

# Modified entropies as the origin of generalized uncertainty principles

---

Nana Cabo Bizet,<sup>a</sup> Octavio Obregón,<sup>a</sup> Wilfredo Yupanqui.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Física, División de Ciencias e Ingenierías, Universidad de Guanajuato  
Loma del Bosque 103, León 37150, Guanajuato, México.*

*E-mail:* [nana@fisica.ugto.mx](mailto:nana@fisica.ugto.mx), [octavio@fisica.ugto.mx](mailto:octavio@fisica.ugto.mx),  
[w.yupanquicarpio@ugto.mx](mailto:w.yupanquicarpio@ugto.mx)

ABSTRACT: In a profound way entropy represents a concept and a measure of uncertainty. It has been shown that the uncertainty in Quantum Mechanics namely the Heisenberg principle, is a consequence of the entropic uncertainty principle. Additionally in several theoretical frameworks the Heisenberg uncertainty principle needs to be extended to a Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) to describe the quantum effects of gravity. GUP emerges from considerations in superstring theory, and also from a Gedankenexperiment of black-hole diffraction. Then, given that Heisenberg's uncertainty principle arises from the uncertainty in entropy, it is therefore natural to ask whether GUP can also be deduced from the entropic uncertainty when generalized entropies are considered. We work with generalized entropies presented in previous works, that depend only on the probability,  $S_{\pm}$ . We show that GUP is a consequence of the entropic principle associated with these statistics. Also, we analyze the corrections to the energy levels for the simple harmonic oscillator with this method (entropic GUP), where, those are the same as the ones found in the usual GUP literature. Different statistics lead to distinct commutation relations for the canonical variables. However, the corrections to the usual commutators show a universality with respect to the entropy measure, with corrections of the order of the Planck scale.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP)</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Usual uncertainty relation	7
2.2	Generalized uncertainty relation	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Superstatistics and modified Entropies depending only on the probability</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Logarithms and exponentials generalized	11
<b>4</b>	<b>A particle Hamiltonian in 1D</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1	The effective Hamiltonian	14
<b>5</b>	<b>Entropic GUP corrections to the harmonic oscillator</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Discussions and conclusions</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>19</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

Physical phenomena in the smaller scales are described by Quantum Mechanics, and our world is inherently unpredictable according to this theory. Heisenberg's uncertainty principle (Heisenberg 1927 [1]), implies that it is impossible to have a particle for which both position ( $q$ ) and momentum ( $p$ ) are sharply defined, in dramatic contrast with classical mechanics. In his heuristic uncertainty relation, Heisenberg foresaw the appropriate commutation relations between the operators of position and momentum, in the very early days of the conceptual developments of Quantum Mechanics. Based on the mathematical formalism of Quantum Mechanics (Kennard 1927 [2]) months after Heisenberg considered the quantities  $\sigma(q)$  and  $\sigma(p)$  defined as standard deviations of position and momentum respectively, and he also employed the mathematical inequality (considering  $\hbar = 1$ )

$$\sigma(q)\sigma(p) \geq 1/2. \tag{1.1}$$

Employing this relation, he was able to prove Heisenberg's proposal. The commutation relation associated to these quantities is given by

$$[q, p] = i. \tag{1.2}$$

Heisenberg principle can be applied to other pairs of observables, for example, the angle and the orbital angular momentum, and the orthogonal components of spin angular momentum. Actually for arbitrarily observables  $r$  and  $s$  the deviations are related as

$$\sigma(r)\sigma(s) \geq |\langle \psi | [r, s] | \psi \rangle|/2, \tag{1.3}$$

with  $[r, s]$  denoting the commutator, as Robertson showed [3]. This second expression is state-dependent and does not necessarily resolve in a constant as the first relation above, Eq. (1.1).

A more appropriate measure of uncertainty can be found connecting quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics. The connection between quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics is a fruitful arena, in which interesting features arise [4]. In particular, the Feynman path integral formulation for the partition function in quantum mechanics can be applied as well to explore partition functions in statistical mechanics. It is also noticeable that the uncertainty principle in Quantum Mechanics can be linked to an Entropy Uncertainty Principle. This has been seen in many contexts.

In recent years it has been argued that a more appropriate measure of uncertainty is the information entropy (Birula 1989) [5]. In this work it is argued that the standard deviation, Eq. (1.1), is not the best measure of uncertainty. The standard deviation is used a lot in the statistical analysis of experiments. It is a reasonable measure of the spread when the distribution in question is of a simple "hump" type. In particular, it is a very good characteristic for a Gaussian distribution since it measures the half-width of this distribution. However, when the distribution of the values has more than one hump or when it is not of any simple type, the standard deviation loses some of its usefulness especially in connection with the notion of uncertainty. Is possible to overcome the deficiencies of the traditional approach to uncertainty relations while still keeping intact the spirit of the Heisenberg ideas, using a very profound definition of uncertainty. Such definition comes from information theory.

This fundamental uncertainty relation is presented in reviews and articles, taking a different perspective (see page 5 of Coles [6]). This review surveys entropic uncertainty relations that capture Heisenberg's idea, which states that the results of incompatible

measurements are impossible to predict, covering both finite and infinite-dimensional measurements. These ideas are then extended to incorporate quantum correlations between the observed object and its environment, allowing for a variety of recent, more general formulations of the uncertainty principle. In particular Coles and co-authors [7] showed in a pedagogical calculation how we can deduce the Heisenberg uncertainty relation, from the entropic uncertainty relations given by

$$h(Q) + h(P) \geq \log(e\pi), \quad (1.4)$$

here  $h(Q)$  is the differential entropy [7]. They obtained the following relation for deviations:

$$\sigma(Q)\sigma(P) \geq 1/2, \quad (1.5)$$

in units with  $\hbar = 1$ . For this purpose they wrote the differential entropy as

$$h(Q) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(q) \log \Gamma(q) dq, \quad (1.6)$$

where a Gaussian probability distribution is assumed. The distribution reads

$$\Gamma(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma(Q)^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(q - \langle q \rangle)^2}{2\pi\sigma(Q)^2}\right). \quad (1.7)$$

Since Gaussian distributions maximize the entropy, the following inequality holds in general

$$h(Q) \leq \log \sqrt{2\pi e\sigma(Q)^2}. \quad (1.8)$$

The integration of Eq. (1.6) and further simple calculations conduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \log(2\pi e\sigma(Q)\sigma(P)) &= \log(\sqrt{2\pi e\sigma(Q)^2}) + \log(\sqrt{2\pi e\sigma(P)^2}) \geq h(Q) + h(P) \\ &\geq \log(e\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

This last expression gives Eq. (1.4) and Eq. (1.5). It is worth noting that Eq. (1.8) is a strict inequality if the distribution is non-Gaussian, and hence Eq. (1.4) is strictly stronger than Eq. (1.5) if the quantum state is non-Gaussian. While quantum mechanics textbooks often present Eq. (1.5) as the fundamental statement of the uncertainty principle, it is clear that Eq. (1.8) is stronger and yet not much more complicated. Furthermore, the entropic formulation is more robust, allowing the relationship to be easily generalized to situations involving correlations with the environment.

