

Global existence and optimal decay rate of weak solutions to the co-rotation Hooke dumbbell model

Wenjie Deng^{1*}, Zhaonan Luo^{1†} and Zhaoyang Yin^{1,2‡}

¹Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

²Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen 518107, China

Abstract

In this paper, we mainly study global existence and optimal L^2 decay rate of weak solutions to the co-rotation Hooke dumbbell model. This micro-macro model is a coupling of the Navier-Stokes equation with a nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation. Based on the defect measure propagation method, we prove that the co-rotation Hooke dumbbell model admits a global weak solution provided the initial data under different integrable conditions. Moreover, we obtain optimal time decay rate in L^2 for the weak solutions obtained by the Fourier splitting method.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40, 35Q30, 76B03, 76D05.

Keywords: The co-rotation Hooke dumbbell model; global weak solutions; optimal L^2 decay rate.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Reviews for the polymeric fluid models	2
1.2	Main results	3
1.3	Motivation and main idea	5
1.4	Organization	8
2	Preliminaries	8
3	Priori estimates	12
4	Compactness	15
4.1	Compactness on the velocity u	15
4.2	Compactness on the polymeric distribution g	17
5	Optimal decay rate	22

*E-mail: detective2028@qq.com

†E-mail: 1411919168@qq.com

‡E-mail: mcszyz@mail.sysu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Hooke dumbbell model of polymeric fluids [2, 6, 14]:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div} (u \otimes u) - \nu \Delta u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div} \tau(\psi), & \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ \partial_t \psi + \operatorname{div} (u \psi) - a \operatorname{div}_q [\nabla_q (\frac{\psi}{\psi_\infty}) \psi_\infty] = \operatorname{div}_q [\sigma(u) \cdot q \psi] + \mu \Delta \psi, \\ \tau(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q \otimes \nabla_q \mathcal{U} \psi dq - \operatorname{Id}, \quad \mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2} |q|^2, \quad \psi_\infty = e^{-\mathcal{U}}, \end{cases}$$

where $u(t, x)$ stands for the velocity of the polymeric liquid and $\psi(t, x, q)$ denotes the distribution function for the internal configuration. Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ or \mathbb{T}^d and polymer elongation $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which means that the extensibility of the polymers is infinite. In addition, the parameters a , μ and ν are nonnegative constants. Denote rotation $\Omega(u) = \frac{\nabla u - (\nabla u)^T}{2}$ and deformation tensor $D(u) = \frac{\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T}{2}$. In general, taking $\sigma(u) = \nabla u$, while $\sigma(u) = \Omega(u)$ for the co-rotation case.

It is universally known that the system (1.1) can be used to described the fluids coupling polymers. The system is of great interest in many branches of physics, chemistry, and biology, see [2, 6]. In this model, a polymer is idealized as an “elastic dumbbell” consisting of two “beads” joined by a spring that can be modeled by a vector q . The polymer particles are described by a probability distribution $\psi(t, x, q)$ satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(t, x, q) dq = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_0 dq$, which represents the distribution of particles’ elongation vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, stress tensor τ is generated by the polymer particles effect. One can derive the following Oldroyd-B equation from system (1.1) with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_0 dq = 1$:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div} \tau + \nu \Delta u, & \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ \partial_t \tau + u \cdot \nabla \tau + a \tau + Q(\nabla u, \tau) = b D(u) + \mu \Delta \tau, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad \tau|_{t=0} = \tau_0, \end{cases}$$

with $Q(\nabla u, \tau) = \tau \Omega(u) - \Omega(u) \tau + b(D(u) \tau + \tau D(u))$ and the co-rotation case means $b = 0$. One can refer to [2, 6, 24, 26] for more details.

1.1. Reviews for the polymeric fluid models

Owing to its importance and challenging, the polymeric fluids have been extensively investigated in recent decades. In the following paragraphs, we will review some impressive results from the mathematical analysis of the polymeric fluid models.

Take $\nu > 0$ and $\mu = 0$ in systems (1.1) and (1.2). The local well-posedness in Sobolev spaces with potential $\mathcal{U}(q) = (1 - |q|^2)^{1-\sigma}$ for $\sigma > 1$ was firstly investigated by M. Renardy [29]. Later, the local existence of a stochastic differential equation with potential $\mathcal{U}(q) = -k \log(1 - |q|^2)$ and $k > 3$ for a Couette flow was proven by B. Jourdain et al. [15]. By virtue of defect measure propagation method, P. L. Lions and N. Masmoudi [21] showed the strong convergence of an approximating sequence and constructed global weak solutions for the Oldroyd-B model in the co-rotation case. Furthermore, global weak solutions to the FENE model and the FENE-P model were established by similar methods (see [25, 26]). By establishing new a priori estimation for 2D Navier-Stokes system and a losing derivative estimate for the transport equation, J. Y. Chemin and N. Masmoudi [3] gave a sufficient condition of non-breakdown for an incompressible viscoelastic fluid of the Oldroyd type. We remark

that these estimates are of great significance for proving the strong solutions of viscoelastic fluids. Under the co-rotational condition, the global well-posedness for 2D polymeric fluid models without any small assumptions on the initial data was obtained by N. Masmoudi et al. [27]. In addition, Z. Lei et al. [16] advanced a new method to improve the blow-up criterion for viscoelastic systems of Oldroyd type given in [3]. We mention that this new method is much simpler and can be extensively used to solve other problems involving the prior losing derivative estimate.

Take $\nu = 0$ and $\mu > 0$ in systems (1.1) and (1.2). T. M. Elgindi and F. Rousset [8] proved global regularity for the 2-D Oldroyd-B type model (1.2). Later on, T. M. Elgindi and J. Liu [9] obtained global strong solutions of (1.2) under small initial data in Sobolev spaces when $d = 3$. Regarding the 2-D co-rotational Oldroyd-B type model and its corresponding Hooke dumbbell model, the global existence with a class of large initial data was proven by W. Deng et al. [5].

The long time behavior for polymeric fluid models is of great concern by N. Masmoudi [11]. Take $\nu > 0$ and $\mu = 0$ in system (1.1). The long time decay of the L^2 norm to the incompressible Hooke dumbbell models was studied by L. He and P. Zhang [13]. They founded that the solutions tends to the equilibrium by $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ when the initial perturbation is additionally bounded in L^1 . Recently, the L^2 decay of the velocity u to the co-rotational FENE dumbbell model with potential $\mathcal{U}(R) = -k \log(1 - (\frac{|R|}{R_0})^2)$ was studied by M. Schonbek [32]. She proved that velocity u tends to zero in L^2 by $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}$ ($d \geq 2$) with the additional assumption that $u_0 \in L^1$. Moreover, she conjectured that the sharp decay rate should be $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$. However, she failed to prove it because she could not use the bootstrap argument as that of [30] caused by the additional stress tensor. More recently, this result was improved by W. Luo and Z. Yin in [22, 23], wherein they showed that the optimal long time decay rate of velocity u in L^2 is $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$. Regarding the 2-D Oldroyd-B type model (1.2) with $\nu = 0$ and $\mu > 0$, W. Deng et al. [5] showed the long time decay rate in H^1 for the global solutions constructed by T. M. Elgindi and F. Rousset in [8].

1.2. Main results

Let $\sigma(u) = \Omega$. One can verify that $(0, \psi_\infty)$ with $\psi_\infty(q) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|q|^2}$ is a trivial solution of system (1.1). Take $\nu = a = 1$ and $\mu = 0$ in system (1.1). Considering the perturbations near the global equilibrium

$$u = u \quad \text{and} \quad g = \frac{\psi - \psi_\infty}{\psi_\infty},$$

we can rewrite system (1.1) as follows :

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) - \Delta u + \nabla P = \operatorname{div} \tau(g), & \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ \partial_t g + \operatorname{div}(ug) - \mathcal{L}g = \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \operatorname{div}_q [\Omega \cdot q g \psi_\infty], \\ \tau(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q \otimes \nabla_q \mathcal{U} g \psi_\infty dq, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{L}g = \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \operatorname{div}_q [\nabla_q g \psi_\infty]$.

