

# HYPERSPACES WITH A COUNTABLE CHARACTER OF CLOSED SUBSETS

CHUAN LIU AND FUCAI LIN

ABSTRACT. For a regular space  $X$ , the hyperspace  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  (resp.,  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ ) is the space of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  with the Fell topology (resp., Vietoris topology). In this paper, we give the characterization of the space  $X$  such that the hyperspace  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  (resp.,  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ ) with a countable character of closed subsets. We mainly prove that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  has a countable character on each closed subset if and only if  $X$  is compact metrizable, and  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  has a countable character on each compact subset if and only if  $X$  is locally compact and separable metrizable. Moreover, we prove that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  have the compact- $G_\delta$  property if and only if  $X$  have the compact- $G_\delta$  property and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the base space  $X$  is always supposed to be  $T_1$  and regular. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\omega$  denote the sets of all positive integers and all non-negative integers, respectively. Given a topological space  $X$ , the following collections of subsets of  $X$  are the *hyperspaces* that we will consider.

$$\mathcal{F}_n(X) = \{A \subset X : |A| \leq n, A \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_n(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is a non-empty, compact subset in } X\} \text{ and}$$

$$CL(X) = \{H \subset X : H \text{ is non-empty, closed in } X\}.$$

For any open subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  of space  $X$ , let

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle = \{H \in CL(X) : H \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \text{ and } H \cap U_j \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

We endow  $CL(X)$  with *Vietoris topology* defined as the topology generated by

$$\{\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle : U_1, \dots, U_k \text{ are open subsets of } X, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For any open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , let

$$U^- = \{H \in CL(X) : H \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$U^+ = \{H \in CL(X) : H \subset U\}.$$

We endow  $CL(X)$  with *Fell topology* defined as the topology generated by the following two families as a subbase:

$$\{U^- : U \text{ is any non-empty open in } X\}$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 54B20; secondary 54D99, 54E20.

*Key words and phrases.* hyperspaces; Vietoris topology; Fell Topology;  $D_1$ -space;  $D_0$ -space;  $\gamma$ -space.

The second author is supported by the Key Program of the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No: 2020J02043), the NSFC (No. 11571158), the Institute of Meteorological Big Data-Digital Fujian and Fujian Key Laboratory of Data Science and Statistics.

and

$$\{(K^c)^+ : K \text{ is a compact subset of } X, K \neq X\}.$$

We denote the hyperspace with the Vietoris topology and the Fell topology by  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  and  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  respectively. For  $T_2$  space, it is well known that  $F_1(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  in hyperspaces with the Vietoris topology or the Fell topology, so we consider all hyperspaces have a closed copy of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$  ( $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (CL(X), \tau_V)$ ) be a subset of  $X$  ( $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ ). Then the *closure* of  $A$  ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) in  $X$  ( $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ ) is denoted by  $\overline{A}$  ( $\overline{Cl(\mathcal{A})}$ ), and  $NI(X)$  and  $I(X)$  are the sets of all non-isolated points and isolated points of  $X$  respectively. For undefined notations and terminologies, the reader may refer to [4], [5] and [13].

It is well known that the topics of the hyperspace has been the focus of much research, see [2, 6, 13, 17]. There are many results on the hyperspace  $CL(X)$  of a topological space  $X$  equipped with various topologies. In this paper, we endow  $CL(X)$  with the Vietoris topology  $\tau_V$  and the Fell topology  $\tau_F$  respectively. In 1997, Holá and Levi in [8, Corollary 1.8] gave a characterization of those spaces  $X$  such that  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is first countable; in 2003, Holá, Pelant and Zsilinszky in [7, Theorem 3.1] proved that  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is developable iff  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is Moore iff  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is metrizable iff  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete network iff  $X$  is compact and metrizable. Recently, F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu in [12] considered the following two problems, and gave some partial answers to Problems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

**Problem 1.1.** [12, Problem 1.1] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper subclass of the class of first-countable spaces, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a topological property. If  $(CL(X), \tau_V) \in \mathcal{C}$ , does  $X$  have the property  $\mathcal{P}$ ?

**Problem 1.2.** [12, Problem 1.2] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of generalized metrizable spaces. If  $(CL(X), \tau_V) \in \mathcal{C}$ , is  $X$  compact and metrizable?

Recall that a space  $X$  is a  $D_1$ -space [1] if every closed subset of  $X$  has a countable local base and  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space [18] if every compact subset of  $X$  has a countable local base. Clearly, each  $D_1$ -space is a  $D_0$ -space, each  $D_0$ -space is first-countable, and each Moore space or space with point-countable base or  $\gamma$ -space is a  $D_0$ -space [5]. In [3], M. Dai and C. Liu discussed a characterization, some covering properties and the metrization of  $D_1$ -spaces. In [12], F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu proved that under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ ,  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space<sup>1</sup> if and only if  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a separable metrizable space and  $NI(X)$  of  $X$  is compact. We listed some properties of  $D_1$ -spaces in [3, 12] as follows.

**Fact 1:** If  $X$  is a  $D_1$ -space, then  $NI(X)$  is countably compact, see [3];

**Fact 2:** If  $X$  is a  $D_1$ -space, then  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $NI(X)$  is metrizable, see [3].

