A Derivative-Hilbert operator acting on Hardy spaces^{*}

Shanli Ye[†] Guanghao Feng[‡]

(School of Sciences, Zhejiang University of Science and Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China, P. R. China)

Abstract

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on the interval [0, 1). The Hankel matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\mu} = (\mu_{n,k})_{n,k\geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$, where $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$, induces formally the operator

$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k)(n+1) z^n$$

on the space of all analytic function $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^n$ in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . We characterize those positive Borel measures on [0, 1) such that $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t)$ for all in Hardy spaces $H^p(0 , and among them we describe those for which <math>\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}$ is a bounded(resp.,compact) operator from $H^p(0 into <math>H^q(q > p$ and $q \ge 1$). We also study the analogous problem in Hardy spaces $H^p(1 \le p \le 2)$.

Keywords Derivative-Hilbert operator, Hardy spaces, Carleson measure2020 MR Subject Classification 47B35, 30H20, 30H30

1 Introduction

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on the interval [0,1). The Hankel matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\mu} = (\mu_{n,k})_{n,k\geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$, where $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$, For analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^n$, the generalized Hilbert operator define as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k) z^n.$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in \mathbb{D} .

In recent decades, the generalized Hilbert operator \mathcal{H}_{μ} which induced by the Hankel matrix \mathcal{H}_{μ} have been studied extensively. For example, Galanopoulos and Peláez [7] characterized the Borel measure μ for which the Hankel operator \mathcal{H}_{μ} is a bounded (resp.,compact) operator on H^1 . Then Chatzifountas, Girela and Peláez [1] extended this work the all Hardy spaces H^p with 0 . In [9], Girela and Merchán studied the operator acting on certain conformally invariant spaces of analytic functions on the disk.

In 2021, Ye and Zhou [16] firstly used the Hankel matrix defined the Derivative-Hilbert operator \mathcal{DH}_{μ} as

$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k)(n+1) z^n.$$
(1.1)

^{*}The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671357)

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail address: slye@zust.edu.cn

[‡]E-mail address:gh945917454@foxmail.com

Another generalized Hilbert-integral operator related to \mathcal{DH}_{μ} denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\alpha}(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}\mu_{\alpha}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^{\alpha}} d\mu(t).$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense and defines an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . We can easily see that the case $\alpha = 1$ is the integral representation of the generalized Hilbert operator. Ye and Zhou characterized the measure μ for which $\mathcal{I}\mu_2$ and $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_{\mu}$ is bounded (resp.,compact) on Bloch space [16] and Bergman spaces [17].

In this paper, we consider the operators

$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}, \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2} : H^p \to H^q, \quad 0
$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}, \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2} : H^p \to B_q, \quad 0$$$$

The aim is to study the boundedness (resp.,compactness) of \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_{μ} .

In this article we characterize the positive Borel measures μ for which the operator which \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is well defined in the Hardy spaces H^p . Then we give the necessary and sufficient conditions such that operator \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is bounded (resp.,compact) from the Hardy space $H^p(0 into the space <math>H^q(q \ge p$ and $q \ge 1$), or from $H^p(0 into <math>B_q(0 < q < 1)$.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{D} denote the open unit disk of the complex plane, and let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the set of all analytic functions in \mathbb{D} .

If 0 < r < 1 and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, we set

$$M_p(r,f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0
$$M_\infty(r,f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$$$

For $0 , the Hardy space <math>H^p$ consists of those $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$||f||_{H^p} \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{0 < r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

We refer to [5] for the notation and results regarding Hardy spaces.

For 0 < q < 1, we let B_q [15] denote the space consisting of those $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$||f||_{B_q} = \int_0^1 (1-r)^{1/q-2} M_1(r,f) dr < \infty.$$

The Banach space B_q is the "containing Banach space" of H^q , that is, H^q is a dense subspace of B_q , and the two spaces have the same continuous linear functionals. (We mention [15] as general references for the B_q spaces.)

The space BMOA consists of those function $f \in H^1$ whose boundary values have bounded mean oscillation on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ as defined by John and Niirenberg. There are many characterizations of BMOA functions. Let us mention the following.

Let $\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}$ be a Möbius transformations. If f is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} , then $f \in BMOA$ if and only if

$$||f||_{BMOA} \stackrel{def}{=} |f(0)| + ||f||_* < \infty.$$

where

$$||f||_* \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} ||f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)||_{H^2}$$

It is clear that the seminorm $|| ||_*$ is conformally invariant. If

$$\lim_{|a| \to 1} ||f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)||_{H^2} = 0,$$

then we say that f belongs to the space VMOA (analytic functions of vanishing mean oscillation). We refer to [8] for the theory of BMOA functions.

