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#### Abstract

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the complexified Lie algebra of $G=\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. In the previous paper, we constructed ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-modules associated to the finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ of dimension $m+1$, which we denote by $M^{+}(m)$ and $M^{-}(m)$. The aim of this paper is to show that the annihilator of $M^{ \pm}(m)$ is the Joseph ideal if and only if $m=0$. We shall see that an element of the symmetric of square $S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ that is given in terms of the Casimir elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the complexified Lie algebra of $K$ plays a critical role in the proof of the main result.


## 1. Introduction

For a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Joseph constructed the so-called Joseph ideal in [8], which is a completely prime two-sided primitive ideal in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and has the associated variety of minimal dimension. He also proved that it is unique if $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type $A$ (see also [2]). An irreducible unitary representation of a simple Lie group is called a minimal representation if its annihilator in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to the Joseph ideal.

The best known example of the minimal representation is the oscillator (or Segal-Shale-Weil) representation of the metaplectic group, the double cover of the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. It was shown in [5] that the canonical quantization of the moment map on real symplectic vector spaces gives rise to the underlying ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-module of the oscillator representation of real reductive Lie groups $G$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the complexified Lie algebra of $G$ and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$.

In the case of $G=\mathrm{O}(p, q)$, the indefinite orthogonal group, applying this method to the symplectic vector space $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}, \omega\right)$ with $\omega(z, w)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{*} I_{p, q} w\right)$, on which $G$ acts via matrix multiplication in a Hamiltonian way with moment map (see below for details), and making use of the fact that $\left(\mathrm{O}(p, q), \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is a dual pair in $\operatorname{Sp}(p+q, \mathbb{R})$, the author constructed ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-modules $M^{+}(m)$ and $M^{-}(m)$ of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ associated to the finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ of dimension $m+1$ for any non-negative integer $m$ in [6]. Note that $M^{+}(0)=M^{-}(0)$ by definition and $M^{+}(m)$ is naturally isomorphic to $M^{-}(m)$ in fact. Moreover, under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \geqslant 2, q \geqslant 2, \quad p+q \in 2 \mathbb{N} \quad \text { and } \quad m+3 \leqslant \frac{p+q}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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he obtained the $K$-type formula of $M^{ \pm}(m)$, thereby showed that they are irreducible and that $M^{ \pm}(0)$ is the underlying ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-module of the minimal representation of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$. Although it is well known that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the minimal representation of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ is equal to $p+q-3$ (see, e.g., [9, 12]), we remark that the $K$-type formula of $M^{ \pm}(m)$ mentioned above implies that they all have the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension equal to $p+q-3$, whereas they have the Bernstein degree equal to $4(m+1)\binom{p+q-4}{p-2}$.

The original object of this project is to clarify the relation between our ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )modules $M^{ \pm}(m)$ and the minimal representation of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ more directly. In fact, we shall see that the annihilator in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $M^{ \pm}(m)$, denoted by $\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m)$ in this paper, is the Joseph ideal if and only if $m=0$, which is the main result of this paper (Theorem 3.6). We use a criterion due to Garfinkle [3] (see also [2]) to determine whether $\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m)$ is the Joseph ideal or not. We will see that an element of the symmetric square $S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$, which we denote by $\Xi$ below, plays a critical role in the proof of our main result. We remark that $\Xi$ is given in terms of the Casimir elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$, where $\mathfrak{f}$ is the complexified Lie algebra of the maximal compact subgroup $K$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly review the construction of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules $M^{ \pm}(m)$ of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ mentioned above as well as their properties needed to prove our main results. In Section 3 we prove our main result using the criterion due to Garfinkle. In order to keep the present paper self-contained, we include some standard facts about irreducible decomposition of $S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$ in the Appendix.

Notation. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of non-negative integers. For positive integers $p$ and $q$, we set $[p]:=\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$ and $p+[q]:=\{p+1, p+2, \ldots, p+q\}$.

## 2. ( $\mathfrak{g}, K$ )-modules of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ associated to the finite-dimensional REPRESENTATION OF $\mathfrak{S I}_{2}$

Throughout this paper, we denote by $G$ the indefinite orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ realized by

$$
\mathrm{O}(p, q)=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{R}) ;{ }^{t} g I_{p, q} g=I_{p, q}\right\},
$$

where $n=p+q$ and $I_{p, q}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1_{p} & \\ & -1_{q}\end{array}\right]$. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{o}(p, q) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the complexified Lie algebra of $G$. Thus,

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) ;{ }^{t} X I_{p, q}+I_{p, q} X=O\right\} .
$$

Needless to say, our Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_{n}$ consisting of all complex $n \times n$ alternating matrices:

$$
\mathfrak{o}_{n}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) ;{ }^{t} X+X=O\right\} .
$$

Let $K$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G$ given by

$$
K=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right] \in G ; a \in \mathrm{O}(p), d \in \mathrm{O}(q)\right\} \simeq \mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q) .
$$

Denote the Lie algebra of $K$ and its complexification by $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ respectively. Then $\mathfrak{f} \simeq \mathfrak{o}_{p} \oplus \mathbf{o}_{q}$.

