

Polarons in Binary Bose-Einstein Condensations

Ning Liu^{1,*} and Z. C. Tu¹

¹*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

(Dated: July 4, 2022)

Abstract

Impurities coupled with collective excitations of Bose-Einstein condensates lead to the emergence of Bose polarons. In this paper, we investigate the properties of a single impurity immersed in binary Bose-Einstein condensates in the framework of the Lee-Low-Pines variational approach. We derive an effective Fröhlich Hamiltonian with two kinds of effective impurity-phonon interactions, i.e., the interactions of the impurity-density branch and impurity-spin branch. The stability criterion against phase separation limits the impurity-spin branch's behavior. We show that the Bose polaron in a small momentum has explicit analytical results in single and interacting binary baths. In the case of bosons with equal mass, we find the polaron properties are proportional or inversely proportional to $\sqrt{a_{+(-)}}$, $a_{+(-)}$ is a reduced scattering length of density branch (+) and spin branch (−). Whereas in the case of unequal mass bosons, we illustrate that the density and spin branch can transform each other via a permutation of mass of two kinds of bosons. These integral results provide fundamental understandings of behaviors of polarons in Bose baths with multiple components.

* corresponding author: ningliu@mail.bnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Quasiparticles are core concepts of many-body theory, making it simple to understand the structures and the emergent phenomenon of many-body systems. One of the most prominent examples originates from the model that the motion of an electron in lattices. This picture can be visualized as an electron dressed with the phonon cloud (the collective excitation of lattices), namely, a polaron. Many physicists have studied polarons with various approaches since the original work of Landau [1], Pekar [2] and Fröhlich [3]. Especially, Lee, Low, and Pines presented a canonical transformation method to calculate the energy, effective mass, and other static properties of polarons in an intermediate coupling regime [4]. Feynman used the path-integral approach to deal with the polaron problem in all coupling regimes [5]. The above seminal works build a solid foundation to understand polaron problems. However, it is not easy to realize experiments in condensed matter to test such polaron theory [6].

Over the past two decades, thanks to the high tunability and precision of experiments in an ultracold atomic gas, it provides a new platform to explore many-body systems [7]. It also brings new perspectives to understanding the concepts and laws of many-body theory, particularly, the polaron problem [8]. For instance, a Bose polaron is an impurity immersed in a single component of Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). In other words, it is an impurity coupled with the collective excitation of BEC. The problems of Bose polarons have been investigated comprehensively, containing the Lee-Low-Pines variational theory [9], the diagrammatic calculation [10], the path integral approach [11, 12], the field theory [13], and the renormalization group [14], and including the dynamics [15, 16], finite temperature [17, 18], and dimensions [19, 20]. Nevertheless, The above works of polarons are limited to a single component BEC.

In this work, we generalize polaron problems from a single component boson bath to a binary bath. Binary interacting BECs have two kinds of collective excitation, the density branch and spin branch [21–25], compare to a single component BEC that has only one kind of excitation. Furthermore, Binary BECs due to their multiple tunable interactions and other parameters can manifest significant features such as phase separation and the droplet phase, which does not occur in the case of a single bath. These characters impact the properties of Bose polarons leading to more fruitful and interesting results [26–30]. The possible results of this theme provide insights to understand the behaviors of polarons in

intricate systems, and opportunities to test previous polaron theories.

Specifically, we investigate the model that an impurity coupled with the two branches of collective excitations of binary BECs. The impurity-boson couplings can be reduced to two kinds of effective interactions, i.e., the impurity-density branch and impurity-spin branch, by a general Bogoliubov transformation. We find the property of the spin branch of effective interactions is constrained by the stability condition of binary BECs. Further, we obtain the property of polarons employing the Lee-Low-Pines approach. However, it is difficult to obtain an explicit dispersion relation of the Bose polaron because of the complex integrals (e.g., [8, 9, 31]), even in a binary bath with multiple interactions. Here, we find the energy, effective mass, and phonon number have explicit analytical expressions in a small momentum of the impurity in a single and binary bath. Specifically, we take into account two scenarios of equal and unequal mass of boson baths. In the equal-mass scenario, we derive the expressions of the energy, the effective mass of the polaron, and the phonon number, which is proportional or inversely proportional to $\sqrt{a_{\pm}}$. a_{\pm} is a reduced scattering length. In the unequal-mass scenario, we show that the explicit results of density and spin branch can be mutually transformed via a permutation from one kind of mass of bosons to the other. In particular, the explicit analytic results could easily fall back to a single component.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we derive a Frölich-like Hamiltonian in a two-component bath and show how the stability condition affects effective impurity-phonon interactions. In Sec. III, we employ the variational approach to obtain the properties of the polaron. In Sec. IV, we show the explicit integral results in equal and unequal-mass scenarios. A summary is given in Sec. V.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

In this section, we introduce a model in which an impurity is immersed in binary BECs, which an effective Hamiltonian can describe after a general Bogoliubov transformation. The general Bogoliubov transformation is rather complicated but it has a geometrical picture that includes successive transformations: two scaling operators and one rotation [32]. It is a 4×4 matrix rather than a 2×2 transformation in a single component BEC.