**Fact 3:** If  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, then  $X$  is compact metrizable, see [12].

It was proved that if  $X$  is a Moore space or space with a point-countable base, so is  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , see [15, 16] and [10, Theorem 3.11] respectively.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mainly discuss the  $D_1$ -property of the hyperspaces. We first prove that, for a space  $X$ , the hyperspace  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  is compact metrizable. Then we prove that, for a space  $X$ ,  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  is

---

<sup>1</sup>A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space there exists a function  $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau$  such that (i)  $\{g(n, x) : n \in \omega\}$  is a base at  $x$ ; (ii) for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in X$ , there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $y \in g(m, x)$  implies  $g(m, y) \subset g(n, x)$ .

discrete or compact metrizable. In Section 3, we mainly discuss the  $D_0$ -property of the hyperspaces. We prove that, for a space  $X$ ,  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is locally compact and separable metrizable. We also prove that, for a space  $X$ ,  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space and each compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable. Finally, we discuss the  $G_\delta$ -property of hyperspaces and prove that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  have the compact- $G_\delta$  property if and only if  $X$  have the compact- $G_\delta$  property and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable.

## 2. THE $D_1$ -PROPERTY OF THE HYPERSPACES

In this section, we mainly study the  $D_1$ -property of the hyperspaces  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  and  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  of those spaces  $X$  respectively. First, we give a characterization of a space  $X$  such that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space. In order to show that, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** [13, Lemma 2.3.1] *Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  and  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  be subsets of a space  $X$ . Then, in Vietoris topology  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ , we have  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle \subset \langle V_1, \dots, V_m \rangle$  if and only if  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_j \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_j$  and for every  $V_i$  there exists a  $U_k$  such that  $U_k \subset V_i$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** [13, Lemma 2.3.2] *Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be subsets of a space  $X$ . Then*

$$Cl(\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle) = \langle \overline{U}_1, \dots, \overline{U}_n \rangle,$$

where  $Cl(\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle)$  denotes the closure of the set  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ .

We will need the following lemma, the proof of which we include for the sake of the completeness.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of a topological space  $X$  and  $\{U_i : i \leq k\}$  be an open cover of  $K$ . Then, for each  $i \leq k$ , there exists a compact subset  $K_i$  of  $X$  such that  $K_i \subset U_i$ ,  $K = \bigcup_{i \leq k} K_i$  and  $K_i \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $x \in K$ , pick an open subset  $V_x$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset \overline{V}_x \subset U_i$  for some  $i \leq k$ . Since  $K$  is compact and  $\{V_x : x \in K\}$  is an open cover of  $K$ , there is a finite subcover  $\{V_{x_j} : j \leq m\}$  of  $K$  such that for each  $i \leq k$  we have  $V_{x_j} \subset U_i$  for some  $j \leq m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $i \leq k$ , let

$$K_i = K \cap \bigcup \{\overline{V}_{x_j} : \overline{V}_{x_j} \subset U_i\};$$

then  $K_i$  is compact and  $K_i \subset U_i$ . Clearly, we have  $K = \bigcup \{K_i : i \leq k\}$ . Moreover, it is obvious that  $K_i \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be an infinite countable discrete space. Then  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space.*

*Proof.* Enumerate  $D$  as  $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In order to prove this result, we first give the following two Claims.

**Claim 1:** Each  $\{d_i\}$  is a non-isolated point in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ .

Suppose not, then there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\{d_i\}\}$  is open in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ , hence we can pick finitely many open subsets  $\{U_i : i \leq n\}$  and a compact  $K$  of  $D$  such that  $\bigcap \{U_i^- : i \leq n\} \cap (K^c)^+ \subset \{\{d_i\}\}$ . Clearly,  $d_i \notin K$  and  $K \cup \{d_i\} \neq D$ . Pick any  $d \in D \setminus (K \cup \{d_i\})$ . It easily see that  $\{d, d_i\} \in \bigcap \{U_i^- : i \leq n\} \cap (K^c)^+$ , thus  $\{d, d_i\} \in \{\{d_i\}\}$ , that is,  $\{d, d_i\} = \{d_i\}$ , this is a contradiction. Hence each  $\{d_i\}$  is a non-isolated point in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ .

**Claim 2:**  $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has no cluster point in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ .

Indeed, suppose that  $A$  is a cluster point of  $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Pick any  $x \in A$ ; then  $\{x\}^- \cap \mathcal{F}_2(D)$  is a neighborhood of  $A$  in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ , but  $\{x\}^-$  meets at most one  $\{d_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2(D)$ . Thus  $A$  is not a cluster point of  $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , which is a contradiction.

Now we assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space. By Fact 1,  $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$  is countably compact; however, by Claims 1 and 2, this is impossible since  $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has no cluster point in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  or  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is perfect<sup>2</sup>, then  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal<sup>3</sup>.*

*Proof.* We only prove the case for  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  since the case of  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  can be shown by a similar way. Clearly, it suffices to prove that  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is the intersection of countably many open subsets of  $X^2$ . Let  $f : X^2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  defined by  $f((a, b)) = \{a, b\}$ ; then  $f$  is continuous. Indeed, for an open subset  $U$  and compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , we have

$$f^{-1}(U^- \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)) = (U \times X) \cup (X \times U)$$

and

$$f^{-1}((K^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)) = (X \setminus K) \times (X \setminus K),$$

which are open in  $X^2$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous. Since  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is perfect and  $\mathcal{F}_1(X) = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$  is a closed subset of  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_1(X) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is open in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $\Delta = f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(X)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ . Hence  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.  $\square$

Now we can prove one of main theorems in this section.

