

# TRACE DISTANCE ERGODICITY FOR QUANTUM MARKOV SEMIGROUPS

LORENZO BERTINI, ALBERTO DE SOLE, AND GUSTAVO POSTA

ABSTRACT. We discuss the quantitative ergodicity of quantum Markov semigroups in terms of the trace distance from the stationary state. We formulate a general criterion based on the spectral decomposition of the Lindblad operator. We then apply this criterion to the bosonic and fermionic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups and to a novel family of quantum Markov semigroups parametrized by semisimple Lie algebras and their irreducible representations, in which the Lindblad operator is given by the adjoint action of the Casimir element.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *quantum Markov semigroup* (QMS) is a one-parameter semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of completely positive operators on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is typically used to describe the non-unitary evolution of a quantum system, as in the case of a (weak) interaction with a thermal bath or in a measurement process [5, 17]. The generator of a QMS is referred to as the Lindblad operator. We focus to the case in which  $P_t$  is self-adjoint with respect to the GNS inner product induced by a suitable density matrix  $\sigma$ . In particular,  $\sigma$  is an invariant state for  $P_t$ .

Given an initial state  $\rho$ , we are interested in the exponential convergence of its evolution  $\rho P_t$  to the invariant state  $\sigma$ , that we quantify in terms of the *trace distance*, the non-commutative version of the total variation distance. In the case of classical Markov semigroups this topic has become a central issue in probability theory, and it has been addressed by different methods, such as: coupling arguments, functional inequalities, and spectral theory. We refer to [13] for a review on the subject.

To the best of our knowledge, a decay to equilibrium for QMS based on a non-commutative version of coupling arguments has not been discussed in literature.

The method based on functional inequalities can be directly extended to the non-commutative case. Indeed, in view of the quantum Pinsker inequality, see e.g. [19, Thm.11.9.5], the exponential convergence in trace distance can be deduced by the exponential decay of the quantum relative entropy of  $\rho P_t$  with respect to  $\sigma$ . This exponential decay of the quantum relative entropy is in fact equivalent to the validity of the modified log-Sobolev inequality. Sufficient criteria for the latter, inspired by the celebrated Bakry-Émery criterion, have been obtained in [6, 7, 11].

The method based on spectral theory requires a detailed knowledge of the spectral decomposition of the generator of the semigroup, but, when such knowledge is available, it provides sharp bounds on the decay rate. In the present paper we deduce the exponential convergence to equilibrium in trace distance for QMS by spectral methods. More precisely, we introduce a non-commutative version of the

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 81S22, 47A35. Secondary 17B10,

*Key words and phrases*. Quantum Markov semigroups, Trace distance, Rate of convergence to equilibrium, Casimir operator.

The work of A. De Sole has been supported by PRIN 2017YRA3LK.

so-called  $\chi^2$ -divergence and observe that it provides, as in the commutative case, an upper bound for the trace distance between states. In turn, the exponential decay of the  $\chi^2$ -divergence between  $\rho P_t$  and  $\sigma$  can be deduced from the spectral decomposition of the Lindblad generator  $L$ . We emphasize that the eigenvectors of  $L$  are normalized with respect to the GNS inner product, but the previous estimate requires a control on their operator norm. This is the non-commutative counterpart of an  $L^\infty$ -bound on the  $L^2$ -normalized eigenfunctions of the Markov generator. We also remark that, as in the commutative case, the quantum relative entropy of a state  $\rho$  with respect to  $\sigma$  is controlled by the  $\chi^2$ -divergence of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , see e.g. [18, Thm. 8]. Hence, this approach also yields the exponential decay of the quantum relative entropy of  $\rho P_t$  with respect to the stationary state  $\sigma$ .

In contrast to the commutative case, the construction of a QMS that is self-adjoint with respect to the GNS inner product induced by a given state  $\sigma$  is not, in general, explicit. Indeed, as discussed in [6, Thm.3.1] and [2, Thm.3], the jump operators in the Lindblad generator  $L$  are constructed in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the map  $\rho \mapsto \sigma \rho \sigma^{-1}$ . For this reason, concrete examples of QMS that are self-adjoint with respect to given GNS inner products have been so far limited to special models. If  $h$  is the Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator and  $\sigma$  is the thermal state  $e^{-\beta h} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  for some  $\beta > 0$ , the bosonic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is a QMS that is self-adjoint with respect to the GNS inner product induced by  $\sigma$ . Its construction has been carried out, by Dirichlet form techniques, in [10]. The corresponding decay rate to equilibrium in entropic sense has been proven in [6, Theorem 8.5] using Mehler type formulae and intertwining relationships. As we show in Section 3, the decay to equilibrium in trace distance, with the same rate as in [6], can also be obtained from the spectral decomposition of the Lindblad generator.

The other paradigmatic example discussed in [6] is the fermionic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, which is self-adjoint with respect to the GNS inner product associated to a free fermion thermal state. In this case the underlying Hilbert space is finite dimensional and the exponential convergence in trace distance holds uniformly with respect to the initial state. The sharp exponential rate has been computed in [6, Thm. 8.6], again by intertwining relationships. In Section 4 we show that, also in the fermionic case, the decay to equilibrium in trace distance can be obtained from the spectral decomposition of the Lindblad generator, with the same rate as in [6].

A simple and popular QMS is the so-called depolarizing channel [9]. The exponential decay of the quantum relative entropy has been obtained in [15]. It has also been analyzed in [7, 8, 11] in terms of a lower bound on a ‘‘Ricci curvature’’, however the decay rate of the quantum relative entropy obtained there is not sharp. In Section 5 we introduce the main novelty of the present paper: a family of QMS, parametrized by a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ , in which the Lindblad generator is given by the adjoint action of the Casimir element. Such QMS are self-adjoint with respect to the normalized Hilbert-Schmidt inner product on  $\text{End}(V)$ . The corresponding generators can be viewed as non-commutative versions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on suitable manifolds. The depolarizing channel mentioned above is obtained as the special case when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $V$  is its defining 2-dimensional representation.

It appears that the exponential decay of the quantum relative entropy for such family of QMS cannot be obtained by applying the criteria in [6, 7, 11]. On the other hand, the representation theory of finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras gives detailed information on the spectrum of the corresponding Lindblad generators. In fact, sharp bounds on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Lindblad generator lead to exponential decay of the quantum  $\chi^2$ -divergence. As a consequence, we deduce the exponential convergence of  $\rho P_t$  to  $\sigma$  both in trace distance and in entropic sense for all the QMS in the family. We emphasize that for this class of QMS the bound that we obtain for the rate of the exponential convergence in trace distance coincides with the inverse of the spectral gap of the Lindblad generator, and it is therefore optimal. More precisely, we show that there are reals  $g_0, A > 0$ , depending on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  but independent of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ , such that, for any  $t \geq 0$  and any initial state  $\rho$ ,

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma) \leq A e^{-g_0 t},$$

where  $d_{\text{tr}}$  denotes the trace distance and the stationary state  $\sigma$  is the normalized trace on  $V$ . For simple Lie algebras, the explicit values of  $g_0$  are listed in Theorem 5.1.

## 2. CONVERGENCE IN TRACE DISTANCE FOR QUANTUM MARKOV SEMIGROUPS

In this section we recall some basic facts about QMS, we then introduce the trace distance between states and prove a general inequality yielding the exponential ergodicity of QMS in trace distance. The discussion will be carried out in the context of QMS on the  $C^*$ -algebra of linear operators on a finite dimensional Hilbert space. This setting, that avoids the functional analytic technicalities of infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, is sufficient to accommodate the Fermi Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (cf. Section 4) and the Lie algebra based QMS introduced in Section 5. As in the case of Markov chains with finite state space, the main emphasis is to obtain sharp bounds relative to the dimension of the underlying Hilbert space. In contrast, the Bose Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup acts on an infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra and will be discussed in Section 3.

We refer to [6] for the more general setting of abstract finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras and for a discussion on the self-adjointness of QMS with respect to other inner products than the GNS inner product considered here. See also [3] and references therein for a discussion of QMS on infinite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Quantum Markov semigroups and Lindblad generators.** Let  $H$  be a finite dimensional Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the inner product on  $H$  is denoted by  $\cdot$  and the corresponding Euclidean norm by  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} := \text{End}(H)$  be the algebra of linear operators on  $H$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra when it is endowed with the operator norm  $\|a\| := \sup_{|x|=1} |ax|$  and the involution  $\mathcal{A} \ni a \mapsto a^* \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $a^*$  is the adjoint of  $a$ , i.e.  $a^*x \cdot y = x \cdot ay$ . The identity in  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{1}$ . An element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is *self-adjoint* if  $a = a^*$ ; the collection of self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ . An element  $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$  is *positive* if there exists  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a = bb^*$ ; equivalently if all the eigenvalues of  $a$  are positive. The cone of positive elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_+$ . A linear map  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *positive* if  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_+) \subset \mathcal{A}_+$ . The map  $\phi$  is *completely positive* if for any positive integer  $k$  the map  $\text{id} \otimes \phi: \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^k) \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^k) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is positive.

A *quantum Markov semigroup* (QMS) on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a one-parameter, strongly continuous, semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for each  $t \geq 0$  the map  $P_t: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is completely positive and satisfies  $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . By the properties of completely positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras, if  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a QMS then  $\|P_t a\| \leq \|a\|$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , i.e.  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a contraction semigroup on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $(P_t a)^* = P_t a^*$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

According to the Hille-Yoshida theorem, a strongly continuous contraction semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on the Banach space  $\mathcal{A}$  has the form  $P_t = \exp\{tL\}$  for some *generator*  $L \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a QMS on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then its generator  $L$  is characterized by the following structure theorem [14]. There exists  $h \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ , a positive integer  $N$ , and  $\ell_j \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , such that  $L$  has the form

$$La = i[h, a] + \sum_j ([\ell_j^*, a] \ell_j + \ell_j^* [a, \ell_j]) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $[a, b] = ab - ba$ . Conversely, for any choice of  $h \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ ,  $N$ , and  $\ell_j \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , the operator  $L$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by the right hand side of (2.1) generates a QMS. In the physical literature, the operator  $L$  in (2.1) is called Lindblad generator,  $h$  Hamiltonian, and the  $\ell_j$ 's jump operators. We emphasize that this structure theorem is peculiar of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Reversible quantum Markov semigroup.** Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the dual of  $\mathcal{A}$  and denote by  $\mathcal{A}'_+$  its positive cone, i.e. the set of elements  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}'$  satisfying  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_+) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ . A *state*  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}'_+$  satisfying  $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ . The set of states on  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$ . Letting  $\text{Tr}$  be the trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we here identify the state  $\sigma$  with its *density matrix*, still denoted by  $\sigma$ , satisfying  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(\sigma) = 1$ . Namely,  $\sigma(a) = \text{Tr}(\sigma a)$ . The state  $\sigma$  is *faithful* when  $\sigma(aa^*) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ , equivalently when the eigenvalues of the density matrix  $\sigma$  are strictly positive. If  $\sigma$  is a faithful state, we define the corresponding GNS inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\langle a, b \rangle_\sigma := \sigma(ba^*) = \text{Tr}(a^* \sigma b)$ . When equipped with this inner product,  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes an Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{C}$  denoted by  $L_2(\sigma)$ , while the corresponding Euclidean norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ .

Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a QMS on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; by duality  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defines a semigroup on  $\mathcal{A}'$ , i.e.  $(\rho P_t)(a) := \rho(P_t a)$ . The conditions of complete positivity and the normalization  $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  imply that  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  preserves the set of states. If the generator of  $P_t$  is the operator  $L$  in (2.1), then the generator of the dual of  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is

$$L^\dagger \rho = -i[h, \rho] + \sum_j ([\ell_j \rho, \ell_j^*] + [\ell_j, \rho \ell_j^*]).$$

The state  $\sigma$  is *invariant* if  $\sigma P_t = \sigma$  for all  $t \geq 0$  or, in terms of the Lindblad generator, if  $L^\dagger \sigma = 0$ . If  $\sigma$  is an invariant state then the semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is also a contraction semigroup on  $L_2(\sigma)$ , i.e.  $\|P_t a\|_\sigma \leq \|a\|_\sigma$ . This statement is direct consequence of the Kadison-Schwarz inequality  $P_t a P_t a^* \leq P_t(aa^*)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , which holds by the complete positivity of  $P_t$  and  $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ .

