

Quantum electron transport controlled by cavity vacuum fields

Geva Arwas¹ and Cristiano Ciuti¹

¹*Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Laboratoire Matériaux et Phénomènes Quantiques, 75013 Paris, France*

(Dated: June 28, 2022)

We explore theoretically how the coupling to cavity vacuum fields affects the electron transport in quantum conductors due to the counter-rotating-wave terms of light-matter interaction. We determine the quantum conductance in terms of the transmission coefficients predicted by an effective electron Hamiltonian. The coupling between bare electronic states is mediated by virtual processes involving intermediate states with one electron (or one hole) on top of the Fermi sea and one virtual cavity photon. We study the behavior of the quantum conductance in the presence of artificial or disordered single-particle potentials, as well as a spatially varying cavity mode. As illustrative examples, we apply our theory to 1D conductors and to disordered 2D quantum Hall systems. We show how the cavity vacuum fields can lead to both large enhancement or suppression of electron conductance in the ballistic regime, as well as modification of the conductance quantization and fluctuations.

Introduction.— The manipulation of matter by the coupling to cavity fields is an emerging topic in physics and chemistry [1–4]. An aspect of particular interest is the control of electronic properties by a passive electromagnetic resonator, i.e., in the absence of illumination [5–9]. The control of electron transport by vacuum fields is one of the open frontiers [10–13]. Experiments on disordered organic materials have reported a conductance enhancement without illumination, mediated by the presence of cavity photon modes that are spatially extended over the whole electronic system [10, 11]. Early theoretical studies have explored this problem via tight-binding models dealing with chains of two-level systems describing the hopping of electrons between different molecular orbitals in the organic material, accounting both for disorder and light-matter interaction in the rotating-wave approximation [14–16]. In these models, however, electrons are supposed to be injected in the excited band that is separated from the ground band by an energy close to that of the cavity photon: in this scenario, real photons can be emitted by spontaneous emission and subsequently resonantly re-absorbed via the standard rotating-wave terms of light-matter interaction in a dynamics that is related to cavity electro-luminescent devices [17, 18].

Yet, without illumination, for vanishing applied voltage and low temperature, electrons cannot be injected into highly excited states and real photons cannot be emitted. Earlier works in the framework of linear-response Kubo formalism did not consider disorder, but only scattering at the phenomenological semi-classical level [12, 19, 20], where the response is mediated by collective polariton excitations [21, 22] in the ultrastrong light-matter coupling regime [23, 24]. In a spatially inhomogeneous system, a possible mechanism for electron hopping to be affected by vacuum fields is the exchange of virtual cavity photons via the counter-rotating terms of light-matter interaction, which has been recently introduced for disordered quantum Hall systems [25]. Such processes can in principle mediate long-range electron

hopping affecting the edge channel transport and breaking down the topological protection of the integer quantum Hall effect, as discovered experimentally [13].

In the general context of quantum conductors, a microscopic theory of electron transport mediated by cavity vacuum fields that takes into account the effect of counter-rotating-wave terms of light-matter interaction, mode field spatial profile and arbitrary single-particle electronic potential is still missing. In this Letter, we address this general problem. To achieve that, we determine a cavity-mediated effective Hamiltonian for a Fermi system that can be conveniently exploited to predict transmission coefficients from one probe lead to another one. We show how, depending on the single-particle electron potentials and spatial mode profile, the cavity vacuum fields can both dramatically enhance or suppress the quantum electron conductance, as well as strongly affecting its quantization and fluctuation properties.

