

Commutative Banach Algebras Generated by Toeplitz Operators on the Bergman Space

Miguel Angel Rodriguez Rodriguez

June 24, 2022

Abstract

We present and study commutative Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators with generalized quasi-radial pseudo-homogeneous symbols acting on the Bergman space over the unit ball. We develop the Gelfand theory of these algebras and give some structural information about them. In particular, we provide a description of the radical of these algebras. This paper generalizes and completes the results from previous works related to Toeplitz operators with quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{B}^n denote the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and for $\lambda > -1$ consider the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$, defined as the subspace of analytic functions of $L^2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$, where

$$dv_\lambda(z) = c_\lambda(1 - |z|^2)^\lambda dv(z)$$

is a probability measure with c_λ a normalizing constant given by (1) and dv the standard Lebesgue measure. Let B_λ be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$ onto the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Given a bounded measurable function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, we define the Toeplitz operator with symbol φ by

$$T_\varphi f = B_\lambda(\varphi f), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

The study of algebras generated by Toeplitz operators have been an interesting and important part of mathematics in the recent years. Since not much

can be said about these algebras in all its generality, one usually considers Toeplitz operators whose symbols satisfy special properties.

As it turns out, the underlying geometry of \mathbb{B}^n is related to the structure of Toeplitz algebras. Indeed, as it was shown in [7], given a maximal abelian subgroup of biholomorphisms of \mathbb{B}^n , the set of all bounded measurable symbols invariant under this group gives rise to commutative C^* algebras on the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ for every weight parameter $\lambda > -1$.

Afterwards and quite unexpectedly, it was shown in [4, 5, 9–12] that some sets of symbols, subordinated to the above maximal abelian subgroups, induce Banach algebras of operators that are commutative for every weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and such that the C^* algebra generated by them is no longer commutative.

These algebras represent an interesting object of study and the main tool to obtain structural information from them is the Gelfand theory. A first step towards the understanding of these algebras was done in [1–3], where the authors studied Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators with quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols.

In this paper introduce a generalized version of these symbols and study the structure of the corresponding commutative Banach algebras by means of the Gelfand theory. In particular, we describe the radical of these algebras, a problem which had remained open in the previous works.

In Section 2 we fix some notations, introduce the spaces and objects to be used and recall some important facts from previous works. In Section 3 we consider a generalization of the quasi-homogeneous symbols introduced in [9] and describe the action of the induced Toeplitz operators. The unital Banach algebra generated by these operators is studied in Section 4.

In Section 5 we present some of the main results of this paper. We describe the maximal ideal space and the Gelfand transform of the corresponding algebra.

As an application of these results, in Section 6 we briefly present a criterion to decide whether these algebras are spectral invariant and in Section 7 we describe the radical of these algebras, generalizing and extending the results from [1–3].

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{B}^n be the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ be the classical weighted Bergman space with parameter $\lambda > -1$ defined as the space of analytic functions of $L_2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda)$, where

$$dv_\lambda(z) = c_\lambda(1 - |z|^2)^\lambda dv(z),$$

is a probability measure with dv being the usual Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{B}^n and c_λ a normalizing constant given by

$$c_\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}{\pi^n \Gamma(\lambda + 1)}. \quad (1)$$

We will denote the inner product of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)}$ or simply $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, if there is no confusion.

It is well known that $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space and that the normalized monomials $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ form an orthonormal basis, where

$$e_\alpha(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1)}{\alpha! \Gamma(n + \lambda + 1)}} z^\alpha. \quad (2)$$

We will simply write $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^n) := \mathcal{A}_0^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ for the unweighted Bergman space.

Let $B_\lambda: L_2(\mathbb{B}^n, dv_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ be the orthogonal projection called the *Bergman projection*. For a function $\varphi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, we define the *Toeplitz operator* T_φ with symbol φ by

$$T_\varphi(f) = B_\lambda(\varphi f), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

For a given integer $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we choose a tuple $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ with $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_m$ and $k_1 + \dots + k_m = n$.

We write $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^{k_m}$ and let

$$z = (z_{(1)}, \dots, z_{(m)}) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \text{with} \quad z_{(j)} = (z_{j,1}, \dots, z_{j,k_j}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k_j}.$$

In general, given any n -tuple u , we will write

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) = (u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)}),$$

with

$$u_{(j)} = (u_{j,1}, \dots, u_{j,k_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,1} &= u_1, \quad u_{1,2} = u_2, \quad \dots, \quad u_{1,k_1} = u_{k_1} \\ u_{2,1} &= u_{k_1+1}, \quad u_{2,2} = u_{k_2+2}, \quad \dots, \quad u_{2,k_2} = u_{k_1+k_2}, \quad \dots, \quad u_{m,k_m} = u_n. \end{aligned}$$

We represent each coordinate of $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ in the form

$$z_{j,l} = |z_{j,l}| t_{j,l},$$

where $t_{j,l}$ belongs to the torus $\mathbb{T} = S^1$. For each portion $z_{(j)}$ of $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ we introduce its “common” radius

$$r_j = \sqrt{|z_{j,1}|^2 + \dots + |z_{j,k_j}|^2}$$

and represent the coordinates of $z_{(j)}$ in the form

$$z_{j,l} = r_j s_{j,l} t_{j,l}, \quad \text{where } l = 1, \dots, k_j$$

and

$$s_{(j)} = (s_{j,1}, \dots, s_{j,k_j}) \in S_+^{k_j-1},$$

where $S_+^{k_j-1} = S^{k_j-1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{k_j}$. That is, $S_+^{k_j-1}$ denotes the set of elements of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{k_j} with non-negative entries.

A bounded measurable function $a = a(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$ is called *k-quasi-radial* if it depends only on r_1, \dots, r_m .

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let $c_j \in L_\infty(S_+^{k_j-1} \times \mathbb{T}^{k_j})$ be a function invariant under the action of \mathbb{T} on \mathbb{T}^{k_j} given by $g \cdot t_{(j)} = (gt_{j,1}, \dots, gt_{j,k_j})$, $g \in \mathbb{T}$, $t_{(j)} \in \mathbb{T}^{k_j}$. That is,

$$c_j(s_{(j)}, g \cdot t_{(j)}) = c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}), \quad \forall (s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}) \in S_+^{k_j-1} \times \mathbb{T}^{k_j}, g \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (3)$$

We call such functions c_j *generalized pseudo-homogeneous*. We note that this idea generalizes that of quasi-homogeneous and pseudo-homogeneous functions presented in [9] and [12], respectively.

Indeed, we obtain the pseudo-homogeneous functions by letting $c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}) = b_j(s_{(j)}) t^{p_{(j)}}$, where b_j is a bounded measurable function defined on $S_+^{k_j-1}$ and $p_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k_j}$ is such that $|p_{(j)}| = p_{j,1} + \dots + p_{j,k_j} = 0$ obtain the quasi-homogeneous functions if, in addition, we let

$$b_j(s_{(j)}) = s_{j,1}^{|p_{j,1}|} \dots s_{j,k_j}^{|p_{j,k_j}|}.$$

2.1 The algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$

We recall and reproduce some facts and definitions from [1], [2] and [9] that will be necessary.

The action of a Toeplitz operator with a k -quasi-radial symbol is given by the following lemma.

2.1 Lemma ([9, Lemma 3.1]). *Given a k -quasi-radial function $a = a(r_1, \dots, r_m)$, we have*

$$T_a z^\alpha = \gamma_{a,k,\lambda}(\alpha) z^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{a,k,\lambda}(\alpha) &= \widetilde{\gamma_{a,k,\lambda}}(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|) \\ &= \frac{2^m \Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m (k_j - 1 + |\alpha_{(j)}|)!} \int_{\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)} a(r_1, \dots, r_m) (1 - |r|^2)^\lambda \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{2|\alpha_{(j)}| + 2k_j - 1} dr_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau(\mathbb{B}^m)$ denotes the base of \mathbb{B}^m as a Reinhardt domain, that is,

$$\tau(\mathbb{B}^m) = \{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m : r_1^2 + \dots + r_m^2 \leq 1\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by all Toeplitz operators with k -quasi-radial symbols. This is an infinitely generated algebra, whose elements are diagonal operators with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ such that their eigenvalue sequences depend only on the tuples $(|\alpha_{(1)}|, \dots, |\alpha_{(m)}|)$.