Let us fix a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $B$ defined both on $\mathfrak{g}$ and on $\mathfrak{v}_{n}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(X Y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{X_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j \in[n]}$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, j}=\epsilon_{j} E_{i, j}-\epsilon_{i} E_{j, i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $E_{i, j}$ stands for the matrix unit and

$$
\epsilon_{i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i \in[p]  \tag{2.3}\\ -1 & \text { if } i \in p+[q] .\end{cases}
$$

Then one sees $X_{j, i}=-X_{i, j}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{f}=\bigoplus_{\substack{i, j \in[p] \\ i<j}} \mathbb{C} X_{i, j} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i, j \in p+[q] \\ i<j}} \mathbb{C} X_{i, j} .
$$

In addition, if one sets

$$
\mathfrak{p}=\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in[p] \\ j \in p+[q]}} \mathbb{C} X_{i, j},
$$

then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the complexified Cartan decomposition. Finally, the dual generators $\left\{X_{i, j}^{\vee}\right\}_{i, j}$ to $\left\{X_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j}$ with respect to $B$, i.e., those satisfying $B\left(X_{i, j}, X_{k, l}^{\vee}\right)=\delta_{i, k} \delta_{j, l}$, are given by

$$
X_{i, j}^{\vee}= \begin{cases}-X_{i, j} & \text { if } i, j \in[p], \text { or if } i, j \in p+[q]  \tag{2.4}\\ X_{i, j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $V$ denote the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ equipped with a quadratic form $\eta$ defined by

$$
\eta(v)={ }^{t} v I_{p, q} v \quad\left(v \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}\right) .
$$

Then $G$ acts on $V$ by matrix multiplication, leaving $\eta$ invariant. It is well known that the space $\mathbb{C}[V]$ of polynomial functions on $V$ decomposes as follows (see e.g. [4]): for $v={ }^{t}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right) \in V$, one sees that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{C}[V] & \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right] \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right] \\
& \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[r_{x}^{2}\right] \otimes \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right)\right) \otimes \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[r_{y}^{2}\right] \otimes \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \bigoplus_{k, l=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2}\right], \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{x}^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p}^{2}, r_{y}^{2}=y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+y_{q}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$ denotes the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ of degree $k$ and so on.

Taking (2.5) into account, let us introduce our space $\mathscr{E}$ of functions on $V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}:=\bigoplus_{k, l=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \llbracket r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2} \rrbracket, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{C} \llbracket r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2} \rrbracket$ denotes the ring of formal power series in $r_{x}^{2}$ and $r_{y}^{2}$, and the direct sum is algebraic; we assume that the radial part should be a formal power series rather than a polynomial so that we can find a highest weight vector or a lowest weight vector with respect to the $\mathfrak{S I}_{2}$-action (2.10) given below.
Definition 2.1. For an element $f \in \mathscr{E}$ of the form $f=h_{1}(x) h_{2}(y) \phi\left(r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2}\right)$ with $h_{1} \in \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right), h_{2} \in \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)$ and $\phi\left(r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \llbracket r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2} \rrbracket$, we set $\kappa_{+}=\kappa_{+}(f)=$ $k+p / 2, \kappa_{-}=\kappa_{-}(f)=l+q / 2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)=\left(k+\frac{p}{2}, l+\frac{q}{2}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we call the $K$-type of $f=h_{1}(x) h_{2}(y) \phi\left(r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2}\right)$ in this paper by abuse.
Furthermore, for non-negative integers $k, l \geqslant 0$ given, we say that an element of $\mathscr{E}$ is $K$-homogeneous with $K$-type $\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)=(k+p / 2, l+q / 2)$ if it is a linear combination of elements whose $K$-types are all equal to ( $\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}$).

Denoting the ring of polynomial coefficient differential operators on $V$ by $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$, let us define a representation $\pi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ by

$$
\pi\left(X_{i, j}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{i} \partial_{x_{j}}-x_{j} \partial_{x_{i}} & \text { if } \quad i, j \in[p],  \tag{2.8}\\ -y_{i^{\prime}} \partial_{y_{j^{\prime}}}+y_{j^{\prime}} \partial_{y_{i^{\prime}}} & \text { if } \quad i, j \in p+[q], \\ -\sqrt{-1}\left(x_{i} y_{j^{\prime}}+\partial_{x_{i}} \partial_{y_{j^{\prime}}}\right) & \text { if } \quad i \in[p], j \in p+[q], \\ \sqrt{-1}\left(x_{j} y_{i^{\prime}}+\partial_{x_{j}} \partial_{y_{i^{\prime}}}\right) & \text { if } \quad i \in p+[q], j \in[p],\end{cases}
$$

