

# SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR SHUFFLE ALGEBRAS OF TYPE $B_n$ AND $G_2$

YUE HU

ABSTRACT. We define a filtration of Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras of type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$  using specialization maps, generalizing the results in type  $A_n$  case given by [N2], [T]. These filtrations are compatible with a class of PBW type bases for the quantum current algebras of type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A direct approach to prove PBW theorem for Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups is to embed its positive part into quantum shuffle algebras, see [G1] [R2] [L1]. The advantage of this approach is that instead of considering quantum groups as quotient algebras, we can view them as subalgebras that can be described explicitly by combinatorial methods.

For quantum affine algebras, there is another realization by generators and relations, which is called the new Drinfeld realization. We call the positive part of quantum affine algebras in this realization by quantum current algebras. A shuffle algebra description for quantum current algebras is given by Enriquez [E1] [E2]. These algebras are trigonometric form of the Sklyanin elliptic algebras of Feigin-Odesskii [FO1] - [FO4], which we call Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras. They can be seen as functional version of quantum shuffle algebras, since these algebras just consist of symmetric functions. Enriquez showed that for any Cartan matrix of finite type, there is a natural embedding of quantum current algebra into Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebra. A major problem is to characterize the images of these embeddings. Enriquez conjectured that these images are determined by certain vanishing conditions, which are nowadays called the wheel conditions. Those functions that satisfy the wheel conditions are actually a subalgebra of Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebra, and we will call them simply by shuffle algebras. In addition, Enriquez suggested that similar shuffle algebra realizations exist for any symmetrizable Cartan matrix.

To prove Enriquez's conjecture, one has to compare the size of quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras. For  $A_1$  and  $\hat{A}_1$  types, the size of shuffle algebras are determined by using certain specialization maps [FS] [FHHSY] [N1]. These maps are defined for any partition, and they give a natural filtration of shuffle algebras. Later, the definition of specialization maps are generalized to general  $A_n$  and  $\hat{A}_n$  types [T] [N2]. These maps are defined for any Kostant partition, and the corresponding filtration of shuffle algebra is compatible with a class of PBW type bases in quantum current algebras. So at the same time, Enriquez's conjectures are proved and PBW bases for quantum current algebras are constructed. This paradigm can also be applied to some super cases, see [T] for  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(m|n)$  type and [FH] for  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(2, 1; \theta)$  type.

In this paper we extend the above type  $A_n$  results to type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$ . Specifically, we define specialization maps for shuffle algebras of type  $G_2$  and  $B_n$ , see (3.1) and (4.1). As in  $A_n$  case, we construct a class of PBW type bases for each type, see (2.19), and prove under the embedding, these PBW type bases consist of bases for shuffle algebras. The feature of

these PBW type bases is that the ordering on root vectors only rely on the ordering on finite roots, see Remark 2.14. What is interesting is that no matter for the choice for the candidate of PBW type bases in quantum current algebras, or for the definitions of specialization maps for shuffle algebras, Lyndon words theory plays a key role. We expect the same arguments apply to all finite types, but we are still facing some technical problems regarding to type  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ , which shall be addressed in the future.\*

At the same time, Neguț and Tsymbaliuk also worked on the above problems and they prove Enriquez's conjecture for all finite types, using a different method [NT]. They extended Lyndon words theory to affine root systems and studied another instance of shuffle algebra due to [G2]. Then they prove Enriquez's conjecture by comparing the size of quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras in the framework of this new shuffle algebra. The PBW type bases constructed in [NT] for type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$  are different to ours. It is interesting to connect the two different methods and find relations to these two different PBW type bases.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras, and use Lyndon words theory to construct our candidates of PBW type bases for quantum current algebras. Then we explain Neguț-Tsymbaliuk's paradigm towards Enriquez's conjecture in type  $A_n$  case. The main properties of specialization maps for type  $A_n$  are summarized by Lemma 2.9, Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12. In section 3, we define specialization maps in type  $G_2$  and verify the above lemmas for these specialization maps. Since root system of type  $B_2$  is a subroot system of type  $G_2$ , we prove the  $B_2$  case as well. In section 4, we define specialization maps in type  $B_n$  and verify the the above lemmas for these specialization maps. We use  $B_2$  case and type  $A_n$  case as base step and prove the general  $B_n$  case by induction.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras.** Let  $R$  be an irreducible root system with simple roots  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ,  $R^\vee$  and  $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}$  the corresponding dual. Then  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is a finite Cartan matrix where  $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$ . In this paper we consider the root system of type  $B_n$  and the exceptional type  $G_2$ . Our choices of the Dynkin diagrams for each type are:

$$B_n \ (n \geq 2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \cdots & \text{---} & \circ & \Rightarrow & \circ \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_2 & & & & \alpha_{n-1} & & \alpha_n \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

$$G_2 \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \circ & \Rightarrow & \circ \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_2 \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a diagonal matrix such that  $DA = (d_i a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is symmetric. In each type our choices of  $\{d_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  are given as follows:

$$\text{Type } B_n: \ d_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, d_n = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{Type } G_2: \ d_1 = 3, d_2 = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra associated with  $A$ , and  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  be its affinization. The standard nongenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  satisfies  $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = d_i a_{ij}$ . Denote by  $v_i = v^{d_i}$ . Let

---

\*After we finish a preliminary version of this paper, Tsymbaliuk told us that he and Neguț discovered the same specialization maps for type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$  in June 2020, they also found specialization maps for all other types, besides  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ .

$U_v(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  be the associated quantum affine algebra in the new Drinfeld realization. The ‘‘positive half’’  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ , which is also called the quantum current algebra, is generated by  $\{e_{i,k}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with the following relations:

$$(v_i^{a_{ij}} z - w)e_i(z)e_j(w) = (z - v_i^{a_{ij}} w)e_j(w)e_i(z), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{Sym}_{z_1, \dots, z_{1-a_{ij}}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} (-1)^k e_i(z_1) \cdots e_i(z_k) e_j(w) e_i(z_{k+1}) \cdots e_i(z_{1-a_{ij}}) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

in which  $e_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{i,k} z^{-k}$ ,  $[n]_v = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}$ ,  $[n]_v! = \prod_{k=0}^n [k]_v$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ p \end{bmatrix}_v = \frac{[n]_v!}{[n-p]_v! [p]_v!}$ .

Associated to the Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  we also have the Feigin-Odesskii algebra  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ . Let  $(\omega_{ij}(z))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be the matrix of rational functions in  $z$  given by  $\omega_{ij}(z) = \frac{z - v_i^{-a_{ij}}}{z - 1}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  the symmetric group of order  $m$ . For  $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , the group  $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}_{k_i}$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$ . Let  $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  be the  $i$ -th basis element.  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$  is a graded algebra over  $\mathbb{C}(v)$ . As a graded vector space over  $\mathbb{C}(v)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}$  consists of rational functions  $F$  in the variables  $\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq n}$  which satisfy:

- (1)  $F$  is symmetric with respect to  $\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2)  $F = \frac{f}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq r \leq k_i, 1 \leq s \leq k_j} (x_{i,r} - x_{j,s})}$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{C}(v)[\{x_{i,r}^{\pm 1}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq n}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}}$  is a Laurent polynomial.