Finally, we recall that a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to be a Bloch function if

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}} \stackrel{def}{=} |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The space of all Bloch functions is denoted by \mathcal{B} . A classical reference for the theory of Bloch functions is [10, 14]. The relation between these spaces we introduced above is well known,

$$H^{\infty} \subsetneq BMOA \subsetneq \mathcal{B}, \quad BMOA \subsetneq \bigcap_{0$$

Let us recall the knowledge of Carleson measure, which is a very useful tool in the study of Banach spaces of analytic functions. For $0 < s < \infty$, a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} will be called an *s*-Carleson measure if there exists a positive constant *C* such that

$$\mu(S(I)) \le C|I|^s.$$

The Carleson square S(I) is defined as

$$S(I) = \{ z = re^{i\theta} : e^{i\theta} \in I; 1 - \frac{|I|}{2\pi} \le r \le 1 \}.$$

where I is an interval of $\partial \mathbb{D}$, |I| denotes the length of I. If μ satisfies $\lim_{|I|\to 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s} = 0$, we call μ is a vanishing s-Carleson measure.

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . For $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$ we say that μ is α -logarithmic s-Carleson measure, if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\frac{\mu(S(I))(\log\frac{2\pi}{|I|})^{\alpha}}{|I|^s} \le C \qquad I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}.$$

If $\mu(S(I))(\log \frac{2\pi}{|I|})^{\alpha} = o(|I|^s)$, as $|I| \to 0$, we say that μ is vanishing α -logarithmic s-Carleson measure[13, 18].

Suppose μ is a s-Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} , we see that the identity mapping *i* is well defined from H^p into $L^q(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{N}(\mu)$ be the norm of *i*. For 0 < r < 1, let

$$d\mu_r(z) = \chi_{r < |z| < 1}(t)d\mu(t).$$

Then μ is a vanishing s-Carleson measure if and only if

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu_r) \to 0 \quad as \quad r \to 1^-.$$
 (2.1)

A positive Borel measure on [0, 1) also can be seen as a Borel measure on \mathbb{D} by identifying it with the measure μ defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E \bigcap [0,1)).$$

for any Borel subset E of \mathbb{D} . Then a positive Borel measure μ on [0,1) can be seen as an *s*-Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} , if

$$\mu([t,1)) \le C(1-t)^s, \quad 0 \le t < 1.$$

Also, we have similar statements for vanishing s-Carleson measures, α -logarithmic s-Carleson and vanishing α -logarithmic s-Carleson measures.

As usual, throughout this paper, C denotes a positive constant which depends only on the displayed parameters but not necessarily the same from one occurrence to the next. For any given p > 1, p' will denote the conjugate index of p, that is, 1/p + 1/p' = 1.

3 Conditions such that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is well defined on Hardy spaces

In this section, we find the sufficient condition such that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} are well defined in $H^p(0$ $and obtain that <math>\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)$, for all $f \in H^p$, with the certain condition.

We first recall two results about the coefficients of functions in Hardy spaces.

Lemma 3.1 [5, p.98] If

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^p, \quad 0$$

then

$$a_n = o(n^{1/p-1}),$$

and

$$|a_n| \le C n^{1/p-1} ||f||_{H^p}.$$

Lemma 3.2 [5, p.95] If

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^p, \quad 0$$

then $\sum n^{p-2}|a_n|^p < \infty$ and

$$\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{p-2} |a_n|^p\right\}^{1/p} \le C||f||_{H^p}^p.$$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose $0 and let <math>\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1). Then the power series in (1.1) defines a well defined analytic function in \mathbb{D} for every $f \in H^p$ in any of the two following cases.

- (i) The measure μ is a 1/p-Carleson measure, if 0 .
- (ii) The measure μ is a 1-Carleson measure, if 1 .