where we set $i^{\prime}:=i-p, i \in p+[q]$, for short. It is easy to verify that $\pi$ indeed defines a representation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. It is also clear that the action of $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$ lifts to the one of $K$, i.e., if $X \in \mathfrak{f}_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\pi(X) f)(v)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t=0} f(\exp (-t X) v) \quad(f \in \mathscr{E}, v \in V) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. It was shown in [6] that if one regards $V$ as a Lagrangian subspace of the symplectic vector space $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}, \omega\right)$ given by

$$
V=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p}, \sqrt{-1} e_{p+1}, \sqrt{-1} e_{p+2}, \ldots, \sqrt{-1} e_{p+q}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

where $e_{i}={ }^{t}(0, \ldots, \stackrel{i \text {-th }}{1}, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ and

$$
\omega(z, w)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{*} I_{p, q} w\right) \quad\left(z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{p+q}\right),
$$

then one obtains the representation $\pi$ given in (2.8) via the canonical quantization of the moment map on $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}, \omega\right)$.

Define elements $H, X^{+}, X^{-}$of $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-E_{x}-\frac{p}{2}+E_{y}+\frac{q}{2}, \quad X^{+}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{x}+r_{y}^{2}\right), \quad X^{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{x}^{2}+\Delta_{y}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{x}=\sum_{i \in[p]} x_{i} \partial_{x_{i}}, & r_{x}^{2}=\sum_{i \in[p]} x_{i}^{2}, & \Delta_{x}=\sum_{i \in[p]} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2}, \\
E_{y}=\sum_{j \in[q]} y_{j} \partial_{y_{j}}, & r_{y}^{2}=\sum_{j \in[q]} y_{j}^{2}, & \Delta_{y}=\sum_{j \in[q]} \partial_{y_{j}}^{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{array}
$$

Then they satisfy that

$$
\left[H, X^{+}\right]=2 X^{+}, \quad\left[H, X^{-}\right]=-2 X^{-}, \quad\left[X^{+}, X^{-}\right]=H .
$$

Namely, $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{C}$-span $\left\{H, X^{+}, X^{-}\right\}$forms a Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ forms the commutant of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ :

$$
[Y, Z]=0 \quad \text { for all } Y \in \pi(\mathfrak{g}) \text { and } Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}
$$

In view of the fact that $(\mathrm{O}(p, q), \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ is a dual pair in $\operatorname{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, we introduce $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules of $\mathrm{O}(p, q)$ associated to the finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{S I}_{2}$ as follows.

Definition 2.3 ([6] ). Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules $M^{ \pm}(m)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{+}(m) & :=\left\{f \in \mathscr{E} ; H f=m f, X^{+} f=0,\left(X^{-}\right)^{m+1} f=0\right\} \\
M^{-}(m) & :=\left\{f \in \mathscr{E} ; H f=-m f, X^{-} f=0,\left(X^{+}\right)^{m+1} f=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, by definition, each $f \in M^{+}(m)$ (resp. $f \in M^{-}(m)$ ) is a highest weight vector (resp. lowest weight vector) with respect to the $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ action. It is easy to see that $M^{+}(m)$ is isomorphic to $M^{-}(m)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, though $M^{+}(0)=M^{-}(0)$.

In order to describe elements of $M^{ \pm}(m)$ explicitly, let us introduce a convergent power series $\Psi_{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash(-\mathbb{N})$ by

$$
\Psi_{\alpha}(u)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!(\alpha)_{j}} u^{j} \quad(u \in \mathbb{C})
$$

with $(\alpha)_{j}=\Gamma(\alpha+j) / \Gamma(\alpha)=\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+j-1)$. Note that it is related to the Bessel function $J_{v}$ of the first kind. In fact, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\alpha}(u)=\Gamma(\alpha) u^{-(\alpha-1) / 2} J_{\alpha-1}\left(2 u^{1 / 2}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\alpha}:=\Psi_{\alpha}\left(r_{x}^{2} r_{y}^{2} / 4\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for brevity. We will find it convenient to write $\rho_{x}:=r_{x}^{2} / 2, \rho_{y}:=r_{y}^{2} / 2$. Then a typical element $f \in M^{+}(m)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=h_{1}(x) h_{2}(y) \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h_{1} \in \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right), h_{2} \in \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)$ and $\mu_{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$, while a typical one $f \in M^{-}(m)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=h_{1}(x) h_{2}(y) \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}} \psi_{\kappa_{-}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h_{1} \in \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right) h_{2} \in \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)$ and $\mu_{+}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m-\kappa_{+}+\kappa_{-}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [6, Proposition 3.2]). A general element of $M^{+}(m)$ (resp. $M^{-}(m)$ ) is a linear combination of these elements given in (2.14) (resp. (2.15)).

