

Entanglement Entropy of Non-Hermitian Eigenstates and the Ginibre Ensemble

Giorgio Cipolloni^{1,*} and Jonah Kudler-Flam^{2,3,†}

¹*Princeton Center for Theoretical Science, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

²*Kadanoff Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

³*Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

(Dated: June 28, 2022)

Entanglement entropy is a powerful tool in characterizing universal features in quantum many-body systems. In quantum chaotic Hermitian systems, typical eigenstates have near maximal entanglement with very small fluctuations. Here, we show that for Hamiltonians displaying non-Hermitian many-body quantum chaos, modeled by the Ginibre ensemble, the entanglement entropy of typical eigenstates is greatly suppressed. The entropy does not grow with the Hilbert space dimension for sufficiently large systems and the fluctuations are of equal order. We derive the novel entanglement spectrum that has infinite support in the complex plane and strong energy dependence. Similar behavior is found in the non-Hermitian Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model, indicating the general applicability of the Ginibre ensemble to dissipative many-body quantum chaos.

Introduction.— In quantum mechanical systems, it is standard to take the Hamiltonian of the system to be Hermitian, ensuring the reality of the energy spectrum. However, relaxing this Hermiticity has proven to lead to many novel and unexpected phenomena [1]. These phenomena are not mere theoretical curiosities, but physically relevant, describing the physics of open quantum systems.

Given the widespread applicability of non-Hermitian physics, it is natural to ask what features are universal. This is our impetus for combining two unifying subjects in the context of non-Hermitian many-body physics, entanglement and random matrix theory. Entanglement entropy has been an indispensable tool characterizing many-body physics, with milestone results in gapped [2], critical [3], topological [4, 5], holographic [6], and dynamical [7] systems. Entanglement theory has only recently been applied to non-Hermitian physics, with the main accomplishments coming from characterizations of non-unitary conformal field theories [8–14].

The goal of this Letter is to move away from these ground state studies to the generic properties of *typical eigenstates*. This is of particular interest in the context of the emerging field of dissipative quantum many-body chaos [15–23]. Our strategy for modeling typical eigenstates is to analyze the eigenstates of the complex Ginibre ensemble, whose matrix elements are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) complex Gaussian random variables [24]. This is our proposed analogy to the typical eigenstates frequently used in Hermitian systems that are eigenstates of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (equivalently “Haar random” states) [25]. The Ginibre ensemble has been recently demonstrated to universally emerge in non-Hermitian many-body quantum chaotic systems [15–18, 26, 27]. This is anticipated by the dissipative analog of the Berry-Tabor and Bohigas-Giannoni-Schmit conjectures [28, 29].

Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians have distinct left and right eigenvectors, $|L_i\rangle$ and $|R_i\rangle$, residing in Hilbert space

\mathcal{H} of dimension N , that form a biorthonormal basis

$$\langle L_i | R_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}. \quad (1)$$

Following the biorthogonal formulation of quantum mechanics [30], we choose the density matrix of an eigenstate to inherit the non-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian

$$\rho^{(i)} := |R_i\rangle \langle L_i|. \quad (2)$$

With this choice, the Heisenberg evolution of general density matrices remain $i\partial_t \rho = [H, \rho]$ and their traces are preserved.

We consider a bipartition of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ with sub-Hilbert space dimensions N_A and N_B . Performing the partial trace on \mathcal{H}_B , we arrive at the reduced density matrix

$$\rho_A^{(i)} := \text{Tr}_B \rho^{(i)}. \quad (3)$$

This describes the state localized to subsystem A because the expectation values of all observables are captured by the reduced state $\langle \mathcal{O}_A \rangle = \text{Tr} \left(\rho_A^{(i)} \mathcal{O}_A \right)$.

While (3) still has unit trace, its eigenvalues are generally complex. To accommodate, we must use a generalized definition of the entanglement entropy [14]

$$S_{vN}(\rho_A) = -\text{Tr} \rho_A \log |\rho_A|, \quad (4)$$

which clearly reduces to the standard entanglement entropy for the Hermitian case where ρ_A is positive semi-definite. While its quantum information theoretic interpretation is not yet entirely understood, it can be seen to obey desirable properties such as $S_{vN} \neq 0$ only if A and B are entangled, it is amenable to path integral constructions, and has been useful in characterizing non-unitary conformal field theories [11–14].