The QMS  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is *reversible* with respect to the state  $\sigma$  if it is self-adjoint as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ , i.e.  $\text{Tr}((P_t a^*) \sigma b) = \text{Tr}(a^* \sigma (P_t b))$ . Equivalently, the generator  $L$  in (2.1) is self-adjoint as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . In the commutative case, reversibility of a Markov semigroup is equivalent to the invariance under time reversal of the law of the stationary process. The following statement, proven by a direct computation, provides the analogue in the present non-commutative setting.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a QMS on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , set*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(t_1) \cdots a_n(t_n) &:= P_{t_1}(a_1 P_{t_2-t_1}(a_2 \cdots P_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(a_n) \cdots)) \\ a_1(t_n) \cdots a_n(t_1) &:= P_{t_1}(\cdots P_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}}(P_{t_n-t_{n-1}}(a_1) a_2) \cdots a_n). \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is reversible with respect to  $\sigma$  if and only if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma a_1(t_1) \cdots a_n(t_n)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma a_1(T-t_1) \cdots a_n(T-t_n)).$$

Fix  $\beta > 0$  and consider the faithful state  $\sigma := \exp\{-\beta h\} / \mathrm{Tr}(\exp\{-\beta h\})$ , where  $h \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{sa}}$  is the Hamiltonian appearing in (2.1). Then the first term in the right-hand side of (2.1) corresponds to the Heisenberg evolution, that is skew-adjoint as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . We denote by  $L_0$  the second term, i.e.

$$L_0 a = \sum_j ([\ell_j^*, a] \ell_j + \ell_j^* [a, \ell_j]). \quad (2.2)$$

In the next statement we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the self-adjointness of  $L_0$  as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the map  $a \mapsto \sigma a \sigma^{-1}$ . The generator  $L_0$  in (2.2) is self-adjoint in  $L_2(\sigma)$  if and only if*

$$2 \sum_j (\ell_j \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\ell_j^*) - \ell_j^* \otimes \ell_j) = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sum_j (\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\ell_j^* \ell_j) - \ell_j^* \ell_j). \quad (2.3)$$

*A sufficient condition for the self-adjointness of  $L_0$  in  $L_2(\sigma)$  is*

$$\sum_j \ell_j \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\ell_j^*) = \sum_j \ell_j^* \otimes \ell_j. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* By direct computation  $\langle b, L_0 a \rangle_\sigma = \langle L_0 b, a \rangle_\sigma$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_j (\sigma[\ell_j^*, a] \ell_j + \sigma \ell_j^* [a, \ell_j]) = \sum_j ([\ell_j \sigma a, \ell_j^*] + [\ell_j, \sigma a \ell_j^*])$$

which can be rewritten as

$$2 \sum_j (\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\ell_j^*) \sigma a \ell_j - \ell_j \sigma a \ell_j^*) - \sum_j (\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma(\ell_j^* \ell_j) - \ell_j^* \ell_j) \sigma a = 0$$

The above equation holds for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if (2.3) holds.

For the last assertion, it is enough to notice that (2.4), when applied two times, implies that the right-hand side of (2.3) vanishes.  $\square$

As discussed in [6, Thm.3.1] and [2, Thm.3], given a faithful state  $\sigma$  there is a simple algorithm to construct self-adjoint Lindblad generators on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . Find eigenvectors of  $\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma$ , i.e. solve the equation

$$\sigma v \sigma^{-1} = e^\omega v \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the self-adjointness of  $\sigma$ , if  $(\omega, v)$  is a solution to (2.5) then  $(-\omega, v^*)$  is also a solution. Given a collection  $\{v_j\}$  of eigenvectors of  $\mathrm{Ad}_\sigma$  that is closed with respect to  $*$ -adjunction, set

$$L_0 a = \sum_j e^{\omega_j/2} ([v_j^*, a] v_j + v_j^* [a, v_j]) \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\omega_j$  is the eigenvalue associated to  $v_j$ . The generator in (2.6) has the form (2.2) with  $\ell_j = e^{\omega_j/4}v_j$ . It is then straightforward to check that condition (2.4) holds, so that  $L_0$  is indeed self-adjoint on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . In [6, Thm.3.1] and [2, Thm.3] it is shown that any Lindblad generator that is self-adjoint on  $L_2(\sigma)$  can be written in the form (2.6) for a suitable collection of  $v_j$ 's that are eigenvectors of  $\text{Ad}_\sigma$ .

Let  $\sigma = \exp\{-\beta h\}/\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$  and  $\beta > 0$ . In particular, setting  $\text{ad}_h(v) := [h, v]$ , we have  $\text{Ad}_\sigma = \exp\{-\beta \text{ad}_h\}$ . Equation (2.5) thus amounts to find the eigenvectors of  $\text{ad}_h$ , i.e. to solve  $\text{ad}_h(v) = \gamma v$  and then set  $\omega = -\beta\gamma$ . The operators  $v_j$  can be constructed from the spectral decomposition of  $h$ . Using the bra-ket Dirac-notation, assume that  $h$  has spectral basis  $\{(\varepsilon_n, |n\rangle)\}$ . Then  $|m\rangle\langle n| \in \mathcal{A}$  is an eigenvector of  $\text{ad}_h$  with eigenvalue  $\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_n$ . Given a Hermitian matrix  $(c_{m,n})_{m,n}$ , the family  $\{v_{m,n} = c_{m,n}|m\rangle\langle n|\}_{m,n}$  is closed under \*-adjunction. The corresponding Lindblad generator self-adjoint in  $L_2(\sigma)$  thus reads

$$L_0 a = \sum_{m,n} e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)} |c_{m,n}|^2 (2\langle m|a|m\rangle |n\rangle\langle n| - a|n\rangle\langle n| - |n\rangle\langle n|a).$$

We finally mention that if  $L_0$  has the form (2.6) and  $\sigma = \exp\{-\beta h\}/\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  for some  $\beta > 0$ , then  $L_0$  commutes with the Heisenberg generator  $i \text{ad}_h$ . Hence the semigroups generated by  $L_0$  and  $i \text{ad}_h$  commute [2].

**Ergodicity.** We first consider the second term on the decomposition (2.1) of the Lindblad generator  $L$  that we denote by  $L_0$  as in (2.2). We further assume that  $L_0$  satisfies the detailed balance condition (2.4) and regard it as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . We introduce its Dirichlet form  $E_\sigma: L_2(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$E_\sigma(a) := -\langle L_0 a, a \rangle_\sigma = \sum_j \langle [\ell_j^*, a], [\ell_j^* a] \rangle_\sigma = \sum_j \| [\ell_j^*, a] \|_\sigma^2 \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$  is the norm in  $L_2(\sigma)$  and the second equality follows by a direct computation.

Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the semigroup generated by  $L_0$ . By the spectral theorem, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $P_t a \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\sigma a) \mathbf{1}$  in  $L_2(\sigma)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii) 0 is a simple eigenvalue of  $L_0$ ;
- (iii)  $E_\sigma(a) = 0$  implies that  $a$  is a multiple of  $\mathbf{1}$ .

The semigroup  $P_t = \exp\{-tL_0\}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is *ergodic* when any (and hence all) of the above conditions is met.

In view of (2.7) the semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is ergodic if and only if the centralizer in  $\mathcal{A}$  of the  $\ell_k$ 's is  $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ . This means that any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  commuting with all the  $\ell_k$ 's is a multiple of  $\mathbf{1}$ . Loosely speaking, in order to ensure ergodicity one thus needs to define the Lindblad generator with enough jump operators.

Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an ergodic quantum semigroup with Lindblad generator  $L_0$  that is self-adjoint in  $L_2(\sigma)$  and fix  $\gamma > 0$ . Again by the spectral theorem, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For any  $t \geq 0$  and any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\|P_t a - \text{Tr}(\sigma a) \mathbf{1}\|_\sigma \leq e^{-\gamma t} \|a - \text{Tr}(\sigma a) \mathbf{1}\|_\sigma; \quad (2.8)$$

- (ii)  $\gamma \leq \text{gap}(-L_0)$ , the second smallest eigenvalue of  $-L_0$ ;
- (iii)  $\gamma \|a - \text{Tr}(\sigma a) \mathbf{1}\|_\sigma^2 \leq E_\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\sigma = \exp\{-\beta h\} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  for some  $\beta > 0$ , and  $L_0$  is self-adjoint in  $L_2(\sigma)$ , then, as observed before,  $L_0$  and  $i \text{ad}_h$  commute. Hence, if  $L_0$  is ergodic then also the semigroup generated by  $L = i \text{ad}_h + L_0$  in (2.1) is ergodic in the sense that statement (i) holds. Moreover, if (2.8) holds for the semigroup generated by  $L_0$ , then the same inequality holds for the semigroup generated by  $L$ .

**Trace distance and quantum  $\chi^2$ -divergence.** In the context of QMS, the most relevant question on the velocity of convergence to the invariant state is the following: starting from an arbitrary state  $\rho$ , how large  $t$  needs to be in order that  $\rho P_t$  is close to the stationary state  $\sigma$ ? The  $L_2$  ergodicity discussed above does not really answer this question because it involves the  $L_2$ -distance with respect to the stationary state. We address this issue by considering the trace distance on the set of states and analyzing its behavior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

The *trace distance* on the set of states  $\mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$  is the non-commutative analogue of the total variation distance namely,

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|a\|=1} |\rho(a) - \sigma(a)| = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|a\|=1} |\text{Tr}((\rho - \sigma)a)| \quad (2.9)$$

where we emphasize that  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Equivalently,

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sqrt{(\rho - \sigma)^2}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |\lambda_i|$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $\rho - \sigma$ .

Fix a faithful state  $\sigma$  and recall that  $L_2(\sigma)$  denotes the Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{C}$  obtained by endowing  $\mathcal{A}$  with the GNS inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle_\sigma := \text{Tr}(a^* \sigma b)$ . On the set of states  $\mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$  we then introduce the *quantum  $\chi^2$ -divergence* as the non-commutative analogue of the  $\chi^2$ -divergence, namely,

$$D_{\chi^2}(\rho|\sigma) := \sup_{\|a\|_\sigma=1} |\rho(a) - \sigma(a)|^2 = \sup_{\|a\|_\sigma=1} |\langle \sigma^{-1} \rho - \mathbf{1}, a \rangle_\sigma|^2 \quad (2.10)$$

where we emphasize that  $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$  is the norm on  $L_2(\sigma)$ . By duality,

$$D_{\chi^2}(\rho|\sigma) = \|\sigma^{-1} \rho - \mathbf{1}\|_\sigma^2 = \text{Tr}((\rho - \sigma)\sigma^{-1}(\rho - \sigma)).$$

Note that  $D_{\chi^2}(\cdot|\cdot)$  is not symmetric so that it cannot be used to introduce a distance on the set of states. However, as in the commutative case, the trace distance can be bounded in terms of the quantum  $\chi^2$ -divergence.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a faithful state. Then for each  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$*

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho, \sigma)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} D_{\chi^2}(\rho|\sigma).$$

A more general statement is proven in [18, Lemma 5]. For the reader's convenience, we provide here an elementary variational proof.

