

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

Holographic Perspectives On Models Of Moduli Stabilization In M-Theory

Sirui Ning^a

^aRudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics Beecroft Building, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, University of Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK

E-mail: sirui.ning@physics.ox.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: Recent holographic analyses on IIA and IIB models of moduli stabilization have led to many interesting results. Here we extend this approach to M-Theory. We consider both flux-stabilized models and non-perturbative stabilization methods. We perform a holographic analysis to determine the spectrum of the assumed dual CFT_3 to see its AdS/CFT implication. For the flux stabilization, which relies on a large complex Chern-Simons invariant, moduli have integer dimensions similar to the DGKT flux-stabilized model in type IIA,. For the non-perturbative stabilization, the results are similar to race-track models in type IIB.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	General Aspects Of M-Theory Moduli Stabilization	2
3	Flux-Stabilized M-Theory Vacuum	4
4	Stabilization By Non-Perturbative Effects	7
5	Conclusion	10
A	General Expressions For Mass Matrices	11
B	Hessians Of Zero Fluxes Background	12

1 Introduction

The properties of the vacuum in string theory are important because if string theory is true, then the vacuum will be able to describe our universe. The approach to connect the original formulation of string theory and the real world is string compactification (for reviews see [1–4]), which reduces the full 10d string vacuum to a (3+1)-d spacetime, while other dimensions are compactified. However, in the process of string compactification, new massless scalar fields will emerge. The vacuum expectation values of them, called moduli, do not at first appear in the 4d effective potential, so they are not constrained.

If moduli can take arbitrary values (for example, time dependent), then this will conflict with observations. Therefore, it is essential to stabilize the moduli. The ingredients of moduli stabilization are fluxes and non-perturbative effects (for example, world sheet instantons [5] and gaugino condensation) to make new terms appear in the 4d effective potential to minimize the moduli. Fluxes can be seen as a higher dimensional generalization of Dirac quantization, so they take integer values. Therefore, the corresponding vacua are also labeled by discrete integer fluxes. The set of all vacua generated by these fluxes form the so-called "landscape".

Examples of scenarios of moduli stabilization include the type IIA string compactifications like DGKT [6] and type IIB string compactifications like the Large Volume Scenario(LVS)[7–10], KKLT [11] and Racetrack [12]. In DGKT all geometric moduli are stabilized at SUSY AdS vacua in the large flux limit. In the Large Volume Scenario the moduli are stabilized at non-SUSY AdS vacua with an exponentially large volume. KKLT stabilizes the moduli with both fluxes and non-perturbative effects at SUSY vacua in the limit of small W_0 . The Racetrack model uses two different non-perturbative effects to stabilize the moduli at a SUSY vacuum without fluxes.

M-Theory is another limit of string theory. Moduli stabilization is also interesting for M-Theory compactification. This has been studied in [13–15] by both flux and non-perturbative stabilization. In the flux-stabilized vacua, all the saxions and a linear combination of axions are stabilized at SUSY AdS vacua under certain topological conditions. The non-perturbative stabilized vacuum is the multiple moduli version of the racetrack model.

The above method goes from top to down. However, in recent years an alternative approach has risen: the swampland program [16–18]. This aims at using basic principles in quantum gravity to exclude many effective field theories and work out properties that low energy effective field theory must satisfy. It is beneficial to understand the swampland program from holographic perspectives: for references see [19–28].

A novel approach from holography was proposed [29–34], which provides a new perspective to the problem. The motivation is to determine the consistency of these 4d vacua from the CFT side. So far, what has been studied are the LVS, the fibred LVS and DGKT. In particular DGKT gives an interesting spectrum leading to the integer conformal dimensions.

In this paper we extend the holographic swampland story to M-Theory, both models with fluxes and without fluxes. The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we describe general aspects of M-Theory moduli stabilization on a G_2 -holonomy manifold and the mass matrix elements. In Section 3 we study the holographic dual of M-Theory vacuum with flux and compare it with the previous results of DGKT [32, 33]. In Section 4 we study the holographic dual of M-Theory vacuum with zero flux background and compare it with the previous results of KKLT and racetrack [31]. In Section 5 we give our conclusions. In the Appendix the detailed calculations are presented.