The associative algebra structure on  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$  is given by the following shuffle product. For  $F \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}, G \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{l}}$ , define their product  $F \star G \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k} + \underline{l}}$ , where  $\underline{k} + \underline{l} = (k_1 + l_1, \dots, k_n + l_n)$  as

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k} + \underline{l}}} \left( F(\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq n}) \cdot G(\{x_{j,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq k_j + l_j}^{k_j < s \leq k_j + l_j}) \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{r \leq k_i}^{s > k_j} \omega_{i,j} \left( \frac{x_{i,r}}{x_{j,s}} \right) \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Combining [E1, Theorem 3] and [DJ, Theorem 1.1], it is proved that

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exists an algebra morphism  $\varphi$  from  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  to  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ , sending each  $e_{i,k}$  to  $x_{i,1}^k \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\delta_i}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, all elements  $F \in \text{im}(\varphi)$  satisfy the following wheel condition:*

- (3) *let  $f$  be the numerator part of  $F$ , then  $f(\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq n}) = 0$  once  $x_{i,s_1} = v_i^2 x_{i,s_2} = \cdots = v_i^{-2a_{ij}} x_{i,s_{1-a_{ij}}} = v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}$  for any  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_{1-a_{ij}} \leq k_i, 1 \leq r \leq k_j$ .*

Denote by  $\mathbb{S}$  the subspace of  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$  that consists of elements satisfying the above wheel condition (3). It is easy to find that

**Proposition 2.2.**  *$\mathbb{S}$  is a subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$  under shuffle product.*

From now on we will call this algebra  $\mathbb{S}$  with the shuffle product the **shuffle algebra**. Hence

**Proposition 2.3.** *There exists a  $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -algebra morphism  $\varphi: U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ , sending each generator  $e_{i,k} \in U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  to  $x_{i,1}^k \in \mathbb{S}_{\delta_i}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

Moreover, combining [E2, Corollary 1.4] and [NT, Proposition 6.7],

**Proposition 2.4.**  *$\varphi$  is injective.*

It was conjectured that  $\varphi$  is actually an isomorphism by experts since the birth of shuffle algebra. This isomorphism has been proved by Neguț and Tsymbaliuk recently, see [NT, Theorem 1.8].

**Theorem 2.5.**  *$\varphi$  is an algebra isomorphism between  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $\mathbb{S}$ .*

In their arguments, Neguț and Tsymbaliuk studied an affine version of quantum shuffle algebras  $\mathcal{F}^L$  due to Lusztig, Green, Rosso, et al., and they prove Theorem 2.5 by comparing  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  within the framework of  $\mathcal{F}^L$ .

In this paper we restrict ourselves in the shuffle algebras context. Before the appearance of [NT], Neguț and Tsymbaliuk actually proved Theorem 2.5 in type  $A_n$  case by defining a filtration of shuffle algebra, using specialization maps. The purpose of this paper is to generalize their method to type  $B_n$  and type  $G_2$ . Our setting is Proposition 2.4, that  $\varphi$  is injective, and we will prove the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ .

**2.2. Lyndon words and PBW type basis.** To construct quantum root vectors in quantum groups, one often uses Lusztig's braid group actions. In this paper we will use a combinatorial method, the Lyndon words theory. Here we will not go into details but only state the results that are needed for this paper, the interested reader can find expositions of Lyndon words theory in [L2] [R1].

Let  $I$  be the vertex set of the Dynkin diagram associated to a finite Cartan matrix  $A$  with the ordering  $\{1 < 2 < \dots < n\}$ . Consider the free  $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $I$ , the set  $\mathcal{U}$  of words with  $I$  as alphabets is a basis of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Any word  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  will be denoted by  $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s]$ . The order on  $I$  induces the lexicographical order on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now we have the set of Lyndon words  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{U}$ . The importance of Lyndon words is that they are prime factors among all the words. In fact, any word  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  can be uniquely written as a non-increasing product of Lyndon words,

$$u = \ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_s, \ell_i \in \mathcal{L}, \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_s. \quad (2.8)$$

The positive part  $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$  of the quantum group associated to  $A$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{F}$  by quantum Serre relations:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} i^k \cdot j \cdot i^{1-a_{ij}-k}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \quad (2.9)$$

Lalonde-Ram [LR] and Leclerc [L1] defined a subset  $\mathcal{SL}$  of Lyndon words, the so called standard Lyndon words, and showed that one can use them as building blocks to construct basis of  $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$ . Specifically,

**Theorem 2.6.** *There is a bijection  $\ell: \Delta^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{SL}$  between the set of positive roots  $\Delta^+$  and the set of standard Lyndon words. Moreover, the set  $\{\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_k, \ell_j \in \mathcal{SL}, \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_k\}$  constitutes a basis of  $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

Standard Lyndon words can be constructed inductively. For simple root  $\alpha_i$ , we have  $\ell(\alpha_i) = i$ . Suppose we have decided  $\ell(\beta')$  for all  $|\beta'| \leq k$ , then for positive root  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = k + 1$ , consider the set

$$C(\beta) = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \mid \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \ell(\beta_1) < \ell(\beta_2)\}, \quad (2.10)$$

then we have

**Proposition 2.7** ([L1], Proposition 25).  *$\ell(\beta) = \max\{\ell(\beta_1)\ell(\beta_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in C(\beta)\}$ .*

Following Proposition 2.7, for type  $B_n$  and  $G_2$  the standard Lyndon words are given as follows. In the following we will also use these standard Lyndon words to denote the positive roots.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type } B_n \ (n \geq 2), \ \mathcal{SL} = & \{[i, i+1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{[i, \dots, n, n, n-1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{Type } G_2, \ \mathcal{SL} = \{[1], [1, 2], [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2], [2]\}.$$

The lexicographical order on  $\mathcal{SL}$  actually induces a convex order on  $\Delta^+$ , that is if  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$  and  $\ell(\alpha) < \ell(\beta)$ , then  $\ell(\alpha) < \ell(\alpha + \beta) < \ell(\beta)$ . This result is proved by Rosso, for a recent proof of this, see [NT, Proposition 2.33]. we will fix this convex order on  $\Delta^+$  in the rest of this paper.

Now we can use standard Lyndon words to define the quantum root vectors in  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Starting with  $E_{\alpha,s} = e_{i,s}$  for any simple root  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that we have decided all quantum root vectors associated to positive root  $\gamma'$  with height  $|\gamma'| \leq m$ . Let  $\gamma$  be any positive root with  $|\gamma| = m+1$  and  $\ell(\gamma) = \ell(\alpha)\ell(\beta)$ , define  $E_{\gamma,s} = [E_{\alpha,s}, E_{\beta,0}]_{v^{\alpha \cdot \beta}}$ . Note that for type  $A_n$  case those quantum root vectors are the same to a particular choice of ones considered in [T, (2.12)].

Define an ordering on the index set  $\Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$  by  $(\alpha, s) < (\beta, t)$  if and only if  $\alpha < \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta, s < t$ . Consider the following set of functions

$$H = \{h: \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \{(\alpha, s) \in \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}, h(\alpha, s) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite set}\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then for each  $h \in H$ , list all  $E_{\alpha,s}$  with  $h(\alpha, s) \neq 0$  in increasing order, we have the ordered monomial

$$E_h = \prod_{(\alpha,s) \in \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}}^{\rightarrow} E_{\alpha,s}^{h(\alpha,s)}. \quad (2.13)$$

The set  $\{E_h, h \in H\}$  is our conjectural PBW type basis of  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . If we can show under the morphism  $\varphi$ , the images of all these ordered monomials constitute a basis of  $\mathbb{S}$ , then combining the injectivity of  $\varphi$ , not only we prove that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, but also we prove that  $\{E_h\}_{h \in H}$  is a basis for  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

