

Chinese Word Sense Embedding with SememeWSD and Synonym Set

Yangxi Zhou, Junping Du*, Zhe Xue, Ang Li, and Zeli Guan

Beijing Key Laboratory of Intelligent Telecommunication Software and Multimedia,
School of Computer Science (National Pilot School of Software Engineering),
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications,
Beijing 100876, China

Abstract. Word embedding is a fundamental natural language processing task which can learn feature of words. However, most word embedding methods assign only one vector to a word, even if polysemous words have multi-senses. To address this limitation, we propose SememeWSD Synonym (SWSDS) model to assign a different vector to every sense of polysemous words with the help of word sense disambiguation (WSD) and synonym set in OpenHowNet. We use the SememeWSD model, an unsupervised word sense disambiguation model based on OpenHowNet, to do word sense disambiguation and annotate the polysemous word with sense id. Then, we obtain top 10 synonyms of the word sense from OpenHowNet and calculate the average vector of synonyms as the vector of the word sense. In experiments, We evaluate the SWSDS model on semantic similarity calculation with Gensim’s wmdistance method. It achieves improvement of accuracy. We also examine the SememeWSD model on different BERT models to find the more effective model.

Keywords: Word Sense Disambiguation · Word Sense Embedding · Synonym Set.

1 Introduction

The purpose of word embedding is to learn the vector representation of a word in its context [1]. The learned word vectors can be used in subsequent natural language processing tasks, such as semantic similarity calculating [2,3,4] and sentiment analysis [5,6,7]. The quality of word vectors learned by word embedding method can directly influence the performance of these tasks. Therefore, improving the performance of word embedding is a critical issue for natural language understanding.

Researches show that polysemy accounts for about 42 percent of natural language. However, most traditional word embedding methods assume that each word corresponds to only one word vector, even if it is a polysemous word. It means that they are single-prototype word vectors. For example, word2vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) [8] is the most popular word embedding method that

* Corresponding author: Junping Du (junpingdu@126.com)

strikes a good balance between effectiveness and efficiency and assigns only one vector to each word. “Apple” is a typical polysemous word that has many senses. As suggested in Figure 1, on the one hand, “Apple” means “Computer” in the context “I am using an apple computer”. On the other hand, it means “Fruit” in the context “Apple is rich in nutrients”. As a result, single prototype word vectors cannot convey the different senses of polysemous words in different contexts.




Fig. 1. Different senses of "Apple" in different contexts.

To resolve issues mentioned above, Huang et al. (2012) [9] present a multi-prototype model based on neural network. Furthermore, Chen et al. (2014) [10] combine word sense representation with word sense disambiguation and they make use of the synonyms set in WordNet [11]. Word sense disambiguation aims to determine the specific sense of polysemous words in the context. In reality, OpenHowNet is the most famous Chinese/English sememe knowledge base which also annotate each word sense with the synonym set [12]. From previous studies, we learn that word sense disambiguation is beneficial to word sense embedding (WSE) and synonym set can convey the sense of the polysemous word to a certain extent.

In this paper, we intend to further incorporate word sense disambiguation and synonym set in OpenHowNet into word sense embedding for Chinese. To prove its feasibility, we present a SememeWSD-Synonym (SWSDS) model, which disambiguates polysemous words and represents it by synonym set. In experiments, we further study the SememeWSD model on WSD dataset and we also evaluate our model on semantic similarity. The experiment results show that our model outperforms the baseline method. This means that our model can improve word sense embedding for subsequent tasks with the help of word sense disambiguation and synonym set.

The key contributions of this paper can be concluded as follows:

- (1) We built a polysemous words' dictionary based on OpenHowNet to identify polysemous words in a given sentence.
- (2) We conducted extensive experiments on SememeWSD and found a more effective BERT model for word sense disambiguation dataset.
- (3) To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to utilize synonym set in OpenHowNet to improve word sense embedding.

2 Related Work

2.1 Word Embedding

With the rapid development of natural language processing, word embedding has become the most important method to obtain the effective representations of text words [13,14,15,16].

The one-hot representation is a method that represents each text word with a binary vector. As the construction is extremely simple, the one-hot representation has been widely used in some NLP tasks [17]. However, this representation method is likely to suffer from dimension curse [18].