Remark 2.4. All $K$-homogeneous elements of $M^{+}(m)$ with $K$-type ( $\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}$) have the same radial part $\rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \in \mathbb{C} \llbracket r_{x}^{2}, r_{y}^{2} \rrbracket$ with $\mu_{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)$. Similarly, all $K-$ homogeneous elements of $M^{-}(m)$ with $K$-type ( $\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}$) have the same radial part $\rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}} \psi_{\kappa_{-}}$ with $\mu_{+}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m-\kappa_{+}+\kappa_{-}\right)$.

Now we collect a few facts from [6] that we need in order to prove our main result.
First, we recall the action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $M^{ \pm}(m)$ ([6, Lemma 4.1]). Let $X_{i, p+j}, i \in$ [ $p], j \in[q]$, be a basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ given in (2.2). Then, for $f=h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \in M^{+}(m)$ with $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$and $\mu_{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m+\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)$, one sees that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\sqrt{-1} \pi\left(X_{i, p+j}\right) f= & \frac{\kappa_{-}+\mu_{-}-1}{\kappa_{-}-1}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{y_{j}} h_{2}\right) \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}-1} \\
& +\mu_{-}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{1}\right)\left(y_{j} h_{2}\right)^{\dagger} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}-1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}-1} \\
& +\frac{\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}-\mu_{-}}{\kappa_{+}\left(\kappa_{-}-1\right)}\left(x_{i} h_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\partial_{y_{j}} h_{2}\right) \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}+1}  \tag{2.16a}\\
& +\frac{\kappa_{+}-\mu_{-}-1}{\kappa_{+}}\left(x_{i} h_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(y_{j} h_{2}\right)^{\dagger} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}+1},
\end{align*}
$$

and, for $f=h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}} \psi_{\kappa_{-}} \in M^{-}(m)$ with $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$and $\mu_{+}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m-\kappa_{+}+\kappa_{-}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\sqrt{-1} \pi\left(X_{i, p+j}\right) f= & \frac{\kappa_{+}+\mu_{+}-1}{\kappa_{+}-1}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{y_{j}} h_{2}\right) \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}} \psi_{\kappa_{-}-1} \\
& +\frac{\kappa_{-}-\kappa_{+}-\mu_{+}}{\kappa_{-}\left(\kappa_{+}-1\right)}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} h_{1}\right)\left(y_{j} h_{2}\right)^{\dagger} \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{-}+1}  \tag{2.16b}\\
& +\mu_{+}\left(x_{i} h_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\partial_{y_{j}} h_{2}\right) \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}-1} \psi_{\kappa_{-}-1} \\
& +\frac{\kappa_{-}-\mu_{+}-1}{\kappa_{-}}\left(x_{i} h_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(y_{j} h_{2}\right)^{\dagger} \rho_{x}^{\mu_{+}} \psi_{\kappa_{-}+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, in general, for a homogeneous polynomial $P=P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of degree $d$, we set

$$
P^{\dagger}:=P-\frac{\rho}{2 d+\mathrm{n}-4} \Delta P,
$$

with $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2}$ and $\rho=(1 / 2) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}$. Note that if $\Delta^{2} P=0$ then $P^{\dagger}$ is harmonic and that if $h=h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is harmonic then $\Delta\left(x_{i} h\right)=2 \partial_{x_{i}} h$ and $\Delta^{2}\left(x_{i} h\right)=0$.

Next, the following facts describe the $K$-types of $M^{ \pm}(m)$ and when they are irreducibile ([6, Theorem 4.6]).

Facts 2.5. Assume that $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ and $p+q \in 2 \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a non-negative integer satisfying $m+3 \leqslant(p+q) / 2$. Then one has the following.
(1) The $K$-type formula of $M^{ \pm}(m)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.M^{ \pm}(m)\right|_{K} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{k, l \geq 0 \\ k+\frac{p}{2}-\left(l+\frac{q}{2}\right) \in \Lambda_{m}}} \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{m}=\{-m,-m+2,-m+4, \ldots, m-2, m\}$.
(2) Suppose further that $p, q \geqslant 2$. Then $M^{ \pm}(m)$ are irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules.

Note in particular that if the $K$-type of $f \in M^{ \pm}(m)$ is $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$, then it follows from (2.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right| \leqslant m . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth in the rest of the paper, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \geqslant 2, q \geqslant 2, \quad p+q \in 2 \mathbb{N} \quad \text { and } \quad m+3 \leqslant \frac{p+q}{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $M^{ \pm}(m)$ is irreducible.