We consider the Hamiltonian of the whole system,

$$H = H_I + H_B + H_{IB}, \quad (1)$$

where $H_I = p_I^2/2m_I$ is the kinetic energy of impurity, H_B characterizes a two-component Bose-Einstein condensation containing

$$H_B = H_1 + H_2 + H_{12}. \quad (2)$$

The terms

$$\sum_j H_j = \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}} a_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{j,pq\mathbf{k}} V_{jj} a_{j,p+\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,q-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,q} a_{j,p} \quad (3)$$

describe the binary BEC with intraspecific interaction, where $\epsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 k^2/(2m_j)$, V_{jj} are intraspecific interactions, $j = 1, 2$. While

$$H_{12} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{pq\mathbf{k}} V_{12} a_{1,p}^\dagger a_{1,p+\mathbf{k}} a_{2,q}^\dagger a_{2,q-\mathbf{k}}, \quad (4)$$

contains interspecific interaction for the two-component BEC, where V_{12} is the interaction of the two kinds of bosons. H_{IB} is Fröhlich term, i.e., the coupling of impurity and bosons,

$$H_{IB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{j,p\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} V_{jI}(\mathbf{k}) a_{j,p-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,p}. \quad (5)$$

\mathbf{r} is the position vector of the impurity, $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \rho(\mathbf{k}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$, is impurity density. For the sake of convenience, we consider the multiple interactions V_{jj} , V_{12} , and V_{jI} depending on scattering length a_{jj} , a_{12} , and a_{jI} , respectively, i.e., $V_{jj} = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{jj}/m_j$, $V_{12} = 2\pi\hbar^2 a_{12}/m_{12}$, $V_{jI} = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{jI}/m_{jI}$, where $m_{12} = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$, $m_{jI} = m_j m_I/(m_j + m_I)$.

After Bogoliubov approximation $a_{j,0} \rightarrow \sqrt{n_{j,0}}$, the quartic terms in Eq.(2) can be transformed into quadratic terms. The $\sum_j H_j$ with intraspecific interaction is

$$\sum_j H_j = \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} (\epsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}} + n_{j,0} V_{jj} + n_{j,0} V_{12}) a_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \frac{n_{j,0}}{2} V_{jj} (a_{j,-\mathbf{k}} a_{j,\mathbf{k}} + a_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{j,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger), \quad (6)$$

H_{12} with interspecific interaction is

$$H_{12} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{n_{1,0} n_{2,0}} V_{12} (a_{1,\mathbf{k}} + a_{1,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger) (a_{2,-\mathbf{k}} + a_{2,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger), \quad (7)$$

the term H_{IB} is reduced to linear form,

$$H_{IB} = \sum_j V_{jI} n_{j,0} + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{n_{j,0}} V_{jI} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} (a_{j,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + a_{j,\mathbf{k}}). \quad (8)$$

By introducing a generalized Bogoliubov transformation,

$$a_{j,\mathbf{k}} = U_{jj,k}^0 b_{j,\mathbf{k}} + U_{jj,k}^1 b_{j,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + (-1)^j (U_{jj',k}^0 b_{j',\mathbf{k}} + U_{jj',k}^1 b_{j',-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger), \quad (9)$$

where $j \neq j'$, we can similarly obtain the corresponding operator $a_{j,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$. To diagonalize the Hamiltonian, the coefficients $U_{ij,k}^l$ take

$$U_{ij,k}^l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{i,k}}{E_{j,k}}} + (-1)^l \sqrt{\frac{E_{j,k}}{\epsilon_{i,k}}} \right) \cos \gamma_k, & i = j \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{i,k}}{E_{j,k}}} + (-1)^l \sqrt{\frac{E_{j,k}}{\epsilon_{i,k}}} \right) \sin \gamma_k, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $l = 0$ and 1 ,

$$\cos^2 \gamma_k = \frac{1}{2}(1+x), \quad \sin^2 \gamma_k = \frac{1}{2}(1-x), \quad (11)$$

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_{1,k}^2 - \epsilon_{2,k}^2}{\sqrt{(\epsilon_{1,k}^2 - \epsilon_{2,k}^2)^2 + 16V_{12}^2 \epsilon_{1,k} \epsilon_{2,k} n_{1,0} n_{2,0}}}. \quad (12)$$

$E_{j,k}$ are collective excitations having two branches: $j = 1$, the density branch; and $j = 2$, the spin branch,

$$E_{j,k}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{1,k}^2 + \epsilon_{2,k}^2) + (-1)^{j-1} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\epsilon_{1,k}^2 - \epsilon_{2,k}^2)^2 + 16V_{12}^2 \epsilon_{1,k} \epsilon_{2,k} n_{1,0} n_{2,0}}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\epsilon_{j,k}^2 = \epsilon_{j,k}^2 + 2V_{jj} n_{j,0} \epsilon_{j,k}. \quad (14)$$

