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#### Abstract

We study a system of equations arising in the Chern-Simons model on finite graphs. Using the iteration scheme and the upper and lower solutions method, we get existence of solutions in the non-critical case. The critical case is dealt with by priori estimates. Our results generalize those of Huang et al. (Journal of Functional Analysis 281(10) (2021) Paper No. 109218).
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## 1. Introduction

The Chern-Simons models describe gauge fields governed by Chern-Simons type dynamics, and explain certain phenomena in the fields of particle physics, condensed matter physics and so on [1, 2, 3]. Some Chern-Simons models can be reduced to elliptic equations with exponential nonlinearities. Many studies were devoted to self-dual Chern-Simons equations including nonrelativistic and relativistic cases, Abelian and non-Abelian cases.

In this paper, we consider the following Chern-Simons system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u}\right)+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}},  \tag{1.1}\\
\Delta v=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v}\right)+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

on a finite graph, where $G>0, H>0$ are increasing, $C^{\infty}$ functions in $[0, \infty) ; g$ and $h$ are defined by $g\left(s^{2}\right)=\int_{s}^{1} 2 s G\left(s^{2}\right)$ ds and $h\left(s^{2}\right)=\int_{s}^{1} 2 s H\left(s^{2}\right) d s$ respectively; $\lambda>0$ is a constant; $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are positive integers; $\delta_{p}$ is the Dirac delta mass at vertex $p$. The system (1.1) was proposed in [4] to study the $U(1) \times U(1)$ Chern-Simons model with a general Higgs potential. For the special case $G \equiv 1$ and $H \equiv 1$, the existence of solutions to the system (1.1) was obtained in [5, 6], and the discrete form of (1.1) on finite graphs was investigated in [7]. For more results on discrete equations with exponential nonlinearities, one may refer to $[8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15]$.

We write $G=(V, E)$ to denote a connected finite graph, where $V$ and $E$ represent vertices and edges respectively. We assume the weight $\omega_{x y}>0$ on edge $x y$ is symmetric. Let $\mu: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a finite measure. For functions $u, v: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the $\mu$-Laplace operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u(x)=\frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}(u(y)-u(x)), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and let
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(u, v)=\frac{1}{2 \mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}(u(y)-u(x))(v(y)-v(x)), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $y \sim x$ means vertex $y$ is adjacent to vertex $x$. Write

$$
|\nabla u|(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}(u(y)-u(x))^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

For any function $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the integral of $f$ over $V$ is defined by

$$
\int_{V} f d \mu=\sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) f(x)
$$

We define the Sobolev space as in the Euclidean case by

$$
W^{1,2}(V)=\left\{u \mid u: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_{V}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d \mu<+\infty\right\} .
$$

We get the following results about the existence of maximal solutions.
Theorem 1.1. There exists $\lambda_{c} \geq \frac{4 \pi \max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}}{G(1) H(1)|V|}$ such that
(i) If $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$, the system (1.1) admits a unique maximal solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)$ in the sense that if $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$ is any other solution, then $u_{\lambda}>u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}>v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Moreover, if $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{c}$, then $u_{\lambda_{1}}>u_{\lambda_{2}}$ and $v_{\lambda_{1}}>v_{\lambda_{2}}$.
(ii) If $\lambda<\lambda_{c}$, the system (1.1) admits no solution.
(iii) If $\lambda=\lambda_{c}$, the system (1.1) admits a solution $\left(u_{*}, v_{*}\right)$ which satisfies $u_{*}<u_{\lambda}$ and $v_{*}<v_{\lambda}$ if $\lambda_{c}<\lambda$.

We also use the iterative scheme as in [6, 4, 16], while use different methods in the proof of the case (iii) in Theorem 1.1. Our results generalize those of Huang et al. [16].