Definition 1.1. Set $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T] \times \Lambda)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T] \times \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ where $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}^d$ or \mathbb{R}^d , then (u, g) is said to be a weak solution for system (1.3) if the following conditions hold for any $T > 0$:

(a) The velocity field u satisfies :

$$u \in C([0, T]; L_w^2) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^1).$$

For any $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $r = \frac{dq}{dq-2}$, the pressure P satisfies :

$$P \in L^1([0, T]; W^{2,1}) \cap L^2([0, T]; W^{1,1}) \cap L^q([0, T]; L^r) + C([0, T]; L^2).$$

Moreover, the stress tensor τ and the probability distribution g satisfies :

$$\tau \in C([0, T]; L^2), \quad g \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)), \quad \nabla_q g \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)).$$

(b) For any test function φ and Φ , it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda} u \cdot (\partial_t \varphi + u \cdot \nabla \varphi) - \nabla u \nabla \varphi + P \operatorname{div} \varphi dx dt + \int_{\Lambda} u_0 \varphi_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda} \tau(g) : \nabla \varphi dx dt,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{\infty} g (\partial_t \Phi + u \cdot \nabla \Phi) - \nabla_q g \psi_{\infty} \cdot \nabla_q \Phi + \Omega \cdot q \psi_{\infty} g \cdot \nabla_q \Phi dq dx dt = - \int_{\Lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{\infty} g_0 \Phi_0 dq dx.$$

Our main results can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $d = 2, 3$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}^d$ or \mathbb{R}^d . Assume that a divergence-free field $u_0 \in L^2$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_0 \psi_{\infty} dq = 0$ and $\langle q \rangle g_0 \in (L^2 \cap L^{\infty})(\mathcal{L}^2)$. Then system (1.3) admits a global weak solution (u, g) in the sense of Definition 1.1. Moreover, $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^1)$, $\langle q \rangle g \in C([0, \infty); (L^2 \cap L^{\infty})(\mathcal{L}^2))$ and $\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))$.*

Remark 1.3. *It follows from Lemma 2.4 (see Section 2 below) that $\|\langle q \rangle g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C \|\nabla_q g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$. Taking a divergence-free field $u_0 \in L^2$ and $\nabla_q g_0 \in (L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2)$ for some $p \geq 4$, global existence of system (1.3) can be proven by the same way as the proof of Theorem 1.2. However, the method shown in theorem above does not achieve the same result for the more critical cases $p \in (2, 4)$, which needs to be solved by deriving a new renormalized equation introduced in Section 4. The main result is given in the following theorem.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let $d = 2, 3$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}^d$ or \mathbb{R}^d . Assume that a divergence-free field $u_0 \in L^2$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_0 \psi_{\infty} dq = 0$ and $\nabla_q g_0 \in (L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2)$ for some $p > 2$. Then system (1.3) admits a global weak solution (u, g) in sense of Definition 1.1. Furthermore, $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{H}^1)$, $\langle q \rangle g \in C([0, \infty); (L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2))$ and $\nabla_q g \in C([0, \infty); (L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2))$.*

Remark 1.5. *Take $g = 0$, then the Hooke dumbbell model (1.3) is reduced to the Navier-Stokes equation. Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 cover the J. Leray celebrated results about global existence of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation [17, 18, 19]. When $d = 2$, the high integrability of g yield the results about uniqueness and further regularity, see [27].*

Theorem 1.6. *Let $d = 2, 3$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$. Let (u, g) be global weak solution constructed in Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 1.4. Suppose $u_0 \in L^1$ and $g_0 \in L^1(\mathcal{L}^2)$, then there exist constants c and C such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C e^{-2ct}.$$

Moreover, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 dx \neq 0$ and $\|(u_0, \|g_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2})\|_{L^2} \leq \delta$ for some small constant δ , then there exists a constant c such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \geq c(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}.$$

Remark 1.7. *In [31], M. Schonbek proved that $(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}$ ($d = 2, 3$) is the optimal decay rate in L^2 for the Navier-Stokes equations with the additional low frequency condition $u_0 \in L^1$. Theorem 1.6 covers the M. Schonbek results of optimal decay rate in L^2 of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation.*

1.3. Motivation and main idea

An open problem raised by N. Masmoudi in paper [26] is whether the Hooke dumbbell model admits a global weak solution to the general initial data in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

For the general case where $\sigma(u) = \nabla(u)$, N. Masmoudi cannot use the same method as that of [26] to exclude the possibility of concentrated measures in nonlinear limits without the estimate of the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm to the stress tensor $\tau(g)$. There seems to be a long way to go from the conservation law of the known stress tensor $\tau(g)$ to its desired $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -norm estimate. So far, there is still no effective method to address this issue.

For the co-rotation case where $\sigma(u) = \Omega$, due to the infinite elongation of the micro quantity q in the main nonlinear term $\nabla_q \cdot (\sigma(u) \cdot q\psi_\infty g)$, it may lead to the generation of micro concentrated measures in the nonlinear limit. Secondly, unlike the handling of the dissipation term in paper [21], the microscopic dissipation term $\mathcal{L}(g)$ may also lead to the generation of micro concentrated measures. In the renormalization equation, the sum of the concentrated measures generated by $\mathcal{L}(g)$ is not known to be positive or negative, which cannot be treated as an absorption term in the final estimation. To compensate for the lack of regularity at the microscopic level, we need to establish new a priori estimates using microscopic weights and construct new renormalization factors to obtain the required nonlinear limits under lower integrable initial conditions.

In this paper, we mainly focus on the following two issues :

1. Whether the Hooke dumbbell model admits a global weak solution to the general initial data under the co-rotation case ?
2. How to derive the decay rate of weak solutions obtained and further prove that the decay rate derived is optimal ?

Our main strategy for the two issues above are as follows :

Firstly, we focus on the analysis of the compactness of the velocity field u :

As done in Section 2, we decompose velocity field $u = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$, where u_1 , u_2 , and u_3 satisfy the heat equations (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) coupled with different nonlinear terms, respectively. Based on known energy conservation, we can derive an estimate of $u \cdot \nabla u$ in Lebesgue space. By using the standard heat kernel estimation, the estimates of u_1 and u_2 up to the second-order derivative in Lebesgue space can be obtained. From the compact embedding theorem, it is easy to obtain the strong convergence properties of ∇u_1 and ∇u_2 in Lebesgue space. However, for the velocity field u_3 , the available prior estimates can only guarantee the boundedness of stress tensor $\tau(g)$ in the Lebesgue space. Therefore, the external force $\text{div } \tau(g)$ coupled by the heat equation (4.3) is only bounded in the first-order negative Lebesgue space merely. According to the standard heat kernel estimation, what we can obtain merely is the estimate of u_3 up to the first derivative in Lebesgue space, which is not sufficient to ensure the strong convergence property of ∇u_3 in Lebesgue space. Anyway, $\text{div } \tau(g)$ does lead to the lack of compactness in the first-order derivative of the velocity field ∇u .

Therefore, in order to obtain the weak convergence of the nonlinear term $\nabla_q \cdot (\sigma(u) \cdot q\psi_\infty g)$, what we can rely on is the strong convergence of probability distribution g .

Secondly, we focus on the analysis of the compactness of the probability distribution g :

Consider defect measure η such that $|g^n - g|^2 \rightarrow \eta$. We next aim to obtain $\eta = 0$. Our first step is to derive the possible defect measures that may arise in nonlinear convergence. There are the concentrated measures μ derived from $|\nabla(u^n - u)|^2$ and κ derived from macroscopic dissipation term $|\nabla_q g^n - \nabla_q g|^2$, followed by the oscillated measures α derived from stress tensor $|\tau^n - \tau|^2$ and β derived from mesoscopic coupling term $\langle q \rangle g^n \nabla u^n - \langle q \rangle g \nabla u$. Below, we provide different strategies for initial conditions under different integrability.

For the case of initial data $\langle q \rangle g_0 \in (L^2 \cap L^\infty)(\mathcal{L}^2)$:

Referring to the significant mathematical discovery by P. L. Lions regarding the effective viscous pressure P_{eff} (see [10, 20]), the following measure's identity is derived by renormalizing the equation (1.3)₁ :

$$\mu = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta_{ji} \frac{q_i}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_j \mathcal{U} \psi_\infty dq.$$

This measure's identity can eliminate the concentration of measure μ . That is to say, the measure μ derived from $|\nabla(u^n - u)|^2$ is an oscillated measure indeed. Based on the measure's analysis in detail by virtue of the measure's identity above, the following effective inequality of measures can be obtained :

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle q \rangle^2 |\beta|^2 \psi_\infty dq \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \int \eta \psi_\infty dq.$$

According to the inequality obtained, it can be inferred that the oscillated measure η is the largest among the oscillated measures involved. In other words, the oscillated measures α , β and μ can all be controlled by η . In order to observe the dynamic behavior of oscillated measure η , an attempt was made to identify the developmental equation that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$ satisfies. By renormalizing the equation (1.3)₂, a sufficiently integrable renormalization equation satisfied by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$ is derived:

$$\partial_t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) + \text{div} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) + 2 \|\kappa\|_{\mathcal{M}(q)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq \in L_T^1(L_x^1).$$

Moreover, Lemma 2.8 admits a unique Diperna-Lions flow $X(t, x)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(t, x) = u(t, X(t, x)).$$

According to Mild formulation shown in Lemma 2.9 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Lambda)$ in hand, it can be inferred that

$$a.e. \ x \in \Lambda, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq(t, X(t, x)) \in BV(0, T).$$

That is to say, we can observe the dynamic behavior of η point by point under Diperna-Lions flow $X(t, x)$. It is continued to process the equation satisfied by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$. Based on the inequality of measures mentioned above, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq \lesssim \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Lambda.$$

Combining the developmental equation satisfied by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq(t, X(t, x))$ satisfies the following inequality under the Diperna-Lions flow $X(t, x)$:

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq(t, X(t, x)) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq \cdot e^{\int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2} ds}, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \Lambda,$$

where we have thrown the concentrated measure $\|\kappa\|_{\mathcal{M}(q)}$ away during the estimation process above since its positive. According to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$ and the uniqueness of Diperna-Lions flow $X(t, x)$, the standard transport equation theory ensures $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq = 0$, or equivalently $\eta(t, x) = 0$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \Lambda$.