To address this issue, Bengio et al. [18] presented the concept of word embedding. Afterwards, Mikolov et al. [8] proposed word2vec model based on neural network, including Skip-Gram and CBOW. It strikes a good balance between effectiveness and efficiency and assigns only one vector to each word. But the sense of a text word changes with the context. To solve the problem, Pennington et al. [19] present GloVe to combine the merits of the matrix factorization and the prediction-based methods. Embeddings from Language Model (EMLO) is the implementation of the deep contextualized word representations proposed by Matthew et al. [20]. Huang et al. [9] utilize multi-prototype vector models to learn word embedding and arrange a distinct vector for each word sense.

2.2 Word Sense Disambiguation and Word Sense Embedding

With the popularity of the natural language processing, word sense disambiguation has gradually emerged into our vision and becomes increasingly important to many tasks [21,22,23,24,25]. It aims to identify word sense in a context which contains two approaches. Supervised methods utilize classifiers for word sense disambiguation [26]. It requires large-scale human annotation data.

Instead, knowledge-based methods base on large external knowledge bases to find the most likely sense of a word. Agirre et al. [27] present a WSD algorithm based on random walks over large Lexical Knowledge Bases. Ustalov et al. [28] propose a model that first obtains sense embeddings from the word embeddings of the corresponding senses' synonyms in WordNet and then selects the sense that has the closest embedding similarity with the context. Chen et al. [10] take synsets in WordNet into consideration and do word sense disambiguation and word sense representation learning concurrently. Hou et al. [29] propose an unsupervised HowNet-based word sense disambiguation model with the help of

BERT model which is named SememeWSD. They also build an OpenHowNet-based WSD datasets.

In a word, many researchers have made progress in word embedding and word sense disambiguation recently [30,31,32,33,34,35,36]. In this paper, we utilize the SememeWSD to do word sense disambiguation and do word sense embedding with synonym set in OpenHowNet.

3 Methodology

We now describe the SWSDS model, our proposed approach for word sense embedding. We first give a brief introduction to synonyms, sememes and senses in OpenHowNet and SememeWSD. Then we present the architecture of our model and explain how the model works.

3.1 OpenHowNet and SememeWSD

OpenHowNet originates from HowNet, which is the most famous sememe knowledge base. It defines about 2,000 sememes and uses them to annotate senses of more than 100,000 Chinese words and phrases [12]. The senses of all the words in HowNet can be represented by a set of sememes. Smeme is defined as the minimum semantic unit of human language.

Sememe annotations in OpenHowNet for each sense looks like a tree, as illustrated in Figure 2. The word "Apple" has two senses including "Computer" and "Fruit". For the first sense "Computer", it is annotated with 3 sememes while the second is annotated with only 1 sememe.




Fig. 2. Sememe annotations of the word "Apple" in OpenHowNet.

According to the definition of sememe and the principle of OpenHowNet, sememes of a sense can convey its sense, and two senses with the same sememes are supposed to have the same meaning [29]. Therefore, in SememeWSD, the model first selects a set of substitution words which have a sense that has the same sememe annotations as the target sense and uses their average MLM prediction score to measure the possibility of the target sense in the context. Then

SememeWSD chooses the target sense whose average MLM prediction score is the highest as the correct sense in the context.




Fig. 3. Synonym set of the word “Apple” in OpenHowNet.

Furthermore, it is shown in Figure 3 that the synonym set in OpenHowNet contains some synonym senses annotated with the same sememes annotations as the word sense. As the factors mentioned above, the synonym set of a word sense can represent its sense and have the same meaning. In this paper, we utilize the word vector of the top 10 synonyms from the pre-trained Word2Vec model and calculate the average vector of synonyms as the word vector of the word sense.

3.2 SWSDS Model

The architecture of our model is shown in Figure 4. The SWSDS model can be described as a 2-stage process: word sense disambiguation and word sense embedding.

Preprocess As shown in Table 1, We build a Chinese polysemous words’ dictionary to help us preprocess which has been translated into English for explanation. After preprocessing, the original text data becomes a data format that the model can process which can be shown in Table 2.

Table 1. the polysemous words’ dictionary.

Full	Verb
Insist	Verb
Art	noun
...	...
Question	Noun




Fig. 4. The key process of SWSDS model.