## 3. AnNihilator of $M^{ \pm}(m)$

In order to translate objects in terms of $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$ into ones in terms of $\mathfrak{g}$, and vice versa, let us fix an isomorphism $\Phi$ between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{o}_{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi: \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{o}_{n}, \quad X \mapsto I_{p, q}^{1 / 2} X I_{p, q}^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{p, q}^{1 / 2}=\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, \sqrt{-1}, \ldots, \sqrt{-1})$ and $I_{p, q}^{-1 / 2}$ is its inverse.
Let $\left\{M_{i, j}\right\}$ be generators of $\mathfrak{o}_{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i, j}=E_{i, j}-E_{j, i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, one has

$$
\Phi\left(X_{i, j}\right)= \begin{cases}M_{i, j} & \text { if } i, j \in[p]  \tag{3.3}\\ -M_{i, j} & \text { if } i, j \in p+[q] \\ \sqrt{-1} M_{i, j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We extend $\Phi$ to the isomorphism from $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} T^{j}(\mathfrak{g})$ onto $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} T^{j}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$, where $T^{j}(\mathfrak{g}):=$ $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ ( $j$ factors) etc..

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $U_{j}(\mathfrak{g})$ its subspace spanned by products of at most $j$ elements of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $U_{-1}(\mathfrak{g})=0$. It is well known that the associated graded algebra $\operatorname{gr} U(\mathfrak{g})=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(\mathfrak{g}) / U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{j}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\sigma: \operatorname{gr} U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the algebra isomorphism, and $\sigma_{j}$ its $j$-th piece:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}: U_{j}(\mathfrak{g}) / U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{j}(\mathfrak{g}) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the linear isomorphism called symmetrization, and $\gamma_{j}$ its $j$-th piece

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}: S^{j}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an injective linear map onto a vector-space complement to $U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ in $U_{j}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the following diagram is commutative:

where $p_{j}$ is the canonical projection. In particular, $\left(\sigma_{j} \circ p_{j} \circ \gamma_{j}\right)(v)=v$ for all $v \in S^{j}(\mathfrak{g})$.
In what follows, given a finite-dimensinal vector space $U$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we will identify $S^{j}(U)$ (resp. $\wedge^{j} U$ ) with the subspace of symmetric (resp. alternating) tensors in $U^{\otimes j}$.

For $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, we sometimes denote $\pi(u)$ by $\hat{u}$ for brevity. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{0}_{p}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{v}_{q}}$ be the Casimir elements of $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{v}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{v}_{q}$ respectively 1 , which are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}=\sum_{\substack{i, j \in[n] \\ i<j}} X_{i, j} X_{i, j}^{\vee}, \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{v}_{p}}=\sum_{\substack{i, j \in[p] \\ i<j}} X_{i, j} X_{j, i}, \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{v}_{q}}=\sum_{\substack{i, j \in[q] \\ i<j}} X_{i, j} X_{j, i} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Then the corresponding operators represented in $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{g}}= & \left(E_{x}-E_{y}\right)^{2}+(p-q)\left(E_{x}-E_{y}\right)-2\left(E_{x}+E_{y}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(r_{x}^{2} r_{y}^{2}+r_{x}^{2} \Delta_{x}+r_{y}^{2} \Delta_{y}+\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}\right)-p q,  \tag{3.7a}\\
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{o}_{p}}= & E_{x}^{2}+(p-2) E_{x}-r_{x}^{2} \Delta_{x},  \tag{3.7b}\\
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{o}_{q}}= & E_{y}^{2}+(q-2) E_{y}-r_{y}^{2} \Delta_{y} . \tag{3.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

It is well known that the Casimir operator $\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ given by

$$
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}}=H^{2}+2\left(X^{+} X^{-}+X^{+} X^{-}\right)
$$

satisfies the relation

$$
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{g}}=\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{4}(p+q)^{2}+(p+q)
$$

(see [7, [1]), which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{g}}\right|_{M^{ \pm}(m)}=m(m+2)-\frac{1}{4}(p+q)^{2}+(p+q) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\hat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{g}^{\prime}}$ acts on $M^{ \pm}(m)$ by the scalar $m(m+2)$.
Let $\alpha$ denote the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to any positive root system. Then $S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ decomposes as $S^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq V_{2 \alpha} \oplus W$, where $V_{2 \alpha}$ is the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight $2 \alpha$ and $W$ is the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant complement of $V_{2 \alpha}$ (see ( $\widehat{A} .8$ ) below).
Theorem 3.1 (Garfinkle). Let $\mathscr{I}$ be an ideal of infinite codimension in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and set $I=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{I} \cap U_{j}(\mathfrak{g})\right) /\left(\mathscr{I} \cap U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})\right) \subset \operatorname{gr} U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathscr{I}$ is the Joseph ideal if and only if $\sigma(I) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})=W$.

In fact, it is shown that Theorem 3.1 holds true for all complex simple Lie algebras not of type $A$ in [3, 2].

