

# GENUS ZERO TRANSVERSE FOLIATIONS FOR WEAKLY CONVEX REEB FLOWS ON THE TIGHT 3-SPHERE

NAIARA V. DE PAULO, UMBERTO HRYNIEWICZ, SEONGCHAN KIM,  
AND PEDRO A. S. SALOMÃO

ABSTRACT. A contact form on the tight 3-sphere  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  is called weakly convex if the Conley-Zehnder index of every Reeb orbit is at least 2. In this article, we study Reeb flows of weakly convex contact forms on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  admitting a prescribed finite set of index-2 Reeb orbits, which are all hyperbolic and mutually unlinked. We present conditions so that these index-2 orbits are binding orbits of a genus zero transverse foliation. In addition, we show in the real-analytic case that the topological entropy of the Reeb flow is positive if the branches of the stable/unstable manifolds of the index-2 orbits are mutually non-coincident.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	2
1.1. Idea of proofs	5
1.2. Potential applications	6
2. Basic Definitions	7
2.1. Self-linking number of unknots	7
2.2. The Conley-Zehnder index	7
2.3. Pseudo-holomorphic curves	8
2.4. Finite Energy Foliations	10
2.5. Topological entropy	13
3. Weakly convex foliations and compactness	15
3.1. Rigid planes asymptotic to the index-2 orbits	17
3.2. Special index-3 orbits	22
3.3. Compactness properties of holomorphic cylinders	26
3.4. Compactness properties of holomorphic planes	29
4. Another generic set of almost complex structures	31
5. Finding the desired transverse foliation	33
6. Two-dimensional reductions	37
6.1. Some notations	37
6.2. Transition maps and infinite twists	40
7. The coincidence case	46
7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3-(i)	48
8. The non-coincidence case	51
8.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3-(ii)	53
References	57

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\psi_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a smooth flow on a smooth closed oriented 3-manifold  $M$ . A **transverse foliation** adapted to  $(M, \psi_t)$  is a singular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $M$  satisfying the following:

- i) The singular set  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of finitely many simple periodic orbits  $P_1, \dots, P_m \subset M$ , called binding orbits. The set  $\mathcal{P}$  is called the binding of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- ii) The complement  $M \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P$  is foliated by surfaces, which are regular leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Every regular leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a properly embedded punctured sphere

$$\dot{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow M \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P.$$

The closure  $\Sigma = \text{cl}(\dot{\Sigma})$  is an embedded compact surface whose boundary is formed by binding orbits in  $\mathcal{P}$ . The components of  $\partial\Sigma$  are called the asymptotic limits of  $\dot{\Sigma}$ , and the ends of  $\dot{\Sigma}$  are called the punctures of  $\dot{\Sigma}$ . Each  $\dot{\Sigma}$  is transverse to the flow, and each puncture has an associated asymptotic limit.

- iii) The orientation of  $M$  and the flow provide each regular leaf  $\dot{\Sigma}$  with an orientation. A puncture of  $\dot{\Sigma}$  is called positive if the orientation of its asymptotic limit as the boundary of  $\Sigma$  coincides with the orientation of the flow. Otherwise, the puncture is called negative.

A transverse foliation whose all regular leaves have genus zero is called a genus zero transverse foliation. This definition is based on the finite-energy foliations introduced by Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder in [20].

The main goal of this paper is to construct genus zero transverse foliations adapted to Reeb flows on the tight 3-sphere  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ . We are particularly interested in transverse foliations whose binding contains a prescribed set of index-2 Reeb orbits. Here,

$$S^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1\},$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  are canonical coordinates. The (tight) contact structure  $\xi_0$  on  $S^3$  is the one induced by the Liouville form on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , that is  $\xi_0 = \ker \lambda_0$ , where

$$\lambda_0 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i \right) \Big|_{S^3}.$$

Let  $\lambda$  be a contact form on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ , that is  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  for some non-vanishing smooth function  $f: S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Its Reeb vector field  $R = R_\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $d\lambda(R, \cdot) = 0$  and  $\lambda(R) = 1$ , and its flow  $\phi_t$  is called the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ .

A periodic orbit of  $\lambda$ , also called a Reeb orbit, is a pair  $P = (x, T)$ , where  $x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  is a periodic trajectory of the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  and  $T > 0$  is a period of  $x$ . If  $T$  is the least positive period of  $x$ ,  $P$  is called simple. The Reeb orbits  $(x, T)$  and  $(y, T')$  satisfying  $x(\mathbb{R}) = y(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T = T'$  are identified and we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  the set of equivalence classes of periodic trajectories of  $\lambda$ . We may also denote by  $P$  the set  $x(\mathbb{R}) \subset S^3$ . The action  $\int_{[0, T]} x^* \lambda$  of  $P = (x, T)$  coincides with its period  $T$  since  $\lambda(R) = 1$ . A periodic orbit  $P = (x, T) \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  is said to be non-degenerate if

1 is not an eigenvalue of the linearized map  $d\phi_T : \xi_{x(0)} \rightarrow \xi_{x(0)}$ . The contact form  $\lambda$  is called non-degenerate if every periodic orbit of  $\lambda$  is non-degenerate.

The symplectic vector bundle  $(\xi_0, d\lambda|_{\xi_0}) \rightarrow S^3$  admits a unique symplectic trivialization up to homotopy. Hence, the Conley-Zehnder index  $\text{CZ}(P)$  of every periodic orbit  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  is uniquely determined by any such a trivialization (the definition of Conley-Zehnder index is recalled in Section 2.2). Given  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{P}_j(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  the set of Reeb orbits with Conley-Zehnder index  $j$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{P}_j^{u,-1}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_j(\lambda)$  the subset of index- $j$  Reeb orbits that are unknotted and have self-linking number  $-1$  (see Section 2.1 for the definition of self-linking number).

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a contact form  $\lambda$  on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  is weakly convex if  $\mathcal{P}_j(\lambda) = \emptyset, \forall j \leq 1$ , that is  $\text{CZ}(P) \geq 2$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ .*

In the following we assume that  $\lambda$  is weakly convex, that  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is non-empty and finite, and that every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is hyperbolic, unknotted, and has self-linking number  $-1$ . Moreover, we assume that the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  are mutually unlinked and that their actions are small when compared to the actions of the simple orbits with higher indices. We also assume that each orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  does not link with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$ . Recall that a Reeb orbit  $P' = (x', T')$ , which is geometrically distinct from an unknotted periodic orbit  $P$ , is said to be linked with  $P$  if  $0 \neq [x'] \in H_1(S^3 \setminus P; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Otherwise, we say that  $P'$  is not linked with  $P$ . The linking number between  $P$  and  $P'$  is denoted  $\text{link}(P, P')$ .

Our main result states that under the above-mentioned hypotheses, the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  admits a transverse foliation whose regular leaves are planes and cylinders, and so that every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is a binding orbit. Moreover, all other binding orbits have index 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  be a weakly convex contact form on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- I.  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_2^{u,-1}(\lambda)$  is a non-empty finite set  $\{P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}\}$  of mutually unlinked hyperbolic periodic orbits.
- II. If  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  is geometrically distinct from any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , then its action is greater than the action of every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ .
- III. If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$ , then  $\text{link}(P, P_{2,i}) = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, l$ .

*Then the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  admits a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  so that:*

- i) Every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is a binding orbit.
- ii) The remaining binding orbits are  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$ .
- iii) For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , there exists a pair of rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2} \in \mathcal{F}$ , so that each one of them is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at its positive puncture. Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}_i = U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2} \hookrightarrow S^3$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere.
- iv) The open set  $S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$  has  $l+1$  components  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{l+1}$  with  $P_{3,j} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ .
- v) If  $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a rigid cylinder  $V_{j,i} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its positive puncture and to  $P_{2,i}$  at its negative puncture.
- vi) For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$ , there exist  $\tilde{k}_j \geq 1$  one-parameter families of planes parametrized by the interval  $(0, 1)$ , so that each such plane is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its positive puncture. Here,  $\tilde{k}_j \geq 1$  is the number of components of  $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$ . At each end, every such family of planes breaks onto a

rigid cylinder  $V_{j,i}$  connecting  $P_{3,j}$  to some  $P_{2,i}$  and a rigid plane asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$ .

Theorem 1.2 is inspired by the main results in [20], where transverse foliations are proved to exist for non-degenerate Reeb flows on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ . Our results only make non-degeneracy hypotheses on the periodic orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and are intended to apply to classical problems emerging in Celestial Mechanics.




FIGURE 1.1. A weakly convex transverse foliation on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ , called a 3 – 2 – 3 foliation. The binding is formed by precisely one index-2 orbit  $P_2$  and two index-3 orbits  $P_3, P'_3$ .

A transverse foliation as in Theorem 1.2 is called a **weakly convex foliation**. In the real-analytic case, its existence implies infinitely many periodic orbits for the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if no two branches of the stable and unstable manifolds of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  agree, then the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  has positive topological entropy. This is the content of our next theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  be a real-analytic contact form on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that the actions of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  coincide. Suppose, moreover, that for every  $j = 1, \dots, l + 1$ , the closure of  $\mathcal{U}_j$  has  $\tilde{k}_j$  boundary components, denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_{n_k^j}, k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ . Here  $n_k^j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . Then, for every component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$ , the following statements hold:*

- i) *Suppose that for every  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $P_{2,n_k^j} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  coincides with the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of some  $P_{2,n_i^j}$ . Then there exists an invariant set  $A_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  which admits a cross section  $\Sigma_j$ . This cross section is a punctured disk bounded by  $P_{3,j}$ , and the first return map has an infinite twist near each puncture. In particular,  $A_j$  contains infinitely many periodic orbits.*

- ii) *Suppose that for every  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $P_{2, n_k^j} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  does not coincide with the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of any  $P_{2, n_i^j}$ . Then there exists an invariant set  $\Lambda_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  so that the Reeb flow restricted to  $\Lambda_j$  has positive topological entropy.*

The assumption that the actions of the Reeb orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  coincide and are small when compared to the actions of the other Reeb orbits are usually verified for Hamiltonian dynamics near certain critical energy surfaces as we later explain.

The foliations obtained and used in this paper, as well as the techniques involved in the proof of Theorem 1.2, were introduced by Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder in [20]. In the degenerate case, such techniques were further developed in [17]. The argument to get intersections of stable and unstable manifolds of binding orbits with Conley-Zehnder index equal to 2 is originally found in [20]. In the real-analytic case, we use Conley's ideas from [4]. As for the construction of a Bernoulli shift subsystem we follow Moser's book [26]. Genus zero transverse foliations with a single index-2 binding orbit were treated in [7, 8, 29]. The reader finds more on transverse foliations in the surveys [9] and [21] and references therein.

**1.1. Idea of proofs.** Theorem 1.2 is proved as follows. Consider sequences  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  of non-degenerate contact forms and  $J_n \rightarrow J$  of generic almost complex structures on  $\ker \lambda_n = \ker \lambda$  so that for every  $n$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant almost complex structure induced by  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a finite energy foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as in Theorem 2.5. Proposition 2.6 shows that the actions of the binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are uniformly bounded and thus assumptions I and III imply that they consist of the continuations of the  $l$  orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and  $l + 1$  index-3 orbits  $P_{3,1}^n, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^n$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_n$  projects to a genus zero transverse foliation whose regular leaves are planes and cylinders asymptotic to the binding orbits, see Proposition 3.1. Now we want to push  $\mathcal{F}_n$  to a limiting finite energy foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  adapted to  $(\lambda, J)$ . At this point, some difficulties show up in the compactness argument. It is crucial that we start with an almost complex structure  $J$  which is generic enough so that some particular low energy pseudo-holomorphic curves asymptotic to the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  do not exist. Here we use assumption II, see Lemma 3.3. For such generic  $J$ 's we are able to control the rigid planes asymptotic to the index-2 orbits proving that they converge to corresponding rigid planes associated with  $(\lambda, J)$ , see Proposition 3.5. The action boundedness of the binding orbits  $P_{3,1}^n, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^n$  allows us to find distinct limiting Reeb orbits  $P_{3,1}^0, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^0 \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$  in the complement of the rigid planes. Now some undesired low action Reeb orbits of  $\lambda$  that are unlinked with any of those index-3 orbits may obstruct the existence of a transverse foliation with binding orbits  $P_{3,1}^0, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^0$  and the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . To overcome this difficulty we may re-start the procedure of taking sequences  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$  as above so that the previously found index-3 orbits and an undesired unlinked orbit are also Reeb orbits of  $\lambda_n$  for every  $n$ . Then we obtain new limiting index-3 Reeb orbits  $P_{3,1}^1, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^1$  that necessarily link with the previous unlinked orbit. Repeating the aforementioned procedure of taking new sequences  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$  that freezes not only an eventual new unlinked Reeb orbit of  $\lambda$  but also the previously frozen Reeb orbits, we show that the process must terminate after finitely many steps. Hence we eventually find special index-3 orbits  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$  that necessarily link with all Reeb orbits that are not covers of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , see Proposition 3.10. These special

orbits and the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  are candidates for binding orbits of the desired foliation. We then take limits of the planes asymptotic to the index-3 orbits and of the rigid cylinders connecting the index-3 to the index-2 orbits, to obtain a genus zero transverse foliation. This foliation, however, may include leaves with more than one negative puncture asymptotic to distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Using uniqueness of such pseudo-holomorphic curves, we show in Proposition 3.15 the existence of at least one plane asymptotic to each index-3 orbit. After slightly changing the almost complex structure near the rigid planes to rule out such curves with multiple negative ends at index-2 orbits, we obtain the desired foliation, after studying the compactness properties of the planes asymptotic to the index-3 orbits and applying the gluing theorem.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 goes as follows. Assume that the actions of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  coincide and, moreover, that each branch of the unstable manifold of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  coincides with a branch of the stable manifold of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Fixing a plane asymptotic to an index-3 orbit, we consider its circle-like intersection with those invariant manifolds and study the first return map associated with the flow on a fixed component of the complement of the rigid planes. Removing the disks bounded by those intersections we obtain an area-preserving homeomorphism of a holed plane, which has infinite twist near each hole. Results of Franks in [11, 12] then imply the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits, see Propositions 7.3 and 7.4. Now, assume that there are no two coinciding branches of the unstable/stable manifolds of the index-2 orbits. Fix a component of the complement of the rigid planes. A trick due to Conley [4] explores the real-analyticity of the flow to show the existence of a heteroclinic chain in that component, formed by transverse intersections between the unstable and stable manifolds of certain index-2 orbits, see Proposition 8.2. Such a chain is eventually used to find a transverse homoclinic to an index-2 orbit in that component. Positivity of topological entropy then follows from the Bernoulli shift subsystem near the transverse homoclinic, constructed as in [26]. See the whole discussion in Section 8.1.

**1.2. Potential applications.** We expect to apply Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to energy surfaces of concrete Hamiltonians of two degrees of freedom, especially for energies slightly above certain critical values. We explain such potential applications below.

Let  $H: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth Hamiltonian. Assume that  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a critical value of  $H$  and that the critical set  $H^{-1}(0)$  contains finitely many saddle-center equilibrium points  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . Assume that as the energy increases, a sphere-like component  $C_E \subset H^{-1}(E)$ ,  $E < 0$ , gets connected to other components of the energy surface, precisely at  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . This means that  $C_E$  becomes a singular sphere-like subset  $C_0 \subset H^{-1}(0)$  with singularities at  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . For every  $E > 0$  small,  $H^{-1}(E)$  contains an index-2 hyperbolic orbit  $\gamma_i$ , called the Lyapunoff orbit, in the neck-region around each  $p_i$ . The orbit  $\gamma_i$  bounds a pair of planes in the energy surface, which are transverse to the flow and form with  $\gamma_i$  a 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i \subset H^{-1}(E)$ ,  $\forall i$ . We may wish to study the dynamics on the subset  $S_E \subset H^{-1}(E)$  near  $C_0$ , which is bounded by the union of the 2-spheres  $\mathcal{S}_i$ . In many situations,  $S_E$  is the region in  $H^{-1}(E)$  where the interesting dynamics is located. We thus may assume, possibly after changing  $H$  away from  $S_E$ , that the components which get connected to  $C_E$  are formed by  $m$  suitable sphere-like hypersurfaces. In particular,  $S_E$ ,  $E > 0$  small, is a subset of a non-convex sphere-like  $\hat{S}_E \subset H^{-1}(E)$ . The Hamiltonian flow on  $\hat{S}_E$  is equivalent to a Reeb flow on the tight 3-sphere that satisfies the

hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. The transverse foliation given in Theorem 1.2 restricts to a transverse foliation on  $S_E$  that contains the Lyapunoff orbits and an index-3 orbit as binding orbits. Dynamical properties such as multiplicity of periodic orbits and the existence of homoclinics/heteroclinics to the Lyapunoff orbits are then derived. If  $H$  satisfies a particular  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -symmetry, then Theorem 1.3 applies and more information about the dynamics on  $S_E$  follows. As an example, the Hénon-Heiles system is  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric and thus the three index-2 Lyapunoff orbits exist and have the same arbitrarily small action for energies slightly above its critical value  $\frac{1}{6}$ . We will explore this example further in [6]. The circular planar restricted three-body problem is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetric after regularizing collisions with one of the primaries and fits a similar setting. We expect to find applications of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 for certain mass ratios and energies slightly above the first Lagrange value.

**Acknowledgements.** UH is partially supported by the DFG SFB/TRR 191 ‘Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics’, Projektnummer 281071066-TRR 191. SK is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. NRF-2022R1F1A1074066). PS acknowledges the support of NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai. PS is partially supported by FAPESP 2016/25053-8 and CNPq 306106/2016-7.

## 2. BASIC DEFINITIONS

**2.1. Self-linking number of unknots.** Let  $x: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (S^3, \xi_0)$  be an unknot transverse to the standard contact structure  $\xi_0$ , and let  $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow S^3$  be an embedded disk, where  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . Assume that  $u$  is a spanning disk for  $x$ , that is  $x(t) = u(e^{2\pi it})$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Choose a non-vanishing section  $X$  of the pullback bundle  $u^*\xi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . Fix a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $S^3$  and denote by  $\exp$  the associated exponential map. If  $X$  is sufficiently small, then  $x_X(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \cap x(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \emptyset$ , where  $x_X(t) := \exp_{x(t)} X(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . We may assume that  $x_X$  is transverse to  $u$ . The *self-linking number* of  $x$ , denoted by  $\text{sl}(x)$ , is defined as the algebraic intersection number  $x_X \cdot u$ . Here,  $S^3$  is oriented in such a way that  $\lambda \wedge d\lambda > 0$ . The orientation of  $x_X$  is the one induced by  $x$ , and  $x$  is oriented as the boundary of  $u$ . The self-linking number is independent of the involved choices.

**2.2. The Conley-Zehnder index.** Let  $M$  be a closed three-manifold equipped with a contact form  $\lambda$ . Let  $P = (x, T) \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ ,  $x_T := x(T \cdot): \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$  and  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  denotes the set of  $d\lambda$ -compatible almost complex structures on the contact structure  $\xi = \ker \lambda$ . The asymptotic operator  $A_P = A_{P,J}$  is the unbounded self-adjoint operator acting on sections of  $\xi$  along  $P$

$$A_P(\eta) := -J|_{x_T} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}_T} \eta \in L^2(x_T^* \xi), \quad \forall \eta \in W^{1,2}(x_T^* \xi),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}_T} \eta$  is the Lie derivative of  $\eta$  in the direction of  $\dot{x}_T$

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}_T} \eta)(t) := \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \{D\varphi_{sT}^{-1}(x_T(t+s)) \cdot \eta(t+s)\}.$$

The eigenvalues of  $A_P$  are real and accumulate only at  $\pm\infty$ . A non-trivial eigenvector never vanishes and thus, for a fixed frame  $\Psi$  of the contact structure along  $P$ , determines a winding number  $\text{wind}_\Psi(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$  that depends only on the eigenvalue  $\mu$ .

The function  $\mu \mapsto \text{wind}_\Psi(\mu)$  is monotonically increasing and surjective and satisfies  $\#\text{wind}_\Psi^{-1}(k) = 2, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the multiplicities are counted. See [15, Section 3].

The periodic orbit  $P$  is degenerate if and only if 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A_P$ . Denoting by  $\text{wind}_\Psi^{<0}(A_P)$  the winding number of the largest negative eigenvalue and by  $\text{wind}_\Psi^{\geq 0}(A_P)$  the winding number of the smallest non-negative eigenvalue, the (generalized) Conley-Zehnder index of  $P$  with respect to  $\Psi$  is defined as

$$\text{CZ}_\Psi(P) = \text{wind}_\Psi^{<0}(A_P) + \text{wind}_\Psi^{\geq 0}(A_P).$$

It depends on the frame  $\Psi$  but not on  $J$ . If  $(M, \xi) = (S^3, \xi_0)$ , we fix a frame induced by a global trivialization of  $\xi$  and omit  $\Psi$  in the notation.

**2.3. Pseudo-holomorphic curves.** In this section,  $\lambda$  is a contact form on a smooth closed three-manifold  $M$  and  $\xi = \ker \lambda$  is the induced contact structure. The Reeb vector field  $R = R_\lambda$  is defined as before. The symplectization of  $M$  is the symplectic manifold  $(\mathbb{R} \times M, d(e^r \lambda))$ , where  $r$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -coordinate.

For each  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ , the pair  $(\lambda, J)$  induces a Riemannian metric on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$

$$(2.1) \quad \langle (b, v), (c, w) \rangle_{(r, u)} = bc + \lambda_u(v)\lambda_u(w) + d\lambda_u(\pi_u(v), J_u \cdot \pi_u(w)),$$

where  $\pi : TM \rightarrow \xi$  is the projection along  $R$ . Moreover,  $(\lambda, J)$  determines an  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  so that

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{J} \cdot \partial_r = R, \quad \tilde{J}|_\xi = J.$$

Let  $(S, j)$  be a closed connected Riemann surface, and let  $\Gamma \subset S$  be a finite set of punctures. We consider finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curves in  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ , i.e. smooth maps  $\tilde{u} = (a, u) : S \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M$  satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad d\tilde{u} \circ j = \tilde{J}(\tilde{u}) \circ d\tilde{u}.$$

and having finite Hofer's energy

$$(2.4) \quad 0 < E(\tilde{u}) = \sup_{\psi \in \Lambda} \int_{S \setminus \Gamma} \tilde{u}^* d(\psi \lambda) < +\infty,$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the set of smooth functions  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\psi' \geq 0$ . If  $S = S^2$  and  $\#\Gamma = 1$ , then  $\tilde{u}$  is called a finite energy plane.

In local coordinates  $z = s + it$ , (2.3) is equivalent to the equations

$$\begin{cases} \pi u_t = J(u) \pi u_s \\ a_s = \lambda(u_t) \\ a_t = -\lambda(u_s) \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1.** A  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{u} : S \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M$  is called somewhere injective if there exists  $z_0 \in S \setminus \Gamma$  such that  $d\tilde{u}(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{u}^{-1}(\tilde{u}(z_0)) = \{z_0\}$ .

Given a puncture  $z_0 \in \Gamma$ , choose a holomorphic chart  $\phi : (\mathbb{D} \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}, 0) \rightarrow (\phi(\mathbb{D} \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}), z_0)$  centered at  $z_0$ . The map  $\tilde{u} \circ \phi(e^{-2\pi(s+it)})$ ,  $(s, t) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , will still be denoted by  $\tilde{u} = (a, u)$ . The finite energy condition (2.4) implies that  $\tilde{u}$  is non-constant and the limit

$$m = m_{z_0} := \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{s\} \times S^1} u^* \lambda$$

exists. The puncture  $z_0$  is said to be removable if  $m = 0$ . In this case,  $\tilde{u}$  can be smoothly extended over  $z_0$  by Gromov's removable singularity theorem. The



puncture  $z_0$  is called positive or negative if  $m > 0$  and  $m < 0$ , respectively. In the following, we tacitly assume that all punctures are non-removable. Stokes' theorem tells us that the set  $\Gamma$  is non-empty. We assign the sign  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  to each puncture, depending on whether it is positive or negative, respectively. This induces a decomposition  $\Gamma = \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Hofer [14, Theorem 31]). *Let  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  be a non-removable puncture of a finite energy pseudo-holomorphic curve  $\tilde{u} = (a, u): S \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M$ . Let  $(s, t) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be holomorphic polar coordinates centered at  $z_0$  as above, and set  $\tilde{u}(s, t) = (a(s, t), u(s, t))$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  be the sign of  $z_0$ . Then, for every sequence  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , there exist a subsequence  $s_{n_k}$  of  $s_n$  and a periodic orbit  $P = (x, T) \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  so that  $u(s_{n_k}, \cdot) \rightarrow x(\varepsilon T \cdot)$  in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, M)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

The periodic orbit in the previous statement is referred to as an asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z_0 \in \Gamma$ . Denote the set of asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z_0$  by  $\Omega = \Omega(z_0) \subset \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ . This set is non-empty, compact and connected. See for instance [13, Lemma 13.3.1]. Explicit examples of finite energy curves with the image of  $\Omega$  being diffeomorphic to the two-torus is provided by Siefring in [31].