The expression of diagonalizable Hamiltonian H_d is

$$H_d = \frac{p_I^2}{2m_I} + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} E_{j,k} b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{n_{j,0}} \tilde{V}_{jI} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} (b_{j,\mathbf{k}} + b_{j,-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_{jI} = V_{jI} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{j,k}}{E_{j,k}}} \cos \gamma_k + (-1)^{j-1} V_{j'I} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{j',k}}{E_{j,k}}} \sin \gamma_k. \quad (16)$$

Via the definition Eq.(16) of \tilde{V}_{jI} , we map the model of an impurity immersed in the binary bath to the ordinary Fröhlich model. Eq.(16) shows that the effective interactions are linear transformations of the initial interactions that are associated with some unique momentum structure. In the above definition, \tilde{V}_{1I} only depends on $E_{1,k}$, which can be called an impurity-density (ID) coupling. In contrast, \tilde{V}_{2I} can be called an impurity-spin (IS) coupling which only depends on $E_{2,k}$. Note in Eq.(15) that we ignore the ground-state contribution $\sum_j V_{jI} n_{j,0}$ in Eq.(8) because the ground state can not affect the derivations, but it is useful to eliminate the divergence in Sec. IV.

In Fig.1, we present the effective interactions \tilde{V}_{jI} as a function of the interspecific interaction a_{12} . we set $V_0 = 2\pi\hbar^2 a_{1I}/m_I$, $m_1/m_2 = 1/2$, $a_1 = a_2 = a$, $m_I/m_1 = 10$, $a_{1I} = a_{2I}$, $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$, $V_0 = 2\pi\hbar^2 a_{1I}/m_I$ and $\xi = \hbar/\sqrt{2m_1 g_{11} n} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi a n}$. We show \tilde{V}_{1I} as a function of $k\xi$ and a_{12}/a in Fig.1(a). The ID couplings have similar behaviors with different a_{12} in Fig.1(b), but the IS coupling mainly has two regimes divided by a boundary in Fig.1(c) and has distinct behaviors in terms of a_{12} in Fig.1(d). Importantly, the stable condition of homogeneous binary BEC [33],

$$4V_{12}^2 n_1 n_2 \leq (\epsilon_{1,k} + 2V_{11} n_1)(\epsilon_{2,k} + 2V_{22} n_2), \quad (17)$$

ensures that the lower excitation of Eq.(13) is non-negative. In the above setting, we find the boundary, the dashed line in Fig.1(c), is the stable condition Eq.(17) with an equal sign. Therefore, the blank area is unstable. The unstable behavior also occurs in Fig.1(d) that the left dotted line ($a_{12}/a = 1$) and small dashed line ($a_{12}/a = 2$) have abrupt changes.

In Fig.2, we show \tilde{V}_{jI} as a function of mass ratio m_1/m_2 . We set $a_{12}/a = 0.9$, $m_I/m_1 = 5$, other parameters are the same as Fig.1. we also find how stable conditions affect the IS effective interaction similar to Fig.1. It is also worth noting that the IS coupling is induced spontaneously attractive interaction in some areas of these two kinds of figures, even though all the original interactions are repulsive. For example, the dash-dot line in Fig.1(d) and 2(d). When the bosons have equal mass and equal scattering length, the branch of IS coupling vanishes, which is shown in the dot line of Fig.2(d).

III. THE VARIATIONAL APPROACH

In this section, we derive the related properties of the bose polaron in a binary bath by Lee-Low-Pines theory, including phonon number, polaron energy, and effective mass. We show explicit analytical results in detail in Sec. IV.

In order to eliminate the position operator \mathbf{r} of the impurity in Eq.(15), we employ the Lee-Low-Pines transformation, $\tilde{H} = S^{-1} H_d S$, with

$$S = \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{j,\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \right). \quad (18)$$

After some calculations, the effective Hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2m_I} (\mathbf{p} - \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \hbar \mathbf{k} b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{j,\mathbf{k}})^2 + \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \left[E_{j,\mathbf{k}} b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \sqrt{\frac{N_{j,0}}{\Omega}} \tilde{V}_{jI} (b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + b_{j,\mathbf{k}}) \right], \quad (19)$$




FIG. 1. (color online) Effective interactions as a function of momentum $k\xi$ and scattering length of interspecies a_{12}/a with $2m_1 = m_2, 10m_1 = m_I$: the effective interaction of impurity-density (ID) branch (a) ; the effective interaction of impurity-spin (IS) branch (c). The ID branch with values of $a_{12}/a = 0.1, 0.4, 0.9, 1$, and 2 correspond to the large dashed line, solid line, dot-dashed line, dot line, and dashed line in (b). We show the IS branch in (d) with the same fixed a_{12}/a as The ID branch. The black dashed line in (c) is the stable condition, Eq.(17) with an equal sign.