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$ , for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\|a\|_\sigma^2 = \langle a, a \rangle_\sigma = \text{Tr}(a^* \sigma a) = \sigma(aa^*) \leq \|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2.$$

The statement thus follows from the variational representations (2.9) and (2.10).  $\square$

We observe that the quantum relative entropy can be controlled in terms of the  $D_{\chi^2}$ -divergence. We refer to [18, Prop.6] for the proof of the following statement.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a faithful state and set  $\text{Ent}(\rho|\sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma))$ . Then*

$$\text{Ent}(\rho|\sigma) \leq D_{\chi^2}(\rho|\sigma).$$

The next statement is the non-commutative version of a classical bound for reversible Markov chains, see e.g. [13, §12.6]. Under suitable conditions on the spectral decomposition of the generator, it can be used to deduce the exponential ergodicity of the semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in trace distance *uniformly* with respect to the initial state.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an ergodic QMS on  $\mathcal{A}$  with generator  $L$ . Assume that  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is reversible with respect to the faithful state  $\sigma$  and denote by  $\{(\lambda_j, f_j)\}_{j \geq 0}$  the spectral basis of  $-L$ , with  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ . Then for any  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .*

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\lambda_j t} |\rho(f_j)|^2. \quad (2.11)$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}} d_{\text{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\lambda_j t} \|f_j\|^2. \quad (2.12)$$

We emphasize that in the bound (2.12) the set  $\{f_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L_2(\sigma)$ , so that  $\|f_j\|_\sigma = 1$  while  $\|f_j\| \geq 1$  is the operator norm of  $f_j$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\rho P_t)(a) = \rho(P_t a)$ , by definition (2.10), the stationarity of  $\sigma$ , the self-adjointness of  $P_t$  on  $L_2(\sigma)$ , and the spectral theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\chi^2}(\rho P_t|\sigma) &= \sup_{\|a\|_\sigma=1} |\langle \sigma^{-1} \rho - \mathbf{1}, P_t a \rangle_\sigma|^2 = \sup_{\|a\|_\sigma=1} |\langle P_t(\sigma^{-1} \rho) - \mathbf{1}, a \rangle_\sigma|^2 \\ &= \|P_t(\sigma^{-1} \rho) - \mathbf{1}\|_\sigma^2 = \left\| \sum_{j \geq 0} e^{-\lambda_j t} \langle f_j, \sigma^{-1} \rho \rangle_\sigma f_j - \mathbf{1} \right\|_\sigma^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-\lambda_j t} \langle f_j, \sigma^{-1} \rho \rangle_\sigma f_j \right\|_\sigma^2 = \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\lambda_j t} |\langle f_j, \sigma^{-1} \rho \rangle_\sigma|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} e^{-2\lambda_j t} |\rho(f_j)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\rho(f_j^*) = \overline{\rho(f_j)}$ . The statement now follows from Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a QMS with Lindblad generator  $L$  of the form*

$$L a = i \text{ad}_h(a) + L_0 a$$

where  $L_0$  is reversible with respect to the state  $\sigma = e^{-\beta h} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Denote by  $\{(\lambda_j, f_j)\}_{j \geq 0}$  the spectral basis of  $-L_0$ , with  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ . Then the bound (2.11) holds for any  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* As observed before, under the assumptions of the Corollary, the semigroups generated by  $L_0$  and  $i \text{ad}_h$  commute. Since  $d_{\text{tr}}(\rho e^{i \text{ad}_h t}, \sigma) = d_{\text{tr}}(\rho, \sigma)$ , the claim follows directly from Theorem 2.5.  $\square$



## 3. BOSE ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK SEMIGROUP

Given a positive integer  $N$ , let  $a_k, a_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , be a family of operators satisfying the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[a_h, a_k] = [a_h^*, a_k^*] = 0, \quad [a_h, a_k^*] = \delta_{h,k} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.1)$$

The operators  $\mathbf{1}, a_k, a_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , form a basis of the  $(2N + 1)$  dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  with Lie bracket defined by (3.1). The universal enveloping algebra over  $\mathfrak{h}$ , quotiented by the ideal generated by  $\mathbf{1} - 1$ , is the Weyl algebra  $W(\mathfrak{h})$ .

In the Schrödinger representation on the Hilbert space  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$ , the operators  $a_k, a_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , are

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( x_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right), \quad a_k^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( x_k - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3.2)$$

The CCR algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the family of unitary operators  $\{e^{z a_k - \bar{z} a_k^*}, k = 1, \dots, N, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . In the previous representation,  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by the family of the bounded operators on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Let  $n_k = a_k^* a_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , be the bosonic number operators, which are pairwise commuting and self-adjoint. Fix a sequence  $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of strictly positive reals. The corresponding free  $N$ -boson Hamiltonian is  $h = \sum_k \omega_k n_k$  and, given  $\beta > 0$ , let  $\sigma = e^{-\beta h} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$  be the corresponding thermal state. Since

$$\text{ad}_h(a_k) = -\omega_k a_k, \quad \text{ad}_h(a_k^*) = \omega_k a_k^*,$$

a Lindblad generator satisfying the detailed balance condition in the form (2.6) with respect to the state  $\sigma$  is

$$L = \sum_k \left\{ e^{\beta \omega_k / 2} ([a_k^*, \cdot] a_k + a_k^* [\cdot, a_k]) + e^{-\beta \omega_k / 2} ([a_k, \cdot] a_k^* + a_k [\cdot, a_k^*]) \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

The theory of the QMS generated by  $L$  does not fit in the framework outlined in Section 2. In [10] it is proven that  $L$  generates an ergodic QMS  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . More precisely, the semigroup generated by  $L$  is constructed first on  $L_2(\sigma) \supset \mathcal{A}$  by Dirichlet form techniques, and then it is shown that it has the Feller property, i.e., it preserves  $\mathcal{A}$ . Observe that the elements of the Weyl algebra  $W(\mathfrak{h})$  do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , but  $W(\mathfrak{h}) \subset L_2(\sigma)$ . Strictly speaking, the notion of reversibility used in [10] is not with respect to the GNS inner product employed here, but with respect to the KMS inner product induced by  $\sigma$ . However, in view of [6, Thm.2.9], these two notions of reversibility are equivalent.

In order to formulate the exponential ergodicity in trace distance of the Bose Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, we need to impose some conditions on the initial state  $\rho$ . Indeed, in this infinite dimensional case, exponential ergodicity in trace distance cannot hold uniformly with respect to  $\rho$ . We shall require the following growth conditions on the ‘‘moments’’ of  $\rho$ . Given  $K \in (0, +\infty)$ , let  $\mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K}$  be the set of states  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$  such that

$$|\rho((a^*)^\ell a^m)|^2 \leq \ell! m! K^{|\ell|+|m|}, \quad \forall \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N. \quad (3.4)$$

Hereafter, we employ the usual multi-index notation. For  $\ell, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ , we denote  $|\ell| := \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_N$ ,  $\ell! := \ell_1! \dots \ell_N!$ ,  $j \leq \ell$  if  $j_1 \leq \ell_1, \dots, j_N \leq \ell_N$ ,  $z^\ell := z_1^{\ell_1} \dots z_N^{\ell_N}$ , and  $\binom{\ell}{j} := \binom{\ell_1}{j_1} \dots \binom{\ell_N}{j_N}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume  $\Lambda := \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2 \operatorname{sh} \left( \frac{\beta \omega_k}{2} \right) > 0$ . For each  $K \in (0, +\infty)$  there is a constant  $A = A(\Lambda, K)$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \geq 0$*

$$4 \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K}} \operatorname{d}_{\operatorname{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 \leq (1 + A e^{-2\Lambda t})^N - 1. \quad (3.5)$$

In particular, if

$$t \geq \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \log \left( \frac{AN}{\log(1 + 4\varepsilon^2)} \right) \quad (3.6)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$\sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K}} \operatorname{d}_{\operatorname{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma) < \varepsilon.$$

In order to describe the spectral decomposition of  $-L$ , given  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ , let  $g_{\ell, m} \in L_2(\sigma)$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\ell, m} &:= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} j! \binom{\ell}{j} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{|j|} \gamma^j (a^*)^{\ell-j} a^{m-j} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} j! \binom{\ell}{j} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{|j|} \delta^j a^{m-j} (a^*)^{\ell-j}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ ,  $a^* = (a_1^*, \dots, a_N^*)$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ ,  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N)$ , and

$$\gamma_k = \frac{e^{-\beta \omega_k / 2}}{2 \operatorname{sh}(\beta \omega_k / 2)}, \quad \delta_k = \frac{e^{\beta \omega_k / 2}}{2 \operatorname{sh}(\beta \omega_k / 2)}. \quad (3.8)$$

The corresponding generating function is

$$g(z, w) := \sum_{\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \frac{z^\ell w^m}{\ell! m!} g_{\ell, m} = e^{-\sum_k \gamma_k z_k w_k} e^{z a^*} e^{w a} = e^{-\sum_k \delta_k z_k w_k} e^{w a} e^{z a^*} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $z a^* = \sum_k z_k a_k^*$  and  $w a = \sum_k w_k a_k$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Regarding  $L$  as an operator on  $L_2(\sigma)$ , we have  $\operatorname{spec}(-L) = \{\lambda_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N}$  where*

$$\lambda_\ell := 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh} \left( \frac{\beta \omega_k}{2} \right) \ell_k. \quad (3.10)$$

If  $\operatorname{sh} \left( \frac{\beta \omega_k}{2} \right)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , are rationally independent, the eigenspace  $\mathcal{U}_\ell$  associated to  $\lambda_\ell$  has dimension  $(\ell_1 + 1) \cdots (\ell_N + 1)$ . An orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{U}_\ell$  is given by  $f_{\ell, j} := \frac{g_{\ell-j, j}}{\|g_{\ell-j, j}\|_\sigma}$ ,  $j \leq \ell$ . The normalization is

$$\|g_{\ell, m}\|_\sigma^2 = \ell! m! \gamma^\ell \delta^m, \quad \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N. \quad (3.11)$$

For arbitrary  $\omega_k$ , the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{U}_\lambda := \bigoplus_{\ell: \lambda_\ell = \lambda} \mathcal{U}_\ell$ .

*Proof.* In view of (3.9), in order to prove that  $g_{\ell, m}$  is an eigenvector of  $-L$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\ell+m}$ , it suffices to show

$$-Lg(z, w) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh} \left( \frac{\beta \omega_k}{2} \right) \left( z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + w_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \right) g(z, w). \quad (3.12)$$

Recalling (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -Lg(z, w) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ e^{\beta\omega_k/2} (z_k a_k^* g(z, w) + g(z, w) w_k a_k) - e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} (g(z, w) z_k a_k^* + w_k a_k g(z, w)) \right] \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh}(\beta\omega_k/2) (z_k a_k^* g(z, w) + g(z, w) w_k a_k) - 2e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} z_k w_k g(z, w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{\beta\omega_k}{2}\right) \left( z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + w_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \right) g(z, w) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh}(\beta\omega_k/2) (z_k a_k^* g(z, w) + g(z, w) w_k a_k) - 4 \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sh}(\beta\omega_k/2) \gamma_k z_k w_k g(z, w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the identity (3.12) follows from (3.8).

We next show that

$$\langle g(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w}), g(z, w) \rangle_\sigma = e^{\sum_k (\gamma_k \bar{z}_k z_k + \delta_k \bar{w}_k w_k)} \quad (3.13)$$

which implies both the orthogonality of  $\{g_{\ell, m}\}_{\ell, m}$  and the normalization (3.11).

The CCR (3.1) can be written in exponential form as

$$e^{wa} e^{za^*} = e^{\sum_k z_k w_k} e^{za^*} e^{wa}.$$

This identity and the definition (3.9) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Tr} (g(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w})^* e^{-\beta h} g(z, w)) &= e^{-\sum_k \delta_k (z_k w_k + \bar{z}_k \bar{w}_k)} \operatorname{Tr} (e^{\bar{z}a} e^{\bar{w}a^*} e^{-\beta h} e^{wa} e^{za^*}) \\
 &= e^{-\sum_k [\delta_k (z_k w_k + \bar{z}_k \bar{w}_k) + z_k \bar{z}_k]} \operatorname{Tr} (e^{(z+\bar{w})a^*} e^{-\beta h} e^{(w+\bar{z})a}).
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We will compute the above trace in the orthonormal basis of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N, dx; \mathbb{C})$  given the Hermite functions  $\{|\ell\rangle\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N}$ . For such basis we have

$$a_k |\ell\rangle = \sqrt{\ell_k} |\ell - e_k\rangle, \quad a_k^* |\ell\rangle = \sqrt{\ell_k + 1} |\ell + e_k\rangle, \quad h |\ell\rangle = \sum_k \omega_k (\ell_k + \frac{1}{2}) |\ell\rangle, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $e_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$  has coordinates  $(e_k)_{k'} := \delta_{k, k'}$ . By expanding the exponentials, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Tr} (e^{za^*} e^{-\beta h} e^{wa}) &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \langle \ell | e^{za^*} e^{-\beta h} e^{wa} | \ell \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \binom{\ell}{m} \frac{z^m w^m}{m!} e^{-\beta \sum_k \omega_k (\ell_k - m_k + 1/2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \binom{\ell}{m} x^\ell = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{x_k^{m_k}}{(1-x_k)^{m_k+1}}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} \left( e^{za^*} e^{-\beta h} e^{wa} \right) &= \prod_{k=1}^N \left\{ \frac{e^{-\beta\omega_k/2}}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{z_k w_k}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k}} \right)^m \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_k \left[ \frac{z_k w_k}{1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k}} - \log(2 \operatorname{sh}(\beta\omega_k/2)) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that  $\delta_k^{-1} = 1 - e^{-\beta\omega_k}$ , and plugging the above result in (3.14), we deduce

$$\langle g(\tilde{z}, \tilde{w}), g(z, w) \rangle_{\sigma} = e^{-\sum_k [\delta_k(z_k w_k + \bar{z}_k \bar{w}_k) + z_k \bar{z}_k]} e^{\sum_k \delta_k(z_k + \bar{w}_k)(w_k + \bar{z}_k)}$$

which implies (3.13) as  $\delta_k - 1 = \gamma_k$ .

