# Wigner rotation and Euler angle parametrization

Leehwa Yeh\*

Shing-Tung Yau Center, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan

Analogous to the famous Euler angle parametrization, a reflection-free Lorentz transformation in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space can be decomposed into three simple parts. Applying this decomposition to the Wigner rotation problem, we are able to show the related mathematics becomes much simpler and the physical meanings more comprehensible and enlightening.

### I. INTRODUCTION

First discovered by L. Silberstein then rediscovered by L. Thomas [1,2], the phenomenon that two successive non-parallel boosts (i.e., pseudo-rotations in the Minkowski space) lead to a boost and a rotation is generally called Wigner rotation [3]. It has been studied by many authors for almost a century [4-9], the mysterious aura persists nevertheless. "The spatial rotation resulting from the successive application of two non-parallel Lorentz transformations have been declared every bit as paradoxical as the more frequently discussed apparent violations of common sense, such as the so-called 'twin paradox.' But the present apparent paradox has important applications..." said H. Goldstein in his classic work *Classical Mechanics* [10].

Getting to the bottom of the matter, we believe mathematics is the crucial issue. Geometrically speaking, Wigner rotation problem involves both rotation and pseudo-rotation in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space, the mathematical complexity is enough to cloud those subtle physical meanings. If the mathematics could be substantially simplified, the physical meanings would come into focus automatically and people would find this problem is not so mysterious as usually thought.

To achieve this goal, we develop a formulation analogous to Euler angle parametrization of SO(3), i.e., decomposing a reflection-free Lorentz transformation in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space into a product of two rotations and one pseudo-rotation (Section II). As a demonstration of its effectiveness, we show how simple it is to derive important rules about Wigner rotation problem (Section III) and how little mathematics knowledge is needed to calculate the most general Wigner angle (Section IV). Physical insights into Wigner rotation via this decomposition are discussed in Section III, and a rigorous proof of this decomposition is provided in the Appendix.

# II. PRELIMINARIES

#### A. (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space

Although physical spacetime is the (3+1)-dimensional Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ , physicists work on its subspace in many cases without losing generality. For example, when discussing a pure (i.e., rotation-free) Lorentz transformation between two inertial frames whose coordinates are

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic mail: yehleehwa@gmail.com

(x, y, z, ct) and (x', y', z', ct'), where c is light speed, we may assume the relative velocity v is along the x-direction and consider just the transformation between (x, ct) and (x', ct') which is depicted by the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\gamma\beta\\-\gamma\beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ct \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & -\sinh\eta\\-\sinh\eta & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ct \end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

where  $\beta = \tanh \eta = v/c$  and  $\gamma = \cosh \eta = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ . This transformation is usually called boost along *x*-direction or *x*-direction boost by physicists. From geometric point of view, it is a pseudo-rotation around (0,0) in the (1+1)-dimensional Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ .

Similarly, since Wigner rotation problem involves only two relative velocities, it is legitimate to put them in the xy-plane so that none of the z-components shows up in the calculations. Therefore our discussion will be restricted to the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$  which is sufficient for us to derive all of the related results.

It is apparent  $x^2 - c^2 t^2$  is an invariant of the transformation (1). On the other hand, if we use this invariant as the criterion for the (1+1)-dimensional Lorentz transformation, the reflections such as  $x \to -x$  or  $ct \to -ct$  will belong to this transformation as well. It is straightforward to generalize this criterion to the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space, i.e., we may define the Lorentz transformation in this space as the one which preserves  $x^2 + y^2 - c^2 t^2$ . Clearly both of the x-direction and y-direction boosts as well as the xy-plane rotation are special cases of this (2+1)-dimensional Lorentz transformation.

There are many similarities between  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$  and the three-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For example, the three-dimensional rotation around the axis  $[-\sin\phi,\cos\phi,0]$  takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi & 0\\\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & 0 & -\sin\theta\\0 & 1 & 0\\\sin\theta & 0 & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\-\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

while the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi & 0\\\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & 0 & -\sinh\eta\\0 & 1 & 0\\-\sinh\eta & 0 & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\-\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

represents a pseudo-rotation around the axis  $[-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0]$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$  which meets the criterion of being a (2+1)-dimensional Lorentz transformation. However, note that the product of the three transformation matrices in (2) is orthogonal while that of (3) is symmetric.