The entropy as a function of $\log N_A$ is referred to as the “Page curve” [25] and has been the topic of intense study in both many-body and quantum gravitational physics

[31–34]. In this Letter, we compute the Page curve for non-Hermitian systems. We do this by first identifying the structure of typical reduced density matrices, evaluating the eigenvalue (entanglement) spectrum, then computing the expectation of the entanglement entropy and its variance.

Structure of Reduced Density Matrix.— To get oriented, we briefly review the Hermitian case, where one considers eigenvectors of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE). The eigenvectors on $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_B} X_{i\alpha} |i\rangle_A \otimes |\alpha\rangle_B, \quad (5)$$

where the states in the sum are orthonormal bases for the sub-Hilbert spaces and the $X_{i\alpha}$'s (matrix elements of X) are i.i.d. complex Gaussian random variables with variance N^{-1} . The random induced states on \mathcal{H}_A are then

$$\rho_A = XX^\dagger, \quad (6)$$

defining the celebrated Wishart ensemble [35]. The spectrum of Wishart matrices is given by the Marchenko–Pastur distribution. The entropy is consequently evaluated to

$$\mathbb{E}_X [S_{vN}] = \log N_A - \frac{N_A}{2N_B}, \quad (7)$$

where without loss of generality, we have taken $N_A \leq N_B$. The entropy is extremely close to the upper bound of $\log N_A$.

We seek the non-Hermitian analog of (6). To describe the structure of $\rho_A^{(i)}$, we use the so-called ‘‘Hermitization trick’’ [36]. Define

$$H^z := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H - z \\ (H - z)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

with $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then we point out that

$$\lambda \in \text{Spec}(H) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \text{Spec}(H^\lambda). \quad (9)$$

This is the key observation that will enable us to compute the spectrum of $\rho_A^{(i)}$ and so its entanglement entropy. We denote the eigenvalues of H^z by $\{E_{\pm i}^z\}_{i \in [N]}$ and by $\{\mathbf{w}_{\pm i}^z\}_{i \in [N]}$ the corresponding orthogonal eigenvectors. We point out that the 2×2 block structure of H^z induces a symmetric spectrum around zero, i.e. $E_{+i}^z \geq 0$ and $E_{-i}^z = -E_{+i}^z$; accordingly the eigenvectors $\mathbf{w}_{\pm i}^z$ are of the form $\mathbf{w}_{\pm i}^z = (\mathbf{u}_i^z, \pm \mathbf{v}_i^z)$, with $\mathbf{u}_i^z, \mathbf{v}_i^z \in \mathbb{C}^N$. We also remark that $\{E_i^z\}_{i \in [N]}$ deterministically coincides with the singular values of $H - z$, and $\mathbf{u}_i^z, \mathbf{v}_i^z$ denotes the corresponding left and right singular vectors, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} (H - z)\mathbf{v}_i^z = E_i^z \mathbf{v}_i^z, \\ (H - z)^* \mathbf{u}_i^z = E_i^z \mathbf{u}_i^z. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We point out that the representations (8) and (10) are completely equivalent. We wrote both of them for convenience in the following arguments.

Let λ_i be the eigenvalue with corresponding right and left eigenvectors R_i, L_i from (2). Then by (9)–(10), it follows that

$$\mathbf{v}_1^{\lambda_i} = \frac{L_i}{\|L_i\|}, \quad \mathbf{u}_1^{\lambda_i} = \frac{R_i}{\|R_i\|}. \quad (11)$$

We introduce the notations $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{v}_1^{\lambda_i}$, $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{u}_1^{\lambda_i}$, and thus find that

$$\rho_A^{(i)} = \frac{\text{Tr}_B[|\mathbf{u}\rangle\langle\mathbf{v}|]}{\langle\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{v}\rangle}. \quad (12)$$

Note that using the Hermitization trick we were able to rewrite (3) in terms of the singular vectors \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} in (10). The key point now is that for fixed deterministic z we can compute the distribution of $\mathbf{u}_i^z, \mathbf{v}_i^z$ using Hermitian techniques such as the Dyson Brownian motion (DBM) for eigenvectors introduced in [37] (see also [38–42]). This would not have been possible analyzing the non-Hermitian eigenvectors R_i and L_i directly (since there is no known non-Hermitian analogue for eigenvectors DBM). This is the key step which shows the utility of the Hermitization trick. In (12) we have to study $\mathbf{v}_1^{\lambda_i}, \mathbf{u}_1^{\lambda_i}$, i.e. $\mathbf{u}_i^z, \mathbf{v}_i^z$ for $z = \lambda_i$ (hence z is not deterministic), however we expect (and numerically confirm) that their behavior is the same as $\mathbf{u}_i^z, \mathbf{v}_i^z$ for fixed deterministic z , which we can then understand via DBM. We remark that for H Ginibre, a similar result can be obtained via Weingarten calculus [43], however we decided to rely on DBM since this approach can be extended to more general ensembles H (see *Discussion* below).