For the case of initial data $\nabla_q g_0 \in (L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2)$, for some $p \in (2, \infty)$:

Unlike the first case mentioned above, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq \notin L^\infty((0, T) \times \Lambda)$ under lower integrable initial data. It is difficult to carry out $\eta = 0$ by observing $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$ through the Mild formula under Diperna-Lions flow. Therefore, we need to reconstruct a new renormalization factor and derive its renormalization equation with sufficient integrability to adapt to the conditions required by the Mild formula. Let's consider the renormalization equation satisfied by the oscillated measure N derived from $\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + 1$:

$$\partial_t N + \operatorname{div}(uN) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa \psi_\infty dq = 0, \quad N^2 = \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq + 1.$$

Although it seems impossible for $p \neq \infty$ to derive the boundedness of the $L^\infty((0, T) \times \Lambda)$ -norm of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq$, a wonderful idea is to consider the renormalization factor $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}$ instead, which naturally satisfies the boundedness in $L^\infty((0, T) \times \Lambda)$. According to renormalization shown in Section 3 in detail, the following equation satisfied by $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}$ is obtained :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2} \right) + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2} \right) + 2 \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} G_\delta \\ = \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq + 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq \in L_T^1(L_x^1). \end{aligned}$$

In general, the measure sequence G_δ generated by the microscopic dissipation term $\mathcal{L}(g)$ cannot be controlled by η and would converge to a certain concentrated measure. However, its positive or negative is unknown, which implies that the limit of G_δ cannot be treated as what the concentrated measure $\|\kappa\|_{\mathcal{M}(q)}$ does in the first case. Fortunately, through our newly established a prior estimate with microscopic weight ∇_q , we have compensated for the lack of integrability of G_δ at the microscopic level, which allowing measure sequence G_δ to eventually converge to a non-negative integrable function. It is continued to process the equation satisfied by $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}$. Based on the inequality of measures mentioned in the first case, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq + 2 \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq \\ \lesssim \left(\|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \frac{\|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{N^2} \right) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2} \quad a.e. \quad x \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the developmental equation satisfied by $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}$, $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}(t, X(t, x))$ satisfies the following inequality under the Diperna-Lions flow $X(t, x)$ determined by u :

$$0 \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}(t, X(t, x)) \lesssim \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq}{\|g_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq + 1} \cdot e^{Ct + \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2} ds} \quad a.e. \quad x \in \Lambda.$$

According to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$ and the uniqueness of Diperna-Lions flow, the standard transport equation theory ensures $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq}{N^2}(t, x) = 0$, or equivalently $\eta(t, x) = 0$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \Lambda$ by modifying the values of the sequence involved on a null set.

Regarding the global weak solutions obtained under different integrability mentioned above, their long-time behavior is also studied. Overall, we have proven the exponential decay rate for $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}$ and the optimal decay rate for $\|u\|_{L^2}$. Firstly, we obtain initial logarithmic decay rate for u in L^2 by additional energy estimates with micro weight and the Fourier splitting method. Then, by virtue of the logarithmic decay rate and the time weighted energy estimate, we improve the decay rate to $(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Finally, for certain initial data, the lower bound on the decay rate in L^2 for the corresponding velocity u is established, which implies that the decay rate we obtained is optimal.

1.4. Organization

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and give some preliminaries which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3, we derive some priori estimates for system (1.3). In Section 4, we present the compactness on velocity u and probability distribution g . In Section 5, we present optimal decay rate in L^2 for global weak solutions of system (1.3) by virtue of the Fourier splitting method.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some notations and useful lemmas which will be used in the sequel. We are only concerned with the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$, since the periodic case is more easier. For $p \geq 1$, we denote by \mathcal{L}^p the space

$$\mathcal{L}^p := \{f \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |f|^p dq < \infty\}.$$

We will use the notation $L^p(\mathcal{L}^q)$ to denote $L^p[\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{L}^q]$:

$$L^p(\mathcal{L}^q) := \{f \mid \|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^q)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |f|^q dq \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}.$$

We now introduce the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory and and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *[1, 4, 12] Let \mathcal{C} be the annulus $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$. There exist radial functions χ and φ , valued in the interval $[0, 1]$, belonging respectively to $\mathcal{D}(B(0, \frac{4}{3}))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, and such that*

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j} \xi) = 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j} \xi) = 1,$$

$$|j - j'| \geq 2 \Rightarrow \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) \cap \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j'} \cdot) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \text{Supp } \chi(\cdot) \cap \text{Supp } \varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) = \emptyset.$$

The set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = B(0, \frac{2}{3}) + \mathcal{C}$ is an annulus, then

$$|j - j'| \geq 5 \Rightarrow 2^j \mathcal{C} \cap 2^{j'} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \emptyset.$$

Further, we have

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j} \xi) \leq 1,$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^2(2^{-j} \xi) \leq 1.$$

\mathcal{F} represents the Fourier transform and its inverse is denoted by \mathcal{F}^{-1} . Let u be a tempered distribution in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\dot{\Delta}_j u = 0 \text{ if } j \leq -2, \quad \Delta_{-1} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F} u), \quad \dot{\Delta}_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) \mathcal{F} u) \text{ if } j \geq 0, \quad \dot{S}_j u = \sum_{j' < j} \dot{\Delta}_{j'} u.$$

Then the Littlewood-Paley decomposition is given as follows:

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\Delta}_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. The nonhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin Space $F_{p,r}^s$ is defined by

$$\dot{F}_{p,r}^s := \{u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{F_{p,r}^s} = \left\| \|(2^{js} \dot{\Delta}_j u)_j\|_{l^r(\mathbb{Z})} \right\|_{L^p} < \infty\}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{H}^1 := \dot{F}_{1,2}^0 = \{u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} = \left\| \|(\dot{\Delta}_j u)_j\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z})} \right\|_{L^1} < \infty\}$$

and

$$\text{BMO} := \dot{F}_{\infty,2}^0 = \{u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} = \left\| \|(\dot{\Delta}_j u)_j\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z})} \right\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\},$$

with duality $\mathcal{H}^{1*} = \text{BMO}$. The following embedding hold

$$\mathcal{H}^1 \hookrightarrow L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad L^\infty \hookrightarrow \text{BMO}.$$

If $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^2$ satisfies $\text{div } u_1 = 0$ and $\nabla \times u_2 = 0$, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|u_1 \cdot u_2\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C \|u_1\|_{L^2} \|u_2\|_{L^2}.$$

Moreover, denote R_k be Riesz operator such that $\widehat{R}_k = \frac{\xi_k}{|\xi|}$. Then for $n \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C_n such that for $f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad C_n^{-1} \|R_k f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C_n (\|f\|_{L^1} + \sum_{m=1}^n \|R_m f\|_{L^1}).$$

Note that the homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin Space is defined by $\dot{F}_{p,r}^s$ and $\dot{H}^s = \dot{F}_{2,2}^s$.

We now introduce some notations about Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 2.2. [1] Let f be a measurable function on a measure space (X, μ) and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. The distribution function of f is defined as $d_f(\alpha) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \alpha\})$. The decreasing rearrangement of f is defined as $f^* = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}$. Set

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and Lorentz space $L_{p,q}(X, \mu) = \{f : \|f\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty\}$. Note that $L^{p,p} = L^p$. Suppose $0 < q < r \leq \infty$, then there exists a constant $C_{p,q,r}$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^{p,r}} \leq C_{p,q,r} \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}.$$

The interpolation lemma is as follows.

Lemma 2.3. [28] Denote that $\Lambda^s f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \mathcal{F} f)$. Let $d \geq 2$, $p \in [2, +\infty)$ and $0 \leq s, s_1 \leq s_2$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Lambda^{s_1} f\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\Lambda^{s_2} f\|_{L^2}^\theta,$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and θ satisfy

$$s + d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) = s_1(1 - \theta) + \theta s_2.$$

Note that we require that $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq s$ when $p = \infty$.

The following lemma allows us to estimate distribution g .

Lemma 2.4. [14] Let $g \in L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \psi_\infty dq = 0$. There exists a positive constant C such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C \|\nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}, \quad \| |q|^2 g \|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} \leq C \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)},$$

The following lemma is useful for showing optimal decay rate.

Lemma 2.5. [7] Let $r_1 > 1, r_2 \in [0, r_1]$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-r_2} e^{-(1+t-s)} ds \leq C(r_2)(1+t)^{-r_2}, \\ \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-r_1} (1+s)^{-r_2} ds \leq C(r_1, r_2)(1+t)^{-r_2}. \end{cases}$$

We give a commutator lemma, which is useful in renormalization process as that of [18].