**Theorem 2.6.** *The following statements are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space;
- (2)  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space;
- (3)  $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space for some  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (4)  $X$  is compact metrizable.

*Proof.* Note that a  $D_1$ -space is a property closed under taking closed subspaces, (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are trivial. Note that if  $X$  is compact, then  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F) = (CL(X), \tau_F) \cong (CL(X), \tau_V) = (\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , the implications (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follow from [13]. We only need to prove (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).

It suffices to consider the case when  $n = 2$ . Assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space. Then  $X$  is a  $D_1$ -space. By Fact 1 and Lemma 2.5,  $NI(X)$  is a countably compact subspace with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $NI(X)$  is metrizable [5, Theorem 2.14], hence it is compact. Now we prove that  $X$  is compact. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an any open cover of  $X$ ; then we can find a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $NI(X) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$ . Let  $D = X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$ ; then  $D \subset I(X)$ . We claim that  $|D| < \omega$ . Otherwise, without loss of generality,  $D$  is an infinite countable closed discrete subset of  $X$ , then it follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space, this is a contradiction. Therefore,  $X$  is covered by finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ , thus  $X$  is compact.

By Lemma 2.5,  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $X$  is metrizable by [5, Theorem 2.13].  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>A space  $X$  is called *perfect* if every closed subset of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

<sup>3</sup>A space  $X$  is said to have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if, there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open covers of  $X$ , such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ .

**Remark 2.7.** *However, if  $X$  is a discrete space, then it is easily verified that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a discrete space, hence  $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_V)$  is discrete for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus all are  $D_1$ -spaces. Therefore, Theorem 2.6 does not hold for the case of the hyperspace with Vietoris topology. However, we have the following theorem.*

In [12], we have proved that for a space  $X$  if  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space then  $X$  is compact and metrizable. Therefore, it is natural to characterize  $X$  such that the subspace  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  of  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, see the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** *The following statements are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space;
- (2)  $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space for some  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (3)  $X$  is discrete or compact metrizable.

*Proof.* The implication of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial since a closed subspace of a  $D_1$ -space is a  $D_1$ -space. It suffices to prove that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $X$  is discrete, then it is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is discrete; if  $X$  is compact metrizable, then  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is compact metrizable by [13]. Hence  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). We only consider the case for  $n = 2$ . Assume  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space. Then  $X$  is a  $D_1$ -space. By fact 1,  $NI(X)$  is countably compact, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $X$  is metrizable. Suppose  $X$  is neither discrete nor compact, there exist a closed infinite countable discrete subset  $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I(X)$  and a non-trivial sequence  $\{x_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X$  converging to  $x \in NI(X)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$ ,  $d_n \neq d_m$  and  $x_n \neq x_m$  for any  $n \neq m$ . Clearly, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $\{d_i, x\} \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  since  $\{d_i, x_n\} \rightarrow \{d_i, x\}$  in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, we prove the following Claim 3. Indeed, since  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, it follows that  $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is countably compact; however, the set  $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is discrete in  $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ .

**Claim 3** The set  $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is discrete in  $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ .

Take any  $K \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ . If  $|K| = 2$ , we write  $K = \{a_1, a_2\}$ , and let  $V_j$  be a neighborhood of  $a_j$  for each  $j \leq 2$  such that  $|(V_1 \cup V_2) \cap \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  (this is possible since  $K \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  which implies that at least one of the points  $\{a_1, a_2\}$  is not a non-isolated point in  $X$ ). Then it is easily verified that  $|\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle \cap \{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$ . If  $|K| = 1$ , then let  $K = \{a\}$  and let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of  $a$  in  $X$  with  $|V \cap \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$ . Then  $\langle V \rangle$  is a neighborhood of  $K$  and  $|\langle V \rangle \cap \{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$ . Therefore,  $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is discrete in  $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ .  $\square$

However, for the cases of  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ , the situations are different, see the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Then  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is discrete, it is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is discrete, hence it is a  $D_1$ -space.

If  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, then  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, by Theorem 2.8,  $X$  is discrete or compact metrizable. If  $X$  is compact metrizable and non-discrete, then it contains a convergent sequence  $S = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $x$  is a non-isolated point in  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \leq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\mathcal{A} \subset NI(\mathcal{F}(S))$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{A}$  is discrete in  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ .

Suppose not,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a cluster point  $B$  in  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ . Let  $B = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ . If  $x \notin B$ , then  $\langle \{y_1\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle$  is an open neighborhood of  $B$ ,  $|\langle \{y_1\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}| = \emptyset$ , this is a contradiction. If  $x \in B$ , say  $y_1 = x$ , pick an open neighborhood  $V = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n > k + 1\}$  of  $y_1$  in  $S$ . Clearly,  $|S \setminus V| > k + 1$ . Then  $\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle$  is an open neighborhood of  $B$ . Since  $\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \{\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \leq n\} : n > k + 1\} = \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in \langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \{\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \leq n\} : n > k + 1\}$  for some  $m > k + 1$ ,  $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset V \cap \{y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ , it implies that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}\} \subset \{y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ , which is impossible. It follows that  $|\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}| < \omega$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is discrete in  $\mathcal{F}(S)$ . From fact 1,  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space, which implies that  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space, this is a contradiction. Hence  $X$  is not compact metrizable. Thus  $X$  is discrete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Then  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  is finite.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is finite, then it is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space.

