

THE FOLDY-LAX APPROXIMATION IS VALID FOR NEARLY RESONATING FREQUENCIES

ABDULAZIZ ALSENAFI*, AHCENE GHANDRICHE **, MOURAD SINI[‡]

ABSTRACT. The waves (including acoustic, electromagnetic and elastic ones) propagating in the presence of a cluster of inhomogeneities undergo multiple interactions between them. When these inhomogeneities have sub-wavelength sizes, the dominating field due to these multiple interactions is the Foldy-Lax field. This field models the interaction between the equivalent point-like scatterers, located at the centers of the small inhomogeneities, with scattering coefficients related to geometrical/material properties of each inhomogeneity, as polarization coefficients. One of the related questions left open for a long time is whether we can reconstruct this Foldy-Lax field from the scattered field measured far away from the cluster of the small inhomogeneities. This is the Foldy-Lax approximation (or Foldy-Lax paradigm). In this work, we show that this approximation is indeed valid as soon as the inhomogeneities enjoy critical scales between their sizes and contrasts. These critical scales allow them to generate resonances which can be characterized and computed. The main result here is that exciting the cluster by incident frequencies which are close to the real parts of these resonances allow us to reconstruct the Fold-Lax field from the scattered waves collected far away from the cluster itself (as the farfields). In short, we show that the Foldy-Lax approximation is valid using nearly resonating incident frequencies. This result is demonstrated by using, as small inhomogeneities, dielectric nanoparticles for the 2D TM model of electromagnetic waves and bubbles for the 3D acoustic waves.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the following model

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (a \nabla u) + k^2 b u &= 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m, \ m = 2 \text{ or } 3, \\ u(x, \theta) &:= u^i(x, \theta) + u^s(x, \theta) \\ \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial |x|} - i k \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} u^s &= o\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}\right), |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Date: June 23, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R30, 35C20.

Key words and phrases. Foldy-Lax approximation, Multiple scattering, Resonances.

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait. Email: abdulaziz.alsenafi@ku.edu.kw.

** RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstrasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria. Email: ahcene.ghandriche@ricam.oeaw.ac.at.

[‡] RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstrasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria. Email: mourad.sini@oeaw.ac.at.

where

$$(1.2) \quad a := \begin{cases} a_j & \text{in } D_j, \\ a_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \begin{cases} b_j & \text{in } D_j, \\ b_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j, \end{cases}$$

with constant values a_j and b_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, M$. Here u^i is an incident wave, solution to $\Delta u^i + k^2 \frac{b_0}{a_0} u^i = 0$ in the whole space \mathbb{R}^m . Mostly, we deal with plane waves of the form $u^i := u^i(x, \theta) := e^{i k \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{a_0}} \theta \cdot x}$ with an incident direction θ , $|\theta| = 1$. In dimension $m = 2$, this model is related to the TM model in electromagnetism where $u(\cdot)$ is the third component of the electric field while a_j 's are the inverses of the magnetic permeability constants and b_j 's are the electric permittivity constants. We can also see $u(\cdot)$ as the third component of the magnetic field where, now, b_j 's are the inverses of the magnetic permeability constants and a_j 's are the electric permittivity constants. The inhomogeneities D_j 's model here nano-particles. In dimension $m = 3$, this model is related to the propagation of acoustic wave $u(\cdot)$ in the presence of micro bubbles D_j 's. Here the constants a_j 's are the inverses of the mass density and the constants b_j 's are the inverses of the bulk moduli of the bubbles, [11, 12].

The inhomogeneities D_j 's are small scaled (i.e. micro scaled for the bubbles and nano scaled for the nano-particles). We take them of the form $D_j := z_j + \delta B_j$, $j = 1, \dots, M$, where the B_j 's are Lipschitz smooth and bounded domains containing the origin (of \mathbb{R}^m), z_j 's model their location and δ their relative radius which we take to be small, i.e. $\delta = o\left(\max_{j=1, \dots, M} \{\|B_j\|\}\right)$. We set $D := \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j$. Let $u(\cdot) = u^s(\cdot) + u^i(\cdot)$ be the solution of the acoustic scattering problem (1.1). From the Lippmann-Schwinger representation of the total acoustic field $u(\cdot)$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad u(x) - \alpha \operatorname{div}_x \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) \nabla u(y) dy - \beta k^2 \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy = u^i(x),$$

where $\alpha := a_1 - a_0$ and $\beta := b_1 - b_0$ represent the contrasts between the inner and the outer acoustic coefficients. Here, $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Green's function of the background medium (a_0, b_0) satisfying the outgoing Sommerfeld radiation conditions at infinity. This is an integro-differential equation. To transform it to a solely integral equation, we proceed by integration by parts, then (1.3) becomes:

$$u(x) - \gamma k^2 \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy + \alpha \int_{\partial D} \Phi_k(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(y) dy = u^i(x),$$

where $\gamma := \beta - \alpha b_1/a_1$, for $x \in D$. In addition, taking the normal derivative and trace, with the usual traces of the double layer potential, we obtain:

$$\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \gamma k^2 \partial_{\nu-} \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy + \alpha (K_D^k)^* \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right] = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial \nu}.$$

Hence for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}$, the total acoustic field $u(x)$ is characterized by $u|_D$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial D}$, which are solutions of the following close form system of integral equations:

$$(1.4) \quad [I - \gamma k^2 A^k] u + \alpha \int_{\partial D} \Phi_k(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(y) dy = u^i(x), \text{ in } D,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + (K_D^k)^* \right] \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right] - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} k^2 \partial_{\nu-} \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial \nu}, \text{ on } \partial D,$$

with the Newtonian (a volume-type) operator:

$$A^k : \mathbb{L}^2(D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(D), \quad A^k(u)(x) := \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy,$$

with image of A^k in $\mathbb{H}^2(D)$, and the Neumann-Poincaré (a surface-type) operator¹

$$(K_D^k)^* : \mathbb{H}^{-1/2}(\partial D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-1/2}(\partial D), \quad (K_D^k)^*(f)(x) := p.v. \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu(x)} \Phi_k(x, y) f(y) d\sigma(y).$$

We recall that for $k = 0$, each of these operators generates a sequence of eigenvalues: $\lambda_m(A^0) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $\sigma_p((K_D^0)^*) \subset [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. In addition, we have $K_D^0(1) = -\frac{1}{2}$. These singular values are behind the resonances that we want to use.

We consider the coefficients a and b satisfying the following scales in terms of δ . We provide the following situations where the constants a_0 and b_0 in the background are assumed to be independent (or uniform in terms of) of δ .

(1) First situation.

$$(1.6) \quad a := \begin{cases} a_j \sim \delta^{-2} & \text{inside } D_j, \\ a_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \begin{cases} b_j \sim \delta^{-2} & \text{inside } D_j, \\ b_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have $\gamma \sim 1$ and then $\gamma k^2 A^k \ll 1$ as $a \ll 1$. Hence, there is no singularity coming from (1.4). But as $\alpha \gg 1$, precisely if $\alpha \sim a^{-2}$ as $a \ll 1$, then we can excite the eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{2}$ of K_D^0 and create a singularity in (1.5). In this case, we have the *Minnaert resonance* with surface-modes. Its dominant part has the following value

$$k_M^2 := \sqrt{\frac{8\pi a_0}{a_1 \Theta_{\partial D}}} \text{ with } \Theta_{\partial D} := \frac{1}{|\partial D|} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nu(x)}{4\pi|x-y|} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) = \delta^2 \Theta_{\partial B}.$$

This resonance was first observed in [6] based on indirect integral equation methods. This observation was used for different purposes, see [7–9]. This result was extended to more general families of micro-bubbles in [3, 4].

(2) Second situation.

Observe that if α is negative as in the case of the Drude model for electromagnetism

¹The notation $p.v$ means the Cauchy principal value.