## 2. Proof of the main results

Let $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ be the solution to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=-\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|}+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}},  \tag{2.1}\\
\Delta v=-\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime \prime}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Set $u^{\prime}=u_{0}+u$ and $v^{\prime}=v_{0}+v$ if $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ is the solution to the system 1.1). Substituting them into (1.1) gives

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|},  \tag{2.2}\\
\Delta v=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We say that $\left(u_{-}, v_{-}\right)$is a lower solution of (2.2) if it satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u_{-} \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|}  \tag{2.3}\\
\Delta v_{-} \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=\left(-u_{0},-v_{0}\right)$. We carry out the following iteration procedure

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\Delta-K) u_{n+1}=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n}}\right)-K u_{n}+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|}  \tag{2.4}\\
(\Delta-K) v_{n+1}=-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n}}\right)-K v_{n}+\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ be the sequence determined by (2.4). Then for any lower solution $\left(u_{-}, v_{-}\right)$of (2.2), there holds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}>u_{2}>\cdots>u_{n}>\cdots>u_{-}  \tag{2.5}\\
v_{1}>v_{2}>\cdots>v_{n}>\cdots>v_{-}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, if (2.4) has a lower solution, it admits a unique maximal solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)$ in the sense that if $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$ is any other solution, then $u_{\lambda}>u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}>v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$.
Proof. We will prove it by the induction method. For $n=1$, by the iteration scheme, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\Delta-K)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)=4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}}  \tag{2.6}\\
(\Delta-K)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)=4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the maximum principle, i.e., Lemma 4.1 in [16] indicates $u_{2} \leq u_{1}$ and $v_{2} \leq v_{1}$. Suppose that $u_{2}-u_{1}$ attains the maximum 0 at some $x_{0} \in V$. Then by (2.6), we obtain $\Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$. However, by (1.2), $\Delta\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0$. Hence, $\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(x)=\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ if $x \sim x_{0}$, which yields $\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)(x) \equiv 0$ since $G$ is connected. This leads to a contradiction with the inequality $(\Delta-K)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)>0$ at $p_{j}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $u_{2}<u_{1}$, and similarly, $v_{2}<v_{1}$. Now suppose that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}>u_{2}>\cdots>u_{n},  \tag{2.7}\\
v_{1}>v_{2}>\cdots>v_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Choose $K>\lambda H(1) G(1)$. It is seen from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta-K)\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right) & =-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n}}\right)+\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n-1}}\right)-K\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq-\lambda H(1)\left(g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n}}\right)-g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n-1}}\right)\right)-K\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda H(1) e^{\xi} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi}\right)-K\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq(\lambda H(1) G(1)-K)\left(u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& >0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the mean value theorem and $u_{0}+u_{n} \leq \xi \leq u_{0}+u_{n-1}$. Applying the same method as in proving $u_{2}<u_{1}$, we obtain $u_{n+1}<u_{n}$. Hence, we get

$$
u_{1}>u_{2}>\cdots>u_{n}>\cdots
$$

Similarly, there also holds

$$
v_{1}>v_{2}>\cdots>v_{n}>\cdots
$$

Next we prove $u_{n}>u_{-}$and $v_{n}>v_{-}$for any $n$. For $n=1$, we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right) & \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}} \\
& =-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right)\left[g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)-g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{1}}\right)\right]+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\xi} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi}\right)\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)+4 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{p_{j}^{\prime}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi$ lies between $u_{-}-u_{1}$ and 0 . Noting that $G$ is finite, we have that there exists $x_{0}$ such that $\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $\max _{x \in V}\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)(x)$. Assuming that $\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$, then by (2.8) we have $\Delta\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$. Again, we have $\Delta x \in V\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0$ by (1.2). Hence, $\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)(x)=\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$ if $x \sim x_{0}$, and $\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)(x) \equiv\left(u_{-}-u_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$ since $G$ is connected, which contradicts (2.8) at $p_{j}^{\prime}$. Hence, the assumption is not true and $u_{-}<u_{1}$. Similarly, $v_{-}<v_{1}$. For some $n \geq 1$, assume that $u_{-}<u_{n-1}$ and $v_{-}<v_{n-1}$. In view of (2.3) and (2.4), we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta-K)\left(u_{-}-u_{n}\right) & \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)+\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n-1}}\right)-K\left(u_{-}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}}\right)\left(g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)-g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{n-1}}\right)\right)-K\left(u_{-}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{n-1}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\xi} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{\xi}\right)\left(u_{-}-u_{n-1}\right)-K\left(u_{-}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq(\lambda H(1) G(1)-K)\left(u_{-}-u_{n-1}\right) \\
& >0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{-}+u_{0} \leq \xi \leq u_{n-1}+u_{0}$. By the maximum principle, we have $u_{-} \leq u_{n}$. Using the same argument as before, we get $u_{-}<u_{n}$. Similarly, $v_{-}<v_{n}$.