Furthermore, in such cases we have that

$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t) = \mathcal{I}\mu_2(f)(z).$$
(3.1)

Proof First recall a well known result of Hastings [11]: For $0 , <math>\mu$ is a q/p-Carleson measure if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left\{ \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)|^q d\mu(t) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C ||f||_{H^p}, \quad for \ all \ f \in H^p.$$
(3.2)

(i) Suppose that $0 and <math>\mu$ is a 1/p-Carleson measure. Then (3.2) gives

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \le C ||f||_{H^p}, \quad for \ all \ f \in H^p.$$

Fix $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in H^p$ and z with |z| < r, 0 < r < 1. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{|f(t)|}{|1-tz|^2} d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} ||f||_{H^p}. \end{split}$$

This implies that the integral $\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t)$ uniformly converges and that

$$\mathcal{I}\mu_{2}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^{2}} d\mu(t)$$

= $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \left(\int_{[0,1)} t^{n} f(t) d\mu(t) \right) z^{n}.$ (3.3)

Take $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in H^p$. Since μ is 1/p-Carleson measure, by [1, Proposition 1] and Lemma 3.1, we have that there exists C > 0 such that

$$|\mu_{n,k}| = |\mu_{n+k}| \le \frac{C}{(k+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

$$|a_k| \le C(k+1)^{(1-p)/p} \quad for \ all \ n, k$$

Then it follows that, for every n,

$$(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_{n,k}| |a_k| \le C(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|}{(k+1)^{1/p}} = C(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p |a_k|^{1-p}}{(k+1)^{1/p}}$$
$$\le C(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^p (k+1)^{(1-p)^2/p}}{(k+1)^{1/p}}$$
$$= C(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{p-2} |a_k|^p,$$

and then by Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_{n,k}| |a_k| \le C(n+1) ||f||_{H^p}^p.$$

This implies that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a well defined for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and that

$$\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k) z^n$$

= $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \int_{[0,1)} t^n f(t) d\mu(t) z^n$
= $\int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t).$ (3.4)

This give that $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)$.

(ii) When $1 , since <math>\mu$ is a 1-Carleson measure, (3.2) holds, then the argument used in the proof of (i) give that, for every $f \in H^p$, I_{μ_2} is well defined analytic function in \mathbb{D} and we have (3.3).

And since μ is 1-Carleson measure by [1, Theorm 3], we know

$$(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mu_{n,k}a_k = (n+1)\int_{[0,1)}t^n f(t)d\mu(t),$$

which implies that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a well defined for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)$.

4 Boundedness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} acting on Hardy spaces

In this section, we mainly characterize those measures μ for which \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded (resp., compact) operator from H^p into H^q for some p and q.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $0 and let <math>\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1.

(i) If q > 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q if and only if μ is a (1/p+1/q'+1)-Carleson measure.

(ii) If q = 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^1 if and only if μ is a (1/p+1)-Carleson measure.

(iii) If 0 < q < 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into B_q if and only if μ is a (1/p+1)-Carleson measure.

Proof Suppose $0 . Since <math>\mu$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f(z)| d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad for \ all \ f \in H^p.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \left| \frac{f(t)g(e^{i\theta})}{(1-re^{i\theta}t)^{2}} \right| d\mu(t) d\theta
\leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})| d\theta
\leq \frac{C||g||_{H^{1}}}{(1-r)^{2}} < \infty \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \ f \in H^{p}, g \in H^{1}.$$
(4.1)

Using Theorem 3.1, (4.1) and Fubini's theorem, and Cauchy's integral representation of H^1 [5], we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(f)(re^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left(\int_{[0,1)} \frac{\overline{f(t)} d\mu(t)}{(1 - re^{-i\theta}t)^2} \right) g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} \int_{|e^{i\theta}|=1} \frac{g(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}}{(e^{i\theta} - rt)^2} de^{i\theta} d\mu(t)
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt)rt)' d\mu(t)
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + rtg'(rt)) d\mu(t), \quad 0 \le r < 1, \ f \in H^p, g \in H^1.$$
(4.2)

(i)First consider q > 1. Using (4.2) and the duality theorem [5] for H^q which says that $(H^p)^* \cong H^{p'}$ and $(H^{p'})^* \cong H^p(p > 1)$, under the Cauchy pairing

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(e^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in H^p, g \in H^{p'}.$$
 (4.3)

We obtain that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(t) + tg'(t)) d\mu(t) \right| \le C ||f||_{H^p} ||g||_{H^{q'}}, \ f \in H^p, g \in H^{q'}.$$
(4.4)

Assume that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q . Take the families of text functions

$$f_a(z) = \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2}\right)^{1/p}, \quad g_a(z) = \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2}\right)^{1/q'}, \quad 0 < a < 1.$$

A calculation shows that $\{f_a\}\subset H^p,\,\{g_a\}\subset H^{q'}$ and

$$\sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||f||_{H^p} < \infty \quad and \quad \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||g||_{H^{q'}} < \infty,$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \infty > C \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||f||_{H^p} \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||g||_{H^{q'}} \\ & \ge \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_a(t)} (g_a(t) + tg'_a(t)) d\mu(t) \right| \\ & \ge \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-at)^2} \right)^{1/p} \left(\left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-at)^2} \right)^{1/q'} + \frac{2t^2}{q'} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-at)^{2+q'}} \right)^{1/q'} \right) d\mu(t) \\ & \ge \frac{1}{(1-a^2)^{1/p+1/q'+1}} \mu([a,1)). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5)$$

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a $(1/p+1/q'+1)\text{-}\mathrm{Carleson}$ measure.

