

ON THE EQUIVALENCE OF LURIE'S ∞ -OPERADS AND DENDROIDAL ∞ -OPERADS

VLADIMIR HINICH AND IEKE MOERDIJK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the equivalence of two symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories of ∞ -operads, the one defined in Lurie [L.HA] and the one based on dendroidal spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. In this paper we return to the question of the comparison of various notions of ∞ -operad occurring in the literature. One such notion is the one defined by Lurie in terms of simplicial sets over the nerve of the category of finite pointed sets, see [L.HA], Sect. 2; another is the one defined in terms of dendroidal sets, or dendroidal spaces [CM]. Lurie's ∞ -category and the dendroidal one are in fact symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, and are underlying Quillen model structures. The dendroidal model category has been shown in [CM] to be Quillen equivalent to the model category of classical simplicial or topological operads.

A first such comparison was made in [HHM], where it was shown that if one restricts oneself to operads without constants, the Lurie model and the dendroidal one are (Quillen) equivalent at the level of model categories. Moreover, this equivalence respects the monoidal structure of the associated homotopy categories, which is a shadow of the much richer structure of symmetric monoidal ∞ -category.

In a long paper [B], Barwick constructs another ∞ -category based on his notion of operator category, and proves this ∞ -category to be equivalent to Lurie's version mentioned above. A next comparison was studied in [CHH], where the dendroidal model was shown to be equivalent to Barwick's version at the level of ∞ -categories. Combined with Barwick's equivalence, this gives a composed equivalence between Lurie's ∞ -category and the dendroidal one, now avoiding the condition on the absence of constants of [HHM]. However, the comparison of [CHH] does not address the question of equivalence as symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.

The goal of this paper is to prove a relatively direct and explicit equivalence between two symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. One is the ∞ -category \mathbf{LOp} (short for "Lurie operads") underlying Lurie's model category, the other is the ∞ -category \mathbf{DOp} (for "dendroidal operads") underlying the dendroidal model

category. To give the reader a rough idea already at this stage, we remark that our proof is based on a functor from level forests to forests, denoted

$$\omega : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \Phi,$$

see 2.2.2 and 3.1 for the notation. \mathbf{LOp} is an ∞ -category of presheaves on \mathbb{F} and \mathbf{DOp} is one on Φ , and the equivalence is simply realized by the functors

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \mathbf{DOp} &\rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}, & \lambda(D)(A) &= \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{DOp}}(\omega(A), D), \\ \delta : \mathbf{LOp} &\rightarrow \mathbf{DOp}, & \delta(L)(F) &= \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(i(F), L). \end{aligned}$$

Here A, D, F and L are objects of $\mathbb{F}, \mathbf{DOp}, \Phi$ and \mathbf{LOp} respectively, and i denotes the embedding of objects of Φ as free operads in \mathbf{LOp} ; see 3.1 below for detailed definitions. Our main theorem can then be stated as follows:

1.1.1. Theorem. *The functors λ and δ define an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories*

$$\lambda : \mathbf{DOp} \xleftrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{LOp} : \delta.$$

The other comparison proofs mentioned above are also based on the same functor from level forests to forests, but there are several important differences. First of all, our result is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, not just of ∞ -categories. To prove this sharper result, we use a colax symmetric monoidal structure on the category of copresheaves on an operad¹, which may be of independent interest. Secondly, our proof uses the category of algebras of an operad in two essential ways. We use the comparison theorem of [PS] which states that the ∞ -category underlying the category of simplicial algebras over a (Σ -cofibrant) simplicial operad P is equivalent to the ∞ -category of algebras over the associated ∞ -operad $\ell(P)$ in the ∞ -category of spaces, and similarly for algebras in a symmetric monoidal model category \mathcal{C} and its underlying ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_∞ . We state this result somewhat cryptically as

$$\mathbf{Alg}_P(\mathcal{C})_\infty = \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(P)}(\mathcal{C}_\infty),$$

see 4.2.1 for a precise formulation. (This result is analogous to an earlier result for linear operads proved in [H.R].) Secondly, we prove and use the following reconstruction theorem for ∞ -operads, stating that a map $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ between ∞ -operads which is essentially surjective on colors is an equivalence whenever it induces an equivalence between the associated ∞ -categories of algebras in the ∞ -category of spaces; see Theorem 4.1.1 below. (By the result of Pavlov and Scholbach, this of course implies the analogous known result for Σ -cofibrant simplicial operads, see [CG].)

¹Or, as it appears in our paper, the category of presheaves on a cooperad.

1.2. To conclude this introduction, let us briefly sketch the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we fix some conventions about our use of ∞ -categorical language, and introduce the ∞ -categories $\mathbf{D0p}$ and $\mathbf{L0p}$ featuring in our main theorem above. In Section 3, we state and prove a weaker form of the main theorem, ignoring the symmetric monoidal structure for the moment. The proof uses a lemma which is based on the reconstruction theorem, which we postpone until Section 4 where we discuss algebras over an ∞ -operad. In the final Section 5 we address the different symmetric monoidal structures involved, and prove that they are respected by the functors δ and λ . The structure on $\mathbf{D0p}$ is defined in terms of shuffles of trees about which we explain some basic facts in the Appendix.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** A large part of the paper was written during V.H.’s (pre-pandemic) visits to University of Utrecht. We thank Rune Haugseng for helpful correspondence and Matija Basić for help with the pictures.

The work of V.H. was supported by ISF 786/19 grant.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS

2.1. **∞ -categorical conventions.** We present here some basic notation and discuss a few standard recipes for working with ∞ -categories.

In what follows the word “category” means ∞ -category, operad means ∞ -operad, functor means ∞ -functor and so on. If we wish to emphasize that an ∞ -category (or an ∞ -operad) can be modelled by a *strict* inner Kan complex, we sometimes refer to it as a *conventional* category (or operad).

The most basic category is the category of spaces \mathcal{S} . Given two categories \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} , there is a category of functors $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ satisfying the standard equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathbf{Map}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

The category of categories \mathbf{Cat} can be realized as a full subcategory of the category of simplicial spaces $P(\Delta) = \mathbf{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$, satisfying an ∞ -categorical variant of the Segal and completeness properties. This approach allows one to define the opposite of a category defined by a simplicial space $\mathcal{C} : \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ as the composition of \mathcal{C} with the functor $\mathrm{op} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ reversing the ordering of a finite totally ordered set.

2.1.1. Another endofunctor of Δ , carrying $[n]$ to the join $[n]^{\mathrm{op}} \star [n] = [2n + 1]$, gives the construction of $\mathbf{Tw}(\mathcal{C})$, the category of twisted arrows in \mathcal{C} . The canonical projection

$$\mathbf{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}$$

is a left fibration, that is, is classified by a functor

$$\tilde{Y} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$

This functor can be rewritten as the Yoneda embedding $Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$.

Dealing with ∞ -categories requires extra care when writing formulas. It is in general not allowed to define functors describing them on objects and arrows as there is no way to describe all required compatibilities. However, some standard formulas do define functors. For instance, given a functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, one has a functor $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined by the formula $\tilde{f}(d, c) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, f(c))$. This formula just means that \tilde{f} is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \mathcal{S}.$$

2.1.2. A map of spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ makes X a *subspace* of Y if f induces an equivalence of X with a union of a subset of connected components of Y . This notion generalizes to any category \mathcal{C} : an arrow $f : c \rightarrow d$ is *mono*, if it induces a monomorphism of spaces $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, c) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, d)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$. In the case when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Cat}$, we get the notion of subcategory: it is defined by a subspace of objects, and a subspace of morphisms for each pair of objects.

2.1.3. *Subfunctor.* The notion of subspace mentioned above allows one to construct a subfunctor of a given functor. In this context the following elementary result is useful (see [H.Lec], 9.2.3).

Proposition. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. Let, for each $x \in \mathcal{C}$, a subobject G_x of $F(x)$ be given, so that for each $a : x \rightarrow y$ the composition $G_x \rightarrow F(x) \rightarrow F(y)$ factors through G_y . Then the collection of subobjects G_y uniquely glues into a subfunctor $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.*

2.1.4. A marked category is a pair $\mathcal{C}^{\natural} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\circ})$ where \mathcal{C} is a category and \mathcal{C}° is a subcategory of \mathcal{C} containing \mathcal{C}^{eq} , the maximal subspace of \mathcal{C} . The category \mathbf{Cat}^+ of marked categories is defined as the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}([1], \mathbf{Cat})$ spanned by the embeddings $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\flat} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{eq}})$ the category \mathcal{C} endowed with the minimal marking. The embedding $\mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}^+$ carrying \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}^{\flat} has a left adjoint called localization and denoted

$$(1) \quad L : \mathbf{Cat}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}.$$

For marked categories represented by a pair of simplicial categories, the localization is represented by the Dwyer-Kan construction.

2.1.5. Many important ∞ -categories appear as the ones *underlying* model categories. One such is the standard model structure on simplicial sets modelling \mathcal{S} . Another one is the complete Segal model for the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories \mathbf{Cat} that has already been mentioned above.

The ∞ -category of a model category is obtained by a general localization construction as described in 2.1.4 which does not enjoy very nice properties. Fortunately, one can often present the ∞ -category underlying a model category

as a Bousfield localization ² of a certain ∞ -category of presheaves of spaces. For instance, \mathbf{Cat} can be presented as a Bousfield localization of the ∞ -category $P(\Delta)$ of simplicial spaces. This is an ∞ -categorical reformulation of the fact [R] that the model category of complete Segal spaces is obtained by a Bousfield localization (in the sense of model categories) from the Reedy model structure on bisimplicial sets. Below we will present the ∞ -categories \mathbf{DOp} and \mathbf{LOp} in a similar way, see 2.2.3 and 2.3.2.