2 General Aspects Of M-Theory Moduli Stabilization

In this section we give a brief description of M-Theory moduli stabilization on a G_2 holonomy manifold [14]. The 11d low energy supergravity description of M-theory is given by the following action [35]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2k_{11}^2} \left[\int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} R - \int \left(\frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge *G_4 - \frac{1}{6} C_3 \wedge G_4 \wedge G_4 \right) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where the 11d metric g and a 3-form C_3 consists of the bosonic components. $G_4 = dC_3$ is the field strength. By compactifying this theory on a 7d compact manifold X with G_2 holonomy group, one obtains the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity theory. A covariantly constant 3-form ϕ always accompanies a manifold X with G_2 holonomy. Following the argument in [14], the moduli space of X has the same dimension as $H^3(X, R)$, therefore the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity theory has $b_3(X)$ moduli. The corresponding chiral fields read:

$$z_i = t_i + i s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.2)$$

s_i are volume of 3-cycles and t_i are the corresponding axions. In the case that is consistent with G_2 holonomy [15], the Kähler potential is expressed by:

$$K = -3\text{Log}(\mathcal{V}). \quad (2.3)$$

We assume, following [15], the volume of the 7d-manifold can be written as $\mathcal{V} = \prod_{i=1}^N s_i^{a_i}$. The parameters have the following constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i = \frac{7}{3}. \quad (2.4)$$

The Kähler potential satisfies the following no scale relationships:

$$K^{ij} K_j = -s^i, \quad K^{ij} K_i K_j = 7. \quad (2.5)$$

We now derive general expressions for the Hessians of both volume moduli and axions, assuming that we have a SUSY vacuum. Details are presented in Appendix A. We start from the standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity potential form (taking $M_P = 1$):

$$V = e^K (K^{i\bar{j}} D_i W D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^2). \quad (2.6)$$

The SUSY condition is:

$$D_i W = \partial_i W + K_i W = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

For the volume moduli, the corresponding Hessian is expressed:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b \partial_a V &= K_{ab} V - 3e^K K_b \partial_a |W|^2 - 3e^K \partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_b D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) \\ &\quad + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_b D_i W) (\partial_a D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Following Appendix A (also see [33]), putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^V &= \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = -K_{ab} + 3K_a K_b + 2 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ &\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where K, W are the Kähler potential and superpotential evaluated at the SUSY vacuum.

The Hessian for the axions can be obtained similarly from Eq (2.8), with all derivatives of the Kähler potential vanishing:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b \partial_a V &= -3e^K \partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_b D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) \\ &\quad + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_b D_i W) (\partial_a D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where ∂_a, ∂_b means $\partial_{t_a}, \partial_{t_b}$. Again, following Appendix A (also see [33]), we can get the axion Hessians similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^A &= \frac{\partial_{t_b} \partial_{t_a} V}{e^K |W|^2} = 2K_a K_b + 6 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ &\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where ∂_a, ∂_b means derivatives to $\partial_{s_a}, \partial_{s_b}$.

We now apply this formalism to two models of moduli stabilization. The first is flux-stabilization and the second is non-perturbative stabilization. In practice the superpotential will be either Eq (3.3) or Eq (4.2).

3 Flux-Stabilized M-Theory Vacuum

This section investigates the moduli stabilization method of [14, 36], which aims at stabilizing the moduli with fluxes. This is analogous to the DGKT model in type IIA, which also uses fluxes. We give an introduction to M-Theory compactifications on G_2 manifolds with fluxes turned on.

In order to stabilize the moduli, certain topological conditions have to be imposed: The G_2 manifold X needs to have an ADE singularity along a 3-manifold Q [14]. Following [14, 37], the superpotential induced by turning on a background flux G and background fields at the singularities is:

$$W = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \left(\frac{C_3}{2} + i\phi \right) \wedge G + c_1 + ic_2. \quad (3.1)$$

Expanding the 4-form flux G into a harmonic basis:

$$G = N^i \rho_i, \quad (3.2)$$

where N_i are fluxes and $\rho_i \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, results in the following superpotential:

$$W(z) = N^i z_i + c_1 + ic_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Following [14], $c_1 + ic_2$ is a complex Chern-Simons invariant. This term is essential for this mechanism because without this term the moduli could not be stabilized and the cosmological constant would be zero. Without loss of generality we can take c_2 to be positive.

The SUSY condition implies:

$$\frac{-3a_i}{2is_i} (N^j z_j + c_1 + ic_2) + N_i = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Separating the real and imaginary part of this equation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} N^i t_i + c_1 &= 0, \\ \frac{3a_i}{2s_i} (N^j s_j + c_2) &= N^i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

which results in:

$$s_i \frac{3a_i}{2s_i} (N^j s_j + c_2) = \frac{7}{2} (N^j s_j + c_2) = N^i s_i. \quad (3.6)$$

This leads to the following solution:

$$c_2 = -\frac{5}{7} N^i s_i, \quad s_i = -\frac{3a_i}{5N_i} c_2, \quad (3.7)$$

which implies a vacuum expectation value $W = -i\frac{2c_2}{5}$.

Before we proceed, we need to check the validity of the effective field theory description. In order to realize scale separation, we need the Kaluza-Klein radius to be much smaller than the AdS radius:

$$R_{AdS}^2 = \frac{1}{e^K |W|^2} = \frac{\mathcal{V}^3}{|W|^2} \sim \frac{c_2^7}{c_2^2} \sim c_2^5, \quad (3.8)$$

$$R_{KK}^2 \sim \mathcal{V}^{\frac{2}{7}} \sim c_2^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

so $R_{KK} \ll R_{AdS}$ if $c_2 \gg 1$. Following [14], we assume that large values of c_2 can be obtained for some special G_2 -manifolds.