We will now discuss a generalization of Eq. (1.5), which is commonly known as the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) that was first obtained by Veneziano et al [8] and Scardigli, [9], already between 1986-1990. They studied the scattering of strings at very high energies in order to analyze the inconsistencies of quantum gravity at the Planck scale [9–11]. Another very interesting work is the one of M. Maggiore [10] he obtained a GUP expression by proposing a Gedankenexperiment for the measurement of the area of the apparent horizon of a black hole in quantum gravity. This independent approach results in a GUP which agrees in its functional form with the result obtained in string theory. The idea of GUP arises from the existence of a minimum measurable length [12]. In fact, such a minimal length arises in different contexts, for example from string theory [8, 13], loop quantum gravity [14, 15], and thought experiments in black hole physics [9, 10]. These common characteristics of several theories of quantum gravity led to a phenomenological model consisting of a modification of the uncertainty principle. Such a model is known as GUP. It has been the subject of many studies, in the attempt to use it as a signature of Quantum Gravity, and has been compared with known phenomena and theories of modified gravity. A version of this model considers a modification of the Heisenberg algebra [16–18] to reproduce, via the Schrödinger-Robertson uncertainty relation, the desired minimal length. Notice that this modification can also be thought of as a modified quantization rule. The effects of considering GUP are predicted to be important in systems with energies near the Planck scale. A particularly relevant example of such systems is the very early universe, in which quantum effects of gravity are expected to be dominant. Also, is possible to apply the GUP concepts to other more common systems with scales different to the Planck scale, as it was done for example [19].

We know that it is possible to obtain the Heisenberg uncertainty relation from entropic principles, therefore the commutation relation between operators. Now we question whether it is possible to obtain a generalized uncertainty relation, or what is the same, a modified Heisenberg algebra, when considering generalized entropies. In this work we consider this question and the answer appears by connecting quantum mechanics with Superstatistics.

Since the seminal work by Shannon [20] about an information entropy to quantify predictability in a stochastic process, several other measures of information have been proposed in the literature [21–25]. By maximizing these information measures [26], their corresponding probability distributions can be calculated. Some of these generalized information and entropy measures and their potential physical applications have been discussed elsewhere [27]. In their work Beck and Cohen [28, 29] considered nonequi-

librium systems with a long-term stationary state that possess a spatio-temporally fluctuating intensive quantity. They have shown that after averaging over the fluctuations one can obtain not only non-extensive statistical mechanics [30] but an infinite set of more general statistics, named "Superstatistics". In their work they selected the temperature as the fluctuating quantity among various possible intensive quantities (e.g., chemical potential or energy dissipation) and showed for general distributions  $f(\beta)$ , how to get an effective Boltzmann factor

$$B(E) = \int_0^\infty d\beta f(\beta) e^{-\beta E}. \quad (1.10)$$

where  $E$  is the energy of a microstate associated with each of the considered cells. The ordinary Boltzmann factor is recovered for  $f(\beta) = \delta(\beta - \beta_0)$ . One can, however, consider other distributions for the temperature that will lead to a given corresponding Boltzmann factors. The  $\Gamma(\chi^2)$ , log-normal, and F-distributions were studied in this context as well as their corresponding Boltzmann factors. The analysis of these  $B(E)$  showed that all these statistics present the same behavior for small variance of the fluctuations [28]. An extended discussion exists in the literature analyzing the possible viability of this kind of model to explain several physical phenomena [27, 31, 32].

In the last decades also different entropy functionals have been explored to describe non-equilibrium phenomena [33]. Most of them constitute non-extensive entropies. In particular, in [34] entropies that only depend on the probabilities have been studied, those were named  $S_\pm$ . The question arises whether modifications to the entropy could also affect the Heisenberg uncertainty relations. There are clues that this is the case in the modified quantum equations obtained from non-extensive statistics. In particular, the wave functions have distributions arising from the different statistics. Also more recently the concept of Quantropy which deepens the correspondence between quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics gives light to this connection. The corrections to the BG statistics given by  $S_\pm$  entropies are relevant in the quantum regime, as can be seen in [35] [36] and [37]. In order to follow [6] we would need modified Fourier relations, which imply generalized exponentials and logarithms. However here we would take a different approach, in which we construct an effective Hamiltonian from the non-extensive probability distribution, in the same way we define an effective momentum in terms of the momentum at low energies or usual momentum. Using the effective momentum we find a modified commutation relation, which implies a modified uncertainty relation, obtained from entropic principles. In [38] it was shown that the effective Hamiltonian for the  $S_+$  distribution produces the presence of an effective repulsive force component.

On the other hand there exists an ample exploration on the Generalized Uncertainty Principle literature with origins on quantum gravity theories [13, 39, 40]. Those are independent explorations, however it is motivating to determine whether different statistics could cause the effect of having some of the observed modified uncertainty relations. In particular the relations obtained with cutoff scale at  $M_{pl}$  provide characteristic modifications (with a certain order of magnitude), which can be employed for comparison.

In section 2 we discuss briefly the principal characteristics of the Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP). In section 3 we review the basic concepts associated with the modified distributions for  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  and define the generalized exponential associated with the non-extensive probability distribution. In section 4 we define the dimensionless Hamiltonian and compute the effective Hamiltonian and employ it to determine modified commutation relations between the coordinates and the high-energy momenta. In section 5 we consider a GUP-induced perturbation of the harmonic oscillator, computing perturbed energy eigenvalues. In section 6 we summarize our work and comment on potential applications.

## 2 Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP)

The existence of a minimal observable length has long been suggested in quantum gravity as well as in string theory. In this context, a generalized uncertainty relation has been derived which quantum theoretically describes the minimal length as a minimal uncertainty in position measurements [16].