Lastly, if we express  $x^2 + y^2 - c^2 t^2$  as a matrix product

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & ct \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ ct \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} x & y & ct \end{pmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ ct \end{pmatrix}$$

it is easy to see the necessary and sufficient condition for a  $3 \times 3$  matrix L representing a (2+1)dimensional Lorentz transformation is  $L^{\top}gL = g$ , where  $\top$  is the notation for matrix transpose. Accordingly, if we have two (2+1)-dimensional Lorentz transformation matrices, say  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , then both  $L_1L_2$  and  $L_2L_1$  are also Lorentz transformations of this kind. (For the sake of brevity, we will not distinguish between the transformation and its matrix representation henceforward.)

#### B. Euler angles and their Minkowski counterparts

The theory of Euler angles guarantees any proper (i.e., reflection-free) rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be decomposed into three simple proper rotations, each of them keeps one coordinate axis fixed. Among those commonly-used Euler angle parametrizations, the one suits us most is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0\\\sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & 0 & -\sin\theta\\0 & 1 & 0\\\sin\theta & 0 & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\-\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $0 \le \phi, \psi < 2\pi$  and  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ .

Based on the analogy between (2) and (3), it is reasonable to assume the (2+1)-dimensional counterpart of (4) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0\\\sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & 0 & -\sinh\eta\\0 & 1 & 0\\-\sinh\eta & 0 & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\-\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\eta \ge 0$  and the ranges of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are the same as those of (4). For an obvious reason, (5) will be called Euler decomposition in this paper.

In the Appendix, we will prove once the reflections are all ruled out, the (2+1)-dimensional Lorentz transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13}\\L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23}\\L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}$$

can always be expressed as (5). If we further demand  $L_{33} \neq 1$ , then the decomposition is unique and the parameters are determined by the following formulas.

$$\cos \phi = -L_{31}/\sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1},$$
  

$$\sin \phi = -L_{32}/\sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1};$$
  

$$\cosh \eta = L_{33};$$
  

$$\cos \psi = -L_{13}/\sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1},$$
  

$$\sin \psi = -L_{23}/\sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1}$$
  
(6)

#### C. (2+1)-dimensional velocities

A boost transformation takes place between two inertial frames, hence each boost is defined by a constant velocity which is the relative velocity between the frames. When a (2+1)dimensional velocity undergoes a boost  $B(\vec{V})$  with  $\vec{V}$  being the relative velocity, the formula  $W' = B(\vec{V})W$  is analogous to the boost transformation of spacetime coordinates, where W and W' are the (2+1)-dimensional velocities in the old and the new frames respectively. Conversely, the inverse boost transformation  $W = B(\vec{V})^{-1}W'$  allows us to calculate the (2+1)-dimensional velocity in the old frame from that in the new one.

Consider an object resting in the new frame, since its two-dimensional velocity relative to the old frame equals the relative velocity between those two frames, transforming its (2+1)-dimensional velocity in the new frame back to that in the old reveals the information of the boost velocity.

$$W = B(\vec{V})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = \gamma(\vec{V}) \begin{pmatrix} V_x\\V_y\\c \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \gamma(\vec{V}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V_x^2 + V_y^2}{c^2}}}.$$

Taking (3) as an example, interpreting this pseudo-rotation as a boost gives us the corresponding (2+1)-dimensional velocity

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & -\sin\phi & 0\\ \sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & 0 & \sinh\eta\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sinh\eta & 0 & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\eta\cos\phi\\ \sinh\eta\sin\phi\\ \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

which reveals the boost velocity equals  $c \tanh \eta [\cos \phi, \sin \phi]$ . Accordingly, (3) enumerates all possible boosts in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ .

When a problem involves three inertial frames and two successive boosts, say first  $B(\vec{V}_1)$  then  $B(\vec{V}_2)$ , the (2+1)-dimensional velocity of a rest object in the third frame can be transformed to that in the first by

$$W = [B(\vec{V}_2)B(\vec{V}_1)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

The two-dimensional velocity contained in this (2+1)-dimensional velocity is the composition of the two boost velocities in that order and can be denoted by  $\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2}$ . Therefore we rewrite (7) as

$$W_{1\oplus 2} = [B(\vec{V}_2)B(\vec{V}_1)]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = \gamma(\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2}) \begin{pmatrix} (\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2})_x\\(\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2})_y\\c \end{pmatrix}.$$
(8)

# **III. WIGNER ROTATION**

#### A. Three rules

By employing Euler decomposition, we can derive three important rules about the Wigner rotation problem systematically and effortlessly. For the sake of concision, the following shorthand notations will be used.

$$R(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi & 0\\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_x(-\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & 0 & -\sinh\eta\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\sinh\eta & 0 & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$B_{\phi}(-\eta) = R(-\phi)B_x(-\eta)R(\phi).$$

Thereupon (3) and (5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = B_{\phi}(-\eta) \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = R(-\psi)B_{x}(-\eta)R(\phi) \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Rule 1.** Two successive boosts are equivalent to a boost followed or preceded by a rotation which may be taken as the definition of Wigner rotation.

Proof: As discussed in the previous section, for any two boosts  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ , their product  $B_2B_1$  must be a Lorentz transformation in this space. Since these boosts contain no reflection according to (3), neither does their product. Hence we may apply Euler decomposition to this product and demand

$$B_2 B_1 = R(-\psi) B_x(-\eta) R(\phi). \tag{9}$$

Note that the corresponding coordinate transformation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = B_2 B_1 \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., the boost  $B_1$  takes place before  $B_2$ .

It is obvious the decomposition (9) can be rearranged in two different ways,

$$B_2 B_1 = R(\phi - \psi) B_{\phi}(-\eta) = B_{\psi}(-\eta) R(\phi - \psi), \tag{10}$$

wherein the product  $R(\phi - \psi)B_{\phi}(-\eta)$  corresponds to a boost followed by a Wigner rotation, while  $B_{\psi}(-\eta)R(\phi - \psi)$  to a boost preceded by the same rotation.

If the boosts  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are parallel, i.e., their directions are the same, it is easy to see  $\psi = \phi$  and  $R(\phi - \psi) = I$ . Contrapositively,  $\psi \neq \phi$  implies  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not parallel. In other words, the non-parallelism of boosts  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  is a necessary condition for the existence of a non-trivial Wigner rotation. It is also a sufficient condition as a matter of fact, which will be proved in the next section.

**Rule 2.** If the order of the boosts in Rule 1 is exchanged, then the sense of Wigner rotation is reversed.

Proof: Since the boost matrices are all symmetric and rotation matrices all orthogonal, this rule can be proved by taking the transpose of (10),

$$B_1 B_2 = B_{\phi}(-\eta) R(\psi - \phi) = R(\psi - \phi) B_{\psi}(-\eta).$$
(11)

For convenience sake,  $\psi - \phi$  will be called Wigner angle from now on.

**Rule 3.** The two-dimensional velocities corresponding to  $B_2B_1$  and  $B_1B_2$  in the previous rules differ only by a Wigner angle [8,9].

Proof: According to (8), the two-dimensional velocities  $\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2}$  and  $\vec{V}_{2\oplus 1}$  are generated by  $B_2B_1$  and  $B_1B_2$  respectively via the following formulas,

$$W_{1\oplus 2} = (B_2 B_1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix};$$
(12)

$$W_{2\oplus 1} = (B_1 B_2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

Substituting (9) into (12) gives us

$$W_{1\oplus 2} = R(-\phi)B_x(\eta)R(\psi) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\eta\cos\phi\\\sinh\eta\sin\phi\\\cosh\eta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (14)

Similarly, substituting the transpose of (9) into (13) leads to

$$W_{2\oplus 1} = R(-\psi)B_x(\eta)R(\phi) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\eta\cos\psi\\ \sinh\eta\sin\psi\\ \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (15)

Thus we find  $\vec{V}_{1\oplus 2} = c \tanh \eta [\cos \phi, \sin \phi]$  and  $\vec{V}_{2\oplus 1} = c \tanh \eta [\cos \psi, \sin \psi]$ , i.e., their magnitudes are the same while their directions differ by a Wigner angle  $\psi - \phi$ .