with the choice of an Ansatz wave function

$$|\psi\rangle = U|\psi_0\rangle = e^{\sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} (b_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \beta_j(\mathbf{k}) - b_{j,\mathbf{k}} \beta_j^*(\mathbf{k}))} |\psi_0\rangle, \quad (20)$$




FIG. 2. (color online) Effective interactions as a function of momentum $k\xi$ and mass ratio m_1/m_2 with $a_{12}/a = 0.9$ and $10m_1 = m_I$: the ID branch (a); the IS branch (c). The ID branch with values of $m_1/m_2 = 0.1, 0.4, 0.9, 1$ and 2 correspond to large dashed line, solid line, dot-dashed line, dot line and dashed line in (b). We show the IS branch in (d) with the same fixed a_{12}/a as the ID branch.

thus the energy functional after some calculations,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_p = \langle \psi | \tilde{H} | \psi \rangle &= \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_I} + \sum_{j,k} \sqrt{n_{j,0}} \tilde{V}_{jI} (\beta_j(k) + \beta_j^*(k)) \\
 &+ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \left[\sum_{j,k} \mathbf{k} |\beta_j(k)|^2 \right]^2 + \sum_{j,k} |\beta_j(k)|^2 \left(E_{j,\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_I} \cdot \hbar \mathbf{k} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The minimum of energy functional, $\delta E / \delta \beta_j^{(*)}(k) = 0$, the expression of $\beta_j(k)$ is given by

$$\beta_j(k) = - \frac{\sqrt{n_{j,0}} \tilde{V}_{jI}}{E_{j,\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_I} \cdot \hbar \mathbf{k} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I} + \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}}{m_I} \sum_{j',k'} |\beta_{j'}(k')|^2 k'}. \tag{22}$$

We define $\eta\mathbf{p} = \sum_{j,k} |\beta_j(k)|^2 \hbar\mathbf{k}$ and rewrite the above expression

$$\beta_j(k) = -\frac{\sqrt{n_{j,0}}\tilde{V}_{jI}}{E_{j,\mathbf{k}} - (1-\eta)\frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_I} \cdot \hbar\mathbf{k} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I}}. \quad (23)$$

We consider a small momentum \mathbf{p} ,

$$\eta\mathbf{p} = \sum_{j,\mathbf{k}} \frac{n_{j,0}\tilde{V}_{jI}^2 \hbar\mathbf{k}}{\left(E_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I}\right)^3} (1-\eta) \frac{2\hbar}{m_I} p k \cos\theta, \quad (24)$$

$$E_p = -\sum_{j,k} \frac{n_{j,0}\tilde{V}_{jI}^2}{E_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I}}. \quad (25)$$

Introduce $W = \eta/(1+\eta)$, the effective mass can be expressed as $m^*/m = 1+W$. A polaron can be imaged as an impurity dressed by phonon-like excitation. Therefore, the virtual phonon number is also a key quantity to characterize polarons. Note that the expression of the phonon number in a small momentum \mathbf{p} ,

$$N = \sum_{j,k} |\beta_j(k)|^2 = \sum_{j,k} \frac{n_{j,0}\tilde{V}_{jI}^2}{\left(E_{j,\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_I}\right)^2}. \quad (26)$$

Except for excitations E_j and effective interactions \tilde{V}_{jI} , it is similar to the polaron in a single bath. The sums can be turned into integrals and the results are shown in the next Sec. IV.

IV. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The realization of experiments for binary BEC has two respects. One is that the ultracold gas of the same atom occupies different hyperfine states; the other is the mixture of different atomic species, which inspires us to consider both equal-mass boson and unequal-mass cases.

A. Mass Balance

We consider the former case of the binary bosons having equal mass, $m = m_1 = m_2$, the integral of Eq.(25) is given by

$$E_p = -\sum_j \frac{E_0}{\sqrt{3\pi^3}} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} (1+\bar{m})^2 I_E^{+(-)}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$I_E^{+(-)} = \int_0^\infty d\bar{k} \frac{\left(\sqrt{1 \pm \bar{a}} \pm \frac{a_{2I}}{a_{1I}} \sqrt{1 \mp \bar{a}}\right)^2 \bar{k}^2}{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2 + \bar{m}\bar{k}\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2}}, \quad (28)$$

$E_0 = 2\pi\hbar^2 an/m$, and $k_B^3 = 6\pi^2 n$. The reduced scattering length \bar{a} and a_\pm both contain all kinds of scattering lengths of binary baths,

$$\bar{a} = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{\sqrt{(a_1 - a_2)^2 + 4a_{12}^2}}, \quad a_\pm = \left(1 + \frac{a_2}{a_1}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{a_2}{a_1}\right)^2 + 4\frac{a_{12}^2}{a_1^2}}. \quad (29)$$