(recall here that a plays the role of the inverse of the permeability and b the one of the permittivity) i.e.

$$(1.7) \quad \epsilon := \epsilon_0 - \frac{k_p^2}{k(k + i \gamma_{dp})} \quad \left(\text{or } \mu := \mu_0 - \frac{k_p^2}{k(k + i \gamma_{dp})} \right),$$

with k_p is the plasma frequency, γ_{dp} the damping parameter and k is our incident frequency. Then we could excite the other sequence of eigenvalues, σ_n , of $(K_D^0)^*$ by choosing γ_{dp} small in terms of δ and k near the plasma frequency. Indeed, the operator $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + (K_D^0)^*$ is non injective if k solve the dispersion equations $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} + \sigma_p((K_D^0)^*) = 0$ which we write in terms of the Drude model as $\frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} + \sigma_p((K_D^0)^*) = 0$ which gives, using (1.7) the dispersion equations $\frac{\epsilon_0 [k(k + i \gamma_{dp})\epsilon_0 - k_p^2]}{k_p^2} + \frac{1}{2} + \sigma_n = 0$. As $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma_n \geq 0$, then we should take the frequency $k^2 < \frac{k_p^2}{\epsilon_0}$ so that real part of ϵ is negative and hence we can have solution of the above dispersion equations. This gives us another sequence of resonances $k_n^2 := \frac{k_p^2}{\epsilon_0} \left(\epsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2} - \sigma_n \right)$ (i.e. corresponding to the sequence of plasmonics in electromagnetism).

(3) Third situation

$$(1.8) \quad a := \begin{cases} a_j \sim 1 & \text{inside } D_j, \\ a_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \begin{cases} b_j \sim \delta^{-2} & \text{inside } D_j, \\ b_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have $\alpha \sim 1$ and then we keep away from the full spectrum of $(K_D^0)^*$. Hence, there is no singularity coming from (1.5). But as $\gamma \sim \delta^{-2} \gg 1$, we can excite the eigenvalues of the Newtonian operators A^0 and create singularities in (1.4). This gives us a sequence of resonances with volumetric-modes. Indeed, we can write, after scaling,

$$I - \gamma k^2 A^0 = I - \gamma k^2 \delta^2 \widetilde{A}^0,$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}^0 v(x) := \int_B \Phi_0(|x - y|) v(y) dy.$$

Let $\{\tilde{\lambda}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, be the sequence of eigenvalues of \widetilde{A}^0 . Then, the operator $[I - \gamma k^2 A^0]$ is not injective if k satisfies one of the following dispersion equations $1 - \gamma k^2 \delta^2 \tilde{\lambda}_n = 0$.

The values $k_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma \delta^2 \tilde{\lambda}_n}}$ are called the dielectric resonances. This was observed in [5] and [20].

The scattered field by the collection of inhomogeneities $D_j, j = 1, \dots, M$, is given by a linear combination of poles or dipoles centered at the centers of the inhomogeneities. We call this dominating field the Foldy-Lax field as it is of the form of the scattered field generated by point-like scatterers (or Dirac-like potentials). This field encodes the multiples scattering effect between the small inhomogeneities. A natural, and actually an outstanding open question, was whether from the scattered field measured away from the collection of inhomogeneities we can reconstruct the field due to multiple interaction between them. In mathematical terms, is the approximating term, i.e. the Foldy-Lax field or its iterations (see later), dominating the error of the approximation? We call this property, the Foldy-Lax paradigm (or approximation). There are attempts to justify this paradigm but without real success. To our knowledge, the only published paper addressing this issue is [13] where acoustic waves in the harmonic regime was considered with sound-soft inhomogeneities (obstacles with Dirichlet condition). The Foldy-Lax approximation was justified when the D_j 's are discs. The particular geometry of these inhomogeneities allow them to perform exact computations.

In this work, we show that for general shapes and even general transmission condition the Foldy-Lax approximation is valid as soon as nearly resonating incident frequencies are used. Our message is that the main reason why we can see the multiple scattering between the inhomogeneities is the fact that we excite them with incident frequencies close to their own resonances. To justify this claim, we consider inhomogeneities satisfying the conditions in (1.8), assuming that $a_j = a_0$ as well, and take k close to the dielectric resonances $k_n := \sqrt{1/\gamma \delta^2 \lambda_n}$. However, we do believe that similar results can be shown using the other condition (1.6) and (1.7) and use incident frequencies close to the Minnaert resonance $k_M := \sqrt{\frac{8\pi a_0}{a_1 \Theta_{\partial D}}}$ or the plasmonic resonances $\frac{k_p^2}{\epsilon_0} \left(\epsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2} - \sigma_n \right)$.

To state mathematically these results, we first set $d := \min_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i, j=1; \dots; M}} \text{dist}(D_i, D_j)$ and assume this minimum distance to be of the order:

$$(1.9) \quad d = d_0 \delta^t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

in the 3 dimension case and

$$(1.10) \quad d = d_0 e^{-|\log(\delta)|^t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

in the 2 dimension case. In both cases, the constant $d_0 > 0$ is independent on δ .

In the next theorem, we discuss the Foldy-Lax approximation when the inhomogeneities satisfy (1.8) in the 3 dimension case. In this case, we recall that the Newtonian operator $A^0 := A_j^0$, on any D_j , reads

$$(1.11) \quad A^0 : \mathbb{L}^2(D_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(D_j), \quad A^0(u)(x) := \int_{D_j} \Phi_0(x, y) u(y) dy = \int_{D_j} \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|} u(y) dy.$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $k_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma \lambda_n}}$ where λ_n is any eigenvalue of the Newtonian operator A^0 ². We choose the incident frequency k satisfying

$$(1.12) \quad k^2 := k_n^2 (1 \pm \delta^h), \quad \delta \ll 1.$$

Under the condition $t + h \leq 1$, we have the expansion:

$$(1.13) \quad u^s(x, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) C_j Q_j + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min\{2-h, 3-2h-2t\}}),$$

where $Q := (Q_1, \dots, Q_M)$ is the unique solution of the algebraic system:

$$(I - B_k) \cdot Q = U,$$

where $U := (u^i(z_1, \theta), \dots, u^i(z_M, \theta))$ and $B_k := (B_{k,i,j})_{i,j=1}^M$ with³

$$B_{k,i,j} := \frac{C_j}{(1 - i k C_i)} \Phi_k(z_i, z_j) \left(1 - \delta_{i,j}\right)$$

and

$$C_j := \int_{D_j} \left[\frac{a_0}{k^2 b} I - A^0 \right]^{-1} (1)(x) dx.$$

As a consequence of the previous theorem, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. For any integer N , we define:

$$Q^N := \sum_{n=0}^N B_k^n \cdot U \quad \text{and} \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) := \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) C_j Q_j^N.$$

(1) Under the following condition:

$$(1.14) \quad 0 \leq 1 - h - t \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1}, \frac{1-t}{N} \right\},$$

we have

$$(1.15) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{(1-h)+(N+1)(1-t-h)})$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta) \sim \delta^{(1-h)+N(1-t-h)} \gg \delta^{(1-h)+(N+1)(1-t-h)},$$

for any bounded domain away from the collection of centers $z_j, j = 1, \dots, M$. Here, $u^{s,N}(x, \theta)$ is the scattered field after N -interactions between the nano-particles.

²Recall that in 3D, $\lambda_n = \delta^2 \tilde{\lambda}_n$ where $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\widetilde{A_0}$.

³Where δ is the Kronecker symbol.

(2) Under the limit condition $1 - t - h = 0$ and $C_0 d_0 < 1$, where C_0 is such that $C = C_0 \delta^{1-h}$ and d_0 satisfy (1.9), we have the expansion:

$$(1.17) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta), \quad \delta \ll 1,$$

where

$$u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) := \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) C_j Q_j^\infty \sim \delta^{1-h} \sim \delta^t$$

for in any bounded domain away from the collection of centers $z_j, j = 1, \dots, M$. Here $u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta)$ is the field generated after all the interactions between the particles, i.e. $Q^\infty := (Q_1^\infty, \dots, Q_M^\infty)$ is given by

$$(1.18) \quad Q^\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_k^n \cdot U.$$

We call $u^{s,\infty}(\cdot, \theta)$ the Foldy-Lax field.

The part (1) of Corollary 1.2 means that if we want to reconstruct the field encoding the interactions between the particles until the order N , for a given integer N , we need to use an incident frequency close to the resonance at the order $O(a^h)$ with h satisfying (1.14). In addition, under the optimal condition $1 - t - h = 0$, we can see all the interactions between the particles. Therefore under this condition between the closeness of the particles and closeness of the incident frequency to the used chosen resonance, the Foldy field can be fully reconstructed and hence the whole interactions between the particles can be 'seen'.

The coming corollary, gives more details about the case when the particles are away from each other, i.e. when we can take $t = 0$.

