

## $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes: kernel and rank

Xuan Wang · Minjia Shi

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** A code  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is called  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -linear if it's the Gray image of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this paper, the rank and the dimension of the kernel of  $C$  are studied. Both of the codes  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$  and  $\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))$  are proven  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes, and their generator polynomials are determined. Finally, accurate values of rank and the dimension of the kernel of some classes of  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes are considered.

**Keywords**  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes, classification, kernel, rank

### 1 Introduction

Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$  be the rings of integers modulo  $p$  and  $p^k$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n$  be the space of  $n$ -tuples over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ , respectively. A  $p$ -ary code is a non-empty subset  $C$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ . If the subset is a vector space, then we say  $C$  is a linear code. Similarly, a non-empty subset  $C$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n$  is a linear code if  $C$  is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}^n$ .

In 1994, Hammons *et al.* [19] showed that some well-known codes can be seen as Gray images of linear codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Later, the study of cyclic codes and  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -codes develops. Specially, Calderbank *et al.* [12] gave the structure of cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ . Besides, Ling *et al.* [21] studied  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -linear codes, and characterized the linear cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  whose Gray images are linear cyclic codes.

In 1973, Delsarte [13] first defined and studied the additive codes in terms of association schemes. Borges *et al.* [10,8] studied the generator polynomials, dual codes and binary images of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes. Since then, a lot of work has been devoted to characterizing  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes. Dougherty *et al.* [15] constructed one weight  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes and analyzed their parameters.

---

X. Wang  
Anhui University, Hefei, China  
E-mail: wang\_xuan\_ah@163.com

M. Shi  
Anhui University, Hefei, China  
E-mail: smjwcl.good@163.com

Benbelkacem *et al.* [4] studied  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive complementary dual codes and their Gray images. In fact, these codes can be viewed as a generalization of the linear complementary dual (LCD for short) codes [22] over finite fields. Bernal *et al.* [5] introduced a decoding method of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. More structure properties of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes can be found in [6, 11].

Moreover, the additive codes over different mixed alphabet have also been intensely studied, for example,  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes [7],  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive codes [2],  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive codes [3],  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u, v]$ -additive codes [24],  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -additive codes [27] and  $\mathbb{Z}_p(\mathbb{Z}_p + u\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -additive codes [30], and so on. It is worth mentioning that  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes form an important family of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes, many optimal binary codes can be obtained from the images of this family of codes. In 2014, Abualrub *et al.* [1] discussed  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes. In 2021, Shi *et al.* [23] defined the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive quasi-cyclic codes and gave the conditions for the code to be self-dual and additive complementary dual (ACD for short), respectively. More details of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes can be found in [1, 8, 10].

In 2010, Fernández *et al.* [18] studied the rank and kernel of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes, where  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes are the images of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes under the generalized Gray map  $\Phi$ . Moreover, the rank and the kernel have been intensely studied, for example cyclic and negacyclic quaternary codes [14], generalized Hadamard codes [16], additive generalised Hadamard codes [17] and so on. Note that, in 2019, Borges *et al.* [9] studied the rank and the kernel of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes. In addition, in [18], the authors discussed the rank and kernel dimension of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. Later, Shi *et al.* [25] generated the results about rank and kernel to  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ .

It is a natural problem: what the results will be for  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes with an odd prime  $p$ ? Motivated by [9], [25] and [26], this paper is devoted to studying the kernel and the rank of  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the necessary concepts and properties on  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes and Gray maps. In Section 3 and Section 4, we study the kernel  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and the span  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively. In Section 5, the values of the rank and the dimension of the kernel of some codes are given. In Section 6, we discuss the difference between the results and general  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -linear codes.

## 2 Preliminaries

In the following sections, assume  $p$  an odd prime number and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ .

### 2.1 $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -Additive Cyclic Codes

In this subsection, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of length  $\alpha + \beta$ , which is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -**additive cyclic** code if for any codeword  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}'') = (u'_0, u'_1, \dots, u'_{\alpha-1}, u''_0, u''_1, \dots, u''_{\beta-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , its cyclic shift

$$\pi(\mathbf{u}) = (\pi(\mathbf{u}'), \pi(\mathbf{u}'')) = (u'_{\alpha-1}, u'_0, \dots, u'_{\alpha-2}, u''_{\beta-1}, u''_0, \dots, u''_{\beta-2})$$

is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

There exists a bijection between  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta$  and  $R_{\alpha,\beta} = R_\alpha \times R_\beta = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^\alpha - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]/(x^\beta - 1)$  given by

$$(u'_0, \dots, u'_{\alpha-1}, u''_0, \dots, u''_{\beta-1}) \mapsto (u'_0 + \dots + u'_{\alpha-1}x^{\alpha-1}, u''_0 + \dots + u''_{\beta-1}x^{\beta-1}).$$

Hence, any codeword of  $\mathcal{C}$  can be regarded as a vector or as a polynomial. Denote the  $p$ -ary reduction of a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$  by  $\bar{h} \equiv h \pmod{p}$ . Then define the following multiplication

$$h \star (c_1, c_2) = (\bar{h}c_1, hc_2),$$

where  $(c_1, c_2) \in R_{\alpha,\beta}$  and the products of the right side are the standard polynomial products in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^\alpha - 1)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]/(x^\beta - 1)$ .

Let  $\kappa_1$  be the dimension of the subcode  $\{(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$  and  $\kappa_2 = \kappa - \kappa_1$ . Similarly, let  $\delta_2$  be the dimension of the subcode  $\{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}\}$  whose codewords are all of order  $p^2$  and  $\delta_1 = \delta - \delta_2$ .

A  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code  $\mathcal{C}$  is called **separable** if  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{C}_Y$ . By definition, a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code is separable if and only if  $\kappa_2 = \delta_1 = 0$ ; that is  $\kappa = \kappa_1$  and  $\delta = \delta_2$ .

**Theorem 1** [3] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is permutation equivalent to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code with the canonical generator matrix of the form*

$$\mathcal{G}_S = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} I_\kappa & T' & pT_2 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & pT_1 & pI_{\gamma-\kappa} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & S' & S & R & I_\delta \end{array} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of size  $n \times n$ ;  $T'$ ,  $S'$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $R$  are matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ; and  $S$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ .

*Remark 1* [8] Since  $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ , and  $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ , where  $\kappa_1$  is the dimension of the subcode  $\{(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , and  $\delta_2$  is the dimension of the subcode  $\{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}\}$  whose codewords are all of order  $p^2$ , then the generator matrix (1) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{G}_S = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} I_{\kappa_1} & T & T'_{p_1} & T_{p_1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{\kappa_2} & T'_{p_2} & T_{p_2} & pT_2 & pT_{\kappa_2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & pT_1 & pT'_1 & pI_{\gamma-\kappa} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & S_{\delta_1} & S_p & S_{11} & S_{12} & R_1 & I_{\delta_1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & S_{21} & S_{22} & R_2 & R_{\delta_1} & I_{\delta_2} \end{array} \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $I_r$  is the identity matrix of size  $r \times r$ ;  $T_{p_i}, T'_{p_i}, S_{\delta_1}$  and  $S_p$  are matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ;  $T_1, T_2, T_{\kappa_2}, T'_1$  and  $R_i$  are matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ; and  $S_{ij}$  are matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . Besides,  $S_{\delta_1}$  and  $T_{\kappa_2}$  are square matrices of full rank  $\delta_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ , respectively.

*Remark 2* From Theorem 1, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$  if and only if

$$0 < \delta + \gamma \leq \beta + \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa \leq \min(\alpha, \gamma), \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa$  are all nonnegative integers.