By identifying each operator in $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ with its sequence of eigenvalues we may interpret this space as an algebra bounded sequences indexed by \mathbb{Z}_+^m . Moreover, in [1, 3] it was shown that $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ can be embedded into a smaller algebra of slowly oscillating (in a certain sense) sequences.

For each $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ consider the finite dimensional subspace H_κ of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ defined by

$$H_\kappa := \text{span}\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha_{(j)}| = \kappa_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (4)$$

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define the subspace of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$:

$$H_d^{(j)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_\alpha : |\alpha_{(j)}| = d\} \subset \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

The following relations hold, where all sums are orthogonal

$$H_\kappa = \bigcap_{j=1}^m H_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}, \quad H_d^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{\kappa_j=d} H_\kappa, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n) = \bigoplus_{|\kappa|=0}^\infty H_\kappa.$$

Let P_κ and $Q_d^{(j)}$ denote the orthogonal projections of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ onto H_κ and $H_d^{(j)}$, respectively. We note that

$$P_\kappa = \prod_{j=1}^m Q_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_d^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{\kappa_j=d} P_\kappa.$$

2.2 Theorem ([1–3]). *Let $\lambda > -1$. For all $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the orthogonal projections P_κ and $Q_d^{(j)}$ belong to the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$.*

Let $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty))$ be the compact set of maximal ideals of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$. We describe a stratification of $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty))$ presented in [1, 2].

Consider tuples $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ with entries 0 and 1. We write $\mathbf{1} := (1, \dots, 1) \in \{0, 1\}^m =: \Theta$, and denote by $\theta^C = \mathbf{1} - \theta$ the tuple *complementary* to θ .

Then, with $J_\theta = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : \theta_j = 1\}$, we introduce

$$\mathbb{Z}_+^\theta = \bigoplus_{j \in J_\theta} \mathbb{Z}_+(j),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+(j)$ denotes a copy of \mathbb{Z}_+ indexed by j . Thus, its elements will be tuples of the form

$$\kappa_\theta = (\kappa_{j_1}, \dots, \kappa_{j_{|\theta|}}), \quad j_p \in J_\theta.$$

For each $\theta \in \Theta$ we define a set M_θ of multiplicative functionals by

$$M_\theta = \left\{ \mu \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) : \mu(Q_d^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for all } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{ if } \theta_j = 0. \\ 1 & \text{for some } d \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{ if } \theta_j = 1. \end{cases} \right\}.$$

Thus M_θ is the set of all functionals in $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty))$ that are reached by nets $\{\kappa^\alpha\}_\alpha$ in \mathbb{Z}_+^m such that κ_j^α tends to infinity if and only if $\theta_j = 0$.

All sets M_θ are disjoint and

$$M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} M_\theta.$$

Moreover, M_1 can be identified with \mathbb{Z}_+^m in a natural way, and one can easily see that all functionals from $M_\infty := M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) \setminus M_1$ map compact operators of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ to zero.

We recall as well that for each $\mu \in M_\theta$, with $\theta \neq 1$, there is a unique tuple $\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta$ (representing the "finite coordinates" of μ) such that $\mu(Q_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}) = 1$ for all $j \in J_\theta$. This implies the following further decomposition of M_θ into disjoint sets

$$M_\theta = \bigcup_{\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta} M_\theta(\kappa_\theta),$$

where $M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) = \{\mu \in M_\theta : \mu(Q_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}) = 1 \text{ for all } j \in J_\theta\}$.

3 Generalized pseudo-homogeneous symbols

For every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$d\mu_j = (2\pi)^{-k_j} \frac{dt_{j,1}}{it_{j,1}} \times \dots \times \frac{dt_{j,k_j}}{it_{j,k_j}}$$

be the invariant measure of \mathbb{T}^{k_j} . We also denote by $d\mu$ the invariant measure of \mathbb{T}^n , which clearly satisfies

$$d\mu = d\mu_1 \times \dots \times d\mu_m.$$

3.1 Lemma. *Let c_j be a generalized pseudo-homogeneous symbol as before. If $p_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k_j}$ with $|p_{(j)}| \neq 0$ and $s_{(j)} \in S_+^{k_j-1}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{k_j}} c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}) t_{(j)}^{p_{(j)}} d\mu_j = 0.$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathbb{T}$ be arbitrary. Consider the change of variables $t'_{(j)} = g \cdot t_{(j)}$. By the invariance of c_j and of the measure we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{k_j}} c_j(s_{(j)}, t'_{(j)}) (t'_{(j)})^{p_{(j)}} d\mu_j(t'_{(j)}) = g^{|p_{(j)}|} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{k_j}} c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}) (t_{(j)})^{p_{(j)}} d\mu_j(t_{(j)}).$$

Thus either the integrals are zero or $|p_{(j)}| = 0$. □

Given $p_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k_j}$ we denote by $\widetilde{p_{(j)}}$ the element of $\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^{k_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}^{k_m}$ given by

$$\widetilde{p_{(j)}} = (0, \dots, 0, p_{(j)}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Here the tuple $p_{(j)}$ is located at the j -position.

For a positive integer p we define the set

$$\Delta_p = \{(r_1, \dots, r_p) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p : r_1 + \dots + r_p \leq 1\}.$$

Imitating the calculations from the proof of Lemma 3.2 from [12] and using the above lemma we describe the action of a Toeplitz operator with k -quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols:

3.2 Proposition. *Let a be a k -quasi-radial function and $c = \prod_{j=1}^m c_j$. Then:*

1. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\langle T_{ac}(z^\alpha), z^\beta \rangle = 0$ if $|\alpha_{(j)}| \neq |\beta_{(j)}|$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.*
2. *For every $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|p_{(j)}| = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_{ac}(z^\alpha), z^{\alpha+p} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n + |\alpha| + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(|\alpha_{(j)}| + k_j)} \\ & \times \int_{\Delta_m} a(\sqrt{r_1}, \dots, \sqrt{r_m}) \prod_{j=1}^m r_j^{|\alpha_{(j)}| + k_j - 1} (1 - (r_1 + \dots + r_m))^\lambda dr_1 \dots dr_m \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_{(j)}| + k_j)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{k_j} \Gamma(\alpha_{j,\ell} + p_{j,\ell} + 1)} \int_{\Delta_{k_j-1}} \hat{c}(s_{(j)}^{1/2}, p_{(j)}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{k_j-1} s_{j,\ell}^{\alpha_{j,\ell} + \frac{1}{2}p_{j,\ell}} \\ & \times (1 - (s_{j,1} + \dots + s_{j,k_j-1}))^{\alpha_{j,k_j} + \frac{1}{2}p_{j,k_j}} ds_{j,1} \dots ds_{j,k_j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{(j)}^{1/2} = (s_{j,1}^{1/2}, \dots, s_{j,k_j}^{1/2})$ and $\hat{c}_j(s_{(j)}, p_{(j)})$ is the $p_{(j)}$ Fourier coefficient of $c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)})$ given by

$$\hat{c}_j(s_{(j)}, p_{(j)}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{k_j}} c_j(s_{(j)}, t_{(j)}) t_{(j)}^{-p_{(j)}} d\mu_j(t_{(j)}), \quad p_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k_j}.$$

Fixing a symbol c_j and letting the other ones be the constant function 1 in the above proposition we get:

3.3 Corollary. *Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and consider the Toeplitz operator T_{c_j} , where c_j is given as before. If $|\alpha_{(j)}| \neq |\beta_{(j)}|$ or $\alpha_{(j')} \neq \beta_{(j')}$, then $\langle T_{c_j} z^\alpha, z^\beta \rangle = 0$. Otherwise, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $p_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_j}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_{c_j} z^\alpha, z^{\alpha + \tilde{p}_{(j)}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_{(j)}| + k_j)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{k_j} \Gamma(\alpha_{j,\ell} + p_{j,\ell} + 1)} \int_{\Delta_{k_j-1}} \hat{c}(s_{(j)}^{1/2}, p_{(j)}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{k_j-1} s_{j,\ell}^{\alpha_{j,\ell} + \frac{1}{2}p_{j,\ell}} \\ & \times (1 - (s_{j,1} + \dots + s_{j,k_j-1}))^{\alpha_{j,k_j} + \frac{1}{2}p_{j,k_j}} ds_{j,1} \cdots ds_{j,k_j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these expressions that for any k -quasi-radial function a , the operators T_a and T_{c_j} , $j = 1, \dots, m$ pairwise commute and

$$T_a \prod_{j=1}^m c_j = T_a \prod_{j=1}^m T_{c_j}.$$

On the other hand, using the same notation, it follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 that the operators T_{c_j} , acting on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m}^\infty H_\kappa$, can be decomposed as a direct sum

$$T_{c_j} = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} T_{c_j}|_{H_\kappa},$$

whereas the operator T_a acts as a constant multiple of the identity operator on each of these subspaces:

$$T_a = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \gamma_a(|\kappa_1|, \dots, |\kappa_m|) I|_{H_\kappa}.$$

Furthermore, we note that by (2),

$$\|z^{\alpha + \tilde{p}_{(j)}}\| / \|z^\alpha\| = \sqrt{(\alpha_{(j)} + p_{(j)})! / \alpha_{(j)}!}.$$

Thus, normalizing the monomials in Corollary 3.3, we see that the action of T_{c_j} depends only on the (j) -portion of the involved elements. That is, it does not depend on the other k_j 's nor on the weight parameter λ . This suggests us to analyze these operators as follows.

Consider the tensor product of weightless Bergman spaces $\bigotimes_{j=1}^m \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ and let

$$U: \mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$$

be the unitary operator such that

$$U(e_\alpha) = e_{\alpha_{(1)}}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_{(m)}}^{(m)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where $e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)}$ denotes the canonical $\alpha_{(j)}$ -basic monomial of $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Since the symbols c_j depend by definition only on the portion $z_{(j)}$, we can associate to them in the obvious way unique measurable functions defined on \mathbb{B}^{k_j} . To avoid notation we will write c_j for both of these functions.

By the above results and observations, we obtain

$$T_{c_j} = U^*(I \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{T}_j \otimes \dots \otimes I)U,$$

where \mathbf{T}_j denotes the Toeplitz operator with symbol c_j acting on the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$. In particular, we have

$$\text{sp}(T_{c_j}) = \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j).$$

Moreover, if for every $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we define $\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$ as the finite-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ given by

$$\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)} = \text{span}\{e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)} : |\alpha_{(j)}| = d\},$$

then we also have

$$\mathbf{T}_j = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (5)$$

Note that $U(\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}) = \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{H}_d^{(j)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_m})$ and $U(\mathbf{H}_\kappa) = \mathbf{H}_{\kappa_1}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{H}_{\kappa_m}^{(m)}$.

Let $\mathbf{d} = (\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty), c_1, \dots, c_m)$ and let $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ be the unital Banach algebra generated by the operators from $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k,qr}^\infty)$ and by T_{c_1}, \dots, T_{c_m} . By our above observations, $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is a commutative Banach algebra for every weight parameter $\lambda > -1$ and, as it was observed in the previous works regarding quasi-homogeneous and pseudo-homogeneous symbols, the corresponding C^* -algebras generated by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is in general non-commutative, in general.

4 The algebra $\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$

Note that, by (5), the operator \mathbf{T}_j decomposes into a direct sum of operators acting on finite-dimensional spaces. Therefore we can use the same arguments as in Section 3 from [8] and obtain similar conclusions as the results therein. Thus we have:

4.1 Proposition. *The point spectrum of \mathbf{T}_j is given by*

$$\mathrm{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j) = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathrm{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}).$$

Given a bounded set S in \mathbb{C}^N , we will denote its polynomially convex hull as \widehat{S} .

4.2 Proposition. *The spectrum of \mathbf{T}_j is given by*

$$\mathrm{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j) = \mathrm{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j) \cup \mathrm{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j).$$

and furthermore

$$\widehat{\mathrm{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)} = \widehat{\mathrm{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j)} \cup \widehat{\mathrm{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)}.$$

4.3 Proposition. *If ζ is an accumulation point of $\mathrm{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j)$, then $\zeta \in \mathrm{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)$.*

For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, we will assume that for every $\zeta \in \mathrm{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)$ there is a sequence of unimodular vectors $(g_{\zeta,k}^{(j)})_k$ such that $g_{\zeta,k}^{(j)} \in \mathbf{H}_k^{(j)}$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\mathbf{T}_j - \zeta I)g_{\zeta,k}^{(j)}\| = 0. \quad (6)$$

This condition holds whenever the functions c_j can be continuously extended to the closed unit ball $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{k_j}}$. We describe briefly how to see this. Note that this construction simplifies the calculations from Section 3 of [2] (for the case $m = 1$), where the reproducing kernel of a much larger space was considered.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let $K_d^{(j)}(z, w)$ denote the reproducing kernel of $\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$. By the multinomial theorem we have

$$K_d^{(j)}(z, w) = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)}(z) \overline{e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)}(w)} = \frac{(k_j + d)!}{k_j! d!} \langle z, w \rangle^d, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^{k_j},$$

and thus $\|K_d^{(j)}(\cdot, w)\|^2 = \frac{(k_j+d)!}{k_j!d!}|w|^{2d}$. We denote the corresponding normalized reproducing kernels by

$$k_d^{(j)}(z, w) = \frac{K_d^{(j)}(z, w)}{\|K_d^{(j)}(\cdot, w)\|}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}^{k_j}.$$

4.4 Proposition. *Let c be a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{k_j}}$ invariant under the action of \mathbb{T} , (that is, such that $c(g \cdot z) = c(z)$, for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}^{k_j}}$, $g \in \mathbb{T}$). Then for every $w \in \mathbb{B}^{k_j} \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$c(w/|w|) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_c k_d^{(j)}(\cdot, w), k_d^{(j)}(\cdot, w) \rangle,$$

where T_c denotes the Toeplitz operator with symbol c acting on $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$. In particular

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_c - c(w/|w|)I)k_d^{(j)}(\cdot, w)\| = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from similar arguments as in [8, Propositions 3.5 and 3.6], estimating the corresponding integrals in \mathbb{B}^{k_j} instead of \mathbb{B}^2 . \square

If c_j can be continuously extended to $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$, then $\text{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j) = c_j(\partial\mathbb{B}^n)$, and thus by the above proposition we get condition (6), as claimed.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let \mathcal{T}_j be the unital Banach algebra generated by the single operator T_{c_j} and $M(\mathcal{T}_j)$ its maximal ideal space. Analogously let $\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ the Banach algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_m$ and let $M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m))$ be its maximal ideal space. Note that the mapping

$$M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)) \ni \psi \longmapsto (\psi|_{\mathcal{T}_1}, \dots, \psi|_{\mathcal{T}_m}) \in M(\mathcal{T}_1) \times \dots \times M(\mathcal{T}_m)$$

is a continuous injection and that thus $M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m))$ can be identified with a subset of $M(\mathcal{T}_1) \times \dots \times M(\mathcal{T}_m)$. Reasoning as in Section 4.1 from [8], one can easily see that $M(\mathcal{T}_j) = \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_j})}$.

4.5 Corollary. *Let $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\zeta \in \widehat{\text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)} \setminus \widehat{\text{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)}$. Then the set*

$$D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \zeta \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})\}$$

is finite.