If  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, then  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, hence  $X$  is compact metrizable by Theorem 2.6. From [2, Exercise 5.1, problem 3], it follows that

$$(CL(X), \tau_V) = (CL(X), \tau_F),$$

then  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V) = (\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, which shows that  $X$  is discrete by Theorem 2.9, hence  $X$  is finite since a discrete compact space is finite.  $\square$

As some applications of above results, we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary infinite compact metrizable space. Then  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a compact metrizable space, thus  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space and  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is metrizable; however,  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space by Theorem 2.9. Therefore, there exists a closed subset  $\mathbf{F}$  of  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  such that  $\mathbf{F}$  has no countable character at  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ . Moreover,  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space; however,  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space.*

Finally we consider the space  $X$  such that the subspace  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space. First, we need two lemmas.

A subset  $P$  of  $X$  is called a *sequential neighborhood* of  $x \in X$ , if each sequence converging to  $x$  is eventually<sup>4</sup> in  $P$ . A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called *sequentially open* if  $U$  is a sequential neighborhood of each of its points. A subset  $F$  of  $X$  is called *sequentially closed* if  $X \setminus F$  is sequentially open. The space  $X$  is called a *sequential space* if each sequentially open subset of  $X$  is open.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $X$  be a sequential space. Then  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is open in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  if and only if  $X$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the necessity. Assume that  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is open in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , and assume that  $X$  is not discrete. Then there exists a non-trivial sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\langle U \rangle \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ . However, since  $x \in U$  and  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \geq m\} \subset U$ , then  $\{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \geq m\} \in \langle U \rangle \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$ , which is a contradiction since  $\{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \geq m\} \notin \mathcal{F}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Then any point of  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is not isolated.*

<sup>4</sup>A sequence  $\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $x_i \rightarrow x$  is called *eventually* in some subset  $P$  if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \geq k\} \subset P$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Then  $K$  is an infinite compact subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $K$  is an isolated point in  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ . Then there exists a basic neighborhood  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$  of  $K$  in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  such that  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap (\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)) = \{K\}$ , where the family  $\{U_i : i \leq k\}$  is a disjoint collection of open subsets of  $X$ . Clearly,  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$ ; otherwise, we can pick any point  $a \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \setminus K$ , then  $K \cup \{a\} \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap (\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X))$ , which is a contradiction. Since  $K$  is infinite, there exists  $j \leq k$  such that  $|K \cap U_j| \geq \omega$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exist a point  $b \in K \cap U_j$  and an open neighborhood  $V \subset U_j$  of  $b$  such that  $|(K \setminus V) \cap U_j| \geq \omega$ , hence  $K \setminus V \in \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $K$  is an isolated point in  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ .  $\square$

Since Fell topology is coarser than the Vietoris topology, it follows from Lemmas 2.12 and 2.13 that we have the following two corollaries.

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $X$  be a sequential space. Then  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is open in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$  if and only if  $X$  is discrete.*

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Then any point of  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is not isolated.*

Now we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $X$  be a sequential space with a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal<sup>5</sup>. Then  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the necessity. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, and suppose that  $X$  is non-discrete. Then  $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$  is countably compact by Fact 1 and Lemma 2.13. Since  $X$  has a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal, it follows that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal by [15, Theorem 1], thus  $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal too. Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$  is compact by [5, Theorem 2.14], then  $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$  is closed in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , which shows that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is open in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ . However, by Lemma 2.12,  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is not open in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $X$  is discrete.  $\square$

However, it is still unknown for us if Theorem 2.16 holds for the case of the Fell topology. Therefore, we have the following two questions.

**Question 2.17.** *Let  $X$  be a sequential space with a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal. If  $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, is  $X$  discrete?*

**Question 2.18.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Give a characterization of  $X$  such that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$  or  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

The following theorem gives a characterization of a space  $X$  such that  $(CL_\emptyset(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space, where  $CL_\emptyset(X) = CL(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** *The following statements are equivalent for space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(CL_\emptyset(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space;
- (2)  $(\mathcal{F}_n(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space for some  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (3)  $X$  is locally compact, separable and metrizable.

*Proof.* By [2, Theorem 5.1.5], we have (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The implication of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious. It suffices to prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Assume  $(\mathcal{F}_n(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$  is a  $D_1$ -space for some  $n \geq 2$ , then  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, hence  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, by Theorem 3.1,  $X$  is locally compact, separable and metrizable.  $\square$

<sup>5</sup>A space  $X$  is said to have a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal if, there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open covers of  $X$ , such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_n)}$ .

3. THE  $D_0$ -PROPERTY OF HYPERSPACES

In this section, we mainly discuss the  $D_0$ -property of the hyperspaces. We first give a characterization of a space  $X$  such that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The following statements are equivalent for space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is metrizable;
- (2)  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space;
- (3)  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (4)  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (5)  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (6)  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (7)  $X$  is locally compact, separable and metrizable.

*Proof.* The implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) are trivial. (7)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (1) by [2, Theorem 5.1.5]. We only prove (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7).

Assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, then  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space. Fix any  $x \in X$ ; then let  $\{V_n^- \cap (K_{n,x}^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable local base at  $\{x\}$  in  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ , where each  $V_n, K_{n,x}$  is open, compact in  $X$  respectively. For any compact  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{x\})$ ,  $(K^c)^+$  is an open neighborhood of  $\{x\}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_n^- \cap (K_{n,x}^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X) \subset (K^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)$ , then  $K \subset K_{n,x}$ . Therefore,  $\{K_{n,x} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{x\})$ .