Using the notation in Appendix Abelow, the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant complement $W$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=E_{\varnothing}^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{g}}=\Phi^{-1}\left(E_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}\right) \quad(\lambda \in\{\varnothing, \text {, }, \text { 目 }\}) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is trivial, and its proof is left to the reader.
Lemma 3.2. Define an element $Q \in T^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ by $Q=\sum_{i, j} X_{i, j} \otimes X_{i, j}^{\vee}$. Then $Q$ is a symmetric tensor and satisfies $Q=\Phi^{-1}\left(Q^{\mathfrak{0}_{n}}\right)$ and $\gamma_{2}(Q)=2 \Omega_{\mathrm{g}}$.

Following [1], let us introduce an element $\Xi^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} \in S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$, or $\Xi \in S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$, that plays a critical role in the proof of our main result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} \eta^{i j} S_{i j}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i \in[p]} S_{i i}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}-\sum_{i \in p+[q]} S_{i i}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta^{i j}$ denotes the $(i, j)$-th entry of $I_{p, q}^{-1}=I_{p, q}$.

Lemma 3.3. Set $\Xi:=\Phi^{-1}\left(\Xi^{\mathfrak{0}_{n}}\right) \in S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then it satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}(\Xi)=\Omega_{\mathbf{v}_{p}}-\Omega_{\mathfrak{v}_{q}}-\frac{p-q}{p+q} \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $S_{i i}^{\mathbf{0}_{n}}=\sum_{k} M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}-\frac{1}{n} Q^{\mathfrak{0}_{n}}$, one sees

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k}\left(\sum_{i \in[p]}-\sum_{i \in p+[q]}\right) M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}-\frac{p-q}{2 n} Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i, k \in[p]}+\sum_{\substack{i \in[p] \\
k \in p+[q]}}\right) M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{i \in p+[q] \\
k \in[p]}}+\sum_{i, k \in p+[q]}\right) M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}-\frac{p-q}{2 n} Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i, k \in[p]}-\sum_{i, k \in p+[q]}\right) M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}-\frac{p-q}{2 n} Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i, k \in[p]} X_{i, k} \otimes X_{k, i}-\sum_{\substack{i, k \in p+[q]}}\left(-X_{i, k}\right) \otimes\left(-X_{k, i}\right)\right)-\frac{p-q}{2 n} \Phi^{-1}\left(Q^{\mathfrak{v}_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i, k \in[p] \\
i<k}} X_{i, k} \otimes X_{k, i}-\sum_{\substack{i, k \in p+[q] \\
i<k}} X_{i, k} \otimes X_{k, i}-\frac{p-q}{2 n} Q . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the result immediately follows from Lemma 3.2.
Proposition 3.4. For a $K$-homogeneous $f \in M^{ \pm}(m)$ with $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{v}_{p}} f=\left(\left(\kappa_{+}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{(p-2)^{2}}{4}\right) f,  \tag{3.14}\\
& \hat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{v}_{q}} f=\left(\left(\kappa_{-}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{(q-2)^{2}}{4}\right) f . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We only show the case of $M^{+}(m)$ here. The other case can be proved exactly in the same manner.

First, we show (3.14). It suffices to prove it for a typical element $f=h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \in$ $M^{+}(m)$ with $h_{1} \in \mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right), h_{2} \in \mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)$ and $\mu_{-}=(1 / 2)\left(m+\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)$, where $\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)=\left(k+\frac{p}{2}, l+\frac{q}{2}\right)$. Recall that for a homogeneous polynomial $h=h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of degree $d$ and for a smooth function $\phi(u)$ in a single variable $u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(h \phi(\rho))=(2 d+n) h \phi^{\prime}(\rho)+2 h \rho \phi^{\prime \prime}(\rho), \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2}$ and $\rho=(1 / 2) \sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}} x_{i}^{2}$ (cf. [6, Lemma 3.2]). Thus, for $f=$ $h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{x} f & =h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \Delta_{x}\left(h_{1} \Psi_{\kappa_{+}}\left(\rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right)\right) \\
& =(2 k+p) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime}+2 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+2} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $\psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime}=\Psi^{\prime}\left(\rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right), \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right)$. Similarly, one sees that

$$
E_{x} f=k h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}+2 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{x}^{2} f= & k^{2} h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}+4(k+1) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime} \\
& +4 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x}^{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+2} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{o}_{p}} f & =\left(E_{x}^{2}+(p-2) E_{x}-2 \rho_{x} \Delta_{x}\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \\
& =\left(k^{2}+(p-2) k\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \\
& =\left(\left(k+\frac{p-2}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{(p-2)^{2}}{4}\right) f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, substituting $\kappa_{+}=k+p / 2$, one obtains (3.14).
Next, we turn to (3.15). Similar calculations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{y} f= & h_{1} \Delta_{x}\left(h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \Psi_{\kappa_{+}}\left(\rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right)\right) \\
= & \left((2 l+q) \mu_{-}+2 \mu_{-}\left(\mu_{-}-1\right)\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}-1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}} \\
& +\left((2 l+q)+4 \mu_{-}\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime}+2 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x}^{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime}, \\
E_{y} f= & \left(l+2 \mu_{-}\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}+2 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime}, \\
E_{y}^{2} f= & \left(l+2 \mu_{-}\right)^{2} h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}+4\left(l+2 \mu_{-}+1\right) h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}^{\mu-+1} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime} \\
& +4 h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{x}^{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}+2} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}^{\prime \prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\hat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{0}_{q}} f=\left(\left(l+2 \mu_{-}\right)^{2}+(q-2)\left(l+2 \mu_{-}\right)-2 \mu_{-}\left(2 l+q+2 \mu_{-}-2\right)\right) f
$$

