

Perturbative approach to $f(R)$ -gravitation in FLRW cosmology

Pham Van Ky^{1,2}, Nguyen Thi Hong Van¹, and Nguyen Anh Ky¹

¹ Mathematical-, high energy- and astro-physics group,
Institute of physics,
Vietnam academy of science and technology (VAST),
10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Viet Nam.

² Graduate university of science and technology, VAST,
18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Viet Nam.

E-mail: pvky AT iop.vast.vn; nhvan AT iop.vast.vn; anhky AT iop.vast.vn

Abstract

We look for a perturbative solution of the Universe in the $f(R)$ theory of gravitation developed perturbatively around the general theory of relativity (with the cosmological constant included). As a result, we obtain a general explicit solution that can be used for any model with an arbitrary (but well-defined) $f(R)$ function (just satisfying given perturbation conditions). This perturbative solution shows how the Hubble parameter $H(t)$ depends on time (as well as on the cosmological constant and the matter density) to adapt the evolution of the Universe. As an illustration, applying this approach to the model with $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$ we see that it can describe three consecutive epochs of the Universe evolution. This model at first glance looks similar to the Starobinsky model but does not completely coincide with the latter due to the presence of the cosmological constant Λ and the difference in the size and the nature of the coefficients of their R^2 term. Despite the fact that the perturbation is applied for a flat FLRW metric indicates that the obtained solution can mainly describe the evolution of the late Universe, it may also fit an early Universe. Hopefully, the present method when applied to the case with a more general FLRW metric can describe more precisely different stages of the evolution of the Universe.

1 Introduction

Published by A. Einstein in 1915, the general theory of relativity (GR) [1, 2] has become one of the pillars of the modern physics and is very well tested both theoretically and experimentally. It can explain or predict different astrophysical and cosmological phenomena, such as those in the radiation-dominated and matter-dominated eras of the Universe (see, for example, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], for some recent or new results supporting the GR) but it fails to explain other phenomena, mainly in the acceleration periods of the Universe. The GR is still facing difficulties in describing the issues such as quantum gravity [8, 10], the cosmic inflation [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], the origin of dark matter [15] (see also, for example, [16] in a particle physics aspect), the accelerated expansion of the Universe (called otherwise the dark energy problem) [17, 18, 19], etc. These problems call for an extension or modification of the GR but so far there has been no satisfactory theory suggested. To name a few famous theories extending the GR. The string theory (for a review, see, for instance, [20]) expected as a "theory of everything" is a very complicated ultra-high energy theory and weakly developed in the phenomenological aspect, therefore, it is

very difficult for an experimental test. The similar situation is with a more special theory - supergravity [21, 22]. However, these theories are based on the concept of supersymmetry [23] of which the LHC has not found any sign. In general, to explain the formation and the evolution of the Universe is always a challenge in physics. One of the first attempts was made by A. Einstein not long after the birth of the GR.

Einstein modified his original GR by adding a term called the cosmological constant to the Lagrangian of the Einstein-Hilbert action. By introducing the cosmological constant, Einstein tried to "keep" the Universe static as it could have been generally imagined in his epoch. Later, Einstein, however, blamed himself that the introduction of the cosmological constant was a blunder after knowing the theoretical works by A. Friedmann [24] and G. Lemaître [25] and the observation by E. Hubble [26] proving the expansion of the Universe. According to these works the Universe is not static at all but dynamical, more precisely, as stated by Hubble's law, different parts of the Universe, e.g. galaxies, are receding from each other at a speed proportional to their relative distance. Moreover, today we know that the Universe is not only expanding [26] but is expanding at an increasing rate [17, 18, 19]. Despite this fact, the idea of the cosmological constant may not be completely useless. It has been expected to help us in solving the dark energy problem by treating the cosmological constant proportional (or equivalent) to the dark energy- or vacuum energy density (corresponding to an equation of state with negative pressure) as we can see by examining Einstein's equation with cosmological constant directly. However, another difficulty arising here is this treatment gives rise to the so-called "*cosmological constant problem*" or "*vacuum catastrophe*": there is a huge discrepancy, of many orders of magnitude, between the observed value of the vacuum energy density (generated by the cosmological constant) and that estimated by quantum field theory [27, 28, 29]. It is the ever known biggest discrepancy between theory and experiment/observation in physics. The next problem is, with the cosmological constant in the Einstein equation the Hubble parameter H is also a constant (independent of time) and therefore, the GR does not accurately describe the evolution of H over time (very slowly but H changes over time). Adding the cosmological constant is just the first modification of the GR. There have been so far many other attempts to extend or modify the GR in order to explain phenomena beyond the GR. The so-called $f(R)$ theory of gravitation [30, 32, 33, 31, 32, 33], or just the $f(R)$ theory or $f(R)$ -gravitation, for short, is one of the simplest modified theories of the GR, suggested to solve the problems emerging from the very geometry of the space-time.