Even if a full description of quantum gravity is not yet available, there are some general features that seem to go hand in hand with all promising candidates for such a theory. One of them, in the realm of string theory, is the need for a higher-dimensional space-time, and another is the existence of a minimal length scale. The scale at which the gauge running coupling constants unify together with quantum gravity is likely to occur at the so called Planck scale. At this scale, the quantum effects of gravitation get as important as those of the electroweak and strong interactions, in their regions of interest.

In perturbative string theory, the feature of a fundamental minimal length scale arises from the fact that strings cannot probe distances smaller than the string scale. If the energy of a string reaches the Planck mass  $m_p$ , excitations of the string can occur and cause a non-zero extension. Due to this, uncertainty in position measurement can never become smaller than  $l_p = 1/m_p$  [41].

## 2.1 Usual uncertainty relation

In standard quantum mechanics, translations in space are generated by momentum coordinates  $p_i$ . However, for purely dimensional reasons, the generator of the translations in space is the wave vector  $k_i$ . The relation between  $k_i$  and  $p_i$  is usually given by the constant  $\hbar$  (often chosen to be equal one) is given by

$$p_i = \hbar k_i. \quad (2.1)$$

The usual commutation relations between position operator  $\hat{x}_i$  and wave vector operator  $\hat{k}_i$  is given by (from now on these quantities will be known as low-energy quantities)

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{k}_j] = i\delta_{ij}, \quad (2.2)$$

in the position representation,  $\hat{x}_i = x_i$ , the quantization leads to

$$\hat{k}_i = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \hat{p}_i = \hbar \hat{k}_i = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (2.3)$$

and in the momentum representation,  $\hat{p}_i = p_i$ , the commutation relation is fulfilled by

$$\hat{x}_i = i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = i\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial k_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}. \quad (2.4)$$

The general relation for the root mean square deviations for the expectation values of two arbitrary operators is shown in Eq. (1.3). Then, for position and momentum, one obtains the well-know uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x_i \Delta p_i \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

## 2.2 Generalized uncertainty relation

In order to implement the notion of a minimal uncertainty or minimal length  $L_x$ , let us now suppose that one can increase  $p$  arbitrarily, but that  $k$  has an upper bound. This effect will show up when  $p$  approaches a certain scale  $M_x$ . The physical interpretation of this is that particles could not possess arbitrarily small Compton wavelengths  $\lambda = 2\pi/k$  and that arbitrarily small scales could not be resolved anymore.

To incorporate this behaviour, we assume a relation  $k = k(p)$ , which can also be written as  $p = p(k)$ , between  $p$  and  $k$  which is an uneven function (because of parity). The quantization of these relations is straightforward. The commutators between  $\hat{k}$  and  $\hat{x}$  remain in the standard form given by Eq. (2.2). Inserting the functional relation

between the wave vector and the momentum then yields the modified commutator. In the momentum representation, we have

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = \left[ i \frac{d}{dk}, p(k) \right] = i \frac{d}{dk} p(k). \quad (2.6)$$

This results in the generalized uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\langle \frac{dp(k)}{dk} \right\rangle \right|. \quad (2.7)$$

In one dimension the simplest generalized uncertainty relation which implies the appearance of a nonzero minimal uncertainty  $\Delta x_0$  in the position has the form [16]

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2} [1 + \alpha (\Delta p)^2], \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\alpha$  is called the GUP parameter or deformed parameter. The commutation relation for this generalized uncertainty relation is

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i (1 + \alpha p^2). \quad (2.9)$$

Comparing Eq. (2.6) and Eq. (2.9) we find that Eq. (2.6) translates to the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dk} p(k) = (1 + \alpha p^2), \quad (2.10)$$

and solving this differential equation one obtains a functional relation between high-energy and low-energy momenta,  $p$  and  $k$  respectively [42]

$$p(k) = \frac{\tan(\sqrt{\alpha} k)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, \quad (2.11)$$

expanding  $\tan(\sqrt{\alpha} k)$  and approximating to the first order in  $\alpha$ , considering that  $\alpha \ll 1$ , permit us express Eq. (2.11) as a serie

$$p = k + \frac{\alpha}{3} k^3 \dots, \quad (2.12)$$

so, Eq. (2.9) can be write as follows

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}(k)] = i (1 + \alpha k^2 + \dots). \quad (2.13)$$

Precision data available on the energy levels can be used to constrain the scale of the new physics. Writing,  $\alpha = \alpha^0 / M_x^2$ ,  $\alpha^0$  is a dimensionless constant. Near to Planck scale,  $M_x$  becomes the Planck mass  $M_{Pl}$ , and the parameter  $\alpha^0$  of the order of unity.

Another modification in the Heisenberg algebra is presented in [15]. In order to find the effective GUP-modified dynamics for black hole interior, a minimal uncertainty in momenta is imposed, and this modifies the classical algebra of variables using the following uncertainty relations

$$\Delta b \Delta p_b \geq \frac{G\gamma}{2} [1 + \beta_b (\Delta b)^2], \quad (2.14)$$

$$\Delta c \Delta p_c \geq G\gamma [1 + \beta_c (\Delta c)^2], \quad (2.15)$$

here the set of variables  $(b, p_b, c, p_c)$  is known as the Ashtekar variables, being  $b, c$  the connections and  $p_b, p_c$  the momenta. The quantities  $\beta_b$  and  $\beta_c$  are known as deformed parameters and are required to have negative values to resolve the singularity of the Schwarzschild black hole. Also,  $G$  is the gravitational constant, and  $\gamma$  is the Immirzi parameter. The corresponding commutation relations are

$$[b, p_b] = iG\gamma (1 + \beta_b b^2), \quad (2.16)$$

$$[c, p_c] = i2G\gamma (1 + \beta_c c^2). \quad (2.17)$$

The Doubly Special Relativity (DSR) theories on the other hand, also suggest a modification to the commutators. These commutators, that are consistent with string theory, black holes physics, DSR, and which ensure  $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0 = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j]$  (via the Jacobi identity), take the following form [43]

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \left( \delta_{ij} - \lambda \left( p \delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p} \right) + \lambda^2 (p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j) \right), \quad (2.18)$$

in one dimension the modified uncertainty relation is

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle}} + 4\lambda^2 \right) \Delta p^2 + 4\lambda^2 \langle p \rangle^2 - 2\lambda \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle} \right], \quad (2.19)$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda_0 / M_{Pl}$ .

### 3 Superstatistics and modified Entropies depending only on the probability

In this section, we describe the origin of the entropies  $S_{\pm}$ , their connection with Superstatistics and their functional form. Furthermore, we will define generalized functions related to them, as logarithms and exponentials.