Corollary 1.3. *In this case, the condition (1.14), reads as:*

$$(1.19) \quad 0 \leq 1 - h \leq \frac{1}{N+1}.$$

and

$$(1.20) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{(N+2)(1-h)}),$$

with

$$u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta) \sim \delta^{(N+1)(1-h)} \gg \delta^{(N+2)(1-h)}.$$

The estimate (1.20) means that the Foldy-Lax approximation, at a given order of interaction N , is also valid when the nano-particles are away from each other as soon as the used incident frequency is close to the resonance k_{n_0} , i.e. (1.12) is valid with $h \in (0, 1)$ such that (1.19) is satisfied.

Next, we state the corresponding results for the 2 dimensional case. For this, we state the natural Newtonian operator $A^0 := A_j^0$ as:

$$(1.21) \quad A^0 : \mathbb{L}^2(D_j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(D_j), \quad A^0(u)(x) := \int_{D_j} \Phi_0(x, y) u(y) dy = - \int_{D_j} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|x-y|) u(y) dy.$$

In dimension 2, we need the following contrast of the coefficients a_j and b_j .

$$(1.22) \quad a := \begin{cases} a_j \sim 1 & \text{inside } D_j, \\ a_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \begin{cases} b_j \sim \delta^{-2} |\log(\delta)|^{-1} & \text{inside } D_j, \\ b_0 & \text{outside } \bigcup_{j=1}^M D_j. \end{cases}$$

Compared to the 3D case, here we have contrasts of the order $\delta^{-2} |\log(\delta)|^{-1}$ instead of δ^{-2} . Such scales are dictated by the log-type singularity of the fundamental solution, see [17]. Therefore, we need the following hypothesis on the behavior of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the 2D Newtonian operator:

Hypotheses 1. *The particles D_j , of radius δ , $\delta \ll 1$, are taken such that the spectral problem $A_0 u = \lambda u$, in D_j , has eigenvalues λ_n and corresponding eigenfunctions, e_n , satisfying the following properties:*

$$(1) \quad \int_{D_j} e_n(x) dx \neq 0, \quad \forall \delta \ll 1.$$

$$(2) \quad \lambda_n \sim \delta^2 |\log(\delta)|, \quad \forall \delta \ll 1.$$

In [17], see the appendix there, it is shown that for particles of general shapes, the first eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenfunctions satisfy **Hypotheses 1**. In addition, the properties of the eigenvalues for the case when the particles are discs are characterized.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume **Hypotheses 1** to be satisfied and let $k_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma \lambda_n}}$ where λ_n is any eigenvalue of the Logarithmic Newtonian operator A^0 ⁴. We choose the incident frequency k satisfying*

$$(1.23) \quad k^2 := k_n^2 \left(1 \pm |\log(\delta)|^{-h} \right), \quad \delta \ll 1.$$

Under the condition $t + h \leq 1$, we have the expansion:

$$u^s(x, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) C_j^* Q_j + \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)} \right),$$

where $Q := (Q_1, \dots, Q_M)$ is the unique solution of the algebraic system

$$(I - B_k) \cdot Q = U,$$

⁴From (1.22), we see that $\gamma \sim \delta^{-2} |\log(\delta)|^{-1}$. Therefore and the second part of **Hypotheses 1**, we deduce that $k_n = O(1)$ for $\delta \ll 1$.

where $U := (u^i(z_1, \theta), \dots, u^i(z_M, \theta))$ and $B_k := (B_{k,i,j})_{i,j=1}^M$ where

$$(1.24) \quad B_{k,i,j} := \Phi_k(z_i, z_j) [C_j^{-1} - \mathbf{E}]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \delta_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C_j := \int_{D_j} \left[\frac{a_0}{k^2 b} I - A^0 \right]^{-1} (1)(x) dx$$

with

$$\mathbf{E} := \frac{i}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\log \left(\frac{k}{2} \right) + \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^p \frac{1}{m} - \log(p) \right) \right].$$

The previous theorem suggest the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. *For any integer N , we define*

$$Q^N := \sum_{n=0}^N B_k^n \cdot U \quad \text{and} \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) := \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) [C_j^{-1} - \mathbf{E}]^{-1} Q_j^N.$$

(1) *Under the following general condition, which is uniform in terms of $N < \infty$,*

$$(1.25) \quad 1 - t - h > 0$$

we have

$$(1.26) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O} \left(|\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)-(N+1)(1-t-h)} \right)$$

and

$$(1.27) \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta) \sim |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)-N(1-t-h)} \gg |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)-(N+1)(1-t-h)}$$

for in any bounded domain away from the collection of centers $z_j, j = 1, \dots, M$.

(2) *Under the limit condition $1 - t - h = 0$, we have the expansion*

$$(1.28) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{-2t} \right), \quad \delta \ll 1,$$

where

$$u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) := \sum_{j=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_j) [C_j^{-1} - \mathbf{E}]^{-1} Q_j^\infty \sim |\log(\delta)|^{-t}$$

for in any bounded domain away from the collection of centers $z_j, j = 1, \dots, M$. Here $u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta)$ is the field generated after all the interactions between the particles, i.e. $Q^\infty := (Q_1^\infty, \dots, Q_M^\infty)$ is given by

$$Q^\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_k^n \cdot U.$$

We observe that, contrary to the 3 dimensional case, the scattered field generated after N interactions (for any order N), can be reconstructed from measured scattered field away from the cluster of particles. In addition, the whole Foldy-Lax field can be reconstructed as well. Observe also that, we can take $t = 0$ (and then $h = 1$), which means that the particles can be far away from each other and still we have the Foldy-Lax approximation (for $N \leq \infty$) if the incident frequency is close to the resonance with the (optimal value) $h = 1$. This means that in the $2D$ case, the Foldy-Lax approximation is always valid. In the case $N < \infty$, we can also take $h = 0$ in (1.25) and hence we do not need to use nearly resonating incident frequencies (this is not the case for $N = \infty$). As in (1.25), $h = 0$ implies that $t = 1$, therefore the Foldy-Lax approximation is valid but in the mesoscale regime, see (1.10), i.e. $d \sim \delta$, if the incident frequency is not close to any resonance.

At the mathematical analysis level, in the 2 D case, the singularity of the fundamental solution is of log-type and hence its powers stay always dominating the singularity of its derivatives. This is not true in the 3 D case.

The results in Theorem 1.4 confirm, in particular, the ones in [13] where in the 2D case, it is shown that the Foldy-Lax approximation is valid for sound-soft acoustic obstacles of discs shapes.

Let us finish this introduction by mentioning that the Foldy-Lax approximations have different applications, see [19] for classical results and historical facts. This approximation is called after Foldy and Lax as the dominant part of these approximation is nothing but the field generated by point-like inhomogeneity as modeled by Foldy [16] and Lax [18]. In addition, such approximations are useful in modern mathematical imaging see for instance [1, 2, 17] and the effective medium theory [3, 14].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start the proof by setting the Lippmann-Schwinger equation, for several particles, given for $x \in D_m$ by:

$$v_m(x) - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \Phi_k(x, y) v_j(y) dy = u^i(x),$$

where we recall that⁵ $\tau := b - b_0(z) \sim \delta^{-2}$ and $v_m(\cdot) = u(\cdot)|_{D_m}$. To make appear the Newtonian operator, we rewrite the previous equation as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_m(x) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} \Phi_0(x, y) v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \Phi_k(x, y) v_j(y) dy \\ &= u^i(x) + k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} (\Phi_k - \Phi_0)(x, y) v_m(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

⁵To avoid more complicated notations and simplify the exposition, we assume that $\tau_j := b - b_0(z_j) = \tau$, $j = 1, \dots, M$. The final results stay valid without this assumption.