The physical meaning of Rule 3 is as follows: Consider three inertial frames  $K_A$ ,  $K_B$ , and  $K_C$ . If  $K_B$  comes from boosting  $K_A$  by  $B_1$  and  $K_C$  from boosting  $K_B$  by  $B_2$ , then a rest observer in  $K_A$  finds the two-dimensional velocity of  $K_C$  is  $c \tanh \eta [\cos \phi, \sin \phi]$ . On the other hand, if  $K_B$  is from boosting  $K_A$  by  $B_2$  and  $K_C$  from boosting  $K_B$  by  $B_1$ , the same observer will find  $K_C$  moving with the same speed but toward the direction  $[\cos \psi, \sin \psi]$ .

#### B. Two kinds of Wigner rotations

Assume the spacetime coordinates of an inertial frame K are (x, y, ct), applying (11) to this frame yields two equivalent results, both of them correspond to the same transformed frame K' with the coordinates (x', y', ct'),

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = B_{\phi}(-\eta)R(\psi-\phi)\begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix} = R(\psi-\phi)B_{\psi}(-\eta)\begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}.$$

Being mathematically equivalent, they convey significantly different physical meanings. According to a rest observer in the original frame K, the first  $R(\psi - \phi)$  operates on a rest frame, i.e., K itself, while the second is responsible for rotating a moving frame which is K boosted by  $B_{\psi}(-\eta)$ .

In comparison, the first rotation is more intriguing. This is because

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = B_{\phi}(-\eta)R(\psi-\phi)\begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix} \iff B_{\phi}(\eta)\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = R(\psi-\phi)\begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix},$$
 (16)

where  $B_{\phi}(\eta) = R(-\phi)B_x(\eta)R(\phi) = R(-\phi-\pi)B_x(-\eta)R(\phi+\pi)$ , i.e., the direction of this boost differs from that of  $B_{\phi}(-\eta)$  by 180°.

The physical meaning of the right hand side of (16) is the following. When we apply  $B_{\phi}(\eta)$  to K' to obtain a rest frame, the new frame will differ from the original rest frame by a Wigner angle  $\psi - \phi$ . In other words, it is possible to engineer a Wigner rotation in the two-dimensional Euclidean space since the rotation of a rest frame does not involve the temporal dimension.

## IV. WIGNER ANGLE

In principle, for any two (2+1)-dimensional boosts  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , we can always use (6) to calculate the corresponding  $(\phi, \eta, \psi)$  and obtain Wigner angle  $\psi - \phi$  for the product  $B_2B_1$ . Practically, there is an easier way as shown in the following two examples.

### A. Perpendicular case

If the directions of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are perpendicular to each other, it is legitimate to specify

$$B_1 = B_x(-\eta_1) \text{ and } B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cosh \eta_2 & -\sinh \eta_2\\ 0 & -\sinh \eta_2 & \cosh \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} =: B_y(-\eta_2),$$

where  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are positive. As discussed in the previous section, we may demand

$$B_2B_1 = R(-\psi_p)B_x(-\eta_p)R(\phi_p),$$

where the suffix p denotes "perpendicular".

Now instead of using (6) to express  $(\phi_p, \eta_p, \psi_p)$  in terms of those elements in  $B_2B_1$ , we calculate the following (2+1)-dimensional velocities,

$$W_{1\oplus 2} = (B_2 B_1)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = B_x(\eta_1) B_y(\eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2\\ \sinh \eta_2\\ \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$W_{2\oplus 1} = (B_1 B_2)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = B_y(\eta_2) B_x(\eta_1) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \eta_1\\ \cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2\\ \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing these results with (14) and (15), we obtain what we are looking for,

$$\cos \phi_p = \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 / \sinh \eta_p,$$
  

$$\sin \phi_p = \sinh \eta_2 / \sinh \eta_p;$$
  

$$\cosh \eta_p = \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2;$$
  

$$\cos \psi_p = \sinh \eta_1 / \sinh \eta_p,$$
  

$$\sin \psi_p = \cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 / \sinh \eta_p.$$
  
(17)

The benefit of this method is there is no need to perform any  $3 \times 3$  matrix multiplication.

Once obtaining (17), we are able to calculate the sine and cosine functions of  $\psi_p - \phi_p$ ,

$$\sin(\psi_p - \phi_p) = \frac{\sinh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2}{\cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 + 1} > 0; \tag{18}$$

$$\cos(\psi_p - \phi_p) = \frac{\cosh \eta_1 + \cosh \eta_2}{\cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 + 1} > 0, \tag{19}$$

and find the range of  $\psi_p - \phi_p$  is  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

If we adopt the  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  notations, then (18) and (19) become

$$\sin(\psi_p - \phi_p) = \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \beta_1 \beta_2}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + 1}$$
 and  $\cos(\psi_p - \phi_p) = \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + 1}$ .