The following theorem due to Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder tells us that if an asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  is non-degenerate, then  $\Omega$  consists of a single Reeb orbit. Moreover,  $\tilde{u}$  has exponential convergence to the asymptotic limit.

**Theorem 2.3** (Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder [16]). *Let  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  be a non-removable puncture of a finite energy pseudo-holomorphic curve  $\tilde{u} = (a, u): S \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M$ . Choose holomorphic polar coordinates  $(s, t) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  near  $z_0$ , and set  $\tilde{u}(s, t) = (a(s, t), u(s, t))$  as before. Assume that  $P = (x, T) \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  is a non-degenerate asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z_0$ . Then there exist  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

- (i)  $\sup_{t \in S^1} |a(s, t) - \varepsilon T s - d| \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (ii)  $u(s, \cdot) \rightarrow x(\varepsilon T \cdot + c)$  in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, M)$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (iii) let  $\pi: TM \rightarrow \xi$  be the projection along the Reeb direction. If  $\pi \circ du$  does not vanish identically near  $z_0$ , then  $\pi \circ du(s, t) \neq 0$  for every  $s$  sufficiently large.
- (iv) define  $\zeta(t, s)$  by  $u(s, t) = \exp_{x(\varepsilon T t + c)} \zeta(\varepsilon T t + c, s), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exist an eigenvalue  $\mu$  of  $A_P$ , with  $\varepsilon \mu < 0$ , and a  $\mu$ -eigenfunction  $e(t) \in \xi|_{x(Tt)}, t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , so that

$$\zeta(t, s) = e^{\varepsilon \mu s} (e(t) + R_1(s, t)), \quad s \gg 0,$$

where the remainder  $R_1$  and its derivatives converge to 0, uniformly in  $t$ , as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $\tilde{u}$  admits a single asymptotic limit  $P$  at  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  and the asymptotic behavior of  $\tilde{u}$  near  $z_0$  is as in the Theorem 2.3 for a non-vanishing eigenvalue  $\mu$  of  $A_P$ , then we say that  $z_0$  is a non-degenerate puncture of  $\tilde{u}$  and that  $\tilde{u}$  exponentially converges to  $P$  at  $z_0$ .

In the case every asymptotic limit of a finite energy pseudo-holomorphic curve  $\tilde{u} = (a, u)$  is non-degenerate, its Conley-Zehnder index and Fredholm index are defined as

$$\text{CZ}(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{z \in \Gamma_+} \text{CZ}(P_z) - \sum_{z \in \Gamma_-} \text{CZ}(P_z),$$

where  $P_z$  is the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z \in \Gamma$ , and

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{u}) = \text{CZ}(\tilde{u}) - \chi(S) + \#\Gamma,$$

respectively. Here, CZ is computed in a frame along the asymptotic limits induced by a trivialization of  $u^*\xi$ . The integer  $\text{CZ}(\tilde{u})$  does not depend on this trivialization. Moreover, one can define the following algebraic invariants: suppose that  $\pi \circ du$  does not vanish identically. Then

$$\int_{S \setminus \Gamma} u^* d\lambda > 0,$$

and Carleman's similarity principle tells us that the zeros of  $\pi \circ du$  are isolated. The asymptotic behavior of  $\tilde{u}$  described in Theorem 2.3 implies that  $\pi \circ du$  does not vanish near the punctures. It follows that the zeros of  $\pi \circ du$  are finite, and each zero of  $\pi \circ du$  has a positive local degree. We define

$$\text{wind}_\pi(\tilde{u}) = \#\{\text{zeros of } \pi \circ du\} \geq 0,$$

where the zeros are counted with multiplicity.

Let  $z \in \Gamma$  be a puncture, and let  $P = (x, T) \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  be the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z$ . Let  $e \in \Gamma(x_T^*\xi)$  be the associated eigenfunction as in Theorem 2.3-(iv). Define the winding number  $\text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}; z)$  of  $\tilde{u}$  at  $z$  to be the winding number of  $t \mapsto e(t)$  in a frame of  $x_T^*\xi$  induced by a trivialization of  $u^*\xi$ . The winding number of  $\tilde{u}$  is then defined as

$$\text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{z \in \Gamma_+} \text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}; z) - \sum_{z \in \Gamma_-} \text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}; z).$$

This integer does not depend on the choice of trivialization. The two winding numbers are related by

$$\text{wind}_\pi(\tilde{u}) = \text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}) - \chi(S) + \#\Gamma,$$

see [15, Proposition 5.6].

The following definition will be important later on in our argument.

**Definition 2.4** (Siefring [30]). *Let  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  be finite energy planes which are exponentially asymptotic to the same periodic orbit  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ . Let  $e_+, e_-$  be the respective eigensections of  $A_P$  that describe  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  near  $\infty$ . We say that  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  are asymptotic to  $P$  through opposite directions (resp. through the same direction) if  $e_+ = ce_-$  for some  $c < 0$  (resp. for some  $c > 0$ ).*

**2.4. Finite Energy Foliations.** Suppose that the contact form  $\lambda$  on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  is non-degenerate, and choose  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ . A stable finite energy foliation for  $(S^3, \lambda, J)$  is a two-dimensional smooth foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  having the following properties:

- (1) Every leaf  $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is the image of an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic sphere  $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{F}} = (a_{\tilde{F}}, u_{\tilde{F}})$  that has precisely one positive puncture but an arbitrary number of negative punctures. The energies of such finite energy spheres are uniformly bounded.
- (2) The asymptotic limits of every  $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , defined as the asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{F}}$ , have Conley-Zehnder indices belonging to the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and self-linking number  $-1$ .
- (3) There exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -action  $T: \mathbb{R} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , defined by

$$T_c(\tilde{F}) := T(c, \tilde{F}) = \{(c + r, z) \mid (r, z) \in \tilde{F}\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \forall c,$$

so that if  $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is not a fixed point of  $T$ , then  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{F}}) \in \{1, 2\}$ , and  $u_{\tilde{F}}$  is an embedding, transverse to the Reeb vector field. If  $\tilde{F}$  is a fixed point

of  $T$ , i.e.  $T_c(\tilde{F}) = \tilde{F}$ ,  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{F}}) = 0$ , and  $\tilde{u}_{\tilde{F}}$  is a cylinder over a periodic orbit.

The following statement on the existence of a stable finite energy foliation is due to Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder.

**Theorem 2.5** (Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder [20]). *Let  $(S^3, \lambda)$  be a non-degenerate contact form on the tight three-sphere  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ . There exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ , in the  $C^\infty$ -topology, such that every  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda)$  admits a stable finite energy foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . Moreover, the projected foliation  $\mathcal{F} := p(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ , where  $p: \mathbb{R} \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  denotes the projection onto the second factor, is a genus zero transverse foliation adapted to the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ . If the binding  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of a single periodic orbit  $P$ , then  $\text{CZ}(P) = 3$ , and the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  provides an open book decomposition of  $S^3$  whose pages are disk-like global surfaces of section for the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ . If  $\#\mathcal{P} \geq 2$  and the binding has no periodic orbit with  $\text{CZ} = 1$ , then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *The binding  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of  $\ell$  periodic orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,\ell}$  with Conley-Zehnder index 2 and  $\ell + 1$  periodic orbits  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,\ell+1}$  with Conley-Zehnder index 3. The binding orbits are unknotted and mutually unlinked and have self-linking number  $-1$ . The orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,\ell}$  are hyperbolic.*
- (2) *For every  $P_{2,i}$ , there exists a pair of rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2} \in \mathcal{F}$  both asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions. The set  $\mathcal{S}_i := U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2}$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere which separates  $S^3$  into two components. In particular,  $S^3 \setminus \cup_i \mathcal{S}_i$  has  $l + 1$  components, denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_j, j = 1, \dots, l + 1$ .*
- (3) *Each  $P_{3,j}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  and spans  $\tilde{k}_j$  one-parameter families of planes parametrized by the interval  $(0, 1)$ . The integer  $\tilde{k}_j$  coincides with the number of components of  $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$ . At their ends, the families breaks onto a cylinder connecting  $P_{3,j}$  to some  $P_{2,i} \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$  and a plane asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$ .*

Let  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  be a possibly degenerate contact form on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$ , and let  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ . As proved in [17, Proposition 6.1], there exists a sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n = f_n\lambda_0$  on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$ , given in Theorem 2.5, is dense in  $\mathcal{J}(\lambda_n) = \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ , for each large  $n$  one can find an almost complex structures  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  such that  $J_n \rightarrow J$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and, moreover, each  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  which is the projection to  $S^3$  of a stable finite energy foliation on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$ . The following proposition will be useful in our argument later on.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  and  $J_n \rightarrow J$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be a genus zero transverse foliation associated with  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  as in Theorem 2.5. Then there exists a universal constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $\lambda$ , such that the binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  have action less than  $C$  for every large  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the construction of the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_n$  due to Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder [20]. For the readers' convenience, we briefly recall the construction of these foliations.

Let  $M = \{\sqrt{f(z)}z \mid z \in S^3\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  be the star-shaped hypersurface associated with  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  so that the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  on  $S^3$  conjugates with the Reeb flow of the Liouville form  $\lambda_0$  restricted to  $M$ . Multiplying  $f$  by a small constant  $\kappa > 0$ , we can assume that  $0 < f < \frac{1}{4}$ . In particular,  $M \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ . Multiplying  $f_n$  by  $\kappa$ , we also have  $0 < f_n < \frac{1}{4}$  for every large  $n$ , and the associated star-shaped

hypersurface  $M_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ , defined in a similar way, is  $C^\infty$ -close to  $M \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ . The diffeomorphism  $\Psi: B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ , given by

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left[ z_1 : z_2 : \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2} \right], \quad \forall (z_1, z_2) \in B_1(0),$$

satisfies  $\Psi^*\omega_{\text{FS}} = d\lambda_0 = \omega_0$ . Here,  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is the symplectic form associated with the Fubini-Study metric, and  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$  is the sphere at infinity.

Fix  $n$  large and identify  $M_n$  with  $\Psi(M_n) \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ . Denote by  $V_n$  the compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  which is the closure of the component of  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus M_n$  that contains  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ . Set  $W_n = \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \text{int}(V_n)$ . Note that  $M_n = V_n \cap W_n$ . Using the flow of the Liouville vector field (the push-forward of the radial vector field under  $\Psi$ ) we find an embedding of  $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times M_n$  into  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, and hence we can identify  $([-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times M_n, d(e^r\lambda))$  with a small neighborhood of  $M_n$  in  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ . For each  $R > 0$ , consider the neck stretching: identify the following sets under translations in the first factor

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [-\varepsilon, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times M_n &\equiv [-R - \varepsilon, -R - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times M_n, \\ [\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon] \times M_n &\equiv [R + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, R + \varepsilon] \times M_n, \end{aligned}$$

and choose a diffeomorphism  $\varphi_R \times \text{Id}: [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times M_n \rightarrow [-R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon] \times M_n$ , which is the identity on the identified sets in (2.5). Attaching  $V_n$  and  $W_n$  to  $[-R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon] \times M_n$  using the identifications above and pushing forward the symplectic form  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , we obtain a compact symplectic four-manifold  $(A_R, \omega_R)$ ,  $\forall R > 0$ .

For each  $R > 0$ , abbreviate by  $\mathcal{J}_R$  the set of  $\omega_R$ -compatible almost complex structures  $\hat{J}_R$  on  $(A_R, \omega_R)$ , which equal the standard complex structure  $i$  near  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  and agree on the cylindrical part  $[-R, R] \times M_n$  with the almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$  associated with  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$  as in (2.2). We may assume that the restriction of  $\hat{J}_R$  to  $A_R \setminus ([-R - \varepsilon, R + \varepsilon] \times M_n)$  does not depend on  $R > 0$ .

A  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic sphere in  $A_R$  is a smooth map  $u: S^2 \rightarrow A_R$  solving the equation  $du \circ i = \hat{J}_R \circ du$ . Its energy is defined as  $E(u) := \int_{S^2} u^*\omega_R$ . Notice that the sphere at infinity  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$  is  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic for all  $\hat{J}_R \in \mathcal{J}_R$ . Fix a point  $0_\infty \in \mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ . Given  $\hat{J}_R \in \mathcal{J}_R$ , abbreviate by  $\mathcal{M}_R^0$  the set of unparametrized finite energy  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic spheres in  $A_R$  which are different from  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$  and homologous to  $\mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ , and which contain  $0_\infty$ . Such  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic spheres are embedded and thus automatically transverse. Note that any element in  $\mathcal{M}_R^0$  has  $\omega_R$ -area equal to  $\pi$ .

Fix a point  $z \in M_n$  which does not lie on a periodic orbit. For every  $R > 0$ , we find a suitably normalized finite energy  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic parametrization  $w_R: S^2 \rightarrow A_R$  of the unique element  $C_R \in \mathcal{M}_R^0$  whose image contains  $(0, z) \in [-R, R] \times M_n \subset A_R$ . Such a  $\hat{J}_R$ -holomorphic sphere is obtained by deforming an embedded holomorphic sphere associated with an integrable  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$ -compatible almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Now take a sequence  $R_j \rightarrow +\infty$ . A careful bubbling-off analysis of a subsequence of  $(C_{R_j})$  provides us with an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic sphere  $\tilde{v}: S^2 \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M_n$  having the following properties:

- $z \in \tilde{v}(S^2 \setminus \Gamma)$ ;
- the finite energy sphere  $\tilde{v}$  has precisely one positive puncture but an arbitrary number of negative punctures;
- every asymptotic limit has self-linking number  $-1$ ;
- the Conley-Zehnder indices of the asymptotic limits belong to  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- the Fredholm index of  $\tilde{v}$  satisfies  $\text{Ind}(\tilde{v}) \in \{1, 2\}$ ;

- the energy of  $\tilde{v}$  is  $\leq \pi$  and hence the periods of the asymptotic limits are bounded from above by  $\pi$ .

Next, we take a dense sequence  $z_k \in M_n$  such that each  $z_k$  does not lie on a periodic orbit. Such a sequence exists since  $\lambda_n$  is non-degenerate. Denote by  $\tilde{v}_k$  the embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic sphere such that  $(0, z_k) \in C_k := \text{image}(\tilde{v}_k)$ , obtained as above. Two surfaces  $C_k$  and  $C_m$  are either identical or disjoint. Moreover,  $E(C_k) \leq \pi$ , and as before the periods of the asymptotic limits of  $C_k$  are bounded from above by  $\pi$  for every  $k$ , see [20, Theorem 6.1].

We now fix any  $z \in M_n$  and choose a subsequence of  $z_k$ , still denoted by  $z_k$ , such that  $z_k \rightarrow z$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . By carrying out a bubbling-off analysis associated with the corresponding sequence of finite energy spheres, we find an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic sphere  $\tilde{u}_z = (a_z, u_z): S^2 \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times M_n$  having the same properties described above, except that now the curve  $\tilde{u}_z$  is possibly a cylinder over a periodic orbit. If  $\tilde{u}_z$  is not a cylinder over a periodic orbit, then it is an embedded surface satisfying the same properties listed above, see [20, Theorem 6.6]. In particular, the asymptotic limits have periods  $\leq \pi$ . As a result, the collection given by the extension of the family  $\{\text{image}(\tilde{u}_z) \mid z \in M_n\}$  using the  $\mathbb{R}$ -translation on  $\mathbb{R} \times M_n$ , provides the desired finite energy foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

We return to the sequence  $\lambda_n = f_n \lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda = f \lambda_0$  and find for each large  $n$  a finite energy foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  associated with a generic  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$ , so that the actions of the asymptotic limits are uniformly bounded by  $\pi$ . In fact, due to the construction above, such a uniform bound holds for every star-shaped hypersurface sufficiently  $C^0$ -close to  $M$ . Hence, for every sufficiently large  $n$  the asymptotic limits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  have period  $\leq \pi$ . As a final remark, the uniform constant  $C$  for the contact forms  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_n$ , as in the statement, is  $\pi/\kappa$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  is small enough so that  $0 < \kappa f < \frac{1}{4}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**2.5. Topological entropy.** Let  $X$  be a nowhere vanishing vector field on a closed manifold  $M$ . Abbreviate by  $\psi_t$  the flow of  $X$ . We fix a metric  $d$  that generates the topology of  $M$ . For every  $T > 0$ , we define

$$d_T(x, y) := \max_{t \in [0, T]} d(\psi_t(x), \psi_t(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in M.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . A subset  $U$  is said to be  $(T, \varepsilon)$ -separated if we have  $d_T(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$  for all distinct points  $x, y \in U$ . Let  $N(T, \varepsilon)$  denote the maximal cardinality of a  $(T, \varepsilon)$ -separated set. The **topological entropy**  $h_{\text{top}}(\psi_t)$  of the flow  $\psi_t$  is defined to be the growth rate of  $N(T, \varepsilon)$ :

$$h_{\text{top}}(\psi^t) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \log N(T, \varepsilon).$$

It is well-known that the topological entropy of a smooth flow is finite. For more details on topological entropy, we refer to [23, 28].

**Remark 2.7.** *The topological entropy  $h_{\text{top}}(f)$  of a continuous map  $f$  on a compact Hausdorff metric space  $(M, d)$  is defined in the same way as above, with*

$$d_n(x, y) := \max\{d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}, \quad \forall x, y \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*If  $M$  is a smooth manifold whose topology is determined by the metric  $d$  and if the flow  $\psi_t$  of a nowhere vanishing vector field  $X$  is smooth, then  $h_{\text{top}}(\psi_t) = h_{\text{top}}(\psi_1)$ . See [23, Proposition 3.1.8].*

The following theorem due to Katok [22] provides a relationship between periodic orbits and topological entropy.

**Theorem 2.8** (Katok). *Let  $\psi_t$  be the flow of a nowhere vanishing vector field  $X$  on a closed 3-dimensional manifold and let  $P_T(\psi_t)$  denote the number of periodic orbits of  $\psi_t$  with period smaller than  $T > 0$ . If  $h_{\text{top}}(\psi_t) > 0$ , then*

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log P_T(\psi_t)}{T} > 0.$$

*This, in particular, implies the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct periodic orbits of  $\psi_t$ .*

A standard way to detect chaotic behavior of a flow is to build a Bernoulli shift. In order to recall its definition, let  $A = \{1, \dots, N\}$  be a finite alphabet. The set  $\Sigma_N$  consisting of all doubly infinite sequences

$$a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad a_j \in A,$$

is equipped with the metric

$$d(a, b) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^{|j|}} \frac{|a_j - b_j|}{1 + |a_j - b_j|}, \quad \forall a, b \in \Sigma_N,$$

which makes  $(\Sigma_N, d)$  a compact Hausdorff metric space. The **Bernoulli shift** on  $\Sigma_N$  is the homeomorphism  $\sigma: \Sigma_N \rightarrow \Sigma_N$ , defined as

$$\sigma(a) = (\sigma(a)_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \sigma(a)_j := a_{j+1}.$$

A homeomorphism  $\phi$  on a compact Hausdorff metric space  $\Lambda$  is said to be semi-conjugate to a Bernoulli shift if there exists a continuous surjective map  $\tau: \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma_N$  for some  $N \geq 2$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Lambda \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\ \Sigma_N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Sigma_N \end{array}$$

Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the unit square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . We denote its right, left, upper and lower edges by  $V_0, V_\infty, H_0$  and  $H_\infty$ , respectively. The compact region bounded by two disjoint and vertically monotone curves connecting  $H_0$  to  $H_\infty$  is called a vertical strip in  $Q$ . Similarly, one defines a horizontal strip in  $Q$ .

We refer the reader to [26, Chapter III] for the proof of the following statement.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\phi: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a mapping that satisfies the following:*

- (N1) *In the square  $Q$ , there exist disjoint vertical strips  $V_1, \dots, V_N$  and disjoint horizontal strips  $H_1, \dots, H_N$  such that  $\phi(H_i) = V_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . The vertical strips and horizontal strips are ordered from right to left and from top to bottom, respectively.*
- (N2) *If  $V \subset Q$  is a vertical strip, then for each  $i$ , the set  $\phi(V) \cap V_i$  contains a vertical strip. Similarly, if  $H \subset Q$  is a horizontal strip, then  $\phi^{-1}(H) \cap H_i$  contains a horizontal strip for every  $i$ .*

*Then there exists a compact invariant set  $\Lambda \subset Q$  such that  $\phi|_\Lambda$  is semi-conjugate to a Bernoulli shift with  $N$  symbols. Consequently,  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi) > 0$ .*

The theorem above generalizes to the case of countably many disjoint vertical strips  $V_1, V_2, \dots$ , considering an alphabet with countably many symbols.

## 3. WEAKLY CONVEX FOLIATIONS AND COMPACTNESS

First of all, we fix some notations. Given a contact form  $\alpha$  and  $C > 0$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$  the set of periodic orbits of  $\alpha$  with action  $\leq C$ . For every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set  $\mathcal{P}_j^{\leq C}(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_j(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_j^{u,-1,\leq C}(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_j^{u,-1}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\alpha)$ , where the notations  $\mathcal{P}_j(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_j^{u,-1}(\alpha)$  were established in the introduction.

Let  $\lambda$  be a weakly convex contact form on  $(S^3, \xi_0)$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) = \{P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}\}$  be a finite set of index 2 periodic orbits satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. Take any sequence  $\lambda_n$  of contact forms converging to  $\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and satisfying

- a)  $\lambda_n$  is non-degenerate,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- b)  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda_n)$ , and  $P_{2,i}$  is hyperbolic,  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As mentioned before, the non-degeneracy in condition a) is achieved as in [17, Proposition 6.1]. To achieve condition b), we restrict to the space of contact forms  $\lambda_n = f_n \lambda$  satisfying

$$f_n|_{P_{2,i}} \equiv 1, \quad df_n|_{P_{2,i}} \equiv 0, \quad \forall i, n.$$

See [17, Lemma 6.8].

Since  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}$  are hyperbolic, we can assume, moreover, that for any fixed  $C > 0$  sufficiently large, the following assertion holds:

- c)  $\mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n) = \{P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}\}, \forall n$ .

Indeed, let  $C > 0$  be large enough so that  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n), \forall i, \forall n$ . If for each  $n$  we can find an index-2 Reeb orbit  $Q_n$  of  $\lambda_n$ , which is geometrically distinct from  $P_{2,i}, \forall i = 1, \dots, l$ , and whose action is  $\leq C$ , then the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem provides us with  $Q \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$  so that  $Q_n \rightarrow Q$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , up to the extraction of a subsequence. The lower semi-continuity of the Conley-Zehnder index and the weak convexity of  $\lambda$  imply that  $\text{CZ}(Q) = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) = \{P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}\}$ ,  $Q$  must coincide with  $P_{2,i}$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . However, this contradicts the hyperbolicity of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and condition b).

The present goal is to show that  $\lambda_n$  admits a weakly convex foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  for every large  $n$ , so that every  $P_{2,i}, i = 1, \dots, l$ , is a binding orbit.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of almost complex structures satisfying  $J_n \rightarrow J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  is given in Theorem 2.5. Let  $\tilde{J}_n$  be the almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  induced by  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$ . Then, for every  $n$  sufficiently large, the following holds.*

- i) *The Reeb flow of  $\lambda_n$  admits a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , whose leaves are projections to  $S^3$  of embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes and cylinders.*
- ii) *The binding of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  consists of the orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda_n)$  and  $l + 1$  orbits  $P_{3,1}^n, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^n \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$ , where  $C > 0$  is a fixed large number that does not depend on  $n$ .*

Fix any  $C > 0$  sufficiently large so that property c) above holds. We start by proving that for all large  $n$  the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  do not link with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  and, moreover,  $\lambda_n$  does not admit orbits with  $\text{CZ} = 1$  up to the action  $C$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $Q_1^n, Q_2^n \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  such that  $Q_1^n \neq Q_2^n, \forall n$ . Then*

- i) there exist  $Q_1^\infty, Q_2^\infty \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda)$  so that, up to a subsequence,  $Q_1^n \rightarrow Q_1^\infty$  and  $Q_2^n \rightarrow Q_2^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . In particular,

$$\text{link}(Q_j^n, P_{2,i}) = 0, \quad \forall j, i, \quad \forall n \text{ large.}$$

- ii) if  $\text{link}(Q_1^n, Q_2^n) = 0, \forall n$ , then  $Q_1^\infty \neq Q_2^\infty$  and, in particular,

$$\text{link}(Q_1^\infty, Q_2^\infty) = 0.$$

- iii)  $\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq C}(\lambda_n) = \emptyset, \forall n$  large.