Lemma 2.6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an arbitrary domain. Assume

$$(2.5) \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\infty^{\pm 1} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$$

be given functions with $\psi_\infty^{\pm 1}$ bounded in any $K \subset \subset \Omega$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \|\nabla_q (f \psi_\infty^{\pm 1})\|_q^\varepsilon - \nabla_q ([f]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty^{\pm 1})\|_{L^1(K)} \leq C(K) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \|\psi_\infty^{\pm 1}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)},$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad [\nabla_q (f \psi_\infty^{\pm 1})]_q^\varepsilon - \nabla_q ([f]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty^{\pm 1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(K) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $v \mapsto [v]_q^\varepsilon = \theta^\varepsilon *_q v$ is smoothing operator with

$$\theta^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d} \theta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}} dx} 1_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}.$$

Proof. To begin with, we observe that the following quantity

$$(2.8) \quad [\nabla_q (f\psi_\infty^{\pm 1})]_q^\varepsilon - \nabla_q ([f]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty^{\pm 1})$$

is well defined on K whenever ε is sufficiently small. Moreover, (2.7) holds for any $f \in C^\infty$, which is dense in $L^1(K)$. According to Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we ensure (2.7) by showing the bound (2.6). To this end, we write

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [\nabla_q (f\psi_\infty^{\pm 1})]_q^\varepsilon - \nabla_q ([f]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty^{\pm 1}) &= -[f]_q^\varepsilon \nabla_q \psi_\infty^{\pm 1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(q-z) \frac{\psi_\infty^{\pm 1}(q) - \psi_\infty^{\pm 1}(q-z)}{|z|} \cdot \nabla_q \theta^\varepsilon(|z|) |z| dz. \end{aligned}$$

According to Minkowski's inequality, we infer that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(q-z) \frac{\psi_\infty^{\pm 1}(q) - \psi_\infty^{\pm 1}(q-z)}{|z|} \cdot \nabla_q \theta^\varepsilon(|z|) |z| dz dx \leq C(K) \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \|\psi_\infty^{\pm 1}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6 . \square

The following proposition is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.6 .

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$ be an arbitrary domain. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} g &\in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{L}^2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_q g \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{L}^2)), \\ u &\in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad h \in L^1(0, T; L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{L}^2)), \end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$(2.11) \quad \partial_t g + \operatorname{div}_x(gu) - \mathcal{L}g = h \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega \times \Omega),$$

with $\mathcal{L}g = \frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_q \cdot (\nabla_q g \psi_\infty)$. Then we have

$$(2.12) \quad \partial_t B(g) + \operatorname{div}_x(B(g)u) - \mathcal{L}B(g) + B''(g)|\nabla_q g|^2 = B'(g)h \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega \times \Omega),$$

where we take $B \in C^2[0, \infty)$ such that (2.12) makes sense.

Proof. Firstly, we prove (2.12) for any $B \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$. Applying the regularizing operators $v \mapsto [v]_{x,q}^\varepsilon$ to both sides of (2.11), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \partial_t [g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon + \nabla_x [g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon \cdot u - \mathcal{L}[g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon = [h]_{x,q}^\varepsilon + s^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon \quad \text{a.e. on } O,$$

for any bounded open set $O \subset \bar{O} \subset (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ provided $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough with

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} s^\varepsilon &= [\mathcal{L}g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}[g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon \in L^1(O), \\ r^\varepsilon &= \operatorname{div}_x \{ [(gu)]_{x,q}^\varepsilon - ([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon u) \} \in L^1(O). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.6 that

$$(2.15) \quad [f \frac{q}{\psi_\infty}]_q^\varepsilon - [f]_q^\varepsilon \frac{q}{\psi_\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(O) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $F = \nabla_q g \psi_\infty \in \mathcal{L}^2$. Notice that

$$(2.16) \quad s^\varepsilon = [F \frac{q}{\psi_\infty}]_q^\varepsilon - [F]_q^\varepsilon \frac{q}{\psi_\infty} + \operatorname{div}_q ([F \psi_\infty^{-1}]_q^\varepsilon - [F]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty^{-1}) + \psi_\infty^{-1} \operatorname{div}_q ([\nabla_q g \psi_\infty]_q^\varepsilon - [\nabla_q g]_q^\varepsilon \psi_\infty).$$

According to Lemma 2.6, we thus deduce that

$$s^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(O) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Multipling $B'([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon)$ to both sides of (2.13), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t B([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon) + \nabla_x B([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon) \cdot u - \mathcal{L}B([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon) + B''([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon) |\nabla_q [g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon|^2 \\ = ([h]_{x,q}^\varepsilon + s^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon) B'([g]_{x,q}^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.12) for $B \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ by passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For any given $B \in C^2[0, \infty)$ such that (2.12) makes sense, take $B_n \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ which is uniformly bounded and converges to B uniformly on compact sets in $[0, \infty)$. The proof of Proposition 2.7 is completed by using Lebesgue's convergence theorem. \square

Lemma 2.8. [26](Existence of Diperna-Lions flow) *If $u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. Then there exists a unique flow $X(t, t_0, x)$ such that for all $t_0 \in (0, T)$ and $t \mapsto X(t, t_0, x)$ is absolutely continuous for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and satisfies*

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(t, t_0, x) = u(t, X(t, t_0, x)), & t \in (0, T), \\ X(t_0, t_0, x) = x. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for all $t, t_0 \in (0, T)$, the map $x \mapsto X(t, t_0, x)$ is measure-preserving.

Lemma 2.9. [26](Mild formulation) *Assume that $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ and that $X(t, x)$ is its Diperna-Lions flow. Let $f \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)$, $f_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$. The following three systems are equivalent :*

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f + u \cdot \nabla f \geq h \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega), \\ f(t = 0, x) \geq f_0(x), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}[f(t, X(t, x))] \geq h(t, X(t, x)) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \Omega), \\ f(t = 0, x) \geq f_0(x), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}[f(t, X(t, x))] \geq h(t, X(t, x)) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'((0, T)) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ f(t = 0, x) \geq f_0(x). \end{cases}$$

In this case, we also have that $f(t, X(t, x)) \in \operatorname{BV}(0, T; \mathcal{M}(\Omega))$ and that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, the function $f(t, X(t, x)) \in \operatorname{BV}(0, T)$ and $h(t, X(t, x)) \in L^1(0, T)$.

3 Priori estimates

Proposition 3.1. [27] *Let $d \geq 2$. Assume that (u, g) is smooth solution of system (1.3) with $u_0 \in L^2$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$ and $g_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} + \|u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2} + C\|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)},$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))} + \|\nabla_q g\|_{L_T^2(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}.$$

Moreover, if $g_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)$, then we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \|g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|g_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)},$$

for any $p \in [1, \infty]$.

We establish additional weighted energy estimates in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $p \in [2, \infty]$. Assume (u, g) is smooth solution of system (1.3) with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$ and $\langle q \rangle g_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)$. There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|\langle q \rangle g_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)} e^{CT}.$$

Moreover, if $p = 2$, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^2 + \int_0^T \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt \leq C \|\langle q \rangle g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

Proof. Taking \mathcal{L}^2 inner product with $\langle q \rangle^2 g \psi_\infty$ to system (1.3)₂, we infer that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u \cdot \nabla \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}g \cdot \psi_\infty g \langle q \rangle^2 dq = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_q (\Omega q \cdot g \psi_\infty) \cdot \langle q \rangle^2 g dq.$$

It follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_q (\Omega q \cdot g \psi_\infty) \cdot \langle q \rangle^2 g dq = 0,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}g \cdot \psi_\infty g \langle q \rangle^2 dq = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle q \rangle^2 |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |qg|^2 \psi_\infty dq - d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2 \psi_\infty dq.$$

Combining (3.6), (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u \cdot \nabla \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \|qg\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = d \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2,$$

which implies that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u \cdot \nabla \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \leq d \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2.$$

Multiplying $\|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^{p-2}$ to (3.10), we deduce that

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^p + \frac{1}{p} u \cdot \nabla \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^p \leq d \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^p.$$

Integrating over \mathbb{R}^d with respect to x , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}^p \leq d \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}^p.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.12), we conclude that

$$(3.13) \quad \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|\langle q \rangle g_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)} e^{CT},$$

for any $p \in [2, \infty]$. Moreover, integrating (3.9) over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and using (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L_T^\infty L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + \int_0^T \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt \leq C \|\langle q \rangle g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

We thus complete the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

The following new energy estimates play a key role in the proof of the equi-integrability of $\|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $d \geq 2$. Assume (u, g) is smooth solution of system (1.3) with initial data $\nabla_q g_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)$ for some $p \in [2, \infty]$. Let $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$. There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(3.15) \quad \|\nabla_q g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|\nabla_q g_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}.$$

Moreover, if $p = 2$, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(3.16) \quad \|\nabla_q g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla_q^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds \leq \|\nabla_q g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

Proof. Applying ∇_q to system (1.3)₂ and taking \mathcal{L}^2 inner product with $\nabla_q g \psi_\infty$, we infer that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u \cdot \nabla \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q \mathcal{L} g \cdot \psi_\infty \nabla_q g dq \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_q \cdot (\Omega q g \psi_\infty) \right) \nabla_q g \psi_\infty dq. \end{aligned}$$