In this paper, we will show that the conditions (3) are not enough for  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes.

The next theorem relates the parameters of the type of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code to its generator polynomials.

**Theorem 2** [26, 8] *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, gh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2; \kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)$  with  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \alpha - \deg(a) + \deg(h), & \delta &= \deg(g), & \kappa &= \alpha - \deg(\gcd(a, b\bar{g})), \\ \kappa_1 &= \alpha - \deg(a), & \kappa_2 &= \deg(a) - \deg(\gcd(a, b\bar{g})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{g} \equiv g \pmod{p}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the generator matrix of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, then  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_S$  should be permutation-equivalent, i.e., the codes generated by  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_S$  are permutation-equivalent. Moreover, if the rows of  $\mathcal{G}$  are arranged as  $\mathcal{G}_S$ , we call  $\mathcal{G}$  is in the form of  $\mathcal{G}_S$ .

The following are some properties of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle fh + pf \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C}' = \langle f'h' + pf' \rangle$  be linear cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  of length  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ . If  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ , then we have  $f'$  divides  $f$ .*

*Proof* By [12, Theorem 6], any ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$  have the form  $\langle f_0 + pf_1 \rangle = \langle fh + pf \rangle$  with  $f_1 = f$ ,  $f_0 = fh$  and  $fhg = x^n - 1$ . Since  $\gcd(h, g) = 1$ , then  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$  for some  $\lambda, \mu$ . Then  $pf = pf(\lambda h + \mu g) = p\lambda \cdot fh + \mu \cdot (pfg) \in \langle fh, pfg \rangle$ . Thus,  $\langle fh + pf \rangle \subseteq \langle fh, pfg \rangle$ . Then  $\langle fh + pf \rangle = \langle fh, pfg \rangle$  since  $\langle fh + pf \rangle = \langle fh, pf \rangle$ . Hence,  $\langle fh + pf \rangle = \langle fh, pfg \rangle$ . Any cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle fh + pf \rangle = \langle fh, pfg \rangle \quad (4)$$

with  $fhg = x^n - 1$  and  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ . Similar to the proof of [14, Theorem 3],  $f'$  divides  $f$ .

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C}' = \langle (a', 0), (b', f'h' + pf') \rangle$  be  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ , then  $f'$  divides  $f$  and  $\gcd(a', b')$  divides  $\gcd(a, b)$ .*

*Proof* It's easy to check that  $\mathcal{C}_Y = \langle (fh + pf) \rangle \subseteq \langle (f'h' + pf') \rangle = \mathcal{C}'_Y$  since  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ . By Lemma 1,  $f' \mid f$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{C}_X = \langle \gcd(a, b) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C}'_X = \langle \gcd(a', b') \rangle$ . Thus,  $\gcd(a', b')$  divides  $\gcd(a, b)$  since  $\mathcal{C}_X \subseteq \mathcal{C}'_X$ .

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\mathcal{C}_i = \langle fh_i + pf \rangle$  be cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then*

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \langle f \cdot \text{lcm}(h_1, h_2), pf \gcd(g_1, g_2) \rangle,$$

where  $\text{lcm}(h_1, h_2)$  means the least common multiple of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ .

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{D} = \langle f \cdot \text{lcm}(h_1, h_2), pf \gcd(g_1, g_2) \rangle$ . It's easy to check that  $fh_1 \mid f \cdot \text{lcm}(h_1, h_2)$ , then  $f \cdot \text{lcm}(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Since  $\gcd(g_1, h_1) = 1$ , then  $\lambda h_1 + \mu g_1 = 1$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$ . Then we have

$$pf \gcd(g_1, g_2) = pf \gcd(g_1, g_2) (\lambda h_1 + \mu g_1) = p\lambda \gcd(g_1, g_2) (fh_1) + \mu \gcd(g_1, g_2) (pfg_1),$$

which means  $pf \gcd(g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $D \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$ . Similarly, we have  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$  and thus  $D \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ .

Without loss of generality, let  $\mathcal{C}_3 = \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \langle f'h', pf'g' \rangle$  with  $f'h'g' = x^n - 1$ , then  $f \mid f'$  and  $fh_i \mid f'h'$  since  $\mathcal{C}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $f \cdot \text{lcm}(h_1, h_2) \mid f'h'$ . Note that  $\text{lcm}(h_1, h_2)$  and  $\gcd(g_1, g_2)$  are coprime and  $\text{lcm}(h_1, h_2) \cdot \gcd(g_1, g_2) = (x^n - 1)/f$ . As for  $pf'g'$ , similar to the above discussion, we can prove that it is also in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ .

The generator polynomials of  $\mathcal{C}$  are not unique.

**Lemma 2** [10, 26] *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code with  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  can be also generated by  $(a, 0)$ ,  $(b\bar{g}, pfg)$  and  $(b', fh)$ , where  $b' = b - \bar{\mu}b\bar{g}$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{C}_p = \{c \mid p \cdot c = \mathbf{0}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$  be the subcode of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then we have

**Lemma 3** [26] *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code with  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is generated by  $(a, 0)$ ,  $(b\bar{g}, pfg)$  and  $(0, pfh)$ .*

## 2.2 Gray Map and Gray Image

In [21], the classical Gray map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^p$  is defined as

$$\phi(\theta) = \theta_0(1, 1, \dots, 1) + \theta_1(0, 1, \dots, p-1),$$

where  $\theta = \theta_0 p + \theta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $\phi(\theta)$  is a vector of length  $p$ . Then let  $n = \alpha + p\beta$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  be an extension of the Gray map  $\phi$ , which is defined as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x}, \phi(y_1), \phi(y_2), \dots, \phi(y_\beta))$$

for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha$ , and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta$ . Besides, in the following sections, the Gray map refers to  $\Phi$  instead of  $\phi$ . The  $p$ -ary code  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -linear code or the  $p$ -ary image of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code. And we denote  $\langle C \rangle$  the linear span of the codewords of  $C$ .

In [28], the Gray map  $\Phi$  defined for quaternary codes satisfies  $\Phi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) + \Phi(\mathbf{v}) + \Phi(2\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v})$ , where  $*$  denotes the **componentwise product** of two vectors.

Recall some results from [26].

**Lemma 4** [26, 25] *Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{\alpha+\beta})$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{\alpha+\beta}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta$ , then*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) + \Phi(\mathbf{v}) + \Phi(pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})), \quad (5)$$

where  $P(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = P(u_1, v_1), \dots, P(u_{\alpha+\beta}, v_{\alpha+\beta})$  and

$$P(a + pc, b + pd) = P(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1, & a + b \geq p, \\ 0, & a + b < p, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with  $a + pb, b + pd \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Moreover, the values of  $P(u_i, v_i)$  equal to

$$f(u_i, v_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=p-k}^{p-1} \frac{\prod_{m=0}^{p-1} (u_i - m)(v_i - m)}{(u_i - k)(v_i - b)}. \quad (7)$$

In particular, if the order of  $\mathbf{u}$  or  $\mathbf{v}$  is  $p$ , then  $\Phi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \Phi(\mathbf{u}) + \Phi(\mathbf{v})$ .

*Remark 3* It's clear that  $P(u_i, v_i) = f(u_i, v_i)$  for all  $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . In [21, Section IV], the authors also defined a characteristic function that satisfies (6). Although the function (6) is very important, any polynomial  $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, x_2]$  that satisfies (6) can be chosen as  $P(x, y)$ . In the next sections, let  $m$  be the smallest degree of such polynomials.