*Proof.* Because of the uniform upper bound on the actions of  $Q_j^n, j = 1, 2$ , we can apply the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem to extract a subsequence, still denoted by  $Q_j^n$ , so that  $Q_j^n \rightarrow Q_j^\infty \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The lower semi-continuity of the Conley-Zehnder index implies that  $\text{CZ}(Q_j^\infty) \leq 3$ . Since  $\lambda$  is weakly convex, we conclude that  $Q_j^\infty$  is simple. Indeed, if  $Q_j^\infty = Q^d$  for some  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$  and an integer  $d > 1$ , then  $\text{CZ}(Q^d) \geq 4$ , a contradiction. It turns out that, as a  $C^\infty$ -limit of  $Q_j^n \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$ ,  $Q_j^\infty$  is unknotted, has self-linking number  $-1$  and  $\text{CZ}(Q_j^\infty) \in \{2, 3\}, j = 1, 2$ . For large  $n$ , the orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}$  are the only orbits of  $\lambda_n$  with  $\text{CZ} < 3$  and action  $\leq C$ . Since these orbits are hyperbolic, we conclude that the limit  $Q_j^\infty$  is not an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Hence  $\text{CZ}(Q_j^\infty) = 3$  which implies  $Q_j^\infty \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda), j = 1, 2$ . In view of the hypothesis III in Theorem 1.2,  $Q_j^\infty$  is not linked with the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Hence, for every large  $n$ ,  $\text{link}(Q_j^n, P_{2,i}) = 0, \forall j, i$ . This proves item i).

Assume now that  $\text{link}(Q_1^n, Q_2^n) = 0, \forall n$ . Arguing indirectly, suppose that  $Q_1^\infty = Q_2^\infty$ . Then  $Q_1^n$  and  $Q_2^n$  are arbitrarily close to each other as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Lemma 5.2 in [17] provides a lower bound on the winding of non-vanishing solutions of the transverse linearized flow along orbits with index 3. In our case, since  $\text{CZ}(Q_1^n) = \text{CZ}(Q_2^n) = 3, \forall n$  and both sequences converge to the same limit, which has also index 3, we may apply [17, Lemma 5.2] for every large  $n$  to conclude that  $\text{link}(Q_1^n, Q_2^n)$  is necessarily positive, which is absurd. Thus  $Q_1^\infty \neq Q_2^\infty$  and as  $C^\infty$ -limits of  $Q_j^n, j = 1, 2$ , we conclude that  $\text{link}(Q_1^\infty, Q_2^\infty) = 0$ . This proves ii).

Suppose, by contradiction, that  $\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq C}(\lambda_n) \neq \emptyset$  for  $n$  arbitrarily large. Then, after taking a subsequence, we may assume from the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem that  $P_1^n \rightarrow P_1^\infty$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $P_1^n \in \mathcal{P}_1^{\leq C}(\lambda_n), \forall n$ , and  $P_1^\infty \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$ . The lower semi-continuity of the Conley-Zehnder index implies that  $\text{CZ}(P_1^\infty) \leq 1$ , which contradicts the weak convexity of  $\lambda$ . The assertion iii) is proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Since  $\lambda_n$  is non-degenerate and  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$ , it follows from Theorem 2.5 that the Reeb flow of  $\lambda_n$  admits a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  whose regular leaves are projections of embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic curves, where  $\tilde{J}_n$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -invariant almost complex structure in  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  induced by  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$ . By Proposition 2.6, there exists  $C > 0$  so that the actions of the binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are bounded by  $C$  for every  $n$ . By Lemma 3.2-(iii),  $\mathcal{P}_1^{\leq C}(\lambda_n) = \emptyset$ , for every large  $n$ , and hence we conclude that the binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  have index 2 or 3 and that regular leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are embedded planes and cylinders. Since, for large  $n$ , each  $P_{2,i}, i = 1, \dots, l$ , is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$ , see Lemma 3.2-(i), it follows that each  $P_{2,i}, i = 1, \dots, l$ , is necessarily a binding orbit of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Each  $P_{2,i}$  bounds a pair of planes, which are regular leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Together with  $P_{2,i}$ , these planes form a 2-sphere which separates  $S^3$  into two components. In particular, the complement of the union of these 2-spheres has  $l + 1$  components.



Each such a component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  has a unique index 3 binding orbit  $P_{3,j}$ . We conclude that the binding of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is formed by the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and  $l + 1$  binding orbits  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1, \leq C}(\lambda_n)$ .  $\square$

In order to construct the desired genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  adapted to the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  as in Theorem 1.2, we shall study the compactness properties of the finite energy curves in the foliations  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ , which are adapted to  $(S^3, \lambda_n, J_n)$  and project to genus zero transverse foliations  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as in Proposition 3.1. Recall that the almost complex structures  $\tilde{J}_n$  were taken in the residual set  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n) \subset \mathcal{J}(\lambda_n) = \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  in such a way that  $J_n \rightarrow J$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for a fixed  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ . From now on we choose  $J$  in a generic set in order to prevent some unsuitable curves that may arise as limits in the compactness argument. More precisely, we need to rule out certain somewhere injective holomorphic curves whose asymptotic limits are contained in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . The space of  $J$ 's for which such curves do not exist is residual in the  $C^\infty$ -topology.

**Lemma 3.3.** *There exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  in the  $C^\infty$ -topology so that for every  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ , the following assertion holds: let  $\tilde{J}$  be the almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  induced by  $\lambda$  and  $J$ . Let  $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $\#\Gamma < +\infty$ , be a somewhere injective finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve having positive  $d\lambda$ -area and a unique positive puncture whose asymptotic limit is an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Then  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* An application of [10, Corollary 1.10] provides us with a residual subset  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  such that for every  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  the following holds: if  $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  is a somewhere injective finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve as in the statement of the lemma, then

$$\text{Ind}(\tilde{u}) = \text{CZ}(\tilde{u}) - 2 + 1 + \#\Gamma \geq 1,$$

provided  $\pi \circ du \neq 0$ . Our standing assumptions on the actions of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  imply that the asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{u}$  at the negative punctures in  $\Gamma$  are orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ .

Set  $\Gamma = \{z_1, \dots, z_{\#\Gamma}\}$  and denote by  $N_i \geq 1$  the covering number of the asymptotic limit corresponding to  $z_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \#\Gamma$ . Then its Fredholm index satisfies

$$1 \leq \text{Ind}(\tilde{u}) = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\#\Gamma} 2N_i - 1 + \#\Gamma \leq 1 - \#\Gamma,$$

from which we obtain  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.1. Rigid planes asymptotic to the index-2 orbits.** In this section we prove that for a generic choice of  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ , each  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is the asymptotic limit of a pair of  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes so that the closures of their projections to  $S^3$  form a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Fix  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  as in Lemma 3.3. Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , there exist embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1})$ ,  $\tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  which are asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions (see Definition 2.4). In addition, the union  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere and  $\mathcal{S}_i \cap \mathcal{S}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall i \neq j$ .*

To prove Proposition 3.4, we choose a sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n$  converging to  $\lambda$  and satisfying conditions a), b) and c) as in the beginning of Section 3, and a sequence of almost complex structures  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  converging to  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  in  $C^\infty$  so that the almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$  induced by  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a finite energy foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  whose projection to  $S^3$  is a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  adapted to the flow. Moreover, the binding of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  consists of the orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda_n)$  and  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{-1,u,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$ , see Proposition 3.1.

For every large  $n$ ,  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  is the boundary of a pair of rigid planes  $U_{i,1}^n, U_{i,2}^n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , both transverse to the Reeb vector field  $R_{\lambda_n}$ , so that the 2-spheres  $\mathcal{S}_i^n = U_{i,1}^n \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2}^n, i = 1, \dots, l$ , are mutually disjoint and do not intersect any  $P_{3,j}^n, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ . The open set

$$S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i^n$$

contains  $l+1$  components  $U_j^n$  such that  $P_{3,j}^n \subset U_j^n, \forall j, n$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , there exists a pair of embedded  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,k}^n = (a_{i,k}^n, u_{i,k}^n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3, k = 1, 2$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions so that  $U_{i,k}^n = u_{i,k}^n(\mathbb{C})$  for every large  $n$ .

The following proposition implies Proposition 3.4.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , the embedded  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic rigid planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}^n, \tilde{u}_{i,2}^n: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  converge in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , up to reparametrizations and  $\mathbb{R}$ -translations, to embedded  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic rigid planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1}), \tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions. The 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  is  $C^1$ -embedded. Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}_i \cap \mathcal{S}_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ , and given neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V}_i \subset S^3$  of  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}), i = 1, \dots, l$ , the following holds:*

$$\mathcal{S}_i^n \subset \mathcal{V}_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l, \quad \forall \text{large } n.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . For simplicity, denote  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}^n$  by  $\tilde{u}_n = (a_n, u_n), \forall n$ . The case of  $\tilde{u}_{i,2}^n$  is treated similarly. Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset\subset S^3$  be a small compact tubular neighborhoods of  $P_{2,i}$

$$\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z} \times B_\delta(0), \quad \delta > 0 \text{ small,}$$

where  $T_{2,i} > 0$  denotes the action of  $P_{2,i}$  and  $B_\delta(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is the closed ball of radius  $\delta$  centered at the origin. Since  $P_{2,i}$  is hyperbolic, we can take  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently small so that

- $\mathcal{U}$  contains no periodic orbits that are contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- if  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  is a periodic orbit that is homotopic to  $P_{2,i}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $P = P_{2,i}$ .

Choose a parametrization of  $\tilde{u}_n$  so that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_n(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}, \\ u_n(1) \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \\ u_n(z_n^*) \in \partial\mathcal{U} \text{ for some } z_n^* \in \partial\mathbb{D} \text{ satisfying } \text{Re}(z_n^*) \leq 0, \\ a_n(2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The existence of such a parametrization is guaranteed as follows. For a fixed parametrization of  $\tilde{u}_n$ , the closure  $K$  of the set  $u_n^{-1}(S^3 \setminus \mathcal{U})$  is compact with non-empty interior. Take the closed disk  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  containing  $K$  which has the smallest radius among all closed disks containing  $K$ . Then there exist  $w_1 \neq w_2 \in K \cap \partial D$  so that  $u_n(w_1), u_n(w_2) \in \partial \mathcal{U}$ . Reparametrizing  $\tilde{u}_n$  under a map of the form  $z \mapsto az + b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  we may assume that  $D = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$  and that  $w_1 = 1 \in K \subset \mathbb{D}$ . If  $K \cap \partial \mathbb{D}$  does not contain a point  $w_2 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  with non-positive real part, then shifting  $K$  slightly to the left, it is possible to find a disk containing  $K$  of radius smaller than one. This contradicts the minimizing property of  $D$ , thus the existence of  $z_n^*$  as in (3.1) follows. The last condition in (3.1) may be achieved by considering a suitable  $\mathbb{R}$ -translation of  $\tilde{u}_n$  for each  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\tilde{u}_n = (a_n, u_n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  satisfy the normalizations in (3.1). Assume that there exist a subsequence of  $\tilde{u}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{u}_n$ , and a finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (a, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{u}_n|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}}$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D})$  to  $\tilde{v}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- i)  $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant;
- ii)  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* For every  $R > 1$  the image of the loop

$$t \mapsto \gamma_R(t) := v(Re^{it}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$  since it is the  $C^\infty$ -limit of the loops

$$t \mapsto \gamma_R^n(t) := u_n(Re^{it}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}, \forall n.$$

which are contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_R^n$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Since  $u_n(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}$  for every  $n$ , and  $\gamma_R^n$  converges to  $P_{2,i}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we conclude that  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $P_{2,i}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every  $R > 1$ . This implies, in particular, that  $\gamma_R$  is non-contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$  and thus non-constant. As a result,  $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant. Moreover, any asymptotic limit  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $\infty$  must be homotopic to  $P_{2,i}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  since each  $\gamma_R$  has this property for every  $R > 1$ . Thus our choice of  $\mathcal{U}$  implies that the unique asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $\infty$  is  $P_{2,i}$ .  $\square$

We aim at showing that under the normalizations in (3.1) a bubbling-off phenomenon cannot occur for the sequence  $\tilde{u}_n$ , i.e. there is no subsequence of  $\tilde{u}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{u}_n$ , satisfying  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_n(z_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for a sequence  $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here,  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_n(z_n)|$  is induced by the inner product on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  associated with the pair  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  as in (2.1). In the absence of bubbling-off, the sequence  $\tilde{u}_n$  has gradient bounds which, in this setup and under the normalizations in (3.1), imply  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -bounds for  $\tilde{u}_n$  from an elliptic bootstrapping argument, see [14]. As a result we will be able to conclude that, up to extraction of a subsequence,  $\tilde{u}_n$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  to a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

An important tool in the bubbling-off analysis is the topological result known as Hofer's Lemma, see [14, Lemma 26]. More specifically, assume  $\tilde{u}_n$  admits a subsequence, still denoted by  $\tilde{u}_n$ , such that  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_n(z_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for a sequence  $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hofer's Lemma allows us to perturb  $z_n$  (the new points are still denoted by  $z_n$ ) and find a sequence of positive numbers  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ , satisfying  $r_n := \delta_n |\nabla \tilde{u}_n(z_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$ , and so that an appropriate rescale  $\tilde{v}_n: B_{r_n}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  of  $\tilde{u}_n|_{B_{\delta_n}(z_n)}$  has  $C_{\text{loc}}^0$ - and  $C_{\text{loc}}^1$ -bounds and satisfies  $|\nabla \tilde{v}_n(0)| = 1$ . To be precise,

$\tilde{v}_n$  is defined by

$$\tilde{v}_n(z) = \left( a_n \left( z_n + \frac{\delta_n}{r_n} z \right) - a_n(z_n), u_n \left( z_n + \frac{\delta_n}{r_n} z \right) \right), \quad \forall z \in B_{r_n}(0).$$

From an elliptic bootstrapping argument, we obtain  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -bounds and then, up to extraction of a subsequence,  $\tilde{v}_n$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  to  $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , where  $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant and has bounded energy by Fatou's Lemma.

If  $|z_n| \rightarrow +\infty$  or  $z_n$  converges to a point in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ , then in view of the normalizations in (3.1), the image  $v(\mathbb{C})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$  and thus any of its non-trivial asymptotic limits is a contractible periodic orbit in  $\mathcal{U}$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $\mathcal{U}$ . With this contradiction we conclude that the sequence  $z_n$  must be bounded and, up to a subsequence, converges to some point  $z_* \in \mathbb{D}$ . Such a point is called a bubbling-off point for  $\tilde{u}_n$ .

Each bubbling-off point in  $\mathbb{D}$  takes away at least  $\gamma_0 > 0$  of the  $d\lambda_n$ -area of  $\tilde{u}_n$ . Here,  $\gamma_0 > 0$  is any positive number smaller than the period of the shortest periodic orbit of  $\lambda$ , which exists because of the assumptions on  $\lambda$  (see the hypotheses I and II of Theorem 1.2). Hence, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the set of bubbling-off points  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{D}$  is finite. In particular,  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_n|$  is locally bounded on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ .

The normalizations in (3.1) provide  $C_{\text{loc}}^0$ -bounds for  $\tilde{u}_n$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ . Hence, up to extraction of a subsequence,  $\tilde{u}_n$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)$  to a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $\infty$ . Since  $P_{2,i}$  is simple, it is somewhere injective.

Let  $z^* \in \Gamma$ . We claim that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(z^*)} v^* \lambda > \gamma_0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small.}$$

Here,  $B_\varepsilon(z^*)$  is the ball centered at  $z^*$  of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\partial B_\varepsilon(z^*)$  has the counterclockwise orientation. To prove (3.2), recall that  $\tilde{u}_n$  can be appropriately reparametrized in a small neighborhood of  $z_n \rightarrow z^*$  so that it converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  to a non-constant finite energy plane with  $d\lambda$ -area  $> \gamma_0$ . These neighborhoods of  $z_n$  are strictly contained in  $B_\varepsilon(z^*)$  for every  $n$  sufficiently large. Stokes' theorem then gives the desired estimate (3.2). The positivity of the integral in (3.2) implies that every puncture in  $\Gamma$  is negative and therefore  $\infty$  is the only positive puncture of  $\tilde{v}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.**  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* The first step of the proof is to show that the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at each  $z^* \in \Gamma$  is a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Indeed, the hypothesis II in Theorem 1.2 implies that if there exists an asymptotic limit  $P = (x, T)$  at  $z^*$  which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma} v^* d\lambda < T_{2,i} - T < 0,$$

a contradiction.

We conclude that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to covers of orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its negative punctures. Suppose that the  $d\lambda$ -area of  $\tilde{v}$  vanishes. Then  $\tilde{v}$  is a trivial cylinder over  $P_{2,i}$ . In particular,  $\#\Gamma = 1$ . If  $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$ , then  $v(1) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$  since  $u_n(1) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$  for every  $n$ . This is a contradiction. If  $\Gamma = \{1\}$ , we know from our normalizations in (3.1) that  $u_n(z_n^*) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ , and we can assume that  $z_n^* \rightarrow z_\infty^* \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , where  $\text{Re}(z_\infty^*) \leq 0$ , and

hence  $z_\infty^* \neq 1$  and  $v(z_\infty^*) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ , again a contradiction. It follows that the  $d\lambda$ -area of  $\tilde{v}$  is positive. Since  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ , we conclude that  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ , see Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

We have proved that, under the normalizations (3.1), we can extract a subsequence of  $\tilde{u}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{u}_n$ , so that it converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  to a finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $\infty$ . We denote this plane by  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ . Note that it is embedded. Indeed, since  $u_{i,1}$  does not intersect its asymptotic limit, an application of Siefring's result [30, Theorem 5.20], see also [13, Theorem 14.5.5], shows that  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}$  (and also  $u_{i,1}$ ) is embedded.

Now the analysis of holomorphic cylinders with small area (see [20, Lemma 4.9]) shows that given any  $S^1$ -invariant neighborhood  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $P_{2,i}$ , there exist  $R_0 > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the loop  $t \mapsto \tilde{u}_n(Re^{2\pi it}/T_{2,i})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}$  for every  $R > R_0$  and  $n > n_0$ . This implies that  $u_n(\mathbb{C})$  is arbitrarily  $C^0$ -close to  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i}$ . For a proof of these statements in the same setting, see [7, Lemma 7.5].

Considering the sequence of  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,2}^n$ , we proceed as before to obtain an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  as the  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -limit of  $\tilde{u}_{i,2}^n$ . Moreover,  $u_{i,2}^n(\mathbb{C})$  is arbitrarily  $C^0$ -close to  $u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i}$  for  $n$  large.

From the uniqueness of  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through each direction, see [7, Proposition C.3], we know that there exists at most two  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$ , up to reparametrizations and  $\mathbb{R}$ -translations. In this case, they are asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions, as shown below.

**Lemma 3.8.**  $u_{i,m}(\mathbb{C}) \cap u_{j,n}(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset, \forall (i, m) \neq (j, n)$ .

*Proof.* The transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  admits  $l+1$  binding orbits  $P_{3,j}^n, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ . By Lemma 3.2 these orbits converge, up to a subsequence, to mutually unlinked periodic orbits  $P_{3,1}^\infty, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^\infty \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1, \leq C}(\lambda)$ , which are not linked with any index-2 Reeb orbits.

We first show that the planes  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  cannot be the same. Assume by contradiction that this is the case. Since  $u_{i,1}^n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $u_{i,2}^n(\mathbb{C})$  are  $C^0$ -close to  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} = u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i}$ , we conclude that  $u_{i,1}^n$  is homotopic to  $u_{i,2}^n$ , relative to  $P_{2,i}$ , in a small neighborhood  $\mathcal{V} \subset S^3$  of  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i}$ . Since the 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i^n = u_{i,1}^n(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}^n(\mathbb{C})$  separates  $S^3$  into two components and in each component there exists some index-3 binding orbit of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , any homotopy from  $u_{i,1}^n$  to  $u_{i,2}^n$  necessarily intersects some  $P_{3,j}^n$ . Since the limit  $P_{3,j}^\infty$  is not linked with  $P_{2,i}, \forall i$ , we know that for  $n$  sufficiently large  $P_{3,j}^n \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ .

In order to complete the proof, we assume by contradiction that  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cap u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cap \tilde{u}_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the positivity and stability of intersections of pseudo-holomorphic curves (see [24, Appendix E]) tell us that  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}^n(\mathbb{C}) \cap \tilde{u}_{i,2}^n(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n$  large enough, a contradiction. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

We conclude from Lemma 3.8 and the uniqueness of planes asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through each direction that  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}$  and  $\tilde{u}_{i,2}$  are asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions.

**Lemma 3.9.** *The 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  is  $C^1$ -embedded.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{CZ}(P_{2,i}) = 2$ , the leading eigenvalues of  $A_{P_{2,i},J}$ , which describe the behavior of  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}$  and  $\tilde{u}_{i,2}$  near  $\infty$ , coincide with the unique eigenvalue  $\mu < 0$  with winding number 1. This means that we can write

$$u_{i,j}(e^{2\pi(s+it)}) = \exp_{x_{2,i}(T_{2,i}t)}\{e^{\mu s}(e_j(t) + R_j(s,t))\}, \quad s \gg 0, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where  $P_{2,i} = (x_{2,i}, T_{2,i})$  and  $e_j: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow x_{2,i}^*\xi, j = 1, 2$ , is a  $\mu$ -eigensection with winding number 1. The remainder term  $R_j$  and its derivatives converge to 0, uniformly in  $t$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . See Theorem 2.3.

Since the  $\mu$ -eigenspace is one-dimensional, we have  $e_2 = ce_1$  for some  $c \neq 0$ . If  $c > 0$ , then  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cap u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$ , see [7, Proposition C.0]. This contradicts Lemma 3.8, and we conclude that  $c < 0$ .

Defining  $r = e^{\mu s} \Leftrightarrow s = \frac{1}{\mu} \ln r$ , we see that the maps

$$v_j(r,t) := u_{i,j}(e^{2\pi(s+it)}) = \exp_{x_{2,i}(T_{2,i}t)}\{r(e_j(t) + \bar{R}_j(r,t))\}, \quad \forall(r,t), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

extend continuously to  $[0, \varepsilon] \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. Since

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \bar{R}_j(r,t) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } t,$$

we conclude that  $v_j$  is at least  $C^1$ . Moreover, the tangent space of  $v_j$  along  $P_{2,i}$  coincides with  $\mathbb{R}e_j \oplus TP_{2,i}$ . Now, since  $\text{wind}_\pi(\tilde{u}) = \text{wind}_\infty(\tilde{u}; \infty) - 1 = 0$ , we conclude that  $u_{i,j}$  is an immersion transverse to  $R_\lambda, j = 1, 2$ . Hence  $u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere.  $\square$

Given a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V} \subset S^3$  of  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_i^n \subset \mathcal{V}$  for every large  $n$ . Since the same argument holds for every  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , the proof of Proposition 3.5 is finished.  $\square$

**3.2. Special index-3 orbits.** Although Lemma 3.2 gives candidates

$$P_{3,1}^\infty, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^\infty \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1, \leq C}(\lambda)$$

for the index 3 binding orbits of the desired foliation, we cannot guarantee that every periodic orbit  $U$ , which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  nor a cover of any  $P_{3,j}^\infty$ , is linked with some  $P_{3,i}^\infty$ . Such an orbit  $U$  may exist for  $\lambda$ . We shall rule out this unpleasant scenario by appropriately choosing the sequences  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  in such a way that the limiting orbits  $P_{3,j}^\infty$  do not admit such undesired unlinked orbits.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $C > 0$  be as in Proposition 2.6, and let  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ . Then there exist a sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n = f_n \lambda$  converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $\lambda$  and a sequence of almost complex structures  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $J$  so that the following holds.*

- (i)  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda_n), \forall n$ , and the almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  induced by  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a stable finite energy foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$  that projects to a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , whose binding orbits are  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}$  and  $P_{3,1}^n, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^n \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1, \leq C}(\lambda_n)$ .
- (ii) there exist  $l + 1$  periodic orbits

$$\mathcal{P}_3^s(\lambda) := \{P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1, \leq C}(\lambda),$$

so that for every  $j$

$$P_{3,j}^n \rightarrow P_{3,j} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover,

- $\text{link}(P_{3,i}, P_{3,j}) = 0, \forall i \neq j.$
  - $\text{link}(P_{3,i}, P_{2,j}) = 0, \forall i, j.$
  - every  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$ , which is not a cover of any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^s(\lambda)$ , is linked with some orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^s(\lambda)$ .
- (iii) let  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1}), \tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3, i = 1, \dots, l$ , be the unique  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  which are  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -limits of planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}^n, \tilde{u}_{i,2}^n$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions, and whose existence is assured by Proposition 3.5. Denote by  $\mathcal{U}_j \subset S^3, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , the components of

$$S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $P_{3,j} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ .

*Proof.* Take a sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n = f_n \lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $\lambda$  and a sequence  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  as in the previous section. We assume that every  $\lambda_n$  satisfies conditions a), b) and c) as in the beginning of Section 3. By Lemma 3.2 every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  for every large  $n$ . We conclude in view of Proposition 3.1 that the almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$  induced by  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a stable finite energy foliation which projects to a weakly convex foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  so that the orbits  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l} \in \mathcal{P}_2^{\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  are binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Moreover, the remaining binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are periodic orbits in the set

$$\mathcal{P}_3^{n,0}(\lambda_n) := \{P_{3,1}^{n,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{n,0}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n).$$

They satisfy the additional properties:

- $\text{link}(P_{3,i}^{n,0}, P_{3,j}^{n,0}) = 0, \forall i \neq j.$
- $\text{link}(P_{3,i}^{n,0}, P_{2,j}) = 0, \forall i, j.$
- given  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda_n)$ , which is not a cover of any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda_n) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{n,0}(\lambda_n)$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$  so that  $\text{link}(P, P_{3,j}^{n,0}) \neq 0$ .

We claim that as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  the orbits  $P_{3,1}^{n,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{n,0}$  converge, up to a subsequence, to mutually distinct and mutually unlinked periodic orbits

$$P_{3,1}^{\infty,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,0} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda).$$

First of all, the upper bound  $C$  on the actions of  $P_{3,1}^{n,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{n,0}$  and the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem imply that the orbits  $P_{3,j}^{n,0}, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , converge, up to a subsequence, to elements  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0} \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda), j = 1, \dots, l+1$ . Their Conley-Zehnder indices are  $\leq 3$  by the lower semi-continuity. Since  $\lambda$  is weakly convex and the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$  are the only orbits converging to the corresponding orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , we have  $\text{CZ}(P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}) = 3, \forall j$ . In particular,  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  is simply covered for every  $j$ . As limits of the Reeb orbits  $P_{3,j}^{n,0}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we conclude that  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  is unknotted and has self-linking number  $-1$ . If  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0} = P_{3,k}^{\infty,0}$  for some  $j \neq k$ , then, since  $\text{CZ}(P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}) = 3$ , we conclude from [17, Lemma 5.2] that, for every large  $n$ ,  $\text{link}(P_{3,j}^{n,0}, P_{3,k}^{n,0}) > 0$ , a contradiction. Hence the orbits  $P_{3,1}^{\infty,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,0}$  are mutually distinct and mutually unlinked. The claim is then proved.

Next we show that the orbits  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , lie in distinct components  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_i = u_{i,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}(\mathbb{C})$  is the  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere such that for every  $i$ , the maps  $u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}$  are the projections to  $S^3$  of the  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1}), \tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions, and obtained as  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -limits of the corresponding  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_n$ -holomorphic planes of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ , see Proposition 3.5.

**Lemma 3.11.** *After reordering  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , if necessary, we have  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ ,  $\forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ .*

*Proof.* For every large  $n$  and for every  $i$ , there exist  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_n$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}^n = (a_{i,1}^n, u_{i,1}^n), \tilde{u}_{i,2}^n = (a_{i,2}^n, u_{i,2}^n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  which are asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions, and which converge in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ , up to a subsequence, to  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1} = (a_{i,1}, u_{i,1}), \tilde{u}_{i,2} = (a_{i,2}, u_{i,2}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , also asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  through opposite directions.

Let  $\mathcal{S}_i^n = u_{i,1}^n(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,i} \cup u_{i,2}^n(\mathbb{C}), \forall i = 1, \dots, l$ . For every  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , denote by  $\mathcal{U}_j^n \subset S^3$  the component of

$$S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i^n,$$

which contains  $P_{3,j}^{n,0}$ . By Proposition 3.5, given small neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V}_i$  of  $\mathcal{S}_i, i = 1, \dots, l$ , there exists  $n_0$  so that  $\mathcal{S}_i^n \subset \mathcal{V}_i$  for every  $n > n_0$ . Since  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  is not linked with any  $P_{2,i}$ , the orbits  $P_{3,1}^{n,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{n,0}$  are contained in distinct components  $\mathcal{U}_1^n, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{l+1}^n$  for every large  $n$ . In particular, after relabelling the components  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{l+1}$ , if necessary, these orbits are contained in distinct components  $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{l+1}$  for every large  $n$ . Hence  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

Abbreviate

$$\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda) = \{P_{3,1}^{\infty,0}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,0}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda).$$

From Lemma 3.11 we know that  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ . If every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$ , which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$ , is linked with some orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$  is the desired set of periodic orbits and there is nothing else to be proved. Otherwise, if there exists a simple periodic orbit  $U_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$  which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$  and is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$ , then we proceed as follows. Consider a new sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n$  converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , so that every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and every orbit in

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda) \cup \{U_0\},$$

is a periodic orbit of  $\lambda_n, \forall n$ . As before, for a suitable sequence  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  converging to  $J$ , we obtain a sequence of weakly convex foliations  $\mathcal{F}_n$  whose binding orbits are the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  together with other mutually unlinked orbits  $P_{3,1}^{n,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{n,1} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda_n)$ .

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain a new set of periodic orbits

$$\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda) = \{P_{3,1}^{\infty,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,1}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda),$$



so that each  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,1}$  is the  $C^\infty$ -limit of  $P_{3,j}^{n,1}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Arguing as before, we conclude that these orbits are mutually distinct and mutually unlinked and satisfy  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,1} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j$ . Some of them may coincide with the corresponding orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,0}(\lambda)$ .

We claim that no orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$  coincides with  $U_0$ . Indeed, observe that each  $P_{3,j}^{n,1}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  for all large  $n$  and either coincides with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  or is linked with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$ . Hence  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,1}$  either coincides with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  or is linked with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$ . In particular, since  $U_0$  is linked with some  $P_{3,j}^{n,1}$  for every large  $n$ , we conclude that  $U_0$  is linked with some  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,1}$ . The claim is proved.

Now if every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$ , which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$ , is linked with some orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$  is the desired set of periodic orbits and there is nothing else to be proved. Otherwise, if there exists a simple periodic orbit  $U_1 \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$ , which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$  and is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1}(\lambda)$ , then we construct another new sequence of non-degenerate contact forms  $\lambda_n$  converging in  $C^\infty$  to  $\lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  as before, so that every orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  and every orbit in

$$\mathcal{L}_1 := \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,1} \cup \{U_1\},$$

is a periodic orbit of  $\lambda_n$  for every  $n$ . Choosing a suitable sequence  $J_n \rightarrow J$ , we find a new set  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,2}(\lambda) = \{P_{3,1}^{\infty,2}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,2}\} \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda)$ , with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,2} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j$ , as the limit of the new binding orbits  $P_{3,j}^{n,2}, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ .

As before, we claim that no orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,2}(\lambda)$  coincides with  $U_0$  or  $U_1$ . To see this, observe that each  $P_{3,j}^{n,2}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  for all large  $n$  and either it coincides with one of the orbits  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}$  or  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,1}$  or is linked with both of them. Hence  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,2}$  either coincides with one of the orbits  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,0}, P_{3,j}^{\infty,1}$ , or is linked with both of them. In particular, since  $U_0$  is linked with some  $P_{3,j}^{n,2}$  for every large  $n$ , we conclude that  $U_0$  is linked with some  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,2}$ . The same holds with  $U_1$ . The claim follows.

Again, if we find a simple periodic orbit  $U_2 \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda)$  which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,2}(\lambda)$  and is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,2}(\lambda)$ , we define a new set

$$\mathcal{L}_2 := \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,2}(\lambda) \cup \{U_2\},$$

and consider again a new sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  (and  $J_n \rightarrow J$ ) as before to obtain  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,3}(\lambda)$  with similar properties and so on.

Repeating this process indefinitely, if necessary, we end up with sequences

$$\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,k}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and  $U_k \in \mathcal{P}^{\leq C}(\lambda), k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

- $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,k}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda)$  is formed by  $l+1$  mutually distinct and mutually unlinked orbits  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,k} \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ .
- $U_k$  is not a cover of any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \cup \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,k}(\lambda)$ .
- $U_k$  is not linked with any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,k}(\lambda)$ .
- for every  $p > k$  there exists an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,p}(\lambda)$  which is linked with  $U_k$ .

We may extract a subsequence so that the orbits  $P_{3,1}^{\infty,k}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^{\infty,k} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{\infty,k}(\lambda)$  are converging to  $Q_1^\infty, \dots, Q_{l+1}^\infty \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1,\leq C}(\lambda)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . The periodic orbits

$Q_j^\infty, j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , are mutually distinct, mutually unlinked and  $Q_j^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall j$ . Moreover,  $\text{CZ}(Q_j^\infty) = 3, \forall j$ .

Using the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we may assume that  $U_k \rightarrow U_\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , where the action of  $U_\infty$  is  $\leq C$ . Since  $P_{2,i}$  is hyperbolic and each  $U_k$  is geometrically distinct from the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , we conclude that  $U_\infty$  is not a cover of any orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Observe that:

- If  $U_\infty$  is a cover of  $Q_j^\infty$  for some  $j$ , then since  $\text{CZ}(Q_j^\infty) = 3$  we conclude that  $U_k$  is linked with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,k}$  for every  $k$  sufficiently large, a contradiction.
- If  $U_\infty$  is not a cover of  $Q_j^\infty$  for any  $j$  and is linked with some  $Q_j^\infty$ , then  $U_k$  is linked with  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,k}$  for  $k$  sufficiently large, a contradiction.
- If  $U_\infty$  is not a cover of  $Q_j^\infty$  for any  $j$  and is not linked with any  $Q_j^\infty$ , then for  $k$  sufficiently large  $U_k$  is not linked with any  $P_{3,j}^{\infty,p}$  for every  $p > k$ . This is also a contradiction.

We have proved that the process of constructing such new sequences of non-degenerate contact forms converging to  $\lambda$  must terminate after finitely many steps. Hence we find a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  with the desired properties whose limiting periodic orbits  $P_{3,1}, \dots, P_{3,l+1}$  satisfy all properties in the statement of Proposition 3.10. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**3.3. Compactness properties of holomorphic cylinders.** Let  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\lambda_n)$  be sequences of non-degenerate contact forms and almost complex structures converging to  $\lambda$  and  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ , respectively, as obtained in Proposition 3.10. For every  $n$ , the almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}_n$  induced by  $(\lambda_n, J_n)$  admits a stable finite energy foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$  that projects to a genus zero transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_n$  whose binding orbits are  $P_{2,1}, \dots, P_{2,l}, P_{3,1}^n, \dots, P_{3,l+1}^n$ , where, for every  $j$ , the orbit  $P_{3,j}^n$  converges to  $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{P}_3^s(\lambda)$ .

Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$ , so that  $P_{3,j}^n \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  for every  $n$ . Choose an arbitrary boundary component, say  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , of the closure of  $\mathcal{U}_j$ . Then every  $\mathcal{F}_n$  contains a unique rigid cylinder connecting  $P_{3,j}^n$  to  $P_{2,i}$ . This cylinder is the projection of an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic cylinder  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ . It is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}^n$  at its positive puncture  $+\infty$  and to  $P_{2,i}$  at its negative puncture  $-\infty$ .

We shall study the compactness properties of the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n$ . Consider  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  a small compact tubular neighborhood of  $P_{3,j}$ . Since  $\text{CZ}(P_{3,j}) = 3$ , we can choose  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently small so that

- $\mathcal{U}$  contains no periodic orbits that are contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- there exists no Reeb orbit  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  of  $\lambda$  which is geometrically distinct from  $P_{3,j}$ , is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and satisfies  $\text{link}(P, P_{3,j}) = 0$ .

The first property follows from the fact that for  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently small, every periodic orbit in  $\mathcal{U}$  must be homotopic in  $\mathcal{U}$  to a positive cover of  $P_{3,j}$  and hence is non-contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$ . The second property can be achieved since  $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$ . Indeed, the flow near  $P_{3,j}$  twists fast enough so that any periodic orbit sufficiently close to  $P_{3,j}$ , if it exists, must be linked with  $P_{3,j}$ . See Lemma 5.2 in [17].

Using that  $P_{3,j}^n \rightarrow P_{3,j}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we observe that  $P_{3,j}^n \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{U})$  for every large  $n$  and, moreover, due to the asymptotic properties of  $\tilde{v}_n$ , we can normalize

$\tilde{v}_n$  to satisfy the following conditions

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} v_n(s, t) \in \mathcal{U} \text{ for all } s > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}. \\ v_n(0, 0) \in \partial\mathcal{U}. \\ b_n(1, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_n$  satisfy the normalizations (3.3). Assume that there exist a subsequence of  $\tilde{v}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{v}_n$ , and a finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{v}_n|_{(0, \infty) \times S^1}$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  to  $\tilde{v}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant;
- $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* We argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Let  $R > 0$ . Since the loop

$$t \mapsto \gamma_R^n(t) := v_n(R, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$$

lies in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every  $n$  and since  $\gamma_R^n$  converges in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  to the loop

$$t \mapsto \gamma_R(t) := v(R, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\gamma_R(t) \in \mathcal{U}, \forall t$ . In particular,  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $\gamma_R^n$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every large  $n$ .

The fact that  $v_n((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{U}$  for every  $n$  and that  $\gamma_R^n$  converges to  $P_{3,j}^n$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  as  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  implies that  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}^n$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every  $R > 0$ . Since  $P_{3,j}^n \rightarrow P_{3,j}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every  $R > 0$ . In particular,  $\gamma_R$  is non-contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$  and thus non-constant. Hence  $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant as well.

Any asymptotic limit  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $\infty$  is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  since each  $\gamma_R$  is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  for every  $R > 0$ . Assume  $P \neq P_{3,j}$ . Then  $P$  must be linked with  $P_{3,j}$ . This follows from the choice of  $\mathcal{U}$ . But since  $\gamma_R$  is the  $C^\infty$ -limit of the loops  $\gamma_R^n$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , which are all unlinked with  $P_{3,j}^n$ , we conclude that  $\gamma_R$  is not linked with  $P_{3,j}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $P_{3,j}$  is the unique asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $\infty$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

We next show that, up to a subsequence, the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  converges to a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  which is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its unique positive puncture  $+\infty$  and to orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the negative punctures in  $\Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  be the sequence of embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic cylinders as above. Under the particular choice of a small compact tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  of  $P_{3,j}$  and the normalizations (3.3), there exists an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its unique positive puncture  $+\infty$ , to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $-\infty$  and to other distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the punctures in  $\Gamma$ , so that  $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $v((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ , and the convergence of  $\tilde{v}$  to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$  is exponential.*

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we take a subsequence of  $\tilde{v}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{v}_n$ , which admits a sequence  $(s_n, t_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  so that  $|\nabla \tilde{v}_n(s_n, t_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then we have  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq 0$  and, up to a subsequence, we assume that  $(s_n, t_n)$  converges to a point in  $(-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, extracting a subsequence, we can assume that the set of bubbling-off points  $\Gamma \subset (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$

is finite. Because of the normalizations (3.3), we can find a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{v}_n$  converges to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$ , see Lemma 3.12, and  $v((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  by positivity and stability of intersections. Every puncture in  $\Gamma$  is negative. For a proof, see the argument just after (3.2). The puncture at  $-\infty$  is also negative since  $\int_{\{s\} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} v_n^* \lambda_n > T_{2,i}$  for every  $n$  and every fixed  $s \ll 0$ . Since  $+\infty$  is the only positive puncture of  $\tilde{v}$  and its asymptotic limit is simple, we also conclude that  $\tilde{v}$  is somewhere injective.

Next we claim that the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at each  $(s^*, t^*) \in \Gamma$  is a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Assume by contradiction that there exists an asymptotic limit  $Q \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  at  $(s^*, t^*) \in \Gamma$ , which is not a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . If  $Q$  is not a cover of  $P_{3,j}$ , then  $Q$  is linked with  $P_{3,j}$ , see Proposition 3.10. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small so that the loop  $v(|(s, t) - (s^*, t^*)| = \varepsilon) \subset S^3$  is arbitrarily close to  $Q$ . Then the loop  $v_n(|(s, t) - (s^*, t^*)| = \varepsilon)$  is linked with  $P_{3,j}$ , provided  $n$  is sufficiently large. In particular,  $v_n(|(s, t) - (s^*, t^*)| \leq \varepsilon)$  intersects  $P_{3,j}^n$  for every large  $n$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $Q$  is a cover of  $P_{3,j}$ . But this implies that  $\int_{(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma} v^* d\lambda \leq T_{3,j} - T_{2,i} - T_{3,j} < 0$ , a contradiction. A similar argument shows that the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $-\infty$  is a cover of an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . We conclude that the asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{v}$  at its negative punctures are covers of orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ .

Now we show that the  $d\lambda$ -area of  $\tilde{v}$  is positive. Otherwise,  $\tilde{v}$  is a cylinder over some periodic orbit  $P$ , see [15, Theorem 6.11]. In particular,  $\Gamma = \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{v}$  is a trivial cylinder over  $P_{3,j}$ . But this contradicts our normalization (3.3) since it implies  $v(0, 0) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ .

We have showed that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$ , and to covers of orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its negative punctures in  $\Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ . The usual analysis near  $P_{3,j}$  (see [17, Theorem 7.2] and also [7, Proposition 9.3]) implies that the convergence of  $\tilde{v}$  to  $P_{3,j}$  is exponential with a negative leading eigenvalue of  $A_{P_{3,j}, J}$ , whose eigenvector has winding number 1 with respect to any global trivialization of the contact structure. The asymptotic behavior of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $+\infty$  is as in Theorem 2.3.

We still need to prove that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $-\infty$  and to other distinct orbits of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the remaining negative punctures in  $\Gamma$ .

Assume, by contradiction, that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to a  $p_0$ -cover,  $p_0 > 1$ , of some  $P_{2,i_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at a negative puncture  $(s_0, t_0) \in \Gamma$ . In particular,  $P_{2,i_0} \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$ . Since  $P_{2,i_0}$  is hyperbolic and satisfies  $\mu_{CZ}(P_{2,i_0}) = 2$ , the asymptotic operator  $A_{P_{2,i_0}, J}$  associated with  $P_{2,i_0}$  and  $J$  admits a unique positive eigenvalue  $\mu$  with winding number 1 (the least positive eigenvalue) and associated  $\mu$ -eigenfunctions  $e, e'$  which point inside and outside  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , respectively. Moreover, the asymptotic operator  $A_{P_{2,i_0}^{p_0}, J}$  associated with the  $p_0$ -cover  $P_{2,i_0}^{p_0}$  of  $P_{2,i_0}$  and  $J$  admits an eigenfunction  $e^{p_0}$ , which equals to  $e$  covered  $p_0$  times, whose associated eigenvalue is  $p_0\mu$ . Its winding number is  $p_0$  with respect to a global trivialization of  $\xi$ . Since  $P_{2,i_0}^{p_0}$  is also hyperbolic and satisfies  $\mu_{CZ}(P_{2,i_0}^{p_0}) = 2p_0$ , the eigenvalue  $p_0\mu$  is the least positive eigenvalue of  $A_{P_{2,i_0}^{p_0}, J}$ , and the other positive eigenvalues admit winding numbers larger than  $p_0$ . Since the image of  $v$  lies in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , this implies that the eigenfunction  $e^{p_0}$  describes the asymptotic behavior of  $\tilde{v}$  near the puncture  $(s_0, t_0)$ . Since  $p_0 > 1$ , the map  $v$  admits self-intersection, see [7, Proposition C.0-i)], which is impossible since the somewhere injective curve  $\tilde{v}$  is the  $C^\infty$ -limit of embedded curves whose projections to  $S^3$  are embedded surfaces. We conclude that the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at a

puncture in  $\Gamma$  is an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . In a similar way, if  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to the same orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at distinct punctures in  $\Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ , then  $v$  also self-intersects, see [7, Proposition C.0-ii)]. Again, this is a contradiction since the somewhere injective curve  $\tilde{v}$  is the  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -limit of embedded curves whose projections to  $S^3$  are embedded surfaces.

We conclude that, up to extraction of a subsequence, the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n$  of embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes, normalized as in (3.3), converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma)$  to a somewhere injective curve  $\tilde{v}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$ , and to distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its negative puncture in  $\Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{v}$  and  $v$  are embeddings, and  $v((\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ .

It remains to show that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $-\infty$ . To see this, let  $P_{2,l_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  be the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{v}$  at  $-\infty$ . Assume  $l_0 \neq i$ . For suitable  $s_n, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $s_n \rightarrow -\infty$ , the shifted maps

$$\tilde{w}_n(s, t) = (b(s - s_n) + c_n, v(s - s_n)), \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$$

converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  to a finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{w}: (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  with  $\Gamma'$  finite, which is asymptotic to  $P_{2,l_0}$  at its positive puncture at  $+\infty$ . Moreover,  $s_n, c_n$  can be appropriately chosen so that  $\tilde{w}$  is not a trivial cylinder over  $P_{2,l_0}$ . Indeed, since all orbits with action  $\leq T_{2,l_0}$  are hyperbolic, one can apply the SFT-compactness theorem (see [2]) to obtain a genus zero building of  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curves so that the building has a positive puncture at  $P_{2,l_0}$  and a negative puncture at  $P_{2,i}$ . The first level of this building is the non-trivial curve  $\tilde{w}$ . Moreover, arguing as above, the punctures of  $\tilde{w}$  in  $\Gamma' \cup \{-\infty\}$  are negative and  $\tilde{w}$  is asymptotic to distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at  $\Gamma' \cup \{-\infty\}$ . Hence  $\tilde{w}$  is somewhere injective and has positive  $d\lambda$ -area. Since  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ , we can apply Lemma 3.3 to conclude that the set of negative punctures of  $\tilde{w}$  is empty, a contradiction. This proves that  $l_0 = i$  and finishes the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

**3.4. Compactness properties of holomorphic planes.** In this section we study the compactness properties of the families of  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}^n \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u, -1, \leq C}(\lambda_n)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ . Recall that  $P_{3,j}^n \rightarrow P_{3,j}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\forall j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , where  $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u, -1, \leq C}(\lambda)$ . The orbit  $P_{3,j}$  lies in the component  $\mathcal{U}_j \subset S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_i = U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2} \subset S^3$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere. We may assume that the sequences  $\lambda_n$  and  $J_n$  are given as in Proposition 3.10 so that the orbits  $P_{3,j}$  satisfy the properties stated in that proposition.

Fix  $j$  and denote by  $\tilde{k}_j$  the number of boundary components of  $\mathcal{U}_j$ . Let  $i_1, \dots, i_{\tilde{k}_j} \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  be such that  $\partial \mathcal{U}_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\tilde{k}_j} \mathcal{S}_{i_k}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$ , there exists a one-parameter family of planes  $\mathcal{F}_{k,\tau}^{j,n}$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}^n$ . For simplicity, we omit  $j, k$  in the notation, i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_\tau^n = \mathcal{F}_{k,\tau}^{j,n}$ ,  $\forall \tau$ . Let  $\tilde{u}_\tau^n = (a_\tau^n, u_\tau^n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , be the family of  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes so that  $u_\tau^n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{F}_\tau^n$ ,  $\forall n, \tau$ .

Fix  $p \in \mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  and consider a sequence  $p_n \rightarrow p$ , where  $p_n \in u_{\tau_n}^n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  for some  $\tau_n \in (0, 1)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  the  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{u}_{\tau_n}^n$ . In particular,  $p_n \in v_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\forall n$ .