Note that by a result of Dugger [D], any ∞ -category underlying a combinatorial model category is in fact equivalent to such a localization of a category $P(\mathcal{C})$ of simplicial presheaves.

Let $\mathbf{CS} \subset \mathbf{Seg} \subset P(\Delta)$ denote the full subcategories of $P(\Delta)$ spanned by the complete Segal spaces and by all Segal spaces, respectively. The following easy observation will be used below.

2.1.6. Lemma. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be an arrow in \mathbf{Seg} with $B \in \mathbf{CS}$. Then $X \in \mathbf{CS}$ iff the fibers of f are in \mathbf{CS} .*

Proof. A Segal space X is complete iff the map $\mathrm{Map}(J, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(*, X)$ induced by a map $* \rightarrow J$, is an equivalence. We have a commutative diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}(J, X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}(*, X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}(J, B) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Map}(*, B) \end{array}$$

and we need to show that the top horizontal arrow is an equivalence. It is obviously so iff the map of fibers at any $b \in \mathrm{Map}(*, B)$ is an equivalence. These fibers identify with $\mathrm{Map}(J, X_b)$ and $\mathrm{Map}(*, X_b)$ where X_b denotes the fiber of f at $b \in B$. \square

2.2. Dendroidal ∞ -operads.

2.2.1. The category of trees Ω has trees as objects. The map $o : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$ into the category $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$ of conventional operads in sets, assigns to any tree T the free operad in sets generated by T . The colors of $o(T)$ are the edges of T ; any corolla of T of valence n defines an n -ary operation in $o(T)$ with ingoing edges as domain colors and the outgoing edge as the resulting color. The category Ω is defined as the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$ spanned by the objects $o(T)$, see [MW]. This definition tautologically promotes o to a functor. The functor $\iota : \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ assigns to $[n] \in \Delta$ the linear tree with n vertices and $n + 1$ edges.

²The one having a fully faithful right adjoint

2.2.2. It is convenient to extend the category Ω to include disjoint unions of trees. To this end we define the category of forests Φ similar to Ω , as the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$, spanned by $o(F)$ where F is a disjoint union of trees and $o(F)$ is defined as the coproduct of the operads $o(T_i)$ where T_i are the components of F . The category Φ can be alternatively defined as the category obtained from Ω by formally adjoining finite coproducts. (Note that our definition of Φ is different from that of [HHM]. First, our category Φ has an empty forest; and, secondly, the version of [HHM] has fewer arrows (independence property) than our version.)

2.2.3. The (∞) -category of dendroidal operads \mathbf{DOp} is defined as the full subcategory of the category $P(\Omega)$ of presheaves (of spaces) spanned by the presheaves satisfying Segal and completeness properties:

- (D1) For an inner edge b in T let T_b and T^b be the upper and the lower part of T obtained by cutting T at b . Then $X(T) \rightarrow X(T_b) \times_{X(b)} X(T^b)$ is an equivalence.
- (D2) Completeness: $\iota^*(X) \in P(\Delta)$ is a complete simplicial space.

It will be convenient for us to realize \mathbf{DOp} as a full subcategory in $P(\Phi)$ spanned by the presheaves satisfying the above two properties, as well as the extra (also Segal-type) property.

- (D3) The natural map $X(F) \rightarrow \prod X(T_i)$ for a forest F consisting of the trees T_i , is an equivalence. In particular, $X(\emptyset)$ is contractible.

We will denote by $G : \mathbf{DOp} \rightarrow P(\Phi)$ the standard full embedding. It is a standard fact that the category \mathbf{DOp} is equivalent to the one underlying the model category of dendroidal complete Segal spaces, or the equivalent one of dendroidal sets, see [CM] or [HM].

2.3. **Lurie ∞ -operads.** In what follows Fin_* denotes the conventional category of finite pointed sets. We denote by I_* the finite pointed set $I \sqcup \{*\}$ and put $\langle n \rangle = \{1, \dots, n\}_*$. An arrow $f : I_* \rightarrow J_*$ is called *inert* if for any $j \in J$ the set $f^{-1}(j)$ consists of one element.

A functor $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow Fin_*$ is called *fibrous* if the following conditions are satisfied. In what follows we denote by \mathcal{P}_n (or \mathcal{P}_I) the fiber of p at $\langle n \rangle$ (or at I_*).

- (Fib1) Any inert arrow $f : I_* \rightarrow J_*$ has a cocartesian lifting. As a result, a functor $f_! : \mathcal{P}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_J$ is defined (uniquely up to equivalence).
- (Fib2) For the collection of standard inerts $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ defined by $(\rho^i)^{-1}(1) = \{i\}$, the maps

$$\mathcal{P}_n \xrightarrow{\rho^i} \mathcal{P}_1$$

form a product diagram.

(Fib3) Let $f : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ be an arrow in Fin_* , $x \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $r^i : x \rightarrow x_i$ the cocartesian liftings of $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$. Then the natural map

$$\text{Map}^f(y, x) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Map}^{f \circ r^i}(y, x_i)$$

is an equivalence for any $y \in \mathcal{P}_m$.

Cocartesian liftings of inerts in Fin_* are called inerts in \mathcal{P} . The category of Lurie operads LOp is the subcategory of $\text{Cat}/_{Fin_*}$ consisting of fibrous objects and morphisms preserving inerts, see [L.HA], 2.3.3.28 or [H.EY], 2.6.3.

The category Cat identifies with the full subcategory of $P(\Delta)$ spanned by the complete Segal objects. This identifies $\text{Cat}/_{Fin_*}$ with a full subcategory of $P(\Delta)/_F$ where F is the image of Fin_* under the embedding of Cat into $P(\Delta)$.

The following general fact allows one to identify the latter with $P(\Delta/_F)$. Let $Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$ be the Yoneda embedding and let $F \in P(\mathcal{C})$. We define

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}/_F = \mathcal{C} \times_{P(\mathcal{C})} P(\mathcal{C})/_F$$

and denote by $p : \mathcal{C}/_F \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})/_F$ the natural projection. Then p is fully faithful and we denote by

$$(3) \quad p_! : P(\mathcal{C}/_F) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})/_F$$

the extension of p to a colimit-preserving functor.

2.3.1. Lemma. *$p_!$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. We apply Corollary [L.T], 5.1.6.11, to $p_!$. Its restriction p is fully faithful, and the image of any $\phi : Y(x) \rightarrow F$ is absolutely compact as colimits in $P(\mathcal{C})/_F$ are detected by colimits in $P(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, it is clear that the objects $Y(x) \rightarrow F$ generate $P(\mathcal{C})/_F$ under colimits. \square

Remark. Note that, by definition (2), $\Delta/_F = \Delta \times_{P(\Delta)} P(\Delta)/_F$. Since Fin_* and all $[n]$ are conventional categories and since the images of conventional categories form a full subcategory of $P(\Delta)$, $\Delta/_F$ is equivalent to the conventional category of simplices in Fin_* .

In this paper we denote

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{F} = \Delta/_{Fin_*}.$$

This defines a functor $g : \text{LOp} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F})$ identifying LOp with a (non-full) subcategory of $P(\mathbb{F})$.

2.3.2. Here is another presentation of LOp as a Bousfield localization of a category of presheaves. The category Fin_* has a marking defined by the collection of inert arrows. This marked category is denoted by Fin_*^\natural . There is a fully faithful functor $\text{LOp} \rightarrow \text{Cat}^+/_{Fin_*^\natural}$ carrying a fibrous $p : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow Fin_*$ to the marked category \mathcal{O}^\natural over Fin_*^\natural , with the marking on \mathcal{O} defined by the inerts. By [H.EY],

2.6.4 (based on [L.HA], B.0.20), \mathbf{LOp} is the Bousfield localization with respect to the class of *operadic equivalences*. An operadic equivalence is defined as a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{/Fin_*}^+$ inducing an equivalence $\mathrm{Map}(Y, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathcal{O})$ for any fibrous \mathcal{O} .

2.3.3. *Cocartesian arrows.* Let $F \in P(\Delta)$ be a complete Segal space. Lemma 2.3.1 defines a full embedding of $\mathbf{Cat}_{/F}$ into $P(\Delta_{/F})$. Let $p : X \rightarrow F$ be a category over F and let $\mathcal{X} \in P(\Delta_{/F})$ be the corresponding presheaf. By definition, for $A : [n] \rightarrow F$,

$$\mathcal{X}(A) = \mathrm{Map}([n], X) \times_{\mathrm{Map}([n], F)} \{A\}.$$

Fix $\alpha : [1] \rightarrow F$ and let $a \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$. We denote by the same letters $a : x \rightarrow y$ and $\alpha : \bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ the arrows in X and in F . Here is how we can verify whether a is p -cocartesian, in terms of \mathcal{X} .