for $f=h_{1} h_{2} \rho_{y}^{\mu_{-}} \psi_{\kappa_{+}}$. Substituting $\kappa_{-}=l+q / 2, \mu_{-}=(1 / 2)\left(m+\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)$, one obtains (3.15).

Corollary 3.5. Set $\hat{\Xi}:=\pi\left(\gamma_{2}(\Xi)\right) \in \mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$. Then, for a $K$-homogeneous $f \in M^{ \pm}(m)$ with $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$, one has $\hat{\Xi} f=\lambda_{\kappa(f)} f$, where $\lambda_{\kappa(f)}$ is a scalar given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\kappa(f)}=\left(\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)\left(\kappa_{+}+\kappa_{-}-2\right)-\frac{p-q}{p+q} m(m+2) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\lambda_{\kappa(f)}=0$ if $m=0$.
Proof. The first statement immediately follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 , As for the second, we note that if $m=0$ then $\kappa_{+}=\kappa_{-}$by (2.18).

In what follows, let $\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m)$ denote the annihilator in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of our $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module $M^{ \pm}(m)$ for short:

$$
\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m):=\operatorname{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{g})} M^{ \pm}(m)
$$

Correspondingly, set $I^{ \pm}(m):=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m) \cap U_{j}(\mathfrak{g})\right) /\left(\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m) \cap U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})\right)$.
Theorem 3.6. The annihilator $\mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m)$ is the Joseph ideal if ond only if $m=0$.
Proof. Recall that our $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant complement $W \subset S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$
W=E_{\varnothing}^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}}
$$

We must show that $W=\sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $m=0$ by Theorem 3.1. However, the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariance of both sides reduces the problem to showing that $W \subset$ $\sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $m=0$.

Since $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \in U_{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $M^{ \pm}(m)$ by the scalar given by (3.8), say $\lambda_{0}$,

$$
Q=\sigma_{2}\left(p_{2}\left(2\left(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}-\lambda_{0}\right)\right)\right) \in \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right),
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varnothing}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, a simple calculation shows that $\pi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(S_{i j k l}^{\mathrm{o}_{n}}\right)\right)=0$ in $\mathscr{P} \mathscr{D}(V)$ for all $i<j<$ $k<l$. Namely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad m=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is irreducible, in order that (3.20) holds, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi \in \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad m=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\Xi$ is in $\sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right) \cap S^{2}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then there exists an element $u \in \mathscr{I}^{ \pm}(m) \cap U_{2}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying $\Xi=\sigma_{2}\left(p_{2}(u)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(u) f=0 \quad \text { for all } f \in M^{ \pm}(m) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Xi=\sigma_{2}\left(p_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}(\Xi)\right)\right)$, there exists an element $u_{1} \in U_{1}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\gamma_{2}(\Xi)=u+u_{1}$. Moreover, $u_{1}$ can be written as $u_{1}=\lambda+Y$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ since $U_{1}(\mathfrak{g})=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Now, it follows from (3.22) and Corollary 3.5 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi(Y) f & =\left(\lambda_{\kappa(f)}-\lambda\right) f \\
& =\left(\left(\kappa_{+}-\kappa_{-}\right)\left(\kappa_{+}+\kappa_{-}+2\right)-\frac{p-q}{p+q} m(m+2)-\lambda\right) f \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $K$-homogeneous $f \in M^{ \pm}(m)$ with $\kappa(f)=\left(\kappa_{+}, \kappa_{-}\right)$. In view of the right-hand side of (3.23), the $\mathfrak{p}$-part action given in (2.16) forces this $Y$ to be in $\mathfrak{f}$. Thus, letting $Y=Y_{1}+Y_{2}, Y_{1} \in \mathfrak{o}_{p}, Y_{2} \in \mathfrak{o}_{q}$, be the decomposition corresponding to $\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{o}_{p} \oplus \mathfrak{o}_{q}$, one sees that (3.23) is equivalent to the fact that $\pi\left(Y_{1}\right)$ acts on $\mathscr{H}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{p}\right)$ by a scalar and $\pi\left(Y_{2}\right)$ acts on $\mathscr{H}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{q}\right)$ by a scalar, where $\kappa_{+}=k+\frac{p}{2}$ and $\kappa_{-}=l+\frac{q}{2}$. If $m=0$ then $\Xi \in \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right)$; in fact, one can take $Y=0$ and $\lambda=0$ since $\lambda_{\kappa(f)}=0$. On the other hand, if $m \neq 0$, this is impossible, and hence $\Xi \notin \sigma\left(I^{ \pm}(m)\right)$. Therefore, (3.21) holds.

