

$f(\bar{R}, T)$ –gravity in the context of dark energy

Arijit Panda^{a*} and Md. Rabiul Islam[†]

Department of Physics, Raiganj University, Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur-733 134, West Bengal, India.

Chayan Ranjit[‡]

*Department of Mathematics, Egra S S B College,
Egra, Purba Medinipur-721429, West Bengal, India*

Goutam Manna^{b§}

*^aDepartment of Physics, Prabhat Kumar College, Contai, Purba Medinipur-721404, India
(June 2022)*

In this article, we have studied the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ –gravity in the context of dark energy using the **K**-essence model, which is a model to investigate the effect of the dark energy in the cosmological scenarios, where \bar{R} is the Ricci scalar of the **K**-essence geometry and T is trace of the energy-momentum tensor of this geometry. We have used the Dirac-Born-Infeld type non-canonical Lagrangian to produce the **K**-essence emergent gravity metric ($\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$), which is non-conformally equivalent to the usual gravitational metric ($g_{\mu\nu}$). The modified field equations of the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ –gravity and the corresponding Friedmann equations are different from the usual $f(R, T)$ –gravity, where we consider the background gravitational metric to be flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker type. To obtain the equation of state (EoS) parameter (ω), we have solved the Friedmann equations by considering $f(\bar{R}, T) \equiv f(\bar{R}) + f(T) = f(\bar{R}) + \lambda T$, where λ is a model parameter. For different choices of the form of $f(\bar{R})$, we achieved the relationship between ω and time, where we considered the dark energy density (ϕ^2) to be a function of time, satisfying the restriction imposed on ϕ^2 . From plots of the EoS parameter with time, we show that our model is compatible with the observational data obtained from SNIa+BAO+H(z) observations for a particular range of time.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.50.-h, 04.50.Kd

I. INTRODUCTION

The observational data from type Ia Supernova (SNe Ia), baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO), cosmic microwave background (WMAP7), Planck results [1–4], clearly indicates that the late time universe is accelerated and dark energy dominated, which indirectly challenged the usual gravitational theories. In recent days, many researchers have been working on other aspects of gravitation theories and achieving their solutions, which showed the cosmic acceleration. There are some theoretical models, where the standard Einstein-Hilbert action has been replaced by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R [5–7], have been thoroughly investigated. The existence of cosmic acceleration of the Universe at later time can be explained by $f(R)$ gravity [5]. Moreover, the observational discoveries indicate that the universe is almost spatially flat in nature. It seems also that the present universe is consists of about 70 percent of dark energy (DE) which is one of the reasons for the cosmic acceleration. To define the behaviour of mass/energy density ρ of any given mass/energy component we use the equation of state (EoS) parameter ω , which is defined by the equation $p = \omega\rho$. The EoS parameter ω for non-relativistic matter should be $\omega = 0$, $\omega = \frac{1}{3}$ for radiation or relativistic matter and $\omega = -1$ for cosmological constant [8]. But in principle, it can have any value, and it can change with respect to time. For simple cases we can take $\omega = 0$ for matter dominated epoch, $\omega = \frac{1}{3}$ for radiation dominated epoch, $\omega \leq -1$ for dark energy dominated epoch.

Relevant theories such as $f(R)$, $f(G)$ and $f(R, G)$ [6, 7, 9–18] modified the usual gravity theory, where G is the Gauss-Bonnet invariant term. The conditions of the acceptance of the feasible cosmological models have been discussed in [12], and rigorous weak field constraints given by the classical tests of general relativity for the Solar system regime ruled out most of the models brought into light so far [19, 20]. However, some acceptable models, passing Solar system tests can be found in [11, 21]. $f(R)$ models which agreed with the local tests of the Solar system and unify inflation with dark energy were taken into consideration in [13, 22, 23]. In the background of $f(R)$ gravity models the chances

* arijitpanda260195@gmail.com

† rabi76.mri@gmail.com

‡ chayanranjit@gmail.com

§ goutammanna.pkc@gmail.com

^bCorresponding author

that the galactic dynamic of massive test particles can be known without the necessity for dark matter was developed in [24, 25]. Reviews of $f(R)$ generalized gravity models can be seen in [6, 26]. A more earmarked application, named $f(R, L_m)$ gravity was proposed in [27, 28], which may be taken as a relativistically invariant model of interacting dark energy, relied on the principle of least action. Recently, based on the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) variety of action, Panda et. al. [29] have been developed a generalization of $f(R)$ models in the context of \mathbf{K} -essence geometry, the $f(\bar{R}, L(X))$ gravity, where \bar{R} is the Ricci scalar and $L(X)$ is the DBI type non-canonical Lagrangian having the expression $X = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi$ with ϕ being the scalar field of the \mathbf{K} -essence geometry. The cosmological constant present in the gravitational Lagrangian is nothing but a function of the trace of the stress-energy tensor, and more often this model is denoted “ $\Lambda(T)$ Gravity” [30, 31]. It was accomplished that current cosmological data graced a variable cosmological constant, which are compatible with $\Lambda(T)$ gravity, without specifying an exact form of the function $\Lambda(T)$ [30].