**2.3. Specialization maps for type  $A_n$ .** Identify each simple root  $\alpha_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) as the basis element  $\delta_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ )  $\in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we can view  $\mathbb{N}^n$  as the positive root lattice. For any  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , let  $\text{KP}(\underline{k})$  be the set of Kostant partitions, which consists of all vector partitions of  $\underline{k}$  into sum of positive roots. Let  $\Delta^+ = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_{|\Delta^+|}\}$  be the set of positive roots with the fixed convex order, each Kostant partition  $\underline{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Delta^+|} d_i \beta_i$  is corresponding to a vector  $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{|\Delta^+|}) \in \mathbb{N}^{|\Delta^+|}$ . To point out the components of  $\underline{d}$  corresponding to each  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , we will also use  $\{d_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+}$  to denote a Kostant partition  $\underline{k} = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} d_\beta \beta$ . The lexicographical order on  $\mathbb{N}^{|\Delta^+|}$  induces an order on  $\text{KP}(\underline{k})$ :

$$\{d_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+} < \{d'_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \text{ iff there is } \gamma \in \Delta^+ \text{ such that } d_\gamma < d'_\gamma \text{ and } d_\beta = d'_\beta \text{ for all } \beta < \gamma.$$

Any function  $h \in H$  is corresponding to a Kostant partition  $\underline{d}$ , in which the component  $d_\beta$  is defined by  $d_\beta := \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} h(\beta, r) \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will call this Kostant partition  $\underline{d}$  the degree of  $h$ , and denote it by  $\text{deg}(h)$ . If  $\text{deg}(h) \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ , we will say  $\underline{k}$  is the grading of  $h$  and denote it by  $\text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}$ .  $H_{\underline{k}} = \{h \in H, \text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}\}$  and  $H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}} = \{h \in H, \text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}, \text{deg}(h) = \underline{d}\}$  are subsets of  $H$ . For any  $h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$  and  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , we have the partition  $\lambda_{h, \beta} = \{r_\beta(h, 1), \dots, r_\beta(h, d_\beta)\}$  which

is obtained by listing all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with multiplicity  $h(\beta, r) > 0$  in the increasing order. Thus  $E_h$  can be represented by

$$E_h = \prod_{i=1}^{|\Delta^+|} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\beta_i}} E_{\beta_i, r_{\beta_i}(h, j)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Now we will review the proof of shuffle algebra realization in type  $A_n$  case given in [T, Section 3.2]. In type  $A_n$  case, Tsybaliuk actually proved the following results,

**Theorem 2.8.** *As a vector space,  $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})} \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ , and  $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$  consists of a basis for each  $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ .*

The crucial step is to define a filtration of  $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$  using certain specialization maps, then prove this filtration is compatible with each  $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$ .

For any  $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$  and  $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ , we divide the variables  $\{x_{i,s}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq s \leq k_i}$  into the disjoint union of groups

$$\bigsqcup_{\beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta} \{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_i\},$$

corresponding to each  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \alpha_i$  and  $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$ . For type  $A_n$ , any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  is of the form  $\beta = [i, j] = \sum_{s=i}^j \alpha_s$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Let  $f$  be the numerator part of  $F$ , define  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F)$  as the corresponding Laurant polynomial by specializing the variables in  $f$  as follows:

$$x_i^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-i+1} w_{\beta,s}, \dots, x_j^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-j+1} w_{\beta,s}, \quad \beta = [i, j]. \quad (2.15)$$

$\phi_{\underline{d}}(f)$  is symmetric with respect to  $\{w_{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}$  for any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , thus we have the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}: \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(v)[\{w_{\beta,s}^{\pm 1}\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}}$ . Since  $f$  is symmetric with respect to the variables  $\{x_{i,s}\}$  for each vertex  $i$ , different divisions of the variables give the same specialization map.

Let  $\mathbb{S}'_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$  spanned by  $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$ . The key properties of specialization maps  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  are summarized in the following lemmas. In the following we will denote  $A \doteq B$  if  $A = c \cdot B$  for some nonzero constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}(v)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$  be the subgroup consisting the following elements,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma(x_i^{\beta,s}) = x_i^{\beta,s'} \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (2.16)$$

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $\deg(h) = \underline{d}$ , then*

$$\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h)) \doteq \prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta, \beta'} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} G_\beta \cdot P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_\beta}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta, \beta'} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} G_\beta$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$ ,  $\kappa_\beta = |\beta| - 1$ , and

$$P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_\beta} = \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}_\beta}} [w_{\beta,1}^{r_\beta(h,1) + \kappa_\beta} \dots w_{\beta,d_\beta}^{r_\beta(h,d_\beta) + \kappa_\beta} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d_\beta} \frac{w_{\beta,i} - v^{-\beta \cdot \beta} w_{\beta,j}}{w_{\beta,i} - w_{\beta,j}}] \quad (2.18)$$

is shuffle element in the symmetric Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}(v)[\{w_{\beta,s}^{\pm 1}\}_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}_\beta}}$ .

Since the shuffle elements  $\{x_1^{a_1} \star \dots \star x_n^{a_n}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  constitute a basis for the symmetric Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}(v)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ , we have

**Corollary 2.10.** *For any  $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ ,  $\{E_h\}_{h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}}$  is a linearly independent set in  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ .*

**Lemma 2.11.** *If  $\deg(h) = \underline{d}$  and  $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$ , then  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\varphi(E_h)) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.12.** *For any  $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$ , if  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(F) = 0$  for all  $\underline{d}' \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$  such that  $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$ , then there exists  $F_{\underline{d}} \in \mathbb{S}'_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F) = \phi_{\underline{d}}(F_{\underline{d}})$ .*

**Corollary 2.13.**  *$\{E_h, h \in H\}$  is PBW type basis of  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ .*

**Remark 2.14.** The above proof actually gives a class of PBW type basis. For any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , choose a complete order  $\{\cdots < a_{\beta, i} < a_{\beta, i+1} < \cdots\}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the ordered monomials

$$\{E_h = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\rightarrow} E_{\beta, a_{\beta, i}}^{h(\beta, a_{\beta, i})}\}_{h \in H} \quad (2.19)$$

form a basis of  $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . So we show that in a sense the commutators between quantum root vectors in new Drinfeld realization only rely on the index set  $\Delta^+$ , not on the index set  $\mathbb{Z}$ . That's why we think the PBW type basis constructed here and the method above are interesting. Comparing to the PBW type basis constructed in [NT, (1.15)], the quantum root vectors in that basis rely on a fixed total order on  $\Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ , which is not determined by the order in  $\Delta^+$ .

Combining these lemmas, Theorem 2.8 follows, which proves the isomorphism of  $\varphi$  in type  $A_n$  case. The key of the above arguments is an appropriate definition of specialization maps  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  that guarantee the truth of Lemma 2.9, 2.11, 2.12. In the following we will give these specialization maps and verify these lemmas for type  $B_n$  and type  $G_2$ , and we prove PBW theorems for these two types. These are the main results of this paper.

### 3. SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR TYPE $G_2$

In this section we define specialization maps for type  $G_2$  and prove its shuffle algebra realization. Since  $B_2$ -type root system can be embedded in the  $G_2$ -type root system, at the same time we prove results for type  $B_2$ . In next section we will use type  $B_2$  results as base step and prove the general type  $B_n$  cases by induction.

In this case, for any  $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,  $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$ , the wheel conditions are  $F(\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq 2}) = 0$  once  $x_{1,1} = v^6 x_{1,2} = v^3 x_{2,1}$  or  $x_{2,1} = v^2 x_{2,2} = v^4 x_{2,3} = v^6 x_{2,4} = v^3 x_{1,1}$ .