Lemma. *The arrow $a \in \mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ is p -cocartesian iff for any $\sigma : [2] \rightarrow F$ with $d_2\sigma = \alpha$, $d_0\sigma = \beta$, $d_1\sigma = \gamma$, the map*

$$\{y\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{y})} \mathcal{X}(\beta) \rightarrow \{x\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{x})} \mathcal{X}(\gamma)$$

defined as a composition

$$\{y\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{y})} \mathcal{X}(\beta) \rightarrow \{x\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{x})} \mathcal{X}(\alpha) \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{y})} \mathcal{X}(\beta) \xleftarrow{\sim} \{x\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{x})} \mathcal{X}(\sigma) \xrightarrow{d_1} \{x\} \times_{\mathcal{X}(\bar{x})} \mathcal{X}(\gamma),$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. See [H.EY], 3.3.2. The requirement on F to be a conventional category with no nontrivial automorphisms is superfluous. \square

3. EQUIVALENCE OF \mathbf{LOp} WITH \mathbf{DOp}

3.1. In this section we will construct an equivalence of \mathbf{LOp} with \mathbf{DOp} . First of all, we define the functor $\omega : \Delta_{/Fin_*} = \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \Phi$ interpreting any $A : [n] \rightarrow Fin_*$ as a level forest, and forgetting the level information. This functor defines an adjoint pair

$$\omega_! : P(\mathbb{F}) \rightleftarrows P(\Phi) : \omega^*.$$

The functor $\lambda : \mathbf{DOp} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F})$ is defined as the restriction of ω^* to \mathbf{DOp} . In the opposite direction, we define $i : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$ as the composition of $o : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$ with the standard embedding $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set}) \subset \mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Kan}) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbf{LOp}$, where ℓ is the functor described in [L.HA], 2.1.1.7. The functor i determines a functor $\mathbf{LOp} \times \Phi^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that, by adjunction, yields $\delta : \mathbf{LOp} \rightarrow P(\Phi)$. That means that, for $P \in \mathbf{LOp}$ and $F \in \Phi$, one has

$$(5) \quad \delta(P)(F) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(i(F), P).$$

3.1.1. **Theorem.** *The functors defined above give a pair of quasi-inverse functors*

$$(6) \quad \delta : \mathbf{LOp} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{DOp} : \lambda.$$

3.1.2. In Section 5 we will extend this equivalence to an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories. The proof of Theorem 3.1.1 is presented in 3.2–3.5 below. We will first of all verify that $\delta(P) \in \mathbf{D0p}$ for any $P \in \mathbf{L0p}$ and that λ carries $\mathbf{D0p}$ to $\mathbf{L0p}$. Then we will construct equivalences $\lambda \circ \delta \rightarrow \text{id}$ and $\text{id} \rightarrow \delta \circ \lambda$.

3.2. **δ has image in $\mathbf{D0p}$.** The category $\mathbf{D0p}$ is a full subcategory of $P(\Phi)$, so we only have to verify that, for $L \in \mathbf{L0p}$, the presheaf $\delta(L)$ satisfies the conditions (D1), (D2) and (D3). The functor i carries a finite coproduct of forests to the corresponding coproduct in $\mathbf{L0p}$ (since both o and ℓ above preserve coproducts). Also, for an inner edge b of a tree T , $i(T)$ is the colimit of the diagram $i(T^b) \leftarrow i(b) \rightarrow i(T_b)$. These two facts immediately prove the conditions (D1) and (D3). It remains to verify (D2). The simplicial space $\iota^* \circ \delta(L)$ is just the image of L under the functor $P(\Delta_{/Fin_*}) \rightarrow P(\Delta)$ defined by $\langle 1 \rangle \in Fin_*$. It is complete as L represents a category over Fin_* .

3.3. **λ restricted to $\mathbf{D0p}$ has image in $\mathbf{L0p}$.**

3.3.1. Let us, first of all, verify that $\lambda(D) \in \mathbf{Cat}_{/Fin_*}$ for any $D \in \mathbf{D0p}$. We have to verify that $\lambda(D)$, considered as an object of $P(\Delta_{/Fin_*})$, satisfies the Segal condition and is complete.

For $A : [n] \rightarrow Fin_*$ we denote by A_i the composition $\{i\} \rightarrow [n] \xrightarrow{A} Fin_*$ and by $A_{i-1,i}$ the composition $[1] \xrightarrow{\{i-1,i\}} [n] \xrightarrow{A} Fin_*$. The Segal condition for $\lambda(D)$ means that the natural map

$$\lambda(D)(A) \rightarrow \lambda(D)(A_{01}) \times_{\lambda(D)(A_1)} \cdots \times_{\lambda(D)(A_{n-1})} \lambda(D)(A_{n-1,n})$$

is an equivalence. This easily follows from the Segal properties (D1) and (D3) for D formulated in 2.2.3.

According to Lemma 2.1.6, completeness of $\lambda(D)$ means that for any $I_* \in Fin_*$ and the map $\iota_I : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{/Fin_*}$ defined as the composition $\Delta \rightarrow [0] \xrightarrow{I_*} Fin_*$, the map $\iota_I^* : P(\Delta_{/Fin_*}) \rightarrow P(\Delta)$ carries $\lambda(D)$ to a complete Segal space. This immediately follows from the completeness property (D2) for $D \in P(\Phi)$, formulated in 2.2.3. Thus, $\lambda(D)$ represents a category over Fin_* . We will denote it by $p : \Lambda(D) \rightarrow Fin_*$.

Let us now verify that $p : \Lambda(D) \rightarrow Fin_*$ is fibrous. The fiber of p at $\langle n \rangle$ is D_1^n where $D_1 = \iota^*(D)$ is the category underlying $D \in \mathbf{D0p}$.

(Fib1) Let $A : [2] \rightarrow Fin_*$ define a composition $\langle m \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle n \rangle \xrightarrow{\beta} \langle k \rangle$ where α is inert. The base change $\Lambda(D)_A := [2] \times_{Fin_*} \Lambda(D)$ is described by the restriction of $\lambda(D) : \Delta_{/Fin_*} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ to $\Delta_{/[2]}$ that is defined by the restriction of X to the two-layered forests having the inert first layer. Choosing the projection $a : D_1^m \rightarrow D_1^n$ defined by α as the lifting of α , we see that the condition of Lemma 2.3.3 is satisfied, so a is a cocartesian lifting of the inert α .

(Fib2) The inert maps $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ give rise to an equivalence $\Lambda(D)_n \rightarrow \prod \Lambda(D)_1$. This is straightforward.

(Fib3) It remains to verify the last property of fibrous objects. Fix $A : [1] \rightarrow \text{Fin}_*$ defined by an arrow $f : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$. Given $x \in \Lambda(D)_m$ and $y \in \Lambda(D)_n$, the map space $\text{Map}_{\Lambda(D)}^f(x, y)$ can be expressed as the fiber of the natural map

$$\lambda(D)(A) = D(\omega(A)) \rightarrow \lambda(D)(m) \times \lambda(D)(n)$$

at (x, y) . Applying the axiom (D3) to the forest $\omega(A)$, we deduce the required decomposition

$$\text{Map}_{\Lambda(D)}^f(x, y) \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Map}_{\Lambda(D)}^{\rho^i \circ f}(x, \rho^i(y)).$$

3.3.2. LOp is not a full subcategory of $P(\Delta_{/\text{Fin}_*})$. This means that we have to verify that, given a map $f : D \rightarrow D'$ with $D, D' \in \text{DOp}$, the induced map $\omega^*(f) : \omega^*(D) \rightarrow \omega^*(D')$ preserves the inerts. This immediately follows from the description of inerts given above: if $f : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ is inert and if $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a map, it induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_1^m & \longrightarrow & D_1^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_1'^m & \longrightarrow & D_1'^n \end{array}$$

with the vertical arrows induced by f and the horizontal arrows being the projections determined by f .

3.4. **An equivalence** $\lambda \circ \delta \rightarrow \text{id}$. In this subsection we construct an equivalence of functors $\beta : \lambda \circ \delta \rightarrow \text{id}$. The construction of the morphism β is based on the canonical section $s_A : A \rightarrow j(\omega(A))$ in $P(\mathbb{F})$, where $j : \Phi \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F})$ denotes the composition $\Phi \xrightarrow{i} \text{LOp} \xrightarrow{g} P(\mathbb{F})$. We will deduce that β is an equivalence from the following result proven in 4.3.

3.4.1. **Proposition.** *For $A \in \mathbb{F}$ and $L \in \text{LOp}$ the natural embedding*

$$(7) \quad s_A : A \rightarrow j(\omega(A))$$

in $P(\mathbb{F})$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{\text{LOp}}(i \circ \omega(A), L) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{P(\mathbb{F})}(A, L).$$

3.4.2. We will first define a morphism of functors $\beta' : g \circ \lambda \circ \delta \rightarrow g$ from LOp to $P(\mathbb{F})$, and then will show that β' factors through a $\beta : \lambda \circ \delta \rightarrow \text{id}$.

Using the standard equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(A, \text{Fun}(B, C)) = \text{Fun}(A \times B, C),$$

we will define instead an equivalence $\widetilde{\beta}' : \widetilde{g \circ \lambda \circ \delta} \rightarrow \widetilde{g}$ of functors from $\mathbf{LOp} \times \mathbb{F}^{\text{op}}$ to \mathcal{S} . The functor $\widetilde{g \circ \lambda \circ \delta}$ carries $(L, A) \in \mathbf{LOp} \times \mathbb{F}^{\text{op}}$ to

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{DOp}}(\omega(A), \delta(L)) = \text{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(i \circ \omega(A), L),$$

whereas \widetilde{g} carries (L, A) to

$$\text{Map}_{P(\mathbb{F})}(A, g(L)) = \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Cat}^+ / \mathbf{Fin}_*^{\natural}}(A^{\flat}, L).$$

The functor $\widetilde{\beta}'$ is now defined by the canonical map $A^{\flat} \rightarrow i \circ \omega(A)$ in $\mathbf{Cat}^+ / \mathbf{Fin}_*^{\natural}$ defined by s_A . According to Proposition 3.4.1, $\widetilde{\beta}'$, and, therefore, β' , is an equivalence.