This superpotential implies that the second order derivatives of the superpotential all vanish. Following Eq (2.9), the Hessian corresponding to the volume moduli is:

$$M_{ij} = -K_{ij} + 3K_i K_j. \quad (3.9)$$

The physical masses can be extracted as the eigenvalues of $m = 2K^{-1}M$, where the matrix elements read:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ij} &= 2K^{ia} M_{aj} \\ &= 2K^{ia} (-K_{aj} + 3K_a K_j) \\ &= -2\delta_{ij} - 6s_i K_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where we use the no scale relationship Eq (2.5).

The eigenvalues are:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \dots \lambda_{N-1} = -2, \\ \lambda_N &= -2 - 6s_i K_i \\ &= -2 - 6s_i \frac{-3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial s_i}}{\mathcal{V}} \\ &= -2 + 18 \times \frac{\frac{7\mathcal{V}}{3}}{\mathcal{V}} \\ &= 40, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we use the property that \mathcal{V} is a homogeneous function of s_i of degree $\frac{7}{3}$.

Using the standard relationship $\Delta(\Delta - 3) = m^2 R_{AdS}^2$, the corresponding conformal dimensions are:

$$\Delta_1 = \dots = \Delta_{N-1} = 2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\Delta_N = 8. \quad (3.13)$$

For the axions, the corresponding Hessian is:

$$M_{t_i t_j} = 2K_i K_j. \quad (3.14)$$

Similarly, the matrix elements of $m = 2K^{-1}M$ are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ij} &= 2K^{ia} M_{aj} \\ &= 4K^{ia} K_a K_j \\ &= -4s_i K_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The corresponding conformal dimensions for the axions are:

$$\Delta_1 = \dots = \Delta_{N-1} = 3, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Delta_N = 7. \quad (3.17)$$

This is rather interesting because all the conformal dimensions are integers. This is reminiscent of DGKT, where similar results were found for general Calabi Yau manifolds [32, 33]. It was argued in [38] that for a special class of M-theory compactifications on 7d manifolds X with G_2 holonomy, special loci in their moduli space are well described by type IIA orientifolds. Therefore, it is natural to compare with DGKT. There may be some connections between the integers of M-Theory and the integers in DGKT, but their values are different. We give a summary of the results for general DGKT.

Following [33], for the Kähler moduli and axion-dilaton sector:

$$\Delta_1 = 10, \quad \Delta_{2\dots h_-^{1,1}+1} = 6, \quad (3.18)$$

for the saxions and

$$\Delta_1 = 11, \quad \Delta_{2\dots h_-^{1,1}+1} = 5. \quad (3.19)$$

for the corresponding axions. For the complex structure moduli sector:

$$\Delta_{u_a} = 2, \quad \Delta_{a_a} = 3, \quad a = 1, \dots, h_-^{2,1}. \quad (3.20)$$

In DGKT, the Kähler potential and superpotential can be expressed as[6]:

$$K = K^K + K^Q = -\text{Log}(\mathcal{V}) + 4D, \quad (3.21)$$

$$W = W^K + W^Q, \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$W^K = e_0 + e_a z^a + \frac{1}{2} k_{abc} m_a z_b z_c - \frac{m_0}{6} k_{abc} z_a z_b z_c, \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$W^Q = -2p_k N_k - iq_\lambda T_\lambda. \quad (3.24)$$

Scale separation and geometric limit rely on large $c_2 \gg 1$. Unlike DGKT, no flux number can be dial up. Note that in DGKT fluxes can be chosen arbitrary large for any Calabi Yau 3-folds. However, in the M-Theory flux-stabilization, the value of c_2 depends on the topological conditions of the 7d G_2 manifold. An example of large c_2 is given in [14], where $Q = H^3/\Gamma$.

Note that the complex structure moduli sector in DGKT is similar to M-theory flux vacuum. This is because W_Q is also a linear combination of complex structure moduli, which is alone the same line as Eq (3.3). The resemblance has been predicted in [6]. However, the volume moduli and axion-dilaton sector seems to be different because there is no quadratic and cubic terms in Eq (3.3).

4 Stabilization By Non-Perturbative Effects

Up to now we have focused on scenarios arising from M-theory flux vacua. In this section, we study a different model based on M-theory. The authors took a further step in [13, 15]: They considered the M-theory vacuum with zero flux background. We will revisit this model and focus on the detailed properties of its holographic dual in this section.

