

BOX DIMENSION AND FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS OF MULTIVARIATE FRACTAL INTERPOLATION FUNCTIONS

VISHAL AGRAWAL, MEGHA PANDEY, AND TANMOY SOM

ABSTRACT. In this article, we construct the multivariate fractal interpolation functions for a given data points and explore the existence of α -fractal function corresponding to the multivariate continuous function defined on $[0, 1] \times \cdots \times [0, 1]$ (q -times). The parameters are selected such that the corresponding fractal version preserves some of the original function's properties, for instance if the given function is Hölder continuous, then the corresponding α -fractal function is also Hölder continuous. Moreover, we explore the restriction of α -fractal function on the co-ordinate axis. Furthermore, the box dimension and Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the multivariate α -fractal function and its restriction are investigated. In the last section, we prove that mixed Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of fractal function satisfies a self-referential equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Barnsley used the Iterated Function System (IFS) to develop Fractal Interpolation Function (FIF). It is vital to select IFS adequately so that it can be used as an attractor for a graph of a continuous function referred to as FIF. Calculating the dimensions of fractal functions has been a major aspect of fractal geometry since its origin. Barnsley has established estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of an affine FIF in [2] and Falconer [9] has reported a related conclusion.

Barnsley and associates [2–4] determined the box dimension of the affine FIF classes. FIFs constructed by bilinear functions have been investigated in [4], a formula for the box dimension of FIFs from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m can be seen in [18]. Theories regarding the box dimension and fractional integral of FIF has been developed in the literature for instance, in [23], Ruan et.al., has studied the box dimension and fractional integral of linear FIFs. Wang et.al., [29] proposed the analytical properties of FIFs with variable parameters. There is a special type of FIF that has been developed in the literature known as α -fractal function [19]. This type of FIFs is found to be very useful. In [5], construction of recurrent bivariate Fractal Interpolation Surfaces (FIS) and estimation of their box dimension has been explored, Chandra and Abbas [7] have studied fractional calculus of fractal surfaces developed by Ruan and Xu [25]. Agrawal and Som [1] have investigated the fractal dimension of the α -fractal function on the Sierpiński Gasket. Sahu and Priyadarshi have computed the box-counting dimension of graphs of fractal interpolation functions and harmonic functions on the Sierpiński Gasket in [26]. Verma et.al., have studied the Box dimension and Hausdorff dimension of the graph of the bivariate fractal function. Author of [17] has calculated the exact values for the Minkowski dimension of the bivariate FIS and extended this construction to higher dimensions. Verma [27] has studied some important results of the attractor generated by a finite set of contractive infinitesimal similitudes. In [6], box dimension of the graph of the mixed Weyl–Marchaud fractional derivative of a continuous function defined on \mathbb{R}^2 has been derived by Chandra and Abbas. Ruan, Xiao and Yang [24] have extended the concept of recurrent FIFs such that iterated functions in a recurrent system do not have to be contractive with respect to the first variable. Furthermore, they have determined the box dimensions of all self-affine recurrent FIFs. In [16], Liang and Ruan have constructed the recurrent FISs. Furthermore, they have proposed recurrent bilinear FISs. Then, they have calculated the box dimension of bilinear recurrent FISs under certain constraints. In [22], Roychowdhury has estimated the Hausdorff and upper box

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A80, 41A29, 41A30.

Key words and phrases. Fractal function, fractal dimension, self-referential equation, Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, iterated function system.

dimension of hyperbolic recurrent sets. Recently, Verma and Sahu have initiated a systematic study of fractal dimension of functions defined on the Sierpiński Gasket in [28]. Liang [13] has established the relationship between the bounded variation function on a compact unit interval and its Riemann–Liouville fractional integral. On closed intervals, Liang [15] has investigated the fractal dimensions of fractional calculus of continuous functions. Furthermore, the fractal dimension of the fractional integral of continuous functions having a unit fractal dimension on the compact unit interval has been studied by Liang [14]. Recently, Chandra and Abbas have studied the fractal dimension of the graph of the mixed Riemann–Liouville fractional integral for several continuous function on rectangular region in [8]. The author of [10] has studied the fractal interpolation surface on the rectangular domain and discussed the oscillation and variation aspects of bivariate continuous functions. In [20, 21], Pandey et.al., introduced the notion of multivariate α -fractal function. We intend to present a technique for constructing a multivariate fractal function on hypercube, as proposed by Verma [11]. In particular, we focus on fractal dimensions of multivariate fractal functions.

The paper is organised as follows. In the next section, we noted the preliminaries and notations which will be required throughout the study. Section 3 is dedicated to the construction of multivariate fractal function for a given data point (subsection 3.1) and the establishing the existence of α -fractal function corresponding to the given continuous function defined on I^q (subsection 3.2). Section 4 is reserved for the study of box dimension and Hausdorff dimension of the constructed multivariate α -fractal function and based on the clue we noticed that if the given function is Hölder continuous, then for suitable parameters the associated α -fractal function is also Hölder continuous. Moreover, in Subsection 4.1, we studied the restrictions of α -fractal function on the axis. In Section 5, we proved that mixed Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of fractal interpolation function satisfies the self-referential equation.

2. NOTATION AND PRELUDE

The following are the notations we shall be using throughout the paper:

- \mathbb{N} : set of natural numbers.
- \mathbb{R} : set of real numbers.
- I : unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- $I^q = I \times \cdots \times I$: q -times Cartesian product of $[0, 1]$.
- $\mathcal{C}(I^q)$: set of all continuous functions on I^q .