We will now study the spectrum of $\rho_A^{(i)}$ conditioned on the event that $\lambda_i = z$, for some $|z| < 1$. In order to keep the argument simple and concise we neglect the case $|z| \approx 1$; the analysis in this regime would be analogous except for the fact that the distribution of $(\|L_i\| \|R_i\|)^{-1}$ would be more complicated compared to what we have now below (14) (see [44]).

Denote $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}$, and let $\mathbf{v}_i := \mathbf{v}_i^z$, for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Since H is a Ginibre matrix, the singular vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_i^z\}_{i \in [N]}$ of $H - z$ are Haar unitary distributed. We now write \mathbf{u} in the \mathbf{v}_i basis:

$$|\mathbf{u}\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\mathbf{v}_i\rangle, \quad c_i := \langle\mathbf{v}_i|\mathbf{u}\rangle. \quad (13)$$

The fact that c_1 is distributed as

$$c_1 = \langle\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{v}_1\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_2}{N(1-|z|^2)}} \quad (14)$$

follows by $\langle\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1\rangle = (\|L_i\| \|R_i\|)^{-1}$ and the fact that the distribution of $O_{ii}^{1/2} := \|L_i\| \|R_i\|$ has been computed in [44, 45]. γ_2 is a random variable drawn from the Gamma

distribution with shape parameter 2, i.e. its density is given by xe^{-x} . The fact that c_i , for $i \geq 2$, are i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian random variables as well as the fact that the c_i 's for $i \geq 2$ are independent of c_1 follows using DBM similarly to [37–42].¹ More precisely, using DBM we can show that any finite (independent of N) collection of c_i 's, for $i \geq 2$, converge to i.i.d. standard complex Gaussians. For Ginibre one can expect, for instance using Weingarten calculus, that this convergence holds for all the c_i 's with $i \geq 2$ (this is also confirmed numerically below). We now write the c_i 's as follows (recall that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1^z$):

$$c_i := \langle \mathbf{v}_i | \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}_1^z | F | \mathbf{w}_i^z \rangle, \quad F := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, with \mathbf{w}_i^z being defined below (9). As mentioned below (12), we consider the overlaps in (15) only for fixed deterministic z 's. For such overlaps we are back to the Hermitian world (recall that \mathbf{w}_i^z are eigenvectors of the Hermitian matrix H^z in (8)), hence the techniques developed in [39–41], where overlaps of Hermitian eigenvectors and generic deterministic matrices have been considered, apply and similarly to those works we obtain that the c_i 's are distributed as claimed above. In particular, in this way we can also recover that for fixed z the overlap $\langle \mathbf{u}_1^z | \mathbf{v}_1^z \rangle$ is distributed as in (14).

We thus find that

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_i \frac{c_i}{c_1} |\mathbf{v}_i\rangle \langle \mathbf{v}_1|, \quad (16)$$

which has clearly unit trace but non-Hermitian, with the c_i 's distributed as described above. In the $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ basis, we have

$$|\mathbf{v}_i\rangle = \sum_{j\alpha} X_{j\alpha}^{(i)} |j\rangle_A |\alpha\rangle_B, \quad (17)$$

where the $X^{(i)}$'s are $N_A \times N_B$ rectangular matrices with i.i.d. Gaussian variables with variance N^{-1} because they are Haar distributed. We neglect the normalization because it concentrates around one at large- N . They are correlated with each other only in that they are orthonormal, an unimpactful subtlety that we ignore in the limits we consider. The reduced density matrix is thus given by

$$\rho_A = \sum_i \frac{c_i}{c_1} X^{(i)} X^{(1)\dagger}, \quad (18)$$

defining a non-Hermitian analog of the Wishart ensemble (6). As shown in [46], the product of rectangular

i.i.d. matrices gives a matrix with the spectrum of a Ginibre matrix for $1 \ll N_A \ll N_B$ rescaled by $N^{-1/2}$. When $i = 1$, this limit leads to the normalized identity matrix plus a matrix with the spectrum of a GUE matrix suppressed by $N^{-1/2}$ and hence irrelevant. In total, the density matrix takes the form

$$\rho_A = \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{N_A} + \frac{M_{GUE}}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{1-|z|^2}{\gamma_2 N}} \sum_{i=2}^N c_i M_{Ginibre}^{(i)}. \quad (19)$$