To complete the proof it remains to show that  $\{f_{\ell,j}\}_{\ell,j}$  is a complete system in  $L_2(\sigma)$ . Note that every element in the Weyl algebra  $W(\mathfrak{h})$  is a (finite) linear combination of elements in  $\{f_{\ell,m}\}_{\ell,j}$ . The claim thus follows by the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *The Weyl algebra  $W(\mathfrak{h})$  is dense in  $L_2(\sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the argument in [10, Lem. 7.1]. For simplicity of notation, we prove the statement in the case  $N = 1$ . Recall that  $\{|\ell\rangle, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  is the orthonormal basis given by Hermite functions and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the linear span of the elementary operators  $|\ell\rangle\langle m|$ , with  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $L_2(\sigma)$ , it is enough to show that, for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\langle (a^*)^i a^j, b \rangle_{\sigma} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \implies \quad b = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Fix  $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . By direct computation, for  $b = \sum_{\ell, m} b_{\ell, m} |\ell\rangle\langle m| \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (a^*)^i a^{i+k}, b \rangle_{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \sum_{\ell, m} b_{\ell, m} e^{-\beta\ell} \langle m | (a^*)^{i+k} a^i | \ell \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \sum_{\ell} b_{\ell, \ell+k} e^{-\beta\ell} \langle \ell + k | (a^*)^{i+k} a^i | \ell \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle \ell + k | (a^*)^{i+k} a^i | \ell \rangle = \ell(\ell - 1) \cdots (\ell - i + 1) \langle \ell + k | (a^*)^k | \ell \rangle,$$

the polynomial

$$b^{(k)}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta}} \sum_{\ell} b_{\ell, \ell+k} e^{-\beta\ell} \langle \ell + k | (a^*)^k | \ell \rangle z^{\ell}$$

satisfies

$$\left. \frac{d^i b^{(k)}}{dz^i} \right|_{z=1} = \langle (a^*)^i a^{i+k}, b \rangle_{\sigma}.$$

Hence, the assumption in (3.16) implies  $b^{(k)}(z) = 0$ , which yields  $b_{\ell, \ell+k} = 0$  for every  $\ell, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Exchanging the roles of  $i$  and  $j$  and of  $\ell$  and  $m$ , we also get  $b_{\ell+k, \ell} = 0$ , thus completing the proof of (3.16).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, it is enough to show that there exist constants  $T$  and  $\tilde{A}$  meeting the following condition. If  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K}$  and  $t \geq T$  then

$$\sum_{m \leq \ell} e^{-2\lambda_{\ell} t} |\rho(f_{\ell, m})|^2 \leq (1 + \tilde{A} e^{-2\Lambda t})^N, \quad (3.17)$$

where the sum is over all  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$  satisfying  $m \leq \ell$ . Indeed, (3.17) implies (3.5) with  $A = \max\{\tilde{A}, 4e^{2\Lambda T}\}$ .

Since  $\gamma_k \leq (1 - e^{-\beta \inf_k \{\omega_k\}})^{-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K_1} \subset \mathcal{A}'_{+,1,K_2}$  for  $K_1 \leq K_2$ , we can (and do) assume that  $\gamma_k \leq K/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$  and  $K \geq 1$ . By (3.7) and (3.11), the left hand side of (3.17) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m \leq \ell} \frac{e^{-2\lambda_\ell t}}{(\ell - m)! m! \gamma^{\ell - m} \delta^m} \left| \sum_j j! \binom{\ell - m}{j} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{|j|} \gamma^j \rho((a^*)^{\ell - m - j} a^{m - j}) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{m \leq \ell} \frac{e^{-2\lambda_\ell t}}{(\ell - m)! m! \gamma^{\ell - m} \delta^m} \binom{\ell}{m} \sum_j \binom{\ell - m}{j} \binom{m}{j} (j!)^2 \gamma^{2j} |\rho((a^*)^{\ell - m - j} a^{m - j})|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{m \leq \ell} e^{-2\lambda_\ell t} \binom{\ell}{m} \sum_{j \leq \min\{m, \ell - m\}} \gamma^{2j - \ell + m} \delta^{-m} K^{|\ell - 2|j|} \\ & \leq \sum_{m \leq \ell} e^{-2\lambda_\ell t} \binom{\ell}{m} K^{|\ell|} 2^{|m|} \gamma^{-\ell + m} \delta^{-m} = \sum_\ell e^{-2\lambda_\ell t} K^{|\ell|} \prod_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_k} + \frac{2}{\delta_k} \right)^{\ell_k} \\ & \leq \sum_\ell e^{-2\Lambda |\ell| t} (3K)^{|\ell|} = \left( 1 + \frac{3Ke^{-2\Lambda t}}{1 - 3Ke^{-2\Lambda t}} \right)^N \quad \text{for } t > \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \log(3K). \end{aligned}$$

Above we used  $\sum_j \binom{\ell - m}{j} \binom{m}{j} = \binom{\ell}{m}$  together with Jensen inequality in the first step, condition (3.4) in the second. For the third step we used the fact that  $(\gamma_k/K)^2 \leq 1/2$  and the inequality  $\sum_{j \leq \min\{m, \ell - m\}} 2^{-|j|} \leq 2^{|m|}$ . For the last step, we used that, by the definition  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\lambda_\ell \geq \Lambda |\ell|$ , and, as  $\delta_k = \gamma_k + 1$  and  $\gamma_k \geq 1$ , we have  $\sup_k \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_k} + \frac{2}{\delta_k} \right) \leq 3$ . Setting now  $T = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \log(6K)$ , we have  $1 - 3Ke^{-2\Lambda t} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for  $t \geq T$ , so that (3.17) holds with  $A = 6K$ .  $\square$

**Commutative case: restriction to diagonal matrices.** Consider the continuous time Markov chain on state space  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$  whose generator  $L_{\text{cl}}$  acts on compactly supported functions  $f: \mathbb{Z}_+^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$(L_{\text{cl}}f)(\ell) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ c_k^+(\ell_k) [f(\ell + e_k) - f(\ell)] + c_k^-(\ell_k) [f(\ell - e_k) - f(\ell)] \right\}$$

where we recall that  $e_k$  has coordinates  $(e_k)_{k'} = \delta_{k,k'}$  and the *jump rates* are given by

$$c_k^+(l) = 2(l+1)e^{-\beta\omega_k/2}, \quad c_k^-(l) = 2le^{\beta\omega_k/2}.$$

The chain generated by  $L_{\text{cl}}$  corresponds to  $n$  independent birth and death processes with birth and death rate given by  $c_k^+$ ,  $c_k^-$ , respectively. It is simple to check that

$$L \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} f(\ell) |\ell\rangle \langle \ell| = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} (L_{\text{cl}}f)(\ell) |\ell\rangle \langle \ell|.$$

In particular, if the state  $\rho$  commutes with each occupation number  $n_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , namely,  $\rho = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mu(\ell) |\ell\rangle \langle \ell|$  for some probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$ , then  $\rho P_t = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} (\mu P_t^{\text{cl}})(\ell) |\ell\rangle \langle \ell|$  where  $P_t^{\text{cl}}$  is the Markov semigroup generated by  $L_{\text{cl}}$ . Clearly, the generator  $L_{\text{cl}}$  is reversible with respect to the probability  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$  given by  $\pi(\ell) = Z^{-1} e^{-\beta \sum_k \omega_k \ell_k}$ , where  $Z$  is the appropriate normalization. Lemma 3.2 thus

provides, as a corollary, the spectral decomposition of  $L_{\text{cl}}$ . More precisely, recalling (3.10),  $\text{spec}(-L_{\text{cl}}) = \{\lambda_\ell^{\text{cl}}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_\ell^{\text{cl}}$  given by

$$\lambda_\ell^{\text{cl}} = 2\lambda_\ell = 4 \sum_{k=1}^N \text{sh} \left( \frac{\beta \omega_k}{2} \right) \ell_k.$$

The corresponding eigenvectors  $f_\ell^{\text{cl}}: \mathbb{Z}_+^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given

$$f_\ell^{\text{cl}}(m) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{\ell/2} \delta^{\ell/2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \binom{\ell}{j} \binom{m}{\ell-j} (-1)^{|j|} \gamma^j,$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are given by (3.8). Indeed, by (3.7) and (3.11),

$$f_{2\ell, \ell} = \sum_m f_\ell^{\text{cl}}(m) |m\rangle \langle m|.$$

Letting  $(P_t^{\text{cl}})_{t \geq 0}$  be the semigroup generated by  $L^{\text{cl}}$ , Theorem 3.1 implies the following statement regarding the exponential ergodicity of  $(P_t^{\text{cl}})_{t \geq 0}$ . Fix  $K > 0$  and denote by  $\mathcal{P}_K$  the set of probabilities  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$  satisfying

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mu(m) m^\ell \leq K^{|\ell|} \ell!.$$

Then, for each  $K > 0$  there exists  $A = A(K, \Lambda)$  such that for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \geq 0$

$$4 \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_K} d_{\text{TV}}(\mu P_t^{\text{cl}}, \pi)^2 \leq (1 + A e^{-4\Lambda t})^N - 1,$$

where  $d_{\text{TV}}$  denotes the total variation distance.

#### 4. FERMI ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK SEMIGROUP

Given a positive integer  $N$ , let  $a_k, a_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$  be a family of operators satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR)

$$\{a_h, a_k\} = \{a_h^*, a_k^*\} = 0, \quad \{a_h, a_k^*\} = \delta_{h,k} \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\{a, b\} = ab + ba$  denotes the anticommutator of  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $C^*$  algebra generated by the  $a_k$ 's and  $a_k^*$ 's. This algebra can be realized as the  $C^*$  algebra of operators on the Hilbert space  $H = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes N}$  in terms of the Jordan-Wigner transformation:

$$a_k = \sigma_z^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \sigma^+ \otimes \mathbb{1}_2^{\otimes(N-k)}, \quad a_k^* = \sigma_z^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \sigma^- \otimes \mathbb{1}_2^{\otimes(N-k)},$$

where

$$\sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{1}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $n_k = a_k^* a_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , be the fermionic number operators, which are pairwise commuting, self-adjoint, and satisfy  $n_k^2 = n_k$ . For a collection  $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^N$  of reals, consider the free fermionic Hamiltonian  $h = \sum_k \omega_k n_k$  and set  $\sigma = e^{-\beta h} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta h})$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ . Following [6], we introduce a QMS reversible with respect to  $\sigma$ . Let  $w$  be the self-adjoint and unitary element of  $\mathcal{A}$  given by  $w = \prod_{k=1}^n (2n_k - 1)$ , and set  $v_k = w a_k$  and  $v_k^* = a_k^* w$ . Observe that, since  $w$  commutes with  $h$ ,

$$\text{ad}_h(v_k) = -\omega_k v_k, \quad \text{ad}_h(v_k^*) = \omega_k v_k^*.$$

We then define a Lindblad generator as in (2.6):

$$L = \sum_k \left\{ e^{\beta\omega_k/2} ([v_k^*, \cdot]v_k + v_k^*[\cdot, v_k]) + e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} ([v_k, \cdot]v_k^* + v_k[\cdot, v_k^*]) \right\}, \quad (4.2)$$

which is self-adjoint in  $L_2(\sigma)$ . The exponential ergodicity in trace distance of the QMS  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $L$  is the content of the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Set  $\Lambda = \inf_{k=1, \dots, N} 2 \operatorname{ch} \left( \frac{\beta\omega_k}{2} \right)$ . Then*

$$4 \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}} d_{\operatorname{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 \leq (1 + 9e^{-2\Lambda(t-1)})^N - 1.$$

*In particular, if*

$$t \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \log \left( \frac{9N}{\log(1 + 4\varepsilon^2)} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

*for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $d_{\operatorname{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma) < \varepsilon$  uniformly with respect to the initial state  $\rho$ .*

The dependence on  $N$  of the mixing time provided by (4.3) is optimal. Indeed, by restricting the action of  $P_t$  to diagonal matrices we get a version of the classical Markov semigroup corresponding to the biased random walk on the hypercube  $\{0, 1\}^N$ , whose optimal mixing time, as discussed in [13], has the same  $N$ -dependence as the right-hand side of (4.3).