## Appendix A. Irreducible decomposition of $S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$

Let $E$ denote the natural representation of $\mathfrak{o}_{n}$, i.e., $E=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ equipped with the standard bilinear form $\beta_{0}$, where $\beta_{0}(u, v)={ }^{t} u v(u, v \in E)$. Then,

$$
\varphi: \wedge^{2} E \rightarrow \mathbf{o}_{n}, v \wedge w \mapsto-\iota(\cdot)(v \wedge w)
$$

provides an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{o}_{n}$-modules, where $\iota$ denotes the contraction with respect to $\beta_{0}$. Hence, we have $S^{2}\left(\bigwedge^{2} E\right) \simeq S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$.

It is well known that any irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{v}_{n}$ is realized in the subspace of traceless tensors of $E^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and is parameterized by Young diagram． Noting that $\bigwedge^{2} E=E_{\square}$ ，it follows that $\bigwedge^{2} E \otimes \bigwedge^{2} E$ decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{2} E \otimes \Lambda^{2} E=E_{\varnothing} \oplus E_{\square} \oplus E_{\square} \oplus E_{\boxplus}{ }_{\square}^{\oplus} E_{\square} \oplus E_{\square} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be verified by calculating Littlewood－Richardson coefficients in terms of universal characters（see e．g．［10］）．Note also that $S^{2}\left(\bigwedge^{2} E\right)$ is equal to the direct sum of the first four factors in A．1）：

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varnothing}, \quad E_{\square}, \quad E_{\square}, \quad E_{\boxplus} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easy verify that $E_{\text {国 }}$ is the highest weight module with highest weight $2 \alpha$ ， where $\alpha$ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{v}_{n}$ ．

Next，let us describe the first three irreducible summands in（A．2）concretely．Let $\left\{e_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ，i．e．，$e_{i}={ }^{t}(0, \ldots, \stackrel{i \text {－th }}{1}, \ldots, 0)$ ．Then， one has

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\varnothing} & =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \\
E_{马} & =\left\langle e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{l} ; 1 \leqslant i<j<k<l \leqslant n\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}},  \tag{A.3}\\
日 & \\
E_{\square \square} & =\left\langle e_{i} e_{j}-\frac{1}{n} \delta_{i, j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{k}^{2} ; i, j \in[n]\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus，if one defines injective $\mathrm{O}_{n}$－equivariant linear maps by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\varnothing}: E_{\varnothing} \rightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right), & \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2} \mapsto Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}, \\
\varphi_{\square}: E_{\square} \rightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right), & e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{l} \mapsto S_{i j k l}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}},  \tag{A.4}\\
\varphi_{\square}: E_{\square} \rightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right), & e_{i} e_{j}-\frac{1}{n} \delta_{i j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{k}^{2} \mapsto S_{i j}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}},
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}= & \sum_{i, k \in[n]} M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, i}=-\sum_{i, k \in[n]} M_{i, k} \otimes M_{i, k},  \tag{A.5}\\
S_{i j k l}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(M_{i, j} \otimes M_{k, l}-M_{i, k} \otimes M_{j, l}+M_{i, l} \otimes M_{j, k}\right. \\
& \left.+M_{k, l} \otimes M_{i, j}-M_{j, l} \otimes M_{i, k}+M_{j, k} \otimes M_{i, l}\right),  \tag{A.6}\\
S_{i j}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}}= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in[n]}\left(M_{i, k} \otimes M_{k, j}+M_{k, j} \otimes M_{i, k}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \delta_{i, j} Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}, \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

then one sees that the irreducible decomposition of $S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)=E_{\varnothing}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}} \oplus E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} \oplus E_{\boxplus}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}, \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\varnothing}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}} & =\left\langle Q^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \\
E_{\square}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}} & =\left\langle S_{i j k l}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} ; 1 \leqslant i<j<k<l \leqslant n\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}},  \tag{A.9}\\
日_{\square}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}} & =\left\langle S_{i j}^{\mathbf{o}_{n}} ; i, j \in[n]\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}},
\end{align*}
$$

and $E_{\square}^{\mathfrak{o}_{n}}$ is the image of $E_{\boxplus}$ under the isomorphism $S^{2}\left(\bigwedge^{2} E\right) \simeq S^{2}\left(\mathfrak{o}_{n}\right)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We regard $\mathfrak{o}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{v}_{q}$ as subalgebras of $\mathfrak{f} \simeq \mathfrak{o}_{p} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_{q}$ canonically.