As shown in Sec. II, if we consider $a_1 = a_2$ and $a_{1I} = a_{2I}$, the IS branch vanishes. However, if $a_{1I} = -a_{2I}$, the ID branch vanishes, here $a_{+(-)} = 1 \pm a_{12}/a$. Therefore, we can respectively show the contribution of two different branches to the energy of the polaron. But before this, we have to eliminate the divergent integral $I_E^{+(-)}$ by absorbing the high order scatter contribution of $V_{jI}n_{j,0}$,

$$\sum_j \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a_{jI}}{m_{jI}} = \sum_j \left(V_{jI} - \frac{2m_{jI}V_{jI}^2}{\hbar^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2} \right). \quad (30)$$

The energy of the polaron with ID and IS branches,

$$E_p^{+(-)}/E_0 = (1 + \bar{m}) \frac{k_B a_{1I}}{k_B a} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3\pi^3}} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} (1 + \bar{m})^2 I_{Ec}^{+(-)}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$I_{Ec}^{+(-)} = \int_0^\infty d\bar{k} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \bar{m}} - \frac{\bar{k}^2}{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2 + \bar{m}\bar{k}\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2}} \right). \quad (32)$$

The analytic result of $I_{+(-)}^{Ec}$ is

$$I_{Ec}^{+(-)}(\bar{m}, a_{+(-)}) = \sqrt{\frac{a_{+(-)}}{2}} \left(\frac{\arccos \bar{m}}{(1 - \bar{m}^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\bar{m}}{1 - \bar{m}^2} \right). \quad (33)$$

We plot the energy of polarons Eq.(31) with Eq.(33) as a function of m_1/m_I in Fig.3(a) and as a function of a_{12}/a in Fig.3(b). Specifically, in Fig.3(a), the ID branch (+) and IS branch (-) both display the same behaviors only with a difference of coefficients in front of the parentheses in Eq.(33). However, ID and IS branches are distinct in Fig.3(b). The ID branch exhibits similar behaviors to a single bath. More intriguing, the IS branch falls at $a_{12}/a = 1$, which is due to the reduced scattering length a_- which implies the stable condition preventing phase separation.




FIG. 3. Polaron energy as a function of m/m_I (a) with $a_{12}/a = 4/5$ and polaron energy as a function of a_{12}/a (b) with $m/m_I = 1/2$, both divide a density branch (+) and a spin branch (-) with $k_B a = 0.8$, and $k_B a_{1I} = 1$.

The effective mass of the polaron in an equal-mass boson bath is given by

$$W^{+(-)} = \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}\pi^3} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} \bar{m} (1 + \bar{m})^2 I_W^{+(-)}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$I_W^{+(-)} = \int_0^\infty d\bar{k} \frac{\bar{k}^2}{\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2}} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2} + \bar{m}\bar{k}\right)^3}, \quad (35)$$

which is convergent. The analytical result of this integral Eq.(35),

$$I_W^{+(-)}(\bar{m}, a_{+(-)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a_{+(-)}}} \left(\frac{1 + 2\bar{m}^2}{(1 - \bar{m}^2)^{5/2}} \arccos \bar{m} - \frac{3\bar{m}}{(1 - \bar{m}^2)^2} \right). \quad (36)$$

Note that the coefficients in the front of parentheses Eq.(33) and Eq.(36) are inverse. The behaviors of the effective mass of polarons are shown in Fig.4(a) and Fig.4(b).




FIG. 4. The effective mass of polarons as a function of m/m_I (a) and as a function of a_{12}/a (b). Other parameters are consistent with Fig.3.

The phonon number Eq.(26) is given by

$$N^{+(-)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3\pi^3}} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} (1 + \bar{m})^2 I_N^{+(-)}, \quad (37)$$

where the integral and the analytical result can be find,

$$I_N^{+(-)} = \int_0^\infty d\bar{k} \frac{\bar{k}}{\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2}} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + a_{+(-)}/2} + \bar{m}\bar{k}\right)^2} \quad (38)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{a_{+(-)}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \bar{m}^2} - \frac{\bar{m}}{(1 - \bar{m}^2)^{3/2}} \arccos \bar{m} \right). \quad (39)$$

We present the results of Eq.(39) in Fig.5 that is similar to Fig.4. This can be explained that an increase of phonon blocks the movement of the impurity, leading to the effective mass increase.




FIG. 5. The phonon number as a function of m/m_I (a) and as a function of a_{12}/a (b). The parameters are the same as Fig.3.