For any  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ , the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,t}^{\beta,s} &\mapsto v^{2(t-1)} w_{\beta,s}, 1 \leq t \leq 2, \\ x_{2,t}^{\beta,s} &\mapsto v^{-3+2(t-1)} w_{\beta,s}, 1 \leq t \leq 3, \beta \in \Delta^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Denote  $\phi_{\beta}$  by the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  such that  $\underline{d} = \{d_{\alpha} = \delta_{\beta, \alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}$ . We have

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_{\beta}^{s+\kappa_{\beta}}$ , in which  $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| + 1$  if  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$  and  $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| - 1$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* By direct computations. □

Now we compute  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$  for any  $h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ . For  $E_h$ , we will choose a special division for  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  corresponding to the ordering on quantum root vectors. Namely for each  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  and  $1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}$ , we set the variables  $\{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}}^{i \in \beta}$  in  $\varphi(E_{\beta, r_{\beta}(h,s)})$  to be a group and specialize them as above. For each  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ , we also have an order on all the variables

$$X_i^{\beta} = \{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}, 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}^{\beta \in \Delta^+} \quad (3.2)$$



corresponding to the order of quantum root vectors, which is

$$x_{i,t_1}^{\beta_1,s_1} < x_{i,t_2}^{\beta_2,s_2} \text{ if and only if } (\beta_1, s_1) < (\beta_2, s_2) \text{ or } (\beta_1, s_1) = (\beta_2, s_2), t_1 < t_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$  be the set of shuffle elements, which is

$$\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,t_1}^{\beta,s}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,t_2}^{\beta,s}), \forall t_1 < t_2, \beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq 2\}. \quad (3.4)$$

By definition we have  $\varphi(E_h) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}} \left( \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+}^{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta} \varphi(E_{\beta,r_\beta(h,s)}) \prod_{(\alpha,p) < (\beta,q), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+}^{1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq q \leq d_\beta} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \prod_{1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}^{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta,j}} \frac{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}} \sigma(F_h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We will compute the result of specialization  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  on each term  $\sigma(F_h)$  in the above symmetrization. Actually, many of them are specialized to zero under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} \subset \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$  be the subgroup consisting elements of the following form,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma(x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}) = x_{i,t}^{\beta,s'}, \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta, 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\sigma(F_h)$  is not zero under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ , then  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma(F_h)$  is not zero under the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ . For  $\beta = [1]$ , if  $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,s_1}) = x_{1,t}^{\gamma,s_2}$  for some  $\gamma > \beta, 1 \leq s_2 \leq d_\gamma, 1 \leq t \leq 2$ , since  $\sigma(F_h)$  has the factor  $(x_{1,t}^{\gamma,s_2} - v^3 x_{2,t}^{\beta,s_2})$ , it is zero under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ , thus the lemma is true for  $\beta = [1]$ . Suppose we have proved the lemma for any  $\gamma < \beta$ , we will prove it is true for  $\beta$ . The only nontrivial case is when  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ . Suppose the lemma is true for all  $s < q_0$  (if  $q_0 = 1$  then the statement is vacuum thus we can use it as base step), we prove it is true for  $s = q_0$ . Let  $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,q_0}) = x_{1,t_1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$ , then there exists  $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq q_0$  and  $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 \leq 3$  such that

$$\sigma(x_{2,a_1}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{2,t_1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}, \sigma(x_{2,a_2}^{\beta,q_2}) = x_{2,t_1+1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}. \quad (3.7)$$

For the same reason, let  $\sigma(x_{1,2}^{\beta,q_0}) = x_{1,t_2}^{\gamma_2,s_2}$ , then there exists  $1 \leq q_3 \leq q_4 \leq q_0$  and  $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 \leq 3$  such that

$$\sigma(x_{2,b_1}^{\beta,q_3}) = x_{2,t_2}^{\gamma_2,s_2}, \sigma(x_{2,b_2}^{\beta,q_4}) = x_{2,t_2+1}^{\gamma_2,s_2}. \quad (3.8)$$

If  $q_1 < q_0$ , then by induction we have  $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{1,1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}, \sigma(x_{1,2}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{1,2}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$ , thus  $q_0 = q_1$  and it is contradiction. For the same reason we must have  $q_i = q_0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Moreover In this case there exists some  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  such that  $a_i = b_j$ , it is easy to derive

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta, s_1 = s_2, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 2. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus completes our proof.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

**Proposition 3.3.** *Lemma 2.9 is valid for type  $G_2$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (3.1).*

*Proof.* If  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$ , then in  $F_h$  the following factor is invariant under  $\sigma$ ,

$$\prod_{1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq q \leq d_\beta}^{\alpha < \beta} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \prod_{1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}^{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta,j}} \frac{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}, \quad (3.10)$$



denote its specialization under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  by  $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta, \beta'}$ , thus we only need to prove for any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$

$$\phi_{\underline{d}}\left(\varphi\left(\prod_{s=1}^{d_{\beta}} E_{\beta, r_{\beta}(h, i)}\right)\right) \doteq G_{\beta} \cdot P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_{\beta}}, \quad (3.11)$$

in which  $G_{\beta}$  is invariant under any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$ . By direct computations this is true, specifically,

$$G_{\beta} =$$

- 1,  $\beta = [1], [2]$ ,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_{\beta}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta, r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2]$ ,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_{\beta}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta, r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta, r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 2]$ ,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_{\beta}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta, r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta, r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta, r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ ,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_{\beta}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^8 w_{\beta, r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta, r})^2 (w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta, r})^2 (w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta, r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ .

$$G_{\beta < \beta'} =$$

- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1], \beta' = [2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^8 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-8} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})^2 (w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-8} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})^2 (w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^2 w_{\beta', r})^2$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^6 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^4 w_{\beta', r})(w_{\beta, s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 2], \beta' = [2]$ .
- $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'} \\ 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}}} (w_{\beta, s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta', r})$ ,  $\beta = [1, 2, 2, 2], \beta' = [2]$ .

And  $P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_{\beta}}$  is of the form (2.18) for any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ . Thus completes our proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Lemma 2.11 is valid for type  $G_2$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (3.1).*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be the smallest root such that  $d'_\beta < d_\beta$ , the only nontrivial case is also when  $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $d'_\alpha = 0$  for any  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . We denote  $\beta_1 = [1, 2, 2], \beta_2 = [1, 2, 2, 2], \beta_3 = [2]$ . Since  $d'_\beta < d_\beta$ , we must have  $d'_{\beta_3} < d_{\beta_3}$ , and we can also assume  $d'_{\beta_3} = 0$ . In the following, given two set of variables  $A, B$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}(v)$ , we will use  $(A - cB)$  to denote  $\prod_{x \in A}^{y \in B} (x - cy)$ . Fix any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$ , we want to prove  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . Consider the following set of variables

$$X_1 = \{x_{1,t}^{\alpha,s}, \beta \leq \alpha \leq \beta_2, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\alpha,1}\}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$Y_1 = \{x_{2,t}^{\alpha,s}, \beta \leq \alpha \leq \beta_2, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\alpha,2}\}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$Y_2 = \{x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s}, 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta_3}\}. \quad (3.14)$$

If there is some  $x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s}$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s})) = v^{-3}w_{\gamma,s'}$ , where  $\gamma = \beta_1$  or  $\beta_2$ , since in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(X_1 - v^3Y_2)$ , we have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . Otherwise if there is some  $x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s}$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s})) = v^{-1}w_{\gamma,s'}$ , where  $\gamma = \beta_1$  or  $\beta_2$ , then since in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(Y_1 - v^{-2}Y_2)$ , we also have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . Finally, since  $d'_{\beta_3} < d_{\beta_3}$ , there must be some  $x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s}$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s})) = vw_{\beta_1,s'}$  or  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s})) = vw_{\beta_2,s'}$ , and we also have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Lemma 2.12 is valid for type  $G_2$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (3.1).*

*Proof.* Thinking of the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  as a step-by-step specialization corresponding to the index  $(\beta, s)$ , ordered by the decreasing order. Specifically, when we had specialized all the variables corresponding to the index  $(\alpha, r)$  such that  $(\alpha, r) > (\beta, s)$ , then we find the variables  $\{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}, 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}\}$  corresponding to the index  $(\beta, s)$  and specialize them. For each step we will get some vanishing factors with respect to  $(\beta, s)$  and all the specialized variables. Thus we only need to prove the lemma to be true for any pair of roots  $\beta \leq \beta'$ . Specifically, take any  $\beta < \beta'$ , let

$$\underline{d}_1 = \{d_\beta = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq \beta\} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k}_1), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\underline{d}_2 = \{d_\beta = d_{\beta'} = 1, d_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq \beta, \beta'\} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k}_2). \quad (3.16)$$

For any  $F_1 \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}_1}, F_2 \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}_2}$ , we need to prove  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1)$  has the vanishing factor  $G_\beta$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the vanishing factor  $G_{\beta,\beta'}$  if  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F_2) = 0$  for any  $\underline{d} < \underline{d}_2$ .