The other parameters are more suitable to be expressed by Euler decomposition,

$$B_{2}B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{p}\gamma_{2}} & \frac{-\beta_{2}}{\beta_{p}} & 0\\ \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{p}} & \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{p}\gamma_{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{p} & 0 & -\gamma_{p}\beta_{p}\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\gamma_{p}\beta_{p} & 0 & \gamma_{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{p}} & \frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{p}\gamma_{1}} & 0\\ \frac{-\beta_{2}}{\beta_{p}\gamma_{1}} & \frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\beta_p = \sqrt{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}$  and  $\gamma_p = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ .

### B. General case

If the directions of the two boosts differ by an arbitrary angle  $\Theta = 2\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , the calculation of Wigner angle becomes complicated and is usually performed by advanced mathematical tools [6-8]. With the aid of Euler decomposition, however, it is not necessary to introduce any new tool and the derivation is just a little longer than that of the perpendicular case.

In order to make the most of the symmetry, we consider the following two boosts without losing generality.  $D_{i} = D_{i} = D$ 

$$B_1 = B_{-\theta}(-\eta_1) = R(\theta)B_x(-\eta_1)R(-\theta);$$
  

$$B_2 = B_{\theta}(-\eta_2) = R(-\theta)B_x(-\eta_2)R(\theta),$$

where  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are positive. It is obvious the products of them are

$$B_2B_1 = R(-\theta)B_x(-\eta_2)R(2\theta)B_x(-\eta_1)R(-\theta)$$

and

$$B_1B_2 = R(\theta)B_x(-\eta_1)R(-2\theta)B_x(-\eta_2)R(\theta).$$

We will denote the Euler decompositions of these two products by  $R(-\psi)B_x(-\eta)R(\phi)$  and  $R(-\phi)B_x(-\eta)R(\psi)$  respectively. There is no need to provide a suffix for the parameters because the current case is no less general than the one discussed in the previous section.

The perpendicular example suggests it might be wise to use the (2+1)-dimensional velocities corresponding to  $B_2B_1$  and  $B_1B_2$  as shortcuts, so our calculation begins with writing down the inverses of these two products.

$$(B_2 B_1)^{-1} = R(\theta) B_x(\eta_1) R(-2\theta) B_x(\eta_2) R(\theta) = R(-\phi) B_x(\eta) R(\psi);$$
(20)

$$(B_1 B_2)^{-1} = R(-\theta) B_x(\eta_2) R(2\theta) B_x(\eta_1) R(-\theta) = R(-\psi) B_x(\eta) R(\phi).$$
(21)

Integrating (20) with (14) leads to

$$W_{1\oplus 2} = R(\theta)B_x(\eta_1)R(-2\theta)B_x(\eta_2)R(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\c \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \eta \cos \phi\\ \sinh \eta \sin \phi\\ \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix},$$

which generates the relations

 $\cosh \eta = \cos 2\theta \sinh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2, \tag{22}$ 

 $\sinh \eta \cos \phi = \cos \theta (\cos 2\theta \cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2) + \sin \theta \sin 2\theta \sinh \eta_2, \qquad (23)$ 

 $\sinh\eta\sin\phi = -\sin\theta(\cos 2\theta\cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2 + \sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2) + \cos\theta\sin2\theta\sinh\eta_2.$ (24)

Similarly, (21) and (15) together give us

$$W_{2\oplus 1} = R(-\theta)B_x(\eta_2)R(2\theta)B_x(\eta_1)R(-\theta)\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\c\end{pmatrix} = c\begin{pmatrix}\sinh\eta\cos\psi\\\sinh\eta\sin\psi\\\cosh\eta\end{pmatrix},$$

which generates (22) as well as

$$\sinh\eta\cos\psi = \cos\theta(\cos2\theta\sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2 + \cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2) + \sin\theta\sin2\theta\sinh\eta_1, \qquad (25)$$

 $\sinh\eta\sin\psi = \sin\theta(\cos2\theta\sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2 + \cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2) - \cos\theta\sin2\theta\sinh\eta_1.$  (26)

Now we are equipped to find out  $\sin(\psi - \phi)$  and  $\cos(\psi - \phi)$ , but it is better to make a detour to calculate tan  $\frac{\psi - \phi}{2}$  first. This is because the identity

$$\tan\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\sin\psi-\sin\phi}{\cos\psi+\cos\phi} \tag{27}$$

renders the  $\sinh \eta$ 's in (23–26) ignorable and the calculation will be simpler.