On the other hand, suppose μ is a (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure, It is well known that any function $g \in H^{q'}$ [5] has the property

$$|g(z)| \le C \frac{||g||_{H^{q'}}}{(1-|z|)^{1/q'}}.$$
(4.6)

By Cauchy formula, we can obtain that

$$|g'(z)| \le C \frac{||g||_{H^{q'}}}{(1-|z|)^{1/q'+1}}.$$
(4.7)

Let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1/q'+1}} d\mu(t)$. Using Lemma 3.2 of [12], we obtain that ν is a 1/p-Carleson. This together with (4.6) and (4.7) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(t) + tg'(t)) d\mu(t) \right| &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{1/q'}} + \frac{t}{(1-t)^{1/q'+1}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t), \\ &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} ||f||_{H^{p}}, \quad g \in H^{q'} \ f \in H^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8)$$

Hence (4.4) holds and then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q .

(ii) We shall use Fefferman's duality theorem, which says that $(H^1)^* \cong BMOA$ and $(VMOA)^* \cong H^1$, under the Cauchy pairing

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \overline{f(re^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in H^{1}, g \in BMOA(resp.VMOA).$$
(4.9)

Using the duality theorem and (4.2) it follows that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^1 if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(rt) + rtg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \le C ||f||_{H^p} ||g||_{BMOA},$$

$$0 \le r < 1, \ f \in H^p, \ g \in VMOA.$$
(4.10)

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^1 . Take the families of text functions

$$f_a(z) = \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2}\right)^{1/p}, \quad g_a(z) = \log\frac{e}{1-az}, \quad 0 < a < 1.$$

A calculation shows that $\{f_a\} \subset H^p$, $\{g_a\} \subset VMOA$ and

$$\sup_{a\in[0,1)}||f||_{H^p}<\infty\quad and\quad \sup_{a\in[0,1)}||g||_{BMOA}<\infty.$$

We let $r \in [a, 1)$, and obtain

$$\infty > C \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||f||_{H^{p}} \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||g||_{BMOA}$$

$$\geq \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a}(t)} (g_{a}(rt) + rtg_{a}'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right|$$

$$\geq \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1-a^{2}}{(1-at)^{2}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\log \frac{e}{1-art} + \frac{art}{1-art} \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{(1-a^{2})^{1/p+1}} \mu([a,1)).$$
(4.11)

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a (1/p + 1)-Carleson measure.

On the other hand, suppose μ is a (1/p + 1)-Carleson measure. It is well known that any function $g \in \mathcal{B}$ [14] has the property

$$|g(z)| \le C||g||_{\mathcal{B}} \log \frac{e}{1-|z|}, \quad and \quad |g'(z)| \le C \frac{||g||_{\mathcal{B}}}{1-|z|} \quad for \ all \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (4.12)

Let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$, then ν is a 1/p-Carleson. Using (4.10), (4.12) and $BMOA \subset \mathcal{B}$ [8, Theorem 5.1], we obtain that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(rt) + rtg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C ||g||_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\log \frac{1}{1-t} + \frac{t}{1-t} \right) d\mu(t) \\ \leq C ||g||_{BMOA} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t) \\ \leq C ||g||_{BMOA} ||f||_{H^p}, \quad f \in H^p, \ g \in VMOA.$$
(4.13)

Hence (4.10) holds and then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^1 .