B. Mass Imbalance

Compare to the single bath, the system of an impurity immersed in binary BECs has three mass ratios, $\bar{m}_1 \equiv m_1/m_I$, $\bar{m}_2 \equiv m_2/m_I$, and $\bar{m}_B \equiv m_1/m_2$. The above mass ratios have only two independent. To clearly show the structure induced by mass imbalance, we expand the expression of the polaron energy to the first order of a_{12}/a , considering $\bar{m}_B < 1$, $E_p = \sum_{+,-} E_p^{+(-)}$,

$$E_p^+/E_{s1} = (1 + \bar{m}_1) \frac{k_B a_{1I}}{k_B a} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}\pi^3} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} (1 + \bar{m}_1)^2 \left(I_{E0}^+ + \frac{a_{12}}{a} I_{E1}^+ \right), \quad (40)$$

where

$$I_{E0}^+(\bar{m}_1) = \int_0^\infty d\bar{k} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \bar{m}_1} - \frac{\bar{k}^2}{\bar{k}^2 + 2 + \bar{m}_1 \bar{k} \sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + 2}} \right), \quad (41)$$

and $E_{sj} = 2\pi\hbar^2 an/m_j$. The analytical results of the integrals of the ID branch are $I_{E0}^+ = I_{Ec}^+(\bar{m}_1, 4)$ and

$$I_{E1}^+ = \frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a_{1I}} \frac{2\bar{m}_B(\bar{m}_B + \bar{m}_1)}{(1 - \bar{m}_B)(1 + \bar{m}_1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{k}^2 d\bar{k}}{(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^2 + \bar{m}_1 \bar{k}(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^{3/2}} \quad (42)$$

$$= \frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a_{1I}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{m}_B(\bar{m}_B + \bar{m}_1)}{\bar{m}_1^2(1 - \bar{m}_B)(1 + \bar{m}_1)} \left(\frac{\arccos \bar{m}_1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{m}_1^2}} + \bar{m}_1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \quad (43)$$

The ID branch is given by

$$E_p^-/E_{s2} = (1 + \bar{m}_2) \frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3\pi^3}} \frac{(k_B a_{2I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} (1 + \bar{m}_2)^2 \left(I_{E0}^- + \frac{a_{12}}{a} I_{E1}^- \right), \quad (44)$$

where $I_{E0}^- = I_{E0}^+(\bar{m}_2)$,

$$I_{E1}^- = -\frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a_{1I}} \frac{2\bar{m}_B^{-1}(\bar{m}_B^{-1} + \bar{m}_2)}{(\bar{m}_B^{-1} - 1)(1 + \bar{m}_2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{k}^2 d\bar{k}}{(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^2 + \bar{m}_2 \bar{k}(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^{3/2}} \quad (45)$$

$$= -\frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a_{1I}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{m}_B^{-1}(\bar{m}_B^{-1} + \bar{m}_2)}{\bar{m}_2^2(\bar{m}_B^{-1} - 1)(1 + \bar{m}_2)} \left(\frac{\arccos \bar{m}_2}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{m}_2^2}} + \bar{m}_2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \quad (46)$$

In Fig.6(a) and Fig.6(b), we show $E_p^{+(-)}$ as functions of m_1/m_I and m_1/m_2 , respectively. There is an easily overlooked "symmetry" in the expression of $E_p^{+(-)}$. When the two kinds of bosons have no interaction ($a_{12} = 0$), they have the same expressions because of the independent couplings of the two kinds of bosons and the impurity. The leading order of E_p^+ and E_p^- have a symmetry of permutation of m_1 and m_2 , ($m_1 \longleftrightarrow m_2$). Once we switch on the interaction of the two kinds of bosons, i.e., ($a_{12} \neq 0$), the permutation ($m_1 \longleftrightarrow m_2$) leads to two kinds of different branches: the density branch and spin branch. For the effective mass and phonon number, similarly, we only need to give the density branch, the other branch automatically emerges via the exchange from m_1 to m_2 .

The effective mass is given by

$$W^{+(-)} = \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3\pi^3}} \frac{(k_B a_{1I})^2}{(k_B a)^{1/2}} \bar{m}_{1(2)} (1 + \bar{m}_{1(2)})^2 \left(I_{W0}^{+(-)} + \frac{a_{12}}{a} I_{W1}^{+(-)} \right), \quad (47)$$

where $I_{W0}^{+(-)} = I_W^{+(-)}(\bar{m}_{1(2)}, 4)$,

$$I_{W1}^+ = \frac{k_B a_{2I}}{k_B a_{1I}} \frac{2\bar{m}_B(\bar{m}_B + \bar{m}_1)}{(1 - \bar{m}_B)(1 + \bar{m}_1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{k}^2}{(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^{3/2}} \frac{d\bar{k}}{(\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + 2} + \bar{m}_1 \bar{k})^3}, \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\bar{m}_1^4} \frac{\bar{m}_B}{1 - \bar{m}_B} \frac{\bar{m}_1 + \bar{m}_B}{(1 + \bar{m}_1)^2(1 - \bar{m}_1)} \left[3\pi + \bar{m}_1(5\bar{m}_1^2 - 3\bar{m}_1\pi - 6) - (2\bar{m}_1^4 - 9\bar{m}_1^2 + 6) \frac{\arccos \bar{m}_1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{m}_1^2}} \right], \quad (49)$$




FIG. 6. Polaron energy as a function of m_1/m_I (a) with $a_{12}/a = 0.1$, $a_{1I} = a_{2I}$, $k_Ba = 0.8$, and $k_Ba_{1I} = 1$. The leading order $E_p^{+(-)}(0)$ are solid lines: the ID branch $E_p^+(0)$ (the blue line); the IS branch $E_p^-(0)$ (the red line). The leading order added in the first order comes amid the solid lines: the ID branch (the dashed line) and the IS branch (the dot-dashed line). Polaron energy as a function of m_1/m_2 (b): ID branch (the blue line); IS branch (the green dashed line) with $10m_1 = m_I$; and ID branch (the red line); IS branch (the purple dashed line) with $50m_1 = m_I$.

and $I_{W1}^- = I_{W1}^+(m_1 \longleftrightarrow m_2)$. The results are shown in Fig. 7.