Nowadays, the $f(R)$ theory is becoming a hot topical issue and attracting much interest of a number of astrophysicists and cosmologists (see, for instance, [10, 14, 30, 31, 32, 33] and references therein). The $f(R)$ theory, via a transformation to an alternative form - the scalar-tensor theory [30, 32] (in particular, the Jordan-Brans-Dicke theory [1]), can make communication between particle physics and cosmology. In this framework the scalar fields may play a crucial role and they, e.g., the inflatons¹ could be sometimes [12] treated as the Higgs bosons [35] believed now to be discovered ten years ago by the LHC collaborations ATLAS and CMS [36, 37] (see also [38] for a review on the introduction, the search and the discovery of the Higgs boson). This conforms the fact that different cosmological problems, such as those of cosmic inflation, dark matter, dark energy, etc., can be investigated in the viewpoints of both geometry and particle physics compatible with each other. Instead of the particle physics approach [10, 30], here, within the $f(R)$ theory, we will follow the geometrical path to study some aspects of the evolution of the Universe.

Various models based on the $f(R)$ theory have been suggested. Two of popular versions of the theory are, for example, the model $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ (with α being a coefficient independent of R and other curvature values) used to explain the accelerated expansion of the Universe during the inflationary era [30, 39], and the model $f(R) = R + \beta/R$ (with the coefficient β independent of R and other curvature values) used to explain the accelerated expansion of the Universe in the late epochs including the

¹This idea of Alan Guth, however, was later rejected by himself [13] but still used elsewhere [34]

present one (the dark energy problem) [30], etc. Each $f(R)$ model can explain some phenomena in some period of the Universe evolution, but none of them is perfect and powerful enough to describe different phenomena beyond the GR in different cosmic times. Regardless any model is considered, to solve the corresponding (extended) Einstein equation occupies a central position.

In general, to find a solution, especially, an exact one, of an $f(R)$ -theory is very hard, even, sometimes, impossible. To simplify the situation, we can use a perturbation method and impose some reasonable condition such as the spherical symmetry which is a good approximation in many cases including that of a homogeneous and isotropic Universe following the cosmological principles. Perturbative solutions of the $f(R)$ theory in a central field of a distinct gravitational source (such as a star, a black hole, etc.) were studied recently in Refs. [40, 41, 42]. The latter, however, have not yet been applied to the Universe as a whole. In this article, we go ahead to look for an approximate solution of a general $f(R)$ theory (i.e., with arbitrary, but well-defined, $f(R)$ functions) applied to a homogeneous and isotropic Universe, adopting, thus, the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric [43]. We make perturbation around the GR theory, which means that the $f(R)$ theories used are slightly different from the GR (as the GR has been very precisely tested, it is assumed that any deviation from this theory should be small). As a result, we obtain an explicit perturbative solution for an FLRW Universe. This perturbative solution improves the GR in the sense that the Hubble parameter H is not a constant at all but depends on time to accommodate the evolution of the Universe. As the observed Universe is almost flat [44], it is reasonable to work here, in a perturbation approach, for the late Universe (at least), with a flat FLRW metric. At the meantime, we are working on the early Universe where the flat metric might not be a good approximation but the same approach could be applied to a general (non-flat) FLRW metric to describe an early Universe.

In this article the following conventions are used:

- Signature of the Minkowski metric: $(+, -, -, -)$, that is, the infinitesimal distance is given as

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv dx^{0^2} - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2, \text{ with } x^0 = ct.$$

- Riemannian curvature tensor:

$$R^\alpha_{\mu\beta\nu} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta}}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\beta} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\sigma\nu} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\beta} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\sigma\beta} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu}.$$

- Ricci tensor: $R_{\mu\nu} = R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\nu}$.
- Scalar curvature: $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$, $g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}(x)$.
- Energy-momentum tensor of a macroscopic object:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{c^2}(\varepsilon + P)u_\mu u_\nu - P g_{\mu\nu},$$

where $u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = c \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}$, while ε and P are the energy density and the pressure, respectively.