Table 2. Require data format of "Apple is rich in nutrients" .

context	' target ₀ ', 'is', 'rich', 'in', 'nutrients'
part of speech	'n', 'v', 'a', 'p', 'n'
target word	Apple
target position	0
target word pos	n

Word sense disambiguation Given large amounts of text data, we first preprocess text to data form that SememeWSD requires. Then, we use SememeWSD to do word sense disambiguation, and annotate polysemous words in the context with sense id. Finally, we get a sentence with annotations. For example, the polysemous word w “Apple” in the context C “Apple is rich in nutrients” is annotated with id “244397” which means “Fruit”. That is to say, C “Apple is rich in nutrients” becomes C' “Apple=244397 is rich in nutrients”. The word sense disambiguation example in our model can be illustrated in Figure 5.




Fig. 5. The example of word sense disambiguation.

Word sense embedding Given annotated words in the context and pretrained word2vec model, we propose a simple algorithm to obtain the word vector of each annotated word: First, we acquire top 10 synonyms set S from OpenHowNet that have the same sememes annotation as the annotated word w' . Then, we obtain vectors $(V_i, i = 1...10)$ of these 10 synonyms with the pre-trained word2vec model. Last, we calculate the average vector $V_{average}$ of these 10 vectors as the sense vector $V_{w'}$ of the word annotated with sense w' and add it to the pretrained word2vec model. Formally, the sense vector $V_{w'}$ is computed as follows:

$$V_{w'} = V_{average} = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$$




Fig. 6. The example of word sense embedding.

The calculation process is suggested in Figure 6. After calculation, we obtain the vector of the word sense "Apple=244397" and add it to pretrained vectors. Finally, we finish the word sense embedding and have vectors model including "Apple=244397".

4 Experiment

In this section, we first experiment the SememeWSD model on the OpenHowNet-based WSD dataset, showing that there are more effective BERT model for SememeWSD. Then we evaluate our SWSDS model on LCQMC(A Large scale Chinese Question Matching Corpus) [37] dataset with semantic similarity calculation.

4.1 SememeWSD Experiment

We use the HowNet-based WSD dataset to evaluate different BERT models [38,39,40,41]. It is proposed by Hou et al. in their paper which is built on the Chinese Word Sense Annotated Corpus used in SemEval-2007 task 5 [42], including 2, 969 items for 36 target polysemous words (17 nouns and 19 verbs).

Table 3 shows that Chinese-BERT-wwm model outperforms all the others in F1-scores for nouns words and Macro-F1 score for verbs words. Meanwhile, Chinese- RoBERTa-wwm-ext model achieves the best performance on Micro-F1 for verbs.

Table 3. WSD F1 scores of Chinese BERT model.

Model	Nouns		Verbs	
	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Micro-F1	Macro-F1
Random	38.5	36.1	23.2	22.8
Dense	52.3	39.0	35.1	33.0
BERT-base	53.7	41.7	52.4	48.0
TinyBERT	36.0	24.7	20.4	16.3
ALBERT-tiny	47.9	36.1	38.9	33.0
ALBERT-base	51.9	39.3	42.3	37.4
DistilBERT	52.0	39.1	41.6	35.2
Chinese-BERT-wwm	54.4	42.3	53.6	49.2
Chinese-BERT-wwm-ext	54.0	42.0	53.8	48.5
Chinese-RoBERTa-wwm-ext	53.2	40.8	54.1	49.2

Table 4 illustrates that the Chinese-BERT-wwm model achieves 53.9% accuracy while the ALBERT-tiny model is 2.22 times faster than BERT-base model. Therefore, we use Chinese-BERT-wwm model instead of BERT-base model in experiment.

Table 4. WSD results and speed of Chinese BERT model.

Model	All			Speed
	Micro-F1	Macro-F1	Accuracy	
Random	29.1	29.1	29.1%	
Dense	41.7	35.8	41.7%	
BERT-base	52.9	45.0	53.0%	1x
TinyBERT	26.4	20.3	26.4%	
ALBERT-tiny	42.4	34.5	42.4%	2.22x
ALBERT-base	46.0	38.3	46%	1.06x
DistilBERT	45.6	37.1	45.6%	
Chinese-BERT-wwm	53.8	46.0	53.9%	0.91x
Chinese-BERT-wwm-ext	53.9	45.5	53.86%	1.01x
Chinese-RoBERTa-wwm-ext	53.8	45.2	53.8%	

4.2 Semantic Similarity Calculation Evaluation

In this section, we calculate semantic similarity with two baseline methods and SWSDS method.