For  $\underline{d}_1 = \{d_\beta = 2, d_{\alpha \neq \beta} = 0\}$ , the nontrivial cases are  $\beta = [1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2], [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ .

- $\beta = [1, 2, 2]$ . For  $F_1$ , under  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}$  the wheel condition  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_1^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_1^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{2,1}^{\beta,1}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta,1} = v^6 w_{\beta,2}$ , thus we get the vanishing factor  $(w_{\beta,1} - v^6 w_{\beta,2})$ ; the wheel condition  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^2 x_{2,1}^{\beta,1} = v^4 x_{2,2}^{\beta,2} = v^6 x_{2,1}^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{1,1}^{\beta,2}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta,1} = v^4 w_{\beta,2}$ , thus we get the vanishing factor  $(w_{\beta,1} - v^4 w_{\beta,2})$ . Since  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1)$  is symmetric with respect to  $w_{\beta,1}$  and  $w_{\beta,2}$ , we also have the vanishing factor  $(w_{\beta,2} - v^6 w_{\beta,1})$  and  $(w_{\beta,2} - v^4 w_{\beta,1})$ , thus we get the vanishing factor  $G_\beta$ .
- $\beta = [1, 2, 2, 2]$ . Besides the vanishing factors appearing in  $G_{[1,2,2]}$ , the wheel condition  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_{2,3}^{\beta,1} = v^2 x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^4 x_{2,1}^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_{2,1}^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{1,1}^{\beta,2}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta,1} = v^2 w_{\beta,2}$ , thus we get the vanishing factor  $(w_{\beta,1} - v^2 w_{\beta,2})(w_{\beta,2} - v^2 w_{\beta,1})$ , and we get all the vanishing factors in  $G_\beta$ .

- $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ . Besides the vanishing factors in  $G_{[1,2,2,2]}$ , the wheel conditions  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_{1,1}^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_{1,2}^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{2,1}^{\beta,1}$  or  $x_{1,2}^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_{1,2}^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{2,2}^{\beta,1}$  or  $x_{1,2}^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_{1,1}^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_{2,2}^{\beta,1}$  give us the rest vanishing factors in  $G_\beta$ .

For  $\underline{d}_2 = \{d_\beta = d_{\beta'} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq \beta, \beta'} = 0\}$ , take  $F_2 \in \mathbb{S}_k$ , then

- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1], [1, 2])$ . Under  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}$  the wheel condition  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_1^{\beta'} = v^6 x_1^\beta = v^3 x_2^{\beta'}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta,1} = v^{-6} w_{\beta,2}$ , thus we have the factor  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1], [1, 2, 1, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factor  $G_{[1],[1,2]}$ , the wheel condition  $x_{1,2}^{\beta'} = v^6 x_{1,1}^{\beta} = v^3 x_{2,2}^{\beta'}$  gives the vanishing factor  $w_\beta = v^{-4} w_{\beta'}$ ; let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2]} = 3, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^4 w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1], [1, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factor  $G_{[1],[1,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2]} = 2, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1], [1, 2, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factor  $G_{[1],[1,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,1,2,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$ ; let  $\underline{d}_4 = \{d_{[1,2]} = 1, d_{[1,2,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2],[1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_4 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_4}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^4 w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1], [2])$ . Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2], [1, 2, 1, 2, 2])$ . The factors in  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  all appear in  $G_{[1,2,1,2,2]}$ , and they appear for the same wheel conditions.
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2], [1, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factors in  $G_{[1,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,1,2,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-2} w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factors in  $G_{[1,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,1,2,2]} = 1, d_{[2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,1,2,2],[2]} = 0\}$ ,  $\underline{d}_4 = \{d_{[1,2,2]} = 2, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3, \underline{d}_4 < \underline{d}_2$ . By  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-2} w_{\beta'}$ , and by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_4}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$ , thus we get the vanishing factor  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2], [2])$ . Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-2} w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2])$ . The factors in  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  all appear in  $G_{[1,2,1,2,2]}$ , and they appear for the same wheel conditions.
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2])$ . Besides the factors appearing in  $G_{[1,2,1,2,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,2]} = 3, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 1, 2, 2], [2])$ . Besides the factors appearing in  $G_{[1,2,1,2,2]}$ , let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,2]} = 3, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2])$ . The factors in  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  all appear in  $G_{[1,2,2,2]}$ , and they appear for the same wheel conditions.
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 2], [2])$ . Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,2,2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,2,2,2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$ , by  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-4} w_{\beta'}$ .
- $(\beta, \beta') = ([1, 2, 2, 2], [2])$ . The wheel condition  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_2^{\beta'} = v^2 x_{2,3}^\beta = v^4 x_{2,2}^\beta = v^6 x_{2,1}^\beta = v^3 x_1^\beta$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-6} w_{\beta'}$ .

Thus completes our proof.  $\square$

4. SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR TYPE  $B_n$ 

In this section we define specialization maps for type  $B_n$  and prove shuffle algebra realization in type  $B_n$ . In this case the positive roots are of the form  $\{[i, i+1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$  or  $\{[i, \dots, n, n, n-1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ . We will denote them by  $[i, j]$  or  $[i, n, j]$  for convenience. For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , we will write  $i \in \beta$  if  $i$  appears in  $\beta$ . Also, let  $\Delta_i^+ = \{[i, j]\}_{i \leq j \leq n} \cup \{[i, n, j]\}_{i < j \leq n}$ .

For any  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$ , the wheel conditions are  $F(\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}^{1 \leq i \leq n})$  once  $x_{i,1} = v^2 x_{i,2} = vx_{i+1,1}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , or  $x_{i,1} = v^2 x_{i,2} = vx_{i-1,1}$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , or  $x_{n,1} = vx_{n,2} = v^2 x_{n,3} = vx_{n-1,1}$ .