We consider a small compact tubular neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  of  $P_{3,j}$ . As in Section 3.3, since  $\text{CZ}(P_{3,j}) = 3$ , we can choose  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently small so that

- $p \notin \mathcal{U}$ .
- $\mathcal{U}$  contains no periodic orbits that are contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- there exists no periodic orbit  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  which is geometrically distinct from  $P_{3,j}$ , is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and satisfies  $\text{link}(P, P_{3,j}) = 0$ .

Using that  $P_{3,j}^n \rightarrow P_{3,j}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we observe that  $P_{3,j}^n \subset \text{int}(\mathcal{U})$  for every large  $n$  and, moreover, we can normalize  $\tilde{v}_n$  to satisfy the following conditions

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} v_n(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}, \\ v_n(1) \in \partial\mathcal{U}, \\ v_n(z_n^*) \in \partial\mathcal{U} \text{ for some } z_n^* \in \partial\mathbb{D} \text{ satisfying } \text{Re}(z_n^*) \leq 0, \\ b_n(2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This normalization is constructed exactly as in (3.1).

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.12.

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $\tilde{v}_n$  satisfy the normalizations in (3.4). Assume that there exist a subsequence of  $\tilde{v}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{v}_n$ , and a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{v}_n|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}}$  converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D})$  to  $\tilde{v}$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant.
- $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ .

Recall that  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n)$  is such that  $p_n \in v_n(\mathbb{C}), \forall n$ , where  $p_n \rightarrow p \in \mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  is fixed. Next we show that there exists an open subset of  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  of full measure so that if the limit point  $p$  is fixed in this subset, then the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n$ , normalized as in (3.4) (for particular choices of the small tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  of  $P_{3,j}$ ), does not admit bubbling-off points and converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  to a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . The cases for which this compactness property fails occur when the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n$  admits bubbling-off points in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and the limiting curve is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  and to distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its negative punctures. As we shall prove below, there are only finitely many of such curves. Therefore, if  $p$  is taken in the complement of the image of these curves, the compactness property holds and the limiting curve is a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *There exists an open subset  $\mathcal{U}'_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  of full measure in  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  so that if  $p_n \rightarrow p \in \mathcal{U}'_j$  and  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  is such that  $p_n \in v_n(\mathbb{C}), \forall n$ , then, under the particular choice of a small compact tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  of  $P_{3,j}$  depending on  $p$  as above and the normalizations in (3.4), there exists a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ , so that  $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $p \in v(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we take a subsequence of  $\tilde{v}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{v}_n$ , which admits a sequence  $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $|\nabla \tilde{v}_n(z_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $z_n$  is bounded and, up to extraction of a subsequence, converges to a point in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, extracting a subsequence, we can assume that the set of bubbling-off points  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{D}$  is finite. Because of the normalizations (3.4), we find a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic map  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{v}_n$  converges to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  (see Lemma 3.14) and to distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the negative punctures in  $\Gamma$ . The convergence of

$\tilde{v}$  to  $P_{3,j}$  is exponential with a negative leading eigenvalue whose eigenfunction has winding number 1 with respect to any global trivialization of the contact structure. We also have  $v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ .

Performing a soft-rescaling of  $\tilde{v}_n$  near each puncture in  $\Gamma$  where  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to some  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , we find a new  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{w} = (d, w): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , with  $\Gamma'$  finite, which is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $\infty$  and, at its punctures in  $\Gamma' \subset \mathbb{D}$ , the curve is asymptotic to covers of orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  (see hypothesis II in Theorem 1.2). See also [20] and [7] for more details on the soft-rescaling. Since  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$ , we can apply Lemma 3.3 to conclude that  $\tilde{w}$  is a plane asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$ . In particular, by uniqueness of such planes,  $w(\mathbb{C}) \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$ .

Define the points  $q_n \in \mathbb{C}$  by  $v_n(q_n) = p_n, \forall n$ . We observe that the sequence  $q_n$  is bounded and stays away from any puncture of  $\tilde{w}$ . Indeed, the usual analysis using cylinders of small area implies that every point sufficiently close to the punctures in  $\Gamma$  are mapped under  $v_n$  to a point arbitrarily close to  $P_{2,i} \cup w(\mathbb{C}) \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$ , see [20, Theorem 6.6]. Thus, after taking a subsequence, we may assume that  $q_n \rightarrow q_\infty \in \mathbb{D}$ , where  $q_\infty \notin \Gamma$  and  $w(q_\infty) = p$ . Denote  $\tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{w}$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ .

Now take  $p'_n \rightarrow p' \neq p \in \mathcal{U}_j \setminus (w_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_1) \cup P_{3,j})$ , and, as before, consider the  $\tilde{J}_n$ -holomorphic planes, suitably normalized as in (3.4) and again denoted by  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n)$ , so that  $p'_n \in v_n(\mathbb{C})$ . After taking a subsequence, we can assume that there exists  $\Gamma_2 \subset \mathbb{D}$ , and a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{w}_2 = (c_2, w_2): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  so that  $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{w}_2$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_2)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{w}_2$  at the punctures in  $\Gamma_2$  are distinct orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . A soft-rescaling near each  $z' \in \Gamma_2$  produces a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane whose asymptotic limit coincides with the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{w}_2$  at  $z'$ . Moreover, if  $q'_n \in \mathbb{D}$  is such that  $v_n(q'_n) = p'_n \rightarrow p'$  then, up to a subsequence,  $q'_n \rightarrow q'_\infty \notin \Gamma_2$  and  $w_2(q'_\infty) = p'$ . In particular, the image of  $w_2$  differs from the image of  $w_1$ .

Assume that  $\tilde{w}_1$  and  $\tilde{w}_2$  are asymptotic to the same orbit  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at punctures  $z_1 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $z_2 \in \Gamma_2$ , respectively. Then Proposition C.0 in [7] implies that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  must intersect each other at isolated intersection points and, as  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ -limits of curves which do not intersect each other, the positivity and stability of intersections of pseudo-holomorphic curves (see [24, Appendix E]) give a contradiction. Thus  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  have mutually distinct asymptotic limits at their negative punctures.

We can repeat the process with a point  $p'' \in \mathcal{U}_j \setminus (w_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_1) \cup w_2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_2) \cup P_{3,j})$  and find the limiting curve associated with the sequence  $\tilde{v}_n = (b_n, v_n)$ , suitably normalized and satisfying  $p''_n \in v_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow p''$ . As before, if the limiting curve  $\tilde{w}_3 = (c_3, w_3)$  has negative punctures, then it is asymptotic to an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at these punctures. These asymptotic limits are distinct from the asymptotic limits of  $\tilde{w}_1$  and  $\tilde{w}_2$  at any of their negative punctures. Hence, we conclude that when we vary the point  $p$  in  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  there can be at most  $k_j$  of such limiting curves admitting negative punctures. A choice of  $p \in \mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$  in the complement of the image of these curves implies that the limiting curve does not admit bubbling-off points and thus is a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. ANOTHER GENERIC SET OF ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES

Let  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  be chosen as in Lemma 3.3. In Section 3 we constructed a transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_J$  adapted to the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ , so that the binding is formed by the

orbits  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , and finitely many orbits  $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u, -1, \leq C}(\lambda)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , where  $C > 0$  is as in Proposition 2.6. Each  $P_{2,i}$  is the boundary of a pair of rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2} \in \mathcal{F}_J$  so that  $\mathcal{S}_i = U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2} \subset S^3$  is a  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere that separates  $S^3$  into two distinct components. The union of these 2-spheres is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Each  $P_{3,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , lies in the component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$ . The closure of  $\mathcal{U}_j$  has  $\tilde{k}_j$  boundary components, denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_{n_k^j}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , where  $n_k^j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . The leaves of the transverse foliation  $\mathcal{F}_J$  are projections to  $S^3$  of embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curves, where  $\tilde{J}$  is the almost complex structure in  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  associated with the pair  $(\lambda, J)$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , there exist two embedded  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,j} = (a_{i,j}, u_{i,j}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at  $\infty$ , and so that  $U_{i,j} = u_{i,j}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{S}_i$ ,  $\forall i, j$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small. For each  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$  and for every  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , take a compact  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{j,n_k^j}^\varepsilon \subset \text{closure}(\mathcal{U}_j)$  of  $\mathcal{S}_{n_k^j}$ . Abbreviate

$$\mathcal{U}_j^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\tilde{k}_j} \mathcal{U}_{j,n_k^j}^\varepsilon \subset \text{closure}(\mathcal{U}_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l+1} \mathcal{U}_j^\varepsilon \subset S^3.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{J}_J^\varepsilon(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$  the space of  $d\lambda$ -compatible almost complex structures  $J'$  satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad J' = J \quad \text{in} \quad (S^3 \setminus \mathcal{U}^\varepsilon) \cup \mathcal{S}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{J}_J^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  inherits the  $C^\infty$ -topology from  $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{J}'$  the almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  determined by  $\lambda$  and  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_J^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$  is  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic for every  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_J^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ . This follows from (4.1).

Taking  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we can assure that for every  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , there exists an embedded  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{w}_j = (c_j, w_j): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , which is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  and satisfies  $w_j(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j \setminus \mathcal{U}^\varepsilon$ . In particular,  $\tilde{w}$  is also  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic for every almost complex structure  $\tilde{J}'$  associated with  $\lambda$  and  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_J^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ .

The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_J$  constructed in the previous section may contain regular leaves which are projections to  $S^3$  of a  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{w} = (b, w): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , satisfying

- $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .
- $\int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma} w^* d\lambda > 0$ .
- $\infty$  is a positive puncture of  $\tilde{w}$  and every puncture in  $\Gamma$  is negative.
- $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$  so that  $\tilde{w}$  is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  and to orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the punctures in  $\Gamma$  and  $w(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ .

The Fredholm index of  $\tilde{w}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(\tilde{w}) &= \text{CZ}(P_{3,j}) - \sum_{z \in \Gamma} \text{CZ}(P_{2,z}) - 1 + \#\Gamma \\ &= 3 - 2\#\Gamma - 1 + \#\Gamma \\ &= 2 - \#\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $P_{2,z} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  is the asymptotic limit of  $\tilde{w}$  at  $z \in \Gamma$ . Therefore, we have

$$\#\Gamma > 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Ind}(\tilde{w}) \leq 0.$$



The following theorem, based on the weighted Fredholm theory developed in [18], states that it is always possible to find  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_j^\varepsilon(\lambda)$ , which is  $C^\infty$ -close to  $J$ , so that the Fredholm index of  $\tilde{w}$  as above is at least 1. In particular, such curves are rigid cylinders asymptotic to some  $P_{3,j}$  at the positive puncture and to an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the negative puncture. See Figure 4.1.

**Theorem 4.1** (Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder [18], Dragnev [10]). *Given  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}^*(\lambda)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a residual set  $\mathcal{J}_{j,\text{reg}}^\varepsilon(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}_j^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  in the  $C^\infty$ -topology so that the following holds: let  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_{j,\text{reg}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  and let  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ , be a somewhere injective finite energy  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic curve, where  $\tilde{J}'$  is the almost complex structure in  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$  induced by the pair  $(\lambda, J')$ . Assume that all punctures in  $\Gamma$  are negative and that  $\tilde{v}$  is exponentially asymptotic to some  $P_{3,j}$  at the positive puncture  $+\infty$  and to orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at the punctures in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\#\Gamma = 1$ . In particular,  $\tilde{v}$  is a  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic cylinder asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  and to an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its negative puncture.*




FIGURE 4.1. (top) A non-generic  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_2, z'_2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , asymptotic to  $P_3$  at its positive puncture  $\infty$  and to  $P_2$  and  $P'_2$  at the negative punctures  $z_2$  and  $z'_2$ , respectively. (bottom) For a small generic perturbation of  $J$ , this curve unfolds into generic  $\tilde{J}$ -holomorphic cylinders, one connecting  $P_3$  to  $P_2$  and the other connecting  $P_3$  to  $P'_2$ .

## 5. FINDING THE DESIRED TRANSVERSE FOLIATION

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and let  $J' \in \mathcal{J}_{j,\text{reg}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  be as in Theorem 4.1. Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , the rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}$  are projections of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{u}_{i,1}, \tilde{u}_{i,2}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , which are asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $\infty$ . For every  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , there exists an embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{w}_j: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$  asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ . Our goal is to construct a transverse foliation

which contains only planes and cylinders asymptotic to the orbits  $P_{2,i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , and  $P_{3,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l+1$ , and so that the given planes are part of the regular leaves.

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$  the space of  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ . We identify those planes which have the same image in  $S^3$ , that is,  $\tilde{w}_1 \sim \tilde{w}_2$  if there exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $\tilde{w}_1(z) = c + \tilde{w}_2(az + b)$ ,  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $c + \tilde{w}_2$  is the  $c$ -translation of  $\tilde{w}_2$  in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -direction. The intersection theory developed in [15, 18, 30] implies the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- i)  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$  has the structure of a 1-dimensional smooth manifold.
- ii) if  $[\tilde{w} = (c, w)] \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$ , then  $w(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ .
- iii) if  $[\tilde{w}_1 = (c_1, w_1)] \neq [\tilde{w}_2 = (c_2, w_2)] \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$ , then  $w_1(\mathbb{C}) \cap w_2(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$ .

The last step toward the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the following assertion.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  and let  $\tilde{k}_j$  be the number of components of  $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$ . Then there exist  $\tilde{k}_j$  embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic cylinders*

$$\tilde{v}_{j,m} = (b_{j,m}, v_{j,m}): \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3, \quad \forall m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j,$$

and  $\tilde{k}_j$  families of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau} \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$ ,

$$\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau} = (c_{j,m,\tau}, w_{j,m,\tau}): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3, \quad \tau \in (0, 1), \quad \forall m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j,$$

so that the following properties hold:

- i)  $\infty$  is a positive puncture of  $\tilde{v}_{j,m}$ , where it is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ , and  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a negative puncture of  $\tilde{v}_{j,m}$ , where it is asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$ ,  $\forall m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ . Moreover,  $v_{j,m}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ ,  $\forall m$ , and

$$v_{j,m}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cap v_{j,n}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset, \quad \forall m \neq n.$$

- ii)  $\infty$  is a positive puncture of  $\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau}$ , where it is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ , and  $w_{j,m,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ ,  $\forall m$ .
- iii)  $v_{j,m}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $w_{j,m,\tau}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , are regular leaves of a transverse foliation of  $\mathcal{U}_j$ .
- iv) for every  $m \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$ ,  $\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau}$  converges in the SFT-sense (see [2]) to  $\tilde{v}_{j,m} \oplus \tilde{u}_{n_m^j,1}$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$  and to  $\tilde{v}_{j,m+1} \oplus \tilde{u}_{n_{m+1}^j,2}$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ . Here,  $\tilde{u}_{n_m^j,1} = (a_{n_m^j,1}, u_{n_m^j,1})$  and  $\tilde{u}_{n_{m+1}^j,2} = (a_{n_{m+1}^j,2}, u_{n_{m+1}^j,2})$  are rigid planes asymptotic to orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Moreover, given neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V}_{j,m,1} \subset \text{closure}(\mathcal{U}_j)$  of  $u_{n_m^j,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,n_m^j} \cup v_{j,m}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{j,m+1,2} \subset \text{closure}(\mathcal{U}_j)$  of  $u_{n_{m+1}^j,2}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{2,n_{m+1}^j} \cup v_{j,m+1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $w_{j,m,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{j,m,1}$ ,  $\forall 0 < \tau < \delta$ , and  $w_{j,m,\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{j,m+1,2}$ ,  $\forall 1 - \delta < \tau < 1$ . By convention,  $\tilde{k}_j + 1 \equiv 1$ .

*Proof.* The choice of  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and the definition of  $\tilde{J}'$  guarantee the existence of an embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{w} = (a, w): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , which is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  and satisfies  $w(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j \setminus P_{3,j}$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$  lies in a 1-parameter family  $\tilde{w}_\tau = (c_\tau, w_\tau): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $\tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes which are exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  for some  $\delta$  small enough. For each  $\tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$ ,  $w_\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S^3$  is an embedding transverse to the Reeb flow, and  $w_{\tau_1}(\mathbb{C}) \cap w_{\tau_2}(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall \tau_1 \neq \tau_2$ . Consider the maximal one-parameter family of planes containing the family  $\tilde{w}_\tau$ ,  $\tau \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , i.e. the smooth

family of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{w}_\tau \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$  so that the family  $w_\tau(\mathbb{C})$  fills the maximal volume in  $S^3$ . Parametrize this maximal family by

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{w}_\tau = (c_\tau, w_\tau): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3, \quad \tau \in (0, 1).$$

Such a family is not compact since otherwise the  $S^1$ -family of such planes in the complement of  $P_{3,j}$  determines an open book decomposition of  $S^3$  whose binding is  $P_{3,j}$  and, as a consequence of the transversality of the pages with respect to the flow, the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$  are linked with  $P_{3,j}$ , a contradiction.

We fix the convention that  $\tau$  increases in the direction of the Reeb flow and that the Reeb vector field points inside  $\mathcal{U}_j$  along  $U_{n_m^j,1} = u_{n_m^j,1}(\mathbb{C})$  and outside  $\mathcal{U}_j$  along  $U_{n_m^j,2} = u_{n_m^j,2}(\mathbb{C}), \forall m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ .

For each  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , we choose the following normalization of  $\tilde{w}_\tau$ . Consider a small compact tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  of  $P_{3,j}$ , so that

- $\mathcal{U}$  contains no periodic orbits that are contractible in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- there exists no Reeb orbit  $P \subset \mathcal{U}$  of  $\lambda$  which is geometrically distinct from  $P_{3,j}$ , is homotopic to  $P_{3,j}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and satisfies  $\text{link}(P, P_{3,j}) = 0$ .
- $w_\tau(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}$ .
- $w_\tau(1) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$ .
- $w_\tau(z_\tau^*) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$  for some  $z_\tau^* \in \partial\mathbb{D}$  satisfying  $\text{Re}(z_\tau^*) \leq 0$ .
- $c_\tau(2) = 0$

Let us study the compactness properties of the family (5.1) under the normalizations above. Take a sequence  $\tau_n \rightarrow 1^-$  and denote  $\tilde{w}_n = \tilde{w}_{\tau_n}$ . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we take a subsequence of  $\tilde{w}_n$ , still denoted by  $\tilde{w}_n$ , which admits a sequence  $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $|\nabla \tilde{w}_n(z_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then  $z_n$  is bounded and, up to a subsequence,  $z_n$  converges to a point in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, extracting a subsequence, we can assume that the set of bubbling-off points  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{D}$  is finite. The normalizations of  $\tilde{w}_n$  imply the existence of a  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{v} = (b, v): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , so that  $\tilde{w}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By Lemma 3.14,  $\tilde{v}$  is non-constant and exponentially converges to  $P_{3,j}$  at the positive puncture  $\infty$ . Every puncture in  $\Gamma$  is negative.

Observe that  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $\Gamma = \emptyset$ , then  $\tilde{v}$  is an embedded finite energy  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic plane exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . In particular,  $[\tilde{v}] \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$ . By Proposition 5.1, the family (5.1) can thus be continued, which contradicts its maximality and the fact that  $\tau_n \rightarrow 1^-$ .

The image of  $v$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  since, otherwise, by stability and positivity of intersections of pseudo-holomorphic curves (see [24, Appendix E]) in  $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , for every  $n$  sufficiently large,  $w_n$  intersects one of the rigid planes asymptotic to some orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  which implies that  $\tilde{w}_n$  intersects the corresponding  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic plane, absurd. Hence, we obtain  $v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ .

Arguing again as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we obtain that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$  and to an orbit in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at each negative puncture in  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\Gamma = 1$  and thus  $\tilde{v}$  is a  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic cylinder, exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $+\infty$ , and asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  for some  $m \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$  at its unique negative puncture. We can assume that  $\Gamma = \{0\}$ , so that  $\tilde{v}$  is asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  at 0.

Performing a soft-rescaling of  $\tilde{w}_n$  near the negative puncture 0, we find a new  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic curve  $\tilde{u} = (a, u): \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_u \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , which is asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  at

$+\infty$  and to covers of orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at its punctures in  $\Gamma_u$ . As before, the generic choice of  $J$  and the soft-rescaling process imply that  $\Gamma_u = \emptyset$ , and hence  $\tilde{u}$  is a plane asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$ .

We conclude that  $\tilde{w}_n$  converges to a 2-level building  $\mathcal{B}$  of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic curves. The top level contains the cylinder  $\tilde{v}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ , and asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  at its negative puncture  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The bottom level consists of a plane  $\tilde{u}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , asymptotic to  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  at  $\infty$ . The usual analysis of cylinders with small area, see [19] and also [7, Proposition 9.5], implies that given a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V} \subset S^3$  of  $v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cup P_{2,n_m^j} \cup u(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $w_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{V}$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.

The uniqueness of  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , see [7, Proposition C.-3], and the fact that  $\tau_n \rightarrow 1^-$  implies that  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_{n_m^j,2}$ .

For every large  $n_0$ , we can patch  $w_{n_0}(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{3,j} \cup v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cup P_{2,n_m^j} \cup u(\mathbb{C})$  to form a topological embedded 2-sphere  $S_{n_0} \subset \text{closure}(\mathcal{U}_j)$ . The 2-sphere  $S_{n_0}$  separates  $S^3$  into two disjoint subsets, one of them, denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{n_0}$ , contains  $w_n(\mathbb{C})$  for  $n > n_0$ . The volume of  $\mathcal{A}_{n_0}$  tends to 0 as  $n_0 \rightarrow +\infty$ . It then follows that for every sequence  $\tau_n \rightarrow 1^-$ , the image of  $w_{\tau_n}(\mathbb{C})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_{n_0}$  for every  $n$  sufficiently large. This implies that the limiting building  $\mathcal{B}$  is the unique SFT-limit of  $\tilde{w}_\tau$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ .

According to C. Wendl [32, Theorem 1], the curves  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{u}$  are automatically transverse. In particular, we can glue  $\tilde{v} =: \tilde{v}_{j,m}$  with  $\tilde{u}_{n_m^j,1}$  along  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  to form a new family of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes, all of them exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . See [27, Section 7] or [33, Section 10]. Such planes lie in a maximal family of planes in  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{J}'}(P_{3,j})$  and will be denoted by  $\tilde{w}'_\tau = (c'_\tau, w'_\tau): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $\tau \in (0,1)$ , so that  $w'_\tau(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{U}_j, \forall \tau$ . Under our parametrizations,  $\tilde{w}'_\tau$  converges to the holomorphic building formed by  $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{u}_{n_m^j,1}$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ . In our notation the family  $\tilde{w}'_\tau$  now corresponds to  $\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau}, \tau \in (0,1)$ .

If  $\tilde{k}_j = 1$ , then the family  $\tilde{w}'_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , coincides with the family  $\tilde{w}_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , and the compactness properties above show that the  $\cup_{\tau \in (0,1)} w_\tau(\mathbb{C})$ , is open and closed in  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus (P_{3,j} \cup v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$  and thus coincides with  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus (P_{3,j} \cup v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$ . If  $\tilde{k}_j > 1$ , then the families  $\tilde{w}_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , and  $\tilde{w}'_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , do not coincide, and we consider the compactness properties of the family  $\tilde{w}'_\tau$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ . As before, this family converges to a building whose top level consists of an embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -cylinder  $\tilde{v}': \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ , which is exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$  and asymptotic to some other  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  at 0, and whose lower level consists of an embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic plane  $\tilde{u}'$ , which is asymptotic to  $P_{2,i}$  at  $+\infty$ .

We necessarily have  $P_{2,n_m^j} \neq P_{2,i} =: P_{2,n_{m+1}^j}$  since the families  $\tilde{w}_\tau$  and  $\tilde{w}'_\tau$  are distinct and hence, by the uniqueness and intersection properties of the  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ , points of  $w'_\tau(\mathbb{C})$  cannot accumulate at  $P_{2,n_m^j}$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ . It follows from the normalizations of  $\tilde{w}'_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , that  $\tilde{u}' =: \tilde{u}_{n_{m+1}^j,2}$  and, as before, we glue  $\tilde{v}' =: \tilde{v}_{j,m+1}$  with  $\tilde{u}_{n_{m+1}^j,1}$  to obtain a new maximal family of embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{w}''_\tau, \tau \in (0,1)$ , which are exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ .

If  $\tilde{k}_j = 2$ , then the new family  $\tilde{w}''_\tau$  coincides with the family  $\tilde{w}_\tau$  and  $v''(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) = v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $\tilde{v}''$  is the embedded  $\tilde{J}'$ -holomorphic cylinder consisting of the top

level of a holomorphic building associated with the family  $\tilde{w}_\tau''$ , as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ , and

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in (0,1)} w_\tau(\mathbb{C}) \cup \bigcup_{\tau \in (0,1)} w'_\tau(\mathbb{C})$$

fills  $\mathcal{U}_j \setminus (P_{3,j} \cup v(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cup v'(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}))$ . Otherwise, we repeat this gluing process  $v'' =: \tilde{v}_{j,m+2}$  with the rigid plane  $\tilde{u}_{n_{m+2},1}^j$  and continue in a similar manner. It has to stop after a finite number of times. Indeed, the number of such families of  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ -holomorphic planes asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  is precisely  $\tilde{k}_j$ , the number of components in  $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$ .

We conclude that there exist  $\tilde{k}_j$  embedded  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ -holomorphic cylinders  $\tilde{v}_{j,m}: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^3$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , which are exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at the positive puncture  $\infty$  and to  $P_{2,n_m^j} \subset \mathcal{S}_{n_m^j}$  at their negative puncture 0. In the complement of such cylinders, there exist  $\tilde{k}_j$  families of embedded  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ -holomorphic planes  $\tilde{w}_{j,m,\tau}$ ,  $\tau \in (0,1)$ , which are exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at  $\infty$ . Moreover, each family converges to the holomorphic building formed by  $\tilde{v}_{j,m} \oplus \tilde{u}_{n_m^j,1}^j$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$  and to the building  $\tilde{v}_{j,m+1} \oplus \tilde{u}_{n_{m+1},2}^j$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$  for every  $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ . Here,  $\tilde{k}_j + 1 \equiv 1$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

We are ready to prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Assume that  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  is a weakly convex contact form on  $S^3$  satisfying the hypotheses I-III. Choose  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{J,\text{reg}}^\varepsilon(\lambda)$  as in Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 3.4 tells us that each  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  bounds two rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2}$  so that the  $C^1$ -embedded 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i = U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2}$  separates  $S^3$  into two components. Every component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$  contains an index 3 orbit  $P_{3,j}$  satisfying the properties given in Proposition 3.10.

Proposition 3.13 implies that there exist  $\tilde{k}_j$  rigid cylinders connecting  $P_{3,j}$  to distinct orbits  $P_{2,i}$  that lie at the boundary components of  $\mathcal{U}_j$ . By Proposition 5.2, the complement is foliated by  $\tilde{k}_j$  families of planes  $\mathcal{F}_{m,\tau}^j$ ,  $\tau \in (0,1)$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , all exponentially asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . For each  $m$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}_{m,\tau}^j$  breaks onto a rigid cylinder, denoted by  $V_{j,n_m^j}$ , and the plane, denoted by  $U_{n_m^j,1}^j$ , as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ . As  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ , the family breaks onto the cylinder, denoted by  $V_{j,n_{m+1}^j}$ , and the plane, denoted by  $U_{n_{m+1},2}^j$ .

Moreover, every regular leaf is the projection of an embedded  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'$ -holomorphic curve with  $\text{wind}_\pi = 0$ , implying that it is transverse to the Reeb vector field. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.  $\square$

## 6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL REDUCTIONS

Throughout this section, we assume that the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  is real-analytic.

**6.1. Some notations.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a genus zero transverse foliation adapted to the Reeb flow of  $\lambda = f\lambda_0$  as in Theorem 1.2. We shall set some notations to represent the elements associated with  $\mathcal{F}$  (we recommend the Figure 6.1 to follow the introduction of these notations). First recall that all the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  are binding orbits of  $\mathcal{F}$  and, for each  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , the orbit  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  bounds two rigid planes  $U_{i,1}, U_{i,2} \in \mathcal{F}$ , so that the embedded 2-sphere  $\mathcal{S}_i = U_{i,1} \cup P_{2,i} \cup U_{i,2} \subset S^3$  is  $C^1$ . The 2-spheres  $\mathcal{S}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , are mutually disjoint and each one of them separates

$S^3$  into two components. In this way, the complement of their union is formed by  $l + 1$  components, denoted by

$$\mathcal{U}_j \subset S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, l + 1.$$

Inside  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , there exist a binding orbit  $P_{3,j} \in \mathcal{P}_3^{u,-1}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{k}_j$  one-parameter families of planes asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{j,1}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{j,\tilde{k}_j} \subset \mathcal{F}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l + 1,$$

where  $\tilde{k}_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$  coincides with the number of components in  $\partial\mathcal{U}_j$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k} = (\mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau})_\tau$  is parametrized by  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . It breaks, as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ , onto a rigid plane

$$U_{j,k}^- \in \{U_{1,1}, U_{1,2}, \dots, U_{l,1}, U_{l,2}\} \subset \mathcal{F},$$

asymptotic to a binding orbit  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^- \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , and a rigid cylinder  $V_{j,k}^- \in \mathcal{F}$ , asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its positive puncture and to  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-$  at its negative puncture. In a similar manner, as  $\tau \rightarrow 1^-$ , it breaks onto a rigid plane

$$U_{j,k}^+ \in \{U_{1,1}, U_{1,2}, \dots, U_{l,1}, U_{l,2}\} \subset \mathcal{F},$$

asymptotic to a binding orbit  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+ \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , and a rigid cylinder  $V_{j,k}^+ \in \mathcal{F}$ , asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$  at its positive puncture and to  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+$  at its negative puncture.

Abbreviate

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* \mid j = 1, \dots, l + 1, k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}.$$

It parametrizes the space of families of planes asymptotic to the index 3 binding orbits: each  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  corresponds to one family  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}$  of planes in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  asymptotic to  $P_{3,j}$ . There exist maps  $o^-, o^+ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, l\}$ , implicitly defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^- = P_{2,o^-(j,k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+ = P_{2,o^+(j,k)}, \quad \forall (j, k) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

These maps are 2 : 1, that is,

$$\#\{(o^-)^{-1}(i)\} = \#\{(o^+)^{-1}(i)\} = 2, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l,$$

and different pairs having the same value correspond to families of planes lying in distinct components

$$\begin{aligned} (j, k) \neq (j', k') \text{ and } o^-(j, k) = o^-(j', k') &\Rightarrow j \neq j', \\ (j, k) \neq (j', k') \text{ and } o^+(j, k) = o^+(j', k') &\Rightarrow j \neq j'. \end{aligned}$$

For every  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \exists! k' \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\} \text{ such that } P_{2,o^-(j,k')} &= \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+, \\ \exists! k'' \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\} \text{ such that } P_{2,o^+(j,k'')} &= \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-. \end{aligned}$$

The pairs  $(j, k'), (j, k'') \in \mathcal{C}$  correspond to adjacent families of planes  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k'}, \mathcal{F}_{j,k''}$ , in the same component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  as  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}$ , forward and backward in time, respectively. The relations (6.1) induce the permutations

$$h_j^+, h_j^- : \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\},$$

uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_j^+(k) = k' &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+ = \mathcal{P}_{j,k'}^-, \\ h_j^-(k) = k'' &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^- = \mathcal{P}_{j,k''}^+. \end{aligned}$$

After relabelling the families  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}$ , for a fixed  $j$ , we can assume that

$$h_j^+(k) = k + 1 \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j - 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad h_j^+(\tilde{k}_j) = 1.$$

Since  $h_j^- = (h_j^+)^{-1}$ , we have

$$h_j^-(k) = k - 1 \quad \forall k \in \{2, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\} \quad \text{and} \quad h_j^-(1) = \tilde{k}_j.$$

Also, there exist well-defined maps associated with adjacent families lying on different components

$$c^-, c^+ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} c^+(j, k) = (j', k') &\Leftrightarrow j' \neq j \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{j',k'}^- = \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+, \\ c^-(j, k) = (j'', k'') &\Leftrightarrow j'' \neq j \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{j'',k''}^+ = \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-. \end{aligned}$$

The pairs  $(j', k'), (j'', k'') \in \mathcal{C}$  correspond to adjacent families of planes  $\mathcal{F}_{j',k'}, \mathcal{F}_{j'',k''}$ , in different components, forward and backward in time, respectively. If  $(j', k') = c^+(j, k)$  and  $(j'', k'') = c^-(j, k)$ , then

$$U_{j,k}^+ = U_{j',k'}^- \quad \text{and} \quad U_{j,k}^- = U_{j'',k''}^+.$$

For every  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exist a unique branch of the local unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-$  and a unique branch of the local stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+$ , which intersect  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau}$  for  $\tau$  sufficiently close to 0 and  $\tau$  sufficiently close to 1, respectively. Denote these local branches by

$$\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^u \subset W_{\text{loc}}^u(\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^s \subset W_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+).$$

We shall fix planes

$$\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^- := \mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau_-}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+ := \mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau_+}^+,$$

where  $\tau_- > 0$  is sufficiently close to 0 and  $\tau_+ < 1$  is sufficiently close to 1. In particular, the intersections of  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$  with  $\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^u$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^s$ , respectively, are simple closed curves, denoted by

$$(6.2) \quad C_{j,k}^u := \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^- \cap \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^u \quad \text{and} \quad C_{j,k}^s := \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+ \cap \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^s.$$

The closed disks bounded by  $C_{j,k}^u$  and  $C_{j,k}^s$  will be denoted by

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+,$$

respectively. These disks have  $d\lambda$ -area equal to the actions of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+$ , respectively.




FIGURE 6.1. This example illustrates a section of a weakly convex foliation on  $S^3$  with  $\ell = 3$ . The blue and red dots represent the binding orbits with Conley-Zehnder indices 3 and 2, respectively. The rigid planes and cylinders are represented by bold curves, and the families of planes are represented by dotted curves. Some transition maps are also depicted in the figure.

**6.2. Transition maps and infinite twists.** Now we fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, l+1\}$  and investigate the forward Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  and the transition maps between the special planes  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^\pm$ . For every  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have the following transition maps that are symplectic diffeomorphisms and preserve the area form induced by  $d\lambda$ .

- The global transition map

$$g_{j,k}: \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$$

is defined as follows. Pick  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$  and let  $g_{j,k}(x)$  be the first intersection point of the forward trajectory  $\varphi_t(x), t > 0$ , with the plane  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$ . To see that such a  $g_{j,k}(x)$  exists, observe first that the planes  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau}, \tau \in [\tau_-, \tau_+]$ , are transverse to the flow. Assume by contradiction that no such a  $g_{j,k}(x)$  exists. Let  $\tau(t) \in (\tau_-, \tau_+), \forall t \geq 0$ , be the strictly increasing function satisfying  $\varphi_t(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau(t)}, \forall t$ . Denote  $\tau_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tau(t)$ . The transversality of the planes  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau}$  with the flow implies that the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $x$  is  $P_{3,j}$ , since  $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} > \delta_K > 0$  on every compact subset  $K \subset \bigcup_{\tau \in [\tau_-, \tau_+]} \mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau}$ . But since  $\text{CZ}(P_{3,j}) = 3$ , we know that such an orbit approaching  $P_{3,j}$  will hit  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k,\tau_\infty}$  infinitely many times forward in time, see [17, Lemma 5.2]. This contradicts the definition of  $\tau_\infty$  and shows that the forward orbit through a point  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$  hits  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$  in (uniform) finite time.

- The local exterior transition map

$$l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}: \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,k+1}^- \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k+1}^u$$



is defined in a similar manner (recall that  $h_j^+(k) = k+1$ ). Namely,  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}(x)$  is the first intersection point of the forward trajectory through  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k+1}^-$ . Notice that such a trajectory crosses the cylinder  $V_{j,k}^+$  before hitting  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k+1}^-$  and, moreover,  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}(x)$  belongs to the same component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  as  $x$ .

- Similarly, the **local interior transition map**

$$l_{j,k}^{\text{int}}: \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s \setminus C_{j,k}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{j'',k''}^u \setminus C_{j'',k''}^u,$$

where  $(j'', k'') = c^+(j, k)$ , is defined as follows:  $l_{j,k}^{\text{int}}(x)$  is the first intersection point of the forward trajectory through  $x \in \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s \setminus C_{j,k}^s$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{j'',k''}^-$ . Notice that such a trajectory crosses the plane  $U_{j,k}^+$  before hitting  $\mathcal{F}_{j'',k''}^-$  and moves from component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  to component  $\mathcal{U}_{j''}$ .

6.2.1. *Real-analytic models.* Let  $P_{2,i} = (x_{2,i}, T_{2,i}) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  be a periodic Reeb orbit of  $\lambda$  which is hyperbolic and has Conley-Zehnder index 2. In this section we show the existence of suitable real-analytic coordinates near  $P_{2,i}$  which put the flow into a normal form. Such coordinates will be used as models of neighborhoods of the circles  $C_{j,k}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$  and  $C_{j,k}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$ .

We start with the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exist real-analytic coordinates  $(t, x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times B_{\delta'}(0)$ ,  $\delta' > 0$  small, on a small tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{\delta'} \subset S^3$  of  $P_{2,i}$ , so that the following properties hold:*

- i)  $P_{2,i} \equiv (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times \{0\}$ .
- ii) *up to time reparametrization, the trajectories of the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\delta}$  coincide with the trajectories of*

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{t} = 1, \\ \dot{x} = -u(xy)x, \\ \dot{y} = u(xy)y, \end{cases}$$

where  $u(w) = \ln \eta + \eta_1 w + \eta_2 w^2 + \dots$  ( $\eta > 1$ ) is a convergent power series near  $w = 0$ . In particular, the quantity  $xy$  is preserved by the flow.

*Proof.* Let  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \xi|_{P_{2,i}}$  be real-analytic vector fields spanning the contact structure along  $P_{2,i}$  and let  $g$  be a real-analytic metric defined in a tubular neighborhood of  $P_{2,i}$ . Let  $\exp$  be the exponential map associated with  $g$  and consider, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, the  $\varepsilon$ -tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$  of  $P_{2,i}$  with coordinates  $(t, x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times B_\varepsilon(0)$  given by

$$(t, x, y) \equiv \exp_{x_{2,i}(t)}(xZ_1 + yZ_2).$$

Observe that  $x_{2,i}(t) \equiv (t, 0, 0)$ .

The real-analytic coordinates  $(t, x, y)$  determine a family of local surfaces

$$\Sigma_t = \{t\} \times B_{\delta_0}(0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z},$$

which intersect  $P_{2,i} \equiv (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times \{0\}$  transversely. Each  $\Sigma_t$  is transverse to the Reeb vector field  $R$  if  $\delta_0 > 0$  is taken sufficiently small.

Dividing the Reeb vector field  $R = R_\lambda$  by  $dt \cdot R > 0$ , we find a real-analytic vector field  $\widehat{R}$  on  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$  whose flow  $\hat{\psi}_t$  is equivalent to the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$  and satisfies

$$dt \cdot \widehat{R} = 1,$$

that is,  $\hat{\psi}_t$  sends each  $\Sigma_s$  to  $\Sigma_{s+t}$ .

Let  $0 < \delta_1 \ll \delta_0$  be such that there exists a real-analytic first return map  $\phi_0: B_{\delta_1}(0) \subset \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  associated with the flow of  $\widehat{R}$ . Observe that  $\phi_0$  coincides with the first return map associated with the Reeb flow of  $\lambda$ . The map  $\phi_0$  preserves an area form corresponding to  $d\lambda|_{\Sigma_0}$ . Using Moser's trick, we may change the coordinates  $(x, y)$  so that  $\phi_0$  preserves the standard area form, that is  $\phi_0^*(dx \wedge dy) = dx \wedge dy$ . See [5]. The real-analyticity of  $\phi_0$  is preserved under this change of coordinates.

Since  $P_{2,i}$  is hyperbolic and has even Conley-Zehnder index, we find a linear symplectic change of coordinates in  $(x, y)$ , the same for every  $t$ , and a real number  $\eta > 1$  so that  $\phi_0$  has the form

$$\phi_0(x, y) = \left( \frac{x}{\eta} + f(x, y), \eta y + g(x, y) \right), \quad \forall (x, y) \in B_{\delta_2}(0),$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are real-analytic functions that vanish up to order 1 at  $(0, 0)$  and  $0 < \delta_2 \ll \delta_1$ .

By a result due to Moser in [25], we find new real-analytic coordinates near  $(0, 0)$ , again denoted by  $(x, y)$ , so that  $\phi_0$  takes the following form

$$(6.5) \quad \phi_0(x, y) = \left( x e^{-u(xy)}, y e^{u(xy)} \right), \quad \forall (x, y) \in B_{\delta_3}(0),$$

where  $u(w) = \ln \eta + \eta_1 w + \eta_2 w^2 + \dots$  is a convergent power series near  $w = 0$  and  $0 < \delta_3 \ll \delta_2$ .

Now we construct suitable coordinates  $(t, X, Y) \in (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times B_{\delta_3}(0)$  near  $P_{2,i}$  so that the flow has a simple normal form. For simplicity, let us assume that  $T_{2,i} = 1$ . As above, denote by  $\hat{\psi}_t$  the flow of  $\widehat{R}$  in the coordinates  $(t, x, y)$  given above. Recall that the first return time to  $\Sigma_0$  is constant equal to 1 and  $\hat{\psi}_s(t, x, y) \in \Sigma_{t+s}$  for all  $t, s, x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $(X, Y) \in B_{\delta_4}(0)$ , where  $0 < \delta_4 \ll \delta_3$  is small. Let

$$(X_0, Y_0) = \left( X e^{tu(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)} \right),$$

and notice that

$$X_0 Y_0 = XY \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(X_0 Y_0) = u(XY).$$

Hence

$$(X, Y) = \left( X_0 e^{-tu(X_0 Y_0)}, Y_0 e^{tu(X_0 Y_0)} \right).$$

The real-analytic mapping

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times B_{\delta_4}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times B_{\delta_3}(0),$$

given by

$$\Phi(t, X, Y) = \hat{\psi}_t(0, X_0, Y_0) = \hat{\psi}_t(0, X e^{tu(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)}),$$

is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t+1, X, Y) &= \hat{\psi}_{t+1}(0, X e^{(t+1)u(XY)}, Y e^{-(t+1)u(XY)}) \\ &= \hat{\psi}_t(0, \phi_0(X e^{(t+1)u(X,Y)}, Y e^{-(t+1)u(X,Y)})) \\ &= \hat{\psi}_t(0, X e^{(t+1)u(XY)} e^{-u(XY)}, Y e^{-(t+1)u(XY)} e^{u(XY)}) \\ &= \hat{\psi}_t(0, X e^{tu(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)}) \\ &= \Phi(t, X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This mapping preserves the points of the form  $(t, 0, 0)$  and sends points of  $\Sigma_t$  into  $\Sigma_t$ .

The flow  $\hat{\phi}_t$  of  $(\Phi^{-1})_* \widehat{R}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_s(t, X, Y) &= \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\psi}_s(\Phi(t, X, Y))) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\psi}_s(\hat{\psi}_t(0, X e^{tu(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)}))) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\psi}_{s+t}(0, X e^{tu(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)})) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(s+t, X e^{tu(XY)} e^{-(s+t)u(XY)}, Y e^{-tu(XY)} e^{(s+t)u(XY)})) \\ &= (s+t, X e^{-su(XY)}, Y e^{su(XY)}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the equations of motion in coordinates  $(t, X, Y)$  are as in (6.4), where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to an independent parameter  $s$ . Therefore, the flow preserves  $XY$  and has the desired normal form. Relabelling the variables  $(t, X, Y)$  back to  $(t, x, y)$  and taking  $\delta' = \delta_4$ , the proposition is proved.  $\square$

In the coordinates given in Proposition 6.1, the local stable and unstable manifolds of  $P_{2,i}$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{loc}}^s(P_{2,i}) &\subset (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}, \\ W_{\text{loc}}^u(P_{2,i}) &\subset (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

**6.2.2. Local behavior of the local transition maps.** Now we fix  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  and let  $k' = k + 1 = h_j^+(k)$ . Fix also  $\tau_-$  and  $\tau_+$  close enough to 0 and 1, respectively, so that the circles  $C_{j,k}^s$  and  $C_{j,k'}^u$ , see (6.2), are contained in the tubular neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{\delta'}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^+ = \mathcal{P}_{j,k'}^-$  given in Proposition 6.1. Choose sufficiently small annular neighborhoods  $R_{j,k}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+$  of  $C_{j,k}^s$  and  $R_{j,k'}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k'}^-$  of  $C_{j,k'}^u$  that are modelled in the real-analytic coordinates  $(t, x, y)$  near  $P_{2,i}$  by the sets

$$\begin{aligned} R^s &= \{(t, x, y) \mid x = \frac{\delta}{2}, y \in (-\frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{\delta}{4})\}, \\ R^u &= \{(t, x, y) \mid x \in (-\frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{\delta}{4}), y = \frac{\delta}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $0 < \delta \ll \delta'$ . Set  $A_{j,k}^{\text{ext},s} = R_{j,k}^s \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s$  and  $A_{j,k'}^{\text{ext},u} = R_{j,k'}^u \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k'}^u$ . These annuli are modelled in our real-analytic coordinates by

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\text{ext},s} &= \{(t, x, y) \mid x = \frac{\delta}{2}, y \in (0, \frac{\delta}{4})\}, \\ A^{\text{ext},u} &= \{(t, x, y) \mid x \in (0, \frac{\delta}{4}), y = \frac{\delta}{2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Note that  $A_{j,k}^{\text{ext},s}$  is mapped under  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}$  onto  $A_{j,k'}^{\text{ext},u}$ .

Consider the real-analytic maps

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{j,k}^{\text{ext},s} &: (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, \frac{\delta}{4}) \rightarrow A_{j,k}^{\text{ext},s}, \\ F_{j,k'}^{\text{ext},u} &: (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, \frac{\delta}{4}) \rightarrow A_{j,k'}^{\text{ext},u}, \end{aligned}$$

given in our coordinates by  $(t, y) \mapsto (t, \frac{\delta}{2}, y)$  and  $(t, x) \mapsto (t, x, \frac{\delta}{2})$ , respectively. We express by means of these coordinates the restriction of the local exterior transition map  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}: A_{j,k}^{\text{ext},s} \rightarrow A_{j,k'}^{\text{ext},u}$  and obtain the real-analytic map

$$l: (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, \frac{\delta}{4}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/T_{2,i}\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, \frac{\delta}{4}), \quad (t, r) \mapsto (t + \Delta t(r), r).$$

Let us consider a lift  $\tilde{l} = \tilde{l}(t, r)$  of  $l$  to  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \frac{\delta}{4})$ . Here,  $\Delta t(r) = T_{2,i} \cdot t(r)$  denotes the variation of the coordinate  $t$ , where  $t(r)$  is the time such that the trajectory, projected to  $B_{\delta'}(0)$ , starting at  $(\frac{\delta}{2}, r)$  takes to reach  $(r, \frac{\delta}{2})$ . Using (6.4), one finds

$$(6.8) \quad \Delta t(r) = T_{2,i} \frac{1}{u(\delta r/2)} \ln \frac{\delta}{2r} = g(r) - h(r) \ln r,$$

where  $g(r), h(r)$  are real-analytic functions defined near  $r = 0$ , with  $h(0) > 0$ . Note that  $\Delta t(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$ . This is the infinite twist behavior of  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}$  near the circle  $C_{j,k}^s$ . The following lemma better describes the behavior of  $l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}$  near  $C_{j,k}^s$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, \frac{\delta}{4})$  be a real-analytic curve such that  $\gamma(s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  only at  $s = 0$ . Then  $\tilde{l} \circ \gamma$  is a monotone curve in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -direction for  $s > 0$  sufficiently small. Moreover, writing  $\tilde{l} \circ \gamma$  as a graph of  $r = \eta(t), t \gg 0$ , we have  $\frac{d\eta}{dt} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$*

*Proof.* Write  $\gamma(s) = (t(s), r(s))$  such that  $t(0) = t_0$  and  $r(0) = 0$ . Since  $r(s)$  is real-analytic, we find  $a > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(6.9) \quad r(s) = as^n + O(s^{n+1})$$

and thus  $r(s)$  is strictly increasing for  $s \geq 0$  sufficiently small. Moreover, there exists  $b, B > 0$  such that

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{B}{s} > \frac{r'(s)}{r(s)} > \frac{b}{s}, \quad \forall s > 0 \text{ small.}$$

This implies that there exists  $c_1 > 0$  so that

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} (t(s) + \Delta t(r(s))) &= t'(s) + \left( g'(r(s)) - h'(r(s)) \ln r(s) - \frac{h(r(s))}{r(s)} \right) r'(s) \\ &< -\frac{c_1}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $s > 0$  sufficiently small. Hence  $t(s) + \Delta t(r(s))$  increases monotonically to  $+\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$ .