It easy to see that if the system (2.2) has a lower solution, then it admits a solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$. If $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$ is any other solution, noting that $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$ is also a lower solution of (2.2), there holds $u_{\lambda} \geq u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda} \geq v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, proceeding analogously as before, we get

$$
(\Delta-K)\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right) \geq(\lambda H(1) G(1)-K)\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right) \geq 0
$$

Assuming that $\max _{x \in V}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right)(x)=\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ for some $x_{0} \in V$, then we conclude that $\Delta\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$. Hence $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right)(x)=0$ if $x \sim x_{0}$. The connectedness of $G$ leads to $\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}-u_{\lambda}\right)(x) \equiv 0$. Similarly, $v_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x) \equiv v_{\lambda}(x)$. This contradicts the assumption $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right) \neq\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, $u_{\lambda}>u_{\lambda}^{\prime}, v_{\lambda}>v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Thus, in this sense, $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)$ is a unique maximal solution.

Lemma 2.2. The system (2.2) has a solution if $\lambda$ is big enough.
Proof. Observe that the functions $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ are bounded since $G$ is finite. Thus, there exists ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ ) such that $u_{0}-c_{1}<$ 0 and $v_{0}-c_{2}<0$. Let $\left(u_{-}, v_{-}\right)=\left(-c_{1},-c_{2}\right)$. It is obvious that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u_{-} \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|}  \tag{2.9}\\
\Delta v_{-} \geq-\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{-}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{-}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}
\end{array}\right.
$$

if $\lambda$ is big enough. Hence $\left(u_{-}, v_{-}\right)$is a lower solution of the system (2.2). This guarantees the existence of the solution.

Lemma 2.3. There exists $\lambda_{c}>0$ such that if $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$, the system (2.2) admits a solution, while if $\lambda<\lambda_{c}$, the system (2.2) admits no solution.

Proof. If the system (2.2) admits a solution $(u, v)$, then by integrating both sides of equations in (2.2) on $V$, we get the necessary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \geq \frac{4 \pi \max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}}{G(1) H(1)|V|} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the set

$$
\Lambda:=\{\lambda>0 \mid \lambda \text { is such that the system }(2.2) \text { has a solution }\} .
$$

Assume that $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and denote by $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)$ the solution to the system (2.2). For $\lambda_{1} \in \Lambda$ and $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$, it follows from (2.2) that $\left(u_{\lambda_{1}}, v_{\lambda_{1}}\right)$ is a lower solution for (2.2) with $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$. Hence, we infer that $\left[\lambda_{1},+\infty\right) \subset \Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ is an interval. Denote $\lambda_{c}=\inf \{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. The inequality (2.10) yields $\lambda_{c} \geq \frac{4 \pi \max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}}{G(1) H(1)|V|}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 indicate that if $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$, the system (2.2) has a maximal solution. Denote by $\left\{\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right) \mid \lambda>\lambda_{c}\right\}$ the family of maximal solutions of (2.2). Assume $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{c}$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_{\lambda_{2}}= & -\lambda_{2} \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|} \\
= & -\lambda_{1} \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|} \\
& +\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) \\
\geq & -\lambda_{1} \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{1}}{|V|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\Delta v_{\lambda_{2}} \geq-\lambda_{1} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda_{2}}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda_{2}}}\right)+\frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{|V|}
$$