*Proof.* The spectral decomposition of  $-L$  has been obtained in [6]. A complete system of eigenvector of  $-L$ , parametrized by  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in (\{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\})^N$ , is given by

$$g_\alpha = g_{1, \alpha_1} \cdots g_{N, \alpha_N},$$

where

$$g_{k, (0,0)} = 1, \quad g_{k, (1,0)} = a_k, \quad g_{k, (0,1)} = a_k^*, \quad g_{k, (1,1)} = e^{\beta\omega_k/2} n_k - e^{-\beta\omega_k/2} (1 - n_k).$$

The corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_\alpha = 2 \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k| \operatorname{ch} \left( \frac{\beta\omega_k}{2} \right),$$

where  $|\alpha_k| = i + j$  for  $\alpha_k = (i, j) \in \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}$ .

By direct computation,

$$\langle g_\alpha, g_\beta \rangle_\sigma = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{k=1}^N A(\omega_k)_{\alpha_k} \quad \text{where} \quad A(\omega_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{1+e^{\beta\omega_k}} \\ \frac{1}{1+e^{-\beta\omega_k}} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

while

$$\|g_\alpha\| = \prod_{k=1}^N B(\omega_k)_{\alpha_k} \quad \text{where} \quad B(\omega_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^{|\beta\omega_k|/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2.5 and elementary computations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
4 \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 &\leq \sum_{\alpha} e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha} t} \frac{\|g_{\alpha}\|^2}{\|g_{\alpha}\|_{\sigma}^2} - 1 \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^N \left( 1 + 8 \operatorname{ch}^2(\beta\omega_k/2) \operatorname{ch}(\beta\omega_k) e^{-4 \operatorname{ch}(\beta\omega_k/2)t} + e^{|\beta\omega_k|} e^{-8 \operatorname{ch}(\beta\omega_k/2)t} \right) - 1 \\
&\leq \prod_{k=1}^N \left( 1 + 9e^{2|\beta\omega_k| - 4 \operatorname{ch}(\beta\omega_k/2)t} \right) - 1 \\
&\leq (1 + 9e^{-2\Lambda(t-1)})^N - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the first inequality we used  $\operatorname{ch} x \leq e^{|x|}$ , while in the second inequality we used  $|x| \leq \operatorname{ch} x$  and the definition of  $\Lambda$ .  $\square$

## 5. ADJOINT ACTION OF THE CASIMIR ELEMENT AS A LINDBLAD GENERATOR

In this section we introduce novel examples of QMS parametrized by a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ . For this family we prove exponential ergodicity in trace distance with a rate depending on  $\mathfrak{g}$  but not on  $V$ .

**A motivating example.** Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$  be the orbital angular momentum of a quantum particle in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In the Schrödinger representation they are given by  $\ell_j = \varepsilon_{j h k} x_h p_k = -i \varepsilon_{j h k} x_h \partial_{x_k}$  where  $\varepsilon_{j h k}$  is the totally antisymmetric tensor of rank three and we used Einstein convention of summing over repeated indices. Note that  $\ell_j$  are Hermitian and satisfy the commutation relations  $[\ell_j, \ell_h] = i \varepsilon_{j h k} \ell_k$ . Denote by  $S^2$  the two-dimensional sphere and by  $\Sigma$  the uniform probability on  $S^2$ . Let also  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of bounded operators on  $L_2(S^2; d\Sigma)$  endowed with the operator norm, and consider the Lindblad operator on  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$La = \sum_{j=1}^3 \{[\ell_j, a] \ell_j + \ell_j [a, \ell_j]\} = - \sum_{j=1}^3 [\ell_j, [\ell_j, a]]$$

that is well defined for a suitable dense subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ . This operator can be seen as a non-commutative analogue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $S^2$ .

The operator  $L$  is not ergodic in  $L_2(S^2; d\Sigma)$ , its ergodic decomposition is however simply achieved. Decompose  $L_2(S^2; d\Sigma)$  into the eigenspaces of the Casimir operator  $\ell^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \ell_j^2$ , i.e.  $L_2(S^2; d\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ , where  $V_n$ , the space of spherical harmonics of degree  $n$ , has dimension  $2n + 1$ . In particular,  $\ell^2$  acts on  $V_n$  as the scalar operator  $n(n + 1)\mathbf{1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\operatorname{End}(V_n)$  endowed with the operator norm. It is simple to check that  $L$  restricted to  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is reversible with respect to the normalized trace  $\sigma_n$  and ergodic. The next natural issue regards the speed of convergence to the invariant state  $\sigma_n$  on each ergodic component. Relying on Theorem 2.5, we will next show that such convergence is in fact uniform in  $n$ . More precisely, denoting by  $\mathcal{A}'_{n,+1}$  the set of states on  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , the following bound holds. There exists a universal constant  $A \in (0, +\infty)$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and any  $t \geq 0$

$$\sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{n,+1}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\rho P_t^n, \sigma_n) \leq A e^{-2t} \quad (5.1)$$



where  $P_t^n$  is the restriction of the semigroup generated by  $L$  to  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

**Lie algebraic formulation.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be its compact real form, which has negative definite Killing form  $\kappa$ , see e.g. [12]. Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is equipped with the conjugation  $(a_0 + ib_0)^* := -a_0 + ib_0$  and the Euclidean inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} := \kappa(a^*, b)$ . Let  $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be a finite dimensional irreducible *unitary representation* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$ . Namely,  $V$  is a Euclidean space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$  and  $\pi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism such that  $\pi(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subset \mathfrak{u}(V)$ . Here  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  denotes the general linear Lie algebra  $\text{End}(V)$  with Lie bracket given by the commutator, while  $\mathfrak{u}(V) \subset \text{End}(V)$  is the real Lie algebra of skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of  $V$ . Observe that, by linearity,  $\pi(g^*) = \pi(g)^*$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . As customary in Lie theory, we will typically drop  $\pi$  from the notation.

Let  $d := \dim(\mathfrak{g})$  and fix an orthonormal basis  $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^d$  of the real Euclidean space  $i\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^d$  is also basis of the complex space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $C^*$  algebra  $\text{End}(V)$  endowed with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and consider the Lindblad generator  $L: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  given by

$$L := - \sum_{j=1}^d \text{ad}_{\ell_j}^2, \quad (5.2)$$

which is reversible with respect to  $\sigma$ , the normalized trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ . The operator  $-L$  corresponds to the adjoint action of the Casimir element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\text{End}(V)$ . In particular, (5.2) does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis  $\{\ell_j\}_{j=1}^d$ . Moreover, by the irreducibility of  $V$ , the QMS  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $L$  is ergodic.

As in Section 2,  $L_2(\sigma)$  is the complex Euclidean space  $\mathcal{A}$  endowed with the inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\sigma} = \frac{\text{Tr}(a^* b)}{\dim(V)}, \quad (5.3)$$

i.e.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$  is the (normalized) Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. Since  $V$  is a unitary  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module,  $L_2(\sigma)$  is also a unitary  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with respect to the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *There exists a constant  $g_0 \in (0, 1]$  depending on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  but independent of the representation  $V$  such that  $\text{gap}(-L) \in [g_0, 1]$ . Furthermore, there is a constant  $A \in (0, \infty)$  depending on  $\mathfrak{g}$  but independent of  $V$  such that for any  $t \geq 0$*

$$\sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{+,1}'} d_{\text{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma) \leq A e^{-\text{gap}(-L)t}. \quad (5.4)$$

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple then the value of  $g_0$  is given in the following table:

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2r}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2r}$	$E_6$	$E_7$	$E_8$	$F_4$	$G_2$
$g_0$	1	$\frac{r}{2r-1}$	$\frac{r}{r+1}$	1	1	1	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

For simple Lie algebras the bound  $\text{gap}(-L) \geq g_0$  is optimal in the sense that for each Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  there exists a representation  $V$  for which  $\text{gap}(-L)$  coincides with the value of  $g_0$  in the above table. In fact, we have  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$  unless  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $B, C, F, G$ , and, even in these cases,  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$  except for few irreducible representations  $V$ .

The example discussed at the beginning of this section corresponds to the choice  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_3 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$  together with its unique irreducible representation  $V_n$  of dimension  $2n + 1$ . Observe that the factor 2 on the right-hand side of (5.1), with respect to the value  $g_0 = 1$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  in Theorem 5.1 is due to a different normalization on the generators  $\ell_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Bounding operator norm by Hilbert-Schmidt norm.** In view of Theorem 2.5, a key step for the proof of Theorem 5.1 consists in obtaining a bound on the operator norm of the eigenvectors of  $L$  in terms of their norm in  $L_2(\sigma)$ .

Recall the following basic fact in harmonic analysis. Let  $G$  be a compact group that acts transitively on a set  $X$  and endow  $X$  with the probability measure  $\mu$  that is left invariant by the action of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite-dimensional  $G$ -stable subspace of  $L_2(X; \mu)$  then for any  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  the bound  $\|b\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{B})} \|f\|_{L_2(X; \mu)}$  holds. The present aim, which has an independent interest, is to provide a non-commutative version of this statement.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule of  $L_2(\sigma)$ . Then, for every  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,*

$$\|b\| \leq \sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{B})} \|b\|_\sigma. \quad (5.5)$$

*Proof.* Pick an orthonormal basis  $\{b_i\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We claim that

$$B := \sum_i b_i^* b_i = \dim(\mathcal{B}) \mathbf{1}. \quad (5.6)$$

Indeed, for  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i [g, b_i^*] b_i &= \sum_{i,j} \langle b_j^*, [g, b_i^*] \rangle_\sigma b_j^* b_i \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle b_i, [b_j, g] \rangle_\sigma b_j^* b_i = \sum_j b_j^* [b_j, g] \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\{b_i^*\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{B}^* := \{b^*, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^*$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules. We deduce that  $[g, B] = 0$ . Hence, by the irreducibility of  $V$  and Schur's lemma,  $B = c\mathbf{1}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,

$$c = \langle \mathbf{1}, B \rangle_\sigma = \sum_i \langle b_i, b_i \rangle_\sigma = \dim(\mathcal{B})$$

as claimed.

We next show that for each  $u, v \in V$  we have

$$\sum_i |(u, b_i v)_V|^2 \leq \dim(\mathcal{B}) (u, u)_V (v, v)_V. \quad (5.7)$$

Indeed, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |(u, b_i v)_V|^2 &\leq \sum_i (u, u)_V (b_i v, b_i v)_V \\ &= (u, u)_V \left( v, \sum_i b_i^* b_i v \right)_V = \dim(\mathcal{B}) (u, u)_V (v, v)_V. \end{aligned}$$

We finally complete the proof by showing that the bound (5.7) implies (5.5). Observe that if  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  then  $b = \sum_i \langle b_i, b \rangle_\sigma b_i$  and  $\|b\| = \sup_{u,v} |(u, b v)_V|$  where

the supremum is carried out over  $u, v \in V$  such that  $(u, u)_V = (v, v)_V = 1$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|^2 &= \sup_{u,v} |(u, bv)_V|^2 = \sup_{u,v} \left| \sum_i (u, b_i v)_V \langle b_i, a \rangle_\sigma \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{u,v} \sum_i |(u, b_i v)_V|^2 \sum_i |\langle b_i, a \rangle_\sigma|^2 \leq \dim(\mathcal{B}) \|b\|_\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.7) in the last step.  $\square$

**Convergence in trace distance.** In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. Referring the unfamiliar reader e.g. to [12], we recall some basic facts about finite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras.