By expanding, using Taylor expansion, the functions $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $u^i(\cdot)$ near the centers and using the series representation of $(\Phi_k - \Phi_0)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[I - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau A^0 \right] v_m(x) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \\
&- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m), z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt v_j(y) dy \\
&- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy \\
&= u^i(z_m) + \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt \\
&+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} \left[ik + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(ik)^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} |x - y|^\ell \right] v_m(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

We set the scattering coefficient w to be:

$$w := k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \left[I - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau A^0 \right]^{-1} (1).$$

On both sides of the previous equation, we take the inverse operator of $\left[I - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau A^0 \right]$ and then integrating over D_m to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[1 - ik \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \right] \int_{D_m} v_m(x) dx &= \frac{a_0}{k^2 \tau} u^i(z_m) \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \\
&+ \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \\
&+ \text{Remainder},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the remainder term is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Remainder} &:= \frac{a_0}{k^2 \tau} \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt dx \\
&+ \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_{D_m} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(ik)^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)!} |x - y|^\ell v_m(y) dy dx \\
&+ \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m); z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt v_j(y) dy dx \\
&+ \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x; z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In the sequel, we will derive an estimation for *Remainder*. For this, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *The total field, in the presence of particles, can be estimated by the source field via the following inequality:*

$$(2.3) \quad \|v\| \leq \delta^{-h} \|u\|.$$

The scattering coefficient satisfies the following estimations:

$$(2.4) \quad C_m := \int_{D_m} w(x) dx = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}-h}).$$

Proof. For the proof of this proposition, we refer the readers to Appendix 4. \square

We split (2.2) into four terms that can be estimated separately.

* Estimation of:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_1 &:= \frac{a_0}{k^2 \tau} \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt dx \\ |T_1| &\lesssim \tau^{-1} \left| \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt dx \right| \\ &\lesssim \tau^{-1} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(\cdot - z_m)) \cdot (\cdot - z_m) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{\frac{9}{2}} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimation can be justified using the smoothness of the incident field, $u^i(\cdot)$, and the fact that $\tau \sim \delta^{-2}$.

* Estimation of:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} T_2 &:= \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_{D_m} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(ik)^\ell}{(\ell+1)!} |x - y|^\ell v_m(y) dy dx \\ |T_2| &\leq \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \left\| \int_{D_m} \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(ik)^\ell}{(\ell+1)!} |\cdot - y|^\ell v_m(y) dy \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\delta^4 \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}\right) \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{\frac{11}{2}-h} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

* Estimation of:

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &:= \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m); z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt v_j(y) dy dx \\ |T_3| &\leq \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \sum_{j \neq m} \left\| \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(\cdot - z_m); z_j) \cdot (\cdot - z_m) dt v_j(y) dy \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^4 \sum_{j \neq m} \frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|^2} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^4 \left[\sum_{j \neq m} \frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|^4} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{j \neq m} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
(2.7) \quad & \leq \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^4 d^{-2} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^{\frac{11}{2}-2t-h} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

* Estimation of:

$$T_4 := \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x; z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy dx.$$

Because the expression of T_4 is similar to the one of T_3 and to avoid the redundancy of computations, we skip the computation steps for its estimation and we deduce that:

$$(2.8) \quad T_4 = \mathcal{O} \left(\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^4 d^{-2} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \right) \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^{\frac{11}{2}-2t-h} \right).$$

Then, by gathering (2.5) – (2.8), the remainder term given by (2.2) will be estimated as:

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Remainder} = \mathcal{O} \left(\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^{\min(\frac{11}{2}-2t-h, \frac{9}{2})} \right) \stackrel{(4.9)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{4-h+\min(1-2t-h; 0)} \right).$$

Finally, using the estimation (2.9), the algebraic system given by (2.1) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[1 - i k \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \right] \int_{D_m} v_m(x) dx &= \frac{a_0}{k^2 \tau} u^i(z_m) \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \\
&+ \int_{D_m} w(x) dx \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \\
&+ \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{4-h+\min(1-2t-h; 0)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To write short the previous system we set, for $j = 1, \dots, M$, the following notations:

$$C_j := \int_{D_j} w(x) dx, \quad Q_j := k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau C_j^{-1} \int_{D_j} v_j(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad U^i(z_j) := [1 - i k C_j]^{-1} u^i(z_j).$$

Then, the previous system will be reduced to:

$$(2.10) \quad Q_m - (1 - i k C_m)^{-1} \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m; z_j) C_j Q_j = U^i(z_m) + \text{Error}_m,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Error}_m = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{\min(2-2t-h; 1)} \right).$$

The matrix representation of the last algebraic system, see (2.10), is as follows:

$$(2.12) \quad (I - B_k) \overline{Q} = \overline{U} + \overline{Err},$$

where

$$B_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{C_2 \Phi_k(z_1; z_2)}{(1 - ikC_1)} & \dots & \frac{C_M \Phi_k(z_1; z_M)}{(1 - ikC_1)} \\ \frac{C_1 \Phi_k(z_2; z_1)}{(1 - ikC_2)} & 0 & \dots & \frac{C_M \Phi_k(z_2; z_M)}{(1 - ikC_2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{C_1 \Phi_k(z_M; z_1)}{(1 - ikC_M)} & \frac{C_2 \Phi_k(z_M; z_2)}{(1 - ikC_M)} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\overline{Q} := (Q_j)_{j=1}^M, \quad \overline{U} := (U^i(z_j))_{j=1}^M \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Err} := (Error_j)_{j=1}^M.$$

To investigate the invertibility of (2.12), we start first by proving the invertibility of the corresponding non perturbed linear system, given by:

$$(2.13) \quad (I - B_k) \cdot \tilde{Q} = \overline{U},$$

and then to justify that the difference, in the norm sense, between the solution of the perturbed and the unperturbed problem is at most of the same order as \overline{Err} .

To invert the algebraic system using the Born series, we must check under what conditions $\|B_k\| < 1$.

$$\|B_k\| := \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \left\{ \sum_{j \neq i} |C_j| \frac{|\Phi_k(z_i; z_j)|}{|1 - ikC_i|} \right\} = \max_{i=1, \dots, M} \left\{ |C_j| \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|z_i - z_j| |1 - ikC_i|} \right\}.$$

As we know, from (4.8), that $C_j = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h})$, $j = 1, \dots, M$, we approximate the previous formula by:

$$(2.14) \quad \|B_k\| = \delta^{1-h} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|z_i - z_j|} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h} d^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h-t}).$$

Hence, we deduce that:

$$(2.15) \quad \|B_k\| < 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 - h - t > 0.$$

Now for the critical case, i.e. $1 - h - t = 0$, we put the following assumptions:

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|z_i - z_j|} = d_0 d^{-1} = d_0 \delta^{-t} \quad \text{and} \quad C_j = C_0 \delta^{1-h}.$$

Under these assumptions and the condition $1 - h - t = 0$, we deduce that:

$$(2.16) \quad \|B_k\| < 1 \Leftrightarrow C_0 d_0 < 1.$$

We have justified that under the conditions (2.15) or (2.16), for the critical case, the invertibility of the unperturbed system, see (2.13).

Now, under the conditions of invertibility, by subtracting (2.12) from (2.13) we obtain:

$$\overline{Q} - \tilde{Q} = (I - B_k)^{-1} \overline{Err},$$

and by taking the Euclidian norm both side of the equality, we get:
(2.17)

$$\left\| \overline{Q} - \tilde{Q} \right\| = \left\| (I - B_k)^{-1} \overline{Err} \right\| \lesssim \left\| \overline{Err} \right\| := \left[\sum_{m=1}^M |Error_m|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{\min(2-2t-h;1)} \right).$$

To prove (1.13) we will compute an approximation for the scattered field. More precisely, we start by setting the following L.S.E:

$$u(x) - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy = u^i(x),$$

which can be rewritten, knowing that $u(\cdot) = u^s(\cdot) + u^i(\cdot)$, as:

$$u^s(x) = k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_D \Phi_k(x, y) u(y) dy = k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) v_m(y) dy,$$

where $v_m(\cdot) = u(\cdot)|_{D_m}$, and we have assumed that x is away from $D = \bigcup_{m=1}^M D_m$. Then, using Taylor expansion for the function $\Phi_k(x, \cdot)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} u^s(x, \theta) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(y - z_m)) \cdot (y - z_m) dt v_m(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side can be estimated as:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(y - z_m)) \cdot (y - z_m) dt v_m(y) dy \\ |I_1| &\lesssim \delta^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left| \int_{D_m} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(y - z_m)) \cdot (y - z_m) dt v_m(y) dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \delta^{-2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(\cdot - z_m)) \cdot (\cdot - z_m) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$I_1 = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \right) \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{2-h} \right).$$

$$u^s(x, \theta) = k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy + \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{2-h} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) C_m Q_m + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2-h}) \\
&= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \overline{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2-h}) \\
&= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; (\overline{Q} - \tilde{Q}) \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2-h}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right hand side, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &:= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; (\overline{Q} - \tilde{Q}) \rangle \\
|I_2| &\leq \|\Phi_k(x, z) C\| \|\overline{Q} - \tilde{Q}\| \stackrel{(2.17)}{\lesssim} \|C\| \delta^{\min(2-2t-h;1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.8), which gives us an estimation for the scattering coefficient $C_m \sim \delta^{1-h}$, we obtain:

$$|I_2| \lesssim M^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{1-h} \delta^{\min(2-2t-h;1)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h+\min(2-2t-h;1)}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^s(x, \theta) &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h+\min(2-2t-h;1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2-h}) \\
(2.18) \quad &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min(3-2t-2h;2-h)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.13). We recall from (2.12), that we have:

$$(I - B_k) \overline{Q} = \overline{U} + \overline{Err}.$$

Then:

$$\overline{Q} = (I - B_k)^{-1} \overline{U} + (I - B_k)^{-1} \overline{Err} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_k^n \overline{U} + \overline{Err}.$$