Quantum light-matter Hamiltonian.— Let us consider a single-band electronic conductor in a single-mode cavity resonator, as sketched in Fig. 1. Within a tight-binding description, the Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} E_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}} - \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} J e^{i \frac{q}{\hbar} \int_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{j}}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r}} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{j}} + \hbar \omega_{\text{cav}} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger}$ creates an electron with charge q in the lattice site labeled by \mathbf{i} and position $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}$, while $E_{\mathbf{i}} = \epsilon + U(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}})$ is the on-site energy that can include a disordered and/or an arbitrary artificial single-particle potential $U(\mathbf{r})$. The nearest-neighbor hopping coupling is J , while $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{r}) (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})$ is the electromagnetic vector potential with vacuum amplitude $A_{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{r})$. The considered cavity mode has frequency ω_{cav} and a bosonic creation (destruction) operator \hat{a}^{\dagger} (\hat{a}). The quantum light-matter Hamiltonian can be rewritten as $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \hbar \omega_{\text{cav}} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \hat{V}$, with $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ the bare electronic Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} E_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}} - J \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} \hat{c}_{\lambda}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\lambda}, \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1. Top panel: sketch of the considered electronic system, described by a single-band tight-binding lattice model with hopping J between nearest neighbors. The goal is to study how the linear quantum electron transport is affected by the cavity vacuum fields (no illumination). Bottom panel: sketch of the process creating an effective coupling between single-particle states $|\phi_\lambda\rangle$ and $|\phi_{\lambda'}\rangle$ via two types of intermediate states consisting of one virtual cavity photon and one electron (or hole) in another state $|\phi_\mu\rangle$.

where \hat{c}_λ^\dagger is the fermionic creation operator for the single-particle eigenstate $|\phi_\lambda\rangle$ with energy ϵ_λ . The quantum light-matter interaction is:

$$\hat{V} = -J \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (ig_{\mathbf{ij}})^n (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)^n \hat{d}_i^\dagger \hat{d}_j, \quad (3)$$

where $g_{\mathbf{ij}} = \frac{q}{\hbar} \int_{\mathbf{r}_i}^{\mathbf{r}_j} \mathbf{A}_{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r}$. The interaction \hat{V} can be conveniently re-expressed in the basis of the single-particle eigenstates as follows:

$$\hat{V} = -J \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{(n)} (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)^n \hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger \hat{c}_{\lambda'}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{(n)} = \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} g_{\mathbf{ij}}^n \langle \phi_\lambda | \mathbf{i} \rangle \langle \mathbf{j} | \phi_{\lambda'} \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Considering couplings such that $|g_{\mathbf{ij}}| \ll 1$ and processes with only one virtual cavity photon, then only the term $n = 1$ can be retained at the lowest-order in perturbation theory. For simplicity, we will denote $\tilde{g}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{(n=1)}$ with $\tilde{g}_{\lambda, \lambda'}$.

Effective electronic Hamiltonian and conductance — Our goal is to study the quantum electron transport with vanishing voltage bias at low temperatures. In this regime, no real photons can be emitted and the cavity can mediate interactions only via the exchange of virtual cavity photons. Let us assume that the many-electron ground state is given by the Fermi sea $|G\rangle = \prod_{\epsilon_\nu \leq E_F} \hat{c}_\nu^\dagger |0\rangle$, where E_F is the Fermi energy. If we consider an electron injected above the Fermi level in a state $\hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger |G\rangle$ with $\epsilon_\lambda \gtrsim E_F$ and consider processes involving one virtual cavity photon, then the quantum light-matter interaction produces an effective coupling of $\hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger |G\rangle$ to another state $\hat{c}_{\lambda'}^\dagger |G\rangle$ with $\epsilon_{\lambda'} \gtrsim E_F$, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The first type of process has an intermediate state with a cavity photon and an electron above the Fermi energy:

$$\hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger |G\rangle \rightarrow \hat{c}_\mu^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger |G\rangle \rightarrow \hat{c}_{\lambda'}^\dagger |G\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where $\epsilon_\mu \geq E_F$. The product of the matrix elements of \hat{V} for the two steps is $-J^2 \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{g}_{\mu, \lambda'}$. The energy difference (penalty) between the initial and intermediate state is $\epsilon_\lambda - \epsilon_\mu - \hbar\omega_{cav} < 0$. The second type involves an electron-hole excitation:

$$\hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger |G\rangle \rightarrow \hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger \hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger \hat{c}_\mu \hat{a}^\dagger |G\rangle \rightarrow \hat{c}_{\lambda'}^\dagger |G\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon_\mu \leq E_F$. The product of the matrix elements of \hat{V} for the two steps is $+J^2 \tilde{g}_{\mu, \lambda'} \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \mu}$ (the sign is different with respect to the first process due to fermionic anti-commutation). The energy difference between the initial and intermediate state is $\epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_{\lambda'} - \hbar\omega_{cav} < 0$. The effective coupling $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ between $\hat{c}_\lambda^\dagger |G\rangle$ and $\hat{c}_{\lambda'}^\dagger |G\rangle$, obtained by elimination of the intermediate states [26, 27], can be approximated as:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda, \lambda'} \simeq \sum_{\epsilon_\mu \geq E_F} \frac{J^2 \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{g}_{\mu, \lambda'}}{\epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\lambda + \hbar\omega_{cav}} - \sum_{\epsilon_\mu < E_F} \frac{J^2 \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{g}_{\mu, \lambda'}}{\epsilon_{\lambda'} - \epsilon_\mu + \hbar\omega_{cav}}. \quad (8)$$