Similarly, we obtain the phonon number,

$$N^{+(-)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\pi^3} \frac{(k_Ba_{1I})^2}{(k_Ba)^{1/2}} (1 + \bar{m}_{1(2)})^2 \left(I_{N0}^{+(-)} + \frac{a_{12}}{a} I_{N1}^{+(-)} \right), \quad (50)$$

where $I_{N0}^{+(-)} = I_N^{+(-)}(\bar{m}_{1(2)}, 4)$,

$$I_{N1}^+ = \frac{k_Ba_{2I}}{k_Ba_{1I}} \frac{2\bar{m}_B(\bar{m}_B + \bar{m}_1)}{(1 - \bar{m}_B)(1 + \bar{m}_1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{k}}{(\bar{k}^2 + 2)^{3/2}} \frac{d\bar{k}}{(\sqrt{\bar{k}^2 + 2} + \bar{m}_1\bar{k})^2}, \quad (51)$$

$$= \frac{k_Ba_{2I}}{k_Ba_{1I}} \frac{\bar{m}_B(\bar{m}_B + \bar{m}_1)}{\sqrt{2}\bar{m}_1^3(1 - \bar{m}_B)(1 + \bar{m}_1)} \left(\frac{\bar{m}_1^2 - 2}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{m}_1^2}} \arccos \bar{m}_1 - 2\bar{m}_1 + \pi \right), \quad (52)$$




FIG. 7. The effective mass as a function of m_1/m_I (a) as Fig.6(a). The effective mass as a function of m_1/m_2 (b). The line guides are as Fig.6(b).

and $I_{N1}^- = I_{N1}^+(m_1 \longleftrightarrow m_2)$. The results are shown in Fig.8.

In Fig.6(b), Fig.7(b) and Fig.8(b), as the ratio of m_I/m_1 is large enough, the properties of the density branch hardly change as a function of m_1/m_2 except m_1 approaches m_2 . For the spin branch, the abrupt changes near $\bar{m}_B = 0$ or 1. By the way, for the case of $m_1/m_2 > 1$, the main integrals are the same as the above, which is not affect the properties we summarized.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the properties of a polaron immersed in a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate via the Lee-Low-Pines theory. We derive the Hamiltonian of the impurity-boson system, by performing the general Bogoliubov transformation,




FIG. 8. The phonon number as a function of m_1/m_I (a) as Fig. 6(a). The phonon number as a function of m_1/m_2 (b). The line guides are as Fig.6(b).

which leads to two kinds of effective interactions, i.e., impurity-boson interactions added two kinds of momentum structure, divide the density branch and spin branch. We analyze the behaviors of the defined effective interactions and find that the stable condition of homogeneous binary Bose gas only affects the spin branch. Notably, we obtain a series of analytic results that characterize the properties of the polaron. Specifically, in the equal-mass baths, the properties are proportional or inversely proportional to $\sqrt{a_{\pm}}$. We define $\sqrt{a_{\pm}}$ as a reduced scattering length with all kinds of interactions in the binary Bose baths. In the mass-imbalance case, we find the density and spin branch transform each other by a permutation of two kinds of boson mass when we switch on the interactions of interspecies. The above results provide a solid foundation to understand the polaron in two- or more component BECs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11975050).

- [1] L. D. Landau, über die bewegung der elektronen in kristalgitter, *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion* **3**, 664 (1933).
- [2] S. I. Pekar, Local quantum states of electrons in an ideal ion crystal, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **16**, 341 (1946).
- [3] H. Fröhlich, Electrons in lattice fields, *Advances In Physics*, **3**, 325 (1954).
- [4] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, The Motion of Slow Electrons in a Polar Crystal, *Phys. Rev.* **90**, 297 (1953).
- [5] R. P. Feynman, Slow Electrons in a Polar Crystal, *Phys. Rev.* **97**, 660 (1955).
- [6] Skou M G, Nielsen K K, Skov T G, et al, Life and death of the Bose polaron, *arXiv: 2204.01424* (2022).
- [7] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard and W. Zwerger, Many-body physics with ultracold gases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 885 (2008).
- [8] F. Grusdt, E. Demler, New theoretical approaches to Bose polarons, *arXiv: 1510.04934* (2015).
- [9] B. B. Huang and S. L. Wan, Polaron in Bose-Einstein condensation system, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **26**, 080302 (2009).
- [10] A. Novikov and M. Ovchinnikov, A diagrammatic calculation of the energy spectrum of quantum impurity in degenerate Bose-Einstein condensate, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 135301 (2009).
- [11] J. Tempere, W. Casteels, M. K. Oberthaler, S. Knoop, E. Timmermans, and J. T. Devreese, Feynman path-integral treatment of the BEC-impurity polaron, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 184504 (2009).
- [12] T. Ichmoukhamedov and J. Tempere, Feynman path-integral treatment of the Bose polaron beyond the Fröhlich model, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 043605 (2019).
- [13] S. P. Rath and R. Schmidt, Field-theoretical study of the Bose polaron, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 053632 (2013).