We have:

$$\|B_k^n \overline{U}\| \leq \|B_k\|^n \|\overline{U}\| \simeq \|B_k\|^n \stackrel{(2.14)}{=} \mathcal{O}(\delta^{n(1-h-t)}).$$

Now, we define the truncated solution of the unperturbed problem \tilde{Q}^N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by:

$$\tilde{Q}^N := \sum_{n=0}^N B_k^n \cdot U$$

and its associated scattered field

$$(2.19) \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q}^N \rangle.$$

Subtracting (2.19) from (2.18), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} - \tilde{Q}^N \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min(3-2t-2h;2-h)}) \\
|u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| &= \left| \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \sum_{n \geq N+1} B_k^n \cdot U \rangle \right| + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min(3-2t-2h;2-h)}) \\
&\lesssim \frac{|C|}{\min_{j=1, \dots, M} |x - z_j|} \sum_{n \geq N+1} \delta^{n(1-t-h)} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min(3-2t-2h;2-h)}) \\
(2.20) \quad &= \delta^{1-h} \delta^{(N+1)(1-t-h)} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{\min(3-2t-2h;2-h)}).
\end{aligned}$$

We choose h such that

$$(1 - h) + (N + 1)(1 - h - t) \leq \min\{2 - h, 3 - 2h - 2t\},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(2.21) \quad 0 \leq 1 - h - t \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{N + 1}; \frac{1 - t}{N} \right\},$$

hence, (2.20) becomes,

$$(2.22) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^{(1-h)+(N+1)(1-t-h)} \right).$$

This justifies (1.15). In addition,

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta) &\stackrel{(2.19)}{=} \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; B_k^N \cdot U \rangle \\ &\sim \delta^{(1-h)+N(1-t-h)} \gg \delta^{(1-h)+(N+1)(1-t-h)} \end{aligned}$$

for in any bounded domain away from the collection of centers $z_j, j = 1, \dots, M$. Here $u^{s,N}(x, \theta)$ is the scattered field after N -interactions between the particles.

Under the limit condition $1 - t - h = 0$, the expansion in (2.18) is reduced to:

$$u^s(x, \theta) = \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta).$$

If we set $u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \tilde{Q} \rangle$, then we obtain:

$$(2.24) \quad u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$

which proves (1.17). Actually, from the definition of $u^s(x, \theta)$, we have

$$u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_k^n \cdot U \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; B_k^n \cdot U \rangle \sim \delta^{1-h} \sim \delta^t.$$

is the field generated after all the interaction between the particles. We call it the Foldy-Lax field. In addition, it is clear that for each term in the previous series, i.e. any order $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\langle \Phi_k(x, z) C; B_k^n \cdot U \rangle \sim \delta^{1-h} \gg \delta$, and this allows us to justify that, at any order of interactions $n \in \mathbb{N}$, at least one interaction between the M particles can be seen from the scattered field measured away from the cluster of particles. This ends the proof of Corollary 1.2.

The justification of Corollary 1.3, can be handled in similar manner by taking into account the fact that $t = 0$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We recall that the fundamental solution, $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$, of the Helmholtz equation in dimension two satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y \Phi_k(x, y) + k^2 \frac{b_0}{a_0} \Phi_k(x, y) = -\delta_x(y), & x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ S.R.C at infinity,} \end{cases}$$

where k is such that $k^2 = k^2 \frac{b_0}{a_0}$ and it is given via the Hankel function of first kind $H_0^{(1)}$. The following lemma, on the decomposition of the Green's kernel, is of importance to reduce the complexities of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation, and then to invert it.

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following asymptotic expansion*

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_k(x, y) = \Phi_0(x, y) + \mathbf{E} + \mathcal{O}(|x - y|^2 \log(|x - y|)), \quad x \text{ near } y,$$

where $\Phi_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation in dimension two given by:

$$\Phi_0(x, y) := \frac{-1}{2\pi} \log(|x - y|), \quad x \neq y,$$

and \mathbf{E} is the constant given by:

$$\mathbf{E} := \frac{i}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\log\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) + \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^p \frac{1}{m} - \log(p) \right) \right].$$

Proof. See formula (3.84), page 74 in [15]. □

We start our proof of Theorem 1.4, by setting the following Lippmann-Schwinger equation:

$$v_m(x) - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \Phi_k(x, y) v_j(y) dy = u^i(x), \quad x \in D_m,$$

where, we assume that⁶, $\tau_j = \tau$, $j = 1, \dots, M$ as well. Then, thanks to Lemma 3.1, we rewrite the previous L.S.E as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_m(x) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \int_{D_m} \Phi_0(x, y) v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \mathbf{E} \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ &\quad - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \Phi_k(x, y) v_j(y) dy \\ (3.2) \quad &= u^i(x) + \tau \int_{D_m} \mathcal{O}(|x - y|^2 \log(|x - y|)) v_m(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the Newtonian Potential operator A^0 , defined by:

$$(3.3) \quad A^0(v_m)(x) = \int_{D_m} \frac{-1}{2\pi} \log(|x - y|) v_m(y) dy.$$

Then, going back to (3.4), using the definition of the Newtonian Potential operator, see (3.3), and expanding the Green's kernel and the incident field near the points $(z_i)_{i=1}^M$, we get:

$$\left[I - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b A^0 \right] (v_m)(x) = k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b \mathbf{E} \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy$$

⁶As in 3D case, to simplify the computations, we write the detailed proof with τ , but of course we can do it with $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^M$.

$$(3.4) \quad -k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy = u^i(z_m) + Err_1(x),$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} Err_1(x) &:= \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt \\ &+ \tau \int_{D_m} \mathcal{O}(|x - y|^2 \log(|x - y|)) v_m(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{j \neq m} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m), z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) A^0(v_m)(x) - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \mathbf{E} \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

Let w to be:

$$w := k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \epsilon_p \left[I - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \epsilon_p A^0 \right]^{-1} (1) = \left[\frac{a_0}{k^2 b} I - A^0 \right]^{-1} (1)$$

and its corresponding scattering coefficient C_m defined as:

$$C_m := \int_{D_m} w(x) dx.$$

Then successively, for the equation (3.4), dividing both sides by $k^2 \frac{b}{a_0}$, taking the inverse operator of $\left[\frac{a_0}{k^2 b} I - A^0 \right]$ and integrating over D_m , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [1 - C_m \mathbf{E}] \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy &- C_m \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \\ &= \frac{C_m a_0}{k^2 b} u^i(z_m) + \frac{a_0}{k^2 b} \int_{D_m} w(x) Err_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying the last equation by $k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} C_m^{-1}$, we end up with:

$$(3.6) \quad k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} [C_m^{-1} - \mathbf{E}] \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy = u^i(z_m) + Err_{2,m},$$

where:

$$(3.7) \quad Err_{2,m} := C_m^{-1} \int_{D_m} w(x) Err_1(x) dx.$$

To estimate the term $Err_{2,m}$, we use the coming Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The total field $v(\cdot)$ admits the following a priori estimation:*

$$(3.8) \quad \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \leq |\log(\delta)|^h \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}.$$

For the scattering function and scattering coefficient, we have the following relations:

$$(3.9) \quad \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \leq \delta^{-1} |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_m = |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}.$$

Proof. The a priori estimate (3.8) is already proved in Section 4 of [17]. If in (3.8), we take $u(\cdot) = \tau$, recalling that $\tau \sim \delta^{-2} |\log(\delta)|^{-1}$, we deduce the first part of (3.9). The second part can be proved using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the obtained estimation for $\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}$. \square

First, by combining (3.5) and (3.7), we derive the following expression for $Err_{2,m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Err_{2,m} &= C_m^{-1} \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt dx \\ &+ C_m^{-1} \tau \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_{D_m} |x - y|^2 \log(|x - y|) v_m(y) dy dx \\ &+ \frac{k^2 \tau}{C_m a_0} \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla \Phi_k(x, z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy dx \\ &+ \frac{k^2 \tau}{C_m a_0} \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_0^1 \nabla \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m), z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy dx \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) C_m^{-1} \int_{D_m} w(x) \cdot A^0(v_m)(x) dx - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \mathbf{E} \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we split the expression of $Err_{2,m}$ into seven terms, and then we estimate them separately to get an estimation of $Err_{2,m}$.