**Lemma 5** [26] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code. The  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -linear code  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is linear if and only if  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

Let  $c_j = (c \otimes c \otimes \cdots \otimes c)$  be the polynomial whose roots are the products  $\xi^{i_1} \xi^{i_2} \cdots \xi^{i_j}$  such that  $\xi^{i_1}, \xi^{i_2}, \dots, \xi^{i_j}$  are roots of  $c$ , the divisor of  $x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  with  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ . Then  $c_j$  is called the  $j$ th **circle product** of  $c$ .

**Theorem 3** [26] *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code with  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$ . Assume that  $(x - 1) \mid g$  and  $\Phi(p\mathbf{u}_1 * \cdots * \mathbf{u}_m) \in \langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$  for any  $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is linear if  $\gcd(f', \overline{g_m}) = 1$  with  $f' = \overline{f}a / \gcd(a, \overline{b}g)$ , where  $\overline{g_m} = (\overline{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{g})$  is the  $m$ th circle product of  $\overline{g}$  and  $m = \deg(P(x_1, x_2))$ .*

### 2.3 Kernels and Ranks

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code, then the *kernel* of  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is defined as

$$\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\alpha+p\beta} \mid \mathbf{v} + \Phi(\mathcal{C}) = \Phi(\mathcal{C})\}.$$

And, the *kernel* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \Phi^{-1}(\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))).$$

For convenience, let  $\ker(\mathcal{C})$  be the dimension of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Let  $\text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \dim(\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle)$  and

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta \mid \Phi(\mathbf{v}) \in \langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle\}.$$

In this section, we will see that if the code  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cyclic code, then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  are both cyclic codes whether the alphabet is  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ .

By [25, Lemma 10], Lemma 5 and the definition of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have

**Proposition 3** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code and  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ , then*

$$\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \{\Phi(\mathbf{u}) \mid \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}, \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} \mid pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}, \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

*Remark 4* Proposition 3 also holds for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -cyclic codes.

### 3 Kernel of $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -Additive Cyclic Codes

In this section, we will study the kernel of  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes. We will prove that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is cyclic if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. For convenience, let  $\ker(C)$  or  $\ker(\mathcal{C})$  be the dimension of the kernel of  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Lemma 6** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_Y \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code and  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a codeword. Then  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  for  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}$ . It's easy to check that for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2)).$$

Thus, if  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_Y$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .

**Proposition 4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , then for all  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  since  $\mathbf{u}$  is in the kernel. By the proof of Lemma 6, we know  $\mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathcal{C}_X$  and  $pP(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , for all  $\mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_Y$  which gives  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .

**Proposition 5** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a separable  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ , then  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2))$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ . It's also easy to check that  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$  and  $pP(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2) \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ . Note that  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ . Thus, we have

$$pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2)) \in \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{C}_Y,$$

for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$  since  $\mathcal{C}$  is separable. Hence,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . By Proposition 4, we know  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_X \times \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  is separable.

From the generator matrix given in (2), the code  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  has a generator matrix of the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} pT_2 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ pT_1 & pI_{\gamma-\kappa} & \mathbf{0} \\ S & R & I_\delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  is a linear code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . By [12, Sec.2],  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  has type  $p^{2\delta}p^{\gamma-\kappa_1}$ . The minimum value for the dimension of  $\ker(\phi(\mathcal{C}_Y))$  is  $\delta + \gamma - \kappa_1$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code with the generator matrix in the form of (2) and let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the code generated by the matrix  $G'$  in (9), where*

$$G' = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & pT_1 & pI_{\gamma-\kappa} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & S' & S & R & I_\delta \end{array} \right). \quad (9)$$

*Then  $\dim(\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dim(\ker(\phi(\mathcal{C}'_Y)))$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_i, u'_i)$  be the first  $\gamma$  rows and  $\mathbf{v}_j = (v_j, v'_j)$  be the last  $\delta$  rows of  $G$ , respectively, where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \gamma$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \delta$  and  $G$  is in the form of (2). Since  $\gamma \geq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = \kappa$ , then let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C}' = \langle \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + 1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_\gamma, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_\delta \rangle$ .

By Proposition 3,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then we have the following cases:

- (1) If  $\mathbf{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , we have  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ , for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$  since all codewords in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  are of order  $p$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $\dim(\ker(\overline{\mathcal{C}})) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u, u') \in \mathcal{C}'$ . By the above discussion,  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}'$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}'$ ; that is  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}')$ . Note that  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(u', v')) \in \mathcal{C}'$  if and only if  $pP(u', v') \in \mathcal{C}'_Y$ . Hence,

$$\dim(\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}'))) = \dim(\ker(\phi(\mathcal{C}'_Y))).$$

Therefore,  $\dim(\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dim(\ker(\phi(\mathcal{C}'_Y)))$  and the proof is completed.

From Remark 2, there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code for all possible values of the kernel for a given type. But this theorem doesn't hold for  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code.

*Example 1* By Remark 2, there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive code  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $(\alpha, 13; 2, 4; 1)$ . The possible dimensions of the kernel are 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + 3f) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(2, 13; 2, 4; 1)$ , where  $fhg = x^{13} - 1$ . By Magma, factoring  $x^{13} - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ , we have

$$x^{13} - 1 = (x+8)(x^3+6x^2+2x+8)(x^3+7x^2+3x+8)(x^3+4x^2+7x+8)(x^3+2x^2+5x+8).$$

Since  $\gamma = \deg(h) = 2$ , then there doesn't exist such a  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic code.

**Theorem 5** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code.*

*Proof* It's easy to check that  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code by Proposition 3. It's sufficient to show that if  $\mathbf{u} = (u, u') \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $\pi(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , which means  $pP(\pi(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$ . It's easy to check that  $pP(\pi(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}) = \pi(pP(\mathbf{u}, \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})))$ . Then  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{v})) \in \mathcal{C}$  by Proposition 3. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is cyclic, then  $\pi(pP(\mathbf{u}, \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{v}))) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus,  $pP(\pi(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\pi(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Lemma 7** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, where  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . Let  $\langle (a, 0), (b_k, fhk + pf) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , for  $k \mid g$ . Then  $b_k \equiv \overline{k}b + (1 - \overline{k})\overline{\mu}b\overline{g} \pmod{a}$  where  $\mu$  satisfies  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .*

*Proof* First, we will prove that  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b', fh), (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf) \rangle$ , where  $b' = b - \overline{\mu}b\overline{g}$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \langle (a, 0), (b', fh), (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf) \rangle$ , and we shall prove that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$ . It's easy to check that  $(0, pfh) = p(b, fh + pf) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $(b\overline{g}, pfg) = g \star (b, fh + pf) \in \mathcal{C}$ , then

$$\lambda \star (0, pfh) + \mu \star (b\overline{g}, pfg) = (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

By Lemma 2, we have  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $(b, fh + pf) = (b', fh) + (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf) \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , which means

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b', fh), (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Then, since  $(b_k, fhk + pf) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$(b_k, fhk + pf) = c_1 \star (a, 0) + c_2 \star (b', fh) + c_3 \star (\overline{\mu}b\overline{g}, pf).$$

Thus, we get  $c_2 = k$ ,  $c_3 = 1$  and  $b_k \equiv \overline{k}b + (1 - \overline{k})\overline{\mu}b\overline{g} \pmod{a}$ .



**Proposition 6** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle fh + pf \rangle$  be a linear cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  of length  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is also cyclic and  $\langle pf \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fhk, pfg_k \rangle$  where  $g_k = g/k$  and  $k$  divides  $g$ .