In the framework of superstatistics, it is possible to calculate the entropy of non-extensive statistical mechanics. We follow a similar procedure considering a  $\Gamma(\chi^2)$

distribution depending on  $\beta$  that also depends on a parameter  $p_l$ . From it, we calculate the Boltzmann factor and show that it is possible to obtain the information entropy. By this information measure,  $p_l$  is calculated as a function of  $\beta E_l$  and, at this stage,  $p_l$  can be identified with the corresponding probability distribution.

We begin by assuming a  $\Gamma$  (or  $\chi^2$ ) inverse temperature  $\beta$  distribution depending on a parameter  $p_l$ , to be identified with the probability associated with the microscopic configuration of the system. We can write these parameter  $p_l - \Gamma$  distribution as

$$f_{p_l}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta_0 p_l \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p_l}\right)} \left(\frac{\beta}{p_l \beta_0}\right)^{\frac{1-p_l}{p_l}} e^{-\beta/p_l \beta_0}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\beta_0$  is the average inverse temperature. From it, one can get an effective Boltzmann factor from Eq. (1.10), and performing an integration over  $\beta$  yields the generalized Boltzmann factor

$$B_{p_l}(E) = (1 + p_l \beta_0 E)^{-\frac{1}{p_l}}. \quad (3.2)$$

This expression can be expanded for small  $p_l \beta_0 E$ , to get

$$B_{p_l}(E) = e^{-\beta_0 E} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} p_l \beta_0^2 E^2 - \frac{1}{3} p_l^2 \beta_0^3 E^3 + \dots \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

Following [34, 44], we present the procedure to obtain the entropy corresponding to the  $f(\beta)$  distribution Eq. (3.1) and to its associated generalized Boltzmann factor Eq. (3.2). We begin by defining the entropy  $S = k \sum_{l=1}^{\Omega} s(p_l)$  in terms of a generic  $s(p_l)$ , where  $p_l$  can be considered at this moment an arbitrary parameter; considering for  $s(x) = -x \ln x$  the Shannon entropy is recovered. As shown in [44] it is possible to express  $s(x)$  and a generic internal energy  $u(x)$  in terms of integrals of a function  $E(y)$  that is obtained from the Boltzmann factor of interest  $B(E)$ . By these means  $s(x)$  and  $u(x)$  can be written as

$$s(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\alpha + E(y)}{1 - \frac{E(y)}{E^*}} dy \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$u(x) = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{E^*}\right) \int_0^x \frac{dy}{1 - \frac{E(y)}{E^*}}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $E(y)$  is to be identified with the inverse function of  $B_{p_l}(E)/\int_0^\infty dE' B_{p_l}(E')$ . In our case, the starting points are the distribution Eq. (3.1) and the Boltzmann factor Eq. (3.2).  $E(y)$  and  $E^*$  are given by

$$E(y) = \frac{y^{-x} - 1}{x}, \quad E^* = -\frac{1}{x}. \quad (3.6)$$

A straightforward calculation gives for  $u(x)$  and  $s(x)$

$$u(x) = x^{x+1}, \quad s(x) = 1 - x^x. \quad (3.7)$$

By these means the entropy results in

$$S_+ = k \sum_l (1 - p_l^{p_l}) \quad (3.8)$$

where  $k$  is the conventional constant and  $\sum_{l=1}^{\Omega} p_l = 1$ . If we change  $p_l$  by  $-p_l$  in Eq. (3.1), another entropy can be obtained. And following the same procedure that was used to find  $S_+$ , we obtain

$$S_- = k \sum_l (p_l^{-p_l} - 1), \quad (3.9)$$

To review in detail the generalized entropies that depend only on the probability, the reader can consult the article [45].

### 3.1 Logarithms and exponentials generalized

We consider two nonparametric, non-extensive entropies originally derived in the domain of Superstatistics, these are defined in terms of generalized logarithms  $\log^{\pm}$

$$S_{\pm}(X) = - \sum_i^N p(x_i) \log^{\pm}(p(x_i)). \quad (3.10)$$

where the states  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  give rise to the probabilities  $p(x_1), \dots, p(x_N)$ .

$$\log^+(x) = - \frac{1 - x^x}{x} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\log^-(x) = - \frac{x^{-x} - 1}{x}, \quad (3.12)$$

From such definitions, it becomes clear that the functions  $\log^{\pm}$  do not comply with the three laws of logarithms. Additionally, the corresponding inverse functions of the generalized logarithms do not have a closed-form, however they do possess solutions in series. We can also consider a numerical representation of these functions. These functions have been built as <sup>1</sup>

$$\exp_{\pm}(-x) = \exp(-x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^{\pm} x^j, \quad (3.13)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This Ansatz is a variation over the usual probability distribution. Also as it was mentioned earlier, with this Ansatz one can find a recurrent series solution of the generalized entropy constraint equations, with a given radius of convergence. Therefore we also propose the Ansatz as a fit to the constraint equations, which is valid in certain region, and offers a small mean square deviation from the exact probability.

and the value of the first coefficients  $a_j^\pm$  are presented in the table 1.

	$a_j^+$	$a_j^-$
j = 4	0.0145328	0.0012198
j = 3	-0.169166	-0.0307573
j = 2	0.475735	0.218769
j = 1	0.0133614	-0.507754
j = 0	1	1

**Table 1.** List of first values of the coefficients  $a_j^\pm$  from the series solutions. This is obtained as a recurrent solution.

Associated with the exponential Eq. (3.13) one can write the corresponding probabilities. It is possible to expand Eq. (3.13) only in terms of the power series of  $x$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\pm}^{-x} = & 1 - (1 - a_1^\pm)x + \frac{1}{2!} (1 - 2a_1^\pm + 2a_2^\pm) x^2 - \\
& \frac{1}{3!} (1 - 3a_1^\pm + 6a_2^\pm - 6a_3^\pm) x^3 + \frac{1}{4!} (1 - 4a_1^\pm + 12a_2^\pm - 24a_3^\pm + 24a_4^\pm) x^4 - \\
& \frac{1}{5!} (1 - 5a_1^\pm + 20a_2^\pm - 60a_3^\pm + 120a_4^\pm - 120a_5^\pm) x^5 + \\
& \frac{1}{6!} (1 - 6a_1^\pm + 30a_2^\pm - 120a_3^\pm + 360a_4^\pm - 720a_5^\pm + 720a_6^\pm) x^6 + \dots . \quad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain detailed information on the points discussed here go to the work [46].