From the conclusion above, we can write the curve  $\tilde{l} \circ \gamma$  as a graph  $r = \eta(t), t \gg 0$ , where  $\eta$  is real-analytic. It satisfies

$$\eta(t(s) + \Delta t(r(s))) = r(s), \forall s > 0 \text{ small.}$$

From (6.10) and (6.11), we see that

$$0 > \eta'(t(s) + \Delta t(r(s))) = \frac{r'(s)}{\frac{d}{ds}(t(s) + \Delta t(r(s)))} > -\frac{B}{c_1} r(s) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$ . Since  $t(s) + \Delta t(r(s)) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we assume that all orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  have the same action  $T_2 = 1$ , so that the disks  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u$ , see (6.3), have the same  $d\lambda$ -area equal to  $T_2$  for all  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Firstly, based on an area-preservation argument, as we will see below, we can check that for any fixed  $P_{2,i} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ , the branch of its unstable manifold in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  must intersect the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of an orbit  $P_{2,i'} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$ . Note that such intersection corresponds to a heteroclinic/homoclinic trajectory in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  connecting  $P_{2,i}$  to  $P_{2,i'}$ .

Let us define a map

$$(6.12) \quad G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

where  $(J, K) = G(j, k)$  is the family of planes so that  $\mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{J,K}^+$  is the first disk intersected by the forward flow of  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u$ . To be more precise in the definition of  $G$ , fix  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  and set  $D^0 = \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u$ . If

$$g_{j,k}(D^0) \cap C_{j,k}^s \neq \emptyset,$$

then we define  $G(j, k) = (j, k)$ . Otherwise, since all index 2 orbits have the same action, we have

$$g_{j,k}(D^0) \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^s$$

and then define

$$D^1 := l_{j,k}^{\text{ext}}(g_{j,k}(D^0)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k+1}^-.$$

Now we repeat the procedure with  $D^1$ : if

$$g_{j,k+1}(D^1) \cap C_{j,k+1}^s \neq \emptyset,$$

then we define  $G(j, k) = (j, k+1)$ . Otherwise, we have

$$g_{j,k+1}(D^1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k+1}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k+1}^s$$

and then define

$$D^2 = l_{j,k+1}^{\text{ext}}(g_{j,k+1}(D^1)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k+2}^-.$$

Repeating this process we obtain a sequence  $(j, k^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $k^{(n)} \equiv k + n \pmod{\tilde{k}_j}$ , and disks

$$D^n \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k^{(n)}}^-, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By construction and uniqueness of solutions, the disks  $D^n$  are mutually disjoint. Since the  $d\lambda$ -area of  $D^n$  is independent of  $n$  (indeed, it is equal to  $T_2 = 1$  for all  $n$ ) and the available area in all  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^\pm$  is finite (it coincides with the action of  $P_{3,j}$ ), the procedure above has to terminate after finitely many steps and we find  $(j, k^{(N)})$  for some  $N \geq 0$  so that

$$g_{j,k^{(N)}}(D^N) \cap C_{j,k^{(N)}}^s \neq \emptyset.$$

In this case we set  $(J, K) = (j, k^{(N)})$  and define  $G(j, k) = (J, K)$ , where  $J = j$  since along the process we remain inside the same component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  via the global and the (exterior) local transition maps.

We also consider a map

$$(6.13) \quad \Psi_{j,k}: \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{J,K}^+, \quad (j, k) \in \mathcal{C},$$

where  $(J, K) = G(j, k)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$  denotes a small neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u$  in the plane  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$ . The image of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{J,K}^+$  under the forward flow is precisely the image of  $\Psi_{j,k}$ .

**Definition 6.3.** *We say that  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  is **coincident** if*

$$\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) = \mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s,$$

where  $(J, K) = G(j, k)$ , see (6.12) and (6.13). *Otherwise, we say it is **non-coincident**.*

Pick  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  and abbreviate  $(J, K) = G(j, k)$ . By definition of  $G$ , we have

$$\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) \cap C_{J,K}^s \neq \emptyset.$$

Since all index 2 orbits have the same action and the flow is real-analytic, we find one of the following three scenarios associated with the pair  $(j, k)$ :

- (A)  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) = \mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s$ , i.e.  $(j, k)$  is coincident. See Figure 6.2a.

- (B)  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) \cap (\mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s \setminus C_{J,K}^s) = \emptyset$ , and the disk  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$  intersects the circle  $C_{J,K}^s$  at finitely many points. See Figure 6.2b.
- (C)  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$  intersects both  $\mathcal{F}_{J,K}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{J,K}^s \setminus C_{J,K}^s$ . In this case the disk  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$  also intersects the circle  $C_{J,K}^s$  at finitely many points. See Figure 6.2c.




FIGURE 6.2. Possible scenarios for  $\Psi_{j,k}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u) \cap C_{J,K}^s$ .

Note that every intersection in scenario (B) is tangent and that an intersection in scenario (C) is not necessarily transverse.

## 7. THE COINCIDENCE CASE

Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  and assume that for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$  the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $P_{2,n_k^j}$  coincides with the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of  $P_{2,n_k^j}$ , for some  $l \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$ . This means that all pairs  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  are coincident as in scenario (A).

Fix  $(j, k_1) \in \mathcal{C}$  as above, let  $(j, K_1) = G(j, k_1)$ , and let  $(j, k_2) := (j, K_1 + 1)$ . Our assumptions imply that we can construct an  $N$ -periodic sequence of distinct  $k_1, \dots, k_N, \dots$ , so that  $G(j, k_i) = (j, K_i)$ , and  $k_{i+1} = K_i + 1, \forall i$ . In particular, the mapping

$$\Psi_{j,k_i} : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k_i}^u) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,K_i}^+$$

satisfies

$$\Psi_{j,k_i}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k_i}^u) = \mathcal{D}_{j,K_i}^s, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Moreover, due to the coincidences, the mapping

$$(7.1) \quad \Psi := \Psi_{j,k_1} \circ l_{j,K_N}^{\text{ext}} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{j,k_2} \circ l_{j,K_1}^{\text{ext}},$$

is well-defined in  $\mathcal{V}_1 \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,K_1}^s$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,K_1}^+$  is a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}_{j,K_1}^s$ .

Let us study the mapping  $\Psi$  in local coordinates  $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (0, \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  small, as in Section 6.2.2 (recall that we have assumed  $T_{2,i} = 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, l$ ). We start by considering the local maps  $l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}, i = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\tilde{l}_i: \mathbb{R} \times (0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (0, \delta)$  denote a lift of  $l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}$  in coordinates  $(t, r)$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** *The local map  $\tilde{l}_i$  has the form*

$$(7.2) \quad \tilde{l}_i(t, r) = (t + g_i(r) - h_i(r) \ln r, r), \quad \forall (t, r),$$

where  $g_i, h_i: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are real-analytic functions and  $h_i(0) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\tilde{l}_i(t, r) = (t + \Delta t_i(r), r)$ , where  $\Delta t_i(r) = \frac{1}{u_i(\delta r/2)} \ln \frac{\delta_i}{2r}$ ,  $u_i > 0$  is real-analytic and  $\delta_i > 0$ . Hence  $\Delta t_i$  satisfies (7.2) for suitable functions  $g_i, h_i$  as in the statement.  $\square$

Now we describe the global mappings  $\Psi_{j,k_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ , in coordinates  $(t, r)$ . Denote by

$$\tilde{\psi}_i: \mathbb{R} \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (-\delta', \delta'),$$

$0 < \delta \ll \delta'$ , a lift of  $\Psi_{j,k_i}$ , defined in small neighborhood of  $C_{j,k_i}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_i}^-$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *The global mapping  $\tilde{\psi}_i = (X_i, Y_i)$  has the form*

$$X_i(t, r) = H_i(t) + r\tilde{X}_i(t, r),$$

$$Y_i(t, r) = r\tilde{Y}_i(t, r),$$

where  $H_i - \text{Id}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{Y}_i: \mathbb{R} \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are real-analytic functions and 1-periodic in  $t$  and satisfy  $H_i', \tilde{Y}_i > 0$ .

*Proof.* The assertion is essentially proved in [8, Lemma 7.1]. We include the proof here for readers' convenience.

We write  $\tilde{\psi}_i(t, r) = (X_i(t, r), Y_i(t, r))$ , where the functions  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  are real-analytic. The function  $X_i$  satisfies  $X_i(t + 1, r) = 1 + X_i(t, r), \forall (t, r)$  and hence  $X_i(t, r) - t$  is 1-periodic in  $t$ . The function  $Y_i$  is real-analytic and 1-periodic in  $t$ .

For  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  small, write

$$X_i(t, r) = X_i(t, 0) + r\tilde{X}_i(t, r), \quad (t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1),$$

for some real-analytic function  $\tilde{X}_i$ , which is 1-periodic in  $t$ . We define

$$H_i(t) := X_i(t, 0), \quad \forall t.$$

Then  $H_i - \text{Id}$  is 1-periodic and real-analytic.

Since  $(j, k_i)$  is coincident, we have  $\Psi_{j,k_i}(C_{j,k_i}^u) = C_{j,k_i}^s$ . This implies that  $\tilde{\psi}_i$  preserves  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , and hence  $Y_i(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We then find a real-analytic function  $\tilde{Y}_i(t, r)$ , which is 1-periodic in  $t$ , so that  $Y_i(t, r) = r^n \tilde{Y}_i(t, r)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial t}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial r}(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . This contradicts the preservation of area

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \partial X / \partial t & \partial X / \partial r \\ \partial Y / \partial t & \partial Y / \partial r \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

Hence  $n = 1$ , and

$$Y_i(t, r) = r\tilde{Y}_i(t, r), \quad \forall (t, r).$$

Since  $\Psi_{j,k_i}$  maps  $A_{j,k_i}^{\text{ext},u}$  into  $A_{j,k_i}^{\text{ext},s}$ , see Section 6.2.2, we conclude that  $\tilde{Y}_i(t, 0) = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial r}(t, 0) > 0, \forall t$ , and hence  $\tilde{Y}_i(t, r) > 0, \forall (t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (-\delta, \delta)$ , for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small.  $\square$

Let us consider the sequence

$$(T_i, R_i) := \tilde{\psi}_{i+1} \circ \tilde{l}_i \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\psi}_2 \circ \tilde{l}_1,$$

so that  $(T_N, R_N)$  represents the mapping  $\Psi$  in (7.1). Then

$$(T_{i+1}, R_{i+1}) = \tilde{\psi}_{i+2} \circ \tilde{l}_{i+1}(T_i, R_i), \quad \forall i,$$

which implies

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{i+1} &= H_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i) \\ &\quad + R_i \tilde{X}_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i, R_i), \\ R_{i+1} &= R_i \tilde{Y}_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i, R_i), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i \geq 1$ , see Propositions 7.1 and 7.2.

The following proposition will be useful to control the infinite twist near the connecting stable/unstable manifolds of the index-2 Reeb orbits.

**Proposition 7.3.** *For every  $i \geq 1$ , there exist  $A_i, B_i, C_i > 0$  so that*

$$(7.4) \quad T_i(t, r) - t > -C_i \ln r \quad \text{and} \quad A_i r < R_i(t, r) < B_i r,$$

*uniformly in  $t$ , for every  $r > 0$  sufficiently small.*

*Proof.* We prove by induction. Notice that for  $i = 1$ , we have

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_1(t, r) &= H_2(t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r) + r \tilde{X}_2(t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r, r), \\ &= t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r + (H_2 - \text{Id})(t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r) \\ &\quad + r \tilde{X}_2(t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r, r), \\ R_1(t, r) &= r \tilde{Y}_2(t + g_1(r) - h_1(r) \ln r, r). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows for  $i = 1$  since  $H_2 - \text{Id}$ ,  $\tilde{X}_2$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  are 1-periodic in  $t$ , and  $\tilde{Y}_2(\cdot, 0)$  and  $h_1(0)$  are positive.

Next assume (7.4) holds for  $i$ . We shall prove that (7.4) holds for  $i + 1$ . Using that  $H_{i+2} = \text{Id} + \tilde{H}_{i+2}$ , for some 1-periodic function  $\tilde{H}_{i+2}$ , we obtain from (7.3)

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i+1} &= T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i + \tilde{H}_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i) \\ &\quad + R_i \tilde{X}_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i, R_i), \\ R_{i+1} &= R_i \tilde{Y}_{i+2}(T_i + g_{i+1}(R_i) - h_{i+1}(R_i) \ln R_i, R_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{i+1}$  and  $h_{i+1}$  are real-analytic near 0. Since  $\tilde{Y}_{i+2}$ ,  $\tilde{X}_{i+2}$  are 1-periodic in  $t$ , and  $\tilde{Y}_{i+2}(\cdot, 0)$ ,  $h_{i+1}(0) > 0$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis that (7.4) holds for  $i + 1$ .  $\square$

**7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3-(i).** Fix any component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{S}_i$  so that  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  is coincident for every  $1 \leq k \leq \tilde{k}_j$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{U}_j$  is homeomorphic to a 3-sphere with  $\tilde{k}_j$  disjoint closed 3-balls removed. Fix a plane  $\mathcal{F}_{j, k_1}^+$  of the genus zero transverse foliation in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ , bounded by  $P_{3, j}$ . The branches in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable/unstable manifolds of the orbits in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\lambda)$  transversely intersect  $\mathcal{F}_{j, k_1}^+$  at mutually disjoint circles. Indeed, these circles bound closed disks  $B_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, \nu$ . Since their symplectic areas coincide,  $B_\alpha$  have mutually disjoint interiors. The trajectories through the interior of each  $B_\alpha$  eventually leave  $\mathcal{U}_j$  to an adjacent component  $\mathcal{U}_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ . The points in  $\partial B_\alpha$  converge to some  $P_{2, i} \subset \partial \mathcal{U}_j$ .



Consider the connected subset of  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$  given by

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} B_{\alpha}.$$

Since the Reeb flow is transverse to  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$ , the successive local and global maps determine a diffeomorphism  $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  that preserves the finite area form induced by  $d\lambda$ . Abbreviating by  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  an invariant open subset, defined by the Reeb trajectories through  $\mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a global surface of section for the Reeb flow restricted to  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The mapping  $\Psi$  is the first return map to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and thus periodic orbits of  $\Psi$  correspond to periodic orbits of the Reeb flow in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Notice that the outer boundary component of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. the binding orbit  $P_{3,j}$ , is preserved by  $\Phi$ , and hence points near  $P_{3,j}$  are mapped under  $\Psi$  to points near  $P_{3,j}$ . However, near the inner boundary components,  $\Psi$  behaves as a permutation. More precisely, given  $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$  there exists  $\alpha'$  (possibly  $\alpha' = \alpha$ ) such that  $\Psi$  maps points near  $\partial B_{\alpha}$  to points near  $\partial B_{\alpha'}$ .

Now we proceed as in [8, Section 7]. Define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$  such that

$$x \sim y \iff x, y \in B_{\alpha} \quad \text{for some } \alpha.$$

Abbreviate by  $\Pi: \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ := \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ / \sim$  the natural projection. It induces the quotient topology on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ , which becomes an open disk. For each  $\alpha$ , put  $p_{\alpha} = \Pi(B_{\alpha}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ . The restriction  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus \{p_{\alpha} \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, \nu\}$  is a bijection. We endow  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$  with a natural smooth structure by declaring  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{A}}$  to be a smooth diffeomorphism onto  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ . In this way, we obtain the finite area form  $\omega$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$  which is defined as the push-forward of the area form on  $\mathcal{A}$  induced by  $d\lambda$ . The area form  $\omega$  naturally extends to a finite area form on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ , still denoted by  $\omega$ . This enables us to define a homeomorphism  $\Phi: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ , which preserves the  $\omega$ -area, and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(z) = \Pi \circ \Psi \circ \Pi^{-1}(z), & z \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ \\ \Phi \text{ permutes the points } p_1, \dots, p_{\nu}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the points  $p_1, \dots, p_{\nu}$  are periodic. Note that the way  $\Phi$  permutes the points  $p_1, \dots, p_{\nu}$  is determined by the way it permutes the corresponding inner boundary components of  $\mathcal{A}$  as explained above.

**Case 1.**  $\nu \geq 2$ .

We first assume that  $\nu \geq 2$ . Brouwer's fixed point theorem [3] tells us that  $\Phi$  admits a fixed point, say  $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ . Then the restriction  $\Phi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus \{p\}}$  is an area preserving homeomorphism of the open annulus  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus \{p\}$ , containing at least one periodic point  $p_j \neq p$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ . An application of Franks' theorem [12] implies that  $\Phi$  has infinitely many periodic points in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j,k_1}^+$ . It follows that  $\Psi$  admits infinitely many periodic points, and hence there exist infinitely many periodic orbits of the Reeb flow in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Case 2.**  $\nu = 1$ .

Suppose that  $\nu = 1$ . In this case, there exists only one such disk  $B_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$  which coincides with  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k_1}^s$ . Its boundary is necessarily the intersection of the

unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_1}^-$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$ , which coincides with the intersection of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_1}^+$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus B_\alpha$  is mapped under  $l_{j,k_1}^{\text{ext}}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k_1+1}^- \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k_1+1}^u$ . In particular, since  $\nu = 1$ , the branch of the unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_1+1}^-$  inside  $\mathcal{U}_j$  coincides with the branch of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_1+1}^+$ . Now we can consider the global map  $g_{j,k_1+1}$ , which necessarily maps  $C_{j,k_1+1}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1+1}^-$  to  $C_{j,k_1+1}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1+1}^+$ . Continuing this process, we conclude that the first return map

$$\Psi: \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus B_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,k_1}^+ \setminus B_\alpha,$$

is given by the successive compositions of local and global maps

$$(7.6) \quad \Psi = g_{j,k_1} \circ l_{j,k_1+\tilde{k}_j-1}^{\text{ext}} \circ \cdots \circ g_{j,k_1+2} \circ l_{j,k_1+1}^{\text{ext}} \circ g_{j,k_1+1} \circ l_{j,k_1}^{\text{ext}},$$

where  $\tilde{k}_j$  is the number of boundary components of  $\mathcal{U}_j$ .

Recall that, in the special coordinates  $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \epsilon_i)$ ,  $\epsilon_i > 0$  small, defined near  $C_{j,k_i}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_i}^+$ , the local mapping  $l_{j,k_i}^{\text{ext}}$  has a lift of the form

$$\tilde{l}_i(t, r) = (t + g_i(r) - h_i(r) \ln r, r),$$

for real-analytic functions  $g_i(r), h_i(r)$  defined near  $r = 0$  with  $h_i(0) > 0$ . See Proposition 7.1.

The global mapping  $g_{j,k_i}$  has a lift of the form

$$\tilde{\psi}(t, r) = (t + H_i(t) + r\tilde{X}_i(t, r), r\tilde{Y}_i(t, r)),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_i, \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{Y}_i$  are real-analytic and 1-periodic in  $t$  and satisfy  $\tilde{H}_i' > 1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_i > 0$ . See Proposition 7.2.

A lift  $\tilde{\Psi}$  of  $\Psi$  in coordinates  $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$  is then given by the composition

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{\psi}_1 \circ \tilde{l}_{\tilde{k}_j} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\psi}_2 \circ \tilde{l}_1,$$

for every  $r > 0$  sufficiently small.

By Proposition 7.3,  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has the form

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t, r) = (T_{\tilde{k}_j}(t, r), R_{\tilde{k}_j}(t, r)),$$

where

$$T_{\tilde{k}_j}(t, r) - t > -C \ln r \quad \text{and} \quad Ar < R_{\tilde{k}_j}(t, r) < Br$$

for every  $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$  with  $r > 0$  sufficiently small. Here,  $0 < A < B$  and  $0 < C$  are positive constants. In particular,  $\Psi$  has infinite twist near  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  which implies that  $\Psi$  has infinitely many fixed points. We briefly recall the argument in [8, Section 7] for readers' convenience.

Note that we are not able to apply Frank's theorem as in the previous case since the existence of a periodic orbit of  $\Psi$  with period  $> 1$  is not a priori known to exist.

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , set

$$\tilde{\Psi}_k(x, y) := \tilde{\Psi}(x, y) - (k, 0).$$

**Proposition 7.4** ([8, Proposition 7.2]). *For all  $k$  sufficiently large, the diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Psi}_k$  has a fixed point.*

The main ingredient in the proof of the above proposition is a generalized Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem due to Franks [11]. Note that for  $k$  sufficiently large,  $\tilde{\Psi}_k$  moves points near the boundary components in opposite directions. This fact and the preservation of area imply that  $\tilde{\Psi}_k$  has at least one fixed point. Moreover,

fixed points of  $\tilde{\Psi}_{k_1}$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}_{k_2}$ , with  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , correspond to distinct fixed points of  $\Psi$ . Therefore, Proposition 7.4 implies the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits in  $\mathcal{U}_A$ , finishing the proof of Theorem 1.3-(i).

## 8. THE NON-COINCIDENCE CASE

Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , and assume that

$$\Psi_{j,k}(C_{j,k}^u) \neq C_{j,K}^s, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\},$$

where the map  $\Psi_{j,k}$  is as in (6.13) and  $(j, K) = G(j, k)$ . This means that for every  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $P_{2,n_k^j}$  does not coincide with the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of any  $P_{2,n_l^j}$ . Hence, for every  $(j, k)$ , with  $k = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j$ , we are in scenario (B) or (C), see Figure 6.2.

The main goal of this section is to prove that there exists  $P_{2,i} \subset \partial\mathcal{U}_j$  admitting a transverse homoclinic in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ . In particular, the Reeb flow admits an invariant set  $\Lambda_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  where the topological entropy is positive.

**Proposition 8.1.** *There exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$  such that  $P_{2,n_k^j}$  admits a transverse homoclinic contained in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ .*

To prove Proposition 8.1, we first find  $k \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{k}_j\}$  so that  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  is periodic under  $G$ . To find such  $k$ , choose any  $(j, k_1) \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $(j, K_1) = G(j, k_1)$ , and let  $(j, k_2) := (j, K_1 + 1)$ . Define the sequences  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots$ , and  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots$ , accordingly, as  $(j, K_i) = G(j, k_i)$  and  $k_{i+1} = K_i + 1$  for every  $i$ . The sequence  $k_1, k_2, \dots$ , is eventually periodic, so we may ignore the first elements and assume that

$$k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N, k_1, \dots$$

is periodic with least period  $N > 0$ .

The first step is to show that the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$  intersects transversely the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_{i+m}}^-$ ,  $\forall m \geq 2$ . In particular, the orbits  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$  admit transverse homoclinics for every  $i$ .

Take a real-analytic curve

$$\gamma : [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Psi_{j,k_i}(C_{j,k_i}^u), \quad \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small,}$$

such that

$$\gamma(0) \in \Psi_{j,k_i}(C_{j,k_i}^u) \cap C_{j,K_i}^s \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(t) \notin \mathcal{D}_{j,K_i}^s, \quad \forall t \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Such a  $\gamma$  exists since the non-coincidence of  $(j, k_i)$  corresponds to one of the scenarios (B) or (C) in Figure 6.2. By abuse of notation, we also denote by  $\gamma$  its image. Let  $\dot{\gamma} = \gamma \setminus \{\gamma(0)\}$ .

Recall that the mapping  $\Psi_{j,k_{i+1}}$  is defined on a small neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k_{i+1}}^u)$  of the disk  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k_{i+1}}^u$ . Let  $\beta : [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k_{i+1}}^u)$  be a real-analytic arc that intersects the circle  $C_{j,k_{i+1}}^u$  only at  $t = 0$  and satisfies

$$\beta(s) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}_{j,k_{i+1}}^u) \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,k_{i+1}}^u, \quad \forall s \in (0, \varepsilon), \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{j,k_{i+1}}(\beta) \subset C_{j,K_{i+1}}^s.$$

Such an arc  $\beta$  exists since  $(j, k_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{C}$  is also non-coincident and thus we are in one of the scenarios (B) or (C). The following lemma is based on Conley's ideas [4].

**Proposition 8.2.** *The real-analytic arc  $l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}(\dot{\gamma})$  is a spiral around  $C_{j,k_{i+1}}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k_{i+1}}^-$  that intersects  $\beta$  transversely infinitely many times near  $C_{j,k_{i+1}}^u$ . In particular, the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$  transversely intersects the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_{i+2}}^-$ .*

*Proof.* We use the real-analytic canonical coordinates  $(t, r)$ . As before, let  $\tilde{l}_i: \mathbb{R} \times (0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (0, \delta)$  denote a lift of  $l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}$ .