Let  $(E, \Phi)$  be the *root system* associated to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here  $E$  is a real Euclidean space of dimension  $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ , with inner product  $(\cdot | \cdot)$ , and  $\Phi \subset E$  is a finite set of *roots*. Let also  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  be a *base* of  $\Phi$ , i.e. a basis of  $E$  such that  $\Phi = \Phi_+ \sqcup (-\Phi_+)$ , where  $\Phi_+ = \Phi \cap E_+$ , in which  $E_+ = \sum_i \mathbb{R}_+ \alpha_i$  is the positive cone of  $E$ . The elements of  $\Delta$  are the *simple roots* and the elements of  $\Phi_+$  are the *positive roots*. The collection of *fundamental weights*  $\Pi = \{\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_r\} \subset E$  is defined by  $\frac{2(\alpha_i | \varrho_j)}{(\alpha_i | \alpha_i)} = \delta_{i,j}$ . Finally, the set of *dominant weights* is  $\Lambda^+ = \{n_1 \varrho_1 + \dots + n_r \varrho_r\}_{n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

A basic result in representation theory of Lie algebras is that the isomorphism classes of the irreducible finite dimensional representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with  $\Lambda^+$ . For  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , we denote by  $V_\lambda$  the corresponding irreducible representation. The Weyl dimension formula then reads

$$\dim(V_\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \frac{(\lambda + \delta | \alpha)}{(\delta | \alpha)}, \quad (5.8)$$

where  $\delta := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha$ .

By the Schur Lemma, the Casimir element  $C = \sum_{j=1}^d \ell_j^2$  acts as a scalar on each irreducible representation  $V_\lambda$ . More precisely,

$$C|_{V_\lambda} = c_\lambda \mathbb{1}_{V_\lambda}, \quad c_\lambda = \frac{(\lambda | \lambda + 2\delta)}{(\theta | \theta + 2\delta)}, \quad (5.9)$$

where  $\theta \in \Phi_+$  is the highest root, i.e. the dominant integral weight associated to the adjoint representation. Indeed, the value by which the Casimir element acts on the irreducible representation  $V_\lambda$  is  $c_\lambda = c(\lambda, \lambda + 2\delta)$ , where the constant  $c$  does not depend on the representation  $V_\lambda$ , see e.g. [12]. On the other hand, by the very definition of the Killing form and of the Casimir element  $C$ , its value on the adjoint representation is  $c_\theta = 1$ , whence  $c = (\theta, \theta + 2\delta)^{-1}$ .

Given  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^+$ , consider the decomposition of the tensor product  $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2}$  into its irreducible components, namely

$$V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda^+} V_\mu^{\oplus n_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\mu)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Moreover,  $n_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\mu) = 0$  unless  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \mu \in P^+ := \{n_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + n_r \alpha_r\}_{n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *For each  $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ ,*

$$\sup_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^+} n_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\mu) \leq \dim(V_\mu).$$

*Proof.* The claim follows directly from the PRV formula [16, Thm.2.1].  $\square$

We are now ready to complete the proof of the convergence in trace distance.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  be the dominant weight corresponding to the representation  $V$ , i.e.  $V \simeq V_\lambda$ . Consider the canonical isomorphism  $\text{End } V \simeq V \otimes V^* = V_\lambda \otimes V_{\lambda^*}$ , where  $\lambda^*$  is the dominant weight associated to the dual representation  $V_\lambda^*$ . The eigenspaces of the Lindblad generator  $L$  in (5.2) can then be obtained from (5.10),

$$L_2(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda^+} V_\mu^{\oplus n_{\lambda, \lambda^*}(\mu)}, \quad (5.11)$$

and the corresponding eigenvalues can be read out of (5.9):

$$-L|_{V_\mu^{\oplus n_{\lambda, \lambda^*}(\mu)}} = \frac{(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)}{(\theta|\theta + 2\delta)} \mathbb{I}. \quad (5.12)$$

In particular,  $V_0$ , the trivial 1-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , appears with multiplicity  $n_{\lambda, \lambda^*}(0) = 1$ , and corresponds to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ , the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue 0 of  $L$ . Since the adjoint representation is always present in the decomposition (5.11), we get  $\text{gap}(-L) \leq 1$ . Moreover, by the observation following (5.10),  $\lambda + \lambda^* \in P^+$ , so that the dominant weights appearing in the right hand side of (5.11) must lie in  $\Lambda^+ \cap P^+$ . Hence, by (5.9), we deduce that

$$\text{gap}(-L) \geq g_0 := \min_{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap P^+ \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)}{(\theta|\theta + 2\delta)}. \quad (5.13)$$

This concludes the proof of the uniform lower bound on the spectral gap.

To prove the bound (5.4), we first observe that, since  $d_{\text{tr}}(\rho, \sigma) \leq 1$ , we can assume  $t \geq 1$ , By Theorem 2.5 and (5.12), for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}'_{+,1}$ ,

$$d_{\text{tr}}(\rho P_t, \sigma)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap P^+ \setminus \{0\}} e^{-2 \frac{(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)}{(\theta|\theta + 2\delta)} t} \sum_j \|f_j^{(\mu)}\|^2,$$

where  $f_j^{(\mu)}$  is an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace  $V_\mu^{\oplus n_{\lambda, \lambda^*}(\mu)}$ . Let  $g := \text{gap}(-L)$ , by (5.13), for  $t \geq 1$  the right hand side above is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{4} e^{-2gt} \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap P^+ \setminus \{0\}} e^{-2 \left( \frac{(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)}{(\theta|\theta + 2\delta)} - g \right)} \sum_j \|f_j^{(\mu)}\|^2.$$

By Theorem 5.2 we have  $\|f_j^{(\mu)}\|^2 \leq \dim(V_\mu) \|f_j^{(\mu)}\|_\sigma^2 = \dim(V_\mu)$ , so that

$$\sum_j \|f_j^{(\mu)}\|^2 \leq n_{\lambda, \lambda^*}(\mu) \dim(V_\mu)^2 \leq \dim(V_\mu)^3,$$

where we used Lemma 5.3. Combining the above bounds, we deduce (5.4) with

$$A = \frac{1}{2} e^g \left( \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^+ \cap P^+ \setminus \{0\}} e^{-2 \frac{(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)}{(\theta|\theta + 2\delta)}} \dim(V_\mu)^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is finite thanks to (5.8).

It remains to show that, for simple Lie algebras, the value of  $g_0$  as defined in (5.13) is the one in the statement. This is achieved by a case by case analysis that is carried out in Appendix A.  $\square$

**Explicit eigenvectors of the Lindblad operator when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ .** In the special case when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{so}_3$ , we provide explicit formulae for the eigenvectors of the Lindblad generator (5.2). These can be viewed as a non-commutative version of the spherical harmonics.

Recall that the standard basis  $\{e, f, h\}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  has commutation relations  $[h, e] = 2e$ ,  $[h, f] = -2f$ ,  $[e, f] = h$ . In this basis the Killing form is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the dual basis of the standard basis with respect to the Killing form is  $\{\frac{1}{4}f, \frac{1}{4}e, \frac{1}{8}h\}$ . As a consequence, the Lindblad operator associated to an irreducible representation  $\pi : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \text{ad}_{\pi(e)} \text{ad}_{\pi(f)} + \text{ad}_{\pi(f)} \text{ad}_{\pi(e)} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}_{\pi(h)}^2 \right). \quad (5.14)$$

Let  $V_\lambda$  be the  $n$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  (of highest weight  $\lambda = n - 1$ ). An explicit realization of the action of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -generators on  $V_\lambda = \mathbb{C}^n$  is given by the following matrices

$$e = \sum_{x=1}^n \sqrt{x(n-x)} E_{x,x+1}, \quad f = \sum_{x=1}^n \sqrt{x(n-x)} E_{x+1,x}, \quad h = \sum_{x=1}^n (n+1-2x) E_{x,x}.$$

The spectral decomposition of  $L$  acting on  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is (cf. (5.12))

$$\mathfrak{gl}(V_\lambda) \simeq V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda^* = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V_{2i}, \quad -L|_{V_{2i}} = \frac{i(i+1)}{2}.$$

An orthonormal basis of  $V_{2i} \subset \text{End}(V_\lambda)$  with respect to the inner product (5.3) is  $\{v_\ell^{(i)}\}_{\ell=0}^{2i}$ , where

$$v_\ell^{(i)} = \frac{i! \sqrt{n}}{\ell! \sqrt{\binom{2i}{\ell} \binom{n+i}{2i+1}}} \sum_x \gamma_\ell^{(i)}(x) E_{x,x+i-\ell}, \quad (5.15)$$

in which

$$\gamma_\ell^{(i)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{(x-1)!(n-x-i+\ell)!}{(x-1+i-\ell)!(n-x)!}} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-j} \binom{\ell}{j} \binom{x+i-j-1}{i} \binom{n-x+j}{i}. \quad (5.16)$$

**Action of the Lindblad generator on diagonal matrices for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ .** If  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , then the generator  $L$  in (5.14) preserves the Abelian subalgebra of diagonal matrices. More precisely, given  $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by direct computation,

$$L \sum_{x=1}^n f(x) E_{x,x} = \sum_{x=1}^n (L_{\text{cl}} f)(x) E_{x,x}$$

where  $E_{x,y}$  are the elementary matrices and  $L_{\text{cl}}$  is the classical Markov generator on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  given by

$$(L_{\text{cl}} f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} x(n-x) [f(x+1) - f(x)] + \frac{1}{2} (x-1)(n-x+1) [f(x-1) - f(x)],$$

that is reversible with respect to the uniform probability on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Note that the process generated by  $L_{\text{cl}}$  is a discrete version of the Wright-Fisher diffusion process.

The spectral decomposition of  $-L_{\text{cl}}$  can be obtained by restricting (5.15)-(5.16) to diagonal matrices. We have  $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}\gamma^{(i)}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(i)}(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\binom{2i}{i}\binom{n+i}{2i+1}}} \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} \binom{x+i-j-1}{i} \binom{n-x+j}{i} \\ &= i! \sqrt{\frac{(n-i-1)!}{(n+i)!}} (2i+1)n \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} \binom{n-x}{i-j} \end{aligned}$$

and  $-L_{\text{cl}}\gamma^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}i(i+1)\gamma^{(i)}$ . Note that, by the second expression,  $\gamma^{(i)}(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree  $i$ . In fact, these are the discrete Chebyshev polynomials, see e.g. [1, §22.17].

Finally, letting  $P_t^{\text{cl}} = \exp(tL_{\text{cl}})$  be the Markov semigroup generated by  $L_{\text{cl}}$ , as a Corollary of Theorem 5.1 we get that for any probability  $\rho$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\rho P_t^{\text{cl}}, \sigma) \leq A e^{-t}$$

where  $d_{\text{TV}}$  is the total variation distance.

**Lower bound on the spectral gap via Bakry-Émery criterion.** As we here discuss, a uniform lower bound on the spectral gap of the Lindblad generator  $L$  can be obtained by a simple argument based on the so-called  $\Gamma$ -calculus. Note that this argument does not yield the sharp values of  $\text{gap}(-L)$  computed in the Appendix with the machinery of representation theory and detailed in Theorem 5.1.

Let the *carré du champ*  $\Gamma: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be defined by

$$\Gamma(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left( L(ab) - (La)b - a(Lb) \right)$$

and the *carré du champ itéré*  $\Gamma_2: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be defined by

$$\Gamma_2(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left( L\Gamma(a, b) - \Gamma(La, b) - \Gamma(a, Lb) \right).$$

The following general statement is proven as in the commutative case, see e.g. [4, Prop.4.8.1].