## 4 A particle Hamiltonian in 1D

In this section, we find the effective Hamiltonian from the non-extensive probability and from this a high-energy momentum with which is possible to compute the modified commutation relation between effective momenta and position for a one-dimensional system. The energetic scale in the Hamiltonian is  $M_x$ , such that the dimensions  $H = M_x \bar{H}$  and  $[H] = M_x, [\bar{H}] = 1$ . In many works, the notation  $\bar{H}$  represents the average value of an observable  $H$ , but in this work denoted a dimensionless quantity.

The full-dimension low-energy Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x), \quad (4.1)$$

now, we assume the relation  $p = p(k)$ , where  $k$  is the wave vector. The relation most known between these quantities is  $p = \hbar k$  (with  $\hbar = 1$ ), therefore the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4.1) becomes in

$$H = \frac{k^2}{2m} + V(x), \quad (4.2)$$

Now, from Eq. (4.1) we can define a dimensionless Hamiltonian  $\bar{H}$ , this is possible by dividing the Hamiltonian  $H$  by a scale parameter  $M_x$  with the dimension of energy

$$\bar{H} = \frac{H}{M_x} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{M_x} \right)^2 + \frac{V(x)}{M_x} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}^2 + \bar{V}(x), \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\bar{p}$  and  $\bar{V}$  are dimensionless quantities. In this work we are considering the light velocity as  $c = 1$ , therefore,  $M_x$  is a mass parameter. The dimensionless low-energy momentum operator in space coordinate is defined by

$$\hat{\bar{p}} = \frac{\hat{k}}{M_x} = -\frac{i}{M_x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial (M_x x)} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}, \quad (4.4)$$

as before, we can define the dimensionless coordinate

$$\bar{x} = M_x x, \quad (4.5)$$

and in the momentum representation we have that

$$\hat{\bar{x}} = i M_x \frac{\partial}{\partial k} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \left( \frac{1}{M_x} k \right)} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}}, \quad (4.6)$$

also, from Eq. (4.4) we can define a dimensionless wave vector, that in the position representation becomes a differential operator

$$\hat{\bar{k}} = \frac{1}{M_x} \hat{k} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}. \quad (4.7)$$

These dimensionless operators satisfy a commutation relation similar to that satisfied by full dimension coordinate and momentum operators, as seen in Eq. (2.2), e.i,

$$\left[ \hat{\bar{x}}, \hat{\bar{k}} \right] = i. \quad (4.8)$$

The commutation relation between the coordinate operator and a function of the momentum operator is expressed as [47]

$$\left[ \hat{x}, \hat{F}(k) \right] = i F'(k). \quad (4.9)$$

where  $F'(k)$  is the derivative of the function  $F(k)$  with respect to  $k$ . Here,  $\hat{F}$  is an operator-valued polynomial or formal series in  $\hat{k}$ . The derivative on the right-hand side has to be taken with respect to  $k$  and then to be quantized. A similar relation is valid for the dimensionless operators

$$\left[ \hat{x}, \hat{F}(\bar{k}) \right] = iF'(\bar{k}). \quad (4.10)$$

Now, the potential function  $\bar{V}(x) = V(\bar{x})$  depends only on the dimensionless coordinate, therefore, this commutes with the dimensionless coordinate  $\bar{x}$ . This result is similar to that obtained for full dimension operators, as can be seen in [48].

#### 4.1 The effective Hamiltonian

The probability of a state is given in terms of the dimensionless Hamiltonian by

$$P_{\pm} = e_{\pm}^{-\bar{H}} = e_{\pm}^{-H/M_x}, \quad (4.11)$$

and from Eq. (3.13)

$$P_{\pm} = \exp(-\bar{H}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_j^{\pm} \bar{H}^j, \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\bar{H}$  is the dimensionless usual Hamiltonian,  $[\bar{H}] = 1$ , the coefficients  $[a_j^{\pm}] = 1$  are also dimensionless. On the other hand, we consider the Ansatz that the effect of a different statistics in a given system can be casted in the usual statistics and an effective Hamiltonian [38]

$$P_{\pm} = \exp(-\bar{H}_{\pm}) = \exp(-\bar{H}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^{\pm} \bar{H}^j, \quad (4.13)$$

being  $\bar{H}_{\pm}$  the dimensionless effective Hamiltonian,  $[\bar{H}_{\pm}] = 1$ . With the help of Eq. (3.14) and using the ansatz in Eq. (4.13) the effective Hamiltonian can be expressed in the terms of the low energy dimensionless Hamiltonian  $\bar{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_{\pm} = & (1 - a_1^{\pm}) \bar{H} + \frac{1}{2} \left( (a_1^{\pm})^2 - 2a_2^{\pm} \right) \bar{H}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left( - (a_1^{\pm})^3 + 3a_1^{\pm} a_2^{\pm} - 3a_3^{\pm} \right) \bar{H}^3 + \\ & \frac{1}{4} \left( (a_1^{\pm})^4 - 4(a_1^{\pm})^2 a_2^{\pm} + 2(a_2^{\pm})^2 + 4a_1^{\pm} a_3^{\pm} - 4a_4^{\pm} \right) \bar{H}^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Now, if we consider for simplicity the potential function  $V(\hat{x}) = 0$  in Eq. (4.3), one obtains from Eq. (4.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_{\pm} = & \frac{1}{2} (1 - a_1^{\pm}) \bar{k}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left( (a_1^{\pm})^2 - 2a_2^{\pm} \right) \bar{k}^4 + \frac{1}{24} \left( - (a_1^{\pm})^3 + 3a_1^{\pm} a_2^{\pm} - 3a_3^{\pm} \right) \bar{k}^6 + \\ & \frac{1}{64} \left( (a_1^{\pm})^4 - 4(a_1^{\pm})^2 a_2^{\pm} + 2(a_2^{\pm})^2 + 4a_1^{\pm} a_3^{\pm} - 4a_4^{\pm} \right) \bar{k}^8 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

On the other hand, we can suppose that the Hamiltonian  $H$  can be written in terms of an effective momentum, in the usual way

$$\bar{H}_\pm = \frac{\bar{p}_\pm^2}{2}, \quad (4.16)$$

these momenta are functions of  $\bar{k}$ , as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}_\pm = & \sqrt{1 - a_1^\pm \bar{k}} + \frac{\left( (a_1^\pm)^2 - 2a_2^\pm \right)}{8\sqrt{1 - a_1^\pm}} \bar{k}^3 + \\ & \frac{13 (a_1^\pm)^4 - 16 (a_1^\pm)^3 - 36 (a_1^\pm)^2 a_2^\pm + 48a_1^\pm (a_2^\pm + a_3^\pm) - 12 \left( (a_2^\pm)^2 + 4a_3^\pm \right)}{384 (1 - a_1^\pm)^{3/2}} \bar{k}^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Let us adopt a more convenient normalization for the momentum, such that the coefficient in the first term is 1