By the central limit theorem (CLT), we can add all the random matrices at large N to find

$$\rho_A = \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{N_A} + \sqrt{\frac{1-|z|^2}{\gamma_2}} M, \quad (20)$$

where M is a Ginibre matrix independent of γ_2 . Note that to go from (18) to (20) we did not need that $X^{(i)} X^{(1)\dagger}$ is approximately Ginibre and that the c_i 's for $i \geq 2$ are i.i.d.; we only needed to ensure that the CLT for the entries of ρ_A holds. This remark will be relevant for the *Discussion* below when matrices H with not necessarily Gaussian entries are considered. We thus find that reduced density matrices of the Ginibre ensemble are Ginibre themselves, with a random, eigenvalue dependent, scaling and deterministic shift.

Entanglement Spectrum.—Now that we understand the structure of the non-Hermitian ensemble defining the reduced density matrix, we compute the entanglement spectrum. In the $1 \ll N_A \ll N_B$ regime, the entanglement spectrum, conditioned on γ_2 , is given by a shifted circular law due to the Ginibre matrix in (20)

$$\mu(x, \gamma_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_2}{\pi}, & x < \gamma_2^{-1/2} \\ 0, & x > \gamma_2^{-1/2} \end{cases}, \quad (21)$$

where $x := \frac{|N_A^{-1} - \lambda|}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}$. Averaging over γ_2 , we then find

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(2 - e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} \left(\frac{1 + 2x^2 + 2x^4}{x^4} \right) \right). \quad (22)$$

Note that $\mu(x)$ is rotationally invariant. For $N_A > N_B$, the spectrum is identical with $N_A \leftrightarrow N_B$ and the addition of $N_A - N_B$ eigenvalues at $\lambda = 0$. This spectrum has infinite support with a very heavy tail, decaying only as $|\lambda|^{-6}$ at large $|\lambda|$. In Figure 1, we show the very good agreement between (22) and numerical data for small matrices. We lack an analytical expression for the spectrum at $N_A = N_B$, though numerically show the accuracy of (16) in all regimes in the supplemental material.

Page Curve.—The average entropy is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{M, \gamma_2} [S_{vN}(|z|)] = -N_A \int d\lambda \mu(\lambda) \lambda \log |\lambda|. \quad (23)$$

¹ Note that if we had taken the density matrix to be Hermitian i.e. $|R\rangle\langle R|$ or $|L\rangle\langle L|$, this would imply that the reduced density matrices are, once again, drawn from the Wishart ensemble.




FIG. 1. The entanglement spectrum is shown for various values of $\log_2 N_A$ (labeled in the legend) and $N = 2^{14}$. The data is averaged over all eigenstates and compared with the large- N formula, (22), displayed as the solid black line. There are clear deviations from (22) outside of the $1 \ll N_A \ll N_B$ regime.

Conditioned on $R := \sqrt{\frac{1-|z|^2}{\gamma_2}}$, the entropy is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_M [S_{vN}(R)] &= -\frac{N_A}{\pi R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^R dr (N_A^{-1} + r e^{i\theta}) \\ &\quad \times \log \left(N_A^{-2} + \frac{2r \cos(\theta)}{N_A} + r^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

For large N_A , we may consistently Taylor expand the logarithm, so the integral at leading order is evaluated to

$$\mathbb{E}_M [S_{vN}(R)] = -\log R. \quad (25)$$

Integrating over γ_2 , we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} [S_{vN}(|z|)] = \frac{1 - \gamma - \log(1 - |z|^2)}{2}, \quad (26)$$

where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. Surprisingly, there is no scaling with N_A , in stark contrast to the Hermitian case (7). We show remarkable agreement between (26) and small matrices, even at $N_A = N_B$, in Figure 2.

As a consequence of the large fluctuations in the structure of the density matrix, there are large fluctuations in the von Neumann entropy. Therefore, we would like to understand its variance. To do so, we split the variance into three terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} \left[|S_{vN} - \mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} [S_{vN}]|^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_2} \left[|\mathbb{E}_M [S_{vN}]|^2 \right] \\ &\quad - |\mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} [S_{vN}]|^2 + \mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} \left[|S_{vN} - \mathbb{E}_M [S_{vN}]|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The first term on the second line is simply the square of (26). The term in the first line may be analogously computed at large N_A by Taylor expanding the logarithm

$$\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_2} \left[|\mathbb{E}_M [S_{vN}]|^2 \right] = \frac{\pi^2 - 6}{24} + |\mathbb{E}_{M,\gamma_2} [S_{vN}]|^2. \quad (28)$$




FIG. 2. The von Neumann entropy (base 2) for eigenvectors of Ginibre matrices for various values of $|z|$. The circles are numerical data, the solid line is evaluated from the finite N spectrum (22), and the dashed line is the asymptotic result (26). We have taken $N = 2^{14}$ and 10^3 disorder realizations. Only the real part is plotted because the imaginary part averages to zero.