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be metric spaces and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function from X to Y , then graph of h is defined as,

$$\mathcal{G}(h) = \{(x, h(x)) : x \in X\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2. Consider $U \neq \emptyset$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ under the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Furthermore, the diameter of U denoted by $|U|$ is defined as follows:

$$|U| = \sup \{\|x - y\|_2 : x, y \in U\}.$$

If $\{U_i\}$ is a countable collection of sets of diameter at most δ that cover F , we say that $\{U_i\}$ is a δ -cover of F . Assume that $F \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ and s is a non-negative real number. For any $\delta > 0$, we define

$$H_\delta^s(F) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-cover of } F \right\}.$$

The s -dimensional Hausdorff measure of F is defined as $H^s(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta^s(F)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \geq 0$. The Hausdorff dimension of F is

$$\dim_H(F) = \inf \{s : H^s(F) = 0\} = \sup \{s : H^s(F) = \infty\}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let $F \neq \emptyset$ be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $N_\delta(F)$ be the smallest number of sets of diameter at most δ which can cover F . The lower box dimension and upper box dimension

of F , respectively, are defined as

$$\underline{\dim}_B(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(F)}{-\log \delta},$$

and

$$\overline{\dim}_B(F) = \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(F)}{-\log \delta}.$$

Whenever the aforementioned values are equal, a common value is referred to as the box dimension of F , that is,

$$\dim_B(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\delta(F)}{-\log \delta}.$$

To study about these dimensions in more details it is recommend the reader to follow [9].

3. MULTIVARIATE FRACTAL INTERPOLATION FUNCTION AND α -FRACTAL FUNCTION

3.1. Multivariate Fractal Interpolation Function. The data of interpolation is given as

$$\{(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}, z_{(1,i_1), \dots, (q,i_q)}) : i_1 = 0, 1, \dots, M_1; \dots; i_q = 0, 1, \dots, M_q\},$$

such that $0 = x_{k,0} < \dots < x_{k,M_k} = 1$ for each I_k , where I_k denotes the k^{th} unit interval in I^q .

For our convenience, we use the following notations in the rest of the study:

$\Sigma_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $\Sigma_{k,0} = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, $\partial \Sigma_{k,0} = \{0, k\}$ and $\text{int} \Sigma_{k,0} = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. Further, a net Δ on I^q is defined as follows:

$$\Delta := \{(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) \in I^q : i_k \in \Sigma_{M_k,0}, 0 = x_{k,0} < \dots < x_{k,M_k} = 1, k \in \Sigma_q\}.$$

For each $i_k \in \Sigma_{M_k}$, let us consider $I_{k,i_k} = [x_{k,i_k-1}, x_{k,i_k}]$ and define contraction functions $u_{k,i_k} : I_k \rightarrow I_{k,i_k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k,i_k}(x_{k,0}) &= x_{k,i_k-1}, & u_{k,i_k}(x_{k,M_k}) &= x_{k,i_k}, \text{ if } i_k \text{ is odd,} \\ u_{k,i_k}(x_{k,0}) &= x_{k,i_k}, & u_{k,i_k}(x_{k,M_k}) &= x_{k,i_k-1}, \text{ if } i_k \text{ is even, and} \\ |u_{k,i_k}(x) - u_{k,i_k}(y)| &\leq \mu_{k,i_k} |x - y| \text{ for all } x, y \in I_k, \text{ and } 0 < \mu_{k,i_k} < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to observe from the definition of u_{k,i_k} that

$$u_{k,i_k}^{-1}(x_{k,i_k}) = u_{k,i_k+1}^{-1}(x_{k,i_k}) \text{ for all } i_k \in \text{int} \Sigma_{k,0} \text{ and } k \in \Sigma_q.$$

Define a function $\eta : \mathbb{Z} \times \{0, M_1, \dots, M_q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \eta(i, 0) = i - 1, & \eta(i, M_1) = \dots = \eta(i, M_q) = i, & \text{when } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \eta(i, 0) = i, & \eta(i, M_1) = \dots = \eta(i, M_q) = i - 1, & \text{when } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Set $K = I^q \times \mathbb{R}$. For each $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$, let $F_{i_1, \dots, i_q} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_{1,k_1}, \dots, x_{q,k_q}, z_{(1,k_1), \dots, (q,k_q)}) = z_{(1, \eta(i_1, k_1)), \dots, (q, \eta(i_q, k_q))} \text{ for all } (k_1, \dots, k_q) \in \prod_{j=1}^q \partial \Sigma_{M_j,0}, \text{ and}$$

$$|F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z^*) - F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z^{**})| \leq \gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q} |z^* - z^{**}| \text{ for all } (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in I^q, z^*, z^{**} \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } 0 < \gamma_{i_1 \dots i_q} < 1 \text{ is any given constant.}$$

For each $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$, define a function $\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q} : K \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z) = (u_{1,i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(x_q), F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z)). \quad (3.2)$$

Then, with the assumptions on $F_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ and u_{k,i_k} , for each $k \in \Sigma_q$, one can prove that $\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ is a

contraction function, hence $\left\{ K, \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q} : (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k} \right\}$ is an IFS.

Theorem 3.1. Consider $\left\{K, \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q} : (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}\right\}$ be the IFS as defined in (3.2).

For every $k = 1, \dots, q$, suppose $F_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ satisfies the following matching conditions: for all $i_k \in \text{int}\Sigma_{M_k,0}$, and $j \neq k$, $i_j \in \Sigma_{M_j}$ and $x_k^* = u_{k,i_k}^{-1}(x_{k,i_k}) = u_{k,i_k+1}^{-1}(x_{k,i_k})$,

$$F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_k^*, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z) = F_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}, i_k+1, i_{k+1} \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_k^*, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_q, z) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_j \in I_j$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there is a unique continuous function $\mathcal{A} : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, i_1, \dots, x_q, i_q) = z_{(1,i_1) \dots (q,i_q)} \text{ for all } (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k,0},$$

and $H = \bigcup \left\{ \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_q}(H) : (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k} \right\}$, where $H = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$. We pronounce H the FIS and \mathcal{A} the FIF with respect to the IFS defined in (3.2).

The proof of the above theorem can be accomplished by using the technique similar to Ruan and Xu [25], hence it is omitted here.