2 Perturbative solutions of $f(R)$ -theory of gravitation and FLRW cosmology

The Einstein equation of the GR (or the GR equation for short) with the cosmological constant Λ included can be derived from the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda = R - 2\Lambda$. This (the GR with the cosmological constant) is the theory around which our perturbative $f(R)$ -theory will be developed. The $f(R)$ -theory is a more-general theory with the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_G = f(R)$, where $f(R)$ is a scalar function of the scalar curvature R , leading to the following equation generalizing the Einstein equation [30, 32, 33]:

$$f'(R)R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\square f'(R) + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f'(R) - \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} = -kT_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where $k = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$, $\square = \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu$ with ∇_μ the covariant derivative, and $f'(R) = \frac{d}{dR}f(R)$. This theory in an appropriate condition, as shown below, can describe the evolution of the Universe in different stages. To this end we will work with those $f(R) \equiv \mathcal{L}_G$ which can be developed perturbatively around \mathcal{L}_Λ . Since our Universe in large scale is homogeneous, isotropic and nearly flat, it makes sense to choose its geometry based on a flat (or almost flat) FLRW metric.

Using the flat FLRW metric (here the unit in which $c = 1$ is used) [1, 30]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (2)$$

we find the following non-zero Ricci tensor elements [1]

$$R_{00} = 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}, \quad (3)$$

$$R_{ij} = \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right) g_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Combining Eqs (2) – (4) we obtain

$$R^0_0 = 3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}, \quad (5)$$

$$R^i_j = \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right) \delta^i_j, \quad (6)$$

therefore,

$$R = 6\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

With denoting

$$T^0_0 = \rho, \quad (8)$$

$$T^1_1 = T^2_2 = T^3_3 = -P. \quad (9)$$

and using (2), the equation (1) leads to two independent equations of the Universe

$$3f'(R)\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}f'(R) - \frac{1}{2}f(R) = -k\rho, \quad (10)$$

$$f'(R)\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right) - 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}f'(R) - \ddot{f}(R) - \frac{1}{2}f(R) = kP, \quad (11)$$

where $\dot{f}(R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f'(R)$ and $\ddot{f}(R) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}f'(R)$. Next, for later use in our perturbation approach we write $f(R)$ in the form

$$f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda h(R), \quad (12)$$

with Λ and λ being constants, and $h(R)$ being a scalar function of R such that $|\lambda h(R)| \ll |R - 2\Lambda|$. Thus, taking (7) and (12) into account we rewrite (10) and (11) in the following way

$$3\lambda h'(R)\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - 3\lambda\frac{\dot{a}}{a}h'(R) + \Lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2}h(R) = -k\rho, \quad (13)$$

and

$$[-2 + \lambda h'(R)]\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + [-1 + 2\lambda h'(R)]\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - 2\lambda\frac{\dot{a}}{a}h'(R) - \lambda\ddot{h}(R) + \Lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2}h(R) = kP, \quad (14)$$

respectively, and then, combining the latter equations (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &6[1 - \lambda^2 h'^2(R)]\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 3\lambda\dot{h}(R)[2 + \lambda h'(R)]\frac{\dot{a}}{a} - 2\Lambda[1 + \lambda h'(R)] \\ &+ 3\lambda^2\ddot{h}(R)h'(R) + \lambda h(R)[1 + \lambda h'(R)] - k\rho[2 - \lambda h'(R)] + 3\lambda k h'(R)P = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For the GR (when $\lambda = 0$) these equations are reduced to

$$R^\mu_\nu - \frac{1}{2}R\delta^\mu_\nu + \Lambda\delta^\mu_\nu = -kT^\mu_\nu, \quad (16)$$

$$R_{GR} = 4\Lambda + kT = 4\Lambda + k(\rho - 3P) = \bar{\Lambda}, \quad (17)$$

$$H_{GR} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3}}, \quad (18)$$

where the notations $T = T^\mu_\mu$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = 4\Lambda + k(\rho - 3P)$ are used.

Now, suppose that in the formula (12) we consider the term $\lambda h(R)$ as a perturbation term about the GR (when $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda$). Thus in the equations (15) we can treat the terms containing λ as perturbation terms about the GR equations. We solve the equation (15) by a perturbation method as follows: substituting the solutions of the GR equations (17) and (18) into the perturbative terms of (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - 6\lambda^2 h'^2(\bar{\Lambda}) \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3} \right) + 3\lambda \dot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) [2 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3}} - 2\Lambda [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] \\ & + 3\lambda^2 \ddot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) h'(\bar{\Lambda}) + \lambda h(\bar{\Lambda}) [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] - k\rho [2 - \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] + 3\lambda k h'(\bar{\Lambda}) P = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