Experimental setting We choose LCQMC dataset to evaluate our model. We use wmdistance method in gensim [43] library to calculate semantic similarity. The wmdistance method is implementation of the Word Mover’s Distance

(WMD) algorithm [44] which is a novel distance function between text documents. The WMD distance measures the semantic dissimilarity between two text documents.

Baseline method We compare our model with a high-quality word2vec model pre-trained by Tencent AILab [45]. It provides 100-dimension vectors for over 12 million Chinese words and phrases, which are pre-trained on large-scale high-quality data.

Table 5 shows the results of our model and the baseline method. From the table, we can find that our model outperforms the baseline method (about 4 points higher than baseline method).

Table 5. Semantic similarity calculation results.

Method	Accuracy
Baseline	67.9%
SWSDS	71.9%

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we present a word sense embedding method based on word sense disambiguation and synonym set. In addition, we build a polysemous words dictionary to identify polysemous words in sentences. Experimental results show that our model improves the performance of semantic similarity compared to the baseline method.

References

1. Jiao Q, Zhang S. A brief survey of word embedding and its recent development[C]//2021 IEEE 5th Advanced Information Technology, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (IAEAC). IEEE, 2021, 5: 1697-1701.
2. Li A, Du J, Kou F, Xue Z, Xu X, Xu M, Jiang Y. Scientific and Technological Information Oriented Semantics-adversarial and Media-adversarial Cross-media Retrieval. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.08615. 2022.
3. Kou F, Du J, He Y, Ye L. Social network search based on semantic analysis and learning. CAAI transactions on intelligence technology. 2016,1(4):293-302.
4. Xu M, Du J, Xue Z, Kou F, Xu X. A semi-supervised semantic-enhanced framework for scientific literature retrieval. Neurocomputing. 2021,461:450-61.
5. Balikas G, Moura S, Amini MR. Multitask learning for fine-grained twitter sentiment analysis. In Proceedings of the 40th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval, 2017: 1005-1008.
6. Ma Z, Du J, Zhou Y. Sentiment analysis based on evaluation of tourist attractions. In Proceedings of the 2015 Chinese Intelligent Systems Conference, 2016: 375-382.

7. Ye H, Du J. Opinion leader mining of social network combined with hierarchical sentiment analysis. In Chinese Intelligent Automation Conference, 2017, 639-646.
8. T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. S. Corrado, and J. Dean. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. In ICLR (Workshop Poster), 2013.
9. Eric H Huang, Richard Socher, Christopher D Manning, and Andrew Y Ng. 2012. Improving word representations via global context and multiple word prototypes. In Proceedings of ACL. pages 873–882.
10. Chen, Xinxiong, Liu, Zhiyuan, Sun, Maosong. (2014). A Unified Model for Word Sense Representation and Disambiguation. 1025-1035. 10.3115/v1/D14-1110.
11. Miller G A, Beckwith R, Fellbaum C, et al. Introduction to WordNet: An on-line lexical database[J]. International journal of lexicography, 1990, 3(4): 235-244.
12. Fanchao Qi, Chenghao Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Qiang Dong, Maosong Sun, and Zhen-dong Dong. 2019b. Open-HowNet: An open sememe-based lexical knowledge base. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.09957.
13. Shi C, Han X, Song L, Wang X, Wang S, Du J, Philip SY. Deep collaborative filtering with multi-aspect information in heterogeneous networks. IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering. 2019,33(4):1413-25.
14. Li W, Jia Y, Du J. Distributed consensus extended Kalman filter: a variance-constrained approach. IET Control Theory & Applications. 2017,11(3):382-9.
15. Xu L, Du J, Li Q. Image fusion based on nonsubsampling contourlet transform and saliency-motivated pulse coupled neural networks. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2013.
16. Meng D, Jia Y, Du J. Consensus seeking via iterative learning for multi-agent systems with switching topologies and communication time-delays. International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control. 2016,26(17):3772-90.
17. F. Sebastiani. Machine learning in automated text categorization. ACM Computing Surveys, 34(1):1–47, 2002.
18. Y. Bengio, R. Ducharme, P. Vincent, and C. Janvin. A neural probabilistic language model. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 3(6):1137–1155, 2003.
19. Pennington J, Socher R, Manning C D. Glove: Global vectors for word representation[C]//Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP). 2014: 1532-1543.
20. Matthew E. Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Deep Contextualized Word Representations. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 2227–2237, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
21. Iacobacci I, Pilehvar MT, Navigli R. Embeddings for word sense disambiguation: An evaluation study. In Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. 2016: 897-907.
22. Raganato A, Bovi CD, Navigli R. Neural sequence learning models for word sense disambiguation. In Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing, 2017: 1156-1167.
23. Lin P, Jia Y, Du J, Yu F. Average consensus for networks of continuous-time agents with delayed information and jointly-connected topologies. In 2009 American Control Conference, 2009: 3884-3889.
24. Li Q, Du J, Song F, Wang C, Liu H, Lu C. Region-based multi-focus image fusion using the local spatial frequency. In 2013 25th Chinese control and decision conference (CCDC), 2013: 3792-3796.