Substituting (23–26) to the right hand side of (27) and ignoring those  $\sinh \eta$ 's, we find the numerator is proportional to

 $\sin \theta (\cos 2\theta + 1)(\cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2) - \cos \theta \sin 2\theta (\sinh \eta_1 + \sinh \eta_2) \\ = \cos \theta \sin 2\theta (\cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 - \sinh \eta_1 - \sinh \eta_2),$ 

and the denominator proportional to

 $\begin{aligned} \cos\theta(\cos 2\theta + 1)(\cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2 + \sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2) + \sin\theta\sin 2\theta(\sinh\eta_1 + \sinh\eta_2) \\ = \cos\theta\cos 2\theta(\cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2 + \sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2 - \sinh\eta_1 - \sinh\eta_2) \end{aligned}$ 

 $+\cos\theta(\cosh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2+\sinh\eta_1\cosh\eta_2+\sinh\eta_1+\sinh\eta_2).$ 

Thus (27) can be expressed as

$$\tan\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\sin\Theta}{\cos\Theta + X(\eta_1,\eta_2)},\tag{28}$$

where  $\Theta = 2\theta \in [0, \pi]$ , and

$$X(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{(\cosh \eta_1 + 1) \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 (\cosh \eta_2 + 1)}{(\cosh \eta_1 - 1) \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 (\cosh \eta_2 - 1)}$$
$$= \frac{\coth \frac{\eta_1}{2} + \coth \frac{\eta_2}{2}}{\tanh \frac{\eta_1}{2} + \tanh \frac{\eta_2}{2}}$$
$$= \coth \frac{\eta_1}{2} \coth \frac{\eta_2}{2}.$$

Since both  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are positive, X is always finite and (28) leads to the conclusion that  $\psi - \phi = 0$  implies  $\Theta = 0$  or  $\pi$ . Therefore the non-parallelism of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  is a sufficient condition for the existence of a non-zero Wigner angle. Once the range of  $\Theta$  is restricted to  $(0, \pi)$ , we can deduce from  $\infty > X > 1$  that  $0 < \psi - \phi < \Theta$ .

Next step is using (28) to derive the sine and cosine functions of the half angle,

$$\sin\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\sin\Theta}{\sqrt{1+2X\cos\Theta+X^2}} = \frac{\sinh\eta_1\sinh\eta_2\sin\Theta}{\sqrt{2(\cosh\eta_1+1)(\cosh\eta_2+1)(\cosh\eta+1)}};\\ \cos\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\cos\Theta+X}{\sqrt{1+2X\cos\Theta+X^2}} = \frac{\cosh\eta+\cosh\eta_1+\cosh\eta_2+1}{\sqrt{2(\cosh\eta_1+1)(\cosh\eta_2+1)(\cosh\eta+1)}},$$

where  $\cosh \eta$  is given by (22), and

$$X = \frac{\cosh \eta_1 + 1}{\sinh \eta_1} \frac{\cosh \eta_2 + 1}{\sinh \eta_2}$$

has been used in the derivation.

Switching to the  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  notations, we obtain the following results [8,11].

$$\sin\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2\beta_1\beta_2\sin\Theta}{\sqrt{2(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_2+1)(\gamma+1)}} = \sqrt{\frac{(\gamma_1-1)(\gamma_2-1)}{2(\gamma+1)}}\sin\Theta,$$
$$\cos\frac{\psi-\phi}{2} = \frac{\gamma+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+1}{\sqrt{2(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma+1)}};$$
$$\sin(\psi-\phi) = \frac{\gamma_1\beta_1\gamma_2\beta_2(\gamma+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+1)}{(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_2+1)(\gamma+1)}\sin\Theta,$$
$$\cos(\psi-\phi) = 1 - \frac{(\gamma_1-1)(\gamma_2-1)}{\gamma+1}\sin^2\Theta = \frac{(\gamma+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+1)^2}{(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma+1)} - 1,$$

where  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (1 + \beta_1 \beta_2 \cos \Theta)$ .