3.1.1. Multivariate Fractal Interpolation surfaces. For $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k,0}$, define $F_{i_1 \dots i_q} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z) = \delta z + \mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, k_1, \dots, x_q, k_q) = z_{(1,\eta(i_1,k_1)), \dots, (q,\eta(i_q,k_q))} - \delta z_{(1,k_1) \dots (q,k_q)} \text{ for all } (k_1, \dots, k_q) \in \prod_{j=1}^q \partial \Sigma_{M_j,0}$$

and $|\delta| < 1$. Then, observe that $F_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Now for all $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$, define Read-Bajraktarević (RB) operator, $T : \mathcal{C}(I^q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I^q)$ such that

$$Tg(x_1, \dots, x_q) = F_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{i_1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q), g \left(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right).$$

Then, the multivariate FIF, \mathcal{A} will be the unique fixed point of T . Then, for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$, \mathcal{A} will satisfy the following self-referential equation:

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_q) = F_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{i_1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q), \mathcal{A} \left(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_q) = \delta \mathcal{A} \left(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) + \mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Note 3.2. For every $x \in I_{k,i_k}$, define $u_{k,i_k}(x) = a_{k,i_k}(x) + b_{k,i_k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,i_k} &= \frac{x_{k,i_k} - x_{k,i_k-1}}{x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,0}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{k,i_k} = \frac{x_{k,i_k} x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,i_k-1} x_{k,0}}{x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,0}}, \text{ if } i_k \text{ is odd} \\ a_{k,i_k} &= \frac{x_{k,i_k-1} - x_{k,i_k}}{x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,0}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{k,i_k} = \frac{x_{k,i_k-1} x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,i_k} x_{k,0}}{x_{k,M_k} - x_{k,0}}, \text{ if } i_k \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

Then, obviously u_{k,i_k} satisfies equation (3.1).

3.2. α - Fractal Functions. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(I^q)$, then using the notions defined in Subsection 3.1, let us denote

$$Q_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q) := \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right), \text{ for } (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k, i_k}.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(I^q)$ be such that $\|\alpha\|_\infty < 1$. Assume further that $s \in \mathcal{C}(I^q)$ satisfies

$$s(x_{1, j_1}, \dots, x_{q, j_q}) = f(x_{1, j_1}, \dots, x_{q, j_q}) \text{ for all } (j_1, \dots, j_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \partial \Sigma_{M_k, 0}.$$

For each $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$, define $\mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(y_1, \dots, y_q, z) \\ &= f(u_{1, i_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}(y_q)) + \alpha(u_{1, i_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}(y_q))(z - s(y_1, \dots, y_q)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then, $W_{i_1 \dots i_q} : K \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k, i_k} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$W_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z) = (u_{1, i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}(x_q), \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z)),$$

is an IFS on K and notice that $\mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, therefore we have the following:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\left\{ K, W_{i_1 \dots i_q} : (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k} \right\}$ be the IFS. Then, there exists a unique continuous function $f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha(x_{1, i_1}, \dots, x_{q, i_q}) = f(x_{1, i_1}, \dots, x_{q, i_q})$ for all $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k, 0}$ and $G = \bigcup \left\{ W_{i_1 \dots i_q}(G) : (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k, 0} \right\}$, where $\mathcal{G}(f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha) = G$.*

Now define a RB Operator, $T : \mathcal{C}(I^q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(I^q)$ such that

$$T(h)(x_1, \dots, x_q) = \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q), h \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right),$$

then there exists a fixed point of this operator, $f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha$ known as α -fractal function and it satisfies the following self-referential equation,

$$f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) = \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q), f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k, i_k}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, x_q) + \alpha \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \left[f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - s \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k, i_k}$.

- Denote $f_{\Delta, s}^\alpha$ as f^α if there is no ambiguity.
- For our convenience, write the metric

$$d_{I^q}((x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q)) := \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_q - y_q)^2},$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in I^q$.

Definition 3.4. A function $f : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Hölder continuous with exponent σ and Hölder constant K_f if

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \leq K_f d_{I^q}((x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q))^\sigma$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in I^q$, and for some $K_f > 0$.
Define the Hölder space

$$\mathcal{H}^\sigma(I^q) := \{g : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : g \text{ is Hölder continuous with exponent } \sigma\}.$$

If we equip the space $\mathcal{H}^\sigma(I^q)$ with norm $\|g\| := \|g\|_\infty + [g]_\sigma$ where

$$[g]_\sigma = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_q)} \frac{|g(x_1, \dots, x_q) - g(y_1, \dots, y_q)|}{d_{I^q}((x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q))^\sigma},$$

then it forms a Banach space.

4. BOX DIMENSION OF THE GRAPH OF MULTIVARIATE FRACTAL FUNCTION

By estimating the oscillation of functions, we shall seek to compute the box dimension of the graph of the multivariate fractal function. The main ideas in [4, 12] are modified and applied in our method.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on I^q . Then, $\dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f)) \geq q$.

Proof. Define a Lipschitz function $T : \mathcal{G}(f) \rightarrow I^q$ by $T((x_1, \dots, x_q, f(x_1, \dots, x_q))) = (x_1, \dots, x_q)$. Recall that if $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Lipschitz function, then $\dim_H(f(A)) \leq \dim_H(A)$. Hence, we get $\dim_H(T(\mathcal{G}(f))) \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f))$. It is straightforward to verify that the function T is surjective and thus the conclusion. \square

Definition 4.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ be a closed bounded hypercube and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The oscillation of f over the rectangle A is defined as

$$Osc_f[A] = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in A} |f(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f(y_1, \dots, y_q)|.$$

Next, we shall provide a multivariate analogue of Proposition 11.1 in Falconer [9].

Lemma 4.3. Let $f : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. For $M_1 < \dots < M_q < M$, let us take cuboid of size $\frac{1}{M_1^m} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{M_q^m} \times \frac{1}{M^m}$ for some $M_k, M \geq 2$, where $1 \leq k \leq q$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\delta = \frac{1}{M^m}$ be the height of the cuboid. If $N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f))$ is the number of δ -cuboid that intersect the graph of f , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_q=1}^{M_q^m} \dots \sum_{i_1=1}^{M_1^m} \max \left\{ 1, \lceil M^m Osc_f[A_{i_1 \dots i_q}] \rceil \right\} &\leq N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f)) \\ &\leq [M_1 \dots M_q]^m + \sum_{i_1=1}^{M_1^m} \dots \sum_{i_q=1}^{M_q^m} \lceil M^m Osc_f[A_{i_1 \dots i_q}] \rceil, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function.