*Proof* By the proof of [14, Theorem 5], we can get  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is cyclic. By Lemma 3, the subcode whose codewords are of order  $p$  is just  $\langle pfg, pfh \rangle$ . Since  $g$  and  $h$  are coprime, then  $\langle pfg, pfh \rangle = \langle pf \rangle$ . According to Lemma 4 and Proposition 3,  $\langle pf \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle f'h' + pf' \rangle$  with  $f'h'g' = x^n - 1$ . Since

$$\langle pf \rangle = \langle x^n - 1, pf \rangle = \langle f \cdot \frac{x^n - 1}{f}, pf \rangle \subseteq \langle f'h', pf'g' \rangle,$$

then by Proposition 1,  $f' \mid f$ . Moreover,  $f \mid f'$  since  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Thus,  $f' = f$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fh' + pf' \rangle$ . The proof of the rest claim is similar to that of [14, Theorem 9].

**Theorem 6** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, where  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a, 0), (b_k, fhk + pf) \rangle$ , where  $k \mid g$ ,  $b_k \equiv \overline{kb} + (1 - \overline{k})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$  and  $\mu$  satisfies  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .

*Proof* By Theorem 5, let  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a_k, 0), (b_k, f_k h_k + p f_k) \rangle$ , where  $f_k g_k h_k = x^\beta - 1$ . It's easy to check that  $\mathcal{C}_p \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . By Lemma 3,  $(a, 0) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ , then we have  $a = a_k$ . Besides,  $(\mathcal{C}_p)_Y = \langle pfg, pfh \rangle = \langle pf \rangle \subseteq \langle f_k h_k + p f_k \rangle = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_Y$  since  $g$  and  $h$  are coprime. According to Proposition 1, we have  $f = f_k$ .

By Proposition 6, we get that  $h_k = hk$  with  $k$  a divisor of  $g$  since  $(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_Y = \langle fh_k + pf \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}_Y$ . Moreover, just as (10) shows,  $(b', fh), (\overline{pb}\overline{g}, pf) \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $b' = b - \overline{pb}\overline{g}$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ . Thus, we get  $b_k = \overline{k}b' + \overline{pb}\overline{g} \equiv \overline{kb} + (1 - \overline{k})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$ .

*Remark 5* Let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be a maximal cyclic subcode of  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  whose Gray image  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}')$  is a linear subcode of  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Then we also have  $\mathcal{C}_p \subseteq \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Thus, by an argument analogous to that of Theorem 6, we can get the statement in Theorem 6 also holds for  $\mathcal{C}'$ .

**Proposition 7** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. Assume that  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle (a, 0), (b_1, fhk_1 + pf) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle (a, 0), (b_2, fhk_2 + pf) \rangle$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive maximal subcodes of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose images under the Gray map are linear subcodes of  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ , then

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \langle (a, 0), (b', fhk' + pf) \rangle,$$

where  $k' = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2)$  and  $b' \equiv \overline{k'}b + (1 - \overline{k'})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$ , with  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .

*Proof* By Proposition 2, we have  $(\mathcal{C}_1)_Y \cap (\mathcal{C}_2)_Y = \langle f \cdot \text{lcm}(hk_1, hk_2), pf \rangle = \langle fhk' + pf \rangle$  with  $k' = \text{lcm}(k_1, k_2)$ . Since  $\langle (a, 0) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \langle (a, 0), (b', fhk' + pf) \rangle$ . By Lemma 7, we can get  $b' \equiv \overline{k'}b + (1 - \overline{k'})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$ , with  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .

**Lemma 8** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal cyclic subcode with linear  $p$ -ary image, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_i$  be all the maximal subcodes of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}_i)$  is a linear subcode of  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{D}_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is a maximal cyclic subcode of  $\mathcal{C}$  with linear  $p$ -ary image and  $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ .

*Proof* If  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \not\subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , then consider the code  $\mathcal{D}'$  generated by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \cup \mathcal{D} \cup \{pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \cup \mathcal{D}\}$ , where  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  is defined as (5) in Lemma 4. Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \cup \mathcal{D}$  is cyclic, not necessarily linear, then  $\mathcal{D}'$  is cyclic. Moreover, for any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}'$ ,  $pP(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{D}'$ , then  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}')$  is linear, leading to a contradiction since we assume  $\mathcal{D}$  is maximal.

It's easy to check that  $\Phi(\mathbf{v}) + \Phi(\mathcal{C}) = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  for any  $\mathbf{v} \in \cap_i \mathcal{C}_i$ , then  $\cap_i \mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ , and both of  $\mathcal{D}_i$  and  $\mathcal{C}_i$  have linear Gray images, then  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ . Hence, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}_i.$$

Thus, the kernel of a  $p$ -ary code is the intersection of all of its maximal linear subspaces.

**Theorem 7** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh+pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, where  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . Assume that  $k_1, \dots, k_s$  are all the divisors of  $g$  with minimum degree such that the Gray image of  $\langle (a, 0), (b_i, fhk_i + pf) \rangle$  is linear, where  $b_i \equiv \overline{k_i}b + (1 - \overline{k_i})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a, 0), (b', fhk' + pf) \rangle,$$

where  $k' = \text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_s)$  and  $b' \equiv \overline{k'}b + (1 - \overline{k'})\overline{pb}\overline{g} \pmod{a}$ .

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{D}_i = \langle (a, 0), (b_i, fhk_i + pf) \rangle$  be a cyclic subcode of  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Since  $k_i$  is the polynomial of minimum degree dividing  $g$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is a maximal cyclic subcode of  $\mathcal{C}$  with the linear Gray image. Moreover, we can extend  $\mathcal{D}_i$  to  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , the maximal subcode of  $\mathcal{C}$ , not necessarily cyclic, with linear Gray image. It's possible that  $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{C}_i$  for some  $i$ . By Lemma 8, we know  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \cap_i \mathcal{D}_i$ . According to Remark 5 and Proposition 7, we can obtain the result.

*Remark 6* Note that Theorem 7 can be applied to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes, when  $\alpha = 0$ . Moreover, one can give a better result if the necessary and sufficient condition for the Gray image to be linear is found.

#### 4 Rank of $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -Additive Cyclic Codes

Denote the linear span of  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  by  $\langle C \rangle$ . The dimension of  $\langle C \rangle$  is called the *rank* of the code  $C$ , denoted by  $\text{rank}(C)$ .

**Theorem 8** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$  and  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  as in (1) and let  $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\gamma$  be the rows of order  $p$  and  $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta$  be the rows of order  $p^2$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\langle C \rangle$  is generated by  $\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}_i)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ ,  $\{\Phi(\mathbf{v}_j), \Phi(p\mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$  and  $\{\Phi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

*Proof* If  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathbf{x}$  can be expressed as  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{j_m} + \mathbf{w}$ , where  $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, \delta\}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  is a codeword of order  $p$  or  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ . Note that  $j_s$  and  $j_t$  may be equal. By Lemma 4, we have  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{v}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{j_m}) + \Phi(\mathbf{w})$ , where  $\Phi(\mathbf{w})$  is a linear combination of  $\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}_i)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ ,  $\{\Phi(p\mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$ ,  $\{\Phi(2p\mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\{\Phi((p-1)p\mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$ . It's easy to check that  $\Phi(ip\mathbf{v}_j) = i\Phi(p\mathbf{v}_j)$ , where  $i$  is a positive integer. Moreover,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{v}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{j_m}) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_1) + \Phi(\mathbf{w}_2) + \Phi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)),$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2$  are just two codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  that satisfy  $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{j_m}$ . Thus,  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  is generated by  $\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}_i)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$ ,  $\{\Phi(\mathbf{v}_j), \Phi(p\mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$  and  $\{\Phi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

**Proposition 8** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$  and  $C = \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  as in (1) and let  $\{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\gamma$  be the rows of order  $p$  and  $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta$  be the rows of order  $p^2$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\gamma, \{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\} \rangle$ .*

*Proof* Denote the code  $\langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\gamma, \{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\} \rangle$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ , then we prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is the minimum  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code containing  $\mathcal{C}$  with the linear Gray image.