That is, we solve Eq. (15) at its first order of perturbation (19). From (19) we can immediately obtain the Hubble parameter $H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(t) &= \frac{\Lambda}{3} [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] + \frac{1}{6} k\rho [2 - \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] + \lambda^2 h'^2(\bar{\Lambda}) \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \dot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) [2 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3}} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \ddot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) h'(\bar{\Lambda}) \\ & - \frac{1}{6} \lambda h(\bar{\Lambda}) [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] - \frac{1}{2} \lambda k h'(\bar{\Lambda}) P. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Note that from the equation of state $P = \omega\rho$ for matter ($\omega = 0$) we have $P = 0$, formula (20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(t) &= \frac{\Lambda}{3} [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] + \frac{1}{6} k\rho [2 - \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] + \lambda^2 h'^2(\bar{\Lambda}) \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \dot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) [2 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})] \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{k\rho}{3}} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \ddot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) h'(\bar{\Lambda}) - \frac{1}{6} \lambda h(\bar{\Lambda}) [1 + \lambda h'(\bar{\Lambda})], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}$ now takes the value

$$\bar{\Lambda} = 4\Lambda + k\rho, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\dot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) = \dot{\bar{\Lambda}} h''(\bar{\Lambda}), \quad (23)$$

$$\ddot{h}'(\bar{\Lambda}) = \dot{\bar{\Lambda}}^2 h'''(\bar{\Lambda}) + \ddot{\bar{\Lambda}} h''(\bar{\Lambda}). \quad (24)$$

It is easily to find

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp \left[\int_{t_0}^t H(t) dt \right], \quad (25)$$

with the constant $a_0 = a(t_0)$ and $H(t)$ given in (21). Along with (19), combining (13) and (14) we also have the equation

$$[6 + 3\lambda h'(R)] \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - [3 + 6\lambda h'(R)] \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 3\lambda \dot{h}'(R) - \Lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} h(R) + 2k\rho + 3kP = 0 \quad (26)$$

which will be used later on. The perturbative solution (25) with $H(t)$ given in (21) can be applied to any model of the $f(R)$ -theory satisfying perturbation conditions (see [40] and below). Now let us do more detailed calculations for some models of the $f(R)$ -theory.

3 Applications to some models

3.1 Model I: $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$

This model resembles the Starobinsky model [39] (but does not coincide with the latter corresponding to the Lagrangian $f(R)_{Starobinsky} = R + R^2/6M^2$). Here $h(R) = R^2$, $h'(R) = 2R$ and $h''(R) = 2$, thus, the formula (21) now takes the form

$$H^2(t) = \frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3} - \frac{\lambda}{3} [4\Lambda + k\rho(t)] \left[16\lambda\Lambda^2 + 8\lambda\Lambda k\rho(t) + \frac{3}{2}k\rho(t) + \lambda k^2\rho^2(t) + 6\lambda k\ddot{\rho}(t) \right] + \frac{4\lambda^2}{3} [\Lambda + k\rho(t)] [16\Lambda^2 + 8\lambda k\rho(t) + k^2\rho^2(t)] - \lambda k\dot{\rho}(t) [2 + 8\lambda\Lambda + 2\lambda k\rho(t)] \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3}}. \quad (27)$$

At $\lambda = 0$, we obtain the GR value, namely,

$$H_{GR}^2 = \frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3}, \quad (28)$$

which is the first term of (27), while the rest terms are perturbative ones. Since $\rho(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$H(\infty) = H_{GR}(\infty) = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}. \quad (29)$$

Up to the first order of λ , the equation (27) has a relatively simple form

$$H^2(t) = \frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3} - \frac{\lambda k\rho(t)}{2} [4\Lambda + k\rho(t)] - 2\lambda k\dot{\rho}(t) \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3}}. \quad (30)$$

Let us now derive an approximate form of function $\dot{\rho}(t)$ in (30). From the formula (1), we have the equation

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu = 0, \quad (31)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + P) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Taking $H \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}{3}}$ (and the equation of state $P = \omega\rho$ for matter $\omega = 0$) into account we get

$$\dot{\rho}(t) \simeq -\sqrt{3}\rho(t)\sqrt{\Lambda + k\rho(t)}, \quad (33)$$

or

$$\ddot{\rho}(t) = \frac{3\rho(t) [2\Lambda + 3k\rho(t)]}{2}. \quad (34)$$

Inserting (33) in (30) we get (with the constant c put back),

$$H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4k\rho(t) + \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6k^2\rho^2(t)}, \quad (35)$$

where $H(t)$ is the Hubble parameter at time t . This time dependence $H(t)$ is depicted via $H(\rho)$ in Fig. 1. Solving the equation (35) for $a(t)$ we easily find