Since both $g \circ \lambda \circ \delta(L)$ and $g(L)$ belong to $\mathbf{LOp} \subset P(\mathbb{F})$, the natural equivalence $\beta'_L : g \circ \lambda \circ \delta(L) \rightarrow g(L)$ between them also belongs to \mathbf{LOp} , hence is the image under g of a unique equivalence $\beta_L : \lambda \delta(L) \rightarrow L$. (Note that the inclusion $\mathbf{LOp} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F})$ is fully faithful on equivalences since equivalences automatically preserve cocartesian liftings of inerts.)

3.4.3. Note, for further application, the following consequence of Proposition 3.4.1.

Define a morphism of functors $\theta : \lambda|_{\Phi} \rightarrow i$ from Φ to \mathbf{LOp} so that its composition with $g : \mathbf{LOp} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{F})$ is given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{P(\mathbb{F})}(A, \omega^*(F)) &= \text{Map}_{\Phi}(\omega(A), F) = \text{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(i \circ \omega(A), i(F)) \rightarrow \\ &\text{Map}_{P(\mathbb{F})}(A, i(F)) \end{aligned}$$

of functors $\mathbf{LOp} \times \mathbb{F}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

3.4.4. **Proposition.** *The morphism of functors $\theta : \lambda|_{\Phi} \rightarrow i$ defined above is an equivalence.*

□

3.5. **An equivalence** $\text{id} \rightarrow \delta \circ \lambda$.

3.5.1. In this subsection we construct an equivalence of functors $\alpha : \text{id} \rightarrow \delta \circ \lambda$. This will complete the proof of the equivalence of \mathbf{LOp} with \mathbf{DOp} .

Since $G : \mathbf{DOp} \rightarrow P(\Phi)$ is fully faithful, it is sufficient to construct an equivalence $\alpha' : G \rightarrow G \circ \delta \circ \lambda$ of functors from \mathbf{DOp} to $P(\Phi)$. As in 3.4, we will construct instead an equivalence of functors

$$\widetilde{\alpha}' : \widetilde{G} \rightarrow \widetilde{G \circ \delta \circ \lambda}$$

from $\mathbf{DOp} \times \Phi^{\text{op}}$ to \mathcal{S} . The functor \widetilde{G} carries $(D, F) \in \mathbf{DOp} \times \Phi^{\text{op}}$ to $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{DOp}}(F, X)$ whereas $\widetilde{G \circ \delta \circ \lambda}$ carries (D, F) to $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(i(F), \lambda(D)) = \text{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(\lambda(F), \lambda(D))$, the last equivalence following from 3.4.4.

We define the morphism $\tilde{\alpha}'$ simply as the morphism

$$(8) \quad \text{Map}_{\text{Dop}}(F, D) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{LOp}}(\lambda(F), \lambda(D))$$

induced by λ . It remains to verify that (8) is an equivalence. We can choose $A \in \mathbb{F}$ so that $F = \omega(A)$. Then the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{Dop}}(\omega(A), D) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{LOp}}(\lambda(\omega(A)), \lambda(D)) &= \text{Map}_{\text{LOp}}(i \circ \omega(A), \lambda(D)) \rightarrow \\ &\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})}(A, \omega^*(D)), \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence. The last map in the composition is also an equivalence by 3.4.1, so $\tilde{\alpha}'$ is an equivalence.

4. OPERADIC ALGEBRAS

4.1. Reconstruction. A Lurie operad $\mathcal{P} \in \text{LOp}$ can be reconstructed from the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras in \mathcal{S} . More precisely, one has the following.

4.1.1. Theorem. *Let $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be a morphism of operads which is essentially surjective on colors. Assume that the functor*

$$f^* : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S})$$

is an equivalence. Then f is an equivalence of operads.

Note that the essential surjectivity condition cannot be dropped: the embedding of a category into its Karoubian envelope induces an equivalence of the categories of presheaves! The proof of the theorem is given in 4.1.4.

4.1.2. Let I be a set and let \mathcal{P} be a Lurie operad. A map $r : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$ is called a *recoloring* if it induces a surjective map on the equivalence classes of objects of \mathcal{P}_1 . We define a *recolored operad* as an operad \mathcal{P} endowed with a recoloring $r : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$. Any map $r : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$ defines a forgetful functor

$$G_r : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^I.$$

A general theorem [L.HA], 3.1.3.5 implies that G_r admits a left adjoint functor of free \mathcal{P} -algebra denoted $F_r : \mathcal{S}^I \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S})$.

We present below an explicit expression for the free algebra $F_r(X)$ where $p : X \rightarrow I$ is a map of sets, considered as a collection of spaces $X_i = p^{-1}(i) \in \mathcal{S}$. This is the free \mathcal{P} -algebra generated by the set X of objects such that the color of $x \in X$ is $r(p(x))$. Note that \mathcal{P} -algebras with values in \mathcal{S} can be described by functors $A : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that are *lax cartesian structures* in the sense of [L.HA], 2.4.1.1. Equivalently, this means that the left fibration $\mathcal{P}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ classified by A is a left fibration of operads.

Let $\Theta \subset \text{Fin}_*$ be the subcategory spanned by the inert arrows. This is the trivial operad on one color. For a given set X we denote by Θ_X the coproduct of X copies of the operad Θ , so that Θ_X is the trivial operad on X colors. The

objects of Θ_X are finite sets over X and the arrows are embeddings of these sets, considered as (inert) arrows in the opposite direction.

The map $c := r \circ p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$ extends to $c : \Theta_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, see [L.HA], 2.1.3.6, and, therefore, gives rise to the functor

$$(9) \quad \bar{c} : \Theta_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Left}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S})$$

with values in the category of left fibrations over \mathcal{P} , carrying $\alpha : U \rightarrow X$ in Θ_X to the left fibration $\mathcal{P}_{c\alpha/} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. We finally denote

$$(10) \quad F_r(X) = \text{colim}(\bar{c}) \in \mathbf{Left}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Rewriting (10) as a functor $F_r(X) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, we deduce the formula

$$(11) \quad F_r(X)(d) = \text{colim}(\bar{c}_d)$$

where $\bar{c}_d : \Theta_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the functor carrying $\alpha \in \Theta_X$ to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(c\alpha, d)$.

This can be further rewritten as follows. Let D_* be the image of $d \in \mathcal{P}$ in Fin_* . Define the category $\Theta_{X,D}$ whose objects are the pairs $(\alpha : U \rightarrow X, \beta : U_* \rightarrow D_*)$ and morphisms $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha' : U' \rightarrow X, \beta' : U'_* \rightarrow D_*)$ defined by an embedding $U' \rightarrow U$ over X so that the corresponding inert arrow $U_* \rightarrow U'_*$ commutes with the β 's. One has an obvious forgetful functor $\phi : \Theta_{X,D} \rightarrow \Theta_X$ and a functor

$$\bar{c}_{d,D} : \Theta_{X,D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

carrying (α, β) to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta}(c\alpha, d)$. The fibers of ϕ are discrete, so obviously \bar{c}_d is a left Kan extension of $\bar{c}_{d,D}$ along ϕ . Therefore, $F_r(X)(d) = \text{colim} \bar{c}_d = \text{colim} \bar{c}_{d,D}$. The category $\Theta_{X,D}$ has a subcategory $\Theta_{X,D}^{\text{act}}$ spanned by the pairs (α, β) with β active. This is a groupoid. We denote by $\bar{c}_{d,D}^{\text{act}}$ the restriction of $\bar{c}_{d,D}$ to $(\Theta_{X,D}^{\text{act}})^{\text{op}}$. The embedding $\Theta_{X,D}^{\text{act}} \rightarrow \Theta_{X,D}$ is cofinal so that it induces an equivalence of colimits

$$\text{colim} \bar{c}_{d,D}^{\text{act}} \rightarrow \text{colim} \bar{c}_{d,D}.$$

We can finally reformulate the description of $F_r(X)(d) = \text{colim} \bar{c}_{d,D}^{\text{act}}$ as follows. Let Θ_X^{eq} be the maximal subgroupoid of Θ_X and let $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{act}}(x, y)$ denote the space of active arrows in \mathcal{P} from x to y . The forgetful functor $\Theta_{X,D}^{\text{act}} \rightarrow \Theta_X^{\text{eq}}$ having discrete fibers, the left Kan extension of $\bar{c}_{d,D}^{\text{act}}$ along it yields the functor

$$\bar{c}_d^{\text{act}} : (\Theta_X^{\text{eq}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

assigning to α the space $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{act}}(c\alpha, d)$. We see that

$$(12) \quad F_r(X)(d) = \text{colim}(\bar{c}_d^{\text{act}}).$$

We need yet another version of the above formula.

The functor \bar{c}_d^{act} factors through $\bar{r} : \Theta_X^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow \Theta_I^{\text{eq}}$ induced by $r : X \rightarrow I$. Therefore, the colimit of \bar{c}_d^{act} can be rewritten as $\text{colim} \bar{X}$ where the functor $\bar{X} : (\Theta_I^{\text{eq}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is defined by the formula

$$(13) \quad \bar{X}(\gamma) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{act}}(r \circ \gamma, d) \times_{\text{Aut}_I(J)} \text{Hom}_I(J, X).$$

for $\gamma : J \rightarrow I$. In the special case $d \in \mathcal{P}_1$ this can be rewritten as

$$(14) \quad \bar{X}(\gamma) = \mathcal{P}(r \circ \gamma, d) \times_{\text{Aut}_I(J)} \prod_{j \in J} X_{\gamma(j)}.$$

The functor \bar{X} is the left Kan extension of \bar{c}_d^{act} with respect to \bar{r} .