(iii) If 0 < q < 1, and $0 . Now we recall [15, Theorem 10] that <math>B_q$ can be identified with the dual of a certain subspace X of H^{∞} under the pairing

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \lim_{r \to 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(re^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad f \in B_q, \ g \in X.$$
 (4.14)

This together with (4.2) and (4.14), we obtain that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into B_q if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} (g(rt) + rtg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \le C ||f||_{H^p} ||g||_{H^\infty}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \ f \in H^p, g \in H^\infty.$$
(4.15)

Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into B_q , Take the families of text functions

$$f_a(z) = \left(\frac{1-a^2}{(1-az)^2}\right)^{1/p}, \quad g_a(z) = \frac{1-a^2}{1-az}, \quad 0 < a < 1.$$

A calculation shows that $\{f_a\} \subset H^p$, $\{g_a\} \subset H^\infty$ and

$$\sup_{a\in[0,1)}||f||_{H^p}<\infty\quad and\quad \sup_{a\in[0,1)}||g||_{H^\infty}<\infty.$$

We let $r \in [a, 1)$, obtain

$$\infty > C \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||f||_{H^{p}} \sup_{a \in [0,1)} ||g||_{H^{\infty}}$$

$$\geq \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a}(t)} (g_{a}(rt) + rtg_{a}'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right|$$

$$\geq \int_{[a,1)} \left(\frac{1-a^{2}}{(1-at)^{2}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\left(\frac{1-a^{2}}{(1-art)} \right) + \left(\frac{art(1-a^{2})}{(1-art)^{2}} \right) \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{(1-a^{2})^{1/p+1}} \mu([a,1)).$$
(4.16)

This is equivalent to saying that μ is a (1/p + 1)-Carleson measure.

On the other hand, suppose μ is a (1/p+1)-Carleson measure, then $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$ is a 1/p-Carleson. Then we have that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(rt) + rtg'(rt)) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C ||g||_{H^{\infty}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) d\mu(t)$$
$$\leq C ||g||_{H^{\infty}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\nu(t)$$
$$\leq C ||g||_{H^{\infty}} ||f||_{H^{p}}, \quad f \in H^{p}, \ g \in H^{\infty}.$$
(4.17)

Hence (4.15) holds and then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into B_q .

Next, we will consider $1 , and give a sufficient condition, and the other necessary condition for bounded of <math>\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}$ from H^p into H^q respectively.

We firstly give Lemma 4.1 which is useful when we proof the Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 4.1 Let μ be a positive measure on [0, 1) and $\gamma > 0, \alpha > 0$. If μ is a $(\alpha + \gamma)$ -Carleson measure, then

$$\int_{[0,1)} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\alpha}} d\mu(t) < \infty$$

The result is obvious, we omit the details.

Theorem 4.2 Let $1 and <math>\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1.

(i) If μ is a $(1/p+1/q'+1+\gamma)$ -Carleson measure for any $\gamma > 0$, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q .

(ii) If \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q , then μ is a (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure.

Proof Suppose μ is a $(1/p + 1/q' + 1 + \gamma)$ -Carleson measure. Let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}d\mu(t)$, then ν is a $(1/p + 1/q' + \gamma)$ -Carleson. And set s = 1 + p/q', the conjugate exponent of s is s' = 1 + q'/p and 1/p + 1/q' = s/p = s'/q'. Then by [5, Theorem 9.4], H^p is continuously embedded in $L^s(d\nu)$, that is,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)|^s d\nu(t)\right)^{1/s} \le C||f||_{H^p}, \quad f \in H^p,$$
(4.18)

and, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1)} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{s'/q'}} d\nu(t)\right)^{1/s'} < \infty, \qquad g \in H^{q'}.$$
(4.19)

Using Hölder's inequality with exponents s and s', (4.18) and (4.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)}(g(t) + tg(t)') d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{1/q'+1}} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= C ||g||_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^{1/q'}} \right) d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} \left(\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)|^s d\nu(t) \right)^{1/s} \left(\int_{[0,1)} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{s'/q'}} d\nu(t) \right)^{1/s'} \\ &\leq C ||g||_{H^{q'}} ||f||_{H^p}, \quad f \in H^p, g \in H^{q'}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.20)$$

Hence, (4.4) holds and then it follows that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q .

Conversely, if \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into H^q , then μ is a (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 4.1(i). We omit the details here.

We also find \mathcal{DH}_{μ} in $H^p(1 \leq p \leq 2)$ have a better conclusion.

Theorem 4.3 Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and μ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1. Then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in H^p if and only if μ is a 2-Carleson measure.

Proof Firstly, if p = 1, by Theorem 4.1 we obtain that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in H^1 if and only if μ is a 2-Carleson measure.

Next, if p = 2, by Theorem 4.2 we only need to prove that if μ is a 2-Carleson measure then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in H^2 .