The most involved term is the final one. Fortunately, the variance of functions of eigenvalues, $f(\lambda_i)$, of Ginibre matrices was analyzed in [47]. There, it was found that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_M \left[\left| \sum_i f(\lambda_i) - \mathbb{E}_M \left[\sum_i f(\lambda_i) \right] \right|^2 \right] &= \\ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} d^2 z |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k| \left| \hat{f}(k) \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where \mathbf{D} is the unit disk and $\hat{f}(k)$ is the k^{th} Fourier mode of f on the perimeter of the disk. For the entropy, we must take

$$f(z) = -(N_A^{-1} + Rz) \log |N_A^{-1} + Rz|, \quad (30)$$

where we recall that $R = \sqrt{\frac{1-|z|^2}{\gamma_2}}$. After averaging over γ_2 , the three terms are N_A -independent at large N_A , thus the same order as the mean (26). Interestingly, the variance is monotonically decreasing with $|z|$, ranging between ~ 0.906 at $z = 0$ and ~ 0.161 at $z = 1$.

Discussion.—In this Letter, we have presented the entanglement spectrum and subsequently the entanglement entropy of eigenvectors of Ginibre matrices, relying on the determination of the novel structure of correlations in the density matrix. We found that the entanglement spectrum is non-compactly supported, with eigenvalue densities decaying at infinity with a heavy $|\lambda|^{-6}$ tail in the complex plane. This led us to find a Page curve that did not scale with the system size, vastly suppressed as compared to the Hermitian Page curve. Moreover, we found the Page curve to not be self-averaging with fluctuations of the same order as the mean, in stark contrast with the Hermitian case.

It is clearly important to understand if our results characterize bona fide non-Hermitian Hamiltonians. We expect that a similar analysis to the one performed above holds for left and right eigenvectors of more general non-Hermitian matrices ensembles, i.e. for matrices H with i.i.d. entries but not necessarily with Gaussian distribution, matrices with independent (but not necessarily identically distributed) entries or even for matrices with some correlation structure; however the precise limiting constant will differ from (26). The common feature in all these models is that we expect to get an N_A -independent entropy. This is motivated from the fact that the DBM arguments in [37–42] hold true for fairly general Hermitian ensembles. For these more general ensembles the vectors \mathbf{v}_i^z will not be Haar distributed, but, since the entries of \mathbf{v}_i^z are approximately Gaussian by DBM, we would still expect an approximation similar to (20) to be valid (we expect the scaling constant $(1-|z|^2)^{-1/2}$ to be model dependent, but the γ_2 distribution to be universal). Additionally, in the supplemental material, we provide evidence that the same behavior of the entropy endures by numerically studying the non-Hermitian Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model.

There are many interesting research directions motivated from this work. An important characterization of many-body chaos beyond the entanglement entropy is the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) which, motivated by random matrix theory, describes the universal behavior of expectations of simple observables and their fluctuations [48, 49] (see also [39, 42, 50]). In [51], we generalize the ETH to non-Hermitian systems by employing the Ginibre ensemble. Along with providing the compelling prediction that observables have large inter-eigenstate fluctuations (hence no thermalization), this leads to an alternate derivation of (20).

The ETH may be strengthened to a non-local statement about subsystems thermalizing [52, 53], which may be verified using calculations of distance measures. It would be fascinating to characterize the new non-Hermitian ensemble of this Letter more thoroughly using distance measures, as was done in [54, 55] in the Hermitian case.

Furthermore, it may be interesting to explore the entanglement entropy in different classes of non-Hermitian many-body systems, such as those with symmetries [26, 56], those with localization transitions [15], non-interacting fermions [26], Liouvillians [21, 22, 57–61], or many-body scars [62]. We hope to report on some of these directions in the near future.

Acknowledgments.—We would like to thank Amos Chan and Shinsei Ryu for useful discussions and comments. We especially thank Kohei Kawabata for his comments that significantly improved the manuscript. JKF is supported through a Simons Investigator Award to Shinsei Ryu from the Simons Foundation (Award Number: 566166). We use QUSPIN for simulating the non-

Hermitian SYK model [63, 64] and thank Laimei Nie for her help in implementation.