4.1.3. Proposition. *$F_r(X)$ is a free \mathcal{P} -algebra generated by the set X .*

Proof. Let $q : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a left fibration of operads. One has

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(F_r(X), \mathcal{Q}) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Theta_X^{\text{op}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{co}\alpha}, \mathcal{Q}) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Theta_X^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{Q}_{\text{co}\alpha} = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(X, \mathcal{Q}).$$

□

4.1.4. Proof of 4.1.1. Choose a recoloring $r : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$. It will automatically give a recoloring $f \circ r : I \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. This yields a commutative diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}} & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ & \searrow G_r & \swarrow G_{f \circ r} \\ & \mathcal{S}^I & \end{array}$$

We denote by $f_! : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ the functor left adjoint (and inverse) to f^* , so we get an equivalence

$$F_{f \circ r} = f_! \circ F_r.$$

This yields an equivalence

$$(16) \quad G_r \circ F_r \rightarrow G_{f \circ r} \circ F_{f \circ r}.$$

The source and the target of the above map are explicitly given as colimits, see formulas (12) and (14). Thus, to yield an equivalence (16), one should have, for any $\gamma : J \rightarrow I$ in $\Theta_I^{\text{eq}} = \text{Fin}_{/I}^{\text{eq}}$ and $X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, an equivalence

$$\mathcal{P}(r \circ \gamma, d) \times_{\text{Aut}_I(J)} \prod_{j \in J} X_{\gamma(j)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(r \circ \gamma, d) \times_{\text{Aut}_I(J)} \prod_{j \in J} X_{\gamma(j)}.$$

Choosing $X : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ so that for any $i \in I$ the set X_i is finite and of cardinality at least $|\gamma^{-1}(i)|$, we deduce that the map $\mathcal{P}(r \circ \gamma, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(r \circ \gamma, d)$ has to be an equivalence for all $\gamma : J \rightarrow I$ and $d \in \mathcal{P}_1$. This implies that $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is an equivalence. □

4.2. Model structures on operad algebras. In this subsection we present standard results on model structures in categories of algebras and rectification results. Lemma 4.2.3 below is used in the proof of Proposition 3.4.1 presented in 4.3.

4.2.1. Let us first of all recall the rectification theorem of Pavlov-Scholbach.

We denote by $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Kan})$ the conventional category of simplicial operads \mathcal{O} satisfying the condition that the simplicial set $\mathcal{O}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y)$ is Kan fibrant. There is a functor

$$\ell : \mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Kan}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$$

described in [L.HA], 2.1.1.7, 2.1.1.22 and 2.1.1.23. Let \mathcal{C} be a simplicial symmetric monoidal model category. According to [NS], A.7, the underlying ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_∞ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure so that the localization functor $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty$ is lax symmetric monoidal.

Assume that \mathcal{O} is Σ -cofibrant and \mathcal{C} -admissible. Then the category of algebras $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ has a projective model structure. One has a functor $\Phi' : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{C}_\infty)$ assigning to a map of operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ its composition with the localization functor $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{C}_\infty$. The functor Φ' carries weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ to equivalences, so it induces a functor

$$(17) \quad \Phi : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{C}_\infty)$$

between the underlying ∞ -categories.

4.2.2. **Theorem** (see [PS], Theorem 7.11). *Let \mathcal{C} be a simplicial symmetric monoidal model category and let \mathcal{O} be a Σ -cofibrant \mathcal{C} -admissible simplicial operad. Then the functor Φ in (17) is an equivalence.*

□

4.2.3. **Lemma.** *Let $A : [1] \rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}_*$ be presented by an arrow $f : I_* \rightarrow J_*$ and let $\mathcal{O} = o(\omega(A))$. Let \mathcal{C} be a simplicial model category. We endow $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ with the projective model structure. Then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^I$ defined by the source of f induces a fibration of the simplicial categories of fibrant cofibrant objects*

$$p : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_*^{cf} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_*^{cf})^I.$$

Proof. The forest $\omega(A)$ consists of corollas numbered by $j \in J$ and trivial operads η numbered by $f^{-1}(*) \subset I$. The claim immediately reduces to the case when $\omega(A)$ is a single corolla C_n . Thus, from now on we assume $\mathcal{O} = o(C_n)$.

A C_n -algebra in \mathcal{C} is given by an arrow $\alpha : D_1 \times \dots \times D_n \rightarrow D_0$ in \mathcal{C} . It is a fibrant cofibrant object if D_i are fibrant cofibrant and α is a cofibration. Given two such objects, α and $\beta : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \rightarrow E_0$, the simplicial set $\mathcal{H}om(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined as the fiber product

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(D_i, E_i) \times_{\mathcal{H}om(\prod_{i=1}^n D_i, E_0)} \mathcal{H}om(D_0, E_0).$$

The map $\mathcal{H}om(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(D_i, E_i)$ is a fibration as it is obtained by the base change from the map $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(D_0, E_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\prod_{i=1}^n (D_i, E_0))$ defined by

the composition with α that is a fibration. It remains to verify that the induced map of the homotopy categories is an isofibration. This is straightforward. \square

4.3. Proof of 3.4.1.

4.3.1. The map $s_A : A \rightarrow j(\omega(A))$ induces a map $s'_A : A' \rightarrow i(\omega(A))$ in \mathbf{LOp} where $A^b \rightarrow A'$ is an operadic equivalence in $\mathbf{Cat}^+_{/Fin_*}$; see 2.3.2 for the notion of operadic equivalence.

By reconstruction theorem 4.1.1 it is sufficient to verify that s'_A induces an equivalence of the categories of algebras with values in \mathcal{S} ,

$$s_A^* : \mathbf{Alg}_{i(\omega(A))}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{A'}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{Fun}_{Fin_*}(A, \mathcal{S}),$$

where A and \mathcal{S} on the right-hand side of the formula are considered as objects of $\mathbf{Cat}_{/Fin_*}$ and $\mathbf{Fun}_{Fin_*}(A, \mathcal{S})$ stands for the fiber product $\mathbf{Fun}([n], \mathcal{S}) \times_{\mathbf{Fun}([n], Fin_*)} \{A\}$.

4.3.2. *Pruning.* We will verify that s_A^* is an equivalence by induction. Here the following procedure of pruning a simplex A will be used. Define $B : [n-1] \rightarrow Fin_*$ and $C : [1] \rightarrow Fin_*$ by the formulas $B = A \circ d_n$, $C = A \circ d_0^{n-1}$. Let $v : [0] \rightarrow Fin_*$ be defined by $v = C \circ d_1$. The map v is given by an object $V_* \in Fin_*$. We have $A = B \sqcup^v C$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{/Fin_*}$, so that

$$\mathbf{Fun}_{Fin_*}(A, \mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{Fun}_{Fin_*}(B, \mathcal{S}) \times_{s^v} \mathbf{Fun}_{Fin_*}(C, \mathcal{S})$$

and we have to deduce the same formula for the source of s_A^* . This will reduce the claim that s_A^* is an equivalence to the case $n = 1$, which is very easy.

Clearly, $o(\omega(A)) = o(\omega(B)) \sqcup^{\Theta^v} o(\omega(C))$ where Θ^v is the trivial operad on V colors. The operad $o(\omega(A))$ is free as an operad in sets. The category $\mathbf{Alg}_{i(\omega(A))}(\mathcal{S})$ is the ∞ -category underlying the simplicial model category $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(A))}(\mathbf{sSet})$, where the model structure is the projective model structure induced from the standard model structure on the simplicial sets.

The category $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(A))}(\mathbf{sSet})$ is equivalent to the fiber product

$$(18) \quad \mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(B))}(\mathbf{sSet}) \times_{(\mathbf{sSet})^V} \mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(C))}(\mathbf{sSet}).$$

Moreover, an arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(A))}(\mathbf{sSet})$ is a fibration, cofibration or weak equivalence iff its components satisfy the same property in the corresponding model categories $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(B))}(\mathbf{sSet})$ and $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(C))}(\mathbf{sSet})$.

Note that one needs to be careful as the fiber product in (18) is taken in the category of conventional categories, and not in \mathbf{Cat} . However, the same fiber product formula still holds for the underlying ∞ -categories. Indeed, the ∞ -category underlying $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(A))}(\mathbf{sSet})$ is the homotopy coherent nerve of the simplicial category of fibrant cofibrant objects which is the fiber product of the simplicial categories of fibrant cofibrant objects of $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(B))}(\mathbf{sSet})$ and $\mathbf{Alg}_{o(\omega(C))}(\mathbf{sSet})$. By

Lemma 4.2.3 this fiber product calculates the fiber product of the corresponding ∞ -categories in \mathbf{Cat} . \square

5. MONOIDAL STRUCTURES

5.1. In Section 2.2 we introduced the category \mathbf{DOp} underlying a Quillen model category of simplicial presheaves. It is known [HHM] that the associated homotopy category carries a structure of symmetric monoidal category. Our goal in this section is to explain that this structure can be lifted to the structure of a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category on \mathbf{DOp} , and to prove the following sharpening of Theorem 3.1.1.