Since $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in H^2$, we have $||f||_{H^2}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2$, and when μ is a 2-Carleson measure, we have

$$|\mu_{n,k}| = |\mu_{n+k}| \le \frac{C}{(n+k+1)^2}.$$
(4.21)

By using classical Hilbert inequality, (1.1), and (4.21), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)||_{H^{2}}^{2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k} \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_{n,k}| |a_{k}| \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{k}|}{(n+k+1)^{2}} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{k}|}{(n+k+1)} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{k}|^{2} = C ||f||_{H^{2}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(4.22)

Thus \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in H^2 .

Finally, we shall use complex interpolation to prove our results. We know that

$$H^p = (H^2, H^1)_{\theta}, \quad if \ 1 (4.23)$$

Using (4.23) and Theorem 2.4 of [20], it follows that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in $H^p(1 \le p \le 2)$.

Conjecture 4.1 We conjecture that if μ is a 2-Carleson measure then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator in H^p for all 2 .

5 Compactness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} acting on Hardy spaces

In this section we characterize the compactness of the Derivative-Hilbert \mathcal{DH}_{μ} . We begin with the following Lemma 5.1 which is useful to deal with the compactness.

Lemma 5.1 For $0 and <math>0 < q < \infty$. Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a bounded operator from H^p into $H^q(resp., B_q)$. Then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator if and only if for any bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in H^p which converges uniformly to 0 on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} , we have $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n) \to 0$ in $H^q(resp., B_q)$.

The proof is similar to that of [2, Proposition 3.11]. We omit the details.

Theorem 5.1 Suppose $0 and let <math>\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1.

(i) If q > 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q if and only if μ is a vanishing (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure.

(ii) If q = 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^1 if and only if μ is a vanishing (1/p+1)-Carleson measure.

(iii) If 0 < q < 1, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into B_q if and only if μ is a vanishing (1/p+1)-Carleson measure.

Proof (i) First consider q > 1. Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q . Let $\{a_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ be any sequence with $a_n \to 1$. We set

$$f_{a_n}(z) = \left(\frac{1-a_n^2}{(1-a_n z)^2}\right)^{1/p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $f_{a_n}(z) \in H^p$, $\sup_{n\geq 1} ||f_{a_n}||_{H^p} < \infty$ and $f_{a_n} \to 0$, uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Using Lemma 5.1 and bearing in mind that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q , we obtain that $\{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_{a_n})\}$ converges to 0 in H^q . This and (4.2) imply that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a_n}(t)}(g(t) + tg'(t))d\mu(t)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}}_{\mu}(f_{a_n})(e^{i\theta})g(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 0, \quad g \in H^{q'}.$$
(5.1)

Now we wet

$$g_{a_n}(z) = \left(\frac{1-a_n^2}{(1-a_n z)^2}\right)^{1/q'}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is obvious find that $g \in H^{q'}$. For every n, fix $r \in (a_n, 1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a_n}(t)} (g_{a_n}(t) + tg'_{a_n}(t)) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \int_{[a_n,1)} \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2} \right)^{1/p} \left(\left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2} \right)^{1/q'} + \frac{2t^2}{q'} \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^{2+q'}} \right)^{1/q'} \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \frac{C}{(1 - a_n^2)^{1/p + 1/q' + 1}} \mu([a_n, 1)). \end{split}$$

By (5.1) and the fact $\{a_n\} \subset (0,1)$ is a sequence with $a_n \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{a \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_n^2)^{1/p + 1/q' + 1}} \mu([a_n, 1)) = 0.$$

Thus μ is a vanishing (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure.

On the other hand, suppose that μ is a vanishing (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of H^p functions with $\sup_{n\geq 1} ||f_n||_{H^p} < \infty$ and such that $\{f_n\} \to 0$, uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Then by Lemma 5.1, it is enough to prove that $\{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n)\} \to 0$ in H^q .

Taking $g \in H^{q'}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t))$$

=
$$\int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t) + \int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t).$$

Then $\int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)|| (g(t) + tg'(t)) d\mu(t)$ tends to 0 as $\{f_n\} \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} .

And by conclusion in the proof of the boundedness in Theorem 4.1(i), let $d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1/q'+1}} d\mu(t)$. We know that ν is a vanishing 1/p-Carleson. Then it implies that

$$\int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)|| (g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t) \le C||g||_{H^{q'}} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)|d\nu_r(t)
\le C\mathcal{N}(\nu_r)||g||_{H^{q'}} ||f_n||_{H^p} \le C\mathcal{N}(\nu_r)||g||_{H^{q'}}.$$
(5.2)

It also tends to 0 by (2.1). Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n)(e^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)|| (g(t) + tg'(t))| d\mu(t) = 0, \quad for \ all \ g \in H^{q'}$$

It means $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n) \to 0$ in H^q , by Lemma 5.1 we obtain \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q .