For any  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ , the specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  is defined as follows:

$$x_{l,1}^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-(l-1)} w_{\beta,s}, x_{l,2}^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-(2n-2-l)} w_{\beta,s} \quad \beta \in \Delta^+, l \in \beta. \quad (4.1)$$

We already know Lemma 2.9, 2.11, 2.12 are true for  $B_2$  case, in the following we will use induction to deal with the general case.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ ,  $\phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_{\beta}^{s+\kappa_{\beta}}$ , in which  $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| - 1$  if  $\beta = [i, j]$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , and  $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| + 2(n-j) - 1$  if  $\beta = [i, n, j]$  for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Type  $B_2$  is clear, assume the lemma is true for all  $B_l$  such that  $l < n$ . We only need to verify the  $\beta = [1, n, j]$  cases, where  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $\beta = [1, n, n]$ , let  $\alpha = [1, n]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) &= \phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \star x_n^0 - x_n^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})) \\ &= \phi_{\beta} \left( \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \left( \frac{(x_{n-1} - vx_{n,2})(x_{n,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,2})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \phi_{\beta} \left( \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \left( \frac{(x_{n,1} - vx_{n-1})(x_{n,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,2})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}} \right) \right) \\ &= \phi_{\beta} \left( \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \frac{(x_{n,2} - vx_{n-1})(x_{n,2} - v^{-1}x_{n,1})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}} \right) \\ &\doteq w_{\beta}^{s+|\beta|-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

If  $\beta = [1, n, j]$ , where  $2 \leq j \leq n-1$ , let  $\alpha = [1, n, j+1]$ , then

$$\phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) = \phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \star x_j^0 - x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})) = -\phi_{\beta}(x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})). \quad (4.3)$$

We know  $x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) =$

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \left( \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \frac{(x_{j,1} - vx_{j-1})(x_{j,1} - v^{-2}x_{j,2})(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,1})(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,2})}{x_{j,1} - x_{j,2}} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

if  $\sigma$  is identity, then the term  $(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,1})$  is specialized to zero under  $\phi_{\beta}$ , thus only the term corresponding to  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(x_{j,1}) = x_{j,2}$  is not specialized to zero under  $\phi_{\beta}$ , and we have  $\phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_{\beta,s}^{s+|\beta|+2(n-j)-1}$ .  $\square$

Now we compute  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$  for any  $h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ . Let  $\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$  be the set of shuffle elements,

$$\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,1}^{\alpha,p}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,2}^{\alpha,p}), \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq p \leq d_{\alpha}, 2 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (4.5)$$

By definition we have  $\varphi(E_h) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_k} \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha \\ \alpha \in \Delta^+}} \varphi(E_{\alpha, t_s}) \prod_{(\alpha, p) < (\beta, q), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq q \leq d_\beta \\ \alpha_{ij} \neq 0}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta, j} \\ 1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha, i}}} \frac{x_{i, l}^{\alpha, p} - v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j, r}^{\beta, q}}{x_{i, l}^{\alpha, p} - x_{j, r}^{\beta, q}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_d} \sigma(F_h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Decompose the set of variables into the following disjoint union of subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,1} &= \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha, s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ X_{i,2} &= \{x_{i,2}^{\alpha, s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ Y_i &= \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha, s}, x_{i,2}^{\alpha, s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+ - \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $\sigma(F_h)$  is not specialized to zero under  $\phi_d$ , then  $\sigma(Y_i) = Y_i$  for any  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We only deal with the  $i = 2$  case, for other cases the arguments are similar. Assume  $\sigma(F_h)$  is not zero under  $\phi_d$ . If  $X_{2,2} = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(Y_2) \neq Y_2$ , we must have  $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,1} \neq \emptyset$ , then in  $\sigma(F_h)$  the factor  $\sigma(X_{1,1} - vY_2)$  is zero under  $\phi_d$ , contradiction. If  $X_{2,2} \neq \emptyset$ , then we also have  $X_{i,2} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $\sigma(Y_2) \neq Y_2$  we must have  $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,2} \neq \emptyset$ , then there are  $x_{2,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1} \in X_{2,2}, x_{2,l}^{\gamma_2, r_2} \in Y_2$  such that  $\sigma(x_{2,l}^{\gamma_2, r_2}) = x_{2,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ , in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma[(X_{3,1} - vY_2)(X_{3,2} - vY_2)]$ , there must be  $x_{3,l_3}^{\gamma_3, r_3} \in Y_3$  such that  $\sigma(x_{3,l_3}^{\gamma_3, r_3}) = x_{3,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ . For the same reason we have  $x_{n,l_n}^{\gamma_n, r_n} \in Y_n$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n,l_n}^{\gamma_n, r_n}) = x_{n,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ , in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor

$$\sigma[(X_{n-1,1} - vY_n)(X_{n-1,2} - vY_n)(X_{n,1} - v^{-1}Y_n)(X_{n,2} - v^{-1}Y_n)], \quad (4.8)$$

by  $\phi_d(\sigma(F_h)) \neq 0$  we must have  $x_{n,l_{n+1}}^{\gamma_{n+1}, r_{n+1}} \in Y_n$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n,l_{n+1}}^{\gamma_{n+1}, r_{n+1}}) = x_{n,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ , and there is  $x_{n-1,l_{n+2}}^{\gamma_{n+2}, r_{n+2}} \in Y_{n-1}$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n-1,l_{n+2}}^{\gamma_{n+2}, r_{n+2}}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ . For the same reason, there is  $x_{2,l_{2n-1}}^{\gamma_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}} \in Y_2$  such that  $\sigma(x_{2,l_{2n-1}}^{\gamma_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}}) = x_{2,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ , thus  $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,1} \neq \emptyset$ , also a contradiction. Thus complete the proof.  $\square$

Denote by  $X_i^\alpha = \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha, s}, x_{i,2}^{\alpha, s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we have

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $\sigma(F_h)$  is not specialized to zero under  $\phi_d$ , then  $\sigma(X_i^\alpha) = X_i^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* First the lemma is true for  $\alpha = [1]$ , assume the lemma is true for all  $\alpha < \beta$ , we will prove the lemma is true for  $\beta$ . Here we only consider the  $\beta = [1, n, n]$  case, other cases follow from the same reason. Also, we only need to prove that  $\sigma(X_1^\beta) = X_1^\beta$ . Decompose the set of variables

$$\{x_{i,l}^{\alpha, s} \mid \beta \leq \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq 2\} \quad (4.9)$$

into the following disjoint union of subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i &= \{x_{i,l}^{\alpha, s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq l \leq 2\}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ Z_{n,2} &= \{x_{n,1}^{\alpha, s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, \\ Z_{n,3} &= \{x_{n,2}^{\alpha, s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

If  $\sigma(X_1^\beta) \cap Z_1 \neq \emptyset$ , there is  $x_{1,1}^{\beta, s_1} \in X_1^\beta, x_{1,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1} \in Z_1$  such that  $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta, s_1}) = x_{1,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ . Since  $\sigma(F_h)$  has the factor  $\sigma(X_1^\beta - vZ_2)$ , there is  $x_{2,1}^{\beta, s_2} \in X_2^\beta$  such that  $\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta, s_2}) = x_{2,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$ . For

the same reason, we have  $x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}}$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma,r}$ ,  $x_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n}, x_{n,l_2}^{\beta,s_{n+1}}$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n}) = x_{n,1}^{\gamma,r}$ ,  $\sigma(x_{n,l_2}^{\beta,s_{n+1}}) = x_{n,2}^{\gamma,r}$ , and  $x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}$  such that  $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}) = x_{n-1,2}^{\gamma,r}$ . If  $s_{n-1} < s_n$ , then in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}} - vx_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n})$  and thus  $\sigma(F_h)$  is zero under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ , hence we have  $s_{n-1} \geq s_n$ . Moreover we have  $s_{n-1} \geq s_n \geq s_{n+1} \geq s_{n+2}$ . However, recall  $\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$ , we must have

$$x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}} = \sigma^{-1}(x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma,r}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{n-1,2}^{\gamma,r}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}, \quad (4.11)$$

and thus  $s_{n-1} < s_{n+2}$ , a contradiction. Thus completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Lemma 2.9 is valid for type  $B_n$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (4.1).*