Proof. If D were not finite, then there would be an increasing sequence of integers $(n_k)_k$ and a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions $(f_{n_k})_k$ with $\mathbf{T}_j f_{n_k} = \zeta f_{n_k}$ and $f_{n_k} \in \mathbf{H}_{n_k}^{(j)}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. However, this would imply $\zeta \in \text{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)$. \square

4.6 Proposition. *We have*

$$M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)) = M(\mathcal{T}_1) \times \dots \times M(\mathcal{T}_m) = \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_1})} \times \dots \times \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_m})}.$$

Proof. We only need to prove the inclusion \supset . By the properties of the polynomially convex hull we have

$$\widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_1})} \times \dots \times \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_m})} = \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_1})} \times \dots \times \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_m})}.$$

Since $M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m))$ is polynomially convex, it suffices to show that

$$\text{sp}(T_{c_1}) \times \dots \times \text{sp}(T_{c_m}) \subset M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)).$$

Let thus $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m) \in \text{sp}(T_{c_1}) \times \dots \times \text{sp}(T_{c_m})$.

For each $j = 1, \dots, m$ define the sequence $(g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)})_k$ in $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ as follows: If $\zeta_j \in \text{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)$, let $(g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)})_k$ be a sequence such that (6) holds. Otherwise, $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j)$ and thus then there is $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})$. In this case we choose $(g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)})_k$ to be a constant sequence $g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)} = v_{\zeta_j}$, where v_{ζ_j} is a eigenvector in $\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$ such that $\|v_{\zeta_j}\| = 1$ and $\mathbf{T}_j v_{\zeta_j} = \zeta_j v_{\zeta_j}$.

Since $UT_{c_j}U^* = I \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{T}_j \otimes \dots \otimes I$, we have

$$\langle UT_{c_j}U^*(g_{\zeta_1, k}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\zeta_m, k}^{(m)}), g_{\zeta_1, k}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\zeta_m, k}^{(m)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{T}_j g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)}, g_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})},$$

which converges to ζ_j (in fact it equals ζ_j if $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j)$) as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, for any polynomial T in T_{c_1}, \dots, T_{c_m} we can define $\psi(T)$ by the rule

$$\psi(T) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle UTU^*(g_{\zeta_1, k}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\zeta_m, k}^{(m)}), g_{\zeta_1, k}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{\zeta_m, k}^{(m)} \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, this function can be extended to a multiplicative functional acting on the whole space $\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ and since $\psi(T_j) = \zeta_j$, this functional corresponds to the point $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$. \square

5 Gelfand theory of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$ be the dense non-closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ which consists of all sums of the form

$$\sum_{\rho \in F} D_{\gamma_\rho} T_{c_1}^{\rho_1} \cdots T_{c_m}^{\rho_m},$$

where D_{γ_ρ} are operators from $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ and F is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}_+^m . For the sake of brevity, given a multi-index $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ we will write $T^\rho := T_{c_1}^{\rho_1} \cdots T_{c_m}^{\rho_m}$. Furthermore, we will continuously write ζ for $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$.

Let $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ be the maximal ideal space of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$. By construction, $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is generated by the algebras $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ and $\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ and hence, as in the previous section, the assignment

$$\Phi: M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) \times M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)),$$

given by

$$\Phi(\psi) = (\psi|_{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)}, \psi|_{\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)})$$

is continuous and injective, and thus we can identify $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ with a subset of

$$\begin{aligned} M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) \times M(\mathcal{T}(c_1, \dots, c_m)) &= \\ &= M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) \times \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_1})} \times \cdots \times \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_m})}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall the decomposition of $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty))$ into disjoint sets

$$M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\bigcup_{\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta} M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \right]. \quad (7)$$

5.1 Lemma. *Let $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ such that $\mu \in M_\theta(\kappa_\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta$. Then $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}})$ for every $j \in J_\theta$.*

Proof. According to Section 2.1, we have $\psi(Q_d^{(j)}) = \mu(Q_d^{(j)}) = 0$ for every index j and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, unless $\theta_j = 1$ and $d = \kappa_j$, in which case $\psi(Q_d^{(j)}) = \mu(Q_d^{(j)}) = 1$.

Let $j \in J_\theta$ and let P be the characteristic polynomial of $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}}$. Then $P(T_{c_j})Q_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} = 0$, and hence we have

$$P(\psi(T_{c_j})) = \psi(P(T_{c_j})) = \psi(P(T_{c_j})Q_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}) = 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$\psi(T_{c_j}) \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}}). \quad \square$$

5.2 Lemma. *Let $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ such that $\mu \in M_\theta(\kappa_\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta$. Then $\zeta_j \in \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(T_{c_j})$ for every $j \in J_{\theta^c}$.*

Proof. Suppose there is some $j \in J_{\theta^c}$ such that $\zeta_j \in M(\mathcal{T}_j) \setminus \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(T_{c_j})$. By Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.5, this means that $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_j)$ and the set

$$D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \zeta_j \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})\}$$

is finite. Consider the orthogonal projection $P = \bigoplus_{d \in D} Q_d^{(j)}$ and note that $\psi(P) = 0$.

Let $w \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\zeta_j \notin \bigcup_{d \in D} \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) + w$. One easily sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{sp}}(T_{c_j} + wP) &= \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(T_{c_j} + wP) \cup \text{sp}_{pt}(T_{c_j} + wP) \\ &= \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(T_{c_j}) \cup \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus D} \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) \cup \left[\bigcup_{d \in D} \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) + w \right], \end{aligned}$$

and so $\zeta_j \notin \widehat{\text{sp}}(T_{c_j} + wP)$. But then we have the contradiction

$$\zeta_j = \psi(T_{c_j}) = \psi(T_{c_j} + wP) \in \widehat{\text{sp}}(T_{c_j} + wP). \quad \square$$

5.3 Lemma. *Let $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta$. Consider the sets D_j given by*

$$D_j = \begin{cases} \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}}), & j \in J_\theta, \\ \text{ess-sp}(\mathbf{T}_j), & j \in J_{\theta^c}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times D_1 \times \cdots \times D_m \subset M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$.

Moreover, if $\psi \in M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times D_1 \times \cdots \times D_m$, then there is a net $(g_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that

$$\lim_\alpha \|(T - \psi(T)I)g_\alpha\| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\psi = (\mu, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m) \in M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times D_1 \times \cdots \times D_m$. Consider a net $(\kappa_\alpha)_\alpha$ in \mathbb{Z}_+^m such that $\mu = \lim_\alpha \kappa_\alpha$. Suppose that $J_\theta = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|\theta|}\}$ and $\kappa_\theta = (\kappa_{j_1}, \dots, \kappa_{j_{|\theta|}})$.

Since $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j)$ for each j , we can define the sequences $(f_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)})_k$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.6. If $j \in J_\theta$, we can assume that $(\kappa_\alpha)_j = \kappa_j$ for all α and that $f_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)} \in \mathbf{H}_{(\kappa_\theta)_j}^{(j)}$ for each k .

Thus we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\mathbf{T}_j - \zeta_j) f_{\zeta_j, k}^{(j)}\| = 0,$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the same directed set of indices α we define a net $(g_\alpha)_\alpha$ by

$$g_\alpha = U^*(f_{\zeta_1, \kappa_\alpha^1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{\zeta_m, \kappa_\alpha^m}^{(m)}) \in H_{\kappa_\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_\alpha \|(T_{c_j} - \zeta_j) g_\alpha\| = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and, since $D_\gamma(g_\alpha) = \gamma(\kappa_\alpha)g_\alpha$,

$$\lim_\alpha \|(D_\gamma - \mu)g_\alpha\| = \lim_\alpha |\gamma(\kappa_\alpha) - \mu| = 0.$$

Thus, by these properties, for an operator $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$ of the form $A = \sum_{\rho \in F} D_{\gamma_\rho} T^\rho$ we get

$$\lim_\alpha \|(A - \psi(A))g_\alpha\| = \lim_\alpha \|(A - \sum_{\rho \in F_A} \gamma_\rho(\kappa_\alpha) \zeta^\rho)g_\alpha\| = 0.$$

We can extend this formula to the whole algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ and, in particular, we can define the functional

$$\psi(T) = \lim_\alpha \langle T g_\alpha, g_\alpha \rangle, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}),$$

obtaining thus a multiplicative functional, corresponding to the point (μ, ζ) . \square

5.4 Theorem. *The compact set of maximal ideals $M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ of the commutative Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ coincides with the set*

$$M := \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\bigcup_{\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta} M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times M_{\theta, \kappa_\theta, 1} \times \cdots \times M_{\theta, \kappa_\theta, m} \right],$$

where

$$M_{\theta, \kappa_\theta, j} = \begin{cases} \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}}), & j \in J_\theta, \\ \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(\mathbf{T}_j), & j \in J_{\theta^c}. \end{cases}$$

The Gelfand transform is generated by the following mapping of elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$:

$$A := \sum_{\rho \in F_A} D_{\gamma_\rho} T^\rho \mapsto \sum_{\rho \in F_A} D_\gamma(\mu) \zeta^\rho.$$

Proof. We prove the inclusion $M \subset M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$. The other inclusion follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

Fix some $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\kappa_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\theta$ and consider the sets D_1, \dots, D_m as in Lemma 5.3. By this lemma, $M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times D_1 \times \dots \times D_m \subset M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$.