According to the antisymmetry of Ω , one can arrive at

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_q \cdot (\Omega q g \psi_\infty) \right) \nabla_q g \psi_\infty dq &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q^l (\Omega^{ik} q_k \nabla_q^i g - \Omega^{ik} q_k q_i g) \nabla_q^l g \psi_\infty dq \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Omega^{ik} \delta_k^l \nabla_q^i g + \Omega^{ik} q_k \nabla_q^{il} g) \nabla_q^l g \psi_\infty dq \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Omega^{ik} (\delta_k^l q_i + \delta_i^l q_k) g + \Omega^{ik} q_k q_i \nabla_q^l g) \nabla_q^l g \psi_\infty dq = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.4, we deduce that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_q \cdot (\nabla_q g \psi_\infty) \right) \nabla_q g \psi_\infty dq &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{\psi_\infty} \nabla_q \cdot (\nabla_q \nabla_q g \psi_\infty) - \nabla_q g \right) \nabla_q g \psi_\infty dq \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_q \cdot (\nabla_q \nabla_q g \psi_\infty) \nabla_q g dq - \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \\ &= -\|\nabla_q^2 g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We infer from system (1.3)₂, (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} u \cdot \nabla \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla_q^2 g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = 0.$$

Analogously, for any $p \geq 2$, we conclude that

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_q g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}^p \leq 0,$$

which implies $\|\nabla_q g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))} \leq \|\nabla_q g_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}$. Moreover, integrating (3.20) over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain eventually

$$(3.22) \quad \|\nabla_q g\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla_q^2 g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 dt \leq \|\nabla_q g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is completed. \square

Lemma 3.4. [18] *Let $d = 3$. Assume $u \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$, then*

$$(3.23) \quad \|u\|_{L_T^\alpha(L^\beta)} < \infty,$$

with $\alpha \in [2, \infty]$ and $\beta = \frac{6\alpha}{3\alpha-4}$. It also follows that

$$(3.24) \quad \|u \otimes u\|_{L_T^q(L^r)}, \quad \|u \nabla u\|_{L_T^\gamma(L^\delta)} < \infty,$$

with $q \in [1, \infty]$, $r = \frac{3q}{3q-2}$ and $\gamma \in [1, 2]$, $\delta = \frac{3\gamma}{4\gamma-2}$. Moreover, we have

$$(3.25) \quad \|u \nabla u\|_{L_T^1(L^{\frac{3}{2},1})} < \infty.$$

The following property is crucial to obtain the weak compactness of the velocity u .

Proposition 3.5. [1] *Let $d = 3$. Assume $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and $u \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$, then*

$$(3.26) \quad \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) \in L_T^1(\dot{W}^{2,1}) \cap L_T^2(\dot{W}^{1,1}) \cap L_T^q(L^r),$$

with $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $r = \frac{3q}{3q-2}$.

Proof. It follows that $\partial_j(\partial_i u^j) = 0$ and $\nabla \times \nabla u^i = 0$. According to Proposition 2.1, we infer that

$$(3.27) \quad \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla^2(\partial_i u^j \partial_j u^i)\|_{L_T^1(\mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \|\partial_i u^j \partial_j u^i\|_{L_T^1(\mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)}^2,$$

which implies that $\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) \in L_T^1(\dot{W}^{2,1})$. Similarly, we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div}(u \nabla u)\|_{L_T^2(\mathcal{H}^1)} \leq C \|u\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} \|\nabla u\|_{L_T^2(L^2)}.$$

This leads us to get that $\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) \in L_T^2(\dot{W}^{1,1})$. Moreover, applying Lemma 3.4, we deduce that

$$(3.29) \quad \|\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u)\|_{L_T^q(L^r)} \leq C \|u \otimes u\|_{L_T^q(L^r)}.$$

Thus we have $\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) \in L_T^q(L^r)$. \square

4 Compactness

4.1. Compactness on the velocity u

In this section, we only consider the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $d = 3$, since the other case is more easier. We firstly spilt u into $u_1 + u_2 + u_3$, where u_1, u_2 and u_3 solve respectively

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - \Delta u_1 + \nabla P_1 = -\operatorname{div}(u \otimes u), \\ \operatorname{div} u_1 = 0, \quad u_1|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_2 - \Delta u_2 + \nabla P_2 = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u_2 = 0, \quad u_2|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where P_2 is a given harmonic function and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_3 - \Delta u_3 + \nabla P_3 = \operatorname{div} \tau(g), \\ \operatorname{div} u_3 = 0, \quad u_3|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let's recall the following compactness property.

Lemma 4.1. *[18, 19] Assume $u^n \in C_T(L_w^2) \cap L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$, then there exists $u \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$ such that for any $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $u^n \rightarrow u$ in $L_T^q(L^p(K))$ with $q \in [2, \infty)$ and $p < \frac{6q}{3q-4}$.*

Then we introduce different compactness on velocity u_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$. For more details, one can refer to [18, 19].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\{u^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$ and $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))$. Assume u_1^n, u_2^n and u_3^n solve systems (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. Then there exist u_1, u_2 and u_3 such that*

$$(4.4) \quad u_i^n \rightarrow u_i \in L_T^q(L^p(K)), \quad i = 1, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad u_2^n \rightarrow u_2 \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1),$$

with $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $q \in [2, \infty)$ and $p < \frac{6q}{3q-4}$. Moreover,

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla u_1^n \rightarrow \nabla u_1 \in L_T^2(L^{p_0}(K)) \cap L_T^1(L^{r_0}(K)),$$

with $p_0 < 2$ and $r_0 < 3$.

Proof. Using standard L^2 energy estimate to system (4.3) with $g^n \in L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))$, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad u_3^n \in \dot{W}_T^{1,1}(L_w^2) \cap L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1) \quad \text{and} \quad P_3^n = \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \tau^n \in L_T^\infty(L^2).$$

We infer from compact embedding theorem that there exists u_3 such that $u_3^n \rightarrow u_3 \in C(0, T; W^{-\varepsilon, 2}(K))$ for any positive ε . By virtue of interpolation inequality, we deduce that $u_3^n \rightarrow u_3 \in L_T^q(L^p(K))$ with $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $q \in [2, \infty)$ and $p < \frac{6q}{3q-4}$. According to system (4.2) and Duhamel's principle, we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad u_2^n = e^{t\Delta} u_0^n + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla P_2^n ds.$$

Taking harmonic functions $P_2^n = [P_2]_x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ such that $P_2^n \rightarrow P_2 \in H^2$, we deduce that there exists $u_2 \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$ such that $u_2^n \rightarrow u_2 \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1) \hookrightarrow L_T^q(L^p(K))$. Notice that $u_1^n = u^n - (u_2^n + u_3^n)$, there exists $u_1 \in L_T^\infty(L^2) \cap L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$ such that $u_1^n \rightarrow u_1 \in L_T^q(L^p(K))$. Moreover, applying Leray projector $\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Id} - \Delta^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div}$ to system (4.1), we infer from Duhamel's principle that

$$(4.8) \quad u_1^n = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(u^n \otimes u^n) ds.$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we have $\operatorname{div}(u^n \otimes u^n) \in L_T^2(\mathcal{H}^1) \cap L_T^1(L^{\frac{3}{2}, 1}) \hookrightarrow L_T^k(L^r)$ with $k \in (1, 2)$ and $r = \frac{3k}{4k-2}$. Then we deduce that $u_1^n \in L_T^k(\dot{W}^{2,r})$, which implies that $u_1^n \in L_T^1(\dot{W}^{1,r_0})$ with $r_0 < 3$. By virtue of compact embedding theorem and $u_1 \in L_T^2(\dot{H}^1)$, we have $\nabla u_1^n \rightarrow \nabla u_1 \in L_T^2(L^{p_0}(K)) \cap L_T^1(L^{r_0}(K))$ with $p_0 < 2$ and $r_0 < 3$. The proof of Proposition 4.2 is finished. \square

Thus the compactness of u_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$ implies that (u, g) satisfies system (1.3)₁ in the sense of Definition 1.1.

4.2. Compactness on the polymeric distribution g

According to the compactness of u has been discussed in Proposition 4.2 and the boundness of g in $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$, we infer that

$$\operatorname{div} (u^n g^n) \rightharpoonup \operatorname{div} (ug) \in \mathcal{D}'([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

The main difficulty is to prove that the following weak compactness holds :

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla_q \cdot (\Omega^n q g^n \psi_\infty) \rightharpoonup \nabla_q \cdot (\Omega q g \psi_\infty) \in \mathcal{D}'([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

According to Proposition 4.2, we infer that

$$\nabla_q \cdot [\nabla(u_1^n + u_2^n) g^n \psi_\infty] \rightharpoonup \nabla_q \cdot [\nabla(u_1 + u_2) g \psi_\infty] \in \mathcal{D}'([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore, we are now concerned with u_3 . We begin with the following equi-integrability for $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $\{\nabla_q g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L_T^2(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))$ and $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L_T^\infty((L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2))$ for some $p > 2$. Then $\{|g^n|^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equi-integrable in $L_T^1(L^1(\mathcal{L}^1))$.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.3 , we have

$$(4.10) \quad \|g^n\|_{L_T^r(L^m(\mathcal{L}^m))} \leq C \|g^n\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))}^{\frac{3}{m}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L_T^2(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^{\frac{2}{r}},$$

for $r = \frac{4m}{3(m-2)}$ and $m = \frac{10p-12}{3p-2} \in (2, \frac{10}{3})$. It follows from Chebyshev inequality that

$$(4.11) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g^n|^2 \psi_\infty 1_{\{|g^n|^2 \psi_\infty \geq M\}} dq dx dt \leq C_T \|g^n\|_{L_T^r(L^m(\mathcal{L}^m))}^2 \|g^n\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^{2(1-\frac{2}{m})} M^{-(1-\frac{2}{m})},$$

which implies that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g^n|^2 \psi_\infty 1_{\{|g^n|^2 \psi_\infty \geq M\}} dq dx dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3 . □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 :