* gc4233@princeton.edu

† jkudlerflam@uchicago.edu

- [1] Y. Ashida, Z. Gong, and M. Ueda, Non-Hermitian physics, *Advances in Physics* **69**, 249 (2020), [arXiv:2006.01837](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.01837) [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [2] M. B. Hastings, An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2007**, 08024 (2007), [arXiv:0705.2024](https://arxiv.org/abs/0705.2024) [quant-ph].
- [3] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Entanglement in Quantum Critical Phenomena, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 227902 (2003), [arXiv:quant-ph/0211074](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0211074) [quant-ph].
- [4] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110405 (2006), [arXiv:cond-mat/0510613](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0510613) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [5] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Topological Entanglement Entropy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110404 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0510092](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510092) [hep-th].
- [6] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from the anti de Sitter Space/Conformal Field Theory Correspondence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0603001](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0603001) [hep-th].
- [7] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Evolution of entanglement entropy in one-dimensional systems, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2005**, 04010 (2005), [arXiv:cond-mat/0503393](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0503393) [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [8] D. Bianchini, O. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, E. Levi, and F. Ravanini, Entanglement entropy of non-unitary conformal field theory, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **48**, 04FT01 (2015), [arXiv:1405.2804](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2804) [hep-th].
- [9] D. Bianchini, O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, and B. Doyon, Entanglement entropy of non-unitary integrable quantum field theory, *Nuclear Physics B* **896**, 835 (2015), [arXiv:1502.03275](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03275) [hep-th].
- [10] D. Bianchini and F. Ravanini, Entanglement entropy from corner transfer matrix in Forrester-Baxter non-unitary RSOS models, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **49**, 154005 (2016), [arXiv:1509.04601](https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.04601) [hep-th].
- [11] R. Couvreur, J. L. Jacobsen, and H. Saleur, Entanglement in Nonunitary Quantum Critical Spin Chains, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 040601 (2017), [arXiv:1611.08506](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08506) [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [12] T. Dupic, B. Estienne, and Y. Ikhlef, Entanglement entropies of minimal models from null-vectors, *SciPost Physics* **4**, 031 (2018), [arXiv:1709.09270](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.09270) [math-ph].
- [13] P.-Y. Chang, J.-S. You, X. Wen, and S. Ryu, Entanglement spectrum and entropy in topological non-Hermitian systems and nonunitary conformal field theory, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 033069 (2020), [arXiv:1909.01346](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.01346) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [14] Y.-T. Tu, Y.-C. Tzeng, and P.-Y. Chang, Rényi entropies and negative central charges in non-hermitian quantum systems, *SciPost Physics* **12**, 194 (2022).
- [15] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, and M. Ueda, Non-