**Claim 4**  $X$  is locally compact.

Fix  $x \in X$ , pick any  $y \neq x$  and let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a decreasingly local base at  $x$  in  $X$  with  $y \notin U_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_k \subset K_{n,y}$ ; otherwise, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick  $x_n \in U_n \setminus K_{n,y}$ ; then  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $X$  and  $S = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{y\})$ , then  $S \subset K_{m,y}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $\{K_{n,y} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{y\})$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $X$  is locally compact.

Fix any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . Since  $(\mathcal{F}_2(K), \tau_F)$  is a compact  $D_0$ -space, then  $(\mathcal{F}_2(K), \tau_F)$  is perfect. By Lemma 2.5 and [5, Theorem 2.13],  $K$  is metrizable. Hence  $X$  locally metrizable by Claim 4. By the above proof,  $X$  is also a  $\sigma$ -compact space, thus it is separable and locally compact metrizable.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *By Theorem 3.1,  $(CL(\mathbb{R}), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space (indeed, it is metrizable, thus perfect normal), where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real number with the usual topology; however,  $(CL(\mathbb{R}), \tau_F)$  is not a  $D_1$ -space by Theorem 2.6.*

A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space if there exists a function  $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau$  such that (i)  $\{g(n, x) : n \in \omega\}$  is a base at  $x$ ; (ii) for each  $n \in \omega$  and  $x \in X$ , there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $y \in g(m, x)$  implies  $g(m, y) \subset g(n, x)$ . By [5, Theorem 10.6(iii)], each  $\gamma$ -space is a  $D_0$ -space.

In [12], F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu proved that under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ ,  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $\gamma$ -space if and only if  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a separable metrizable space and  $NI(X)$  is compact. Therefore, we have the following question.

**Question 3.3.** *If  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, is  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  a  $\gamma$ -space?*

For any non-separable and metrizable space  $X$ , it follows from [12, Theorem 5.17] and the following Theorem 3.5 that  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  is not a  $\gamma$ -space and  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space.



**Lemma 3.4.** [14] *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is an open compact-covering<sup>6</sup> image of a metric space;
- (2) Each compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable and has a countable neighborhood base in  $X$ ;
- (3) Every compact subset of  $X$  has a countable outer base<sup>7</sup> in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *The following statements are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is an open compact-covering image of a metric space.
- (2)  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (3)  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (4)  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space;
- (5)  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, we have (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). The implications (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are trivial. we only need to prove (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5), (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Assume that  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, then  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space. For any compact subset  $H$  of  $X$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_2(H), \tau_V)$  is a compact,  $D_0$ -subspace of  $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$  [13], then it is perfect. By Lemma 2.5,  $H$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $H$  is metrizable by [5, Theorem 2.14].

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Fix any compact subset  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ . We prove that  $\mathbf{K}$  has a countable base in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ .

Indeed, let  $K = \bigcup \mathbf{K}$ . Then  $K$  is compact in  $X$  by [13, Theorem 2.5.2], hence  $K$  has a countable base and is metrizable. By Lemma 3.4,  $K$  has a countable outer base  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{\langle \mathcal{B}' \rangle : \mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{B}^{<\omega}\}$ ,  $\Delta = \{\bigcup \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma^{<\omega}\}$ ; then  $|\Delta| \leq \omega$ . Now it suffices to prove that  $\Delta$  is a countable open base in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ .

Take any open neighborhood  $\widehat{U}$  of  $\mathbf{K}$  in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ ; then there exist finitely many basic open neighborhood  $\{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\}$  such that  $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup \{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\} \subset \widehat{U}$ , where we may assume that  $\{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\}$  is a minimal cover of  $\mathbf{K}$ . By Lemma 2.3, there exist finitely many compact subsets  $\{\mathbf{K}_i : i \leq l\}$  of  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  such that  $\mathbf{K} = \bigcup_{i \leq l} \mathbf{K}_i$  and  $\mathbf{K}_i \subset \widehat{U}_i$  for each  $i \leq l$ . We will prove that each  $\mathbf{K}_i$  is contained in an element of  $\Delta$  itself contained in  $\widehat{U}$ , which shows that  $\mathbf{K}$  is contained in an element of  $\Delta$  that is contained in  $\widehat{U}$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume  $\widehat{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ , where each  $U_i$  ( $i \leq k$ ) is an open subset in  $X$ .

Since  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is regular, there exist finitely many open subsets  $\{\widehat{V}(i) : i \leq n\}$  of  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  such that

$$\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} \widehat{V}(i) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} Cl(\widehat{V}(i)) \subset \widehat{U},$$

where  $\widehat{V}(i) = \langle V_1(i), \dots, V_{r_i}(i) \rangle$ , each  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $V_j(i)$  is open in  $X$ .

Fix any  $i \leq n$ . Then  $Cl(\widehat{V}(i)) \subset \widehat{U}$ . For each  $t \leq k$ , it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that  $U_t \supset \overline{V_{i(t)}(i)}$  for some  $i(t) \leq r_i$ . Let  $A = \{i(t) : t \leq k\}$  and  $B = \{1, \dots, r_i\} \setminus A$ . Put

$$K(i) = \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i))\}.$$

<sup>6</sup>A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *compact-covering* if each compact subset of  $Y$  is the image of some compact subset of  $X$ .