(ii) Let q = 1. Suppose that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^1 . Let $\{a_n\} \subset (0,1)$ be any sequence with $a_n \to 1$ and f_{a_n} defines like in (i). Lemma 5.1 implies that $\{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_{a_n})\}$ converges to 0 in H^1 . Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a_n}(t)}(g(rt) + rtg'(rt))d\mu(t)$$

=
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_{a_n})(re^{i\theta})}g(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 0, \quad g \in VMOA.$$
(5.3)

Set

$$g_{a_n}(z) = \log \frac{e}{1 - a_n z}$$

It is well know that $g \in VMOA$. For $r \in (a_n, 1)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_{a_n}(t)}(g(rt) + rtg'(rt))d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \int_{[a_n,1)} \left(\frac{1 - a_n^2}{(1 - a_n t)^2}\right)^{1/p} \left(\log \frac{e}{1 - a_n rt} + \frac{a_n rt}{1 - a_n rt}\right)d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \frac{C}{(1 - a_n)^{1/p+1}} \mu([a_n,1)). \end{split}$$

Letting $a_n \to 1^-$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{a \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_n^2)^{1/p+1}} \mu([a_n, 1)) = 0.$$

we can obtain that μ is a vanishing (1/p+1)-Carleson measure.

On the other hand, suppose that μ is a vanishing (1/p + 1)-Carleson measure. Let $d\nu(t) = (1-t)^{-1}d\mu(t)$, we know that ν is a vanishing 1/p-Carleson. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of H^p functions with $\sup_{n\geq 1} ||f_n||_{H^p} < \infty$ and such that $\{f_n\} \to 0$, uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Then by Lemma 5.1, it is enough to prove that $\{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n)\} \to 0$ in H^1 . For every $g \in VMOA, 0 < r < 1$, using (3.2) and (4.12), we deduce that

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t))$$

=
$$\int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t) + \int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)||(g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t)).$$

Then $\int_{[0,r)} |f(t)|| (g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t))$ tends to 0 as $\{f_n\} \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . For second term, arguing as in the proof of the boundedness in Theorem 4.1 (ii), we obtain that

$$\int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)|| (g(t) + tg'(t)|d\mu(t) \leq C ||g||_{BMOA} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| \left(\log \frac{1}{1-t} + \frac{t}{1-t} \right) d\mu(t)
\leq C ||g||_{BMOA} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| d\nu_r(t)
\leq C \mathcal{N}(\nu_r) ||g||_{BMOA} ||f_n||_{H^p},
\leq C \mathcal{N}(\nu_r) ||g||_{BMOA}, g \in VMOA,$$
(5.4)

it also tends to 0 by (2.1). Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n)(e^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |(g(t) + tg'(t))| d\mu(t) = 0, \quad for \ all \ g \in VMOA$$

It means $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_n) \to 0$ in H^1 , by Lemma 5.1 we obtain \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^1 .

(iii) The proof is the same as that of Theorem 4.1(iii) and Theorem 5.1(1). We omit the details here.

Finally, we consider the situation of p > 1, characterize those measures μ for which \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q , and give sufficient and necessary conditions respectively.

Theorem 5.2 Let $1 and <math>\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1.

(i) If μ is a vanishing $(1/p + 1/q' + 1 + \gamma)$ -Carleson measure for any $\gamma > 0$, then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q .

(ii) If \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator from H^p into H^q , then μ is a vanishing (1/p + 1/q' + 1)-Carleson measure.

Proof (i) The proof is the same as that of Theorem 5.1(i). We omit the details here.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.2(ii) and Theorem 5.1(i). We omit the details here.

Similarly, \mathcal{DH}_{μ} in $H^p(1 \leq p \leq 2)$ also have a better conclusion.

Theorem 5.3 Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and μ be a positive Borel measure on [0,1) which satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1. Then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator in H^p if and only if μ is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure.

Proof Firstly, let p = 1, we know that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator in H^1 if and only if μ is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure by Theorem 5.1.

Next, let p = 2, by Theorem 5.2, We only need to prove if μ is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure then \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator in H^2 .