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4k\rho(t) + \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6k^2\rho^2(t)} dt \right), \quad (36)$$

where $a_0 = a(t_0)$. We note that according to (12) (with (17) taken into account) the perturbation condition is

$$|\lambda h(R)| \ll 2\Lambda, \quad (37)$$

which, for the model $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$ with $h(R) = R^2$, becomes

$$|\lambda| \ll \frac{1}{8\Lambda}. \quad (38)$$




Figure 1: Hubble parameter H as a function of ρ between the time t_1 and a very far future according to Eq. (35) in the Model-I. The point $(\rho(t_2), H(t_2))$ is at the moment t_2 when the Universe's expansion starts to accelerate. The point $\rho = 0$ is a limit which might never be reachable. The time direction is from right to left.

As $\Lambda \sim 10^{-52}m^{-2}$ [45] the perturbation upper bound of λ is very large, $\lambda \ll 10^{51}m^2$. With (34) and (35) the equation (26) gets the form

$$[1 + 4\lambda\Lambda + \lambda c^2 k \rho(t)] \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{c^2 \Lambda}{3} - \frac{1 + 2\lambda\Lambda}{6} c^4 k \rho(t) - \frac{19}{6} \lambda c^6 k^2 \rho^2(t) + \frac{4c^2 \lambda \Lambda^2}{3}, \quad (39)$$

This equation depicted in Fig. 2 shows how the Universe is expanding acceleratedly (as from the moment when the expansion acceleration becomes positive). From here we find the following condition for the




Figure 2: Formula (39) shows how the Universe is acceleratedly expanding in the Model-I. The point $(\rho(t_2), 0)$ is at the moment t_2 when the acceleration of the Universe's expansion changes the sign. The point $\rho = 0$ is a limit which might be never reached. The time direction is from right to left.

accelerated expansion of the Universe (for $\ddot{a} > 0$):

$$c^2 k \rho(t) < \frac{\sqrt{612\lambda^2 \Lambda^2 + 156\lambda\Lambda + 1} - 1 - 2\lambda\Lambda}{38\lambda}. \quad (40)$$

If $\lambda\Lambda \ll 1$, thus $\sqrt{1 + 156\lambda\Lambda} \approx 1 + 78\lambda\Lambda$, the condition (40) becomes

$$c^2 k \rho(t) < 2\Lambda, \quad (41)$$

consistently with the GR.

To finish this subsection, let us make some comments on (35). In a late era, such as the today era, when the density ρ is very small the third term is dominated by the second term, while in an earlier era, such as the inflationary era, the third term dominates, therefore,

$$H_{early}(t) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6k^2\rho^2(t)}, \quad (42)$$

thus,

$$a_{early}(t) = a_0 \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6k^2\rho^2(t)} dt\right). \quad (43)$$

Further discussions will be made in the next session.

3.2 Model II: $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2 + \frac{\gamma}{R}$

For a late era of the Universe, λR^2 is small in comparison with $\frac{\gamma}{R}$ we have

$$f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \frac{\gamma}{R} \quad (44)$$

with $h(R) = \frac{1}{R}$. Using (33), (34), (22) and the approximations

$$\bar{\Lambda} \simeq 4\Lambda + c^2k\rho(t), \quad (45)$$

$$\bar{\Lambda}^2 \simeq 16\Lambda^2 + 8\Lambda c^2k\rho(t), \quad (46)$$

we get the solution (21) in the form (neglecting those terms of order γ^2)

$$H(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4k\rho(t) - \frac{c^2\gamma}{16\Lambda} + \frac{c^4\gamma k\rho(t)}{16\Lambda^2} + \frac{c^6\gamma k^2\rho^2(t)}{384\Lambda^3}}, \quad (47)$$

hence,

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp\int_{t_0}^t H(t)dt = a_0 \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4k\rho(t) - \frac{c^2\gamma}{16\Lambda} + \frac{c^4\gamma k\rho(t)}{16\Lambda^2} + \frac{c^6\gamma k^2\rho^2(t)}{384\Lambda^3}} dt\right). \quad (48)$$

As $\rho(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see (47))

$$H(\infty) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2\Lambda - \frac{c^2\gamma}{16\Lambda}}, \quad (49)$$

we see that a perturbation term $\frac{c^2\gamma}{16\Lambda}$ still contributes to the GR.