Obviously, for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $pP(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}$ . Thus, the Gray image of  $\mathcal{D}$  is linear by Lemma 5. Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be another  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code containing  $\mathcal{C}$  with linear Gray image. If  $\mathbf{w}_1 \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ , then, by Lemma 5, we know that  $pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) \in \mathcal{D}'$  for all  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is the minimum code.

By definition,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^\beta \mid \Phi(\mathbf{v}) \in \langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle\}$  is the minimum  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code containing  $\mathcal{C}$  whose Gray image is linear.

**Lemma 9** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code, then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})_Y = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  as in (2) and let  $\{\mathbf{u}_i = (u_i, u'_i)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$  be the rows of order  $p$  and  $\{\mathbf{v}_j = (v_j, v'_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$  be the rows of order  $p^2$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . By Proposition 8,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \mathcal{C}, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\} \rangle$ . Since  $pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(\mathbf{w}_1'', \mathbf{w}_2''))$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_1 = (w_1', w_1'')$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 = (w_2', w_2'')$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})_Y &= \langle \mathcal{C}, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}\} \rangle_Y \\ &= \langle \mathcal{C}_Y, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1'', \mathbf{w}_2'') : \mathbf{w}_1'', \mathbf{w}_2'' \in \mathcal{C}_Y\} \rangle = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_Y), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.

**Theorem 9** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code.*

*Proof* By Proposition 8, for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u} + \lambda pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$  for some  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, then  $\pi(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{C}$ . It's easy to check that  $\pi(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = \pi(\mathbf{a}) + \pi(\mathbf{b})$ , then

$$\pi(\mathbf{u} + \lambda pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)) = \pi(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda \pi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)).$$

It's sufficient to check that

$$\pi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)) = pP(\pi(\mathbf{w}_1), \pi(\mathbf{w}_2)) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}).$$

By Lemma 4, we know  $P(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, x_2]$ , then the equality holds obviously. Since  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\pi(pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  by Lemma 4. Thus,  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  means  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is cyclic.

**Theorem 10** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code with the generator matrix  $\mathcal{G}$  in the form (2) and let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be the subcode generated by the matrix  $\mathcal{G}'$  in the form (9), then*

$$\text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \text{rank}(\phi(\mathcal{C}'_Y)).$$

*Proof* Let  $\{\mathbf{u}_i = (u_i, u'_i)\}_{i=1}^\gamma$  be the first  $\gamma$  rows of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\{\mathbf{v}_j = (v_j, v'_j)\}_{j=1}^\delta$  be the last  $\delta$  rows of  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively. Then,  $\mathcal{C}' = \langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=\kappa+1}^\gamma, \{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta \rangle$  since  $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ . Define the code  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\kappa \rangle$ . Obviously, by Proposition 8,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}) = \langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\kappa \rangle$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}') = \langle \{\mathbf{u}_i\}_{i=\kappa+1}^\gamma, \{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^\delta, \{pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) : \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}'\} \rangle.$$

Note that for any codeword  $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_i$  can be written as  $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{w}'_i + \mathbf{w}''_i$ , for some  $\mathbf{w}'_i \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathbf{w}''_i \in \mathcal{C}'$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By Remark 3,

$$pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = pP(\mathbf{w}'_1, \mathbf{w}''_2),$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}'), \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}) \rangle.$$

Considering that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}') = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  since  $pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(\mathbf{w}'_1, \mathbf{w}''_2))$ , then,

$$\text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) = \text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{D})) + \text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C}')) = \kappa + \text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C}')).$$

Besides, by Lemma 9,  $\text{rank}(\Phi(\mathcal{C}')) = \text{rank}(\phi(\mathcal{C}'_Y))$  and the equality holds.

In Section 3, we discussed the kernel of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. It's shown that there doesn't always exist a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code for a given type. Similarly, there may not exist a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code for all possible values of the rank for a given type.

*Example 2* [25, Example 17] By Remark 2, there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive code  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $(\alpha, 13; 2, 4; 1)$ . The possible dimensions of the kernel are 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18. But just as Example 1 shows, the  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(\alpha, 13; 2, 4; 1)$  does not exist.

**Proposition 9** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle fh + pf \rangle$  be a cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  of length  $n$  with  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$  and  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is cyclic and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fh + p\frac{f}{r} \rangle$  for some  $r \mid f$ .*

*Proof* If  $\mathcal{D} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, then  $\mathcal{D}_Y = \mathcal{C}$ . By Theorem 9, we can get  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is a linear cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle f'h' + pf' \rangle$  with  $f'h'g' = x^n - 1$ . According to Lemma 9, we have that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}_Y) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D}))_Y$ . By Proposition 8, it's clear that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  have the same generators of order  $p^2$ . Thus,  $fh = f'h'$ , which implies that  $g = g'$ . Then by Lemma 1, we have  $f' \mid f$  and  $f = f'r$  for some  $r$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle f'h', pf' \rangle = \langle fh, pf_r \rangle,$$

where  $f_r = f/r$ .

*Remark 7* Keep the notations in Proposition 9. If  $r$  is the Hensel lift of  $\gcd(\bar{f}, \bar{g}_m)$ , then  $\bar{f}' = \bar{f}/\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{g}_m$  are coprime, i.e.,  $\gcd(\bar{f}'/\bar{r}, \bar{g}_m) = 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 3, if  $g_2 \mid g_3 \mid \dots \mid g_m$ , then  $\langle fh, pf/r \rangle$  has linear Gray image. It's known that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is the minimum cyclic code with linear Gray image over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  containing  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \langle fh + p\frac{f}{r} \rangle$ . Considering that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fh, pf_r \rangle$  is cyclic, if  $r$  is the polynomial of minimum degree dividing  $f$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fh + p\frac{f}{r} \rangle$ .

**Lemma 10** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, where  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a_r, 0), (b_r, fh + pf/r) \rangle$ , where  $r$  divides  $f$  and  $a_r$  divides  $a$ .

*Proof* By Theorem 9, let  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a_r, 0), (b_r, f_r h_r + p f_r) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. Since  $(a, 0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $a_r$  divides  $a$ . By Proposition 8, we know that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  have the same generators of order  $p^2$ . Since  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_Y \subseteq (\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}))_Y$ , then, according to Proposition 9,  $g_r = g$  and thus,  $f_r h_r = fh$ . Moreover, by Proposition 1, we have  $f_r$  divides  $f$ . Thus, there exists a polynomial  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$  such that  $f = r f_r$  and  $h_r = h$ .

**Theorem 11** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, where  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ . If  $r$  is the polynomial of minimum degree dividing  $f$  such that  $\langle fh + pf/r \rangle$  has linear Gray image, then

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a', 0), (b', fh + pf/r) \rangle,$$

where  $\bar{g}_m$  is the  $m$ th circle product of  $\bar{g}$ ,  $a' = \gcd(a, \bar{\mu} \bar{g} b)$ ,  $b' = b - \bar{\mu} \bar{g} b$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .

*Proof* Obviously, the Gray image of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})_Y = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_Y) = \langle fh, pf/r \rangle$  is linear. Let  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}, (0, pf/r) \rangle$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \langle (a, 0), (b', fh), (\bar{\mu} \bar{g}, pf), (0, pf/r) \rangle \\ &= \langle (a, 0), (\bar{\mu} \bar{g}, 0), (b', fh + pf/r) \rangle \\ &= \langle (a', 0), (b', fh + pf/r) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a' = \gcd(a, \bar{\mu} \bar{g} b)$ ,  $b' = b - \bar{\mu} \bar{g} b$  and  $\lambda h + \mu g = 1$ .

It's known that  $pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = (\mathbf{0}, pP(w'_1, w'_2))$  for any  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $pP(w'_1, w'_2) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})_Y = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_Y) = \langle fh, pf/r \rangle = \mathcal{D}_Y$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{D}_Y)_p = \langle pfh + pf/r \rangle = \langle pf/r \rangle$ , then  $(\mathbf{0}, pP(w'_j, v'_k)) \in \langle (0, pf/r) \rangle$ . Thus,  $pP(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) \in \mathcal{D}$  since  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}, (0, pf/r) \rangle$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Besides,  $r$  is the polynomial with minimum degree dividing  $f$  satisfying that  $\langle fh + pf/r \rangle$  has linear Gray image, then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}$ .

## 5 Kernel and Rank of Some Special Cyclic Codes

Let  $f$  be a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , then  $f$  is called *basic irreducible* if  $\bar{f} \equiv f \pmod{p}$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let us recall Hensel's Lemma and Hensel's lift.

**Lemma 11** [29, Theorem 13.11] (Hensel's Lemma) Let  $f$  be a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$  and assume that  $\bar{f} = g_1 g_2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are coprime monic polynomials. Then there exist coprime monic polynomials  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  such that  $f = f_1 f_2$  and  $\bar{f}_1 = g_1$ ,  $\bar{f}_2 = g_2$ .  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$  is called the Hensel lift of  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  if  $\bar{f} = g$  and  $f$  divides  $x^n - 1$  for some  $n$  with  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ .

For a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = \dim(\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C})))$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \dim(\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle)$ . In this section, we will show that there does not exist a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code for all possible values of  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\ker(\mathcal{C})$ .

### 5.1 Some Special Factorizations of $x^n - 1$

Recall some special factorizations of  $x^n - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  mentioned in [14] and [26].

Let  $n$  and  $m$  be two positive integers such that  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ , then the least positive integer  $k$  for which  $m^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  is called the *multiplicative order* of  $m$  modulo  $n$ .

- (1) If  $p$  is a primitive root modulo  $n$  and  $n$  is prime, then

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1),$$

where the two factors are both irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

- (2) Assume  $n = q^2$  with the prime  $q$  and  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)ab$ , where  $a = Q_q(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{q-1}$  and  $b = Q_{q^2}(x) = 1 + x^q + x^{2q} + \cdots + x^{(q-1)q}$  are both basic irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ .
- (3) Let  $n$  be prime and  $\ell = (n - 1)/2$  be the multiplicative order of  $p$  modulo  $n$ , then over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , we have  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)f_1 f_2$ , where  $\overline{f_1}$  and  $\overline{f_2}$  are two irreducible polynomials of degree  $\ell$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Moreover,  $\overline{f_i} \otimes \overline{f_i} = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ ,  $\overline{f_i} \otimes \overline{f_i} \otimes \overline{f_i} = x^n - 1$  and  $\gcd(\overline{f_i}, \overline{f_j} \otimes \overline{f_j}) = \overline{f_i}$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ .

### 5.2 Rank and Kernel of Some Special Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$

For a cyclic code  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (fh + pf) \rangle$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , it can be regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code with  $\alpha = 0$ . Then as for its type,  $\delta = \deg(g)$  and  $\gamma = \deg(h)$ .

**Lemma 12** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (fh + pf) \rangle$  be a cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  of length  $n$ , where  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$  and  $fhg = x^n - 1$ . Let  $r$  be the polynomial such that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle fh + pf/r \rangle$ , then the dimension of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta + \deg(r)$ . Moreover, the dimension of the kernel is  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta - \deg(k)$ , where  $k$  divides  $g$ .*

*Proof* By Proposition 9, we have  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (f'h' + pf') \rangle$  with  $f' = f/r$ ,  $h' = hr$  and  $g' = g$ . Then the size of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $(p^2)^{\deg(g')} p^{\deg(h')} = p^{2\deg(g) + \deg(h) + \deg(r)}$ , i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta + \deg(r)$ . As for  $\ker(\mathcal{C})$ , it follows the proof of [14, Corollary 4].

**Proposition 10** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (fh + pf) \rangle$  be a cyclic code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  of length  $n$ , where  $\gcd(n, p) = 1$  and  $fhg = x^n - 1$ . Assume that  $n$  is prime and  $p$  is a primitive root modulo  $n$ . If  $g = Q_n(x) = x^{n-1} + \cdots + 1$  and  $h = 1$ , then  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + \delta = n$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta + 1$ . In all other cases, the Gray image of  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear, i.e.,  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \ker(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta$ .*

*Proof* If  $g = Q_n(x) = x^{n-1} + \cdots + 1$  and  $h = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C} = \langle x - 1 \rangle$  and the generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$  in standard form is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Without loss of generality, let  $\mathbf{v}_i$  be the rows of  $G$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Note that

$$pP(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, p) \notin \mathcal{C},$$

since  $P(-1, -1) = P(p^2 - 1, p^2 - 1) = P(p - 1, p - 1) = 1$ . Hence, the Gray image of  $\mathcal{C}$  is not linear. Since  $g$  is irreducible, i.e., the divisors of  $g$  are just 1 and  $g$ , we get  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta - \deg(g) = n$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta + 1$ . As for other cases, see [14, Theorem 12 & Corollary 6].

Then we consider the following case: there are 3 irreducible factors of  $x^n - 1$ .

From now on, in Table 1, assume  $n = q^2$  with the prime  $q$  and  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)ab$ , where  $a = Q_q(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{q-1}$  and  $b = Q_{q^2}(x) = 1 + x^q + x^{2q} + \dots + x^{(q-1)q}$  are both irreducible. If  $n = q$  is prime, then  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)ab$  with  $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = d$ , where  $d = (n - 1)/2$  is the multiplicative order of  $p$  modulo  $n$ . By [26], it's known that the Gray image of  $\langle fh + pf \rangle$  is linear if and only if  $f$  is coprime with  $\overline{g_3}$ .