<sup>7</sup>A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of open subsets of a space  $X$  is called an *outer base* [14] of a subset  $A$  in  $X$ , if there exists  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_x \subset U$  for every  $x \in A$  and an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$ .

Then  $K(i)$  is compact in  $X$  by [13, Theorem 2.5.2].

For any  $K' \in \{K : K \in \mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i))\}$ , we have  $K' \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \neq \emptyset$  for  $j \leq r_i$  and  $K' \subset \cup\{\overline{V_j(i)} : j \leq r_i\}$ . It is obvious that  $K(i) \subset \cup\{\overline{V_j(i)} : j \leq r_i\}$ . Note that  $K' \subset K(i)$ , then  $K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $j$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$K(i) \in Cl(\widehat{V}(i) = \langle \overline{V_1(i)}, \dots, \overline{V_{r_i}(i)} \rangle).$$

For each  $j \in A$ ,

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcap \{U_t : t \leq k, i(t) = j\} = W_j.$$

For  $x \in K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)}$ , pick  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_x \subset W_j$ . Then there is a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{B}(j)$  of  $\{B_x : x \in K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)}\}$  such that

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}(j) \subset W_j.$$

For any  $j \in B$ , choose a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{B}(j) \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}(j) \subset \bigcup_{t \leq k} U_t.$$

Now put

$$\mathfrak{R}(i) = \left\{ \bigcup_{j \leq r_i} \mathcal{B}'(j) : \emptyset \neq \mathcal{B}'(j) \subset \mathcal{B}(j), j \leq r_i \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $|\mathfrak{R}(i)| < \omega$ . Then

$$\mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i)) \subset \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle : \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{R}(i) \} \subset \widehat{U},$$

where  $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \langle B_1, \dots, B_m \rangle$  for  $\mathcal{W} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ . Indeed, for any  $K \in \mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i))$ , then  $K \subset K(i)$ . For each  $j \leq r_i$ , pick  $\mathcal{C}(j) \subset \mathcal{B}(j)$  such that  $K \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}(j)$  and  $K \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}(j)$ . Enumerate  $\bigcup_{j \leq r_i} \mathcal{C}(j)$  as  $\{C_1, \dots, C_p\}$ ; then  $\mathcal{W}_i = \{C_1, \dots, C_p\} \in \mathfrak{R}(i)$ . Then  $K \cap C_l \neq \emptyset$  for each  $l \leq p$ ,  $K \subset \bigcup_{i \leq p} C_i$ . Therefore,  $K \in \langle \mathcal{W}_i \rangle \subset \widehat{U}$ .

Hence

$$\mathbf{K} = \bigcup_{i \leq n} (\mathbf{K} \cap Cl \widehat{V}(i)) \subset \bigcup \{ \langle \mathcal{W}_i \rangle : \mathcal{W}_i \in \mathfrak{R}(i), i \leq n \} \subset \widehat{U}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{R}(i) \subset \mathcal{B}$  for  $i \leq n$  and  $|\Delta| \leq \omega$ . Hence  $\mathbf{K}$  has a countable base in  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By Lemma 3.4, we only prove that each compact subset  $\mathbf{H}$  of  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is metrizable. Let  $H = \bigcup \mathbf{H}$ . Then  $H$  is compact in  $X$  by [13, Theorem 2.5.2], hence it is metrizable since (2) implies (5). Therefore,  $(\mathcal{K}(H), \tau_V)$  is compact and metrizable, thus  $\mathbf{H} \subset (\mathcal{K}(H), \tau_V)$  is metrizable.  $\square$

Since  $\gamma$ -space  $X$  is a  $D_0$ -space, and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable,  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  is a  $D_0$ -space. But, the following question still open.

**Question 3.6.** *If  $X$  is a  $\gamma$ -space, is  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  a  $\gamma$ -space?*

#### 4. THE $G_\delta$ -PROPERTY OF HYPERSPACES

Finally we consider the characterization of hyperspaces which have countable pseudocharacter. We say that a space  $X$  has a *compact- $G_\delta$ -property* if every compact subset of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a space. Then  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  has a compact- $G_\delta$ -property if and only if  $X$  has a compact- $G_\delta$  property and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Necessity. Assume that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  has the compact- $G_\delta$ -property. Obviously,  $X$  has the compact- $G_\delta$  property. Fix an any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . Then the hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(K)$  is compact by [13]. Since  $\mathcal{K}(K)$  is regular and has compact- $G_\delta$  property, it follows that  $\mathcal{K}(K)$  is a  $D_0$ -space, hence  $D_1$ -space (note that every closed subset of  $\mathcal{K}(K)$  is compact since  $\mathcal{K}(K)$  is compact). By Theorem 2.6,  $K$  is compact metrizable.