*Proof.* For any  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ , let  $X^\beta$  be the set of variables

$$\{x_{i,l}^{\beta,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta, i \in \beta, 1 \leq l \leq 2\}. \quad (4.12)$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 we know  $\sigma(X^\beta) = X^\beta$ . Thus under  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  we have the factor  $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta,\beta'}$ . We only need to prove for each  $\beta \in \Delta^+$ ,  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\prod_{s=1}^{d_\beta} \varphi(E_{\beta,r_\beta(h,s)}))$  is of the form  $G_\beta \cdot P_{\lambda_\beta + \kappa_\beta}$ . Also we only deal with the  $\beta = [1, n, n]$  case, other cases are similar. For any  $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$ , Let  $\sigma^{-1}(x_{i,1}^{\beta,s}) = x_{i,1}^{\beta,t_{s,i}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $\sigma^{-1}(x_{n,1}^{\beta,s}) = x_{n,l_{s,1}}^{\beta,t_{s,n}}$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(x_{n,2}^{\beta,s}) = x_{n,l_{s,2}}^{\beta,t_{s,n+1}}$ , then if  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F_h) \neq 0$ , for any  $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$  we must have

$$t_{s,1} \geq t_{s,2} \geq \cdots \geq t_{s,n} \geq t_{s,n+1}, \quad (4.13)$$

since  $\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$ , we have  $x_{n,l_{s,1}}^{\beta,t_{s,n}} < x_{n,l_{s,2}}^{\beta,t_{s,n+1}}$ , thus  $t_{s,n} = t_{s,n+1}$  and  $l_{s,1} = 1, l_{s,2} = 2$ . Moreover,  $\{t_{1,i}, t_{2,i}, \dots, t_{d_\beta,i}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, d_\beta\}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus by (4.13) we must have  $t_{s,1} = \cdots = t_{s,n}$  for any  $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$ . Now by Lemma 4.1 we know any  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$  is of the form (2.17), and Lemma 2.9 follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Lemma 2.11 is valid for type  $B_n$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (4.1).*

*Proof.* Let  $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$ , then we can find  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  such that  $d_\alpha = d'_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $d'_\beta < d_\beta$ . By induction we can assume  $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$ . Without loss of generality, we also assume  $d'_\beta = 0$ . If  $\beta = [1, j]$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_1^{\beta,1})) = w_{\gamma,s}$  for some  $\gamma > \beta$ . Then if  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) \neq 0$ , we must have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_l^{\beta,1})) = v^{-l+1}w_{\gamma,s}$  for any  $2 \leq l \leq j$ . If  $j \leq n-1$ , then there exists  $x_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$  with  $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-j}w_{\gamma,s}$ . Since in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(x_j^{\beta,1} - vx_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$ , we have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . If  $j = n$ , then there exists  $x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$  with  $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-n+2}w_{\gamma,s}$ . Since in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(x_n^{\beta,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$ , we have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . If  $\beta = [1, n, j]$  for some  $3 \leq j \leq n$ , similarly there is  $\gamma > \beta$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{l,1}^{\beta,1})) = v^{-l+1}w_{\gamma,s}$  for  $1 \leq l \leq n$ ,  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{l,2}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-(2n-2-l)}w_{\gamma,s}$  for  $j \leq l \leq n$ . Then there is  $x_{j-1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$  with  $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$  such that  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{j-1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-(2n-j-1)}w_{\gamma,s}$ . Since in  $\sigma(F_h)$  there is the factor  $\sigma(x_{j,2}^{\beta,1} - vx_{j-1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$ , we have  $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$ . Thus completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Lemma 2.12 is valid for type  $B_n$  and specialization map  $\phi_{\underline{d}}$  in (4.1).*

*Proof.* Same to the proof of Proposition 3.5, we only need to prove the lemma to be true for any pair of roots  $(\beta \leq \beta')$ . First let  $\underline{d}_1 = \{d_\beta = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \neq \beta\} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k}_1)$  and  $F_1 \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}_1}$ . We can assume  $\beta = [1, n, j]$  for some  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $j > 2$ , let  $\gamma = [2, n, j]$ , and

$\underline{d}_3 = \{d_\gamma = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \neq \gamma\}$ . By induction we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_1)$  has the factor  $G_\gamma$  (here we change the variable  $w_{\gamma,s}$  in  $G_\gamma$  by  $w_{\beta,s}$ ). Moreover,

$$G_\beta = (w_{\beta,1} - v^{-2}w_{\beta,2})(w_{\beta,1} - v^2w_{\beta,2}) \cdot G_\gamma, \quad (4.14)$$

and the wheel condition  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_1^{\beta,1} = v^2x_1^{\beta,2} = vx_2^{\beta,1}$  or  $x_1^{\beta,2} = v^2x_1^{\beta,1} = vx_2^{\beta,2}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta,1} = v^2w_{\beta,2}$  or  $w_{\beta,1} = v^{-2}w_{\beta,2}$ . If  $j = 2$ , let  $\gamma = [1, n, 3]$  (here we assume  $n > 3$ ), then

$$G_\beta = (w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 5}w_{\beta,2})(w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 2}w_{\beta,2})(w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 1}w_{\beta,2}) \cdot G_\gamma. \quad (4.15)$$

The wheel condition  $F_1 = 0$  once  $x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,1}^{\beta,2} = vx_1^{\beta,2}$ , or  $x_{2,1}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,2}^{\beta,2} = vx_{3,1}^{\beta,1}$ , or  $x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,2}^{\beta,2} = vx_{3,2}^{\beta,2}$  give us the vanishing factors above. Thus the lemma is true for pairs  $(\beta, \beta)$ .

Now for any pair  $(\beta < \beta')$ , let  $\underline{d}_2 = \{d_\beta = d_{\beta'} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq \beta, \beta'} = 0\} \in \text{KP}(k_2)$  and  $F_2 \in \mathbb{S}_{k_2}$ , we prove  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the vanishing factor  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  if  $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F_2) = 0$  for any  $\underline{d} < \underline{d}_2$ . By induction we know it is true for any  $(\beta < \beta')$  with  $[2] \leq \beta$ . And by results on type  $A_n$ , we know it is true for any  $(\beta < \beta')$  with  $\beta = [1, j], \beta' = [i', j']$  for some  $1 \leq i', j, j' \leq n$ .

First suppose  $\beta = [1, j]$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\beta' = [i, n, n]$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Let  $\gamma = [i, n]$ , if  $j < n-1$  then  $G_{\beta, \beta'} = G_{\beta, \gamma}$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  for the same reason. If  $j = n-1$ , then

$$G_{\beta, \beta'} = (w_\beta - vw_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta, \gamma}, \quad (4.16)$$

and by induction we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, \gamma}$ . If  $i = 1$  let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1, n]} = 2, d_{\alpha \neq [1, n]} = 0\}$ , or if  $i \neq 1$  let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1, n, n]} = 1, d_{[i, n-1]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1, n, n], [i, n-1]} = 0\}$ . Then for each case we have  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  implies that  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = vw_{\beta'}$ . If  $j = n, i = 1$ , then

$$G_{\beta, \beta'} = (w_\beta - v^{-1}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta, \beta}, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $G_{\beta, \beta}$  is obtained by changing the variable  $w_{\beta,2}$  in  $G_\beta$  by  $w_{\beta'}$ . By induction we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, \beta}$ . Also the wheel condition  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_{n,2}^{\beta'} = vx_{n,1}^{\beta'} = v^2x_{n,1}^\beta = vx_{n-1,1}^\beta$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = v^{-1}w_{\beta'}$ . If  $j = n, i > 1$ , then

$$G_{\beta, \beta'} = (w_\beta - w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta, \gamma}. \quad (4.18)$$

Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1, n, n]} = 1, d_{[i, n]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1, n, n], [i, n]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  implies that  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta = w_{\beta'}$ .