5.1.1. **Theorem.** *The functor $\lambda : \mathbf{DOp} \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$ is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories.*

It follows from this theorem that its inverse $\delta : \mathbf{LOp} \rightarrow \mathbf{DOp}$ is symmetric monoidal as well. Even though we already know that λ and δ form an equivalence of categories, the proof of this stronger theorem is quite involved, due to the fact that (especially in the ∞ -context!) it is difficult to deal with the rich structure of a symmetric monoidal category in a direct way.

5.2. Preliminaries.

5.2.1. We consider symmetric monoidal categories and operads in the sense of Lurie [L.HA], so “operad” means an object of \mathbf{LOp} here.

It is convenient to define symmetric monoidal categories as commutative algebras in \mathbf{Cat} , that is the functors $Fin_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ satisfying the Segal condition. The (covariant) Grothendieck construction then realizes the category $\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbf{SM}}$ of symmetric monoidal categories as the subcategory of \mathbf{LOp} whose objects are cocartesian fibrations of operads $p : M \rightarrow Fin_*$, with the morphisms preserving cocartesian arrows. It is convenient to have another realization, the one connected to the contravariant Grothendieck construction. We define

5.2.2. **Definition.** A functor $q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Fin_*^{\text{op}}$ is called a *cooperad* if $q^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Fin_*$ is fibrous (that is, a Lurie operad).

The category of cooperads will be denoted by \mathbf{Coop} . The contravariant realization of $\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbf{SM}}$ identifies it with the subcategory of \mathbf{Coop} whose objects are cartesian fibrations and whose arrows preserve the cartesian arrows. The categories of operads and of cooperads are obviously equivalent. However, if M is a conventional symmetric monoidal category, its operadic realization M^{\otimes} assigns to X_1, \dots, X_n and Y in M the set $\text{Hom}_M(\otimes X_i, Y)$ of operations, whereas its cooperadic realization ${}^{\otimes}M$ assigns the set $\text{Hom}_M(Y, \otimes X_i)$ of cooperations. The passage to the opposite symmetric monoidal category intertwines between the two realizations: $({}^{\otimes}M)^{\text{op}} = M^{\text{op}\otimes}$.

We will now define two notions intermediate between the world of (co) operads and the world of symmetric monoidal categories.

5.2.3. Definition. An operad $p : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathit{Fin}_*$ is called a *lax symmetric monoidal category* if p is a locally cocartesian fibration.

5.2.4. Definition. A cooperad $q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathit{Fin}_*^{\text{op}}$ is called a *colax symmetric monoidal category* if q is a locally cartesian fibration.

In the conventional setting, a colax symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} is given by a collection of operations $\otimes_n : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, with a compatible collection of natural transformations (not necessarily equivalences) of the form

$$\otimes_m \circ (\otimes_{n_1} \times \dots \times \otimes_{n_m}) \rightarrow \otimes_n$$

with $n = \sum n_i$. Note that, since the collections of active and inert arrows in Fin_* form a factorization system, it is sufficient to require that the active arrows have a locally (co)cartesian lifting.

5.2.5. Day convolution. The result [L.HA], 4.8.1.10 actually proves the following.

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal category. Then the category of presheaves $P(\mathcal{C})$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure, so that the Yoneda embedding $Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$ is a symmetric monoidal functor, universal among symmetric monoidal functors from \mathcal{C} to a symmetric monoidal category with colimits.*

5.2.6. Lemma. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a pair of adjoint functors between symmetric monoidal categories, so that F is symmetric monoidal. Then there is an induced pair of adjoint functors*

$$(19) \quad F^{\text{Com}} : \mathbf{Alg}_{\text{Com}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Alg}_{\text{Com}}(\mathcal{D}) : G^{\text{Com}}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}^\otimes and \mathcal{D}^\otimes be the cocartesian fibrations over Fin_* representing \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . The functor $F^\otimes : \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ has a right adjoint G^\otimes extending G so that G is lax symmetric monoidal. For a commutative algebra $A : \mathit{Fin}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ its composition with the unit of adjunction of F^\otimes with G^\otimes gives a commutative algebra map. This gives a morphism of the functors $u : \text{id} \rightarrow G^{\text{Com}} \circ F^{\text{Com}}$ for the pair of functors between the categories of algebras. For the same reason we deduce a morphism $v : F^{\text{Com}} \circ G^{\text{Com}} \rightarrow \text{id}$ from the counit of the adjunction between F^\otimes and G^\otimes . The compositions $F^{\text{Com}} \rightarrow F^{\text{Com}} \circ G^{\text{Com}} \circ F^{\text{Com}} \rightarrow F^{\text{Com}}$ and $G^{\text{Com}} \rightarrow G^{\text{Com}} \circ F^{\text{Com}} \circ G^{\text{Com}} \rightarrow G^{\text{Com}}$ are equivalent to identities, which implies that F^{Com} has right adjoint equivalent to G^{Com} . \square

5.2.7. Let L be an operad and let \hat{L} be the symmetric monoidal envelope of L . We write L_1 for the category underlying L . Then the full embedding $u : L_1 \rightarrow \hat{L}$ induces an adjoint pair

$$u_! : P(L_1) \rightleftarrows P(\hat{L}) : u^*$$

where $u_!$ is again a full embedding. In fact, let $f = \operatorname{colim}(Y \circ a)$, $f' = \operatorname{colim}(Y \circ a')$ for $a : K \rightarrow L_1$ and $a' : K' \rightarrow L_1$. Then

$$\operatorname{Map}_{P(L_1)}(f, f') = \lim_{k \in K} \operatorname{colim}_{k' \in K'} \operatorname{Map}(a(k), a'(k')).$$

The same formula describes $\operatorname{Map}_{P(\hat{L})}(u_!(f), u_!(f'))$, so $u_!$ is a full embedding. This implies that $P(L_1)$, as a full subcategory of a symmetric monoidal category, inherits the structure of a cooperad from ${}^\otimes P(\hat{L})$. We will denote it by ${}^\otimes P(L_1)$ and we claim that it is a colax symmetric monoidal category. This means that for any f_1, \dots, f_n in $P(L_1)$ the functor

$$f \in P(L_1) \mapsto \operatorname{Map}(u_!(f), \otimes_i u_!(f_i))$$

is representable. This is obviously so as

$$\operatorname{Map}(u_!(f), \otimes_i u_!(f_i)) = \operatorname{Map}(f, u^*(\otimes_i u_!(f_i))).$$

Therefore, the multiple tensor product functor on $P(L_1)$ is defined as the composition

$$(20) \quad P(L_1)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{u_!^{\otimes n}} P(\hat{L})^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\otimes_n} P(\hat{L}) \xrightarrow{u^*} P(L_1).$$

5.2.8. We will apply this to the dual setup of cooperads. Let C be a cooperad and \hat{C} the symmetric monoidal envelope of C (so that $\hat{C} = \hat{L}^{\operatorname{op}}$ where $L = C^{\operatorname{op}}$ is an operad). Then the full embedding $P(C_1) \rightarrow P(\hat{C})$ defines on $P(C_1)$ a structure of colax symmetric monoidal category.

5.3. **D0p as a symmetric monoidal category.** First of all, recall that the conventional category $\operatorname{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$ of operads in sets is symmetric monoidal. Its tensor product is the Boardman-Vogt tensor product of operads, denoted

$$P \otimes_{BV} Q$$

for two operads P and Q .

Next, recall the category Φ of forests and the full embedding

$$\Phi \rightarrow \operatorname{Op}(\mathbf{Set}), \quad F \mapsto o(F).$$

We endow Φ with the structure of a cooperad defined by the embedding $o : \Phi \rightarrow \operatorname{Op}(\mathbf{Set})$. Explicitly,

$$\Phi(F; F_1, \dots, F_n) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Op}(\mathbf{Set})}(o(F), o(F_1) \otimes_{BV} \dots \otimes_{BV} o(F_n)).$$

It follows that $P(\Phi)$ has the structure of a colax symmetric monoidal category as explained above.

An arrow f in $P(\Phi)$ will be called *an operadic equivalence* if it is carried to equivalence by the localization map $F : P(\Phi) \rightarrow \operatorname{D0p}$.

5.3.1. **Proposition.** 1. *Multiple tensor products $\otimes_n : P(\Phi)^n \rightarrow P(\Phi)$ preserve operadic equivalences in each argument.*

2. The localization $F : P(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{DOp}$ canonically extends to a map of colax symmetric monoidal categories.
3. The localization functor $F : P(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{DOp}$ carries associativity constraints to equivalences. Therefore, the colax symmetric monoidal structure on \mathbf{DOp} is in fact symmetric monoidal.

Proof. This result easily follows from the properties of shuffles of trees presented in the Appendix. Recall that \mathbf{DOp} is a Bousfield localization of $P(\Phi)$ with respect to three types of arrows.

1. $T_d \sqcup^d T^d \rightarrow T$, where d is an inner edge of a tree T .
2. $[0] \rightarrow J$, embedding of simplicial sets considered as objects of $P(\Phi)$.
3. $\sqcup T_i \rightarrow F$ where $F \in \Phi$ and T_i are the tree components of F .