*) Estimation of T_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &:= C_m^{-1} \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(x - z_m)) \cdot (x - z_m) dt dx \\ |T_1| &\stackrel{(3.9)}{\lesssim} |\log(\delta)|^{1-h} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla u^i(z_m + t(\cdot - z_m)) \cdot (\cdot - z_m) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ (3.10) \quad &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{1-h} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \delta^2 \stackrel{(3.9)}{=} \mathcal{O}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

*) Estimation of T_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2 &:= C_m^{-1} \tau \int_{D_m} w(x) \int_{D_m} |x - y|^2 \log(|x - y|) v_m(y) dy dx \\
 |T_2| &\stackrel{(3.9)}{\lesssim} \delta^{-3} |\log(\delta)|^{-1} \left\| \int_{D_m} |\cdot - y|^2 \log(|\cdot - y|) v_m(y) dy \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\
 (3.11) \quad &\lesssim \delta \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^2 |\log(\delta)|^h \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

*) Estimation of T_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_3 &:= \frac{k^2 \tau}{C_m a_0} \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_j + t(y - z_j)) \cdot (y - z_j) dt v_j(y) dy dx \\
 |T_3| &\lesssim \frac{\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{\delta |\log(\delta)|^h} \sum_{j \neq m} \left[\int_{D_m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \left| \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_j + t(y - z_j)) \right|^2 dt dy dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_j)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we can approximate the previous estimation by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_3| &\lesssim \frac{\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{\delta |\log(\delta)|^h} \sum_{j \neq m} \left[\int_{D_m} \int_{D_j} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|x - (z_j + t(y - z_j))|^2} dt dy dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_j)} \\
 &\lesssim \frac{\delta \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{|\log(\delta)|^h} \sum_{j \neq m} \frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_j)} \\
 &\lesssim \frac{\delta \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{|\log(\delta)|^h} \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \\
 &\lesssim \frac{\delta \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{|\log(\delta)|^h} d^{-1} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the a priori estimate (3.8) and the estimation of $\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}$, see (3.9), we get:

$$(3.12) \quad |T_3| = \mathcal{O} \left(|\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \delta d^{-1} \right).$$

*) Estimation of T_4 :

$$T_4 := \frac{k^2 \tau}{C_m a_0} \int_{D_m} w(x) \sum_{j \neq m} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \Phi_k(z_m + t(x - z_m), z_j) \cdot (x - z_m) dt \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy dx.$$

The above expression for T_4 is almost the same as that of T_3 , consequently its estimation can be handled in similar manner and we deduce, from (3.12), that:

$$|T_4| = \mathcal{O} \left(|\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \delta d^{-1} \right).$$

*) Estimation of T_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &:= -k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) C_m^{-1} \int_{D_m} w(x) \cdot A^0(v_m)(x) dx \\ |T_5| &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{1-h} \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|A^0(v_m)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{2-h} \delta^2 \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, thanks to Lemma 3.2, we deduce that:

$$T_5 = \mathcal{O} \left(|\log(\delta)|^{h+1} \delta^2 \right).$$

*) Estimation of T_6 :

$$\begin{aligned} T_6 &:= -k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \mathbf{E} \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ |T_6| &\lesssim \|1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^2 |\log(\delta)|^h \right). \end{aligned}$$

*) Estimation of T_7 :

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 &:= -k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} b_0(z_m) \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy \\ |T_7| &\lesssim \sum_{j \neq m} \log \left(\frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|} \right) \|1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_j)} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_j)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.8)}{\lesssim} \delta^2 |\log(\delta)|^h \sum_{j \neq m} \log \left(\frac{1}{|z_m - z_j|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is proven, in Lemma 2.6 of [17], that:

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j \neq m} \log \left(\frac{1}{d_{mj}} \right) = \log(1/d).$$

Then,

$$(3.14) \quad T_7 = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta^2 |\log(\delta)|^h \log(1/d) \right).$$

Finally, by gathering (3.10) – (3.14), we end up with the following estimation for $Err_{2,m}$.

$$Err_{2,m} = \sum_{i=1}^7 T_i = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} d^{-1} \right),$$

and, knowing that $d \sim e^{-|\log(\delta)|^t}$, we obtain:

$$(3.15) \quad Err_{2,m} = \mathcal{O} \left(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} e^{|\log(\delta)|^t} \right).$$

At this stage, the algebraic system given by (3.6) can be written as:

$$k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} [C_m^{-1} - \mathbf{E}] \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy - k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) \int_{D_j} v_j(y) dy = u^i(z_m) + Err_{2,m}.$$

We set:

$$Q_m := k^2 \frac{b}{a_0} [C_m^{-1} - \mathbf{E}] \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy.$$

Then

$$(3.16) \quad Q_m - \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) [C_j^{-1} - \mathbf{E}]^{-1} Q_j = u^i(z_m) + Err_{2,m}.$$

To write short, we set

$$(3.17) \quad C_m^\star := [C_m^{-1} - \mathbf{E}]^{-1}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M,$$

hence, the equation (3.16) will takes the following form,

$$(3.18) \quad Q_m - \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_k(z_m, z_j) C_j^\star Q_j = u^i(z_m) + Err_{2,m},$$

which can be rewritten in a in matrix form as

$$(3.19) \quad (I - B_k) \cdot Q = U + Err,$$

where $B_k = (B_{k,mj})_{m,j=1}^M$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad B_{k,mj} := \Phi_k(z_m; z_j) C_j^\star \left(1 - \delta_{j,m}\right),$$

$U = (u^i(z_j))_{j=1, \dots, M}$ and $Err = (Err_{2,m}, \dots, Err_{2,m})$.

As it was done in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we start by looking under what conditions the following non perturbed linear system

$$(3.21) \quad (I - B_k) \cdot \tilde{Q} = U$$

is invertible. To accomplish this, let us evaluate the norm of B_k . For this we have:

$$\|B_k\| = \max_m \sum_{j \neq m} |B_{k,mj}| \stackrel{(3.20)}{=} \max_m \sum_{j \neq m} |\Phi_k(z_m, z_j) C_j^\star| \stackrel{(3.17)}{=} \max_m \sum_{j \neq m} \frac{|\Phi_k(z_m, z_j)|}{|C_j^{-1} - \mathbf{E}|}.$$

Thanks to (3.9), we know that $C_j \sim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}$ and from Lemma 3.1 we can approximate $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$ by the logarithmic kernel. We manage all this to deduce:

$$(3.22) \quad \|B_k\| \lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \sum_{j \neq m} \log\left(\frac{1}{d_{mj}}\right) \stackrel{(3.13)}{=} \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \log\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right),$$

and, the condition $\|B_k\| < 1$ will be fulfilled if:

$$\log(1/d) < |\log(\delta)|^{1-h}.$$

This implies that:

$$(3.23) \quad d > \exp(-|\log(\delta)|^{1-h}).$$

Now, we assume that the invertibility condition (3.23) is fulfilled, by subtracting (3.21) from (3.19), we get

$$Q - \tilde{Q} = (I - B_k)^{-1} Err$$

and, by taking the Euclidean norm in both sides, we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \|Q - \tilde{Q}\| \lesssim \|Err\| = \mathcal{O}(Err_{2,m}).$$

To prove (1.24) we derive an approximation for the scattered field. To do this, we start by setting the coming L.S.E:

$$u^s(x, \theta) = k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) v_m(y) dy,$$

which, after using Taylor expansion near the center z_m for the kernel $\Phi_k(\cdot, \cdot)$, becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} u^s(x, \theta) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(y - z_m)) \cdot (y - z_m) dt v_m(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the second term on the right hand side as:

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &:= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{D_m} \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(y - z_m)) \cdot (y - z_m) dt v_m(y) dy \\ |J_1| &\lesssim \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla_y \Phi_k(x, z_m + t(\cdot - z_m)) \cdot (\cdot - z_m) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\tau \sim \delta^{-2} |\log(\delta)|^{-1}$ and we assume that the point x is away from D , this implies the smoothness of the function under the integral sign. Then,

$$|J_1| \lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \leq |\log(\delta)|^{-1} \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u^s(x, \theta) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \tau \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) \int_{D_m} v_m(y) dy + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \Phi_k(x, z_m) C_m^* Q_m + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}) \\ (3.25) \quad &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; (Q - \tilde{Q}) \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate the second term on the right hand side of the previous equation. To do this, we set $J_2 := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; (Q - \tilde{Q}) \rangle$. Then,

$$|J_2| \leq \| \Phi_k(x, z) C^* \| \| Q - \tilde{Q} \| \stackrel{(3.24)}{\leq} \| \Phi_k(x, z) C^* \| \delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}.$$

Again, we suppose that the point x is away from the domain D , which implies the smoothness of the functions $\Phi_k(x, z_m), m = 1; \dots; M$, then

$$|J_2| \lesssim \left[\sum_{m=1}^M |C_m^*|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}.$$

Then,

$$(3.26) \quad J_2 = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}).$$

Finally, (3.25) becomes,

$$(3.27) \quad u^s(x, \theta) = \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}).$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Now, we define the truncated series that we denote by $\tilde{Q}^N, N \in \mathbb{N}$, by $\tilde{Q}^N := \sum_{n=0}^N B_k^n \cdot U$ and its associated scattered field

$$(3.28) \quad u^{s,N}(x, \theta) := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q}^N \rangle.$$

Then, by subtracting (3.28) from (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta) &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; (\tilde{Q} - \tilde{Q}^N) \rangle \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}) \\ &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \left(\sum_{n \geq N+1} B_k^n \right) \cdot U \rangle \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

After taking the modulus, in both sides of the previous equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| &\leq |\Phi_k(x, z)| |C^*| \sum_{n \geq N+1} |B_k|^n |U| \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Because, $|U| \sim 1$ and $C^* \sim C \sim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}$, we reduce the previous inequality to

$$\begin{aligned} |u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \min_{z_j} |\Phi_k(x, z_j)| \sum_{n \geq N+1} |B_k|^n \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

As $\min_{z_j} |\Phi_k(x, z_j)| \sim 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \sum_{n \geq N+1} |B_k|^n \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

From the expression of the matrix B_k , see (3.20), we can prove that

$|B_k| = \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \left|\log\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\right|\right)$ and using the fact that $d \sim e^{-|\log(\delta)|^t}$, we deduce that $|B_k| = \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{t+h-1}\right)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| &\lesssim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \sum_{n \geq N+1} |\log(\delta)|^{n(t+h-1)} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{2(h-1)}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta |\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

*) under the condition $1 - t - h > 0$,

$$|u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,N}(x, \theta)| = \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)+(N+1)(t+h-1)}\right).$$

This proves (1.26).

*) under the condition $1 - t - h = 0$, the equation (3.27) becomes

$$u^s(x, \theta) = \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{-2t}),$$

and we set $u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) := \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q} \rangle$, then:

$$u^s(x, \theta) - u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{-2t}),$$

this proves (1.28). Form the definition of $u^{s,\infty}(\cdot, \cdot)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{s,\infty}(x, \theta) &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; \tilde{Q} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; B_k^n \cdot U \rangle \\ &\sim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1} \sim |\log(\delta)|^{-t} \gg \delta^{1-t} |\log(\delta)|^{-2t}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta) &= \langle \Phi_k(x, z) C^*; (\tilde{Q}^N - \tilde{Q}^{N-1}) \rangle \\ |u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta)| &\lesssim |C^*| |\tilde{Q}^N - \tilde{Q}^{N-1}| \lesssim |C| |B_k|^N. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimation of $C \sim |\log(\delta)|^{h-1}$ and $|B_k| = \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{t+h-1}\right)$ we obtain:

$$|u^{s,N}(x, \theta) - u^{s,N-1}(x, \theta)| = \mathcal{O}\left(|\log(\delta)|^{(h-1)-N(1-h-t)}\right).$$

This concludes the proof of Corollary 1.5.

4. APPENDIX

4.1. Estimation of the scattering coefficient C_m and $\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}$.

We recall that:

$$C_m := \int_{D_m} w(x) dx,$$

where w is solution, in the domain D , of

$$(4.1) \quad [A^0 - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h}))] w = 1.$$

We can write C_m , by spectral decomposition of w , as:

$$(4.2) \quad C_m := \int_{D_m} w(x) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \langle w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \int_{D_m} e_n(x) dx.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_m} e_n(x) dx &= \int_{D_m} 1 e_n(x) dx \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \int_{D_m} [A^0 - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h}))] (w)(x) e_n(x) dx \\ &= \langle [A^0 - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h}))] w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} \\ &= \langle A^0 w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h})) \langle w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that A^0 is a self adjoint operator with $(\lambda_n; e_n)$ as an eigen-system we derive, from the previous equation, the coming relation linking the Fourier coefficient of w with the Fourier coefficient of function unity 1:

$$(4.3) \quad \langle w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} = \frac{\langle 1; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}}{(\lambda_n - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h})))}.$$

Then, by combining (4.2) with (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad C_m = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(\langle 1; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)})^2}{(\lambda_n - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h})))}.$$

To see the behaviour of $\langle 1; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}$, with respect to the radius of D_m , i.e. δ , we start by scaling:

$$\int_{D_m} e_n(x) dx = \delta^3 \int_B e_n(z_m + \delta \xi) d\xi = \delta^3 \int_{B_m} \tilde{e}_n(\xi) d\xi.$$

We define the following orthonormal basis:

$$\bar{e}_n = \frac{\tilde{e}_n}{\|\tilde{e}_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)}},$$

where, in effortless manner, we can prove that $\|\tilde{e}_n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} = \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Synthesizing what precedes we deduce:

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{D_m} e_n(x) dx = \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_B \bar{e}_n(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using the derived scales, the equation (4.4) becomes

$$C_m = \delta^3 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{\lambda_n - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h}))},$$

and, by scaling the eigenvalues $\lambda_n = \delta^2 \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\lambda_{n_0} = \delta^2 \tilde{\lambda}_{n_0}$, we obtain

$$C_m = \delta \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{\tilde{\lambda}_n - (\tilde{\lambda}_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^h))} = \delta^{1-h} (\langle 1; \bar{e}_{n_0} \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2 + \delta \sum_{n \neq n_0} \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{\tilde{\lambda}_n - (\tilde{\lambda}_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^h))}.$$

The preceding formula is consistent if

$$\sum_n (\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2 < \infty.$$

Now, we need to show the convergence of the previous series. For this, let β such that

$$\beta - \max_n \lambda_n \gg 1$$

and w_β solution of

$$(4.7) \quad (A^0 - \beta) w_\beta = 1.$$

We have:

$$(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2 = (\beta - \lambda_n) \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{(\beta - \lambda_n)} \leq \beta \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{(\beta - \lambda_n)}.$$

Hence, after taking the series with respect to n on both sides, we get

$$\sum_n (\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2 \leq \beta \sum_n \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{(\beta - \lambda_n)}.$$

In addition, using (4.7), we can derive that

$$\sum_n \frac{(\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)})^2}{(\beta - \lambda_n)} = - \int_D w_\beta(x) dx < \infty.$$

Which proves that (4.6) is well defined. Finally, we deduce that

$$(4.8) \quad C_m := \int_{D_m} w(x) dx = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1-h}).$$

To estimate the \mathbb{L}^2 -norm of w , we start by writing its series expansion given by

$$\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\langle w; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}|^2 \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \sum_n \frac{|\langle 1; e_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}|^2}{|(\lambda_n - (\lambda_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{2+h})))|^2}.$$

We use the scale of the eigenvalues λ_n , which is of order δ^2 , and the scale of the eigenfunction integral, given by (4.5), to obtain:

$$\|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2 = \delta^{-1} \sum_n \frac{|\langle 1; \bar{e}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)}|^2}{\left| \left(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \left(\tilde{\lambda}_{n_0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^h) \right) \right) \right|^2} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{-1-2h}).$$