# V. CONCLUSION

It is believed Euler decomposition introduced in this paper is the most natural and powerful tool for studying Wigner rotation problem. Once the mathematics is substantially simplified, the physical meanings are easier to comprehend even for the beginners.

# **APPENDIX:** Validity of Euler Decomposition

In this appendix, we provide a rigorous proof for the validity of Euler decomposition of the reflection-free Lorentz transformation in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ . Our proof begins with considering a general Lorentz transformation in this space,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (29)

As discussed in Section II, the matrix L must meet the criterion  $L^{\top}gL = g$ , which implies  $\det(L) = \pm 1$  and L is invertible. This criterion and its equivalent  $LgL^{\top} = g$  together generate twelve relations among the elements in L (not totally independent of course), among which the following four will be used in our proof,

$$L_{13}^2 + L_{23}^2 = L_{33}^2 - 1, (30)$$

$$L_{31}^2 + L_{32}^2 = L_{33}^2 - 1, (31)$$

$$L_{11}L_{31} + L_{12}L_{32} = L_{13}L_{33}, (32)$$

$$L_{21}L_{31} + L_{22}L_{32} = L_{23}L_{33}.$$
(33)

To exclude reflections from the transformation (29), we first rule out those with det(L) = -1, e.g.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & 0 & -\sinh \eta \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -\sinh \eta & 0 & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, among the L's with positive determinant, we have to rule out those with negative  $L_{33}$  which correspond to the transformations containing both spatial and temporal reflections, e.g.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\cosh \eta & 0 & \sinh \eta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh \eta & 0 & -\cosh \eta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $L_{33}^2 \ge 1$  according to (30) or (31), once the negative part is ruled out, the range of  $L_{33}$  is reduced to  $[1, \infty)$ .

In summary, for (29) contains no reflection, the matrix L has to satisfy: (i) det(L) = 1 and (ii)  $L_{33} \ge 1$  [12].

Relations (30) and (31) also tell us that  $L_{33} = 1$  implies  $L_{13} = L_{23} = L_{31} = L_{32} = 0$ , and accordingly L degenerates to a rotation which corresponds to a special case of (5),

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\ct' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\psi - \phi) & -\sin(\psi - \phi) & 0\\\sin(\psi - \phi) & \cos(\psi - \phi) & 0\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\ct \end{pmatrix}$$

It is obvious Euler decomposition is valid for this degenerate case, only the parameters  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are not unique.

After we excluding all reflections and the degenerate case, a one-to-one correspondence can be built between (29) and the transformation matrix in (5),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta\cos\psi\cos\phi + \sin\psi\sin\phi & \cosh\eta\cos\psi\sin\phi - \sin\psi\cos\phi & -\sinh\eta\cos\psi\\ \cosh\eta\sin\psi\cos\phi - \cos\psi\sin\phi & \cosh\eta\sin\psi\sin\phi + \cos\psi\cos\phi & -\sinh\eta\sin\psi\\ -\sinh\eta\cos\phi & -\sinh\eta\sin\phi & \cosh\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

This correspondence may be achieved by the following three steps.

1. Since  $L_{33} > 1$ , we can always find a unique positive  $\eta$  such that  $L_{33} = \cosh \eta$ , and accordingly  $\sinh \eta = \sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1}$ .

2. Since (30) is the same as  $(-L_{13})^2 + (-L_{23})^2 = L_{33}^2 - 1$ , a unique  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$  exists such that

$$-L_{13} = \sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1} \cos \psi = \sinh \eta \cos \psi;$$
  
$$-L_{23} = \sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1} \sin \psi = \sinh \eta \sin \psi.$$

For the same reason, (31) guarantees there is a unique  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$-L_{31} = \sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1} \cos \phi = \sinh \eta \cos \phi;$$
  
$$-L_{32} = \sqrt{L_{33}^2 - 1} \sin \phi = \sinh \eta \sin \phi.$$

3. So far we have obtained (6) which allows us to determine a unique set  $(\phi, \eta, \psi)$  by the matrix elements  $L_{13}, L_{23}, L_{31}, L_{32}$ , and  $L_{33}$ . What is left is to verify that (6) is consistent with the other four relations,