We give a quick summary to the model. The Kähler potential remains the same in this case:

$$K = -3\text{Log}(\mathcal{V}), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \prod_{i=1}^N s_i^{a_i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i = \frac{7}{3}$. The superpotential is generated by the non-perturbative effects[13, 15]:

$$W = A_1 e^{ib_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 z_i} + A_2 e^{ib_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 z_i}, \quad (4.2)$$

where A_k are numerical constants. $b_1 = \frac{2\pi}{P}$ and $b_2 = \frac{2\pi}{Q}$ with P, Q being the rank of the gauge group for gauge condensation. The sets of N_i^1, N_i^2 are all integers. Therefore, the M-theory vacuum is fully determined by the constants $(a_i, b_1, b_2, N_i^1, N_i^2, A_1, A_2)$. In this paper, without loss of generality, we take positive A_1, A_2 .

The SUSY condition is:

$$D_i W = \partial_i W + K_i W = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

The vacuum solution to Eq (4.3) is:

$$\frac{A_1}{A_2} = -\cos[(b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i - b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i)] \frac{2b_2 N_i^2 s_i + 3a_i}{2b_1 N_i^1 s_i + 3a_i} e^{(b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i - b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i)}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\sin[(b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i - b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i)] = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

To prove them, we can start by taking the expression of W and K into SUSY condition :

$$i(A_1 b_1 N_i^1 e^{ib_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 z_i} + A_2 b_2 N_i^2 e^{ib_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 z_i}) - \frac{3a_i}{2is_i} (A_1 e^{ib_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 z_i} + A_2 e^{ib_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 z_i}) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

which implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_1}{A_2} &= -\frac{2b_2 N_i^2 s_i + 3a_i}{2b_1 N_i^1 s_i + 3a_i} e^{ib_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 z_i - ib_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 z_i} \\ &= -\frac{2b_2 N_i^2 s_i + 3a_i}{2b_1 N_i^1 s_i + 3a_i} e^{-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i} \\ &= (\cos[-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 t_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 t_i] - i \sin[-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 t_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 t_i]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

So we prove the SUSY condition.

The overall phase of W , $e^{ib_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 t_i}$, does not have physical meaning, since it is only relative phase factors that matter. If we have A_1, A_2 real and positive, the SUSY condition implies:

$$\cos[(-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 t_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 t_i)] = -1, \quad (4.8)$$

which also gives:

$$\frac{A_1}{A_2} = \frac{2b_2 N_i^2 s_i + 3a_i}{2b_1 N_i^1 s_i + 3a_i} e^{-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i}, \quad (4.9)$$

which results in the following equations:

$$\frac{A_2}{A_1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i + b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$s_i = -\frac{3a_i(\alpha - 1)}{2(b_1 N_i^1 \alpha - b_2 N_i^2)}, \quad (4.11)$$

where one can solve α and s_i numerically.

The Hessians of the volume moduli and the axions in AdS units read:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^V = R_{AdS}^2 V_{ab} = \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = & -K_{ab} + 3K_a K_b + 2\frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ & + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^A = R_{AdS}^2 V_{ab} = \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = & 2K_a K_b + 6\frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ & + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

The physical masses can be acquired as the eigenvalues of $M = 2K^{-1}H$. Using the explicit expressions for the Hessians in the Appendix, we have:

$$M_{ab}^V = 2K^{ai} H_{ib}^V = -2\delta_{ab} + \alpha \frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right), \quad (4.14)$$

$$M_{ab}^A = 2K^{ai} H_{ib}^A = \alpha \frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 6 \right). \quad (4.15)$$

The eigenvalues are:

$$\lambda_i^V = -2, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_N^V = & -2 + \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right) \\ = & -2 + \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 s_a + \frac{3a_a}{2} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\lambda_i^A = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_N^A = & \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 6 \right) \\ = & \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 s_a + \frac{3a_a}{2} \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 6 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

respectively.

Using the standard relationship $\Delta(\Delta - 3) = m^2 R_{AdS}^2$, the corresponding conformal dimensions are:

$$\Delta_i^V = 2, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\Delta_N^V = \frac{3 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 s_a + \frac{3a_a}{2}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2}\right)^2 - 2\right)}}{2}, \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\Delta_i^A = 3, \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\Delta_N^A = \frac{3 + \sqrt{9 + 4\alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 s_a + \frac{3a_a}{2}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2}\right)^2 - 6\right)}}{2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Note that the option $\Delta_i^V = 1$ is excluded by $N = 1$ supersymmetry, as the volume moduli and the axions are in the same 3d $N = 1$ supermultiplet [39].