Write $D = D_1 \times \dots \times D_m$. Then we clearly have $\widehat{D}_j = M_{\theta, \kappa_\theta, j}$ and $\widehat{D} = \widehat{D}_1 \times \dots \times \widehat{D}_m$, and thus we need to prove that $M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times \widehat{D} \subset M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$.

Let (μ, ζ) be an element of the left-hand side set in this inclusion. By the definition of the polynomial convex hull and Lemma 5.3, for any operator $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$ of the form $A = \sum_{\rho \in F_A} D_{\gamma_\rho} T^\rho$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{\rho \in F_A} \gamma_\rho(\mu) \zeta^\rho \right| \leq \sup_{z \in D} \left| \sum_{\rho \in F_A} \gamma_\rho(\mu) z^\rho \right| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in M_\theta(\kappa_\theta) \times D} |\varphi(A)| \leq \|A\|.$$

Therefore, there is a well-defined bounded multiplicative functional ψ on $\widehat{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$ given by

$$\psi(A) = \sum_{\rho \in F_A} \gamma_\rho(\mu) \zeta^\rho, \quad A = \sum_{\rho \in F_A} D_{\gamma_\rho} T^\rho \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}.$$

This functional extends then to a multiplicative functional on $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$, which corresponds to the point $(\mu, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$. \square

6 Spectral invariance

Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach algebra of operators acting on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$. We say that \mathcal{B} is *spectral invariant* if each operator from \mathcal{B} invertible in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ is invertible in \mathcal{B} . In this case, the spectrum of an operator T in \mathcal{B} , denoted by $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$, equals its spectrum in the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$.

6.1 Proposition. *The algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is inverse closed if and only if $\text{sp}(T_{c_j})$ is polynomially convex for every $j = 1, \dots, m$.*

Thus, in this case,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}(T) = \text{sp}(T),$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{sp}(T_{c_j})$ is polynomially convex for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ be invertible in the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ and let T^{-1} be its inverse.

By Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4, for every $\psi \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ there is a net of normalized vectors $(g_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that

$$\lim_\alpha \|(T - \psi(T)I)g_\alpha\| = 0, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}).$$

Thus we have

$$1 = \langle T^{-1}Tg_\alpha, g_\alpha \rangle = \psi(T) \langle T^{-1}g_\alpha, g_\alpha \rangle + \langle T^{-1}(T - \psi(T)I)g_\alpha, g_\alpha \rangle,$$

where the second summand converges to zero. In particular, $\psi(T) \neq 0$ and, thus, T is invertible in $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is spectral invariant. Then

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}(T_{c_j}) = \text{sp}(T_{c_j}),$$

but, by Theorem 5.4, $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}(T_{c_j}) = \widehat{\text{sp}(T_{c_j})}$. □

7 The radical of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$

In this section we will assume that c_j , regarded as a function defined on \mathbb{B}^{k_j} , can be continuously extended to the closed unit ball of $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{k_j}}$. By Proposition 4.4 and the remarks after it, this stronger condition implies (6). Furthermore, as is well known, in this case the operators \mathbf{T}_j acting on $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ commute with their respective adjoints \mathbf{T}_j^* modulo a compact operator.

Given $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ we will write

$$\tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq d \leq \kappa_j} Q_d^{(j)}.$$

Thus $\tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}$ maps the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ onto $\bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, 0 \leq \rho_j \leq \kappa_j} H_\rho$. Moreover, we note that

$$UQ_d^{(j)}U^* = I \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{Q}_d^{(j)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_d^{(j)}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ onto $\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$. Similarly we have

$$U\tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}U^* = I \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I,$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$ onto $\bigoplus_{0 \leq d \leq \kappa_j} \mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$.

In particular, the projections $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}$ strongly converge to the identity operator on $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$, as $\kappa_j \rightarrow \infty$, and if T is an operator in $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ such that, for some compact operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j}))$, we have $UTU^* = I \otimes \cdots \otimes K \otimes \cdots \otimes I$, being K in the j -position, then $\tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}T$ converges in norm to T , as $\kappa_j \rightarrow \infty$.

Given $\{j_1, \dots, j_L\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the projections $\tilde{Q}_{d_{j_1}}^{(j_1)}, \dots, \tilde{Q}_{d_{j_L}}^{(j_L)}$, we define

$$P_1 = \tilde{Q}_{d_1}^{(j_1)} \tag{8}$$

and inductively

$$P_{\ell+1} = \tilde{Q}_{d_{j_{\ell+1}}}^{(j_{\ell+1})} - \tilde{Q}_{d_{j_{\ell+1}}}^{(j_{\ell+1})}(P_1 + \cdots + P_\ell), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L-1. \tag{9}$$

7.1 Lemma. *The projections P_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, L$, are mutually orthogonal and the space generated by the union of its ranges equals the space generated by the union of the ranges of $\tilde{Q}_{d_{j_1}}^{(j_1)}, \dots, \tilde{Q}_{d_{j_L}}^{(j_L)}$.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that if Q_1 and Q_2 are commuting orthogonal projections acting on a Hilbert space, then Q_1 and $Q_2 - Q_2Q_1$ are mutually orthogonal projections and the space generated by the union of its ranges equal the space generated by the union of the ranges of Q_1 and Q_2 . \square

It will be useful to consider algebras defined similarly as the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$, but "omitting" some of the generators T_{c_j} . We formalize this as follows.

Let $\theta \in \Theta$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$ the Banach algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ and the operators T_{c_j} if $\theta_j = 1$. We also denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$ the non-closed dense subalgebra generated by those elements, consisting of finite sums of finite products of the generators.

We will denote by ϵ_j the m -tuple with its j -th entry equal 1, being 0 the other ones. So, given $\theta \in \Theta$ and $j \in J_\theta$, the tuple $\theta - \epsilon_j$ equals θ except that its j -th entry is zero.

Let \mathcal{J}^θ be the closed $*$ -ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ generated by all operators of the form $U^*(I \otimes \cdots \otimes K_j \otimes \cdots \otimes I)U$, where $j \in J_\theta$ and K_j is a compact operator acting on $\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{B}^{k_j})$. Since we are assuming the symbols c_j to be continuous at the boundary of \mathbb{B}^{k_j} , we have $[T_{c_j}, T_{c_j}^*] \in \mathcal{J}^\theta$ for every $j \in J_\theta$.

Consider now the C^* -algebra $(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^*$ generated by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$. By construction, the C^* -algebra

$$\widehat{(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^*} := (\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^* / \mathcal{J}^\theta$$

is commutative. Reasoning as in the remarks preceding Lemma 6.11 from [2], consider the canonical projection

$$\pi^\theta: \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta / \mathcal{J}^\theta \cap \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$$

and note that

$$\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta / \mathcal{J}^\theta \cap \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta \cong (\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta + \mathcal{J}^\theta) / \mathcal{J}^\theta \subset ((\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^* + \mathcal{J}^\theta) / \mathcal{J}^\theta \cong \widehat{(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^*}.$$

As in the proof of that lemma, the multiplicative functionals from $\widehat{(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)^*}$ can be applied to the elements of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$ via π^θ , from which we conclude:

7.2 Lemma. *It holds*

$$\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta) \subset \mathcal{J}^\theta \cap \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta.$$

Given $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $n_{j,d} = |\text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})|$ and suppose that $\text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) = \{\zeta_1^{j,d}, \dots, \zeta_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}\}$ (we are considering the eigenvalues without repetition).