Proof. Using the continuity of f , it follows that the number of δ -cuboids in the part above $A_{i_1 \dots i_q}$ that intersect the graph of f is at least $\max \left\{ 1, \lceil M^m Osc_f[A_{i_1 \dots i_q}] \rceil \right\}$ and at most $1 + \lceil M^m Osc_f[A_{i_1 \dots i_q}] \rceil$. The appropriate bounds are obtained by summing all of these components. \square

Now we provide a few concerned most fundamental concepts and notations. We shall assume for the purpose of simplicity $I = I_k = [0, 1]$, where $k \in \Sigma_q$.

Let $\{(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) \in \mathbb{R}^q : i_k \in \Sigma_{M_k,0}, k \in \Sigma_q\}$ such that $0 = x_{k,0} < x_{k,1} < \dots < x_{k,M_k} = 1$ with $x_{k,i_k} - x_{k,i_k-1} = \frac{1}{M_k}$, where $k \in \Sigma_q$. Further, we denote

$$D_{i_1 \dots i_q} = u_{1,i_1}(I_1) \times \dots \times u_{q,i_q}(I_q), \quad D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m} = u_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m}(I_1) \times \dots \times u_{(i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}(I_q),$$

$$u_{(i_k)_1 \dots (i_k)_m} = u_{k,(i_k)_1} \circ \dots \circ u_{k,(i_k)_m}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq q,$$

and define $G_{i_1 \dots i_q} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_q, f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q)) : (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in D_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_{i_1 \dots i_q} &= \max\{|\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q)| : (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in D_{i_1 \dots i_q}\}, \\ \alpha_{\min} &= \min\{|\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q)| : (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in I^q\}, \\ \alpha_{\max} &= \max\{|\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q)| : (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in I^q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. Let $\bar{\gamma} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^{M_1, \dots, M_q} \bar{\alpha}_{i_1, \dots, i_q}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\bar{\gamma}^m = \sum_{(i_{k,1}, \dots, i_{k,q}) \in \Sigma_{M_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{M_q}} \bar{\alpha}_{i_{m,1}, \dots, i_{m,q}} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{i_{1,1}, \dots, i_{1,q}}.$$

Definition 4.5. A function $f : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Hölder continuous with exponent σ and Hölder constant K_f if

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \leq K_f \|(x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q)\|_2^\sigma \quad \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in I^q,$$

and for some $K_f > 0$.

Lemma 4.6. α -fractal function f^α is constructed using f, α and s that are Hölder continuous, corresponding to these consider the $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ as exponents and K_f, K_α, K_s as Hölder constant respectively. Furthermore, we suppose that $M_q < \dots < M_1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Osc}_{F_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m}}[G_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_{m-1} \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_{m-1}}] &\leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_{m-1} \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_{m-1}}] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{K^*}{M_1^{m\sigma}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} K_s}{M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} \right) q^{\sigma/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\sigma = \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ and $K^* := K_\alpha(\|f\|_\infty + \|s\|_\infty) + K_f$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z) &= \alpha(u_{1,i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(x_q))z + f(u_{1,i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(x_q)) \\ &\quad - \alpha(u_{1,i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(x_q))s(x_1, \dots, x_q). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in I^q$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}(x_1, \dots, x_q, f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q)) - F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}(y_1, \dots, y_q, f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q))| \\ &= |\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q))f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) + f(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q)) \\ &\quad - \alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q))s(x_1, \dots, x_q) - \alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q))f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q) \\ &\quad + f(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q)) - \alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q))s(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \\ &\leq |\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q))| |f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + |\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q))| |s(x_1, \dots, x_q) - s(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \\
& + (|f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q)| + |s(y_1, \dots, y_q)|) |\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q)) - \alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q))| \\
& + |f(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q)) - f(u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q))| \\
& \leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} |f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \\
& \quad + \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} K_s \| (x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q) \|_2^{\sigma_3} \\
& \quad + K_\alpha (\|f^\alpha\|_\infty + \|s\|_\infty) \| (u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q)) - (u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q)) \|_2^{\sigma_2} \\
& \quad + K_f \| (u_{1,(i_1)_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(x_q)) - (u_{1,(i_1)_1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}(y_q)) \|_2^{\sigma_1} \\
& \leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] \\
& \quad + \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} K_s \| (x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q) \|_2^\sigma \\
& \quad + \left(K_\alpha (\|f^\alpha\|_\infty + \|s\|_\infty) + K_f \right) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma}, \dots, \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma} \right\} \| (x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q) \|_2^\sigma \\
& \leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + \left(\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} K_s + \frac{K^*}{M_1^\sigma} \right) q^{\sigma/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further iterations lead to the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Osc}_{F_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m}} [G_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_{m-1} (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_{m-1}}] \\
& \leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_{m-1} (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_{m-1}}] + \left(\frac{K^*}{M_1^{m\sigma}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} K_s}{M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} \right) q^{\sigma/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

completing the claim. \square

The following theorem establishes bounds for the graph dimension of the multivariate α -fractal function f^α .

Theorem 4.7. *Let f^α be the multivariate α -fractal function constructed previously.*

- (i) If $\alpha_{\min} > \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma}$, then $q \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq 1 + \frac{\log(\bar{\gamma})}{\log(M)}$.
- (ii) If $\frac{1}{M_1^\sigma} < \alpha_{\max} < 1$, then $q \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq q + 1 + \frac{\log(\alpha_{\max})}{\log(M)}$.
- (iii) If $\alpha_{\max} \leq \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma}$, then $q \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq q + 1 - \sigma$.