To determine the quantum electron transport, we consider the effective single-particle Hamiltonian for the electronic states:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_\lambda |\phi_\lambda\rangle \langle \phi_\lambda| + \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda, \lambda'} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda', \lambda}^*}{2} |\phi_\lambda\rangle \langle \phi_{\lambda'}|. \quad (9)$$

Given that we are interested in the quantum transport of electrons injected at the Fermi level, the relevant states are such that $\epsilon_\lambda \approx E_F$ and $\epsilon_{\lambda'} \approx E_F$. Hence, we can approximate the sign function as $\text{sgn}(\epsilon_\mu - E_F) \simeq \text{sgn}(\epsilon_\mu - \min(\epsilon_\lambda, \epsilon_{\lambda'}))$. Considering the different signs in the two sums in Eq. (8), this allows us to get the following compact approximation:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda, \lambda'} \simeq J^2 \sum_{\mu} \frac{\text{sgn}(\epsilon_\mu - \min(\epsilon_\lambda, \epsilon_{\lambda'})) \tilde{g}_{\lambda, \mu} \tilde{g}_{\mu, \lambda'}}{|\epsilon_\mu - \frac{\epsilon_\lambda + \epsilon_{\lambda'}}{2}| + \hbar\omega_{cav}}, \quad (10)$$

where μ here labels single-particle states with energy both below and above E_F . Using $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{eff}}$ and Green's function approach [28], we can determine the transmission coefficients $T_{j, j'}$ at the Fermi energy between source \mathcal{S} and drain \mathcal{D} contacts. Here, j and j' denote the channels coupled to the two leads. The corresponding quantum conductance [28, 29] reads:

$$G(E_F) = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}, j' \in \mathcal{D}} T_{j, j'}(E_F). \quad (11)$$

Results for 1D systems — As a first application, we will consider a one-dimensional (1D) conductor with an artificial single-particle potential. This kind of systems




FIG. 2. Example of conductance enhancement by cavity vacuum fields in a 1D system. The cavity mode is spatially homogeneous, linearly polarized along the x direction, with frequency $\omega_{\text{cav}} = 2\pi \times 1\text{THz}$ and vacuum field A_{vac} that corresponds to $\epsilon_r \eta = 10^{-9}$ (see text). Other parameters: $m = 0.067m_0$ and $N = 180$. Top panel: single-particle potential $U(x)$ (thick black line). The shapes of the wavefunctions for the energy eigenstates are also shown, vertically offset by their corresponding energies. Bottom panel: conductance versus E_F for the bare case (gray line) and for the cavity case (thick red line).

can be engineered for example by using gates to induce tailored potentials on a 2D electron gas [30–33]. In Fig. 2, we consider an asymmetric double well and a spatially homogeneous cavity mode. For a ‘flat’ mode and a 1D lattice with length L and N sites, we have $g_{ij} = g_{\text{flat}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{cav}}}{2\pi c} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon_r \eta}} d$, where $d = L/N$, α is the fine structure constant, ϵ_r the relative dielectric constant and η is the compression factor of the cavity mode volume. This is defined by $V_{\text{mode}} = \eta \lambda_0^3$ with $\lambda_0 = 2\pi c / \omega_{\text{cav}}$ the free space wavelength. For split-ring resonators such compression factor η can be as small as $\sim 10^{-10}$ [34]. The hopping can be expressed as $J = \hbar^2 / (2md^2)$, where m is the band effective mass. For a fixed length L and a sufficiently large N , the tight-binding model converges to its continuum limit.