We define the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{j,d}(X) &= X - \zeta_1^{j,d}, \\ h_2^{j,d}(X) &= (X - \zeta_1^{j,d})(X - \zeta_2^{j,d}), \\ &\vdots \\ h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(X) &= (X - \zeta_1^{j,d}) \cdots (X - \zeta_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}). \end{aligned}$$

We can use these polynomials to decide whether the algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is semi-simple.

7.3 Proposition. *The algebra $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ is semi-simple if and only if every matrix $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, is diagonalizable in the sense that its Jordan canonical form is diagonal.*

Proof. Suppose every matrix $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}$ is as stated. If there is $0 \neq T \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$, then there is $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ such that $T|_{H_\kappa} \neq 0$.

Our hypothesis implies that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there is a linear (not necessarily orthonormal) basis for $\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}$ formed by normalized eigenvectors of \mathbf{T}_j . Thus the corresponding tensor products form a linear basis for $U(H_\kappa)$. One can easily see that for every such tensor product v and an operator $S \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$ we have $S(U^*(v)) = \psi(S)U^*(v)$, where ψ is the multiplicative functional defined by

$$S \mapsto \langle S(U^*(v)), U^*(v) \rangle,$$

corresponding to some point $(\kappa, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$, where $\zeta \in \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_1|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_1}^{(1)}}) \times \dots \times \text{sp}_{pt}(\mathbf{T}_m|_{\mathbf{H}_{\kappa_m}^{(m)}})$. Since $T|_{H_\kappa} \neq 0$, there is such a tensor v with $T(U^*(v)) \neq 0$, but then we have the contradiction $T(U^*(v)) = \psi(T)U^*(v) = 0$.

Conversely, if there are some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}$ fails to be diagonalizable, then by Theorem 5.4

$$\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})) \ni Q_d^{(j)} h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) \neq 0.$$

□

As in previous works, one step towards the description of the radical is the description of the subalgebra $\widehat{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$.

Taking an element from this algebra and supposing that it is mapped to zero by all multiplicative functionals from Theorem 5.4, one sees that it lies in the non-closed ideal generated by operators of the form

$$D_\gamma \bigoplus_{d \in F_L} Q_d^{(j)} h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}), \quad (10)$$

where $D_\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-gr}^\infty)$ is such that $\gamma(\mu) = 0$ for any $\mu \in M_\theta$ with $\theta_j = 0$ and $F_L = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |\text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})| \leq L\}$, $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Since F_L could be infinite, it is not clear in general whether such an operator always belong to $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})$, however it does for all known cases. We present some of these:

7.4 Proposition. *Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

1. $m = 1$,
2. All matrices $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}$ are nilpotent,
3. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the number of distinct eigenvalues $n_{j,d}$ of the matrix $\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}$ tends to infinity as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ is the non-closed algebra generated by all operators of the form (10).

Proof. We prove the first case. The two other cases follow from similar calculations.

If $m = 1$, then we have only one generator $T := \mathbf{T}_1 = T_{c_1}$ and $\mathbf{H}_d^{(1)} = H_d^{(1)} = H_d$. Let $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ and suppose that $A = \sum_{\ell=0}^L D_{\gamma_\ell} T^\ell$. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we note that $A|_{H_d}$ is of the form $A = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \gamma_\ell(d) T^\ell$.

Let $(d, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ with $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By Theorem 5.4 we have $\zeta \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_1|_{\mathbf{H}_d})$ and thus $0 = (d, \zeta)(A) = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \gamma_\ell(d) \zeta^\ell$ imply that $\sum_{\ell=0}^L \gamma_\ell(d) X^\ell = p_d(X) h_{n_{1,d}}^{1,d}(X)$, for some polynomial p_d . Note that $p_d(X) = 0$ whenever $n_{1,d} > L$ and so we can write

$$A = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Q_d^{(1)} A = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Q_d^{(1)} p_d(T) h_{n_{1,d}}^{1,d}(T) = p(T) \bigoplus_{d \in F_L} Q_d^{(1)} h_{n_{1,d}}^{1,d}(T)|_{H_d},$$

where $F_L = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |\text{sp}(T|_{H_d})| \leq L\}$ and $p(T) = \bigoplus_{d \in F_L} p_d(T)$ can be written as a finite sum of finite products of T and diagonal operators not necessarily belonging to $\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$ but still constant on all subspaces H_d .

Finally, applying the condition $(\mu, \zeta)(A) = 0$ for all functionals with $\mu \in M_{(0)} = M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\zeta \in \widehat{\text{ess-sp}}(T)$ (according to Theorem 5.4), one easily sees that indeed $p(T) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})}$, being a sum of operators of the form $D_\gamma T^\ell$, where each D_γ is compact. \square

We show now that, as in all previously known cases, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ is dense in $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$. We remark that this holds in general and not only for the cases where $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ is explicitly known.

7.5 Lemma. Let $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$. Then there are operators $S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A) \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$, and $S_\ell^{j,d}(A) \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j}$ (that is, T_{c_j} does not appear in this polynomial expression), $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1$, such that $S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A)h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_d^{(j)} A &= S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A)h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &\quad + S_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(A)h_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad + S_1^{j,d}(A)h_1^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &\quad + S_0^{j,d}(A). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Proof. Suppose that $A = \sum_{\rho \in F} D_{\gamma_\rho} T^\rho$, with F a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}_+^m . Then $A = h((D_{\gamma_\rho})_{\rho \in F}, T_{c_1}, \dots, T_{c_m})$, where h is the polynomial in $|F| + m$ variables

$$h((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{\rho \in F} Y_\rho X^\rho,$$

where $X^\rho = X_1^{\rho_1} \dots X_m^{\rho_m}$. (We note that, indeed, h does not depend on the variables X_j for $j \notin J_\theta$). By applying several times the division algorithm for polynomials in the variable X_j , we can construct unique functions $g_0^{j,d}, g_1^{j,d}, \dots, g_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, X_m) &= g_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, X_m)h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(X_j) \\ &\quad + g_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_j}, \dots, X_m)h_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(X_j) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + g_1^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_j}, \dots, X_m)h_1^{j,d}(X_j) \\ &\quad + g_0^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_j}, \dots, X_m). \end{aligned}$$

(Here $\widehat{X_j}$ indicates that X_j does not appear on the corresponding expression). By successively evaluating this expression in the points of $\text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}}) = \{\zeta_1^{j,d}, \dots, \zeta_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}\}$ we find that the functions $g_\ell^{j,d}$ are polynomials for $0 \leq \ell \leq n_{j,d} - 1$. In particular, $g_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, X_m)h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(X_j)$ is also a polynomial. Furthermore, one easily sees that $g_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}((Y_\rho)_{\rho \in F}, X_1, \dots, X_m)$ is bounded on each compact set of $\mathbb{C}^{|F|+m}$ and, being a rational function, it is then also a polynomial.

We obtain the formula from the lemma by evaluating this polynomials in the corresponding operators letting $S_\ell^{j,d}(A) = Q_d^{(j)} g_\ell^{j,d}((D_{\gamma_\rho})_{\rho \in F}, T_{c_1}, \dots, T_{c_m})$, for $\ell = 0, \dots, n_{j,d}$.

Finally, by Theorem 5.4, one can see that $S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A) h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$. \square

7.6 Lemma. *Consider a tensor product of Hilbert spaces $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_M$ and let $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$. Let w and w' be fixed vectors in H_j with $\|w\| = \|w'\|$, let s be a sum of tensors of the form*

$$v^1 \otimes \dots \otimes v^{j-1} \otimes w \otimes v^{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v^M$$

and let s' be equal s but changing in every summand w by w' . Then $\|s\| = \|s'\|$.