Following [15], we work in the following two branches in which the supergravity description is meaningful:

$$\begin{aligned} a) \frac{A_2}{A_1} > 1, \min \frac{b_2 N_i^2}{b_1 N_i^1}; i = 1 \dots N > \alpha > \max \frac{b_2 N_i^2 + \frac{3a_i}{2}}{b_1 N_i^1 + \frac{3a_i}{2}}; i = 1 \dots N \\ b) \frac{A_2}{A_1} < 1, \max \frac{b_2 N_i^2}{b_1 N_i^1}; i = 1 \dots N < \alpha < \min \frac{b_2 N_i^2 + \frac{3a_i}{2}}{b_1 N_i^1 + \frac{3a_i}{2}}; i = 1 \dots N \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

It remains to show how the conformal dimension (4.21) grows with the R_{AdS} in the scale separation limit where R_{AdS} goes to infinity. Since we have a supersymmetric vacuum, it follows that $V = -3e^K |W|^2$, therefore $\frac{1}{R_{AdS}^2} = e^K |W|^2$, which implies:

$$\text{Log} R_{AdS} = -\frac{1}{2}K - \text{Log} W. \quad (4.25)$$

Following the definition of Kähler potential, superpotential, Eq (4.10), Eq (B.1) and combining them, we have:

$$\text{Log} R_{AdS} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{3}{2} a_i \text{Log} s_i + b_1 \sum N_i^1 s_i + \text{Log} \left(A_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right). \quad (4.26)$$

In the scale separation limit, the leading order contribution of the conformal dimension and the $\text{Log} R_{AdS}$ is:

$$\Delta_N \propto \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{3a_a} \left(b_1 N_a^1 s_a + \frac{3a_a}{2} \right)^2 \propto b_1 (\sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i)^2, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\text{Log}^2 R_{AdS} \propto b_1 (\sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i)^2, \quad (4.28)$$

Therefore, in the scale separation limit:

$$\Delta_{Heavy} \propto \text{Log}^2 R_{AdS}. \quad (4.29)$$

We end this section by comparing the results with other scenarios like KKLT [11] and Racetrack [12], as they are both non-perturbative stabilized. KKLT is a type IIB flux compactification model in which both fluxes and non-perturbative effects are used to stabilize the moduli supersymmetrically. The AdS minimum is found after moduli stabilization then lifted to dS. The 4d effective field theory is described by:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -3\text{Log}(-i(\rho - \bar{\rho})), \\ W &= W_0 + Ae^{i\alpha\rho}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

In the limit when $|W_0| \ll 1$, one obtains $\Delta \propto \text{Log} R_{AdS}$ [29, 40].

Racetrack still occurs in the context of both type IIB and heterotic string compactification but with only non-perturbative effects. The Kähler potential is the same as KKLT while the superpotential are dominated by two different non-perturbative effects:

$$W = Ae^{i\alpha\rho} - Be^{i\beta\rho}. \tag{4.31}$$

Following [31], one can show $\Delta \propto \text{Log}^2 R_{AdS}$ in the scale separation limit.

It is natural that M-theory gives similar results to IIB racetrack because racetrack model corresponds to the one modulus case of M-theory with zero flux background.

5 Conclusion

We finish this paper by summarizing the results and proposing open questions. In this paper, we study two different models of M-theory moduli stabilization.

First, for the flux-stabilized M-Theory vacuum, we have shown that the spectrum of the dual CFT_3 is characterized by a set of integer conformal dimensions. The presence of integer conformal dimensions dual to the moduli and axions in M-Theory flux vacuum is quite intriguing. Similar results also appear in DGKT type IIA string compactification scenarios [32, 33]. Therefore we compared them and found the flux-stabilized M-Theory vacuum resembles the complex structure sector of DGKT, which is not surprising because their superpotential has similar forms, as predicted in [6]. The validity of the results heavily rely on the existence of large c_2 .

Second, for non-perturbatively effects stabilized M-Theory vacuum, we prove that there is one heavy operator with $\Delta \propto \text{Log} R_{AdS}^2$, the rest are the same as flux-stabilized M-Theory vacuum. Then we compare the results with other scenarios like KKLT [11] and racetrack [12]. It is different with KKLT because there are two different non-perturbative effects in the superpotential, while KKLT only has one non-perturbative term. Racetrack is quite similar because it is the one modulus version of the non-perturbative effects stabilized M-Theory vacuum.

An extremely interesting question is: What is the origin of these integer conformal dimensions? Currently we have no explanation for this, but we believe there must be some deeper structures behind this. Another interesting open question is: Can we prove the existence of G_2 manifold with large c_2 ? It would certainly be of interest to explore these questions in the future.

Acknowledgements

I want to thank Joseph Conlon for suggesting this project and his continuous guidance during the project. I am also very grateful to Joseph Conlon for many fruitful discussions and helpful comments on the manuscript. I want to thank Fien Apers and Filippo Revello for comments on the manuscript. I acknowledge funding support from the China Scholarship Council-FaZheng Group- University of Oxford.

A General Expressions For Mass Matrices

In this section we derive Eq (2.9) and Eq (2.11). The general expressions of moduli and axions Hessians are:

$$H_{ab}^V = \partial_b \partial_a V = K_{ab} V - 3e^K K_b \partial_a |W|^2 - 3e^K \partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_b D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_b D_i W) (\partial_a D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where ∂_a, ∂_b means $\partial_{s_a}, \partial_{s_b}$ and ∂_i, ∂_j means $\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{z_j}$.

$$H_{ab}^A = \partial_b \partial_a V = -3e^K \partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_b D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) + e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_b D_i W) (\partial_a D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where ∂_a, ∂_b means $\partial_{t_a}, \partial_{t_b}$ and ∂_i, ∂_j means $\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{z_j}$.