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}_\pm = & \bar{k} + \frac{\left( (a_1^\pm)^2 - 2a_2^\pm \right)}{8 (1 - a_1^\pm)} \bar{k}^3 + \\ & \frac{13 (a_1^\pm)^4 - 16 (a_1^\pm)^3 - 36 (a_1^\pm)^2 a_2^\pm + 48a_1^\pm (a_2^\pm + a_3^\pm) - 12 \left( (a_2^\pm)^2 + 4a_3^\pm \right)}{384 (1 - a_1^\pm)^2} \bar{k}^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

The commutation relation between  $\hat{p}_\pm$  and  $\hat{x}$ , can be calculated using the Eq. (4.10)

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_\pm] = i \left( 1 + \frac{3 \left( (a_1^\pm)^2 - 2a_2^\pm \right)}{8 (1 - a_1^\pm)} \bar{k}^2 + \dots \right). \quad (4.19)$$

It is then possible to express this equation in its full dimensional form, remembering our notation in Eq. (4.4) and Eq. (4.5)

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_\pm] = i (1 + \alpha_\pm k^2 + \dots), \quad (4.20)$$

where, we denoted  $\alpha_\pm = \alpha_\pm^0 / M_x^2$ , and

$$\alpha_\pm^0 = \frac{3 \left( (a_1^\pm)^2 - 2a_2^\pm \right)}{8 (1 - a_1^\pm)}. \quad (4.21)$$

Eq. (4.20) represents a modified Heisenberg algebra similar to Eq. (2.13), with the difference that Eq. (4.20) is obtained from entropic principles and Eq. (2.13) is a phenomenological model proposed in quantum gravity theories.

With this new notation the effective momenta in Eq. (4.18) becomes in

$$p_{\pm} = k + \frac{\alpha_{\pm}}{3}k^3 + \dots \quad (4.22)$$

Comparing this expression with Eq. (2.12), we can see that both have the same functional relation with the low-energy momentum  $k$ , as expected.

We can use the convention  $L_x = 1/M_x$  (similar to [41]), where  $L_x$  represents the minimal length and  $M_x$  is the fundamental scale of the theory. Therefore we conclude that for a given physical situation a distinct statistics for a system with a Hamiltonian at a certain scale  $M_x$  will imply a modified commutation relation between position and momentum at the given scale  $M_x$ . Lets us mention that for example for the electro-weak interactions  $M_x = M_{EW} = 246 GeV$ , for the strong interactions  $M_x = M_{strong}$ , for the Grand Unification Scale  $M_x = M_{GUT} = 10^{16} GeV$  and for the Planck Scale  $M_x = M_{Pl} = 10^{19} GeV$ .

Corrections to the usual commutation relations can be found for other probability distributions, arising from modified entropies as the Rényi and Tsallis entropies. In particular for the Tsallis one, the entropy maximization (subject to the usual constraints) one has  $\alpha_q = (1 - q)/M_x^2$ <sup>2</sup>.

## 5 Entropic GUP corrections to the harmonic oscillator

In this section, we discuss the operator formulation of a simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) in the framework of entropic GUP using low-energy momentum variables. The corrections to the harmonic oscillator model due to the usual GUP have been addressed mostly using perturbation theory [43, 49], also treated in phase space [50] and in the operator formalism by considering the appropriate form of the creation and annihilation operators [51]. In the present work, we use the stationary perturbation theory for the entropic GUP corrected SHO.

Using the model in Eq. (4.20) and considering the physical position  $x$  and momentum  $p_{\pm}$  in terms of the low-energy quantities,  $x_0$  and  $k$ , respectively, we obtain  $x = x_0$  and Eq. (4.18). In order to obtain an analytical result, up to the second term is considered in Eq. (4.18). The modified harmonic-oscillator Hamiltonian is given as

$$H = H_0 + H' = \frac{k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2 + \frac{\alpha_{\pm}}{3m}k^4 + \dots, \quad (5.1)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Notice that the procedure employed can be applied to any statistics where coefficients  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are determined for the probability distribution.

where,

$$H_0 = \frac{k^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2, \quad H' = \frac{\alpha_{\pm}}{3m}k^4 + \dots, \quad (5.2)$$

here  $H_0$ , which is time-independent, is called the "unperturbed Hamiltonian" and  $H'$  is the correction due to entropic GUP.

Employing time-independent perturbation theory, the eigenvalues are shifted up to the first order of  $\alpha_{\pm}$  by

$$E_n^{(1)} = \langle \varphi_n | H' | \varphi_n \rangle, \quad (5.3)$$

where,  $\varphi_n$  are the eigenfunctions of the SHO. In Eq. (5.2) the  $k^4$  term will make a nonzero contribution to first order. The contribution of the  $k^4$  term is calculated using

$$E_n^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_{\pm}}{3m} \langle \varphi_n | k^4 | \varphi_n \rangle. \quad (5.4)$$

The expressions for the ket  $k|\varphi_n\rangle$  is [47]

$$\begin{aligned} k|\varphi_n\rangle &= \sqrt{m\omega} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (a^\dagger - a) |\varphi_n\rangle \\ &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2}} \left[ \sqrt{n+1}|\varphi_{n+1}\rangle - \sqrt{n}|\varphi_{n-1}\rangle \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

applying  $k$  successive times we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{m\omega}\right)^2 k^4|\varphi_n\rangle &= \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4)}|\varphi_{n+4}\rangle - \\ &\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)(4n+6)}|\varphi_{n+2}\rangle + 3((n+1)^2 + n^2)|\varphi_n\rangle - \\ &\sqrt{n(n-1)(4n-2)}|\varphi_{n-2}\rangle + \sqrt{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}|\varphi_{n-4}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Now, considering the normalization of  $\varphi_n$ , we get the shift of the energy to the first order of perturbation

$$E_n^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_{\pm}m\omega^2}{2} \left( n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, in the case of a non-degenerate state  $E_n^{(0)}$ , the eigenvalue  $E_n(\beta_{\pm})$  of  $H$  which corresponds to  $E_n^{(0)}$  can be written, to first order in the perturbation  $H'$ , as

$$E_n(\alpha_{\pm}) = \omega \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha_{\pm}m\omega^2}{2} \left( n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + O(\beta_{\pm}^2). \quad (5.8)$$

The corrections to energy are the same obtained in usual GUP literature, for example [49, 50, 52, 53]. We obtained this correction from a fundamental entropic principle derived in a superstatistical context.