Proof. Suppose that $s = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i^{j-1} \otimes w \otimes v_i^{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_i^M$ and $s' = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i^{j-1} \otimes w' \otimes v_i^{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_i^M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|^2 &= \sum_{i \in I, i' \in I} \langle v_i^1, v_{i'}^1 \rangle \dots \langle w, w \rangle \dots \langle v_i^M, v_{i'}^M \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I, i' \in I} \langle v_i^1, v_{i'}^1 \rangle \dots \langle w', w' \rangle \dots \langle v_i^M, v_{i'}^M \rangle = \|s'\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We recall that the image of the projection $Q_d^{(j)}$ is the closed span of all basic elements e_α such that $|\alpha_{(j)}| = d$ and that, by construction, we have $e_\alpha = U^*(e_{\alpha_{(1)}}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_{(m)}}^{(m)})$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.

For a function $g \in \mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$ with $\|g\| = 1$ we define the space $H^{(j)}(g)$ as the closed span of all functions of the form

$$U^*(e_{\alpha_{(1)}}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_{(j-1)}}^{(j-1)} \otimes g \otimes e_{\alpha_{(j+1)}}^{(j+1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_{(m)}}^{(m)}).$$

Thus, for example, $H_d^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)})$.

For a fixed tuple $\alpha_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_j}$ we define the operator

$$R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}: H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)}) \longrightarrow H^{(j)}(g)$$

given by the extension of the assignment

$$R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(e_\beta) = U^*(e_{\beta_{(1)}}^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\beta_{(j-1)}}^{(j-1)} \otimes g \otimes e_{\beta_{(j+1)}}^{(j+1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\beta_{(m)}}^{(m)}),$$

for every $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ such that $\beta_{(j)} = \alpha_{(j)}$. We note that, by Lemma 7.6, we have $\|R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f)\| = \|f\|$ for every $f \in H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)})$.

Moreover, every $f \in H_d^{(j)}$ can be written in the form of an orthogonal sum $f = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} f_{\alpha_{(j)}}$, where $f_{\alpha_{(j)}} \in H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)})$. Hence we have

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|f_{\alpha_{(j)}}\|^2 = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\|^2.$$

7.7 Lemma. *Using the notations from Lemma 7.5, the assignments $A \mapsto S_\ell^{j,d}(A)$ from $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$ into $Q_d^{(j)}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j}$ are linear functions for $\ell = 0, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1$ and there are positive constants $C_\ell^{j,d}$ such that*

$$\|S_\ell^{j,d}(A)\| \leq C_\ell^{j,d}\|A\|, \quad A \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1.$$

Thus the functions $S_\ell^{j,d}$ can be extended to the closed algebra $Q_d^{(j)}\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$. In particular, the assignment $A \mapsto S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A)h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j})$ is also a linear continuous function, which can also be extended to this algebra.

Proof. We proceed by induction on ℓ . Let $g \in \mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$ be a unimodular eigenvector such that $\mathbf{T}_j g = \zeta_1^{j,d} g$ and $f \in H_d^{(j)}$ and we write as before $f = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} f_{\alpha_{(j)}}$, where $f_{\alpha_{(j)}} \in H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)})$, for every $\alpha_{(j)}$ with $|\alpha_{(j)}| = d$.

By construction we have

$$h_\ell^{j,d}(T_{c_j})R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}) = 0, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n_{j,d}$$

and thus by (11) we get

$$S_0^{j,d}(A)(R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})) = Q_d^{(j)}AR_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}).$$

Moreover, since the operator T_{c_j} does not appear in the expression of $S_0^{j,d}(A)$, we observe that $S_0^{j,d}(A)(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}) \in H^{(j)}(e_{\alpha_{(j)}}^{(j)})$ and

$$R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(S_0^{j,d}(A)(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})) = S_0^{j,d}(A)(R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})).$$

In particular, by Lemma 7.6,

$$\|S_0^{j,d}(A)(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\| = \|S_0^{j,d}(A)(R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}))\| = \|Q_d^{(j)}AR_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\|.$$

We set

$$C_0^{j,d} = \dim(\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)})^{1/2} = \left| \left\{ \alpha_{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{k_j} : |\alpha_{(j)}| = d \right\} \right|^{1/2}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_0^{j,d}(A)f\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} S_0^{j,d}(A)(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (C_0^{j,d})^2 \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|S_0^{j,d}(A)(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\|^2 \\ &= (C_0^{j,d})^2 \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|Q_d^{(j)} A R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\|^2 \\ &\leq (C_0^{j,d})^2 \|A\|^2 \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})\|^2 \\ &= (C_0^{j,d})^2 \|A\|^2 \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|f_{\alpha_{(j)}}\|^2 \\ &= (C_0^{j,d})^2 \|A\|^2 \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since f was arbitrary, we conclude $\|S_0^{j,d}(A)\| \leq C_0^{j,d} \|A\|$. In particular, the assignment $A \mapsto S_0^{j,d}(A)$ is a well-defined linear and bounded function.

Now suppose we have constructed the constants $C_{\ell'}^{j,d}$ with the desired properties for $\ell' = 0, 1, \dots, \ell < n_{j,d} - 1$. Using the decomposition from Lemma 7.5, we define the application S' acting on $Q_d^{(j)} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S'(A) &= Q_d^{(j)} A - S_0^{j,d}(A) - \dots - S_\ell^{j,d}(A) h_\ell^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &= S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A) h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) + \dots + S_{\ell+1}^{j,d}(A) h_{\ell+1}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}), \end{aligned}$$

for every $A \in Q_d^{(j)} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$.

By induction hypothesis, S' is continuous with $\|S'\| \leq 1 + C_0^{j,d} + \dots + C_\ell^{j,d}$. Reasoning similarly as before, let $g \in \mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}$ be a unimodular eigenvector such that $\mathbf{T}_j g = \zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} g$ and $f \in H_d^{(j)}$ with $f = \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} f_{\alpha_{(j)}}$, as above.

Since

$$Q_d^{(j)} S'(A) R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}) = S_{\ell+1}^{j,d}(A) (\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_{\ell+1}^{j,d}) \cdots (\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_1^{j,d}) R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}})$$

and the operator T_{c_j} does not appear in the expression of $S_{\ell+1}^{j,d}(A)$, we can use similar arguments as in the case $\ell = 0$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{\ell+1}^{j,d}(A)f\|^2 &\leq (C_0^{j,d})^2 |\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_{\ell+1}^{j,d}|^{-2} \cdots |\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_1^{j,d}|^{-2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|\alpha_{(j)}|=d} \|Q_d^{(j)} S'(A)(R_{\alpha_{(j)},g}^{(j)}(f_{\alpha_{(j)}}))\|^2 \\ &\leq (C_{\ell+1}^{j,d})^2 \|A\|^2 \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{\ell+1}^{j,d} = |\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_{\ell+1}^{j,d}|^{-1} \cdots |\zeta_{\ell+2}^{j,d} - \zeta_1^{j,d}|^{-1} C_0^{j,d} \left[\left(1 + C_0^{j,d} + \cdots + C_\ell^{j,d} \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

The next corollary helps us understand what the operators from the radical $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$ look like on the spaces $H_d^{(j)}$.

7.8 Corollary. *If $T \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$, then there are unique operators $S(T) \in \text{clos}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta))$ and $S_\ell^{j,d}(T) \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j})$, $\ell = 0, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_d^{(j)} T &= S(T) \\ &\quad + S_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T) h_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad + S_1^{j,d}(T) h_1^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) \\ &\quad + S_0^{j,d}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Here S represents the extension of the map $A \mapsto S_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(A) h_{n_{j,d}}^{j,d}(T_{c_j})$ from Lemma 7.5 to the algebra $Q_d^{(j)} \mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, the maps $S_\ell^{j,d}$ are continuous and so we obtain the formula from the lemma by approximating T with elements of the dense subalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$.

By Lemma 7.5, we clearly have $S(T) \in \text{clos}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta))$. Thus we only need to show that $S_\ell^{j,d}(T) \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j})$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1$. Note that for such ℓ we have $Q_d^{(j)} S_\ell^{j,d}(T) = S_\ell^{j,d}(T)$. In particular, $\psi(S_\ell^{j,d}(T)) = 0$ for every functional $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ such that μ is reached by a net (κ^α) such that κ_j^α does not converge to d . Hence it suffices to prove that $\psi(S_\ell^{j,d}(T)) = 0$ where $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M(\mathcal{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{d}))$ and μ is reached by a net (κ^α) with $\kappa^\alpha = d$.