For the first line of (A.1), the SUSY condition implies that:

$$\partial_a |W|^2 = 2\partial_a W \bar{W} = -K_a |W|^2, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 &= 2\partial_b \partial_a W \bar{W} + 2\partial_a W \partial_b \bar{W} \\ &= (2\frac{W_{ab}}{W} + \frac{1}{2}K_a K_b) |W|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Following [33], for the second line of (A.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_b D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) \\ &= e^K (K^{i\bar{j}} W_{ia} \bar{W}_{\bar{j}b} + 4W_{ab} \bar{W} - \frac{1}{2} K^{i\bar{j}} W_{ia} K_{\bar{j}} K_b \bar{W} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} K^{i\bar{j}} W_{ib} K_{\bar{j}} K_a \bar{W} + (K_{ab} + \frac{3}{4} K_a K_b) |W|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The fact that $K = K(z_i + \bar{z}_i)$ and the superpotential is holomorphic implies:

$$\partial_{z_i} K = \frac{\partial_{s_i} K}{2i}, \partial_{\bar{z}_i} K = -\frac{\partial_{s_i} K}{2i}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\partial_{z_i} W = \frac{1}{i} \partial_{s_i} W, \partial_{\bar{z}_i} \bar{W} = -\frac{1}{i} \partial_{s_i} \bar{W}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which results in:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_{\bar{b}} D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) \\
& = e^K (4K^{ij} W_{ia} \bar{W}_{jb} + 4W_{ab} \bar{W} + s_i W_{ia} K_b \bar{W} \\
& + s_j \bar{W}_{jb} K_a W + (K_{ab} + \frac{3}{4} K_a K_b) |W|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Combining (A.3), (A.4) and (A.8) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{ab}^V & = \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = -K_{ab} + 3K_a K_b + 2 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\
& + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

The axion Hessians (A.2) can be obtained similarly, note that:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t_a} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial z_a} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial W}{\partial s_a}, \tag{A.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_a D_i W & = \partial_a (\partial_{z_i} W + K_i W) \\
& = \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_a} W + K_{z_i} \partial_{z_a} W \\
& = W_{z_i z_a} - K_{z_i} K_{z_a} W \\
& = -W_{ia} + \frac{1}{4} K_i K_a W
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

which results in:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_b \partial_a |W|^2 & = 2\partial_{t_b} \partial_{t_a} W \bar{W} + 2\partial_{t_a} W \partial_{t_b} \bar{W} \\
& = -2W_{ab} \bar{W} + \frac{1}{2} K_a K_b |W|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

For the second term of (A.2), using (A.10), (A.11) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^K K^{i\bar{j}} (\partial_a D_i W) (\partial_{\bar{b}} D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W}) \\
& = e^K 4K^{ij} (-W_{ia} + \frac{1}{4} K_i K_a W) (-\bar{W}_{jb} + \frac{1}{4} K_j K_b \bar{W}) \\
& = e^K (4K^{ij} W_{ia} W_{jb} + s_i W_{ia} K_b W + s_j W_{jb} K_a W + \frac{7}{4} K_a K_b |W|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Putting (A.12), (A.13) together into (A.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{ab}^A & = \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = 2K_a K_b + 6 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\
& + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

B Hessians Of Zero Fluxes Background

In this appendix we give the explicit expressions for the Hessians of the moduli and axions. We can substitute the vacuum expectation value of axions Eqs(4.8) into the superpotential because the Hessians for moduli and axions factorise:

$$W = A_1 e^{-b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i} - A_2 e^{-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i}. \tag{B.1}$$

The first and second derivatives for W are:

$$W_a = -b_1 N_a^1 A_1 e^{-b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i} + b_2 N_a^2 A_2 e^{-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$W_{ab} = b_1^2 N_a^1 N_b^1 A_1 e^{-b_1 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^1 s_i} - b_2^2 N_a^2 N_b^2 A_2 e^{-b_2 \sum_{i=1}^N N_i^2 s_i}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We substitute the SUSY condition (4.9) into (B.3):

$$\frac{W_{ab}}{W} = \frac{\alpha b_1^2 N_a^1 N_b^1 - b_2^2 N_a^2 N_b^2}{\alpha - 1}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Eqs(4.11) implies:

