

- [16] I. Güllü, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, “Born-Infeld Gravity with a Massless Graviton in Four Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) no.4, 044007 [arXiv:1410.8033 [hep-th]].
- [17] E. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, W. Merbis, A. J. Routh and P. K. Townsend, “Minimal Massive 3D Gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31**, 145008 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2867 [hep-th]].
- [18] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, “Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 207-226 (1986)
- [19] G. Compère, W. Song and A. Strominger, “New Boundary Conditions for AdS<sub>3</sub>,” *JHEP* **05**, 152 (2013) [arXiv:1303.2662 [hep-th]].
- [20] L. Ciambelli, S. Detournay and A. Somerhausen, “New chiral gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.10, 106017 (2020) [arXiv:2008.06793 [hep-th]].
- [21] M. R. Setare, S. N. Sajadi, S. Dengiz and E. Kilicarslan, “New chiral generalized minimal massive gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.6, 066004 (2021) [arXiv:2109.03633 [hep-th]].
- [22] A. Aggarwal, L. Ciambelli, S. Detournay and A. Somerhausen, “Boundary conditions for warped AdS<sub>3</sub> in quadratic ensemble,” *JHEP* **22**, 013 (2020) [arXiv:2112.13116 [hep-th]].
- [23] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, “Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 201301 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1766 [hep-th]].
- [24] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, “More on Massive 3D Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124042 (2009) [arXiv:0905.1259 [hep-th]].
- [25] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 975-978 (1982).
- [26] R. M. Wald, “Black hole entropy is the Noether charge,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, no. 8, R3427 (1993) [gr-qc/9307038].
- [27] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, “Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 846 (1994) [gr-qc/9403028].
- [28] S. Nam, J. D. Park and S. H. Yi, “Mass and Angular momentum of Black Holes in New Massive Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 124049 (2010) [arXiv:1009.1962 [hep-th]].
- [29] M. R. Setare and S. N. Sajadi, “Conserved charges of GMMG in arbitrary backgrounds,” *Annals Phys.* **439**, 168784 (2022)
- [30] M. R. Setare and S. N. Sajadi, “Weyl charges in asymptotically locally AdS<sub>3</sub> spacetimes in the framework of NMG,” *Phys. Lett. B* **827**, 136938 (2022)
- [31] D. Israel, C. Kounnas, D. Orlando and P. M. Petropoulos, “Electric/magnetic deformations of S<sup>2</sup> and AdS(3), and geometric cosets,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **53**, 73-104 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405213 [hep-th]].
- [32] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, “Holographic gravitational anomalies,” *JHEP* **01**, 022 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0508218 [hep-th]].

- [33] Y. Liu and Y. W. Sun, “On the Generalized Massive Gravity in AdS(3),” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 126001 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0403 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, “Black hole mass and angular momentum in topologically massive gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 5581-5594 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0263 [gr-qc]].
- [35] In preparation.