An arrow in $P(\Phi)$ will be called an operadic equivalence if its image in \mathbf{DOp} is an equivalence. To prove the first claim, we have to show that for all $f_i \in P(\Phi)$ the functor

$$(21) \quad \otimes_n (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}, -, f_{k+1}, \dots, f_n) : P(\Phi) \rightarrow P(\Phi)$$

carries the arrows of types 1–3 to operadic equivalences. Since \otimes_n preserves colimits and the localization functor $P(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathbf{DOp}$ preserves colimits, it is enough to verify this claim in the case when f_i are representable, that is, forests. To calculate the tensor product, we can replace each forest with the coproduct of its tree components; in this way the claim reduces to the case when all f_i are trees. For the arrows of type 1 the result now follows from Proposition A.3.1. The arrow $[0] \rightarrow J$ is carried by (21) to a deformation retract of dendroidal sets, so to an operadic equivalence. The arrows of type 3 are obviously carried to equivalences.

Claim 2. To see that Claim 1 defines a colax symmetric monoidal structure on \mathbf{DOp} , we look at the cooperadic presentation $q : P(\Phi)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathit{Fin}_*^{\text{op}}$ of $P(\Phi)$. Claim 1 implies that the localization of the total category $P(\Phi)^\otimes$ with respect to operadic equivalences yields a locally cartesian fibration \mathbf{DOp}^\otimes ; moreover, the localization map preserves locally cartesian arrows.

Claim 3. We now look at the morphisms of functors

$$(22) \quad \otimes_q \circ (\text{id}^k \times \otimes_p \times \text{id}^{q-k-1}) \rightarrow \otimes_{p+q+1} : P(\Phi)^{p+q+1} \rightarrow P(\Phi)$$

describing the associativity constraints. Both source and target preserve colimits on each argument, so the claim is reduced to the case when $f = \{f_i\} \in P(\Phi)^{p+q+1}$ is a collection of trees. Then Proposition A.4.1 implies the result. \square

5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.1.1. Recall [L.HA] that \mathbf{LOp} has a structure of symmetric monoidal category. For two objects L and M their tensor product is characterized the property that there is an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{L \otimes M}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{Alg}_L(\mathbf{Alg}_M(\mathcal{S})).$$

(This characterizes $L \otimes M$ uniquely by the reconstruction theorem 5.1.1.) Since \mathbf{LOp} is symmetric monoidal, it has the structure of a cooperad.

5.4.1. Proposition. *The inclusion $i : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$ canonically extends to a map of cooperads.*

Proof. Recall that i is the composition $\Phi \xrightarrow{o} \mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set}) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbf{LOp}$. Let \mathcal{C} be the full subcooperad of \mathbf{LOp} spanned by the objects $i(F)$, $F \in \Phi$. We will verify that \mathcal{C} is a conventional cooperad canonically isomorphic to Φ .

For a sequence F_1, \dots, F_n of objects of Φ there is an evident multilinear map

$$iF_1 \times \dots \times iF_n \rightarrow \ell(o(F_1) \otimes_{BV} \dots \otimes_{BV} o(F_n))$$

which induces a map of operads (see [L.HA], 2.2.5)

$$\theta : iF_1 \otimes \dots \otimes iF_n \rightarrow \ell(o(F_1) \otimes_{BV} \dots \otimes_{BV} o(F_n))$$

and it suffices to verify that this map is an equivalence in \mathbf{LOp} . Indeed, we would then have an equivalence of cooperads Φ and \mathcal{C} since for any object $F \in \Phi$ the induced map from

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Op}(\mathbf{Set})}(o(F), o(F_1) \otimes_{BV} \dots \otimes_{BV} o(F_n)) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(iF, \ell(o(F_1) \otimes_{BV} \dots \otimes_{BV} o(F_n)))$$

to $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{LOp}}(iF, iF_1 \otimes \dots \otimes iF_n)$, will be then an equivalence. The fact that θ is an equivalence now follows by induction from the following lemma. \square

5.4.2. Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{P} = o(F)$ where F is a forest and let \mathcal{Q} be a Σ -cofibrant operad in \mathbf{sSet} . Then the obvious bilinear map $\ell(\mathcal{P}) \times \ell(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \ell(\mathcal{P} \otimes_{BV} \mathcal{Q})$ exhibits $\ell(\mathcal{P} \otimes_{BV} \mathcal{Q})$ as a tensor product (in the sense of Lurie) of $\ell(\mathcal{P})$ and $\ell(\mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof. By the reconstruction theorem, it is sufficient to verify that the map $\theta : \ell(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \ell(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \ell(\mathcal{P} \otimes_{BV} \mathcal{Q})$ induces an equivalence of the categories of algebras

$$\theta^* : \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{P} \otimes_{BV} \mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \ell(\mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{S}).$$

By the rectification theorem the left-hand side is the ∞ -category underlying the model category

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P} \otimes_{BV} \mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{sSet}) = \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{sSet})),$$

whereas, by definition, the right-hand side is

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{P})}(\mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{S})).$$

We denote $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{sSet})$. This is a simplicial model category whose underlying ∞ -category is $L\mathcal{C} := \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{S})$. We have the localization functor $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L\mathcal{C}$ and we have to verify that the natural map $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{P})}(L\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence

$$(25) \quad L(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\ell(\mathcal{P})}(L\mathcal{C}).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{P} = o(F)$. We endow $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ with the projective model structure. Note that we cannot use the result of Pavlov-Scholbach [PS] as $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$ is not a monoidal model category. It is easy to see that $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is a fibration iff its restriction to any corolla of F is a fibration. Therefore, the simplicial category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})_*^{cf}$ of fibrant cofibrant algebras is the (naive) fiber product of the simplicial categories of algebras over the corollas contained in \mathcal{P} . To simplify the formulas, we will proceed by induction on the number of corollas in F .

We can write $F = F_1 \cup^r F_2$ (pruning/grafting) where F_2 is a corolla with the set of leaves $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, F_1 is a (smaller) forest with v identified with some of the roots of F_1 . This decomposition yields a decomposition of operads $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup^v \mathcal{P}_2$ where $\mathcal{P}_j = i(F_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. We have

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_1}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\mathcal{C}^k} \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\mathcal{C})$$

where the functors $g_i : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_i}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^k$ are given by the evaluation at v . According to Lemma 4.2.3 the functor $g_2 : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^k$ induces a fibration of the corresponding simplicial categories of fibrant-cofibrant objects. So, applying the functor of homotopy coherent nerve, we get a decomposition

$$L(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})) = L(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_1}(\mathcal{C})) \times_{L(\mathcal{C}^k)} L(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\mathcal{C})),$$

where one of the structure map is a categorical fibration of quasicategories, so it represents the fiber product in \mathbf{Cat} . Since, by definition, the same decomposition holds for $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(L\mathcal{C})$, we deduce that (25) is an equivalence by induction on the number of corollas in \mathcal{P} . □

5.4.3. *Proof of Theorem 5.1.1.* The diagram

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Phi & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{LOp} \\ \downarrow Y & & \uparrow \lambda \\ P(\Phi) & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathbf{DOp} \end{array}$$

with Y the Yoneda embedding, is commutative by 3.4.4. The composition $i_! = \lambda \circ L$ preserves colimits. By 5.4.1 the functor $i : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$ has a canonical extension to a map of cooperads. We will show that $i_!$ canonically extends to a map of colax symmetric monoidal categories with colimits. If $\Phi \rightarrow^{\otimes} \hat{\Phi}$ is the symmetric monoidal envelope of Φ , the map of cooperads $i : \Phi \rightarrow^{\otimes} \mathbf{LOp}$ canonically extends to a symmetric monoidal functor $\hat{\Phi} \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$ that gives, by Lemma 5.2.5, a colimit preserving symmetric monoidal functor $P(\hat{\Phi}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LOp}$. Its composition with $u_! : P(\Phi) \rightarrow P(\hat{\Phi})$ yields a colax symmetric monoidal functor extending $i_!$, see 5.2.8. Since this functor carries operadic equivalences to equivalences, it factors through a symmetric monoidal functor from \mathbf{DOp} to \mathbf{LOp} extending λ . This proves the theorem.


APPENDIX A. SHUFFLES OF TREES

The category \mathbf{dSet} of (set-valued) presheaves on Ω carries the “operadic” model structure already mentioned in 2.2.3 above and having \mathbf{DOp} as the underlying ∞ -category. The tensor product on \mathbf{dSet} does not make it a monoidal model category, however, because, for instance, the functors $S \otimes _$ where S is a fixed tree, do not preserve cofibrations (see [HM], Section 4.3, for a discussion of this point). The smaller category \mathbf{odSet} of presheaves on *open* trees does have a homotopically well-behaved tensor product, see [HHM], Section 6.3. In this appendix we explain how some of these good homotopical properties of the open trees extend to arbitrary trees. This will imply that \mathbf{DOp} is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category by the argument presented in Section 5.

A.1. Terminology. The objects of Ω are trees. The trees in question have external edges, namely, a specified root edge and the leaves. A maximal edge which is not a leaf is the output edge of a nullary vertex. We will refer to such a vertex, or the vertex together with the unique edge attached to it, as a *stump*. For a tree S we denote the set of its maximal edges, i.e., its leaves and stumps, by

$$\max(S).$$

A tree is open if it has no stumps. For an arbitrary tree S we write $S^\circ \rightarrow S$ for its “interior”, obtained by chopping off the stumps. So, $S^\circ \rightarrow S$ is bijective on edges. (Warning: the assignment of S° to S is not functorial.) If e is a leaf of S , we denote by $S[\bar{e}]$ the tree obtained by adding a stump on top of e . We will also use the similar notation $S[\bar{E}]$ for a set E of leaves of S . For example,



The edge e corresponds to a map $e : \eta \rightarrow S$ from the unit tree η , and e extends to a map $\bar{e} : \bar{\eta} \rightarrow S[\bar{e}]$ where $\bar{\eta} = C_0$ is the null-corolla. The tree $S[\bar{e}]$ is the grafting $S \circ_e \bar{e}$ of C_0 onto S at e , and the map

$$S \sqcup^e \bar{e} \rightarrow S[\bar{e}]$$

is a weak equivalence (a Segal map) in the model structure mentioned above. For a set E of leaves, we have a similar weak equivalence

$$(27) \quad S \sqcup^E \bar{E} \rightarrow S[\bar{E}]$$

where $S \sqcup^E \bar{E}$ denotes the pushout of $\sqcup_{e \in E} \eta \rightarrow S$ along $\sqcup_{e \in E} \eta \rightarrow \sqcup_{e \in E} \bar{\eta}$.