$$N_a^2 = \frac{b_1 N_a^1}{b_2} \alpha + \frac{3a_a(\alpha - 1)}{2s_a b_2}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Putting them together, the second order derivatives of the superpotential are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W_{ab}}{W} &= -\alpha b_1^2 N_a^1 N_b^1 - \frac{3}{2} b_1 \alpha \left(\frac{a_b}{s_b} N_a^1 + \frac{a_a}{s_a} N_b^1 \right) + \frac{9a_a a_b}{4s_a s_b} (1 - \alpha) \\ &= -\alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) + \frac{9a_a a_b}{4s_a s_b}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The Hessians of the moduli and axions can be expressed in AdS units:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^V = R_{AdS}^2 V_{ab} &= \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = -K_{ab} + 3K_a K_b + 2 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ &\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^A = R_{AdS}^2 V_{ab} &= \frac{V_{ab}}{e^K |W|^2} = 2K_a K_b + 6 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\ &\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The derivatives of Kähler potential and superpotential are given by:

$$-K_{ab} = -\frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \delta_{ab}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$K_a K_b = \frac{9a_a a_b}{s_a s_b}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$2 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} = -2\alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) + \frac{9a_a a_b}{2s_a s_b}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

These are used to evaluate the last term in the first line in (B.7):

$$\begin{aligned} 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} &= 8 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{s_i^2}{3a_i} \left(-\alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) + \frac{9a_i a_a}{4s_i s_a} \right) \left(-\alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 + \frac{3a_i}{2s_i} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) + \frac{9a_i a_b}{4s_i s_b} \right) \\ &= \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha^2 \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8s_i^2}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 + \frac{3a_i}{2s_i} \right) \frac{9a_i}{4s_i} \left(\left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \frac{a_b}{s_b} + \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \frac{a_a}{s_a} \right) + \frac{8s_i^2}{3a_i} \frac{81a_i^2 a_a a_b}{16s_i^2 s_a s_b} \\ &= \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha^2 \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\ &\quad - 6s_i \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 + \frac{3a_i}{2s_i} \right) \left(b_1 N_a^1 \frac{a_b}{s_b} + b_1 N_b^1 \frac{a_a}{s_a} + \frac{3a_b a_a}{s_b s_a} \right) + \frac{63}{2} \frac{a_a a_b}{s_a s_b} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The second line of (B.7) can be obtained similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} &= 2s_i \frac{-3a_b}{s_b} \frac{W_{ia}}{W} \\
&= -\frac{6a_b}{s_b} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3}{2} a_i \right) \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) + \frac{9a_a a_i}{4s_a} \right) \\
&= \frac{6a_b}{s_b} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3}{2} a_i \right) \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) - \frac{63a_a a_b}{2s_a s_b},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2s_i K_a \frac{W_{ib}}{W} &= 2s_i \frac{-3a_a}{s_a} \frac{W_{ib}}{W} \\
&= \frac{6a_a}{s_a} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3}{2} a_i \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) - \frac{63a_a a_b}{2s_a s_b},
\end{aligned} \tag{B.14}$$

Combining these results in:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{ab}^V &= -K_{ab} + 3K_a K_b + 2 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\
&\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}. \\
&= -\frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \delta_{ab} + \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha^2 \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\
&\quad - 2\alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\
&= -\frac{s_a^2}{3a_a} \delta_{ab} + \alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \left(\frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{ab}^A &= 2K_a K_b + 6 \frac{W_{ab}}{W} + 8K^{ij} \frac{W_{ia} W_{jb}}{|W|^2} \\
&\quad + 2s_i K_b \frac{W_{ia}}{W} + 2s_j K_a \frac{W_{jb}}{W}. \\
&= \frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha^2 \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\
&\quad - 6\alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \\
&= \alpha \left(b_1 N_a^1 + \frac{3a_a}{2s_a} \right) \left(b_1 N_b^1 + \frac{3a_b}{2s_b} \right) \left(\frac{8}{3a_i} \alpha \left(b_1 N_i^1 s_i + \frac{3a_i}{2} \right)^2 - 6 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

References

- [1] J. P. Conlon, *Moduli Stabilisation and Applications in IIB String Theory*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **55** (2007) 287 [[hep-th/0611039](#)].
- [2] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, *Flux compactification*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79** (2007) 733 [[hep-th/0610102](#)].