As shown in Fig. 2, each well has a bound state. Without the cavity, these states have a small hybridization due to the asymmetric potential and relatively thick separation barrier. As a result, the conductance (gray line) shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2 displays two resonances, but with very low peak values in units of the conductance quantum e^2/h due to the poor transmission ($\sim 10^{-6}$). The vacuum fields can mediate an effective interaction between these two bound states and enhance




FIG. 3. Example of conductance suppression in a 1D system. Same plots and parameters as in Fig. 2 (except $N = 200$), but with a symmetric double well. In the bottom panel, the red line corresponds to a flat cavity mode (as in Fig. 2). The thicker blue line corresponds to a vacuum field $A_{\text{vac}}(x)$ varying linearly from $0.75A_0$ to $1.25A_0$ where A_0 corresponds to the same field as in Fig. 2.

the tunnel transport. Indeed, as shown by the thick red line, the conductance exhibits an enhancement by several orders of magnitude. Moreover, the enhanced peaks exhibit a Fano-like lineshape. This is due to the cavity-mediated coupling of the bound states to the unbound states. Indeed, we have verified that by neglecting such coupling, a Lorentzian lineshape is recovered.

While the example in Fig. 2 displays a strong conductance enhancement, a different configuration can lead to a dramatic suppression (Fig. 3). The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2, but with a symmetric potential. Without cavity, the bound states in the two wells hybridize leading to a conductance resonance peak close to the conductance quantum. Due to the finite broadening, masking the small energy splitting between the two symmetric and anti-symmetric electron wavefunctions. Now, if we consider the same cavity flat mode as in Fig. 2, then the effect of the vacuum fields is just a shift of the conductance resonance (thick red line). However, if the cavity mode has a moderate linear variation along the x direction (from 0.75 to 1.25 times the average value), then the cavity-mediated coupling breaks the symmetry and the hybridization of the two bound states is significantly reduced. As a result, the conductance is dramatically suppressed by several orders of magnitude (two peaks can now be resolved, thicker blue line). In contrast, for the asymmetric electronic potential in Fig.




FIG. 4. Same plots and parameters as in Fig. 2 (except $N = 800$), but with a smooth random potential $U(x)$ with a finite correlation length (thick black line) and with a spatially flat cavity mode.

2, the same spatial gradient of the cavity mode produces only a very minor modification of the conductance (not shown). Now, let us explore the role of the cavity vacuum fields on a more complex disordered potential. As shown in Fig. 4, we have considered a smooth potential with a finite correlation length and displaying a significant number of peaks and valleys. At low energies, there are bound states trapped in the deepest wells. By increasing the energy, the probability of tunneling between different wells increases. At high energy a quasi-continuum spectrum of levels eventually emerges. The bottom panel of Fig. 4 presents the conductance as a function of E_F for the intermediate spectral region. Without the cavity, the conductance displays several resonance peaks. Increasing the energy, the envelope of the bare conductance significantly increases. With the considered cavity coupling, the conductance associated to the lowest energy peaks is enhanced by several orders of magnitude and acquires the characteristic Fano lineshape previously discussed. Note that some conductance peaks are suppressed.

Results for 2D systems — Another interesting example is the quantum transport in a 2D conductor subject to a perpendicular magnetic field B . As shown in Fig. 5, we have calculated the two-terminal conductance of a rectangular sample. We have included two spin channels (light-matter interaction conserves spin) with a finite Zeeman energy splitting. Moreover, we have considered a smooth 2D disordered potential and a cavity mode with a spatial gradient (see caption). In the bare case (gray curve), by increasing the Fermi energy we can see clear




FIG. 5. Two-terminal conductance for a 2D system ($N = 60 \times 40$) with a perpendicular magnetic field B for the bare (gray line) and cavity (blue line) case. The current flows along the x direction. Parameters: $B = 1$ Tesla, $m = 0.067m_0$, $L_x = 0.5\mu\text{m}$, $L_y/L_x = 2/3$, $E_{\text{Zeeman}} = 0.2\hbar\omega_{\text{cyc}}$ with $\omega_{\text{cyc}} = eB/m$ the cyclotron frequency, and $\omega_{\text{cav}} = 2\pi \times 1\text{THz}$. The vacuum field is polarized along the y direction and its amplitude $A_{\text{vac}}(y)$ varies linearly from 0.5\AA to 1.5\AA , where the average \bar{A} corresponds to $\epsilon_r\eta = 4 \times 10^{-10}$. The considered smooth 2D random potential $U(\mathbf{r})$ with a finite correlation length is shown in the inset.