Proof. Note that the functional equation is satisfied by the function f^α ,

$$f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) = F_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q), f^\alpha(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q)) \right)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}$. For $(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f^\alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q)| \\
& = \left| F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(u_{1,(i_1)_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}^{-1}(x_q), f^\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}^{-1}(x_q)) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(u_{1,(i_1)_1}^{-1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}^{-1}(y_q), f^\alpha(u_{1,(i_1)_1}^{-1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,(i_q)_1}^{-1}(y_q)) \right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}} \left| F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(u_{1, (i_1)_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, (i_q)_1}^{-1}(x_q), \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. f^\alpha \left(u_{1, (i_1)_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, (i_q)_1}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(u_{1, (i_1)_1}^{-1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q, (i_q)_1}^{-1}(y_q), f^\alpha \left(u_{1, (i_1)_1}^{-1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q, (i_q)_1}^{-1}(y_q) \right) \right) \right| \\
&= \sup_{(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_q), (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_q) \in I^q} \left| F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_q, f^\alpha(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_q)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_q, f^\alpha(\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_q)) \right| \\
&= Osc_{F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}} [\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since (x_1, \dots, x_q) and (y_1, \dots, y_q) are arbitrary, we get

$$Osc_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}] \leq Osc_{F_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}} [\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)].$$

By succession, it follows that

$$Osc_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \leq Osc_{F_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m}} [G_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_{m-1} \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_{m-1}}].$$

Using Lemma 4.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&Osc_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \\
&\leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} Osc_{f^\alpha} [I^q] \\
&\quad + 2^{\sigma/2} K^* \left(\frac{1}{M_1^{m\sigma}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m}}{M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} + \dots + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{i_2 j_2}}{M_1^\sigma} \right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\sigma/2} K_s \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m}}{M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \bar{\alpha}_{i_{m-1} j_{m-1}}}{M_1^{(m-2)\sigma}} + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(a) If $\alpha_{\min} > \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&Osc_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \\
&\leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} Osc_{f^\alpha} [I^q] \\
&\quad + \frac{2^{\sigma/2} K^* (\bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_2 \dots (i_q)_2})}{M_1^\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min} M_1^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}^{m-1} M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} \right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\sigma/2} K_s \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min} M_1^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min}^{m-1} M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}} \right) \\
&\leq \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \left(Osc_{f^\alpha} [I^q] + \left(\frac{2^{\sigma/2} K^*}{M_1^\sigma \alpha_{\min}} + 2^{\sigma/2} K_s \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min} M_1^\sigma}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We write

$$Osc_{f^\alpha} [D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \leq K_1 \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1},$$

where $K_1 = \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + \left(\frac{2^{\sigma/2}K^*}{M_1^\sigma \alpha_{\min}} + 2^{\sigma/2}K_s\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\min} M_1^\sigma}}\right)$.

From Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) &\leq [M \dots M]^m + \sum_{((i_1)_k, \dots, (i_q)_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} [M^m \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}]] \\
&\leq M^{mq} + \sum_{((i_1)_k, \dots, (i_q)_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} [M^m K_1 \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1}] \\
&\leq 2M^{mq} + M^m K_1 \sum_{((i_1)_k, \dots, (i_q)_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_m \dots (i_q)_m} \dots \bar{\alpha}_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_q)_1} \\
&\leq 2M^{mq} + M^m K_1 \bar{\gamma}^m,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_q=1}^{M_1, \dots, M_q} \bar{\alpha}_{i_1, \dots, i_q}$. Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)))}{-\log(\delta)} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M^{mq} + M^m K_1 \bar{\gamma}^m)}{m \log(M)} \\
&= 1 + \frac{\log(\bar{\gamma})}{\log(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Assuming that $\frac{1}{M_1^\sigma} < \alpha_{\max} < 1$, then one get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \\
&\leq \alpha_{\max}^m \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + \frac{2^{\sigma/2}K^* \alpha_{\max}}{M_1^\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max} M_1^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max}^{m-1} M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}}\right) \\
&\quad + 2^{\sigma/2} K_s \alpha_{\max}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max} M_1^\sigma} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max}^{m-1} M_1^{(m-1)\sigma}}\right) \\
&\leq \alpha_{\max}^m \left(\text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + \left(\frac{2^{\sigma/2}K^*}{M_1^\sigma \alpha_{\max}} + 2^{\sigma/2}K_s\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max} M_1^\sigma}}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Whence,

$$\text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \leq K_2 \alpha_{\max}^m,$$

where $K_2 = \text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + \left(\frac{2^{\sigma/2}K^*}{M_1^\sigma \alpha_{\max}} + 2^{\sigma/2}K_s\right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\max} M_1^\sigma}}\right)$. On similar lines to that in (a), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)))}{-\log(\delta)} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M^{mq} + M^{m(q+1)} M_2 \alpha_{\max}^m)}{m \log(M)} \\
&= q + 1 + \frac{\log(\alpha_{\max})}{\log(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) If $\alpha_{\max} \leq \frac{1}{M_1^\sigma}$, then we have

$$\text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[D_{(i_1)_1 \dots (i_1)_m (i_2)_1 \dots (i_2)_m \dots (i_q)_1 \dots (i_q)_m}] \leq \frac{\text{Osc}_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + m 2^{\sigma/2} (K^* + K_s)}{M_1^{m\sigma}}.$$

We get the following in the same way as in the two preceding instances:

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \left(N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \right)}{-\log(\delta)} \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(2M^{mq} + M^{mq} M_1^{(1-\sigma)m} (Osc_{f^\alpha}[I^q] + m2^{\sigma/2}(K^* + K_s)) \right)}{m \log(M)} = q + 1 - \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.8. The above theorem can be compared with [17]. It should be noted that Malysz gives an exact value of box dimension for a special class of multivariate FIFs. However, our result gives bounds of box dimension for a more general class of FIFs.

Theorem 4.9. *Let f, α and s be Hölder continuous with exponent σ and $s(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) = f(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q})$ for all $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \partial \Sigma_{M_k, 0}$. If $a := \min_{(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} \{|a_i|, |c_j|\}$ and*

$$\max \left\{ \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma}, \|\alpha\| \right\} < 1, \text{ then } f^\alpha \text{ is Hölder continuous with exponent } \sigma.$$