4 Numerical discussions

Let us now consider perturbation conditions numerically. In the system of units SI with $c = 299792458m/s$, $G = 6.67259 \times 10^{-11}kg^{-1}m^3s^{-2}$ and $k = 2.0761154 \times 10^{-43}kg^{-1}m^{-1}s^2$, the observed value of the Hubble parameter today $H(\tau)$ is

$$H(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{c^2\Lambda}{3}} \sim 0.18199 \times 10^{-17}s^{-1}, \quad (50)$$

following the cosmological constant determined via the data given in 2018 by the Planck collaboration [45] (see also wikipedia.org):

$$\Lambda \sim 1.1056 \times 10^{-52}m^{-2}. \quad (51)$$

From the perturbation conditions (see (12))

$$\lambda h(R) \ll 2\Lambda, \quad (52)$$

we have

$$\lambda h(R) \ll 2.21 \times 10^{-52} m^{-2}. \quad (53)$$

As an illustration, let's consider the model $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$, then the perturbation condition (52) will be $\lambda R^2 \ll 2\Lambda$, taking $R \sim 4\Lambda$ (see (17)), we get the perturbation condition for this model

$$\lambda \ll \frac{1}{8\Lambda}. \quad (54)$$

Using (51), we have

$$\lambda \ll 1.1306 \times 10^{51} m^2. \quad (55)$$

Now we do some estimate calculations on (35). Let λ take the limit value (55). Based on this value we estimate the Hubble parameter at the time, say, t_1 (counted from the Big Bang), when the perturbation condition starts to be applicable, that is,

$$\frac{1}{3}c^4 k \rho(t_1) = \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6 k^2 \rho^2(t_1), \quad (56)$$

or

$$\rho(t_1) = \frac{2}{9\lambda c^2 k} = 1.05 \times 10^{-26} kg/m^3. \quad (57)$$

Then

$$H(t_1) \sim 3.8796 \times 10^{-18} s^{-1}, \quad (58)$$

therefore,

$$t_1 \simeq \frac{1}{H(t_1)} \sim 8.17 \text{ billion years}. \quad (59)$$

The contribution of the perturbation term to the GR is quite significant at an earlier time before t_1 and negligible at a very late time.

Next, we estimate the Hubble parameter at the time t_2 when the accelerated expansion of the Universe gets start (40),

$$c^2 k \rho(t_2) = \frac{\sqrt{612\lambda^2 \Lambda^2 + 156\lambda\Lambda + 1} - 1 - 2\lambda\Lambda}{38\lambda}, \quad (60)$$

or

$$\rho(t_2) = 0.5280225 \times 10^{-26} kg/m^3. \quad (61)$$

We calculate the GR value of the Hubble parameter at that time t_2 , with $c^2 k \rho_{GR}(t_2) = 2\Lambda$ (see (41))

$$H_{GR}(t_2) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2 \Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4 k \rho_{GR}(t_2)} \sim 0.315216 \times 10^{-17} s^{-1}, \quad (62)$$

corresponding to the Hubble time

$$t_{2GR} \simeq \frac{1}{H_{GR}(t_2)} \sim 10.05 \text{ billion years}. \quad (63)$$

The Hubble parameter and time calculated according to (35) are

$$\begin{aligned} H(t_2) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}c^2 \Lambda + \frac{1}{3}c^4 k \rho(t_2) + \frac{3\lambda}{2}c^6 k^2 \rho^2(t_2)} \\ &\sim 0.27827 \times 10^{-17} s^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

and

$$t_2 \simeq \frac{1}{H(t_2)} \sim 11.39 \text{ billion years}, \quad (65)$$

respectively (cf. Figs. 1 and 2). The accelerated expansion of the Universe begins about 10.05 billion years after the Big Bang (according to the GR) or about 11.39 billion years after the Big Bang (according to the model-I, $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$). The effect of the $f(R)$ theory is noticeable. The perturbation condition became applicable to the Model-I about 8.17 billion years after the Big Bang (i.e., about 5.6

billion years ago).

To finish we do a comparison of the perturbative solution of Model-I above with the solution of the Starobinsky model $f(R)_{Starobinsky} = R + \frac{1}{6M^2}R^2$, with a constant M [30, 39]. Let us note again that the Model-I has a similar but not the same form with the Starobinsky model as the Lagrangian $f(R)_{Starobinsky}$ does not have $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda = R - 2\Lambda$ as a perturbative limit, unlike the Lagrangian $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$ of the Model-I perturbatively developed around \mathcal{L}_Λ . We see first that when considering the Universe in the late epoch (including the present time), as the term R^2 is too small (because R is small), the Starobinsky model gives almost no contribution to the Universe evolution in the present epoch, while the perturbative solution in the model-I gives a significant contribution for an appropriate value of λ . Therefore, the Starobinsky model, unlike the model-I, cannot describe well the late Universe but the early one. More precisely, the Starobinsky model contributes only during the era of the inflationary Universe, when R , that is, R^2 was very big. In the standard inflation model there must be $\dot{H} < 0$, otherwise, the Universe could be hyperinflationary [30]. The Starobinsky model is consistent with the standard inflation condition for $\dot{H} \propto \frac{-M^2}{6}$ [30]. However, in the Starobinsky model the matter term (e.g., $\rho(t)$) has no contribution to the inflation rate. The perturbative solution (42) of the model-I, when applied to the inflationary Universe, despite that the flat FLRW metric is used, as $\dot{H} < 0$ due to $\dot{\rho}(t) < 0$, is consistent with the standard inflation, moreover, the matter term $\rho(t)$ also contributes to the inflation process of the Universe. In other words, the model-I under the current perturbation approach, is more "flexible" than the Starobinsky model in accommodating different periods of the Universe's evolution.