quantized plateaus of the conductance at multiple integers of e^2/h [35]. In the two-terminal configuration, this is due to the occupation of 1D edge states that cannot back-scatter [36, 37] even in the presence of disorder due to the well-known topological protection of quantum Hall systems [29]. With cavity coupling, disorder and spatial gradient of the cavity mode, cavity-mediated hopping becomes possible [25]. Our microscopic transport calculations [38] show that increasing the number of active edge channels (by increasing E_F) the conductance quantization worsens with the corresponding plateaus even completely destroyed, as observed in [13]. Note also that in the cavity case the disorder-induced fluctuations of the conductance appear significantly enhanced.

In conclusion, we have shown how cavity vacuum fields can affect quantum electron transport. With the framework introduced here, it is possible to explore the interplay of cavity vacuum fields with different types of disorder, weak links, multi-probe geometries in a wide variety of configurations. The present theory with a single-band conductor and arbitrary single-particle potential can be generalized to multi-band systems. Given that in mesoscopic systems the use of metallic gates with different geometries is common and can produce strong vacuum fields, their role in general cannot be overlooked. Possible intriguing future investigations include the control of transport in 2D materials with non-trivial single-particle states such as van der Waals materials [39, 40], vertical semiconductor heterostructures [41, 42] and hybrid semiconductor-superconductor systems [43].

We thank Danh-Phuong Nguyen for helpful discus-

sions. We acknowledge support from the Israeli Council for Higher Education - VATAT, from FET FLAG-SHIP Project PhoQuS (grant agreement ID no.820392) and from the French agency ANR through the project NOMOS (ANR-18-CE24-0026), TRIANGLE (ANR-20-CE47-0011) and CaVdW (ANR-21-CE30-0056-01).