 $L_{11} = \cosh \eta \cos \psi \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi,$   $L_{12} = \cosh \eta \cos \psi \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi,$   $L_{21} = \cosh \eta \sin \psi \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi,$  $L_{22} = \cosh \eta \sin \psi \sin \phi + \cos \psi \cos \phi.$ 

This is equivalent to proving the following four equalities,

$$\begin{split} L_{11} &= (L_{33}L_{13}L_{31} + L_{23}L_{32})/(L_{33}^2 - 1), \\ L_{12} &= (L_{33}L_{13}L_{32} - L_{23}L_{31})/(L_{33}^2 - 1), \\ L_{21} &= (L_{33}L_{23}L_{31} - L_{13}L_{32})/(L_{33}^2 - 1), \\ L_{22} &= (L_{33}L_{23}L_{32} + L_{13}L_{31})/(L_{33}^2 - 1). \end{split}$$

Taking (31-33) into account, these four equalities can be reduced to the following two,

$$L_{21}L_{32} - L_{22}L_{31} = -L_{13}, (34)$$

$$L_{31}L_{12} - L_{32}L_{11} = -L_{23}. (35)$$

In order to prove (34) and (35), we express the determinant of L as (adopting the summation convention)

$$\det(L) = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{pqr} L_{ip} L_{jq} L_{kr} = 1,$$

then transpose one of the two Levi-Civita symbols to the other side of the equal sign [13],

$$\epsilon_{pqr} L_{ip} L_{jq} L_{kr} = \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Since  $L^{\top}gL = g$  is equivalent to  $gLg = (L^{\top})^{-1}$ , the above equality can be transformed to

$$\epsilon_{pqr}L_{ip}L_{jq} = \epsilon_{ijk}(gLg)_{kr}.$$
(36)

Both (34) and (35) are special cases of (36), the former corresponds to (r, i, j) = (3, 2, 3) and the latter to (r, i, j) = (3, 3, 1). Q.E.D.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is in memory of my father Colonel Li-jung Yeh (1930-2021) and my thesis advisor Professor Geoffrey F. Chew (1924-2019).

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Silberstein, The Theory of Relativity (Macmillan, 1914), pp. 168-69.
- [2] L. H. Thomas, "Motion of the spinning electron," Nature (London) 117, 514 (1926); "The Kinematics of an electron with an axis," Philos. Mag. S7. 3, 1-22 (1927).
- [3] E. P. Wigner, "On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group," Ann. Math. 40, 149-204 (1939).
- [4] A. Ben-Menahem, "Wigner's rotation revisited," Am. J. Phys. 53, 62-66 (1985).
- [5] A. A. Ungar, "Thomas rotation and the parametrization of the Lorentz transformation group," Found. Phys. Lett. 1, 57-89 (1988) and references therein.
- [6] H. Urbantke, "Physical holonomy, Thomas precession, and Clifford algebra," Am. J. Phys. 58, 747-50 (1990); Erratum Am. J. Phys. 59, 1150-51 (1991).
- [7] J. A. Rhodes and M. D. Semon, "Relativistic velocity space, Wigner rotation, and Thomas precession," Am. J. Phys. 72, 943-60 (2004).
- [8] K. O'Donnell and M. Visser, "Elementary analysis of the special relativistic combination of velocities, Wigner rotation and Thomas precession," Eur. J. Phys. 32, 1033-47 (2011).
- [9] K. Rębilas, "Comment on 'Elementary analysis of the special relativistic combination of velocities, Wigner rotation and Thomas precession'," Eur. J. Phys. **34**, L55-61 (2013).
- [10] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, and J. Safko, *Classical Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, 2002), 3rd ed., p. 285.
- [11] H. Stapp, "Relativistic Theory of Polarization Phenomena," Phys. Rev. 103, 425-34 (1956).
- [12] É. Gourgoulhon, Special Relativity in General Frames: From Particles to Astrophysics, (Springer, 2013), p. 174.
- [13] Pavel Grinfeld, Introduction to Tensor Analysis and the Calculus of Moving Surfaces, (Springer, 2013), pp. 135-36.