According to Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 4.3 , we introduce the following defect measures :

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{cases} |\nabla(u_3^n - u_3)|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu \in \mathcal{M}(x), \\ |g^n - g|^2 \rightharpoonup \eta \in L_T^\infty((L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathcal{L}^1)), \\ |\tau^n - \tau|^2 \rightharpoonup \alpha \in L_T^\infty(L^1 \cap L^\infty) \text{ with } \alpha \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq, \\ \psi_\infty |\nabla_q(g^n - g)|^2 \rightharpoonup \kappa \in \mathcal{M}(x, q), \\ \langle q \rangle g^n \nabla u_3^n \rightharpoonup \langle q \rangle g \nabla u_3 + \beta \in L_T^2(L^1(\mathcal{L}^2)) \text{ with } |\beta| \leq \langle q \rangle \sqrt{\mu} \sqrt{\eta}, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the spaces of bounded measure on \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, respectively. Note that all measure inequalities hold in the sense of almost everywhere. For simplify, we omit the notion a.e. here. Recalling that u_3^n and u_3 solve the system (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |u_3^n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (|u_3^n|^2) + |\nabla u_3^n|^2 + \operatorname{div} (u_3^n P_3^n) = \operatorname{div} (u_3^n \tau^n) - \operatorname{Tr} (\tau^n (\nabla u_3^n)^t), \\ \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |u_3|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (|u_3|^2) + |\nabla u_3|^2 + \operatorname{div} (u_3 P_3) = \operatorname{div} (u_3 \tau) - \operatorname{Tr} (\tau (\nabla u_3)^t). \end{cases}$$

Passing to the limit in (4.14) and using the convergence properties already shown in (4.13), we obtain

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |u_3|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (|u_3|^2) + |\nabla u_3|^2 + \mu + \operatorname{div} (u_3 P_3) = \operatorname{div} (u_3 \tau) - \operatorname{Tr} (\tau (\nabla u_3)^t) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta_{ji} \frac{q_i \nabla_j \mathcal{U}}{\langle q \rangle} \psi_\infty dq.$$

Thus (4.15) minus (4.14) leads to

$$(4.16) \quad \mu = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta_{ji} \frac{q_i \nabla_j \mathcal{U}}{\langle q \rangle} \psi_\infty dq,$$

which implies that $\mu \in L_T^2(L^1)$. According to (4.13), we infer that

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \beta_{ji} \frac{q_i \nabla_j \mathcal{U}}{\langle q \rangle} \psi_\infty dq \leq C \sqrt{\mu} \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

This gives $\mu \leq C\alpha \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$(4.18) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\beta|^2}{\langle q \rangle^2} \psi_\infty dq \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq.$$

Taking \mathcal{L}^2 inner product with $g^n \psi_\infty$ to system (1.3)₂, we infer that

$$(4.19) \quad \partial_t \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \operatorname{div} (u^n \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2) + 2 \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = 0.$$

Passing to the limit in (4.19) and using (4.13), we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \partial_t \left(\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) + \operatorname{div} \left(u \left(\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) \right) + 2 \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|\kappa\|_{\mathcal{M}(q)} = 0.$$

According to system (1.3), Proposition 2.7 and (4.13), we deduce that

$$(4.21) \quad \partial_t \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \operatorname{div} (u \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2) + 2 \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq.$$

By using (4.18), (4.20), (4.21) and Propositions 3.1, 3.2, one can arrive at

$$(4.22) \quad \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq + \operatorname{div} \left(u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) + 2 \|\kappa\|_{\mathcal{M}(q)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq \in L_T^1(L_x^1).$$

We infer from Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 that

$$(4.23) \quad \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq + \operatorname{div} \left(u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \right) \leq C \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and thus

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq(X(t, x)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq \cdot e^{C \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} ds} \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where $t \geq 0$ and X is the unique *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ flow such that

$$(4.25) \quad \dot{X} = u(t, X), \quad X(0, x) = x.$$

For each $t \in [0, T]$, according to Proposition 3.2 and Minkowski's inequality, we deduce that

$$(4.26) \quad \left\| \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} ds \right\|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)} ds < \infty.$$

Then $e^{C \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} ds} < \infty$ implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq (X(t, x)) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_0 \psi_\infty dq = 0$. Using the invariance of Lebesgue measure, we conclude that $\eta = 0$ a.e. $(x, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for all $t \geq 0$ and hence g^n converges strongly to g . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

In the process of constructing renormalization equation shown in Theorem 1.4, the main difficulty is to prove that the sum of measures produced by $|\nabla_q g^n|^2$ is positive with lower integrability of $\nabla_q g^n$. Thanks to the new energy estimates in Proposition 3.3, we obtain the equi-integrability of $|g^n|^2$ and $|\nabla_q g^n|^2$.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $\{\nabla_q g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L_T^\infty((L^2 \cap L^p)(\mathcal{L}^2))$ for some $p > 2$ and $\{\nabla_q^2 g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L_T^2(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))$, then $\{|\nabla_q g^n|^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equi-integrable in $L_T^1(L^1(\mathcal{L}^1))$.*

Proof. Taking $m = \frac{10p-12}{3p-2}$ with $m \in (2, \frac{10}{3}]$, we deduce that

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L_T^{\frac{4m}{3(m-2)}}(L^m(\mathcal{L}^m))} &\leq C \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^{3-\frac{m}{2}} \|\nabla_q^2 g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^{\frac{3m}{2}-3} dx \right)^{\frac{4}{3(m-2)}} dt \right)^{\frac{3(m-2)}{4m}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L_T^\infty(L^p(\mathcal{L}^2))}^{\frac{3}{m}-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_q^2 g^n\|_{L_T^2(L^2(\mathcal{L}^2))}^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\nabla_q g^n \in L_T^{m_1}(L^m(\mathcal{L}^m))$ with $m_1 = \frac{4m}{3(m-2)} > 2$. By virtue of Chebyshev inequality, one can arrive at

$$(4.28) \quad \iint_{\{|\nabla_q g^n|^2 \psi_\infty \geq M\}} |\nabla_q g^n|^2 \psi_\infty dq dx \leq C_T \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{2-\frac{4}{m}} \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L^m(\mathcal{L}^m)}^2 M^{-1+\frac{2}{m}},$$

which implies that

$$(4.29) \quad \int_0^T \iint_{\{|\nabla_q g^n|^2 \psi_\infty \geq M\}} |\nabla_q g^n|^2 \psi_\infty dq dx dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let the conditions in Proposition 4.4 be fulfilled. Suppose that*

$$(4.30) \quad \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \rightharpoonup \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \tilde{\kappa},$$

and

$$(4.31) \quad \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1+\delta\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1+\delta\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} + \tilde{\kappa}_\delta,$$

with $\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\kappa}_\delta \in L_T^\infty(L^1 \cap L^{\frac{p}{2}})$ for some $p > 2$, then

$$(4.32) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_\delta \rightharpoonup \tilde{\kappa} \in L_T^\infty(L^1) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It's sufficient to prove that $\left| \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1+\delta\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} - \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \right| \rightarrow 0 \in L_T^\infty(L^1)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Firstly, we deduce that

$$(4.33) \quad \left| \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1+\delta\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} - \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \right| = \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1+\delta\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} (\delta^2\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^4 + 2\delta\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)$$

$$\leq \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 1_{\{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 > M\}} + 3M\delta \|g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2.$$

Applying Chebyshev inequality, one can arrive at

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 1_{\{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 > M\}} dx \leq \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L^p(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^{2-\frac{4}{p}} M^{\frac{2}{p}-1}.$$

This together with (4.33) implies that

$$(4.35) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} - \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \right| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

We thus complete the proof of Lemma 4.5 . \square

The proof of Theorem 1.4 :

According to Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.3 and 4.4, we obtain the following defect measures:

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{cases} |\nabla(u_3^n - u_3)|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu \in \mathcal{M}(x), \\ |g^n - g|^2 \rightharpoonup \eta \in L_T^\infty((L^1 \cap L^{\frac{p}{2}})(\mathcal{L}^1)), \\ |\tau^n - \tau|^2 \rightharpoonup \alpha \in L_T^\infty(L^1 \cap L^{\frac{p}{2}}) \text{ with } \alpha \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta \psi_\infty dq, \\ |\nabla_q(g^n - g)|^2 \rightharpoonup \kappa \in L_T^{\frac{2m}{3(m-2)}}((L^1 \cap L^{\frac{m}{2}})(\mathcal{L}^{\frac{m}{2}})) \text{ with } m = \frac{10p-12}{3p-2}, \\ \langle q \rangle g^n \nabla u_3^n \rightharpoonup \langle q \rangle g^n \nabla u_3 + \beta \in L_T^2(L^1(\mathcal{L}^2)) \text{ with } |\beta| \leq \langle q \rangle \sqrt{\mu} \sqrt{\eta}. \end{cases}$$