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $L$  be an ergodic and reversible Lindblad generator. Assume that there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\Gamma_2(a, a^*) \geq \gamma \Gamma(a, a^*) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5.17)$$

*Then  $\text{gap}(-L) \geq \gamma$ .*

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $L$  be the Lindblad generator in (5.2). Then the inequality (5.17) holds with  $\gamma = \frac{1}{4}$ . Hence,  $\text{gap}(-L) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ .*

*Proof.* By a direct computation

$$\Gamma(a, b) = \sum_j (\text{ad}_{\ell_j} a)(\text{ad}_{\ell_j} b),$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(a, b) = \sum_{i,j} (\text{ad}_{\ell_i} \text{ad}_{\ell_j} a)(\text{ad}_{\ell_i} \text{ad}_{\ell_j} b).$$

By a symmetrization procedure,

$$\Gamma_2(a, a^*) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} (\text{ad}_{[\ell_i, \ell_j]} a) (\text{ad}_{[\ell_i, \ell_j]} a^*) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} (\{\text{ad}_{\ell_i}, \text{ad}_{\ell_j}\} a) (\{\text{ad}_{\ell_i}, \text{ad}_{\ell_j}\} a^*),$$

where, as usual,  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ . To conclude, we observe that the second term on the right hand side above is positive, while the first term is equal to  $\Gamma(a, a^*)/4$  since

$$\sum_{i,j} [\ell_i, \ell_j] \otimes [\ell_i, \ell_j] = - \sum_j \ell_j \otimes \ell_j,$$

as follows from the facts that  $\sum_j \ell_j \otimes \ell_j \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$  is left invariant by the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and that the Casimir operator  $\sum_i \text{ad}_{\ell_i}^2$  acts as the identity on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. GAP OF THE LINDBLAD GENERATOR FOR SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

In the case of classical Lie algebras, i.e. for types  $A_r$ ,  $B_r$ ,  $C_r$  and  $D_r$ , we rely on specific representations of the root systems, see e.g. [12]. For the exceptional Lie algebras  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$  and  $G_2$ , we do not rely on a specific representation of the root system but only on the computation of the inverse of the Cartan matrix.

**Case  $A_r = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ ,  $n = r + 1 \geq 2$ .** The root system of type  $A_r$  is

$$\Phi = \{e_i - e_j\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the canonical basis of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case  $E = (e_1 + \dots + e_n)^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We fix the base  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ , where  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ . With this choice of the base, the subset of positive roots is  $\Phi_+ = \{e_i - e_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , the highest root is  $\theta = e_1 - e_n$ , and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (n+1-2i)e_i.$$

The root lattice is  $P = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Phi\} = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap E$ , while its positive cone is

$$P^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Phi_+\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap E : \sum_{j=1}^i k_j \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

The system of fundamental weights associated to the base  $\Delta$  is  $\Pi = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r\}$ , where

$$\varphi_i = \frac{n-i}{n} \sum_{j=1}^i e_j - \frac{i}{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^n e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

The corresponding weight lattice is

$$\Lambda = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Pi\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i - \bar{k}) e_i : k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where  $\bar{k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ . In other words,  $\Lambda$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  onto  $E$ . The positive cone of dominant integral weights is

$$\Lambda^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Pi\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i - \bar{k}) e_i \in \Lambda : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_{n-1} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Observe that, if  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i \in P^+ \cap \Lambda^+$ , then

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(k_i + n + 1 - 2i) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(k_i + 2(n - i)),$$

where, for the second equality, we used  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0$ . Now each  $i$ -th summand in the right hand side is positive and increasing in  $k_i$ . Hence, the minimum in (5.13) is achieved for  $\mu = e_1 - e_n = \theta$ , which corresponds to the adjoint representation  $V_\theta = \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1.

**Case  $B_r = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}$ ,  $r \geq 2$ .** The root system of type  $B_r$  is

$$\Phi = \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \sqcup \{ \pm e_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  is the canonical basis of the Euclidean space  $E = \mathbb{R}^r$ . We fix the base  $\Delta = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \}$ , where  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $\alpha_r = e_r$ . With this choice of the base, the subset of positive roots is

$$\Phi_+ = \{ e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \sqcup \{ e_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq r},$$

the highest root is  $\theta = e_1 + e_2$ , and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r (2r + 1 - 2i) e_i.$$

The root lattice is  $P = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Phi\} = \mathbb{Z}^r$ , while its positive cone is

$$P^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Phi_+\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : \sum_{j=1}^i k_j \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

The system of fundamental weights associated to the base  $\Delta$  is  $\Pi = \{ \wp_1, \dots, \wp_r \}$ , where

$$\wp_i = \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r-1, \quad \wp_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r e_j.$$

The corresponding weight lattice is  $\Lambda = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Pi\} = \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \sqcup \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$ , where

$$\Lambda_{\bar{0}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i : k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and } \Lambda_{\bar{1}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i : k_1, \dots, k_r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The positive cone of dominant integral weights is  $\Lambda^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Pi\} = \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^+ \sqcup \Lambda_{\bar{1}}^+$ , where

$$\Lambda_a^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \Lambda_a : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_r \geq 0 \right\}, \quad a \in \{ \bar{0}, \bar{1} \}.$$

It follows that  $P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^+$ .

Observe that, if  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^+$ , then

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i(k_i + 2r + 1 - 2i).$$



Now each  $i$ -th summand in the right hand side is positive and increasing in  $k_i$ . Hence, the minimum in (5.13) is achieved for  $\mu = e_1 = \wp_1$ , where it has value  $(\wp_1|\wp_1 + 2\delta) = 2r$ . On the other hand, the highest root is  $\theta = e_1 + e_2 = \wp_2$ , and  $(\theta|\theta + 2\delta) = 2(2r - 1)$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = \frac{r}{2r-1} < 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1.

We conclude the study of this case by exhibiting a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$  and another representation for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ . For the defining representation  $V = V_{\wp_1}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_\theta \oplus V_{2\wp_1}$ , so that, in this case,  $V_{\wp_1}$  does not appear and  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ . On the other hand, for  $V = V_{\wp_r}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} V_{\wp_i} \right) \oplus V_{2\wp_r}$ . Since, in this case,  $V_{\wp_1}$  does appear in the decomposition, we have  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$ .

**Case  $C_r = \mathfrak{sp}_{2r}$ ,  $r \geq 3$ .** The root system of type  $C_r$  is

$$\Phi = \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \sqcup \{ \pm 2e_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  is the canonical basis of the Euclidean space  $E = \mathbb{R}^r$ . We fix the base  $\Delta = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \}$ , where  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $\alpha_r = 2e_r$ . With this choice of the base, the subset of positive roots is

$$\Phi_+ = \{ e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \sqcup \{ 2e_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq r},$$

the highest root is  $\theta = 2e_1$ , and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha = 2 \sum_{i=1}^r (r+1-i)e_i.$$

The root lattice is

$$P = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Phi\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

while its positive cone is

$$P^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Phi_+\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in P : \sum_{j=1}^i k_j \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

The system of fundamental weights associated to the base  $\Delta$  is  $\Pi = \{ \wp_1, \dots, \wp_r \}$ , where

$$\wp_i = \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

The corresponding weight lattice is  $\Lambda = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Pi\} = \mathbb{Z}^r$ , while the positive cone of dominant integral weights is

$$\Lambda^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Pi\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r \geq 0 \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Observe that, if  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in P^+ \cap \Lambda^+$ , then

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i(k_i + 2(r+1-i)).$$

Now, each  $i$ -th summand in the right hand side is positive and increasing in  $k_i$ . Hence, the minimum in (5.13) is achieved either for the highest root  $\theta = 2e_1$ , corresponding to the adjoint representation, or for  $\varphi_2 = e_1 + e_2$ . By computing the two values, we get  $(\theta|\theta + 2\delta) = 4(r+1)$  and  $(\varphi_2|\varphi_2 + 2\delta) = 4r$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = \frac{r}{r+1} < 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1.

In this case, we conclude by exhibiting a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$  and another representation for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ . For the defining representation  $V = V_{\varphi_1}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_\theta \oplus V_{\varphi_2}$ , so that, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_2}$  does appear in the decomposition and  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0$ . On the other hand, for  $V = V_{\varphi_r}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_{2\varphi_i} \right)$ . Since, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_2}$  does not appear, we have  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ .

**Case  $D_r = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}$ ,  $r \geq 4$ .** The root system of type  $D_r$  is

$$\Phi = \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  is the canonical basis of the Euclidean space  $E = \mathbb{R}^r$ . We fix the base  $\Delta = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \}$ , where  $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $\alpha_r = e_{r-1} + e_r$ . With this choice of the base, the subset of positive roots is

$$\Phi_+ = \{ e_i \pm e_j \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r},$$

the highest root is  $\theta = e_1 + e_2$ , and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha = 2 \sum_{i=1}^r (r-i)e_i.$$

The root lattice is

$$P = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Phi\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

while its positive cone is

$$P^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\{\Phi_+\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in P : \sum_{j=1}^i k_j \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, r-1, |k_r| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} k_j \right\}.$$

The system of fundamental weights associated to the base  $\Delta$  is  $\Pi = \{ \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r \}$ , where

$$\varphi_i = \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, r-2, \quad \varphi_{r-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_i - e_r \right), \quad \varphi_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r e_i.$$

The corresponding weight lattice is  $\Lambda = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\Pi\} = \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \sqcup \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$ , where

$$\Lambda_{\bar{0}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i : k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\bar{1}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i : k_1, \dots, k_r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The positive cone of dominant integral weights is  $\Lambda^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_+} \{\Pi\} = \Lambda_0^+ \sqcup \Lambda_1^+$ , where

$$\Lambda_a^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \Lambda_a : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_{r-1} \geq |k_r| \right\}, \quad a \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}.$$

It follows that


$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r : k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_{r-1} \geq |k_r|, \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Observe that, if  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i e_i \in P^+ \cap \Lambda^+$ , then

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i(k_i + 2(r-i)).$$

Now each  $i$ -th summand in the right hand side is positive and increasing in  $k_i$ . Hence, the minimum in (5.13) is achieved either for the highest root  $\theta = e_1 + e_2 = \wp_2$ , corresponding to the adjoint representation, or for  $2e_1 = 2\wp_1$ . By computing the two values, we get  $(\theta|\theta + 2\delta) = 4(r-1) < 4r = (2\wp_1|2\wp_1 + 2\delta)$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1.

**Case  $E_6$ .** The Dynkin diagram of  $E_6$  is



The corresponding Cartan matrix thus reads:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its inverse is

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 10 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 6 & 12 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 9 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 10 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  the simple roots and by  $\wp_1, \dots, \wp_6$  the associated fundamental weights. Up to an overall normalization constant, the Cartan matrix  $A$  is the Gram matrix for the basis of simple roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$ , while the inverse matrix  $A^{-1}$  is the Gram matrix for the basis of fundamental weight  $\wp_1, \dots, \wp_6$ . In particular,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6) = (\wp_1, \dots, \wp_6)A$ . By a direct computation, the maximal root is

$$\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 = \wp_6,$$

and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = 16\alpha_1 + 30\alpha_2 + 42\alpha_3 + 30\alpha_4 + 16\alpha_5 + 22\alpha_6. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By definition,  $P^+ = \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i$ , while  $\Lambda^+ = \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathbb{Z}_+ \wp_i$ . Hence,

$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i \wp_i : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^6, A^{-1}n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^6 \right\},$$

where  $n^T = (n_1, \dots, n_6)$ . By the explicit expression of the matrix  $A^{-1}$ , the condition  $A^{-1}n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^6$  is equivalent to the equation

$$n_1 - n_2 + n_4 - n_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$


For  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i \wp_i$ , we have

$$(\mu | \mu + 2\delta) = n^T A^{-1}n + R^T n,$$

where  $R^T = (16, 30, 42, 30, 16, 22)$  (cf. (A.1)).