Hence, $\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}, v_{\lambda_{2}}\right)$ is a lower solution of (2.2) with $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$. Thus, $u_{\lambda_{1}} \geq u_{\lambda_{2}}$ and $v_{\lambda_{1}} \geq v_{\lambda_{2}}$ by Lemma 2.1 Furthermore, the same argument as before leads to the inequality

$$
\Delta\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}-u_{\lambda_{1}}\right)>\lambda_{1} G(1) H(1)\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}-u_{\lambda_{1}}\right) .
$$

Assuming that $\max _{x \in V}\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}-u_{\lambda_{1}}\right)(x)=\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}-u_{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ for some $x_{0} \in V$. It follows that $\Delta\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}-u_{\lambda_{1}}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, which is impossible. Hence $u_{\lambda_{1}}(x)>u_{\lambda_{2}}(x)$ for all $x \in V$. Similarly, $v_{\lambda_{1}}>v_{\lambda_{2}}$. Next we use priori estimates to deal with the critical case. We make the decomposition $u_{\lambda}=\bar{u}_{\lambda}+u_{\lambda}^{\prime}$, where $\bar{u}_{\lambda}=\frac{1}{|V|} \int_{V} u_{\lambda} d \mu$ and $u_{\lambda}^{\prime}=u_{\lambda}-\bar{u}_{\lambda}$. By (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\lambda \int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}}\right) u_{\lambda}^{\prime} d \mu \\
& \leq \lambda G(1) H(1) \int_{V}\left|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right| d \mu \leq C \lambda|V|^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Poincaré inequality, i.e., Lemma 6 in 11 . Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C \lambda \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting $u_{0}+u_{\lambda}=u_{0}+\bar{u}_{\lambda}+u_{\lambda}^{\prime}<0$, by integration on $V$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{\lambda}<-\frac{1}{|V|} \int_{V} u_{0}(x) d \mu . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating the second equation in (2.2) on $V$, it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}} d \mu \geq \frac{4 \pi N_{2}}{G(1) H(1)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Trudinger-Moser inequality, i.e., Lemma 7 in [11], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}} d \mu & =\int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+\bar{u}_{\lambda}+u_{\lambda}^{\prime}} d \mu \leq \mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{\lambda}} \max _{x \in V} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}} \int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{u_{\lambda}^{\prime}} d \mu \\
& \leq C \mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{\lambda}} \int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\| \frac{u_{\lambda}^{\prime}}{\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}}} d \mu \leq C \mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{\lambda}} \int_{V} \mathrm{e}^{\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left.\| u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\| \| \nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime} \|_{2}^{2}}} d \mu  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq C \mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{\lambda}} \mathrm{e}^{\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.13) and (2.14) give

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{e}^{\bar{u}_{\lambda}} \geq C \lambda^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left\|\nabla u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}}, \\
\end{gathered}
$$

which together with (2.11) and (2.12) gives

$$
\left|\bar{u}_{\lambda}\right| \leq C\left(1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right) .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C\left(1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C\left(1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\lambda_{c}<\lambda<\lambda_{c}+1$. Noting (2.15) and (2.16) and the fact that the space $W^{1,2}(V)$ is precompact, we conclude $u_{\lambda} \rightarrow u_{*} \in W^{1,2}(V), v_{\lambda} \rightarrow v_{*} \in W^{1,2}(V)$, pointwisely, as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{c}$. Hence, we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta u_{\lambda} \rightarrow \Delta u_{*}, \Delta v_{\lambda} \rightarrow \Delta v_{*} \\
\lambda \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{c} \mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{*}} H\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{*}}\right) g\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{*}}\right), \\
\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{\lambda}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{\lambda}}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{c} \mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{*}} G\left(\mathrm{e}^{u_{0}+u_{*}}\right) h\left(\mathrm{e}^{v_{0}+v_{*}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{c}$. Thus, $\left(u_{*}, v_{*}\right)$ is a solution of (2.2) with $\lambda=\lambda_{c}$. The following lemma is established.
Lemma 2.4. If $\lambda=\lambda_{c}$, then the system (2.2) admits a solution.
Arguing as in proving that $\left(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}\right)$ is monotone, one can show that $u_{\lambda}>u_{*}$ and $v_{\lambda}>v_{*}$ if $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$.
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