**Table 1:** Parameters of cyclic codes when  $n = q$  or  $q^2$

$n$	$f$	$g$	$h$	$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C})$	$\ker(\mathcal{C})$
Any	1	*	*	$\gamma + 2\delta$	$\gamma + 2\delta$
Any	*	1	*	$\gamma + 2\delta$	$\gamma + 2\delta$
Any	*	$x - 1$	*	$\gamma + 2\delta$	$\gamma + 2\delta$
$q^2$	$a$	$(x - 1)b$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta + t_a$	$\gamma + \delta + 1$
$q^2$	$a$	$b$	$x - 1$	$\gamma + 2\delta + t_a$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$b$	$(x - 1)a$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta$	$\gamma + 2\delta$
$q^2$	$b$	$a$	$x - 1$	$\gamma + 2\delta + t_b$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$x - 1$	$a$	$b$	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$x - 1$	$b$	$a$	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$x - 1$	$ab$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$(x - 1)a$	$b$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta + t_a + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q^2$	$(x - 1)b$	$a$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q$	$a$	$(x - 1)b$	1	$\gamma + 3\delta - 1$	$\gamma + \delta + 1$
$q$	$a$	$b$	$x - 1$	$\gamma + 3\delta$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q$	$b$	$(x - 1)a$	1	$\gamma + 3\delta - 1$	$\gamma + \delta + 1$
$q$	$b$	$a$	$x - 1$	$\gamma + 3\delta$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q$	$x - 1$	$a$	$b$	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1 (\gamma + 2\delta)$	$\gamma + \delta (\gamma + 2\delta)$
$q$	$x - 1$	$b$	$a$	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1 (\gamma + 2\delta)$	$\gamma + \delta (\gamma + 2\delta)$
$q$	$x - 1$	$ab$	1	$\gamma + 2\delta + 1$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q$	$(x - 1)a$	$b$	1	$\gamma + 3\delta + 1 (\gamma + 3\delta)$	$\gamma + \delta$
$q$	$(x - 1)b$	$a$	1	$\gamma + 3\delta + 1 (\gamma + 3\delta)$	$\gamma + \delta$

*Remark 8* In Table 1, all possible kernels and ranks of the codes obtained from the factorization  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)ab$  are completely determined, where  $t_a = \deg(a)$  and  $t_b = \deg(b)$ . The \* means that it can take all possible values (or polynomials). In fact, the results in Table 1 are the generalization of those obtained in [14, Table 1].

Besides,  $s(t)$  means the value of the *rank* or *ker* is  $s$  when  $p \geq 3$  and  $t$  when  $p = 2$ . It's interesting that the classifications of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  ( $p > 2$ ) are different.

Let  $n$  be prime, if  $d_N = (n - 1)/N$  is the multiplicative order of  $p$  modulo  $n$  for some positive integer  $N$ , then  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)f_1 \dots f_N$ , where  $\overline{f}_i$  is an

irreducible polynomial of degree  $d_N$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Namely, when  $n$  is prime, the factorization of  $x^n - 1$  is completely given. As for the classification of cyclic codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , [20, Theorem 6.34] may be helpful.

### 5.3 Rank and Kernel of Some Special Cyclic Codes over $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code. By Theorem 11, if  $a$  divides  $b$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a, 0), (b', fh + pf/r) \rangle$ , for some  $a'$ , where  $r$  divides  $f$ . Then, by Lemma 12, we have

**Theorem 12** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$ , with  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ ,  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is separable, then  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta + \deg(r)$  and  $\text{ker}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + 2\delta - \deg(k)$ , where  $r$  is the polynomial such that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_Y) = \langle fh + pf/r \rangle$  and  $k$  divides  $g$ .*

**Theorem 13** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + pf) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$ , with  $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ ,  $fhg = x^\beta - 1$  and  $\alpha = \gamma \leq \delta - 1$ ,  $\beta = 2\delta + 1 \geq 5$ . Assume that  $\beta$  is prime and  $\delta = (\beta - 1)/2$  is the multiplicative order of  $p$  modulo  $\beta$ . Then all the possible values of  $(\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}), \text{ker}(\mathcal{C}))$  are  $(\gamma + 3\delta, \gamma + \delta)$ ,  $(\gamma + 3\delta + 1, \gamma + \delta)$  and  $(\gamma + 3\delta - 1, \gamma + \delta)$ .*

*Proof* From Section 5.1, it's known that there are 3 basic irreducible factors (the Hensel's lift) of  $x^\beta - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , namely,  $x^\beta - 1 = (x - 1)f_1f_2$ . Since either  $g = f_1$  or  $g = f_2$ , then  $\overline{g_2} \mid \overline{g_3} = x^\beta - 1$ , where  $\overline{g_2}$  and  $\overline{g_3}$  are the second and third circle product of  $\overline{g}$ , respectively. Without loss of generality, let  $g = f_1$ . Note that  $\deg(a) = \deg(h) \leq \alpha \leq \delta - 1$  since  $\alpha = \gamma \leq \delta - 1$ . Then  $\deg(h) \leq 1$ , which implies that  $\deg(f) \geq \delta$  and  $f_2$  divides  $f$ . Considering that  $\gcd(\overline{f_j}, \overline{f_i} \otimes \overline{f_i}) = \overline{f_j}$ ,  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is nonlinear, where  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

By Theorem 7,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a, 0), (b_k, fhk + pf) \rangle$ , where  $k$  divides  $g$ . Since  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is nonlinear, then  $k = g$ , and  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a, 0), (b_k, pf) \rangle$  does not contain codewords of order  $p^2$ , i.e.,  $\text{ker}(\mathcal{C}) = \gamma + \delta > \kappa$ . By Theorem 11,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle (a_r, 0), (b_r, fh + pf/r) \rangle$ , where  $r$  divides  $f$ , which implies that  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 2\deg(g) + \alpha - \deg(a_r) + \deg(hr)$ . Considering that  $\deg(a) = \deg(h) \leq 1$  and  $a_r$  divides  $a$ , we have

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = \begin{cases} \gamma + 2\delta + \deg(r), & \text{if } \deg(a_r) = \deg(a), \\ \gamma + 2\delta + \deg(r) - 1, & \text{if } \deg(a_r) \neq \deg(a), \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\gcd(\overline{f_2}, \overline{f_1} \otimes \overline{f_1}) = \overline{f_2}$ , and  $f = (x - 1)f_2$  or  $f = f_2$  and  $\deg(f_2) = \delta$ . When  $p = 2$ ,  $\gcd(\overline{f}, \overline{g} \otimes \overline{g}) = \overline{f_2}$ , i.e.,  $\deg(r) = \deg(f_2) = \delta$ . Otherwise,  $\gcd(\overline{f}, \overline{g} \otimes \overline{g}) = \overline{f}$  and  $\deg(r) = \deg(f) = \delta$  or  $\delta + 1$ .

## 6 Comparison and Examples

Recall two theorems about pairs of rank and kernel dimension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code.



**Theorem 14** [18, Theorem 6] Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa$  be positive integers satisfying (3). Then, there exists a nonlinear  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$  with  $k = \gamma + 2\delta - \bar{k}$  and  $r = \gamma + 2\delta + \bar{r}$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \bar{r} \in \left\{ 2, \dots, \min \left( \beta - (\gamma - \kappa) - \delta, \binom{\bar{k}}{2} \right) \right\}, & \bar{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \bar{r} \in \left\{ 1, \dots, \min \left( \beta - (\gamma - \kappa) - \delta, \binom{\bar{k}}{2} \right) \right\}, & \bar{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{k} \in \{2, \dots, \delta\}$ .

**Theorem 15** [25, Theorem 17] Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \kappa$  be positive integers satisfying (3). Then, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code of type  $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$  only if  $\bar{k} = \bar{r} = 0$  or

$$1 \leq \bar{k} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \bar{r} \leq \min \left( \beta - (\gamma - \kappa) - \delta, \binom{\bar{k}}{2} + \binom{\bar{k} + 2}{3} \right),$$

where  $r = \gamma + 2\delta + \bar{r}$  and  $k = \gamma + 2\delta - \bar{k}$ , respectively.

*Remark 9*  $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$  is linear if and only if  $\bar{k} = \bar{r} = 0$  for  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive codes.