- [14] F. Isaule, I. Morera, P. Massignan, and B. Juliá-Díaz, Renormalization-group study of Bose polarons, [Phys. Rev. A **104**, 023317 \(2021\)](#).
- [15] Y. E. Shchadilova, R. Schmidt, F. Grusdt, and E. Demler, Quantum Dynamics of Ultracold Bose Polarons, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 113002 \(2016\)](#).
- [16] M. Drescher, M. Salmhofer, and T. Enss, Real-space dynamics of attractive and repulsive polarons in BoseEinstein condensates, [Phys. Rev. A **99**, 023601 \(2019\)](#).
- [17] N.-E. Guenther, P. Massignan, M. Lewenstein, and G. M. Bruun, Bose Polarons at Finite Temperature and Strong Coupling, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 050405 \(2018\)](#).
- [18] B. Field, J. Levinsen, and M. M. Parish, Fate of the bose polaron at finite temperature, [Phys. Rev. A **101**, 013623 \(2020\)](#).
- [19] A. G. Volosniev and H.-W. Hammer, Analytical approach to the Bose-polaron problem in one dimension, [Phys. Rev. A **96**, 031601\(R\) \(2017\)](#).
- [20] M. M. Khan, H. Terças, J. T. Mendonça, J. Wehr, C. Charalambous, M. Lewenstein, and M. A. Garcia-March, Quantum dynamics of a bose polaron in a d-dimensional bose-einstein condensate, [Phys. Rev. A **103**, 023303 \(2021\)](#).
- [21] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity* (International Series of Monographs on Physics) (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2016).
- [22] M. Abad and A. Recati, A study of coherently coupled two-component Bose-Einstein condensates, [Eur. Phys. J. D **67**, 148 \(2013\)](#).
- [23] A. Recati and F. Piazza, Breaking of Goldstone modes in a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate, [Phys. Rev. B **99**, 064505 \(2019\)](#).
- [24] M. Ota and S. Giorgini, Thermodynamics of dilute Bose gases: Beyond mean-field theory for binary mixtures of Bose-Einstein condensates, [Phys. Rev. A **102**, 063303 \(2020\)](#).
- [25] R. Cominotti, A. Berti, A. Farolfi, A. Zenesini, G. Lamporesi, I. Carusotto, A. Recati, and G. Ferrari, Observation of Massless and Massive Collective Excitations with Faraday Patterns in a Two-Component Superfluid, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 210401 \(2022\)](#).
- [26] E. Compagno, G. De Chiara, D. G. Angelakis, and G. M. Palma, Tunable Polarons in Bose-Einstein Condensates, [Sci. Rep. **7**, 2355 \(2017\)](#).
- [27] C. Charalambous, M. Á. García-March, G. Muñoz-Gil, P. R. Grzybowski, and M. Lewenstein, Control of anomalous diffusion of a Bose polaron, [Quantum **4**, 232 \(2020\)](#).

- [28] A. Boudjemaa, N. Guebli, M. Sekmane, and S. Khelifa-Karfa, Breathing modes of repulsive polarons in Bose-Bose mixtures, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **32**, 415401 \(2020\)](#).
- [29] K. Keiler, S. I. Mistakidis, and P. Schmelcher, Polarons and their induced interactions in highly imbalanced triple mixtures, [Phys. Rev. A **104**, L031301 \(2021\)](#).
- [30] G Bighin, A Burchianti, F Minardi and T Macrì, An impurity in a heteronuclear two-component Bose mixture, [arXiv:2109.07451 \(2021\)](#).
- [31] X-L. Yu, B. Liu, Polarons in a ferromagnetic spinor Bose-Einstein condensates. [arXiv:2101.08474 \(2021\)](#).
- [32] P. Tommasini, E. J. V. de Passos, A. F. R. de Toledo Piza, M. S. Hussein, and E. Timmermans, [Phys. Rev. A **67**, 023606 \(2003\)](#).
- [33] A. Eckardt, C. Weiss, and M. Holthaus, Ground-state energy and depletions for a dilute binary Bose gas, [Phys. Rev. A **70**, 043615 \(2004\)](#).