- Hermitian Many-Body Localization, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 090603 (2019), arXiv:1811.11319 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
- [16] G. Akemann, M. Kieburg, A. Mielke, and T. Prosen, Universal Signature from Integrability to Chaos in Dissipative Open Quantum Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 254101 (2019), arXiv:1910.03520 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [17] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Complex Spacing Ratios: A Signature of Dissipative Quantum Chaos, *Physical Review X* **10**, 021019 (2020), arXiv:1910.12784 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [18] J. Li, T. Prosen, and A. Chan, Spectral Statistics of Non-Hermitian Matrices and Dissipative Quantum Chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 170602 (2021), arXiv:2103.05001 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [19] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Lindbladian dissipation of strongly-correlated quantum matter, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2112.12109 (2021), arXiv:2112.12109 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [20] A. Kulkarni, T. Numasawa, and S. Ryu, SYK Lindbladian, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2112.13489 (2021), arXiv:2112.13489 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [21] S. Denisov, T. Lapyteva, W. Tarnowski, D. Chruściński, and K. Życzkowski, Universal Spectra of Random Lindblad Operators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 140403 (2019), arXiv:1811.12282 [quant-ph].
- [22] K. Wang, F. Piazza, and D. J. Luitz, Hierarchy of Relaxation Timescales in Local Random Liouvillians, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 100604 (2020), arXiv:1911.05740 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [23] O. E. Sommer, F. Piazza, and D. J. Luitz, Many-body hierarchy of dissipative timescales in a quantum computer, *Physical Review Research* **3**, 023190 (2021), arXiv:2011.08853 [quant-ph].
- [24] J. Ginibre, Statistical Ensembles of Complex, Quaternion, and Real Matrices, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **6**, 440 (1965).
- [25] D. N. Page, Average entropy of a subsystem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1291 (1993), arXiv:gr-qc/9305007 [gr-qc].
- [26] A. M. García-García, L. Sá, and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Symmetry Classification and Universality in Non-Hermitian Many-Body Quantum Chaos by the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model, *Physical Review X* **12**, 021040 (2022), arXiv:2110.03444 [hep-th].
- [27] A. Chan, A. De Luca, D. Huse, and S. Shivam, Many-body quantum chaos and emergence of Ginibre ensemble, forthcoming.
- [28] R. Grobe, F. Haake, and H.-J. Sommers, Quantum distinction of regular and chaotic dissipative motion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1899 (1988).
- [29] R. Grobe and F. Haake, Universality of cubic-level repulsion for dissipative quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 2893 (1989).
- [30] D. C. Brody, Biorthogonal quantum mechanics, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **47**, 035305 (2014), arXiv:1308.2609 [quant-ph].
- [31] D. N. Page, Information in black hole radiation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3743 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9306083 [hep-th].
- [32] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, Entanglement Entropy of Eigenstates of Quantum Chaotic Hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 220603 (2017), arXiv:1708.08453 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [33] G. Penington, Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020**, 2 (2020), arXiv:1905.08255 [hep-th].
- [34] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf, and H. Maxfield, The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019**, 63 (2019), arXiv:1905.08762 [hep-th].
- [35] J. Wishart, The generalised product moment distribution in samples from a normal multivariate population, *Biometrika* (1928).
- [36] J. Feinberg and A. Zee, Non-hermitian random matrix theory: Method of hermitian reduction, *Nuclear Physics B* **504**, 579 (1997), arXiv:cond-mat/9703087 [cond-mat].
- [37] P. Bourgade and H.-T. Yau, The eigenvector moment flow and local quantum unique ergodicity, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **350**, 231 (2017).
- [38] J. Marcinek and H.-T. Yau, High dimensional normality of noisy eigenvectors, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2005.08425 (2020), arXiv:2005.08425 [math.PR].
- [39] L. Benigni and P. Lopatto, Fluctuations in Local Quantum Unique Ergodicity for Generalized Wigner Matrices, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **391**, 401 (2022), arXiv:2103.12013 [math.PR].
- [40] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and D. Schröder, Normal fluctuation in quantum ergodicity for Wigner matrices, *The Annals of Probability* **50**, 984 (2022).
- [41] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and D. Schröder, Rank-uniform local law for Wigner matrices, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2203.01861 (2022), arXiv:2203.01861 [math.PR].
- [42] P. Bourgade, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin, Random band matrices in the delocalized phase, i: Quantum unique ergodicity and universality, arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.01559 (2018).
- [43] B. Collins, Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the itzykson-zuber integral and free probability, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2003**, 953 (2003).
- [44] Y. V. Fyodorov, On Statistics of Bi-Orthogonal Eigenvectors in Real and Complex Ginibre Ensembles: Combining Partial Schur Decomposition with Supersymmetry, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **363**, 579 (2018), arXiv:1710.04699 [math-ph].
- [45] P. Bourgade and G. Dubach, The distribution of overlaps between eigenvectors of ginibre matrices, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **177**, 397 (2020).
- [46] Z. Burda, A. Jarosz, G. Livan, M. A. Nowak, and A. Swiech, Eigenvalues and singular values of products of rectangular Gaussian random matrices, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 061114 (2010), arXiv:1007.3594 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [47] B. Rider and B. Virág, The noise in the circular law and the gaussian free field, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2007** (2007).
- [48] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [49] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994), arXiv:cond-mat/9403051 [cond-mat].
- [50] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and D. Schröder, Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis for wigner matrices, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **388**, 1005 (2021).
- [51] G. Cipolloni and J. Kudler-Flam, No Eigenstate Thermalization for Non-Hermitian Systems and the Ginibre Ensemble, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:22XX.xxxxx (2022), arXiv:22XX.xxxxx [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [52] J. R. Garrison and T. Grover, Does a Single Eigenstate