Sufficiency. Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a compact subset of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , and let  $H = \bigcup \mathbf{K}$ . Then  $H$  is compact in  $X$ . By the assumption,  $H$  is metrizable, hence  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  is compact metrizable. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\langle U_1(i), \dots, U_{k_i}(i) \rangle : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable base of  $\mathcal{K}(H)$ , where each  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , each  $U_j(i)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \leq k_i$ ) is open in  $H$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \leq k_i$ , let  $V_j(i)$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $U_j(i) = V_j(i) \cap H$ . Let  $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be open subsets of  $X$  with  $H = \bigcap W_n$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\langle V_1(i) \cap W_n, \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \cap W_n \rangle : \langle V_1(i), \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \rangle \cap \mathbf{K} \neq \emptyset, i, n \in \mathbb{N}\};$$

we say that  $\langle V_1(i) \cap W_n, \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \cap W_n \rangle$  is associated with  $\langle U_1(i), \dots, U_{k_i}(i) \rangle$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \{\bigcup \mathcal{P}' : \mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{P}_n^{<\omega}\}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; then let  $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}_n$ . Clearly,  $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq \omega$ . We claim that  $\mathbf{K} = \bigcap \mathcal{Q}$ .

Indeed, it is trivial to verify that  $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $K$  be an any compact subset of  $X$  with  $K \notin \mathbf{K}$ . We prove that there is  $\bigcup \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$ .

**Case 1:**  $K \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ .

Then there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K \setminus W_j \neq \emptyset$ , hence we can pick a  $\bigcup \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{Q}_j$ , then  $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$ .

**Case 2:**  $K \subset H$ .

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a countable base of  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  and  $K \notin \mathbf{K}$ , it is easily checked that there is a finite family  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$ ,  $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$  and each element of  $\mathcal{B}'$  meets  $\mathbf{K}$ . Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}'$  be the set of elements of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  that are associated with the elements of  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Then it is easily verified that  $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** From Theorems 3.5 and 4.1 we conclude that there exists a space  $X$  such that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  has the compact- $G_\delta$ -property and is not a  $D_0$ -space, such as, the Butterfly space [11, Example 1.8.3]. Moreover, the following questions are still unknown for us.

**Question 4.3.** If  $X$  has the compact- $G_\delta$  property and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable, does  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  have a compact- $G_\delta$ -property?

**Question 4.4.** If  $X$  has the compact- $G_\delta$  property and every compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable, does  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$  or  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  have a compact- $G_\delta$ -property?

**Question 4.5.** Characterise spaces  $X$  such that  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ ,  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ ,  $(CL(X), \tau_V)$  and  $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$  are perfect, respectively?

In order to give a characterization of  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  with the countable pseudocharacter, we need to prove an equality relating the pseudocharacter of hyperspace  $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F)$  to other cardinal functions. First, we recall some concepts.

**Definition 4.6.** [4] The *pseudocharacter* of a point  $x$  in a space  $X$  is defined as the smallest cardinal number of the form  $|\mathcal{U}|$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{x\}$ ; this cardinal number is denoted by  $\psi(x, X)$ . The *pseudocharacter* of a space  $X$  is defined as the supremum of all numbers  $\psi(x, X)$  for  $x \in X$ ; this cardinal number is denoted by  $\psi(X)$ . If  $\psi(X) = \omega$ , we say that  $X$  has a *countable pseudocharacter*.

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $X$  be a space. A collection of nonempty open sets  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  is called a  $\pi$ -base if for every nonempty open set  $O$ , there exists an  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U \subset O$ . The  $\pi$ -weight of  $X$  is defined as the infimum of all the cardinal numbers of the  $\pi$ -bases of  $X$ ; this cardinal number is denoted by  $\pi w(X)$ . For a space  $X$ , define

$$\pi w_K(X) = \sup\{\pi w(A) : A \text{ is an arbitrary non-empty closed subset of } X\}.$$

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a space and  $U$  be open in  $X$ . Denote

$$k_U = \inf\{|\mathcal{K}| : \mathcal{K} \text{ is a family of compact subsets of } X \text{ such that } U = \bigcup \mathcal{K}\}$$

and

$$k_o = \sup\{k_U : U \text{ is open in } X\}.$$

**Theorem 4.9.** For any space  $X$ , we have  $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F) = \pi w_K(X) \cdot k_o(X)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F) = \kappa$ . We first prove that  $\pi w(A) \leq \kappa$  for any  $A \in CL(X)$ , which implies that  $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$ . Fix any  $A \in CL(X)$ . Then

$$A = \bigcap \{W_{\alpha 1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha k_\alpha}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

where each  $W_{\alpha j}$  is open in  $X$ ,  $K_\alpha$  is compact in  $X$  and  $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $V_{\alpha j} = A \cap W_{\alpha j}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\alpha j} : j \leq k_\alpha, \alpha < \kappa\}.$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $A$ . Indeed, let  $U'$  be an any non-empty open subset of  $A$ ; then it can take an open subset  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $U' = U \cap A$ . Let  $H = A \setminus U$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $H \neq \emptyset$ , then  $H \in CL(X)$ . Hence there exists an  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $H \notin W_{\alpha 1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha k_\alpha}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+$ . Since  $H \subset A \subset X \setminus K_\alpha$ , it follows that  $H \in (K_\alpha^c)^+$ , which implies that  $H \cap W_{\alpha j} = \emptyset$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $V_{\alpha j} \subset U'$ . Hence  $\pi w(A) \leq \kappa$ . Therefore,  $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$ .