Then suppose  $\beta = [1, j]$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\beta' = [i, n, k]$  for some  $1 \leq i < k \leq n$ . We already prove  $k = n$  case, so we can use induction. If  $j \leq k-2$ , then  $G_{\beta, \beta'} = G_{\beta, [i, k-1]}$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, \beta'}$  for the same reason. If  $j \geq k+1$ , then

$$G_{\beta, \beta'} = (w_\beta - v^{(2k-2n-1)}w_{\beta'})(w_\beta - v^{(2k-2n+3)}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta, [i, n, k+1]}. \quad (4.19)$$

By induction we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, [i, n, k+1]}$ , and the wheel conditions  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_{k,2}^{\beta'} = v^2x_{k,1}^\beta = vx_{k-1,1}^\beta$  or  $x_{k,1}^\beta = v^2x_{k,2}^{\beta'} = vx_{k+1,1}^\beta$  give the additional vanishing factors. If  $j = k-1$ , then

$$G_{\beta, \beta'} = (w_\beta - v^{(2k-2n+1)}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta, [i, n, k+1]}. \quad (4.20)$$

By induction we know  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$  has the factor  $G_{\beta, [i, n, k+1]}$ . Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1, k]} = d_{[i, n, k+1]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1, k], [i, n, k+1]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  implies that  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_\beta =$



$v^{(2k-2n+1)}w_{\beta'}$ . If  $j = k$ , then

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - v^{(2k-2n-1)}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta,[i,n,k+1]}, \quad (4.21)$$

and the wheel condition  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_{k,2}^{\beta'} = v^2x_{k,1}^{\beta} = vx_{k-1,1}^{\beta}$  gives the additional vanishing factor.

Next suppose  $\beta = [1, n, j]$  for some  $2 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\beta' = [i, k]$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ . We only need to prove  $\beta = [1, n, n]$  case, other cases can be proved by induction as above. If  $k \leq n - 2$ , then  $G_{\beta,\beta'} = G_{[1,n-1],\beta'}$  and the vanishing factors appear for the same wheel conditions. If  $k = n - 1$ , then

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - vw_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{[1,n],\beta'}. \quad (4.22)$$

Let  $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,n,n-1]} = d_{[i,n-2]} = 1, d_{\alpha \neq [1,n,n-1],[i,n-2]} = 0\}$ , then  $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2$  and  $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$  implies that  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta} = vw_{\beta'}$ . If  $k = n$ , then

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - v^{-2}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{[1,n],\beta'}, \quad (4.23)$$

and the wheel condition  $F_2 = 0$  once  $x_{n,1}^{\beta'} = vx_{n,2}^{\beta} = v^2x_{n,1}^{\beta} = vx_{n-1,1}^{\beta}$  becomes  $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$  once  $w_{\beta} = v^{-2}w_{\beta'}$ .

Finally, suppose  $\beta = [1, n, j]$  for some  $2 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\beta' = [i, n, k]$  for some  $1 \leq i < k \leq n$ . If  $j \geq 3$ , then if  $i > 2$  then  $G_{\beta,\beta'} = G_{[2,n,j],\beta'}$  and they appear for the same reason. If  $j \geq 3$  and  $i = 2$ , then

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - v^{-2}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{[2,n,j],\beta'}, \quad (4.24)$$

and the wheel condition  $x_{2,1}^{\beta'} = v^2x_{2,1}^{\beta} = vx_{1,1}^{\beta}$  gives the additional factor. If  $j \geq 3$  and  $i = 1$ , then  $k < j$ . If  $k \geq 3$ , we have

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - v^{-2}w_{\beta'})(w_{\beta} - v^2w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{[2,n,j],[2,n,k]}, \quad (4.25)$$

and the wheel conditions for (4.14) give the additional factors. If  $k = 2$ , then for  $j > 3$ , we can use induction. If  $k = 2, j = 3$ , then

$$G_{\beta,\beta'} = (w_{\beta} - v^{-2}w_{\beta'})(w_{\beta} - v^{-2n+1}w_{\beta'})(w_{\beta} - v^{-2n+3}w_{\beta'}) \cdot G_{\beta,\beta}. \quad (4.26)$$

The wheel conditions for (4.19) and the wheel condition  $x_{3,2}^{\beta'} = v^2x_{3,2}^{\beta} = vx_{2,2}^{\beta}$  give the additional factors. Now let  $\beta = [1, n, 2]$ , then  $i \geq 2$ . If  $k > 3$  then we can use induction. If  $k = 3$ , then  $\beta' = [2, n, 3]$ , and similar wheel conditions for (4.26) apply. Thus completes our proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I am indebted to Boris Feigin, Peng Shan, Alexander Tsybaliuk for stimulating discussions. The author also gratefully acknowledges support from Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, at which most of the research for this paper was performed.

## REFERENCES

- [DJ] J. Ding and N. Jing, *On a Combinatorial identity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (6):325–332, 2000.
- [E1] B. Enriquez, *On correlation functions of Drinfeld currents and shuffle algebras*, Transform. Groups, **5**(2):111–120, 2000.
- [E2] B. Enriquez, *PBW and duality theorems for quantum groups and quantum current algebras*, J. Lie Theory, **13**(1):21–64, 2003.
- [FH] B. Feigin and Y. Hu, *Shuffle algebra realization of quantum affine superalgebra  $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(2, 1; \theta))$* , J. Algebra, **573**:539–560, 2021.
- [FHHSY] B. Feigin, K. Hashizume, A. Hoshino, J. Shiraishi, and S. Yanagida, *A commutative algebra on degenerate  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  and Macdonald polynomials*, J. Math. Phys., **50**(9):095215, 42, 2009.
- [FO1] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Sklyanin elliptic algebras*, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., **23**:207–214, 1989.

- [FO2] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Constructions of Sklyanin elliptic algebras and quantum R-Matrices*, *Funct. Anal. Its Appl.*, **27**:31–38, 1993.
- [FO3] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *A family of elliptic algebras*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):531–539, 1997.
- [FO4] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Vector bundles on an elliptic curve and Sklyanin algebras*, *Topics in quantum groups and finite-type invariants*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, **185**:65–84, 1998.
- [FS] B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, *Functional models for representations of current algebras and semi-infinite Schubert cells*, *Funct. Anal. Its Appl.*, **28**:55–72, 1994.
- [G1] J. Green, *Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles*, *Finite reductive groups (Luminy 1994)*, *Birkhäuser Prog. Math.* **141**:273–290, 1997.
- [G2] P. Grossé, *On quantum shuffle and quantum affine algebras*, *J. Algebra*, **318**:495–519, 2007.
- [L1] B. Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and  $q$ -characters*, *Math. Z.*, **246**:691–732, 2004.
- [L2] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on words (2nd ed., Cambridge Mathematical Library)*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511566097, 1997.
- [LR] P. Lalonde and A. Ram, *Standard Lyndon bases of Lie algebras and enveloping algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **347**(5), 1821–1830, 1995.
- [N1] A. Neguţ, *The shuffle algebra revisited*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (22):6242–6275, 2014.
- [N2] A. Neguţ, *Quantum toroidal and shuffle algebras*, *Adv. Math.*, **372**, 2020.
- [NT] A. Neguţ and A. Tsymbaliuk, *Quantum Loop Groups and Shuffle Algebras via Lyndon Words*, arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2102.11268, Feb 2021.
- [R1] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, Volume 7 of *London Math. Soc. Monogr.(NS)*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1993.
- [R2] M. Rosso, *Lyndon bases and the multiplicative formula for R-matrices*, preprint, 2002.
- [T] A. Tsymbaliuk, *PBWD basis and shuffle algebra realizations for  $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ ,  $U_{v_1, v_2}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ ,  $U_v(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n))$  and their integral forms*, *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, **27**, 2021.

Y.H.: YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAI DIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA

*Email address:* ldkhtys@gmail.com