Extracting subsequences if necessary, for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we assume that

$$(4.37) \quad \frac{\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} + \tilde{\eta}_\delta, \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\eta}_\delta \leq \frac{1}{\delta},$$

$$(4.38) \quad \frac{\|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} \rightharpoonup \frac{\|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} + \tilde{\kappa}_\delta, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_\delta \in L_T^{\frac{2m}{3(m-2)}}(L^{\frac{m}{2}}),$$

$$(4.39) \quad \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + 1 \rightharpoonup N^2 \text{ with } N = \sqrt{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq} + 1.$$

Taking \mathcal{L}^2 inner product with $g^n \psi_\infty$ to system (1.3)₂, we infer that

$$(4.40) \quad \partial_t \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \operatorname{div} (u^n \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2) + 2 \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = 0.$$

Denote that $\tilde{\eta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta \psi_\infty dq$ and $\tilde{\kappa} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \kappa \psi_\infty dq$. Passing to the limit in (4.40) and using the convergence properties in (4.36) and (4.39), one can arrive at

$$(4.41) \quad \partial_t N + \operatorname{div} (uN) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq + \tilde{\kappa} \right) = 0.$$

Multiplying $(1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^{-2}$ to (4.40), we infer that

$$(4.42) \quad \partial_t \frac{\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} \right) + \frac{2 \|\nabla_q g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} = 0.$$

Passing to the limit in (4.42) and using (4.37), (4.38), we deduce that

$$(4.43) \quad \partial_t \left(\frac{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} + \tilde{\eta}_\delta \right) + \operatorname{div} \left(u \left(\frac{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} + \tilde{\eta}_\delta \right) \right) + 2 \frac{\|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} + 2\tilde{\kappa}_\delta = 0.$$

According to Proposition 2.7, we obtain

$$(4.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \frac{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2} \right) + 2 \frac{\|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} \\ = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4.43) minus (4.44) leads to

$$(4.45) \quad \partial_t \tilde{\eta}_\delta + \operatorname{div} (u \tilde{\eta}_\delta) + 2\tilde{\kappa}_\delta = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq.$$

Combining (4.41) and (4.45), we conclude that

$$(4.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \frac{\tilde{\eta}_\delta}{N^2} + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\tilde{\eta}_\delta}{N^2} \right) + \frac{2}{N^2} \tilde{\kappa}_\delta - \frac{2\tilde{\eta}_\delta}{N^4} \tilde{\kappa} &= \frac{(1 + \delta \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2)^{-2}}{N^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq \\ &+ \frac{2\tilde{\eta}_\delta}{N^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 4.5, passing δ to 0 leads to

$$(4.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{N^2} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^4} \right) \tilde{\kappa} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\beta_{ji} - \beta_{ij}) \frac{q_j}{\langle q \rangle} \nabla_q^i g \psi_\infty dq \\ &+ \frac{2\tilde{\eta}}{N^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_q g|^2 \psi_\infty dq. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\frac{1}{N^2} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^4} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{N} \leq 1$. According to (4.18) and Proposition 3.2, we infer that

$$(4.48) \quad \partial_t \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} + \operatorname{div} \left(u \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} \right) \leq C \left(\|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \frac{\|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2}{N^2} \right) \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} \in L_T^1(L_{\text{loc}}^1).$$

According to Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 with $\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2} \in L_T^\infty(L^\infty)$, we conclude for any $t \geq 0$ that

$$(4.49) \quad \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2}(X(t, x)) \leq \frac{\tilde{\eta}_0}{\|g_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \tilde{\eta}_0 + 1} e^{Ct + C \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 ds} \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where X is the unique a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ flow such that

$$(4.50) \quad \dot{X} = u(t, X), \quad X(0, x) = x.$$

For each $t \in [0, T]$, it follows from Proposition 3.2 and Minkowski's inequality that

$$(4.51) \quad \left\| \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 ds \right\|_{L^1} \leq \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds < \infty,$$

which implies that $e^{C \int_0^t \|\langle q \rangle \nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 ds} < \infty$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and thus $\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{N^2}(X(t, x)) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\tilde{\eta}_0 = 0$. Using the invariance of Lebesgue measure, we deduce that $\eta = 0$ a.e. $(x, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for all $t \geq 0$ and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Remark 4.6. In Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, we obtain global existence of system (1.3) with additional energy estimates. Global existence of system (1.3) with standard energy estimations in (3.1) is an interesting problem. However, the technique in this paper fails to solve this problem and we would get further research in the future.

5 Optimal decay rate

The proof of Theorem 1.6 :

By density argument, we assume that (u, g) is smooth solution of system (1.3). We first prove the exponential decay rate of g in $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$. Taking $L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)$ inner product with g to system (1.3)₂, we infer

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 + 2\|\nabla_q g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 = 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(5.2) \quad c\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla_q g\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2,$$

which implies that

$$(5.3) \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq \|g_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 e^{-2ct}.$$

Applying Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \leq Ce^{-2ct}.$$

Then we prove the optimal L^2 decay rate for velocity u . The proof is divided into three steps. To start with, we get initial time decay rate $\ln^{-l}(e+t)$ for u in L^2 for any $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ by the Fourier splitting method. Then, by virtue of the time weighted energy estimate and the logarithmic decay rate, we improve the time decay rate to $(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Finally, we establish the lower bound of long time decay rate in L^2 for velocity u , which implies that the decay rate we obtained is optimal.

Step 1 : Taking L^2 energy estimate to (1.3)₁, we deduce that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\tau\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Define $S_0(t) = \{\xi : |\xi|^2 \leq C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)}\}$ with $f(t) = \ln^3(e+t)$ and the constant C_d large enough. According to Schonbek's strategy, we have

$$(5.6) \quad C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \int_{(S_0(t))^c} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We infer from (5.4) and (5.6) that

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \int_{S_0(t)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + Ce^{-2ct}.$$

Taking Fourier transform with respect to x in system (1.3), one can arrive at

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{u}_t + \mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla u) + |\xi|^2 \hat{u} + i\xi \hat{P} = i\xi \cdot \hat{\tau}, \\ \hat{g}_t + \mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g) - \mathcal{L}(\hat{g}) = \operatorname{div}_q(-\mathcal{F}(\Omega \cdot qg\psi_\infty)), \\ i\xi \cdot \bar{\hat{u}} = -i\bar{\xi} \cdot \hat{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying $\bar{\hat{u}}$ to system (5.8)₁, we get

$$(5.9) \quad \partial_t |\hat{u}|^2 \leq |\hat{\tau}|^2 + C |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2.$$

Taking \mathcal{L}^2 inner product to system (5.8)₂ with \hat{g} , we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \partial_t \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + 2\|\nabla_q \hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 dq + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot qg\psi_\infty)|^2 dq.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(5.11) \quad |\hat{\tau}|^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q \otimes \nabla_q \mathcal{U} \hat{g} \psi_\infty dq \right)^2 \leq C \|\nabla_q \hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2.$$

Adding (5.9) to $\lambda \times (5.10)$ with λ large enough and integrating ξ over $S_0(t)$, we deduce that

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi \leq \int_{S_0(t)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi + C \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 ds' d\xi \\ + \lambda \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla \hat{g})|^2 dq ds' d\xi + \lambda \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot q\hat{g}\psi_\infty)|^2 dq ds' d\xi.$$

Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.6, we get

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi \leq \int_{S_0(t)} d\xi \cdot \|\hat{u}_0\|^2 + \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \|L^\infty(S(t))\| \\ \leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2 + \|\hat{g}_0\|_{L^1(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right).$$

According to Minkowski's inequality and (3.1), we have

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 ds' d\xi = \int_0^t \int_{S_0(t)} |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 d\xi ds' \\ \leq C \int_{S_0(t)} d\xi \int_0^t \|\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds' \\ \leq C \ln^{-1}(e+t).$$

Using $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and (3.1), we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 dq ds' d\xi \leq C \int_{S_0(t)} |\xi|^2 d\xi \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(ug)|^2\|_{L^\infty} dq ds' \\ \leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds' \\ \leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}.$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.4, we infer that

$$(5.16) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot qg)|^2 dq ds' d\xi \leq C \int_{S_0(t)} d\xi \int_0^t \int_B \|\psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot qg)|^2\|_{L^\infty} dq ds' \\ \leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds' \\ \leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Combining the estimates for (5.12), we conclude that

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{S_0(t)} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C \ln^{-1}(e+t).$$

According to (5.7) and (5.17), we deduce that

$$(5.18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C C_d \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \ln^{-1}(e+t).$$

By performing a routine procedure, one can arrive at

$$(5.19) \quad \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \ln^{-1}(e+t).$$

Using the initial decay (5.19), we improve the time decay rate in L^2 by using bootstrap argument.