25. Li W, Jia Y, Du J. Distributed extended Kalman filter with nonlinear consensus estimate. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*. 2017;354(17):7983-95.
26. Yoong Keok Lee, Hwee Tou Ng, and Tee Kiah Chia. 2004. Supervised word sense disambiguation with support vector machines and multiple knowledge sources. In *Proceedings of SENSEVAL-3*. Pages 137–140.
27. Agirre E, López de Lacalle O, Soroa A. Random walks for knowledge-based word sense disambiguation[J]. *Computational Linguistics*, 2014, 40(1): 57-84.
28. Ustalov D, Teslenko D, Panchenko A, et al. An unsupervised word sense disambiguation system for under-resourced languages[J]. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.10686*, 2018.
29. Bairu Hou, Fanchao Qi, Yuan Zang, Xurui Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2020. Try to Substitute: An Unsupervised Chinese Word Sense Disambiguation Method Based on OpenHowNet. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1752–1757, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
30. Niu Y, Xie R, Liu Z, et al. Improved Word Representation Learning with Sememes[C]// *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 2017.
31. Barba E, Procopio L, Navigli R. ConSeC: Word sense disambiguation as continuous sense comprehension[C]//*Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 2021: 1492-1503.
32. Bevilacqua M, Pasini T, Raganato A, et al. Recent trends in word sense disambiguation: A survey[C]//*Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-21*. International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Inc, 2021.
33. Zhang Y, Gong L, Wang Y. Chinese word sense disambiguation using HowNet[C]//*International Conference on Natural Computation*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005: 925-932.
34. Huang L, Sun C, Qiu X, et al. GlossBERT: BERT for word sense disambiguation with gloss knowledge[J]. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07245*, 2019.
35. Tian F, Dai H, Bian J, et al. A probabilistic model for learning multi-prototype word embeddings[C]//*Proceedings of COLING 2014, the 25th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*. 2014: 151-160.
36. Li Y, Yang T. Word embedding for understanding natural language: a survey[M]//*Guide to big data applications*. Springer, Cham, 2018: 83-104.
37. Xin Liu, Qingcai Chen, Chong Deng, Huajun Zeng, Jing Chen, Dongfang Li, and Buzhou Tang. 2018. LCQMC: A Large-scale Chinese Question Matching Corpus. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1952–1962, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
38. Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
39. Lan Z, Chen M, Goodman S, et al. Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations[J]. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11942*, 2019.
40. Sanh V, Debut L, Chaumond J, et al. DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter[J]. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108*, 2019.

41. Cui Y, Che W, Liu T, et al. Pre-training with whole word masking for chinese bert[J]. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2021, 29: 3504-3514.
42. Peng J, Wu Y, Yu S. SemEval-2007 Task 05: Multilingual Chinese-English Lexical Sample. *Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2007.
43. Rehurek R, Sojka P. Software framework for topic modelling with large corpora[C]//In *Proceedings of the LREC 2010 workshop on new challenges for NLP frameworks*. 2010.
44. Matt J. Kusner, Yu Sun, Nicholas I. Kolkin, and Kilian Q. Weinberger. 2015. From word embeddings to document distances. In *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 37 (ICML'15)*. JMLR.org, 957-966.
45. Yan Song, Shuming Shi, Jing Li, and Haisong Zhang. 2018. Directional Skip-Gram: Explicitly Distinguishing Left and Right Context for Word Embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers)*, pages 175-180, New Orleans, Louisiana. *Association for Computational Linguistics*.