Denote by $M_{j,d}$ the set of all such multiplicative functionals ψ . By Theorem 5.4, if $(\mu, \zeta) \in M_{j,d}$, then $\zeta_j \in \text{sp}(\mathbf{T}_j|_{\mathbf{H}_d^{(j)}})$.

We proceed by induction. Consider first the case $\ell = 0$. Let $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M_{j,d}$ and let ζ' be equal ζ with the possible exception that $\zeta'_j = \zeta_1^{j,d}$. Then $h_\ell^{j,d}(\zeta'_j) = 0$, for any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n_{j,d}\}$, and thus for the functional $\psi' = (\mu, \zeta')$ we have $\psi'(S_0^{j,d}(T)) = \psi'(Q_d^{(j)}T) = 0$.

Since $S_0^{j,d}(T) \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta - \epsilon_j}$, the operator $S_0^{j,d}(T)$ does not depend on the operator T_{c_j} . Then $\psi(S_0^{j,d}(T))$ does not depend on ζ_j and hence $\psi(S_0^{j,d}(T)) = \psi'(S_0^{j,d}(T)) = 0$.

Suppose we have proved that $\psi(S_\ell^{j,d}(T)) = 0$ for every $\psi \in M_{j,d}$ and $0 \leq \ell < L < n_{j,d} - 1$.

Then, by subtracting the first $L-1$ summands in the expression for $Q_d^{(j)}T$, we see that the operator

$$T_L = S(T) + S_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T)h_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) + \dots + S_L^{j,d}(T)h_L^{j,d}(T_{c_j})$$

belongs to $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$.

Let $\psi = (\mu, \zeta) \in M_{j,d}$ and define $\psi' = (\mu, \zeta')$ where ζ' equals ζ with the possible difference that $\zeta'_j = \zeta_{L+1}^{j,d}$. Therefore we have

$$(\zeta_{L+1}^{j,d} - \zeta_L^{j,d}) \dots (\zeta_{L+1}^{j,d} - \zeta_1^{j,d}) \psi'(S_L^{j,d}(T)) = \psi'(T_L) = 0.$$

Since, once again, $\psi(S_L^{j,d}(T))$ does not depend on ζ_j , we conclude that $\psi(S_L^{j,d}(T)) = \psi'(S_L^{j,d}(T)) = 0$. \square

Now we are able to prove the main result of this section.

7.9 Theorem. *Let $\theta \in \Theta$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$ is dense in $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|\theta|$.

Suppose $|\theta| = 0$. In this case $\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta = \mathcal{T}_\lambda(L_{k-qr}^\infty)$, which is a C^* -algebra and then $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta) = \{0\}$, from which the claim follows.

As induction hypothesis assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$ is dense in $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $|\theta| \leq m' < m$.

Let $\theta \in \Theta$ with $|\theta| = m' + 1$ and let $T \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta)$. By Lemma 7.2, we have $T \in \mathcal{J}^\theta$ and therefore there is some operator $T' \in \mathcal{J}^\theta$ with $\|T - T'\| < \varepsilon$ and

$$T' = \sum_{j \in J^\theta} \tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} S_j,$$

for some operators $S_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n))$ and $\kappa_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let $P_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, be the projections defined by (8) and (9). By Lemma 7.1 we have $T' = \sum_{j \in J^\theta} P_j T'$. Define now the projection $P_0 = I - \sum_{j \in J^\theta} P_j$. Note that this is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$ onto the orthogonal complement of the space generated by the images of the operators $\tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)}, j \in J^\theta$.

Then we have

$$T' = (I - P_0)T' \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 T' = 0,$$

and thus

$$\|T - (I - P_0)T\| = \|P_0 T\| = \|P_0(T - T')\| \leq \|T - T'\| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$(I - P_0)T = \sum_{j \in J^\theta} P_j T \in \text{clos} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta) \right).$$

Since the projections P_j are mutually orthogonal, each operator $P_j T$ is in the radical and, by the definition of P_j , can be written in the form $A \tilde{Q}_{\kappa_j}^{(j)} T$ for some $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta$. Thus we are done if we show that

$$Q_d^{(j)} T \in \text{clos} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta) \right),$$

for every $0 \leq d \leq \kappa_j$ and $j \in J^\theta$.

Fix some j and d as above. By Corollary 7.8, we have

$$Q_d^{(j)} T = S(T) + S_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T) h_{n_{j,d}-1}^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) + \dots + S_1^{j,d}(T) h_1^{j,d}(T_{c_j}) + S_0^{j,d}(T),$$

where $S(T) \in \text{clos}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta))$ and $S_\ell^{j,d}(T) \in \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j})$ for every $\ell = 0, \dots, n_{j,d} - 1$. Since $|\theta - \epsilon_j| = m'$, by induction hypothesis the operators $S_\ell^{j,d}(T)$ lie in the algebra

$$\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j}) = \text{clos} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^{\theta-\epsilon_j}) \right) \subset \text{clos} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda^\theta \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda^\theta) \right). \quad \square$$

Taking $\theta = \mathbf{1}$, we get

7.10 Corollary. *The algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{d})} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{d}))$ is dense in $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{d}))$.*

We recover as particular cases the characterizations of the radical for the Banach algebras that were studied in [3], [2] (for the case $m = 1$) and [8], and we obtain the corresponding missing result in [6]. Furthermore, changing some notation and details, our method can be adapted without much effort to obtain an analogous characterization for the Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators with quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols (for a general $m \geq 1$), giving thus a solution to the open problem mentioned at the end of Section 6 of [2]. In all these cases, the radical equals the closure of its intersection with the non-closed dense subalgebra of finite sums of finite products of the generators.

References

- [1] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, *On the structure of commutative Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators on the unit ball. Quasi-elliptic case. I: Generating subalgebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), 2956 - 2990.
- [2] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, *On the structure of commutative Banach algebras generated by Toeplitz operators on the unit ball. Quasi-elliptic case. II: Gelfand Theory*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 9 (2015), 593 - 630.
- [3] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, *On the structure of a commutative Banach algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 74 (2012), 199 - 231.
- [4] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, *Banach algebras of commuting Toeplitz operators on the unit ball via the quasi-hyperbolic group*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 218 (2012) 155-175.
- [5] W. Bauer, N. Vasilevski, *Commutative Toeplitz Banach algebras on the ball and quasi-nilpotent group action*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 72 no. 2 (2012), 223 - 240.
- [6] A. Garcia, N. Vasilevski, *Toeplitz Operators on the Weighted Bergman Space over the Two-Dimensional Unit Ball*, J. Funct. Spaces (2015), Art. ID 306168, 10 pp.

- [7] R. Quiroga-Barranco, N. Vasilevski, *Commutative C^* -algebras of Toeplitz operators on the unit ball, I. Bargmann-type transforms and spectral representations of Toeplitz operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory (2007) 59, 379 - 419.
- [8] M. A. Rodriguez Rodriguez, N. Vasilveski, *Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space with generalized pseudo-homogeneous symbols*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 67 (2022), no. 3, 716 - 739.
- [9] N. Vasilevski, *Quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols and commutative Banach algebras of Toeplitz operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory (2010) 66, 141 - 152.
- [10] N. Vasilevski, *Parabolic quasi-radial quasi-homogeneous symbols and commutative algebras of Toeplitz operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications (2010) 202, 553-568.
- [11] N. Vasilevski, *Banach algebras of commuting Toeplitz operators on the unit ball via the quasi-hyperbolic group*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications (2012) 218, 155-175.
- [12] N. Vasilevski, *On Toeplitz operators with quasi-radial and pseudo-homogeneous symbols*, Harmonic analysis, partial differential equations, Banach spaces, and operator theory. Vol. 2, 401 - 417, Assoc. Women Math. Ser., 5, Springer, Cham, (2017).