Now we prove that  $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$ . Let  $U$  be an any open subset of  $X$  such that  $B = X \setminus U \in CL(X)$ . From our assumption, it follows that

$$B = \bigcap \{W_{\alpha 1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha k_\alpha}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

where each  $W_{\alpha j}$  is open in  $X$ ,  $K_\alpha$  is compact in  $X$  and  $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} K_\alpha$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in U$ , if  $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} K_\alpha$ , then  $\{x\} \cup B \in (K_\alpha)^c$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , hence it follows that

$$\{x\} \cup B \in \bigcap \{W_{\alpha 1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha k_\alpha}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$ . Fix any  $A \in CL(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for  $A$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , let  $V_\alpha$  be an open set of  $X$  with  $V_\alpha \cap A = V'_\alpha$ . Put  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ , and let

$$\Delta(\mathcal{V}) = \{\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}, |\mathcal{W}| < \omega\}.$$

From our assumption, let  $X \setminus A = \bigcup_{\beta < \kappa} K_\beta$ , where each  $K_\beta$  is compact. We claim that

$$\{A\} = \bigcap \{V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+ : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), \beta < \kappa\}.$$

Indeed, it is obvious that  $A \in V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+$  for each  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V})$  and  $\beta < \kappa$ . Take any

$$B \in \bigcap \{V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+ : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), \beta < \kappa\}.$$

If  $B \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ , then pick any  $x \in B$ , hence  $x \in K_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \kappa$ ; however,  $B \subset K_\beta^c$  for any  $\beta < \kappa$ , which is a contradiction. If  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ , then there is  $V'_i \in \mathcal{V}'$  such that  $V'_i \subset A \setminus B$ , hence there exists  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V'_i = V_i \cap A$ , and  $V_i \cap B = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $A = B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *If  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is Hausdorff and has countable pseudocharacter, then  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is first-countable.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.9, every closed subset of  $X$  is separable and  $X$  is hemicompact. We prove that  $X$  is first-countable. Since  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is Hausdorff, it follows from [2, Proposition 5.1.2] that  $X$  is locally compact. Then  $X$  is first-countable since a Hausdorff, locally compact space with a countable pseudocharacter is first-countable. By [2, Corollary 7(1)],  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is first-countable.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *If  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is Hausdorff and has compact- $G_\delta$  property, then  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space.*

*Proof.* Since  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is Hausdorff. Then  $X$  is locally compact [2, Proposition 5.1.2]. By Theorem 4.9, every closed subset of  $X$  is separable and  $X$  is hemicompact, hence  $X$  is paracompact. It is easy to see that each compact subset is metrizable by Lemma 2.5, then  $X$  is metrizable. Therefore,  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is a  $D_0$ -space by Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 4.12.** *There exists a hyperspace  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  of a space  $X$  such that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  has a countable pseudocharacter, but  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  does not have compact- $G_\delta$ -property. Indeed, let  $X$  be the Alexandroff double-arrow space, see [11, Example 1.8.9]. It is well known that  $X$  is Hausdorff, first-countable and compact. Then it follows from [9, Example 8] that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  is first-countable, hence it has a countable pseudocharacter; however, from Theorem 4.1, it follows that  $(CL(X), \tau_F)$  does not have compact- $G_\delta$ -property because  $X$  is compact and non-metrizable.*

**Acknowledgements.** The authors wish to thank the reviewers for careful reading preliminary version of this paper and providing many valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.E. Aull, Closed set countability axioms, *Indag. Math.*, 28(1966) 311–316.
- [2] G. Beer, *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets* Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [3] M. Dai, C. Liu,  $D_1$ -spaces and their metrization, *Northeast Math. J.*, 11(2)(1995) 215–220.
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1989.
- [5] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 423–501.
- [6] L. Holá, J. Pelant, Recent Progress in Hyperspace Topologies, *Recent Progress in General Topology II*, ed. by M. Hušek and J. van Mill, Elsevier, (2002)253–279.
- [7] L. Holá, J. Pelant, L. Zsilinszky, Developable hyperspaces are metrizable, *Applied General Topology*, (2003)1–9.
- [8] L. Holá, S. Levi, Decomposition Properties of Hyperspace Topologies, *Set-Valued Anal.*, 5(1997) 309–321.
- [9] J. Hou, Character and tightness of hyperspaces with Fell topology, *Topol. Appl.*, 84(1998) 199–206.
- [10] F. Lin, R. Shen, C. Liu, Generalized metric properties on hyperspaces with the Vietoris topology, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 51(5)(2021)1761–1779.
- [11] S. Lin, Z. Yun, *Generalized metric spaces and mappings*, Atlantis Press, 2016.
- [12] C. Liu, F. Lin, A note on hyperspaces by closed sets with Vietoris topology, *B. Malays. Math. Sci. So.*, to appear.
- [13] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71(1951)152–182.
- [14] E. Michael, Compact-covering images of metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37(1973)260–266.
- [15] T. Mizokami, On hyperspaces of spaces around Moore spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, 22(2)(1996) 297–306.

- [16] T. Mizokami, On hyperspaces of generalized metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.*, 76(2)(1997) 169–173.
- [17] S.A. Naimpally, J.F. Peters, *Hyperspace Topologies, Topology with Applications: Topological Spaces via Near and Far*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- [18] R.R. Sabella, Spaces in which compact sets have countable local base, *Proc. AMS*, 48(1975) 499–504.

(CHUAN LIU) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY ZANESVILLE CAMPUS, ZANESVILLE, OH 43701, USA

*Email address:* `liuc1@ohio.edu`

(FUCAI LIN) 1. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MINNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU 363000, P. R. CHINA; 2. FUJIAN KEY LABORATORY OF GRANULAR COMPUTING AND APPLICATION, MINNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU 363000, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* `linfucai@mnnu.edu.cn`