Thus, the ground state energy ( $n = 0$ ) is shifted by

$$E_0^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_{\pm} m \omega^2}{4}, \quad (5.9)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{E_0^{(1)}}{E_0^{(0)}} = \frac{\alpha_{\pm} m \omega}{2}. \quad (5.10)$$

There are some systems that can be represented by the Harmonic oscillator, as an example, we consider the heavy meson systems like charmonium [54]. The charm mass is  $m_c \approx 1.3 \text{ GeV}$  and the binding energy  $\omega$  of the system is roughly equal to the energy gap separating adjacent levels and is given by  $\omega \approx 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ . The correction due to entropic GUP can be calculated at first order in  $\alpha_{\pm}$ . Using Eq.(5.10), we found the shift in energy for the Planck Scale  $M_x = M_{Pl} = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$ . With the coefficients values in table 1 we found that  $\alpha_+^0 = -0.361565$  and  $\alpha_-^0 = 0.0278481$ , so

$$\frac{E_0^{(1)}}{E_0^{(0)}} = \frac{\alpha_+^0}{2} \frac{m \omega}{M_{Pl}^2} \approx -0.705 \times 10^{-39}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\frac{E_0^{(1)}}{E_0^{(0)}} = \frac{\alpha_-^0}{2} \frac{m \omega}{M_{Pl}^2} \approx 0.05 \times 10^{-39}, \quad (5.12)$$

These results were expected since the entropy correction  $S_+$  has a negative and positive sign for  $S_-$  [45].

In [43] it's used the commutators that are consistent with string theory, black holes physics, DSR, and which ensure  $[x_i, x_j] = 0 = [p_i, p_j]$ , these commutators have the form of Eq. (2.18). The corrections in the energy for simple harmonic oscillator due to Eq. (2.18), at Planck scale, is given by  $E_0^{(2)}/E_0^{(0)} \approx 2.7 \times 10^{-39} \alpha_0^2$ , assuming that  $\alpha_0 \sim 1$ , we observe that this result is basically equivalent to ours.

## 6 Discussions and conclusions

As already seen, from the entropic uncertainty relation (1.4), the Heisenberg uncertainty relation is obtained, when considering a Gaussian probability distribution (1.7). On the other hand, it was seen that the Heisenberg uncertainty relation is modified to consider quantum gravity effects (2.8), this modification is commonly known as GUP. This fact

motivated us to think that GUP could have as a possible origin the modified entropies. Therefore, we decided to look for generalized entropies that could lead us to a GUP.

We work with modified entropies that depend only on the probability distributions (3.10). These entropies belong to the domain of Superstatistics formulation. From these generalized entropies, we obtain an effective momentum  $p_{\pm}$  depending on the usual momentum  $k$ , as can be seen from the equation (4.22). This effective momentum is similar to the one obtained in equation (2.12) in the usual GUP. Therefore, when calculating the commutator between  $p_{\pm}$  and the low energy position  $x$  a modified Heisenberg commutation relation arises (4.20). These modified relations are of the same kind as the ones obtained in the standard GUP formalism (2.13). In this way, we show that it is possible to obtain GUP from entropic principles.

Additionally, we have investigated the corrections for the simple harmonic oscillator using our model (5.8). We found that these corrections are basically the same as found in the usual GUP literature. An interesting feature is that the GUP obtained from the generalized entropies describes effects that are relevant at the Planck scale, as seen in (5.11).

It would also be interesting to apply our formalism to other phenomena occurring at energies of the order of the Planck scale. For example one could study the problem of the black-hole singularity by employing GUP [15, 55]. Or we could also study other quantum mechanical systems, finding corrections to the energy levels (as to the system of the Hydrogen atom) and the Landau levels. There are a variety of problems where the entropic GUP could play a role.

## 7 Acknowledgements

OO thanks the support of CONACYT (National Council of Science and Technology, Mexico) Project 257919 "Teoría M-Topológica y Teoría de Matrices: Su relación con invariantes topológicos, gravitación y cosmología", University of Guanajuato (UG) Project CIIC 188/2019 and PRODEP (Professional Program for Teachers Development). NGCB would like to thank the support of CONACyT Project A-1-S-37752, "Teorías efectivas de cuerdas y sus aplicaciones a la física de partículas y cosmología" and PRODEP. NGCB and WY thank the support of the UG Project CIIC 264/2022 "Geometría de dimensiones extras en teoría de cuerdas y sus aplicaciones físicas". WY would like to thank the support granted by CONACYT.

## References

- [1] W. Heisenberg, *über den anschaulichen inhalt der quantentheoretischen kinematik und mechanik*, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **43** 172-198 (1927) .
- [2] Kennard, *Zur quantenmechanik einfacher bewegungstypen*, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **44** 326-352 (1927) .
- [3] H. P. Robertson, *The uncertainty principle*, *Phys. Rev.* **34** (1929) 163.
- [4] J. Baez and B. Pollard, *Quantropy*, *Entropy* **17** (2015) 772.
- [5] I. Białynicki-Birula, *Entropic uncertainty relations*, *Physics Letters A* **103** (1984) 253.
- [6] P. J. Coles, M. Berta, M. Tomamichel and S. Wehner, *Entropic uncertainty relations and their applications*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89** (2017) 015002.
- [7] P. J. Coles, M. Berta, M. Tomamichel and S. Wehner, *Entropic uncertainty relations and their applications*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89** (2017) 015002.
- [8] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Can spacetime be probed below the string size?*, *Physics Letters B* **216** (1989) 41.
- [9] F. Scardigli, *Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity from micro-black hole gedanken experiment*, *Physics Letters B* **452** (1999) 39.
- [10] M. Maggiore, *A generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity*, *Physics Letters B* **304** (1993) 65.
- [11] F. Scardigli, G. Lambiase and E. C. Vagenas, *Gup parameter from quantum corrections to the newtonian potential*, *Physics Letters B* **767** (2017) 242.
- [12] L. J. Garay, *Quantum gravity and minimum length*, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **10** (1995) 145.
- [13] D. J. Gross and P. F. Mende, *String theory beyond the planck scale*, *Nuclear Physics B* **303** (1988) 407.
- [14] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, *Discreteness of area and volume in quantum gravity*, *Nuclear Physics B* **442** (1995) 593.
- [15] P. Bosso, O. Obregón, S. Rastgoo and W. Yupanqui, *Deformed algebra and the effective dynamics of the interior of black holes*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **38** (2021) 145006.
- [16] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, *Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) 1108.
- [17] P. Bosso, *Rigorous hamiltonian and lagrangian analysis of classical and quantum theories with minimal length*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 126010.