The curves  $\dot{\gamma}$  and  $\dot{\beta} = \beta \setminus \{\beta(0)\}$  are represented by the same letters in coordinates  $(t, r)$  and lie in  $r > 0$  by our assumptions. In view of Lemma 6.2, we know that the  $l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}(\dot{\gamma})$  intersects  $\beta$  infinitely many times. We have to show that these intersections are transverse near  $C_{j,k_{i+1}}^u \equiv \{r = 0\}$ .

The curve  $\gamma$  is written as

$$\gamma = \{(t_\gamma(r), r) \mid r \in (0, \varepsilon)\}$$

for some real analytic function  $t_\gamma: (0, \delta') \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (0, \varepsilon)$ , which continuously extends over  $[0, \delta')$ . We then obtain

$$\tilde{l}(\dot{\gamma}) = \{(\tilde{t}_\gamma(r) = t_\gamma(r) + \Delta t(r), r) \mid r \in (0, \varepsilon)\},$$

where

$$\Delta t(r) = g(r) - h(r) \ln r,$$

and  $g(r), h(r)$  are real-analytic functions defined near  $r = 0$ , with  $h(0) > 0$ . See (6.8). Hence

$$\frac{d}{dr} \tilde{t}_\gamma(r) = t'_\gamma(r) + g'(r) - h'(r) \ln r - \frac{h(r)}{r}$$

for every  $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$ . Due to the estimates in the proof of Lemma 6.2, we find a constant  $c_1 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$(8.1) \quad \frac{d}{dr} \tilde{t}_\gamma < -\frac{c_1}{r}, \quad \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

Now we do a similar business with the arc  $\beta$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\beta(0) = (0, 0)$ . So, there exists a continuous function  $t_\beta: [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , which is real-analytic in  $(0, \varepsilon)$  such that  $t_\beta(0) = 0$  and

$$\beta = \{(t_\beta(r), r) \mid r \in [0, \varepsilon)\}.$$

Because of real analyticity of  $\beta$ , the intersection at  $\beta(0) = (0, 0)$  is either transverse to  $\{r = 0\}$  or has a finite order tangency. Hence, we have either  $t_\beta \equiv 0$  or  $t_\beta(r) = r^m g(r)$ , where  $g$  is a real-analytic function on  $r > 0$  and satisfies  $g(0) \neq 0$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  or  $\frac{1}{m} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , depending on the way  $\beta$  intersects  $C_{j,k_{i+1}}^u$  at  $\beta(0) = (0, 0)$ . In all cases, we can choose  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{d}{dr} t_\beta = m r^{m-1} g + r^m g' > -\frac{c_2}{r^{1-\lambda}}$$

for all  $r > 0$  small enough.

By choosing  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  smaller if necessary, we conclude from (8.1) and (8.2) that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \tilde{t}_\gamma < \frac{d}{dr} t_\beta, \quad \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$$

finishing the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Instead of  $\hat{\gamma}$ , we can now repeat the above construction using a small arc  $\hat{\gamma}_1 \subset \Psi_{j,k_{i+1}} \circ l_{j,K_i}^{\text{ext}}(\hat{\gamma})$  lying in  $\mathcal{F}_{j,K_{i+1}}^+ \setminus \mathcal{D}_{j,K_{i+1}}^s$ , which corresponds to a transverse intersection of the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$  with the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_{i+2}}^-$ . Proposition 8.2 then provides a transverse intersection between the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the unstable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$  and the branch in  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of the stable manifold of  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_{i+3}}^-$ . Using the periodicity of the sequence  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N, \dots$ , which satisfies  $(j, k_{i+1} - 1) = G(j, k_i), \forall i$ , we repeat this construction to find, for every  $i$ , a transverse homoclinic to  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k_i}^-$ .

The proof of Proposition 8.1 is complete. We are ready to prove Theorem 1.3-(ii).

**8.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3-(ii).** It is well-known that a transverse homoclinic forces positivity of topological entropy. For completeness, we include here the main steps in the construction of an invariant subset  $\Lambda_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j$  whose dynamics contains the Bernoulli shift as a sub-system. We follow the construction in Moser's book [26], see also [1].

Let  $(j, k) \in \mathcal{C}$  be such that  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-$  admits a transverse homoclinic orbit, as obtained in Proposition 8.1. Consider a point  $q_0 \in C_{j,k-1}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$  which corresponds to a transverse homoclinic to  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k-1}^+ = \mathcal{P}_{j,k}^-$ . This point lies in a small arc  $V_\infty \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$  which is transverse to  $C_{j,k-1}^s$  and is the image of an arc  $V'_\infty \subset C_{j,k}^u$  under the forward flow. Denote by

$$(8.3) \quad \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$$

the corresponding diffeomorphism, given by the first forward intersection point, so that  $\mathcal{G}(V'_\infty) = V_\infty$ . Notice that  $V'_\infty \subset \{r = 0\}$ , where  $(t, r)$  are the real-analytic canonical coordinates near  $C_{j,k}^u \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$ . See Section 6.2.

There exists a small arc  $H_\infty \subset C_{j,k-1}^s$ , starting from  $q_0$  which, except for  $q_0$ , does not intersect  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}' \cap \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$ . In this way, we find a small topological square  $Q_0 \subset \mathcal{V}$  near  $q_0$ , whose boundary is formed by the following arcs.

- (i) the two arcs  $H_\infty, V_\infty$  above.
- (ii) an arc  $H_0$  starting from  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}' \cap C_{j,k}^u)$  which, except for this extreme point, is contained in the exterior of  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k-1}^s$  and does not intersect  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}' \cap \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$ .
- (iii) an arc  $V_0$  starting from  $C_{j,k-1}^s$  which, except for this extreme point, is contained in the exterior of  $\mathcal{D}_{j,k-1}^s$  and does not intersect  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}' \cap \mathcal{D}_{j,k}^u)$ .

In local coordinates  $(t, r)$  defined on a neighborhood of  $C_{j,k-1}^s \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$  we may assume that  $H_0$  is a horizontal segment  $r = r_0 > 0$  and  $V_0$  is a horizontal shift of  $V_\infty$ .

We can always find coordinates  $(u, v)$  near  $Q_0$  so that

$$Q_0 \equiv [0, 1] \times [0, 1],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_\infty &\equiv \{0\} \times [0, 1], \\ H_\infty &\equiv [0, 1] \times \{0\}, \\ V_0 &\equiv \{1\} \times [0, 1], \\ H_0 &\equiv [0, 1] \times \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that  $q_0 = (0, 0)$  and that the mapping  $(t, r) \mapsto (u, v)$  has the form

$$(8.4) \quad (u, v) = M(t, r) + O(t^2 + r^2),$$

where  $M$  is an invertible linear mapping.

A vertical strip  $V$  in  $Q_0$  is a topological closed disk whose boundary consists of horizontal arcs  $h_0 \subset H_0$  and  $h_\infty \subset H_\infty$  and two regular arcs  $v_1, v_2 \subset Q_0$  that connect  $H_0$  and  $H_\infty$ , see Figure 8.1. We assume that the arcs  $v_1, v_2$  are transverse to the horizontals  $[0, 1] \times \text{const}$ . A horizontal strip in  $Q_0$  is similarly defined.




FIGURE 8.1. The square  $Q_0$  and a vertical strip.

Let

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{G} \circ l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}} : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \subset Q_0 \rightarrow Q_0,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{-1} \subset Q_0$  is its domain of definition. Abusing the notation, we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} := (l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{-1}) =: \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow Q_0,$$

the mapping given by the first return point via the backward flow.

**Lemma 8.3.** *If  $Q_0$  is (suitably chosen) sufficiently small, then  $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$  is formed by countably many horizontal strips  $H_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $Q_0$ , monotonically accumulating on  $H_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is formed by countably many vertical strips  $V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $Q_0$ , monotonically accumulating on  $V_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For every  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \circ l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}(H_n) = V_n$ , and the vertical (horizontal) boundary components of  $H_n$  are mapped to the respective vertical (horizontal) boundary components of  $V_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{Q}_0 := \mathcal{G}^{-1}(Q_0) \subset \mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$ . In coordinates  $(t, r)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$ ,  $\tilde{Q}_0$  is a square whose sides are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\infty &:= \mathcal{G}^{-1}(V_\infty), \\ \tilde{H}_0 &:= \mathcal{G}^{-1}(V_0), \\ \tilde{V}_\infty &:= \mathcal{G}^{-1}(H_\infty), \\ \tilde{V}_0 &:= \mathcal{G}^{-1}(H_0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tilde{H}_\infty = V'_\infty$ . Recall that in coordinates  $(t, r)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$ , we have  $H_0 \subset \{r = r_0\}$ , for some  $r_0 > 0$  small, and  $V_0 = V_\infty + (t_0, 0)$  for some  $|t_0| > 0$  small. Taking  $r_0$  and  $|t_0|$  sufficiently small, we can assume that  $\tilde{H}_0$  is arbitrarily  $C^1$ -close to  $\tilde{H}_\infty \subset \{r = 0\}$  and  $\tilde{V}_0$  is arbitrarily  $C^1$  close to  $\tilde{V}_\infty$ . Moreover, the image under  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$  of the curves  $v_t = V_\infty + (t, 0), |t| \leq |t_0|$ , are also  $C^1$ -close to  $\tilde{H}_0$  and  $\tilde{H}_\infty$ .

Now observe that  $l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}(V_\infty \setminus \{q_0\})$  transversely intersects  $\tilde{V}_\infty$  and is arbitrarily  $C^1$ -close to the horizontal line  $\{r = 0\}$ . This follows from Propositions 7.3 and 8.2. Hence, if  $r_0, |t_0| > 0$  are sufficiently small and suitably chosen, then  $l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}(Q_0 \setminus H_\infty) \cap \tilde{Q}_0$  consists of countably many horizontal strips  $\tilde{H}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\tilde{Q}_0$ . In

particular, the image of such strips under  $\mathcal{G}$  are vertical strips  $V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $Q_0$ , ordered from right to left.

Finally, notice that a lift  $\tilde{l}^{-1}$  of  $(l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}})^{-1}$  in coordinates  $(t, r)$  has the form

$$\tilde{l}^{-1}(t, r) = (t - g(r) + h(r) \ln r, r), \quad \forall(t, r),$$

for suitable real-analytic functions  $g(r), h(r)$ , defined near  $r = 0$ , with  $h(0) > 0$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{l}^{-1}$  shares the same properties of a lift  $\tilde{l}$  representing  $l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}$  and we can apply Propositions 7.3 and 8.2 to the curve  $\tilde{V}_\infty$  (or  $\tilde{V}_0$ ) to conclude that  $H_n := (l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}})^{-1}(\tilde{H}_n)$  are horizontal strips in  $Q_0$  that accumulate on  $H_\infty$ , and are ordered from top to bottom. Moreover, the horizontal (vertical) boundary components of  $\tilde{H}_n$  are mapped under  $\tilde{l}^{-1}$  to vertical (horizontal) boundary components of  $H_n$ . The interchanging of vertical (horizontal) strips between  $\tilde{Q}_0$  and  $Q_0$  under the mapping  $\mathcal{G}$  finishes the proof of this proposition.  $\square$

Each vertical strip  $V_n \subset \mathcal{V}_1$  is regarded as a new square and its image under  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of infinitely many vertical strips, precisely one sub-strip of each strip in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}_2 := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}_1) \subset \mathcal{V}_1$  consists of countably many vertical strips, with countably many sub-strips of each strip in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{-2})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{-2} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-1}$  consists of countably many horizontal strips, with countably many sub-strips of each strip in  $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ .

Repeating indefinitely this construction, we obtain sequences

$$(8.5) \quad \mathcal{V}_1 \supset \mathcal{V}_2 \supset \mathcal{V}_3 \supset \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supset \mathcal{H}_{-2} \supset \mathcal{H}_{-3} \supset \dots,$$

so that  $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$  consists of countably many vertical strips, with countably many sub-strips of each strip in  $\mathcal{V}_n$ . In the same way,  $\mathcal{H}_{-n-1}$  consists of countably many horizontal strips, with countably many sub-strips of each strip in  $\mathcal{H}_{-n}$ . The image of  $\mathcal{H}_{-n}$  under  $\mathcal{P}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{V}_n$ .




FIGURE 8.2. The image of the horizontal strip  $H_n$  under  $\mathcal{P}$  is the vertical strip  $V_n$  for every  $n$ .

The non-empty compact subsets of  $Q_0$ , defined by

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} \text{closure}(\mathcal{H}_{-i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{V}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{+\infty} \text{closure}(\mathcal{V}_i),$$

contain points whose forward and backward trajectories remain in the fixed component  $\mathcal{U}_j$  of  $S^3 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{S}_i$ , respectively.

The non-empty compact subset

$$\bar{\Lambda} := \bar{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \bar{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset Q_0,$$

contains points whose entire trajectories remain in  $\mathcal{U}_j$ . It admits a symbolic dynamics as we outline below. Notice that some points in  $\bar{\Lambda}$  are eventually mapped to  $H_\infty$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is not well-defined. Similarly,  $\mathcal{P}^{-1}$  is not well-defined on  $V_\infty$ .

Let  $\bar{\Sigma}$  be the set of doubly infinite sequences  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of the form

$$\dots, \infty, \infty, a_{l_0}, \dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r_0}, \infty, \infty, \dots,$$

where  $a_n$  is a positive integer for every  $l_0 \leq n \leq r_0$ , and  $a_n = \infty$  for  $n < l_0$  and for  $n > r_0$ . Here,  $-\infty \leq l_0 \leq 0 \leq r_0 \leq +\infty$ . The usual shift in  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is given by

$$\sigma(a)_n := a_{n+1}, \forall n,$$

and is defined only if  $a_0 \neq \infty$ . Similarly, one has the inverse  $\sigma^{-1}$  of  $\sigma$ .

The conjugation  $h: (\bar{\Lambda}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (\bar{\Sigma}, \sigma)$  maps each  $x \in \bar{\Lambda}$  to  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}^n(x) \in V_{a_n}, \quad -\infty \leq l_0 \leq n \leq r_0 \leq +\infty.$$

If  $\mathcal{P}^{r_0}(x) \in H_\infty$  for some  $0 < r_0 < +\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^{r_0+1}(x)$  is not well-defined. In this case, we define  $h(x)_n := \infty$  for every  $n > r_0$ . In the same way, if  $\mathcal{P}^{l_0}(x) \in V_\infty$  for some  $-\infty < l_0 < 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^{l_0-1}(x)$  is not well-defined, and we define  $h(x)_n := \infty$  for every  $n < l_0$ . We also define  $h(q_0) = (\dots, \infty, \infty, \infty, \dots)$ . Hence

$$h \circ \mathcal{P} = \sigma \circ h,$$

wherever these compositions are defined. By Lemma 8.3 and the reasoning just after it, we see that

$$h(\bar{\Lambda}) = \bar{\Sigma}.$$

The subset  $\Sigma \subset \bar{\Sigma}$  of sequences whose entries are positive integers, that is  $l_0 = -\infty$  and  $r_0 = +\infty$ , corresponds to an invariant subset  $\Lambda \subset \bar{\Lambda}$ , all whose iterates of  $\mathcal{P}$  (positive and negative) are defined. Our estimates below show that  $\Lambda$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $\Sigma$ .

Now we study the hyperbolic structure of the invariant set  $\Lambda$ . To do that, we first compute the derivative of  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G} \circ l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}$  using the real-analytic canonical coordinates  $(t, r)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k}^-$  and on  $\mathcal{F}_{j,k-1}^+$ . Since the lift  $\tilde{l}$  representing  $l_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}$  has the form

$$\tilde{l}(t, r) = (t + g(r) - h(r) \ln r, r), \quad \forall (t, r),$$

for suitable real-analytic functions  $g(r)$  and  $h(r)$  defined near  $r = 0$ , with  $h(0) > 0$ , we find

$$d\tilde{l}(t, r) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & g'(r) - h'(r) - \frac{h(r)}{r} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (t, r).$$

Observe that the dominant term in  $d\tilde{l}(t, r)$  satisfies

$$(8.6) \quad L(r) := g'(r) - h'(r) - \frac{h(r)}{r} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

A lift of the global mapping  $\mathcal{G}$  is represented by  $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}(t, r)$ . We may assume that  $q_0 \equiv (0, 0)$  and  $\tilde{q}_0 = \mathcal{G}^{-1}(q_0) \equiv (0, 0)$ . Due to the transversality of the homoclinic trajectory, we may also assume that

$$d\tilde{\psi}(t, r) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A(t, r) & B(t, r) \\ C(t, r) & D(t, r) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ C_0 & D_0 \end{pmatrix} = d\tilde{\psi}(0, 0) \quad \text{as } (t, r) \rightarrow (0, 0),$$



where  $A_0D_0 - B_0C_0 = 1$  and  $C < 0$ . Notice that  $(A_0, C_0)^T = d\tilde{\psi}(0, 0) \cdot (1, 0)^T$  is tangent to  $V_\infty$  at  $(0, 0)$ . Hence,

$$d\mathcal{P} = d\mathcal{G} \cdot dl_{j,k-1}^{\text{ext}}$$

is represented by

$$d\tilde{\psi} \cdot d\tilde{l} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A(t, r) & A(t, r)L(r) + B(t, r) \\ C(t, r) & C(t, r)L(r) + D(t, r) \end{pmatrix},$$

whose eigenvalues satisfy

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\text{tr}}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\text{tr}^2 - 4}}{2},$$

where

$$\text{tr} := A(t, r) + D(t, r) + C(t, r)L(r) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $\lambda_+ \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\lambda_- \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . The respective eigenspaces  $E_+(t, r)$  and  $E_-(t, r)$  converge to

$$E_+ := \mathbb{R}(A_0, C_0)^T \quad \text{and} \quad E_- := \mathbb{R}(1, 0)^T,$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Notice that  $E_+$  is tangent to  $V_\infty$  and  $E_-$  is tangent to  $H_\infty$  at  $q_0 \equiv (0, 0)$ . It follows that in coordinates  $(u, v)$  (for which  $Q_0 \equiv [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , see (8.4)), we have

$$E_+ \sim \mathbb{R}(0, 1)^T \quad \text{and} \quad E_- \sim (1, 0)^T.$$

Fixing  $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$  and taking  $Q_0$  sufficiently small, we conclude that the tangent cones

$$\zeta_{(u,v)} := \{|\delta_v| < \mu|\delta_u|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{(u,v)} := \{|\delta_u| < \mu|\delta_v|\},$$

with

$$\delta_u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \delta_v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \in T_{(u,v)}Q_0 \equiv \mathbb{R}^2,$$

satisfy

$$d\mathcal{P} \cdot \eta_{(u,v)} \subset \eta_{\mathcal{P}(u,v)} \quad \text{and} \quad d\mathcal{P}^{-1} \cdot \zeta_{(u,v)} \subset \zeta_{\mathcal{P}^{-1}(u,v)},$$

and

$$|d\mathcal{P} \cdot \eta| > \mu^{-1}|\eta|, \quad \forall \eta \in \eta_{(u,v)} \quad \text{and} \quad |d\mathcal{P}^{-1} \cdot \zeta| < \mu|\zeta|, \quad \forall \zeta \in \zeta_{(u,v)}.$$

As proved in [26, chapter III], the mapping  $h: \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$  is a conjugation between  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\sigma$ . In particular, the topological entropy of  $\mathcal{P}$  is positive. The trajectories through  $\Lambda$  form an invariant subset  $\Lambda_j \subset \mathcal{U}_j$ , where the Reeb flow has positive topological entropy. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bernard, C. Grotta Ragazzo, and P. A. Santoro Salomão. Homoclinic orbits near saddle-center fixed points of Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom. *Astérisque*, 286:xviii–xix, 151–165, 2003. Geometric methods in dynamics. I.
- [2] F. Bourgeois, Y. Eliashberg, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Compactness results in symplectic field theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:799–888, 2003.
- [3] L. E. J. Brouwer. Beweis des ebenen Translationssatzes. *Math. Ann.*, 72(1):37–54, 1912.
- [4] C. Conley. Twist mappings, linking, analyticity, and periodic solutions which pass close to an unstable periodic solution. *Topological Dynamics*, pages 129–153, 1968.
- [5] B. Dacorogna and J. Moser. On a partial differential equation involving the Jacobian determinant. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 7(1):1–26, 1990.
- [6] N. V. de Paulo, S. Kim, P. A. S. Salomão, A. Schneider, and A. Vanderlinde. Weakly convex foliations in mechanical systems. *in preparation*, 2022.

- [7] N. V. de Paulo and P. A. S. Salomão. Systems of transversal sections near critical energy levels of Hamiltonian systems in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 252(1202):v+105, 2018.
- [8] N. V. de Paulo and P. A. S. Salomão. On the multiplicity of periodic orbits and homoclinics near critical energy levels of Hamiltonian systems in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(2):859–887, 2019.
- [9] N. V. de Paulo and P. A. S. Salomão. Reeb flows, pseudo-holomorphic curves and transverse foliations. *São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, pages 1–26, 2022.
- [10] D. L. Dragnev. Fredholm theory and transversality for noncompact pseudoholomorphic maps in symplectizations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57(6):726–763, 2004.
- [11] J. Franks. Generalizations of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(1):139–151, 1988.
- [12] J. Franks. Area preserving homeomorphisms of open surfaces of genus zero. *New York J. Math.*, 2:1–19, electronic, 1996.
- [13] U. Frauenfelder and O. van Koert. *The restricted three-body problem and holomorphic curves*. Pathways in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [14] H. Hofer. Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations with applications to the Weinstein conjecture in dimension three. *Invent. Math.*, 114(3):515–563, 1993.
- [15] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Properties of pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectisations. II. Embedding controls and algebraic invariants. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(2):270–328, 1995.
- [16] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Properties of pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectisations. I. Asymptotics. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 13(3):337–379, 1996.
- [17] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. The dynamics on three-dimensional strictly convex energy surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(1):197–289, 1998.
- [18] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Properties of pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations. III. Fredholm theory. In *Topics in nonlinear analysis*, volume 35 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 381–475. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [19] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Finite energy cylinders of small area. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 22(5):1451–1486, 2002.
- [20] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. Finite energy foliations of tight three-spheres and Hamiltonian dynamics. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(1):125–255, 2003.
- [21] U. L. Hryniewicz and P. A. S. Salomão. Global surfaces of section for Reeb flows in dimension three and beyond. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. II. Invited lectures*, pages 941–967. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [22] A. Katok. Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 51:137–173, 1980.
- [23] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
- [24] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [25] J. Moser. The analytic invariants of an area-preserving mapping near a hyperbolic fixed point. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 9:673–692, 1956.
- [26] J. Moser. *Stable and random motions in dynamical systems*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1973. With special emphasis on celestial mechanics, Hermann Weyl Lectures, the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N. J., Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 77.
- [27] J. Nelson. *Applications of automatic transversality in contact homology*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Wisconsin - Madison.
- [28] G. P. Paternain. *Geodesic flows*, volume 180 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [29] P. A. S. Salomão. Convex energy levels of Hamiltonian systems. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 4(2):439–457 (2004), 2003.
- [30] R. Siefring. Intersection theory of punctured pseudoholomorphic curves. *Geom. Topol.*, 15(4):2351–2457, 2011.

- [31] R. Siefring. Finite-energy pseudoholomorphic planes with multiple asymptotic limits. *Math. Ann.*, 368(1-2):367–390, 2017.
- [32] C. Wendl. Automatic transversality and orbifolds of punctured holomorphic curves in dimension four. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 85(2):347–407, 2010.
- [33] C. Wendl. Lectures on symplectic field theory. *arXiv preprint*, 2016.

NAIARA DE PAULO, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,  
RUA JOÃO PESSOA, 2514, BAIRRO VELHA, BLUMENAU SC, BRAZIL 89036-004  
*Email address:* `naiara.vergian@ufsc.br`

UMBERTO L. HRYNIEWICZ, RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, JAKOBSTRASSE 2, AACHEN 52064,  
GERMANY  
*Email address:* `hryniewicz@mathga.rwth-aachen.de`

SEONGCHAN KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KONGJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,  
KONGJU 32588, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* `seongchankim@kongju.ac.kr`

PEDRO A. S. SALOMÃO, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,  
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO, 1010, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, SÃO PAULO SP,  
BRAZIL 05508-090  
*Email address:* `psalomao@ime.usp.br`

NYU-ECNU INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AT NYU SHANGHAI, 3663 ZHONGSHAN  
ROAD NORTH, SHANGHAI, 200062, CHINA  
*Email address:* `pas383@nyu.edu`