*Example 3* [9, Example 3 & 7] Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + 2f) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(2, 7; 2, 3; \kappa)$ . Let  $k$  and  $r$  be the dimensions of  $\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$ , respectively. Then, by Remark 2,  $k \in \{5, 6, 8\}$  and  $r \in \{8, 9, 10, 11\}$ . The existence of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes of general additive codes and additive cyclic codes are listed in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

**Table 2:**  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes

$k \backslash r$	8	9	10	11
8	•			
7				
6		•		
5		•	•	•

**Table 3:**  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes

$k \backslash r$	8	9	10	11
8				
7				
6				
5				•

*Example 4* Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (a, 0), (b, fh + 3f) \rangle$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic code of type  $(\alpha, 11; \gamma, \delta; \kappa)$ . Let  $k$  and  $r$  be the dimensions of  $\ker(\Phi(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$ , respectively. In  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ ,

$$x^{11} - 1 = (x - 1)(x^5 + 3x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1)(x^5 + 7x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 6x - 1),$$

where the factors are basic irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ . Obviously, if  $\delta \notin \{0, 1, 5, 6, 10, 11\}$ , then the code doesn't exist. So we consider the codes of type  $(2, 11; 2, 5; 1)$ . Then, by Theorem 15,  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) \in \{7, \dots, 12\}$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) \in \{12, \dots, 17\}$ .

By Theorem 13,  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = 2 + 5 = 7$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 17$  or 16.

Both of the codes  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x - 1, 0), (0, (x - 1)(x^5 + 3x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1) + 3(x^5 + 3x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1)) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x - 1, 0), (0, (x - 1)(x^5 + 7x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 6x - 1) + 3(x^5 + 7x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 6x - 1)) \rangle$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic codes of type  $(2, 11; 2, 5; 1)$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 17$  and  $\ker(\mathcal{C}) = 7$ .

Both of the codes  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x-1, 0), (1, (x-1)(x^5 + 3x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1) + 3(x^5 + 3x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 2x - 1)) \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x-1, 0), (1, (x-1)(x^5 + 7x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 6x - 1) + 3(x^5 + 7x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 6x - 1)) \rangle$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic codes of type  $(2, 11; 2, 5; 1)$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) = 16$  and  $\text{ker}(\mathcal{C}) = 7$ .

As for the values of  $(r, k)$ , the existences of general  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive codes and  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic codes of type  $(2, 11; 2, 5; 1)$  are listed in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively.

**Table 4:**  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive codes

$r \backslash k$	12	13	14	15	16	17
12	•					
11		•				
10		•	•	•	•	•
9		•	•	•	•	•
8		•	•	•	•	•
7		•	•	•	•	•

## 7 Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the  $p$ -ary images (Gray images) of cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . The main contributions of this paper are the following:

- (1) We give the accurate expression of the rank and dimension of the kernel of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively (See Theorem 4 and Theorem 10).
- (2) We show that both of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic codes (See Theorem 5 and Theorem 9). Moreover, we get the generator polynomials of  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\langle \Phi(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$ , respectively (See Theorem 7 and Theorem 11).
- (3) According to the algebra structures of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code, we gave the accurate expression of its rank and dimension of the kernel, respectively.
- (4) Through some special factorizations  $x^n - 1$ , several classes of cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  have been classified by rank and the dimension of the kernel (See Table 1).
- (5) Compared with the general  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive code shown in [25], the rank and the dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$  cannot take all the possible values.

**Table 5:**  $\mathbb{Z}_3\mathbb{Z}_9$ -additive cyclic codes

$r \backslash k$	12	13	14	15	16	17
12						
11						
10						
9						
8						
7					•	•

- (6) We construct a  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -additive cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  of some given type and the number of the pair of its rank and the dimension of the kernel is at most 3 (See Theorem 13).

Besides, the readers are suggested to find the necessary and sufficient condition for the Gray image to be linear, which is helpful for modifying the generator polynomials mentioned in Theorem 7 and Theorem 11.

## References

1. Abualrub T., Siap I., Aydin N.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60(3), 1508-1514 (2014).
2. Aydogdu I., Siap I.: The structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive codes: bounds on the minimum distance. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 7(6), 2271-2278 (2013).
3. Aydogdu I., Siap I.: On  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive codes. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*. 63(10), 2089-2102 (2015).
4. Benbelkacema N., Borges J., Dougherty S. T., Fernández C.: On  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive complementary dual codes and related LCD codes. *Finite Fields Appl.* 62, 101622 (2020).
5. Bernal J. J., Borges J., Fernández C., Villanueva M.: Permutation decoding of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 76(2), 269-277 (2015).
6. Bilal M., Borges J., Dougherty S. T., Fernández C.: Maximum distance separable codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ . *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 61(1): 31-40 (2011).
7. Borges J., Fernández C.: A characterization of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes. *Des. Codes. Cryptogr.* 86(7), 1377-1389 (2018).
8. Borges J., Fernández., Ten-Valls R.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes, generator polynomials, and dual codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 62(11), 6348-6354 (2016).
9. Borges J., Dougherty S. T., Fernández C., Ten-Valls R.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes: kernel and rank. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 65(4), 2119-2127 (2019).
10. Borges J., Dougherty S. T., Fernández C., Ten-Valls R.: Binary images of  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 64(12), 7551-7556 (2018).
11. Borges J., Dougherty, S. T., Fernández C., Ten-Valls R.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive cyclic codes: kernel and rank. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*. 65(4), 2119-2127 (2019).
12. Calderbank A. R., Sloane N. J. A.: Modular and  $p$ -adic cyclic codes. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 6(1), 21-35 (1995).
13. Delsarte P.: An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory. *Philips Research Reports. Supplements* 10(2), 1-97(1973).
14. Dougherty S. T., Fernández C.: Kernels and ranks of cyclic and negacyclic quaternary codes. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 81(2), 347-364 (2016).
15. Dougherty S. T., Liu H., Yu L.: One weight  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive codes. *AAECC*. 27(2), 123-138 (2016).
16. Dougherty S. T., Rifà J., Villanueva M.: Ranks and kernels of codes from generalized Hadamard matrices. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 62(2), 687-694 (2016).
17. Dougherty S. T., Rifà J., Villanueva M.: Rank and kernel of additive generalised Hadamard codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 67(11), 7210-7220 (2021).
18. Fernández C., Pujol J., Villanueva M.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes: rank and kernel. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 56(1), 43-59 (2010).
19. Hammons A. R., Kumar P. V., Calderbank A. R., Sloane N. J. A., Solé P.: The  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 40(2), 301-319 (1994).
20. Lidl R., Niederreiter H.: *Finite Fields*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).
21. Ling S., Blackford J.T.:  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k+1}}$ -linear codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 48(9), 2592-2605 (2002).
22. Massey J. L.: Linear codes with complementary dual. *Discrete Math.* 106/107:337-342 (1992).
23. Shi M., Li S., Solé P.:  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive quasi-cyclic codes, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 67(11): 7232-7239 (2021).
24. Shi M., Wang, C., Wu R., Hu Y., Chang Y.: One-weight and two-weight  $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u, v]$ -additive codes, *Cryptography and Communications, Discrete Structures, Boolean Functions and Sequences*. 12(3), 443-454 (2020).

25. Shi M., Wang S., Li X.:  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  linear codes: rank and kernel. *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography*(2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-021-00947-8>.
26. Shi M., Wang X. Gray images of cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, submitted.
27. Shi M., Wu R., Krotov D. S.: On  $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -additive codes and their duality. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 65(6), 3841-3847 (2019).
28. Wan Z.. *Quaternary Codes*. World Scientific, Singapore (1997).
29. Wan Z.. *Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings*. World Scientific, Singapore (2003).
30. Wu R., Shi M.: Some classes of mixed alphabet codes with few weights, *IEEE Communications Letters*, 22(5), 1431-1434 (2021)