5 Conclusions

A general perturbative solution of the $f(R)$ theory in the FLRW cosmology is (25) with $H(t)$ given in (21). This solution describes an acceleratedly expanding Universe ($\dot{a} > 0$ and $\ddot{a} > 0$). For the model $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$ the solution becomes (35) and (36) showing that this model can describe three consecutive eras of the Universe, while for the model $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \frac{\gamma}{R}$ the solution is (47) and (48). We see that the Hubble parameter H depends on time (while in the GR theory it is a constant). These perturbative solutions improve the GR solution in the sense that they show the evolution of H over time. We see that when $t \rightarrow \infty$, the Hubble parameter of the $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \lambda R^2$ model will approach that for the GR theory (with $H = \sqrt{\frac{c^2\Lambda}{3}}$), but in the $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda + \frac{\gamma}{R}$ model it will not.

We would like to stress that it is reasonable to work in the perturbative approach to the $f(R)$ theory, that means, we work with only those $f(R)$ satisfying the perturbation condition which could be present in some periods of the Universe evolution and have shown that a perturbative $f(R)$ -theory could be a good theory of the Universe evolution in different stages.

We could consider applying the present work to an arbitrary (non flat in general) FLRW metric and the problem would become more complicated but we hope the method would work.

Acknowledgement

This work is funded by the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOS-TED) of Vietnam under Grant No. 103.99-2020.50.

References

- [1] S. Weinberg “*Gravitation and cosmology: Principles and applications of the general theory of relativity*”, John Wiley & Son, New York, 1972.
- [2] L. D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, “*The classical theory of fields*”, vol. 2, Elsevier, Oxford, 1994.
- [3] R. Penrose, “*Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities*” Phys. Rev. Lett. **14**, 57-59 (1965)
- [4] S. Gillessen, F. Eisenhauer, S. Trippe, T. Alexander, R. Genzel, F. Martins and T. Ott, “*Monitoring stellar orbits around the massive black hole in the Galactic center*”, Astrophys. J. **692**, 1075-1109 (2009); [arXiv:0810.4674 [astro-ph]].
- [5] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], “*GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) no.16, 161101; [arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc]].
- [6] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], “*Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no.6, 061102 (2016); [arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc]].
- [7] M. Kramer, I. H. Stairs, R. N. Manchester, N. Wex, A. T. Deller, W. A. Coles, M. Ali, M. Burgay, F. Camilo and I. Cognard, *et al.* “*Strong-field gravity tests with the double pulsar*”, Phys. Rev. X **11**, no.4, 041050 (2021); [arXiv:2112.06795 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [8] C. Rovelli, *Quantum gravity*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [9] A. A. Starobinsky, “*Spectrum of relict gravitational radiation and the early state of the universe*”, JETP Lett. **30**, 682-685 (1979).
- [10] A. D. Linde, “*Particle physics and inflationary cosmology*”, Harwood academic publishers, Chur, Switzerland, 1990; Contemp. Concepts Phys. **5**, 1-362 (1990); [arXiv:hep-th/0503203 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, “*Cosmological inflation and large scale structure*”, Cambridge university press, Cambridge 2000 (published online 2012).
- [12] A. H. Guth, “*The Inflationary Universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems*”, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347-356 (1981).
- [13] A. H. Guth, “*The inflationary universe: The quest for a new theory of cosmic origins*”, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1997.
- [14] V. Mukhanov, “*Physical foundations of cosmology*”, Cambridge university press, Oxford, 2005.
- [15] A. Arbey and F. Mahmoudi, “*Dark matter and the early Universe: a review*”, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **119**, 103865 (2021); [arXiv:2104.11488 [hep-ph]].
- [16] M. Drewes, T. Lasserre, A. Merle, S. Mertens, R. Adhikari, M. Agostini, N. Anh Ky, T. Araki, M. Archidiacono and M. Bahr, *et al.* “*A white paper on keV sterile neutrino dark matter*”, JCAP **01**, 025 (2017); [arXiv:1602.04816 [hep-ph]].
- [17] A. G. Riess *et al.* [Supernova Search Team], “*Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant*”, Astron. J. **116**, 1009-1038 (1998); [arXiv:astro-ph/9805201 [astro-ph]].
- [18] S. Perlmutter *et al.* [Supernova Cosmology Project], “*Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high redshift supernovae*”, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565-586 (1999); [arXiv:astro-ph/9812133 [astro-ph]].
- [19] R. P. Crease, “*The discovery of dark energy: Historical reflections*” AIP Conf. Proc. **1166**, no.1, 87-94 (2009).
- [20] J. Polchinski, “*String theory*”. Vol. 1 & 2, Cambridge university press, Cambridge 2007.
- [21] P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, “*Supergravity*”, Phys. Rept. **68**, 189-398 (1981).
- [22] Y. Tanii, “*Introduction to supergravity*” (ebook: doi:10.1007/978-4-431-54828-7), published by Springer in Tokyo, Japan, 2014.