- [18] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *Phenomenological implications of the generalized uncertainty principle this paper was presented at the theory canada 4 conference, held at centre de recherches mathématiques, montréal, québec, canada on 4-7 june 2008.*, *Canadian Journal of Physics* **87** (2009) 233 [<https://doi.org/10.1139/P08-105>].
- [19] R. Akhoury and Y.-P. Yao, *Minimal length uncertainty relation and the hydrogen spectrum*, *Physics Letters B* **572** (2003) 37.
- [20] C. E. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, *The Bell System Technical Journal* **27** (1948) 379.
- [21] A. Rényi, *Probability theory*, North-Holland series in applied mathematics and mechanics v. 10. Amsterdam, North-Holland Pub. Co., 1970, 1970.
- [22] G. Kaniadakis, *Statistical mechanics in the context of special relativity*, *Phys. Rev. E* **66** (2002) 056125.
- [23] C. Tsallis, *Possible generalization of boltzmann-gibbs statistics*, *J Stat Phys* **52** (1988) 479.
- [24] S. Abe, *A note on the q-deformation-theoretic aspect of the generalized entropies in nonextensive physics*, *Physics Letters A* **224** (1997) 326.
- [25] A. N. Gorban, P. A. Gorban and G. Judge, *Entropy: The markov ordering approach*, *Entropy* **12** (2010) 1145.
- [26] S. Abe, C. Beck and E. G. D. Cohen, *Superstatistics, thermodynamics, and fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev. E* **76** (2007) 031102.
- [27] C. Beck, *Generalised information and entropy measures in physics*, *Contemporary Physics* **50** (2009) 495 [<https://doi.org/10.1080/00107510902823517>].
- [28] C. Beck and E. Cohen, *Superstatistics*, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **322** (2003) 267.
- [29] E. Van der Straeten and C. Beck, *Superstatistical distributions from a maximum entropy principle*, *Phys. Rev. E* **78** (2008) 051101.
- [30] C. Tsallis, R. Mendes and A. Plastino, *The role of constraints within generalized nonextensive statistics*, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **261** (1998) 534.
- [31] G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, *Interpretation of the nonextensivity parameter q in some applications of tsallis statistics and lévy distributions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 2770.
- [32] H. Sakaguchi, *Fluctuation dissipation relation for a langevin model with multiplicative noise*, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **70** (2001) 3247.

- [33] C. Beck and E. Cohen, *Superstatistics*, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **322** (2003) 267.
- [34] O. Obregón, *Superstatistics and gravitation*, *Entropy* **12** (2010) 2067.
- [35] N. Cabo Bizet and O. Obregón, *Exploring the gauge/gravity duality of a generalized von neumann entropy*, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **133** (2018) .
- [36] A. Martínez-Merino, O. Obregón and M. P. Ryan, *Modified entropies, their corresponding newtonian forces, potentials, and temperatures*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 124031.
- [37] A. Martínez-Merino and M. Sabido, *On superstatistics and black hole quasinormal modes*, *Physics Letters B* **829** (2022) 137085.
- [38] O. Obregón, A. Gil and J. Torres, *Computer simulation of effective potentials for generalized boltzmann-gibbs statistics*, *J.Mol.Liq* **248** (2017) 364.
- [39] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Doubly-special relativity: First results and key open problems*, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **11** (2002) 1643.
- [40] G. L. S. Capozziello and G. Scarpetta, *Generalized uncertainty principle from quantumgeometry*, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **39** (2000) 15.
- [41] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, J. Ruppert, S. Scherer and H. Stöcker, *Signatures in the planck regime*, *Physics Letters B* **575** (2003) 85.
- [42] K. Abdelkhalek, W. Chemissany, L. Fiedler, G. Mangano and R. Schwonnek, *Optimal uncertainty relations in a modified heisenberg algebra*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 123505.
- [43] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *Proposal for testing quantum gravity in the lab*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 044013.
- [44] C. Tsallis and A. M. C. Souza, *Constructing a statistical mechanics for beck-cohen superstatistics*, *Phys. Rev. E* **67** (2003) 026106.
- [45] O. Obregón and A. Gil-Villegas, *Generalized information entropies depending only on the probability distribution*, *Phys. Rev. E* **88** (2013) 062146.
- [46] J. Fuentes and O. Obregón, *On how generalised entropies without parameters impact information optimisation processes*, 2021.
- [47] F. L. Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, Bernard Diu, *Quantum Mechanics, Volume 1: Basic Concepts, Tools, and Applications*. John Wiley and Sons, 2 ed., 2020.
- [48] W. Greiner, *Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 4 ed., 2001.
- [49] P. Bosso, S. Das and R. B. Mann, *Planck scale corrections to the harmonic oscillator, coherent, and squeezed states*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 066008.

- [50] S. Das, M. P. Robbins and M. A. Walton, *Generalized uncertainty principle corrections to the simple harmonic oscillator in phase space*, *Canadian Journal of Physics* **94** (2016) 139 [<https://doi.org/10.1139/cjp-2015-0456>].
- [51] H. Verma, T. Mitra and B. P. Mandal, *Schwinger's model of angular momentum with GUP*, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **123** (2018) 30009.
- [52] I. Dadić, L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, *Harmonic oscillator with minimal length uncertainty relations and ladder operators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 087701.
- [53] K. Gemba, Z. T. Hlousek and Z. Papp, *Algebraic solution of the harmonic oscillator with minimal length uncertainty relations*, 2007. 10.48550/ARXIV.0712.2078.
- [54] J. Norbury, F. Badavi and L. Townsend, *Predicting charmonium and bottomonium spectra with a quark harmonic oscillator*, *American journal of physics* **54** (1986) 1031.
- [55] S. R. P. Bosso, O. Obregón and W. Yupanqui, *Quantum black hole and singularity resolution*, *In progress* .

This figure "box.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2206.14166v1>

This figure "frog.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2206.14166v1>

This figure "limit.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2206.14166v1>

This figure "wave.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2206.14166v1>