A.2. Shuffles. The n -fold tensor product $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ of a sequence of trees S_1, \dots, S_n is a union of “shuffles” (see [HM], Section 4.4). A shuffle $A \rightarrow S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ is a tree whose edges are (labeled by) n -tuples of edges (e_1, \dots, e_n) of S_1, \dots, S_n , respectively. Not all such tuples will occur in a particular shuffle. But for us, it is important to note that the root of a shuffle A is the n -tuple (r_1, \dots, r_n) of roots, and the set of maximal edges is *exactly* the set of n -tuples of maximal edges in S_i ; that is,

$$(28) \quad \max(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \max(S_i).$$

The fact that $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$ is the union of its shuffles can be expressed as a finite colimit

$$S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha,$$

where α ranges over non-empty subsets of J , and

$$A_\alpha = \bigcap_{j \in \alpha} A_j$$

is the corresponding intersection of shuffles. Each such finite intersection A_α has property (28) and each map $A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\beta$ for $\beta \subseteq \alpha \subseteq J$ is an inner face map, in fact, a map obtained by contracting a set of edges other than the maximal edges or the root.

The structure of the set of shuffles does not depend on the stumps that might occur in the trees S_i . More precisely, for a leaf e in S_i , there is a bijective correspondence between the shuffles of $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ and of $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_i[\bar{e}] \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$, given by $A \mapsto A[\bar{E}_i]$, where $E_i = \{(d_1, \dots, d_n) \mid d_i = e, d_j \text{ are all leaves of } S_j\}$. The same applies to the intersections of shuffles $A_\alpha \mapsto A_\alpha[\bar{E}_i]$.

In particular, for trees S_1, \dots, S_n the tensor product $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ can be reconstructed from the tensor product of their interiors $S_1^\circ, \dots, S_n^\circ$: precisely, if $S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ = \bigcup_j A_j = \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha$, then $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = \bigcup_j A_j[\bar{E}] = \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha[\bar{E}]$, where

$$E = \{(e_1, \dots, e_n) \mid \text{each } e_j \text{ is a leaf of } S_j^\circ, \text{ at least one } e_i \text{ is a stump of } S_i\}.$$

From this observation one easily deduces the following lemma.

A.2.1. Lemma. *For trees S_1, \dots, S_n and the set E as above, the map*

$$(S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ) \sqcup^E \bar{E} \rightarrow S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Write $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ as the colimit $\operatorname{colim} A_\alpha$ of the diagram of finite intersections of shuffles. This is a Reedy cofibrant diagram, so $S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ$ is also the homotopy colimit. Then $(S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ) \sqcup^E \bar{E}$ is the colimit of the diagram of the A_α together with the inclusions

$$\bar{\eta} \leftarrow \eta \xrightarrow{e} A_\alpha$$

for each e in E and each α . This colimit is the same as the colimit of the pushouts $A_\alpha \sqcup^E \bar{E} \rightarrow A_\alpha[\bar{E}]$. But $A_\alpha \sqcup^E \bar{E} \rightarrow A_\alpha[\bar{E}]$ is a weak equivalence for each α , see (27), and $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = \operatorname{colim}_\alpha A_\alpha[\bar{E}]$ is again the homotopy colimit of the corresponding Reedy cofibrant diagram. Therefore, the weak equivalences $A_\alpha \sqcup^E \bar{E} \rightarrow A_\alpha[\bar{E}]$ for different α yield the one in the lemma. \square

A.3. Segal condition. Consider trees S_1, \dots, S_n and a further tree T . Let d be an inner edge in T . Cutting the tree T at d results in two trees T^d and T_d of which $T = T^d \circ_d T_d$ is a grafting.

A.3.1. Proposition. *The map*

$$S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes (T^d \cup T_d) \rightarrow S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes T$$

is a weak equivalence in the operadic model structure on \mathbf{dSet} .

Proof. The claim is known to hold if all the trees are open, see [HHM], Lemma 6.3.5. The general case immediately follows from the lemma above, at least if d itself is not a stump in T . If it is, $T_d = \bar{\eta}$ and $T = T^d[\bar{\eta}]$, and the map in the proposition is

$$S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes (T^d \sqcup^d \bar{d}) \rightarrow S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes T.$$

But in this case

$$S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes (T^d \sqcup^d \bar{d}) \cong (S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \otimes T^d) \sqcup^D \bar{D}$$

where $D = \{(e_1, \dots, e_n, d) \mid e_i \text{ is a leaf in } S_i\}$, and the proposition becomes a special case of the lemma again. \square

A.4. Associativity. The tensor product of dendroidal sets is not associative. For example, consider trees R, S and T . If $S \otimes T$ is a union of shuffles, say, $S \otimes T = \bigcup A_j$, then $R \otimes (S \otimes T) = \bigcup R \otimes A_j$ is a union of only a subset of shuffles making up $R \otimes S \otimes T$, see [HM], Section 4.4. More generally, if $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$ as above, then the map

$$(29) \quad S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (S_i \otimes \dots \otimes S_j) \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \longrightarrow S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$$

is an inclusion of the form $\bigcup_{k \in K} A_k \rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$ where $K \subseteq J$.

A.4.1. Proposition. *Let S_1, \dots, S_n be trees. Then for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the map (29) is a weak equivalence, and similarly for more nested bracketings.*

Proof. This map is a weak equivalence (in fact, inner anodyne) if all the S_i are open, and the same holds for more nested bracketings, see [HHM], Lemma 6.3.6. So for general trees S_1, \dots, S_n the map

$$S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes (S_i^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_j^\circ) \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ \longrightarrow S_1^\circ \otimes \dots \otimes S_n^\circ$$

is a weak equivalence. As before, we can write this map as $\operatorname{colim} A_\beta \rightarrow \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha$ where $\beta \subseteq K$ and $\alpha \subseteq J$ are nonempty subsets, and the colimits are the colimits of Reedy cofibrant diagrams. Taking the pushout along $\sqcup_E \eta \rightarrow \sqcup_E \bar{\eta}$ for E as before yields the top map in the diagram

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{colim} A_\beta \sqcup^E \bar{E} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha \sqcup^E \bar{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{colim} A_\beta[\bar{E}] & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim} A_\alpha[\bar{E}] \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are colimits of grafting weak equivalences of the form (27), so the bottom map is a weak equivalence as well. But this is precisely the map in the proposition. \square

REFERENCES

- [B] C. Barwick, From operator categories to higher operads, *Geom. Topol.* 22(2018), 1893–1959.
- [CG] G. Caviglia, J.J. Gutierrez, On Morita weak equivalences of simplicial algebraic theories and operads, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 224 (2020).
- [CHH] H. Chu, R. Haugseng, G. Heuts, Two models for the homotopy theory of operads, *Journal of Topology* 11(4) (2018) 856–872.
- [CM] D.-C. Cisinski, I. Moerdijk, Dendroidal Segal spaces and ∞ -operads, *J. Topol.* 6 (2013), no. 3, 675–704.
- [D] D. Dugger, Combinatorial model categories have presentations. *Adv. Math.* 164 (2001), no. 1, 177–201.
- [HHM] G. Heuts, V. Hinich, I. Moerdijk, On the equivalence between Lurie’s model and the dendroidal model of the ∞ -operads, *Adv. Math.* 302 (2016), 869–1043.
- [HM] G. Heuts, I. Moerdijk, Simplicial and Dendroidal homotopy theory, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, to appear.
- [H.EY] V. Hinich, Yoneda lemma for enriched ∞ -categories, *Adv. Math.* 367 (2020), 107129, 119 pp.
- [H.L] V. Hinich, Dwyer-Kan localization, revisited, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 18 (2016), no. 1, 27–48.
- [H.Lec] V. Hinich, Lectures on ∞ -categories, preprint arXiv:1709.06271.
- [H.R] V. Hinich, Rectification of algebras and modules, *Doc. Math.* 2015, 879–926.
- [L.HA] J. Lurie, Higher algebra, available at the author’s homepage, <http://math.ias.lurie>, September 2017 version.
- [L.T] J. Lurie, Higher topos theory, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. xviii+925 pp.
- [MW] I. Moerdijk, I. Weiss, Dendroidal sets, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 7 (2007), 1441–1470.

- [NS] T. Nikolaus, P. Scholze, On topological cyclic homology, *Acta Math.* 221 (2018), no. 2, 203–409.
- [PS] D. Pavlov, J. Scholbach, Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads, *J. Topol.* 11 (2018), no. 3, 559–601.
- [R] C. Rezk, A model for the homotopy theory of the homotopy theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353 (2001), no. 3, 973–1007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL, HAIFA 3498838,
ISRAEL

Email address: `hinich@math.haifa.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTRECHT, BUDAPESTLAAN 8, UTRECHT,
NETHERLANDS

Email address: `i.moerdijk@uu.nl`