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 ds d\xi &\leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^4 ds' \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \ln^{-2}(e+s') ds' \\ &\leq C \ln^{-3}(e+t). \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of (5.18) implies that

$$(5.21) \quad \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \ln^{-3}(e+t).$$

Step 2 : Define $S(t) = \{\xi : |\xi|^2 \leq C_d(1+t)^{-1}\}$, which will be useful to prove polynomial decay. By Schonbek's strategy and (5.4), we infer that

$$(5.22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C_d}{1+t} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{C_d}{1+t} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + C e^{-2at}.$$

By performing a routine procedure as (5.12), one can arrive at

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi &\leq \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi + C \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 ds' d\xi \\ &+ \lambda \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 dq ds' d\xi + \lambda \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot qg)|^2 dq ds' d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Under the additional assumption in Theorem 1.6, we deduce that

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 d\xi &\leq \int_{S(t)} d\xi \cdot \left\| |\hat{u}_0|^2 + \lambda \|\hat{g}_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 \right\|_{L^\infty(S(t))} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2 + \lambda \|g_0\|_{L^1(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t |\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)|^2 ds d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^4 ds'.$$

Using $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and (3.1), we have

$$(5.26) \quad \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(u \cdot \nabla g)|^2 dq ds' d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds'$$

$$\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$(5.27) \quad \int_{S(t)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_\infty |\mathcal{F}(\nabla u \cdot qg)|^2 dq ds' d\xi \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\langle q \rangle g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{L}^2)}^2 ds' \\ \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Combining the estimates for (5.23), we conclude that

$$(5.28) \quad \int_{S(t)} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C \left((1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} + (1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^4 ds' \right).$$

According to (5.22) and (5.28), we deduce that

$$(5.29) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C_d}{1+t} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CC_d(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} \left(1 + \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^2}^4 ds' \right).$$

Multiplying $(1+t)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$ to (5.29) and integrating over $[0, t]$, one can arrive at

$$(5.30) \quad (1+t)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Ct + C \int_0^t \int_0^{s'} \|u\|_{L^2}^4 ds'' ds'.$$

Define $M(t) = \sup_{s' \in [0, t]} (1+s')^{\frac{d}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2(s')$. Using (5.21) and (5.30), we deduce that

$$(5.31) \quad M(t) \leq C + \int_0^t M(s')(1+s')^{-\frac{d}{2}} \ln^{-3}(e+s') ds'.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, then we get $M(t) \leq C$ for any $t > 0$, which implies that

$$(5.32) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}.$$

Step 3 : We end up with establishing the lower bound of L^2 decay rate. Taking Leray projector \mathbb{P} and Fourier transformation with respect to x in system (1.3)₁, we infer that

$$(5.33) \quad \hat{u}_t + |\xi|^2 \hat{u} = i\xi \widehat{\mathbb{P}\tau} - \mathbb{P}(\widehat{u \cdot \nabla u}).$$

Integrating over $[0, t]$ with respect to s , one can arrive at

$$(5.34) \quad \hat{u} = e^{-|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-|\xi|^2(t-s)} i\xi [\widehat{\mathbb{P}\tau} - \mathbb{P}(\widehat{u \otimes u})] ds.$$

Under conditions of Theorem 1.2 that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0 dx \neq 0$, we can choose a ball B containing the origin such that $\inf_{\xi \in B} \hat{u}_0 \geq c_0$ for some positive constant c_0 . Denote that $d_0 = cc_0^2$ for some c small enough.

According to Minkowski inequality, we deduce that

$$(5.35) \quad \left(\int_B |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \left(\int_B e^{-|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \int_0^t \|e^{-|\xi|^2(t-s)} i\xi [\widehat{\mathbb{P}\tau} - \mathbb{P}(\widehat{u \otimes u})]\|_{L^2(B)} ds \\ \geq \left(\int_B e^{-|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \int_0^t \|e^{-|\xi|^2(t-s)} i\xi\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}} \|\widehat{\mathbb{P}\tau} - \mathbb{P}(\widehat{u \otimes u})\|_{L^d} ds$$

$$\geq d_0(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}} - \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \left(\|\tau\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} + \|u \otimes u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} \right) ds.$$

Denote that

$$E^{\alpha,\beta} = \|(u_0, \|g_0\|_{L^2})\|_{L^1 \cap L^2}^\alpha \|(u_0, \|g_0\|_{L^2})\|_{L^2}^\beta,$$

and

$$B_d = \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \left(\|\tau\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} + \|u \otimes u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} \right) ds.$$

Under conditions of Theorem 1.6, we can take $\|(u_0, \|g_0\|_{L^2})\|_{L^2}$ small enough such that

$$E^{0,1} + E^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}} + E^{1,1} + E^{\frac{11}{6},\frac{1}{6}} \leq \frac{d_0}{2C}.$$

For $d = 3$, we infer from (5.32), Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.36) \quad B_3 &\leq \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\|\tau\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \right) ds \\ &\leq CE^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + CE^{1,1} \left[\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{d_0}{2} (1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the critical case $d = 2$, we need more integrability in time for $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$. Let's recall the L^2 energy estimate as follows,

$$(5.37) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Multiplying $(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to (5.37), we obtain

$$(5.38) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

Integrating over $[0, t]$ with respect to s , we infer from (5.4) and (5.32) that

$$(5.39) \quad (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t (1+s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq CE^{2,0}.$$

For $d = 2$, applying Lemma 2.5, (5.32) and (5.39), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.40) \quad B_2 &\leq \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|\tau\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}) ds \\ &\leq CE^{0,1} \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-cs} ds + CE^{\frac{11}{6},\frac{1}{6}} \left[\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-1} (1+t)^{-\frac{4}{3}} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{d_0}{2} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (5.35), (5.36) and (5.40), we conclude that

$$(5.41) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \geq \left(\int_B |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{d_0}{2} (1+t)^{-\frac{d}{4}},$$

which implies that the decay rate we obtain is optimal. \square

Acknowledgments This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12171493), the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (No. 0091/2018/A3), and Guangdong Province of China Special Support Program (No. 8-2015).

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] R. B. Bird, R. C. Armstrong, and O. Hassager. *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids*, volume 1. Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [3] J. Y. Chemin and N. Masmoudi. About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(1):84–112, 2001.
- [4] R. Coifman, P. L. Lions, Y. Meyer, and S. Semmes. Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 72(3):247–286, 1993.
- [5] W. Deng, Z. Luo, and Z. Yin. Global solutions and large time behavior for some Oldroyd-B type models in \mathbb{R}^2 . *ArXiv.2107.12029*.
- [6] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards. *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1988.
- [7] R. Duan, S. Ukai, T. Yang, and H. Zhao. Optimal convergence rates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential forces. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 17(5):737–758, 2007.
- [8] T. M. Elgindi and R. Frederic. Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(11), 2015.
- [9] T. M. Elgindi and J. Liu. Global wellposedness to the generalized Oldroyd type models in R^3 . *J. Differential Equations*, 259(5):1958–1966, 2015.
- [10] E. Feireisl. *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids*, volume 26 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [11] Y. Giga and A. Novotný, editors. *Handbook of mathematical analysis in mechanics of viscous fluids*. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [12] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier analysis*, volume 250 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
- [13] L. He and P. Zhang. L^2 decay of solutions to a micro-macro model for polymeric fluids near equilibrium. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 40(5):1905–1922, 2009.
- [14] N. Jiang, Y. Liu, and T.-F. Zhang. Global classical solutions to a compressible model for micro-macro polymeric fluids near equilibrium. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(4):4149–4179, 2018.
- [15] B. Jourdain, T. Lelièvre, and C. Le Bris. Existence of solution for a micro-macro model of polymeric fluid: the FENE model. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 209(1):162–193, 2004.
- [16] Z. Lei, N. Masmoudi, and Y. Zhou. Remarks on the blowup criteria for Oldroyd models. *J. Differential Equations*, 248(2):328–341, 2010.

-
- [17] J. Leray. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.*, 63(1):193–248, 1934.
 - [18] P. L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1*, volume 3 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996. Incompressible models, Oxford Science Publications.
 - [19] P. L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2*, volume 10 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Compressible models, Oxford Science Publications.
 - [20] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2*, volume 10 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Compressible models, Oxford Science Publications.
 - [21] P. L. Lions and N. Masmoudi. Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 21(2):131–146, 2000.
 - [22] W. Luo and Z. Yin. The Liouville theorem and the L^2 decay for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(1):209–231, 2017.
 - [23] W. Luo and Z. Yin. The L^2 decay for the 2D co-rotation FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Adv. Math.*, 343:522–537, 2019.
 - [24] N. Masmoudi. Well-posedness for the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(12):1685–1714, 2008.
 - [25] N. Masmoudi. Global existence of weak solutions to macroscopic models of polymeric flows. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 96(5):502–520, 2011.
 - [26] N. Masmoudi. Global existence of weak solutions to the FENE dumbbell model of polymeric flows. *Invent. Math.*, 191(2):427–500, 2013.
 - [27] N. Masmoudi, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. Global well-posedness for 2D polymeric fluid models and growth estimate. *Phys. D*, 237(10-12):1663–1675, 2008.
 - [28] L. Nirenberg. On elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 13(2):115–162, 1959.
 - [29] M. Renardy. An existence theorem for model equations resulting from kinetic theories of polymer solutions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 22(2):313–327, 1991.
 - [30] M. E. Schonbek. L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 88(3):209–222, 1985.
 - [31] M. E. Schonbek. Lower bounds of rates of decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(3):423–449, 1991.
 - [32] M. E. Schonbek. Existence and decay of polymeric flows. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 41(2):564–587, 2009.