Moreover, $q \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) \leq q + 1 - \sigma$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q) = \{g \in \mathcal{H}^\sigma(I^q) : g(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) = f(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) \text{ for all } i_k \in \partial \Sigma_{M_k, 0}, k \in \Sigma_q\}$. We note that the space $\mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{H}^\sigma(I^q)$ and consequently $\mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$ is a complete metric space with respect to the metric induced by norm $\|\cdot\|$. Define a function $T : \mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$ by

$$(T_f g)(x_1, \dots, x_q) = f(x_1, \dots, x_q) + \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) (g - s)(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q))$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}$, where $(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}$. We begin by proving that T is well-defined. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & [T_f g]_\sigma \\ &= \max_{(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} \sup_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_q) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}}} \frac{|T_f g(x_1, \dots, x_q) - T_f g(y_1, \dots, y_q)|}{\|(x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q)\|_2^\sigma} \\ &\leq \max_{(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} \left[\sup_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_q) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}}} \frac{|f(x_1, \dots, x_q) - f(y_1, \dots, y_q)|}{\|(x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q)\|_2^\sigma} \right. \\ &\quad + \sup_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_q) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}}} \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty \left| (g - s)(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(x_q)) - (g - s)(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(y_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}^{-1}(y_q)) \right|}{\|(x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q)\|_2^\sigma} \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (y_1, \dots, y_q) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_q), (y_1, \dots, y_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q I_{k,i_k}}} \frac{\|g - s\|_\infty |\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_q) - \alpha(y_1, \dots, y_q)|}{\|(x_1, \dots, x_q) - (y_1, \dots, y_q)\|_2^\sigma} \right] \\ &\leq [f]_\sigma + \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma} ([g]_\sigma + [s]_\sigma) + \|g - s\|_\infty [\alpha]_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

For $g, h \in \mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_f g - T_f h\| &= \|T_f g - T_f h\|_\infty + [T_f g - T_f h]_\sigma \\
&\leq \|\alpha\|_\infty \|g - h\|_\infty + \frac{|\alpha|}{a^\sigma} [g - h]_\sigma + \|g - h\|_\infty [\alpha]_\sigma \\
&= \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma} [g - h]_\sigma + \|g - h\|_\infty \|\alpha\| \\
&\leq \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma} \|g - h\| + \|g - h\|_\infty \|\alpha\| \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma}, \|\alpha\| \right\} \|g - h\|.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the hypothesis that T is a contraction function on $\mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$. Hence, a unique fixed point of T is obtained by the Banach contraction principle. In particular, $f^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_f^\sigma(I^q)$.

Let K_{f^α} be the Hölder constant for a Hölder continuous function $f^\alpha : I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with exponent σ . For $\delta = \frac{1}{M^m}$ and using the Lemma 4.3 we get

$$\overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)) = \overline{\lim}_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(N_\delta(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)))}{-\log(\delta)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2M^{mq} + M^{m(1-\sigma)} M^{mq} K_{f^\alpha} 2^{\frac{\sigma}{2}})}{m \log(M)} = 1 + q - \sigma,$$

and this completes the proof. \square


Remark 4.10. The above theorem can be compared with Theorem of [11], wherein Jha and Verma have shown a similar result for univariate fractal functions.

Example 4.11. Consider the following function in the domain $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ as the germ function


$$f(x, y) = 41(y^3 - x^5)^2 + (y - x^2)^3$$

Let us consider the following parameters:


- (i) A net Δ determined by the partition $\{-1, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1\}$ of $[-1, 1]$.
- (ii) Scaling function $\alpha(x, y) = 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$, respectively for all $(x, y) \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$.
- (iii) Base function $s(x, y) = x^3 y^5 f(x, y)$.




$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.1$$




$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.2$$




$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.4$$



$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.5$$



$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.7$$



$$\alpha(x, y) = 0.9$$

FIGURE 1. $\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)$ on different Scaling function

4.1. Restrictions of α -fractal function on axis. Let f^α be a fractal interpolation function as in Subsection 3.2. Now define a function $g^\alpha : I_{1,i_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g^{\alpha^g}(x_1) = f^\alpha(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) \text{ for all } x_1 \in I_{1,i_1} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\alpha^g(x_1) = \alpha(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))$, $s^g(x_1, \dots, x_q) = s(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))$.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(I^q)$ be a continuous function and f^α the α -fractal function corresponding to f , then g^{α^g} as defined in Equation (4.4) will be the induced α -fractal function corresponding to a continuous function $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $g(x^1) = f(x^1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))$ for all $x^1 \in I$. Moreover, $\dim(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq \dim(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha))$.*

Proof. To prove that g^{α^g} is an α -fractal function corresponding to g , it is sufficient to prove that g^{α^g} satisfies the self-referential equation. With the definition of u_{k,i_k} , it is clear that for all $k \in \Sigma_q$, $u_{k,1}(0) = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{\alpha^g}(x_1) \\ &= f^\alpha(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) \\ &= f(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) + \alpha(x_1, u_{1,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) [f^\alpha(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), 0, \dots, 0) - s(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1), 0, \dots, 0)] \\ &= g(x_1) + \alpha^g(x_1) [g^{\alpha^g}(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1)) - s^{\alpha^g}(u_{1,i_1}^{-1}(x_1))] \end{aligned}$$

It is satisfying the self referential Equation (3.6), hence g^{α^g} is an α -fractal function corresponding to g .

Moreover, $\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)$, implies that

$$\dim(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq \dim(\mathcal{G}(f^\alpha)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 4.13. Let $f : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function. Using f , we define $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g(x) = f(x, 0) \quad (4.5)$$

It can be seen that

$$\dim \mathcal{G}(g) \leq \dim \mathcal{G}(f).$$

Consider $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, then the function $g(x) = x^2$ is obtained by using Equation 4.5. It can be easily seen that $\dim \mathcal{G}(f) = 2$ and $\dim \mathcal{G}(g) = 1$, that is, $\dim \mathcal{G}(g) \leq \dim \mathcal{G}(f)$. Now, take $f(x, y) = W(x) + y$, where $W : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Weierstrass function. Similarly, we get $g(x) = W(x)$. Finally, $\dim \mathcal{G}(f) = 1 + \dim(W)$ and $\dim \mathcal{G}(g) = \dim \mathcal{G}(W)$. Hence, $\dim \mathcal{G}(g) \leq \dim \mathcal{G}(f)$. Now, select $f(x, y) = W(x)y$, then $g(x) = 0$. Thus, we get $\dim \mathcal{G}(fg) = 1$.