Assume that μ is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure and let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence of functions in H^2 with $||f_j||_{H^2} \leq 1$, for all j, and such that $f_j \to 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Since μ is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure then $\mu_{n+k} = o(\frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2})$, as $n \to \infty$. Say

$$\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{(n+k+1)^2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $\{\varepsilon_n\} \to 0$. Say that, for every j,

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{(j)} z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By using the classical Hilbert inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^{(j)}}{n+k+1} \right|^2 \le \pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k^{(j)}|^2 \le \pi^2.$$
(5.5)

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and next take a natural number N such that

$$n \ge N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon_n^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi^2}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_{j})||_{H^{2}}^{2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n} a_{k}^{(j)}}{(n+k+1)^{2}} \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}^{(j)}}{n+k+1} \right|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} (n+1)^{2} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right|^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(5.6)

Now, since $f_j \to 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} (n+1)^2 \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k^{(j)} \right|^2 \to 0, \quad as \ j \to \infty.$$

Then it follows that there exist $j_0 \in N$ such that $||\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_j)||_{H^2}^2 < \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq j_0$. So, we have proved that $||\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f_j)||_{H^2}^2 \to 0$. The compactness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} on H^2 follows.

Since we have prove that when p = 1, the compactness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} on H^1 . To deal with the cases $1 , we use again complex interpolation. Let <math>1 and <math>\mu$ be a vanishing 2-Carleson measure. Recall that

$$H^p = (H^2, H^1)_{\theta}, \quad if \ 1$$

We have also that if $2 < s < \infty$ then

$$H^2 = (H^s, H^1)_\alpha.$$

for a certain $\alpha \in (0,1)$, namely, $\alpha = (1/2 - 1/s)/(1 - 1/s)$. Since H^2 is reflexive, and \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is compact from H^2 into itself and from H^1 into itself, Theorem 10 of [3] gives that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is a compact operator in $H^p(1 \le p \le 2)$.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Availability of data and material

The authors declare that all data and material in this paper are available.

References

- Chatzifountas C, Girela D, Peláez J Á. A generalized Hilbert matrix acting on Hardy spaces, J Math Anal Appl, 2014, 413(1): 154-168.
- [2] Cowen Jr C C, MacCluer B I. Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions. CRC Press, 1995.
- [3] Cwikel M, Kalton N J. Interpolation of compact operators by the methods of Calderón. and Gustavsson-Peetre, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 1995, 38(2): 261-276.
- [4] Diamantopoulos E , Siskakis A G. Composition operators and the Hilbert matrix, Studia Math, 2000, 140(2): 191-198.
- [5] Duren P L. Theory of H^p Spaces, New York: Academic Press, 1970.
- [6] Galanopoulos P , Girela D, Peláez, J Á , Siskakis A G. Generalized Hilbert operators, Ann Acad Sci Fenn Math, 2014, 39: 231-258.
- [7] Galanopoulos P, Peláez J Á. A Hankel matrix acting on Hardy and Bergman spaces, Studia Math ,2010, 200(3): 201-220.
- [8] Girela D, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, Complex function spaces (Mekrijärvi, 1999), 2001, 4: 61-170.
- [9] Girela D , Merchán N. A generalized Hilbert operator acting on conformally invariant spaces, Banach J Math Anal ,2018, 12(2): 374-398.
- [10] Gnuschke-Hauschild D, Pommerenke C. On Bloch functions and gap series, J Reine Angew Math, 1986, 367: 172-186.
- [11] Hastings W W. A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces, Proc Amer Math Soc, 1975, 52(1): 237-241.
- [12] Li S , Zhou J. Essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix from Bloch type spaces to BMOA and Bloch space, AIMS Math, 2021, 6: 3305-3318.
- [13] MacCluer B, Zhao R. Vanishing logarithmic Carleson measures, Illinois J Math , 2002, 46(2):507-518.
- [14] Pommerenke C, Clunie J, Anderson J. On Bloch functions and normal functions, J Reine Angew Math, 1974, 270: 12-37.
- [15] Romberg B W, Duren P L, Shields A L. Linear functionals on Hp spaces with 0 ,Reine Angew Math, 1969,**238**: 32-60.
- [16] Ye S, Zhou Z. A derivative-Hilbert operator acting on the Bloch space, Complex Anal Oper Theory, 2021, 15(5): 88.
- [17] Ye S, Zhou Z. A derivative-Hilbert operator acting on Bergman spaces, J Math Anal Appl, 2022, 506(1): 125553.
- [18] Zhao R. On logarithmic Carleson measures, Acta Sci Math, 2003, 69: 605-618.

- [19] Zhu K. Bloch Type Spaces of Analytic Functions, Rocky Mountain J Math, 1993, 23(3): 1143-1177.
- [20] Zhu K. Operator theory in function spaces, American Mathematical Soc, 2007.