- [3] F. Denef, *Les Houches Lectures on Constructing String Vacua*, *Les Houches* **87** (2008) 483 [[0803.1194](#)].
- [4] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, *Physics of String Flux Compactifications*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **57** (2007) 119 [[hep-th/0701050](#)].
- [5] M. Dine, N. Seiberg, X. G. Wen and E. Witten, *Nonperturbative Effects on the String World Sheet*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **278** (1986) 769.
- [6] O. DeWolfe, A. Giriyavets, S. Kachru and W. Taylor, *Type IIA moduli stabilization*, *JHEP* **07** (2005) 066 [[hep-th/0505160](#)].
- [7] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, *Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications*, *JHEP* **03** (2005) 007 [[hep-th/0502058](#)].
- [8] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, *Large-volume flux compactifications: Moduli spectrum and D3/D7 soft supersymmetry breaking*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 007 [[hep-th/0505076](#)].
- [9] J. P. Conlon, S. S. Abdussalam, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, *Soft SUSY Breaking Terms for Chiral Matter in IIB String Compactifications*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 032 [[hep-th/0610129](#)].
- [10] M. Cicoli, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, *Systematics of String Loop Corrections in Type IIB Calabi-Yau Flux Compactifications*, *JHEP* **01** (2008) 052 [[0708.1873](#)].
- [11] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, *De Sitter vacua in string theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 046005 [[hep-th/0301240](#)].
- [12] C. Escoda, M. Gomez-Reino and F. Quevedo, *Saltatory de Sitter string vacua*, *JHEP* **11** (2003) 065 [[hep-th/0307160](#)].
- [13] B. Acharya, K. Bobkov, G. Kane, P. Kumar and D. Vaman, *m theory solution to the hierarchy problem*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 191601.
- [14] B. S. Acharya, *A Moduli fixing mechanism in M theory*, [hep-th/0212294](#).
- [15] B. S. Acharya, K. Bobkov, G. L. Kane, P. Kumar and J. Shao, *Explaining the Electroweak Scale and Stabilizing Moduli in M Theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 126010 [[hep-th/0701034](#)].
- [16] E. Palti, *The Swampland: Introduction and Review*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **67** (2019) 1900037 [[1903.06239](#)].
- [17] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *On the Geometry of the String Landscape and the Swampland*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **766** (2007) 21 [[hep-th/0605264](#)].
- [18] C. Vafa, *The String landscape and the swampland*, [hep-th/0509212](#).
- [19] N. Benjamin, E. Dyer, A. L. Fitzpatrick and S. Kachru, *Universal Bounds on Charged States in 2d CFT and 3d Gravity*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 041 [[1603.09745](#)].
- [20] Y. Nakayama and Y. Nomura, *Weak gravity conjecture in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 126006 [[1509.01647](#)].
- [21] M. Montero, G. Shiu and P. Soler, *The Weak Gravity Conjecture in three dimensions*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 159 [[1606.08438](#)].
- [22] S. Giombi and E. Perlmutter, *Double-Trace Flows and the Swampland*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 026 [[1709.09159](#)].
- [23] A. Urbano, *Towards a proof of the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, [1810.05621](#).

- [24] D. Harlow and H. Ooguri, *Symmetries in quantum field theory and quantum gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **383** (2021) 1669 [[1810.05338](#)].
- [25] D. Harlow and H. Ooguri, *Constraints on Symmetries from Holography*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 191601 [[1810.05337](#)].
- [26] M. Montero, *A Holographic Derivation of the Weak Gravity Conjecture*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 157 [[1812.03978](#)].
- [27] F. Baume and J. Calderón Infante, *Tackling the SDC in AdS with CFTs*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 057 [[2011.03583](#)].
- [28] E. Perlmutter, L. Rastelli, C. Vafa and I. Valenzuela, *A CFT distance conjecture*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 070 [[2011.10040](#)].
- [29] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, *Putting the Boot into the Swampland*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 005 [[1811.06276](#)].
- [30] F. Apsers, M. Montero, T. Van Riet and T. Wrase, *Comments on classical AdS flux vacua with scale separation*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 167 [[2202.00682](#)].
- [31] J. P. Conlon and F. Revello, *Moduli Stabilisation and the Holographic Swampland*, *LHEP* **2020** (2020) 171 [[2006.01021](#)].
- [32] J. P. Conlon, S. Ning and F. Revello, *Exploring the holographic Swampland*, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 117 [[2110.06245](#)].
- [33] F. Apsers, J. P. Conlon, S. Ning and F. Revello, *Integer Conformal Dimensions for Type IIA Flux Vacua*, [2202.09330](#).
- [34] J. Quirant, *Non-integer conformal dimensions for type IIA flux vacua*, [2204.00014](#).
- [35] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Supergravity Theory in Eleven-Dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **76** (1978) 409.
- [36] B. S. Acharya, F. Denef and R. Valandro, *Statistics of M theory vacua*, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 056 [[hep-th/0502060](#)].
- [37] C. Beasley and E. Witten, *A Note on fluxes and superpotentials in M theory compactifications on manifolds of G(2) holonomy*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 046 [[hep-th/0203061](#)].
- [38] S. Kachru and J. McGreevy, *M theory on manifolds of G(2) holonomy and type IIA orientifolds*, *JHEP* **06** (2001) 027 [[hep-th/0103223](#)].
- [39] C. Cordova, T. T. Dumitrescu and K. Intriligator, *Multiplets of Superconformal Symmetry in Diverse Dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 163 [[1612.00809](#)].
- [40] K. Choi, A. Falkowski, H. P. Nilles and M. Olechowski, *Soft supersymmetry breaking in KKLT flux compactification*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **718** (2005) 113 [[hep-th/0503216](#)].