Since both the matrix  $A^{-1}$  and the vector  $R$  have positive entries, a direct comparison shows that the minimum of  $(\mu | \mu + 2\delta)$  for  $\mu = \sum_i n_i \wp_i$  with  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  satisfying (A.2) is achieved when  $n_i = \delta_{6,i}$ , i.e. when  $\mu = \wp_6 = \theta$ . Hence, in this case  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0 = 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1.

**Case  $E_7$ .** The Dynkin diagram of  $E_7$  is



The corresponding Cartan matrix thus reads:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its inverse is

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 5 & 10 & 15 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 9 \\ 6 & 12 & 18 & 24 & 16 & 8 & 12 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 16 & 12 & 6 & 8 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As before, we denote by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7$  the simple roots and by  $\wp_1, \dots, \wp_7$  the associated fundamental weights. By a direct computation, the maximal root is

$$\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + 2\alpha_7 = \wp_6,$$

and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = 27\alpha_1 + 52\alpha_2 + 75\alpha_3 + 96\alpha_4 + 66\alpha_5 + 34\alpha_6 + 49\alpha_7. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We have

$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i \wp_i : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^7, A^{-1}n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^7 \right\},$$

where  $n^T = (n_1, \dots, n_7)$ . By the explicit expression of the matrix  $A^{-1}$ , the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^7 (A^{-1})_{ij} n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is equivalent to the equation

$$n_1 + n_3 + n_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$


For  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^7 n_i \varphi_i$ , we have

$$(\mu, \mu + 2\delta) = n^T A^{-1} n + R^T n,$$

where  $R^T = (27, 52, 75, 96, 66, 34, 49)$  (cf. (A.3)).

Since both the matrix  $A^{-1}$  and the vector  $R$  have positive entries, a direct comparison shows that the minimum of  $(\mu | \mu + 2\delta)$  for  $\mu = \sum_i n_i \varphi_i$  with  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  satisfying (A.4) is achieved when  $n_i = \delta_{6,i}$ , i.e. when  $\mu = \varphi_6 = \theta$ . Hence, in this case  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0 = 1$ , as claimed in Theorem 5.1..

**Case  $E_8$ .** The Dynkin diagram of  $E_8$  is



The corresponding Cartan matrix thus reads:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and its inverse is

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 8 & 4 & 6 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 15 & 18 & 12 & 6 & 9 \\ 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 24 & 16 & 8 & 12 \\ 6 & 12 & 18 & 24 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 15 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 16 & 20 & 14 & 7 & 10 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 7 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 15 & 10 & 5 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As before, we denote by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8$  the simple roots and by  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_8$  the associated fundamental weights. By a direct computation, the maximal root is

$$\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_4 + 6\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6 + 2\alpha_7 + 3\alpha_8 = \varphi_1,$$

and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = 58\alpha_1 + 114\alpha_2 + 168\alpha_3 + 220\alpha_4 + 270\alpha_5 + 182\alpha_6 + 92\alpha_7 + 136\alpha_8. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In this case, since the matrix  $A^{-1}$  has integral entries, we have

$$P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \Lambda^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^8 n_i \varphi_i : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$


For  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^8 n_i \wp_i$ , we have

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = n^T A^{-1} n + R^T n,$$

where  $R^T = (58, 114, 168, 220, 270, 182, 92, 136)$  (cf. (A.5)).

Since both the matrix  $A^{-1}$  and the vector  $R$  have positive entries, a direct comparison shows that the minimum of  $(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)$  for  $\mu = \sum_i n_i \wp_i$  with  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is achieved when  $n_i = \delta_{1,i}$ , i.e. when  $\mu = \wp_1 = \theta$ . Hence, in this case  $\text{gap}(-L) = g_0 = 1$ , as claimed in in Theorem 5.1.

**Case  $F_4$ .** The Dynkin diagram of  $F_4$  is



The corresponding Cartan matrix thus reads:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4$  the simple roots and by  $\wp_1, \dots, \wp_4$  the associated fundamental weights, which are defined by  $\frac{2(\alpha_i|\wp_j)}{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)} = \delta_{i,j}$ . The inverse of the Cartan matrix is

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 6 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the definition of the fundamental weights,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4) = (\wp_1, \dots, \wp_4)A$  and  $(\wp_1, \dots, \wp_4) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4)A^{-1}$ .

Assuming, without loss of generality, that  $\|\alpha_1\|^2 = \|\alpha_2\|^2 = 2$  and  $\|\alpha_3\|^2 = \|\alpha_4\|^2 = 1$ , the Gram matrix for the fundamental weights  $\wp_1, \dots, \wp_4$  is

$$S = DA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 1 & 2 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct computation, the maximal root is

$$\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = \wp_1,$$

and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = 16\alpha_1 + 30\alpha_2 + 42\alpha_3 + 22\alpha_4. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

By definition,  $P^+ = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i$ , while  $\Lambda^+ = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}_+ \wp_i$  and, since  $A^{-1}$  has integer positive entries,  $\Lambda^+ \subset P^+$ . Hence,  $P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \Lambda^+$ .

For  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i \wp_i$ , we have

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = n^T S n + R^T D n,$$

where  $R^T = (16, 30, 42, 22)$  (cf. (A.6)).

Since both the matrix  $S$  and the vector  $R$  have positive entries, a direct comparison shows that the minimum of  $(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)$  is achieved when  $n_i = \delta_{4,i}$ , i.e. when  $\mu = \wp_4$ . The corresponding value is  $(\wp_4|\wp_4 + 2\delta) = 12$ . On the other hand, we have  $(\theta|\theta + 2\delta) = 18$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = \frac{2}{3}$ , as claimed in in Theorem 5.1..

We conclude by exhibiting a representation of  $F_4$  for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = \frac{2}{3}$  and another representation for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ . For the 26-dimensional representation  $V = V_{\varphi_4}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_{\varphi_4} \oplus V_{2\varphi_4} \oplus V_{\varphi_3} \oplus V_{\varphi_1}$ , so that, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_4}$  does appear in the decomposition and  $\text{gap}(-L) = \frac{2}{3}$ . On the other hand, for the adjoint representation  $V = V_{\varphi_1}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_{\varphi_1} \oplus V_{2\varphi_1} \oplus V_{\varphi_2} \oplus V_{2\varphi_4}$ . Since, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_4}$  does not appear, we have  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ .

**Case  $G_2$ .** The Dynkin diagram of  $G_2$  is

$$\begin{array}{c} \circ \rightleftarrows \circ \\ 1 \qquad 2 \end{array}$$

The corresponding Cartan matrix thus reads:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  the simple roots and by  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  the associated fundamental weights, defined by  $\frac{2(\alpha_i|\varphi_j)}{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)} = \delta_{i,j}$ . The inverse of the Cartan matrix is

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $\alpha_1 = 2\varphi_1 - 3\varphi_2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \varphi_1 + 2\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_1 = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2$ ,  $\varphi_2 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ .

By the definition of the Cartan matrix, we have  $\frac{2(\alpha_1|\alpha_2)}{(\alpha_1|\alpha_1)} = -1$  and  $\frac{2(\alpha_2|\alpha_1)}{(\alpha_2|\alpha_2)} = -3$ , so that  $\frac{(\alpha_1|\alpha_1)}{(\alpha_2|\alpha_2)} = 3$ . We can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\|\alpha_1\|^2 = 2$  and  $\|\alpha_2\|^2 = \frac{2}{3}$ . With this choice, the Gram matrix for the fundamental weights  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  is

$$S = DA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct computation, the maximal root is

$$\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 = \varphi_1,$$

and the sum of positive roots is

$$2\delta = 6\alpha_1 + 10\alpha_2. \tag{A.7}$$

Since  $A^{-1}$  has integer positive entries,  $\Lambda^+ \subset P^+$  so that  $P^+ \cap \Lambda^+ = \mathbb{Z}_+\varphi_1 + \mathbb{Z}_+\varphi_2$ . For  $\mu = n_1\varphi_1 + n_2\varphi_2$  we have

$$(\mu|\mu + 2\delta) = n^T S n + R^T D n,$$

where  $n^T = (n_1, n_2)$  and  $R^T = (6, 10)$  (cf. (A.7)).

Since both the matrix  $S$  and the vector  $R$  have positive entries, a direct comparison shows that the minimum of  $(\mu|\mu + 2\delta)$  is achieved when  $n_i = \delta_{2,i}$ , i.e. when  $\mu = \varphi_2$ . The corresponding value is  $(\varphi_2|\varphi_2 + 2\delta) = 4$ . On the other hand, we have  $(\theta|\theta + 2\delta) = 8$ . As a consequence  $g_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ , as claimed in in Theorem 5.1..

We conclude by exhibiting a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = \frac{1}{2}$  and another representation for which  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ . For the 7-dimensional representation  $V = V_{\varphi_2}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_{\varphi_1} \oplus V_{\varphi_2} \oplus V_{2\varphi_1}$ , so that, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_2}$  does appear in the decomposition and  $\text{gap}(-L) = \frac{1}{2}$ . On the other hand, for the adjoint representation  $V = V_{\varphi_1}$  we have  $\text{End } V \simeq V_0 \oplus V_{\varphi_1} \oplus V_{2\varphi_1} \oplus V_{2\varphi_2} \oplus V_{3\varphi_2}$ . Since, in this case,  $V_{\varphi_2}$  does not appear, we have  $\text{gap}(-L) = 1$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our interest in quantum Markov semigroups has been stimulated by discussions with A. Faggionato, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio and C. Presilla. This work has been completed despite of the lack of heating in our offices, located at CU036, University of Rome La Sapienza.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, No. 55 U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964
- [2] R. Alicki, *On the detailed balance conditions for non-Hamiltonian systems*. Rep. Math. Phys. **10** (1976), 249–258.
- [3] D. Bahns, A. Pohl and I. Witt, Ed.s, *Open quantum systems. A mathematical perspective*. Birkhäuser/Springer, 2019.
- [4] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [5] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- [6] E.A. Carlen, J. Maas, *Gradient flow and entropy inequalities for quantum Markov semigroups with detailed balance*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **273** (2017), 1810–1869.
- [7] E.A. Carlen, J. Maas, *Non-commutative calculus, optimal transport and functional inequalities in dissipative quantum systems*, J. Stat. Phys. **178** (2020), 319–378.
- [8] E.A. Carlen, J. Maas, *Jan Correction to: Non-commutative calculus, optimal transport and functional inequalities in dissipative quantum systems*. J. Stat. Phys. **181** (2020), 2432–2433.
- [9] M.A. Nielsen, I.L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [10] F. Ciripiani, F. Fagnola, J.M. Lindsay, *Spectral analysis and Feller property for quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **210** (2000), 85–105.
- [11] N. Datta, C. Rouzé, *Relating relative entropy, optimal transport and Fisher information: a quantum HWI inequality*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **21** (2020), 2115–2150.
- [12] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Springer New York, NY, 1972
- [13] D.A. Levin, Y. Peres, *Markov chains and mixing times*. Second edition. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [14] G. Lindblad, *On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups*. Comm. Math. Phys. **48** (1976), 119–130.
- [15] A. Müller-Hermes, D. Stilck França, M.M. Wolf, *Relative entropy convergence for depolarizing channels*. J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), 022202.
- [16] K. R. Parthasarathy, R. Ranga Rao, V.S. Varadarajan, *Representations of complex semi-simple Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Ann. of Math. **85** (1967), 383–429.
- [17] H. Spohn, J.L. Lebowitz, *Irreversible thermodynamics for quantum systems weakly coupled to thermal reservoirs*. Adv. Chem. Phys. **38** (1978), 109–142.
- [18] K. Temme, M.J. Kastoryano, M.B. Ruskai, M.M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, *The  $\chi^2$ -divergence and mixing times of quantum Markov processes*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), 122201.
- [19] M.M. Wilde *Quantum Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press 2016.



LORENZO BERTINI  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA LA SAPIENZA,  
I-00185 ROMA, ITALY  
*Email address:* bertini@mat.uniroma1.it

ALBERTO DE SOLE  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA & INFN, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA LA SAPIENZA,  
I-00185 ROMA, ITALY  
*Email address:* desole@mat.uniroma1.it

GUSTAVO POSTA  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA LA SAPIENZA,  
I-00185 ROMA, ITALY  
*Email address:* gustavo.posta@uniroma1.it