- [23] P. C. West, “*Introduction to supersymmetry and supergravity*” (2nd edition), World scientific, 1990.
- [24] A. Friedman, “*On the curvature of space*” (transltd from German) Z. Phys. **10**, 377-386 (1922)
- [25] G. Lemaitre, “*A homogeneous universe of constant mass and increasing radius accounting for the radial velocity of extra-galactic nebulae*” (transltd from French) Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **91**, 483-490 (1931)
- [26] E. Hubble, “*A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae*”, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **15**, 168-173 (1929).
- [27] J. Martin, “*Everything you always wanted to know about the cosmological constant problem (but were afraid to ask)*”, Comptes Rendus Physique **13**, 566-665 (2012); [arXiv:1205.3365 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [28] R. J. Adler, B. Casey and O. C. Jacob, “*Vacuum catastrophe: An elementary exposition of the cosmological constant problem*”, Am. J. Phys. **63**, 620-626 (1995)
- [29] S. Weinberg, “*The cosmological constant problem*”, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1-23 (1989)
- [30] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, “*f(R) theories*,” Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010); [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].
- [31] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “*Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models*”, Phys. Rept. **505**, 59-144 (2011); [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [32] Thomas P. Sotiriou and Valerio Faraoni, “*f(R) theories of gravity*,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010).
- [33] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, “*Extended theories of gravity*,” Phys. Rept. **509**, 167 (2011); [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].
- [34] F. Jegerlehner, “*Higgs inflation and the cosmological constant*”, Acta Phys. Polon. B **45**, no.6, 1215 (2014); [arXiv:1402.3738 [hep-ph]].
- [35] P. W. Higgs, “*Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons*” Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 508-509 (1964)
- [36] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], “*Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*” Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1-29 (2012) [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
- [37] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS], “*Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*” Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30-61 (2012); [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
- [38] Nguyen Anh Ky and Nguyen Thi Hong Van, “*Was the Higgs boson discovered?*” Commun. in Phys. **25**, no.1, 1-20 (2015); [arXiv:1503.08630 [hep-ph]].
- [39] A. A. Starobinsky, “*A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity*”, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99-102 (1980).
- [40] N. Anh Ky, P. V. Ky and N. T. Hong Van, “*Perturbative solutions of the f(R)-theory of gravity in a central gravitational field and some applications*,” Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, no.7, 539 (2018) [erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, no.8, 664 (2018)] [arXiv:1807.04628 [gr-qc]].
- [41] Nguyen Anh Ky, Pham Van Ky and Nguyen Thi Hong Van, “*Testing the f(R)-theory of gravity*”, Commun. Phys. **29**, no. 1, 35 (2019) [arXiv:1904.04013 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [42] P. Van Ky, N. T. Hong Van and N. Anh Ky, “*New phenomena with the f(R)-theory of gravitation in a central gravitational field*,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1506**, no.1, 012001 (2020)
- [43] S. Weinberg, “*Cosmology*”, Oxford university press, Oxford, 2008.
- [44] R. J. Adler and J. M. Overduin, “*The nearly flat universe*”, Gen. Rel. Grav. **37**, 1491-1503 (2005); [arXiv:gr-qc/0501061 [gr-qc]].
- [45] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck], “*Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*”, Astron. Astrophys. **641**, A6 (2020) [erratum: Astron. Astrophys. **652**, C4 (2021)] [arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO]].