Theorem 4.14. *Let f, α and s be Hölder continuous with exponent σ and $s(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) =$*

$$f(x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{q,i_q}) \text{ for all } (i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \partial \Sigma_{M_k, 0}. \text{ If } a := \min_{(i_1, \dots, i_q) \in \prod_{k=1}^q \Sigma_{M_k}} \{|a_i|, |c_j|\} \text{ and}$$

$$\max \left\{ \frac{\|\alpha\|_\infty}{a^\sigma}, \|\alpha\| \right\} < 1, \text{ then } g^{\alpha^g} \text{ is Hölder continuous with exponent } \sigma.$$

$$\text{Moreover, } 1 \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq \underline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq \overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq 2 - \sigma.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.9, the fractal function f^α is Hölder continuous with exponent σ . Since

$$g^{\alpha^g}(x_1) = f^\alpha(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) \text{ for all } x_1 \in I_{1,i_1}$$

where $\alpha^g(x_1) = \alpha(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))$, $s^g(x_1, \dots, x_q) = s(x_1, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))$, we have

$$|g^{\alpha^g}(x) - g^{\alpha^g}(y)| = |f^\alpha(x, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0)) - f^\alpha(y, u_{2,1}(0), \dots, u_{q,1}(0))| \leq |x - y|^\sigma.$$

That is, g^{α^g} is Hölder continuous with exponent σ . Now, by [9, Corollary 11.2] it is obvious that $\overline{\dim}_B(\mathcal{G}(g^{\alpha^g})) \leq 2 - \sigma$. This completes the proof. \square

5. MIXED RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL

Definition 5.1. The Mixed Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of a multivariate continuous function $f : I_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} f \right) (x_1, \dots, x_q) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_q)} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (x_1 - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} f(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q > 0$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \neq (-1, \dots, -1)$ for all $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in I^q$.

Theorem 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be the FIF defined as in Equation (3.4) which is determined by the IFS defined in Equation (3.2), then $\mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} \mathcal{A}$ will satisfy the following self referential equation

$$\mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} \mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_q) = \widehat{F}_{i_1 \dots i_q} \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q), \mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} \mathcal{A} \left(u_{1, i_1}^{-1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}^{-1}(x_q) \right) \right),$$

where $\widehat{F}_{i_1 \dots i_q} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as,

$$\widehat{F}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q, z) = \delta a_{q, i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1, i_1}^{\beta_1} z + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q) \\ &= \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q, i_q}(0)} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q, i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\ & \quad + a_{q, i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1, i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q, i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\ & \quad + a_{q, i_q}^{\beta_q} a_{q-1, i_{q-1}}^{\beta_{q-1}} \int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_{q-1}} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_{q-1} - w_{q-1})^{\beta_{q-1}-1} (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_{q-2}, u_{q-1, i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}), u_{q, i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-2} dw_{q-1} dw_q \\ & \quad + \cdots + a_{q, i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{2, i_2}^{\beta_2} \int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(0)} \int_0^{x_2} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} (x_2 - w_2)^{\beta_2-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, u_{2, i_2}(w_2), \dots, u_{q, i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 dw_2 \cdots dw_q \\ & \quad \left. + a_{q, i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1, i_1}^{\beta_1} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (x_1 - w_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(w_1, \dots, w_q) dw_1 \cdots dw_q \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_q)}.$$

Proof. For $(x_1, \dots, x_q) \in I^q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} \mathcal{A}(u_{1, i_1}(x_1), \dots, u_{q, i_q}(x_q)) \\ &= \mathcal{M} \int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q, i_q}(x_q)} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q, i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \\ &= \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q, i_q}(0)} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q, i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_{u_{q, i_q}(0)}^{u_{q, i_q}(x_q)} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q, i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

put $t_q = u_{q, i_q}(w_q)$ in the second integral, then we get

$$= \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1, i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q, i_q}(0)} (u_{1, i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q, i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a_{q,i_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - u_{q,i_q}(w_q))^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \Big) \\
& = \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q,i_q}(0)} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)}^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(x_{q-1})} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

put $t_{q-1} = u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1})$ in the third integral, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q,i_q}(0)} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} a_{q-1,i_{q-1}} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_{q-1}} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(x_{q-1}) \\
& \quad \quad - u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}))^{\beta_{q-1}-1} (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_{q-2}, u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}), u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-2} dw_{q-1} dw_q \Big) \\
& = \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q,i_q}(0)} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} a_{q-1,i_{q-1}}^{\beta_{q-1}} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_{q-1}} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_{q-1} - w_{q-1})^{\beta_{q-1}-1} (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_{q-2}, u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}), u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-2} dw_{q-1} dw_q \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

going on with similar steps, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q,i_q}(0)} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) \\
& \quad \quad \quad dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\
& \quad + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} a_{q-1,i_{q-1}}^{\beta_{q-1}} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_{q-1}} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_{q-1} - w_{q-1})^{\beta_{q-1}-1} (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_{q-2}, u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}), u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-2} dw_{q-1} dw_q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \cdots + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{2,i_2}^{\beta_2} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(0)} \int_0^{x_2} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} (x_2 - w_2)^{\beta_2-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, u_{2,i_2}(w_2), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 dw_2 \cdots dw_q \\
& + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1,i_1}^{\beta_1} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (x_1 - w_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(u_{1,i_1}(w_1), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dw_1 \cdots dw_q \Big) \\
= & \mathcal{M} \left(\int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q,i_q}(0)} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (u_{q,i_q}(x_q) - t_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_q) dt_1 \cdots dt_q \right. \\
& + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(0)} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) \\
& \quad dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-1} dw_q \\
& + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} a_{q-1,i_{q-1}}^{\beta_{q-1}} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(x_1)} \cdots \int_0^{x_{q-1}} \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_{q-1} - w_{q-1})^{\beta_{q-1}-1} (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, \dots, t_{q-2}, u_{q-1,i_{q-1}}(w_{q-1}), u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{q-2} dw_{q-1} dw_q \\
& + \cdots + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{2,i_2}^{\beta_2} \int_0^{u_{1,i_1}(0)} \int_0^{x_2} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (u_{1,i_1}(x_1) - t_1)^{\beta_1-1} (x_2 - w_2)^{\beta_2-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \\
& \quad \mathcal{A}(t_1, u_{2,i_2}(w_2), \dots, u_{q,i_q}(w_q)) dt_1 dw_2 \cdots dw_q \\
& + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1,i_1}^{\beta_1} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (x_1 - w_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \delta \mathcal{A}(w_1, \dots, w_q) dw_1 \cdots dw_q \\
& \left. + a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1,i_1}^{\beta_1} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_q} (x_1 - w_1)^{\beta_1-1} \cdots (x_q - w_q)^{\beta_q-1} \mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(w_1, \dots, w_q) dw_1 \cdots dw_q \right) \\
= & \delta a_{q,i_q}^{\beta_q} \cdots a_{1,i_1}^{\beta_1} \mathfrak{J}^{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)} \mathcal{A}(x_1, \dots, x_q) + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{i_1 \dots i_q}(x_1, \dots, x_q),
\end{aligned}$$

establishing the claim. \square

Remark 5.3. The above theorem can be compared with Theorem 5.3 of [7], wherein the calculus of fractal surfaces has been studied.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROBLEMS

In this paper, we have given the construction of multivariate fractal interpolation function corresponding to the given data set (Subsection 3.1). We have noticed that the constructed fractal interpolation function is an attractor of an IFS (Theorem 3.1) and satisfies the self-referential equation (Equation (3.4)). Next, we have established the existence of multivariate α -fractal function corresponding to the continuous function defined on I^q (Subsection 3.2). Next, we have studied the fractal dimension of multivariate α -fractal function (Theorem 4.7, Theorem 4.9), and also studied the restriction of α -fractal function on the co-ordinate axis (Subsection 4.1). In the end, we have proved that the mixed Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of a multivariate fractal interpolation function satisfies the self-referential equation (Theorem 5.2).

In Subsection 4.1, we have studied the restriction of multivariate α -fractal functions on co-ordinate axes. Next we may try to explore the projections of multivariate α -fractal functions and find the fractal dimension of such projections. A glimpse of study of orthogonal projections of fractal structures can be seen in [9].

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

All authors contributed equally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by MHRD Fellowship to the 1st and 2nd author as TA-ship at the Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Agrawal and T. Som. Fractal dimension of α -fractal function on the Sierpiński gasket. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 230(21):3781–3787, 2021.
- [2] M. F. Barnsley. Fractal functions and interpolation. *Constructive approximation*, 2(1):303–329, 1986.
- [3] M. F. Barnsley and A. N. Harrington. The calculus of fractal interpolation functions. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 57(1):14–34, 1989.
- [4] M. F. Barnsley and P. R. Massopust. Bilinear fractal interpolation and box dimension. *Journal of approximation theory*, 192:362–378, 2015.
- [5] P. Bouboulis, L. Dalla, and V. Drakopoulos. Construction of recurrent bivariate fractal interpolation surfaces and computation of their box-counting dimension. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 141(2):99–117, 2006.
- [6] S. Chandra and S. Abbas. Analysis of mixed Weyl-Marchaud fractional derivative and box dimensions. *Fractals*, 29(06):2150145, 2021.
- [7] S. Chandra and S. Abbas. The calculus of bivariate fractal interpolation surfaces. *Fractals*, 29(03):2150066, 2021.
- [8] S. Chandra and S. Abbas. Analysis of fractal dimension of mixed Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. *Numerical Algorithms*, 2022.
- [9] K. Falconer. *Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [10] Z. Feng. Variation and Minkowski dimension of fractal interpolation surface. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 345(1):322–334, 2008.
- [11] S. Jha and S. Verma. Dimensional Analysis of α -Fractal Functions. *Results in Mathematics*, 76(4):1–24, 2021.
- [12] Q.-G. Kong, H.-J. Ruan, and S. Zhang. Box dimension of bilinear fractal interpolation surfaces. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 98(1):113–121, 2018.
- [13] Y. Liang. Box dimensions of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of continuous functions of bounded variation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 72(11):4304–4306, 2010.
- [14] Y. S. Liang. Fractal dimension of Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of 1-dimensional continuous functions. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 21(6):1651–1658, 2018.
- [15] Y. S. Liang and W. Y. Su. Fractal dimensions of fractional integral of continuous functions. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 32(12):1494–1508, 2016.
- [16] Z. Liang and H.-J. Ruan. Construction and box dimension of recurrent fractal interpolation surfaces. *Journal of Fractal Geometry*, 8(3):261–288, 2021.
- [17] R. Małysz. The Minkowski dimension of the bivariate fractal interpolation surfaces. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 27(5):1147–1156, 2006.
- [18] P. R. Massopust. *Fractal functions, fractal surfaces, and wavelets*. Academic Press, 2014.
- [19] M. A. Navascués. Fractal polynomial interpolation. *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, 24(2):401–418, 2005.
- [20] M. Pandey, V. Agrawal, and T. Som. Some remarks on multivariate fractal approximation. In *Frontiers of Fractal Analysis Recent Advances and Challenges*, pages 1–24. CRC Press.
- [21] M. Pandey, V. Agrawal, and T. Som. Fractal dimension of multivariate α -fractal functions and approximation aspects. *Fractals (accepted)*, 2022.
- [22] M. K. Roychowdhury. Hausdorff and upper box dimension estimate of hyperbolic recurrent sets. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 201(2):507–523, 2014.
- [23] H.-J. Ruan, W.-Y. Su, and K. Yao. Box dimension and fractional integral of linear fractal interpolation functions. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 161(1):187–197, 2009.
- [24] H.-J. Ruan, J.-C. Xiao, and B. Yang. Existence and box dimension of general recurrent fractal interpolation functions. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 103(2):278–290, 2021.
- [25] H.-J. Ruan and Q. Xu. Fractal interpolation surfaces on rectangular grids. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 91(3):435–446, 2015.
- [26] A. Sahu and A. Priyadarshi. On the box-counting dimension of graphs of harmonic functions on the Sierpiński gasket. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 487(2):124036, 2020.
- [27] S. Verma. Hausdorff dimension and infinitesimal similitudes on complete metric spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.07520*, 2021.
- [28] S. Verma and A. Sahu. Bounded variation on the Sierpiński gasket. *Fractals*, doi: 10.1142/S0218348X2250147X, 2022.
- [29] H.-Y. Wang and J.-S. Yu. Fractal interpolation functions with variable parameters and their analytical properties. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 175:1–18, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT(BHU), VARANASI, INDIA 221005
 Email address: vishal.agrawal1992@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT(BHU), VARANASI, INDIA 221005
 Email address: meghapandey1071996@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT(BHU), VARANASI, INDIA 221005
 Email address: tsom.apm@iitbhu.ac.in