- Encode the Full Hamiltonian?, *Physical Review X* **8**, 021026 (2018), arXiv:1503.00729 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [53] A. Dymarsky, N. Lashkari, and H. Liu, Subsystem eigenstate thermalization hypothesis, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 012140 (2018), arXiv:1611.08764 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [54] J. Kudler-Flam, Relative Entropy of Random States and Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 171603 (2021), arXiv:2102.05053 [hep-th].
- [55] J. Kudler-Flam, V. Narovlansky, and S. Ryu, Distinguishing Random and Black Hole Microstates, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 040340 (2021), arXiv:2108.00011 [hep-th].
- [56] R. Hamazaki, K. Kawabata, N. Kura, and M. Ueda, Universality classes of non-Hermitian random matrices, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 023286 (2020), arXiv:1904.13082 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [57] T. Can, Random Lindblad dynamics, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **52**, 485302 (2019), arXiv:1902.01442 [quant-ph].
- [58] T. Can, V. Oganesyan, D. Orgad, and S. Gopalakrishnan, Spectral Gaps and Midgap States in Random Quantum Master Equations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 234103 (2019), arXiv:1902.01414 [quant-ph].
- [59] L. Sá, P. Ribeiro, and T. Prosen, Spectral and steady-state properties of random Liouvillians, *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General* **53**, 305303 (2020), arXiv:1905.02155 [quant-ph].
- [60] S. Lange and C. Timm, Random-matrix theory for the Lindblad master equation, *Chaos* **31**, 023101 (2021).
- [61] W. Tarnowski, I. Yusipov, T. Laptjeva, S. Denisov, D. Chruściński, and K. Życzkowski, Random generators of Markovian evolution: A quantum-classical transition by superdecoherence, *Phys. Rev. E* **104**, 034118 (2021), arXiv:2105.02369 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [62] K. Pakrouski, P. N. Pallegar, F. K. Popov, and I. R. Klebanov, Group theoretic approach to many-body scar states in fermionic lattice models, *Physical Review Research* **3**, 043156 (2021), arXiv:2106.10300 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [63] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, QuSpin: a Python package for dynamics and exact diagonalisation of quantum many body systems part I: spin chains, *SciPost Physics* **2**, 003 (2017), arXiv:1610.03042 [physics.comp-ph].
- [64] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, QuSpin: a Python package for dynamics and exact diagonalisation of quantum many body systems. Part II: bosons, fermions and higher spins, *SciPost Physics* **7**, 020 (2019), arXiv:1804.06782 [physics.comp-ph].

Supplemental Materials: Entanglement Entropy of Non-Hermitian Eigenstates and the Ginibre Ensemble

HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

We consider the non-Hermitian Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model of N Majorana fermions as a simple model of non-Hermitian many-body chaos

$$H_{nSYK} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q}^N (J_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_q} + iM_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_q}) \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_q}, \quad (1)$$

where $J_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_q}$ and $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_q}$ are i.i.d. real Gaussian variables with zero mean and variance $\frac{2}{N^{q-1}}$ and $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$. This model has been shown to display non-Hermitian many-body quantum chaos [26]. For even q and $N \bmod 8$ is 2 or 6, the Hamiltonian is in the complex Ginibre (or Class A) symmetry class [26, 56]. We show the global eigenvalue distribution Figure 1, which shows rotational symmetry but non-uniformity. We expect that generally, the eigenvalue dependence of the fluctuation term in the reduced density matrix will be model-dependent and requires an unfolding of the spectrum [16]. The average entropy is also shown in Figure 1, where the plateau found analytically in the Ginibre ensemble is evident.

ADDITIONAL DATA

In the main text, we focused on the parameter regime that is of the most physical relevance, that of the thermodynamic limit ($N_A, N_B \rightarrow \infty$) and not perfectly equal subsystems ($N_A \neq N_B$). In this limit, the structure of the reduced density matrix simplified to a randomly rescaled and deterministically shifted Ginibre matrix (20). This simplification allowed us to evaluate the spectrum analytically (22). In Figure 1, we demonstrated that this asymptotic answer agreed well with numerical data from small matrices in the regime of interest. However, there were clear deviations from (22) when N_A became small or close to N_B . In Figure 2, we show that these deviations are precisely accounted for by considering the full structure of the density matrix (16), valid in all parameter regimes away from $|z| \sim 1$. When $N_A = N_B$, we find that there is strong eigenvalue dependence in the spectrum.




FIG. 1. Left: The von Neumann entropy (base 2) of the non-Hermitian SYK model averaged over various values of $|z|$ with $q = 4$ and $N = 26$. Right: The corresponding energy eigenvalue spectrum. The entropy shows a plateau, increasing with $|z|$, akin to the Ginibre ensemble.




FIG. 2. The spectrum of (16) computed numerically (solid lines) as compared to numerical data (dots) for a range of $|z|$.