-
- [1] Cyriaque Genet, Jérôme Faist, and Thomas W Ebbesen, “Inducing new material properties with hybrid light–matter states,” *Physics Today* **74**, 42–48 (2021).
- [2] Francisco J. Garcia-Vidal, Cristiano Ciuti, and Thomas W. Ebbesen, “Manipulating matter by strong coupling to vacuum fields,” *Science* **373**, eabd0336 (2021).
- [3] Jacqueline Bloch, Andrea Cavalleri, Victor Galitski, Mohammad Hafezi, and Angel Rubio, “Strongly correlated electron–photon systems,” *Nature*, 1–8 (2022).
- [4] F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, and M. A. Sentef, “Cavity quantum materials,” *Applied Physics Reviews* **9**, 011312 (2022).
- [5] Pierre Nataf, Thierry Champel, Gianni Blatter, and Denis M. Basko, “Rashba cavity QED: A route towards the superradiant quantum phase transition,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 207402 (2019).
- [6] Frank Schlawin, Andrea Cavalleri, and Dieter Jaksch, “Cavity-mediated electron-photon superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 133602 (2019).
- [7] Jiajun Li and Martin Eckstein, “Manipulating intertwined orders in solids with quantum light,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 217402 (2020).
- [8] Daniele Guerzi, Pascal Simon, and Christophe Mora, “Superradiant phase transition in electronic systems and emergent topological phases,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 257604 (2020).
- [9] G. M. Andolina, F. M. D. Pellegrino, V. Giovannetti, A. H. MacDonald, and M. Polini, “Theory of photon condensation in a spatially varying electromagnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 125137 (2020).
- [10] E. Orgiu, J. George, J. A. Hutchison, E. Devaux, J. F. Dayen, B. Doudin, F. Stellacci, C. Genet, J. Schachenmayer, C. Genes, G. Pupillo, P. Samorì, and T. W. Ebbesen, “Conductivity in organic semiconductors hybridized with the vacuum field,” *Nature Materials* **14**, 1123–1129 (2015).
- [11] Kalaivanan Nagarajan, Jino George, Anoop Thomas, Eloise Devaux, Thibault Chervy, Stefano Azzini, Kripa Joseph, Abdelaziz Jouaiti, Mir W. Hosseini, Anil Kumar, Cyriaque Genet, Nicola Bartolo, Cristiano Ciuti, and Thomas W. Ebbesen, “Conductivity and photoconductivity of a p-type organic semiconductor under ultrastrong coupling,” *ACS Nano* **14**, 10219–10225 (2020).
- [12] Gian L. Paravicini-Bagliani, Felice Appugliese, Eli Richter, Federico Valmorra, Janine Keller, Mattias Beck, Nicola Bartolo, Clemens Rössler, Thomas Ihn, Klaus Ensslin, Cristiano Ciuti, Giacomo Scalari, and Jérôme Faist, “Magneto-transport controlled by Landau polaron states,” *Nature Physics* **15**, 186–190 (2018).
- [13] Felice Appugliese, Josefine Enkner, Gian Lorenzo Paravicini-Bagliani, Mattias Beck, Christian Reichl, Werner Wegscheider, Giacomo Scalari, Cristiano Ciuti, and Jérôme Faist, “Breakdown of topological protection by cavity vacuum fields in the integer quantum Hall effect,” *Science* **375**, 1030–1034 (2022).
- [14] David Hagenmüller, Johannes Schachenmayer, Stefan Schütz, Claudiu Genes, and Guido Pupillo, “Cavity-enhanced transport of charge,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 223601 (2017).
- [15] David Hagenmüller, Stefan Schütz, Johannes Schachenmayer, Claudiu Genes, and Guido Pupillo, “Cavity-assisted mesoscopic transport of fermions: Coherent and dissipative dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 205303 (2018).
- [16] Nahum C. Chávez, Francesco Mattiotti, J. A. Méndez-Bermúdez, Fausto Borgonovi, and G. Luca Celardo, “Disorder-enhanced and disorder-independent transport with long-range hopping: Application to molecular chains in optical cavities,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 153201 (2021).
- [17] Simone De Liberato and Cristiano Ciuti, “Quantum model of microcavity intersubband electroluminescent devices,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 155321 (2008).
- [18] Simone De Liberato and Cristiano Ciuti, “Quantum theory of electron tunneling into intersubband cavity polaron states,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 075317 (2009).
- [19] Nicola Bartolo and Cristiano Ciuti, “Vacuum-dressed cavity magnetotransport of a two-dimensional electron gas,” *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 205301 (2018).
- [20] Cassia Naudet-Baulieu, Nicola Bartolo, Giuliano Orso, and Cristiano Ciuti, “Dark vertical conductance of cavity-embedded semiconductor heterostructures,” *New Journal of Physics* **21**, 093061 (2019).
- [21] B. Paulillo, S. Pirota, H. Nong, P. Crozat, S. Guilet, G. Xu, S. Dhillon, L. H. Li, A. G. Davies, E. H. Linfield, and R. Colombelli, “Ultrafast terahertz detectors based on three-dimensional meta-atoms,” *Optica* **4**, 1451–1456 (2017).
- [22] Mathieu Jeannin, Giacomo Mariotti Nesurini, Stéphan Suffit, Djamal Gacemi, Angela Vasanelli, Lianhe Li, Alexander Giles Davies, Edmund Linfield, Carlo Sirtori, and Yanko Todorov, “Ultrastrong light–matter coupling in deeply subwavelength THz LC resonators,” *ACS Photonics* **6**, 1207–1215 (2019).
- [23] Anton Frisk Kockum, Adam Miranowicz, Simone De Liberato, Salvatore Savasta, and Franco Nori, “Ultrastrong coupling between light and matter,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **1**, 19–40 (2019).
- [24] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano, “Ultrastrong coupling regimes of light–matter interaction,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 025005 (2019).
- [25] Cristiano Ciuti, “Cavity-mediated electron hopping in disordered quantum Hall systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 155307 (2021).
- [26] J P Malrieu, P Durand, and J P Daudey, “Intermediate Hamiltonians as a new class of effective Hamiltonians,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **18**, 809–826 (1985).
- [27] I. de P. R. Moreira, N. Suaud, N. Guihéry, J. P. Malrieu, R. Caballol, J. M. Bofill, and F. Illas, “Derivation of spin Hamiltonians from the exact Hamiltonian: Application to systems with two unpaired electrons per magnetic site,” *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 134430 (2002).
- [28] Supriyo Datta, *Quantum Transport: Atom to Transistor* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- [29] Steven M. Girvin and Kun Yang, *Modern Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2019).