Finally, we deduce that:

$$(4.9) \quad \|w\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}-h}).$$

4.2. Proof of Proposition 2.1.

We start by setting the L.S.E, in presence of several particles, given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(x) &= k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \int_{D_i} \Phi_k(x, y) (b - b_0(y)) v_i(y) dy \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{m \neq i} \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) (b - b_0(y)) v_m(y) dy = u(x). \end{aligned}$$

By expanding the function $b_0(\cdot)$, near the centers $z_i, i = 1, \dots, M$, and rearranging the equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(x) &= k^2 \mu_0 (b - b_0(z_i)) \int_{D_i} \Phi_0(x, y) v_i(y) dy = u(x) \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \int_{D_i} \Phi_0(x, y) \int_0^1 \nabla b_0(z_i + t(y - z_i)) \cdot (y - z_i) dt v_i(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \int_{D_i} (\Phi_k - \Phi_0)(x, y) (b - b_0(y)) v_i(y) dy \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{m \neq i} \int_{D_m} \Phi_k(x, y) (b - b_0(y)) v_m(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

After scaling the last equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (I - k^2 \mu_0 (b - b_0(z_i)) \delta^2 A^0) \tilde{v}_i(\eta) &= \tilde{u}_i(\eta) \\ &- k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \int_B \Phi_0(\eta, \xi) \int_0^1 \widetilde{\nabla b_0}(z_i + t \delta \xi) \cdot \xi dt \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \int_B (\tilde{\Phi}_k - \tilde{\Phi}_0)(\eta, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \sum_{m \neq i} \int_B \tilde{\Phi}_k(\eta, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_m(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that

$$A^0(f)(x) := \int_B \Phi_0(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{v}_i &= \lambda_{n_0} \mathcal{R}(\lambda_{n_0} + \delta^h; A^0) \left[\tilde{u}_i(\cdot) \right. \\
&\quad - k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \int_B \Phi_0(\cdot, \xi) \int_0^1 \widetilde{\nabla} b_0(z_i + t \delta \xi) \cdot \xi dt \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad + k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \int_B (\tilde{\Phi}_k - \tilde{\Phi}_0)(\cdot, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \\
&\quad \left. + k^2 \frac{1}{a_0} \delta^3 \sum_{m \neq i} \int_B \tilde{\Phi}_k(\cdot, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_m(\xi) d\xi \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)}$ in both sides of the last equality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} &\leq \delta^{-h} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \\
&\quad + \delta^3 \delta^{-h} \left\| \int_B (\tilde{\Phi}_k - \tilde{\Phi}_0)(\cdot, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \\
&\quad + \delta^3 \delta^{-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \left\| \int_B \tilde{\Phi}_k(\cdot, \xi) (b - \tilde{b}_0(\xi)) \tilde{v}_m(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \\
&\quad + \delta^3 \delta^{-h} \left\| \int_B \Phi_0(\cdot, \xi) \int_0^1 \widetilde{\nabla} b_0(z_i + t \delta \xi) \cdot \xi dt \tilde{v}_i(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)},
\end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \leq \delta^{-h} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{1-h} \|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \|\tilde{v}_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{3-h} \|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)},$$

which can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} &\leq \delta^{-h} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{1-h} \|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \|\tilde{v}_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \\
\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} &\leq (1 - \delta^{1-h})^{-1} \delta^{-h} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + (1 - \delta^{1-h})^{-1} \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \|\tilde{v}_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} \\
&\lesssim \delta^{-h} \|\tilde{u}_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)} + \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \|\tilde{v}_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Scaling back we obtain

$$\|v_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)} \leq \delta^{-h} \|u_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)} + \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m \neq i} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)},$$

and

$$\|v_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)} \leq \delta^{-h} \|u_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)} + \delta^{1-h} \sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}.$$

By taking the modulus squared in both sides we obtain

$$\|v_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2 \lesssim \delta^{-2h} \|u_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2 + \delta^{2(1-h)} \sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2.$$

Summing up with respect to the index i , we get

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^M \|v_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2 \lesssim \delta^{-2h} \sum_{i=1}^M \|u_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2 + \delta^{2(1-h)} \sum_{m=1}^M \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_m)}^2.$$

We deduce, under the condition $h < 1$, that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \|v_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2 \leq (1 - \delta^{2(1-h)})^{-1} \delta^{-2h} \sum_{i=1}^M \|u_i\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D_i)}^2.$$

This allows us, under the condition $h < 1$, to deduce that

$$(4.11) \quad \|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)} \leq \delta^{-h} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(D)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alsaedi; B. Ahmad; D. P. Challa; M. Kirane, and M. Sini, A cluster of many small holes with negative imaginary surface impedances may generate a negative refraction index. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 39 (2016), no. 13, 3607–3622.
- [2] A. Alsaedi, F. Alzahrani, D.P. Challa, M. Kirane and M. Sini, Extraction of the index of refraction by embedding multiple small inclusions, *Inverse Problems*, num 4, vol. 32, 2016.
- [3] H. Ammari, D. P. Challa, A. P. Choudhury and M. Sini, The equivalent media generated by bubbles of high contrasts: Volumetric metamaterials and metasurfaces, Preprint in arxiv.
- [4] H. Ammari; Challa, D P Challa; A-P. Choudhury; M. Sini, The point-interaction approximation for the fields generated by contrasted bubbles at arbitrary fixed frequencies. *J. Differential Equations* 267 (2019), no. 4, 2104-2191.
- [5] H. Ammari; A. Dabrowski; B. Fitzpatrick; P. Millien; M. Sini, Subwavelength resonant dielectric nanoparticles with high refractive indices. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 42 (2019), no. 18, 6567-6579.
- [6] H. Ammari, B. Fitzpatrick, D. Gontier, H. Lee, and H. Zhang, Minnaert resonances for acoustic waves in bubbly media. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 35 (2018), no. 7, 1975-1998.
- [7] H. Ammari, B. Fitzpatrick, D. Gontier, H. Lee, and H. Zhang, Sub-wavelength focusing of acoustic waves in bubbly media. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A.*, 473 (2017), 20170469.
- [8] H. Ammari, B. Fitzpatrick, D. Gontier, H. Lee, and H. Zhang, A mathematical and numerical framework for bubble meta-screens. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 77 (2017), 1827-1850.
- [9] H. Ammari, B. Fitzpatrick, H. Lee, S. Yu, and H. Zhang, Subwavelength phononic bandgap opening in bubbly media. *J. Differential Equat.*, 263 (2017), 5610-5629.
- [10] H. Ammari and H. Zhang, Effective medium theory for acoustic waves in bubbly fluids near Minnaert resonant frequency. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49 (2017), 3252-3276.
- [11] R. Caflisch, M. Miksis, G. Papanicolaou, and L. Ting, Effective equations for wave propagation in a bubbly liquid. *J. Fluid Mec.* (1985), V-153, 259-273.
- [12] R. Caflisch, M. Miksis, G. Papanicolaou, and L. Ting, Wave propagation in bubbly liquids at finite volume fraction *J. Fluid Mec.* (1986), V-160, 1-14.
- [13] M. Cassier and C. Hazard, Multiple scattering of acoustic waves by small sound-soft obstacles in two dimensions: Mathematical justification of the Foldy–Lax model, *Wave Motion*, vol. 50, num. 1, pages 18-28, 2013.
- [14] D.P. Challa, A. Mantile and M. Sini, Characterization of the equivalent acoustic scattering for a cluster of an extremely large number of small holes. *arXiv:1711.05003*.

- [15] D. Colton and R. Kress, Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, Third Edition, Springer, vol. 93, 1998.
- [16] L. L. Foldy. The multiple scattering of waves. I. General theory of isotropic scattering by randomly distributed scatterers. Phys. Rev. (2), 67:107-119, 1945.
- [17] A. Ghandriche and M. Sini, Mathematical analysis of the photo-acoustic imaging modality using resonating dielectric nano-particles: The 2D TM-model, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 506, num. 2, 2022.
- [18] M. Lax. Multiple scattering of waves. Rev. Modern Physics, 23:287-310, 1951.
- [19] P.A. Martin. Multiple scattering, volume 107 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Interaction of time-harmonic waves with N obstacles.
- [20] T. Mehlach, S. Moskow; J.C. Schotland. Asymptotic analysis of resonances of small volume high contrast linear and nonlinear scatterers. J Math Phys. 2018; 59: 083502.