- [30] B. J. van Wees, H. van Houten, C. W. J. Beenakker, J. G. Williamson, L. P. Kouwenhoven, D. van der Marel, and C. T. Foxon, “Quantized conductance of point contacts in a two-dimensional electron gas,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 848–850 (1988).
- [31] D. A. Wharam, T. J. Thornton, R. Newbury, M. Pepper, H. Ahmed, JEF Frost, DG Hasko, DC Peacock, DA Ritchie, and GAC Jones, “One-dimensional transport and the quantisation of the ballistic resistance,” *Journal of Physics C: solid state physics* **21**, L209 (1988).
- [32] B. E. Kane, G. R. Facer, A. S. Dzurak, N. E. Lumpkin, R. G. Clark, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, “Quantized conductance in quantum wires with gate-controlled width and electron density,” *Applied Physics Letters* **72**, 3506–3508 (1998).
- [33] Dominik M. Irber, Jakob Seidl, Damon J. Carrad, Jonathan Becker, Nari Jeon, Bernhard Loitsch, Julia Winnerl, Sonja Matich, Markus Döblinger, Yang Tang, Stefanie Morkötter, Gerhard Abstreiter, Jonathan J. Finley, Matthew Grayson, Lincoln J. Lauhon, and Gregor Koblmüller, “Quantum transport and sub-band structure of modulation-doped GaAs/AlAs core–superlattice nanowires,” *Nano Letters* **17**, 4886–4893 (2017).
- [34] Janine Keller, Giacomo Scalari, Sara Cibella, Curdin Maissen, Felice Appugliese, Ennio Giovine, Roberto Leoni, Mattias Beck, and Jérôme Faist, “Few-electron ultrastrong light-matter coupling at 300 GHz with nanogap hybrid LC microcavities,” *Nano letters* **17**, 7410–7415 (2017).
- [35] To calculate the bare quantum conductance, we have both used our numerical code and the one offered by the package Kwant[44]. We have verified that they give the same results within the numerical machine precision.
- [36] M. Büttiker, “Absence of backscattering in the quantum Hall effect in multiprobe conductors,” *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 9375–9389 (1988).
- [37] Harold U. Baranger and A. Douglas Stone, “Electrical linear-response theory in an arbitrary magnetic field: A new Fermi-surface formation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 8169–8193 (1989).
- [38] With the cavity-mediated effective Hamiltonian, the calculation is heavier because the matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda,\lambda'}$ is not sparse and describes an all-to-all coupling between the bare eigenstates. Correspondingly, also the calculation of the transmission coefficients is more demanding.
- [39] Cory R Dean, L Wang, P Maher, C Forsythe, Fereshte Ghahari, Y Gao, Jyoti Katoch, M Ishigami, P Moon, M Koshino, *et al.*, “Hofstadter’s butterfly and the fractal quantum Hall effect in moiré superlattices,” *Nature* **497**, 598–602 (2013).
- [40] Vasil Rokaj, Markus Penz, Michael A. Sentef, Michael Ruggenthaler, and Angel Rubio, “Polaritonic Hofstadter butterfly and cavity control of the quantized Hall conductance,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 205424 (2022).
- [41] Pierre-Baptiste Vigneron, Stefano Pirotta, Iacopo Carusotto, Ngoc-Linh Tran, Giorgio Biasiol, Jean-Michel Manceau, Adel Bousseksou, and Raffaele Colombelli, “Quantum well infrared photo-detectors operating in the strong light-matter coupling regime,” *Applied Physics Letters* **114**, 131104 (2019).
- [42] B. Limbacher, M. A. Kainz, S. Schoenhuber, M. Wencławiak, C. Derntl, A. M. Andrews, H. Detz, G. Strasser, A. Schwaighofer, B. Lendl, J. Darmo, and K. Unterrainer, “Resonant tunneling diodes strongly coupled to the cavity field,” *Applied Physics Letters* **116**, 221101 (2020).
- [43] Mehdi Hatefipour, Joseph J Cuzzo, Jesse Kanter, William Strickland, Tzu-Ming Lu, Enrico Rossi, and Javad Shabani, “Induced superconducting pairing in integer quantum Hall edge states,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.08899* (2021).
- [44] Christoph W Groth, Michael Wimmer, Anton R Akhmerov, and Xavier Waintal, “Kwant: a software package for quantum transport,” *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 063065 (2014).