In 2011, Harko et al. [32] introduced another alternative gravitation theory known as $f(R, T)$ gravity. This is also a generalization of $f(R)$ gravity where T is the trace of stress-energy tensor. Because of the consideration of stress-energy tensor as a source, the motion of the particles does not follow the geodesic path as there comes an extra force perpendicular to the four-velocity which acts on the test particle. The precession of the perihelion of the planet Mercury has been used to obtain a general constraint on the magnitude of the extra acceleration. Actually in [32], the authors derived the field equations of their model using Hilbert-Einstein type variational principle and also obtained the covariance divergence of the stress-energy tensor. This model depends on a source term which is basically the matter Lagrangian (L_m) and obviously one can obtain different field equation for different Lagrangian. The authors also have investigated some of the popular models for different choices of $f(R)$ including the scalar field model $f(R, T^\phi)$. This theory also relates the cosmic acceleration, not only due to the contribution of geometrical terms, but also to the matter contents [32]. In [33], it has been discussed that due to the conservation of stress-energy tensor, T cannot be chosen arbitrarily but it has a special form. The thermodynamics of this model has been studied in [76]. In [35], the cosmological re-formation of $f(R, T)$ describing the transition from matter dominated epoch to the late-time accelerated era of the universe is carried out. Also in the same way to pursue cosmological implications based on this theory, $f(R, T)$ function has been analytically reproduced according to holographic dark energy [36]. Jamil et. al. [37], has been shown that for considering dust universe, it generates Λ CDM with phantom, non-phantom and the $f(R, T)$ with phantom theory. The general procedure to perform this re-production [37] of the Λ CDM model in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmological evolution is thoroughly developed in [38, 39]. The $f(R, T)$ models that are capable to simulate the fourth known types of future finite-time singularities have been investigated [40]. The authors found that, the divergence of the curvature singularity time, quantum effects can be introduced. Sahoo et. al. [41] have studied Bianchi-III and Bianchi- VI_0 cosmological models with string fluid source in $f(R, T)$ gravity. They have established the field equations using a time varying deceleration parameter and investigated some of the dynamical and physical behaviours of their model. Singh and Bishi [42, 43] have investigated the first and second class of $f(R, T)$ gravity applicable for the anisotropic Bianchi type-I space time. They also have discussed the power law expansion (polynomial and exponential) and generalized form of scale factor to solve the field equations. After them Harko [32] and other researchers investigated $f(R, T)$ theory for different matter distributions [44–47]. In this context, Mirza et. al. [48] have been studied the dynamical system of the $f(R, T)$ gravity. They have discussed equations of motion and future singularities for a barotropic perfect fluid and a dark energy like fluid assuming conservation of energy. In this paper, they have also found that for the barotropic fluid, there exists no future singularity while some kinds of singularity possibly exist for the dark energy such as fluid due to the new degrees of freedom in some choices of the equation of state. Moraes et. al. [49] and Sahoo et al. [41] have been studied the Wormholes and a Bianchi type universe model in the context of string theory using $f(R, T)$ gravity respectively. Zubair et al. [50] also have studied $f(R, T)$ gravity admitting conformal Killing vectors and Bianchi type III universe model with a cosmological constant in $f(R, T)$ theory has been studied by Singh et. al. [51].

On the other hand, based on the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) [52–54] variety of action, Manna et. al. [55–57] have found the simplest form of the \mathbf{K} -essence emergent gravity metric $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$ which is not obviously conformally equivalent to the usual gravitational metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The \mathbf{K} -essence theory is a non-standard scalar field theory. In the \mathbf{K} -essence theory [58–64], the kinetic energy of the \mathbf{K} -essence scalar field dominates over the potential energy of this field. It is to be mentioned that the \mathbf{K} -essence model is one of the models to investigate the effect of dark energy in the present universe. The differences between the \mathbf{K} -essence theory with non-canonical kinetic terms and the relativistic field theories with canonical kinetic terms lie in the non-trivial dynamical solutions of the \mathbf{K} -essence equation of motion, which not only spontaneously *break Lorentz invariance* but change the metric also for the perturbations around these solutions. The theoretical form of the \mathbf{K} -essence field Lagrangian is non-canonical and it ensures the non-dependency of the Lagrangian on the field explicitly. Thus, the perturbations propagate in the so-called *emergent or analogue* curved spacetime with the metric. The general form of the Lagrangian for the \mathbf{K} -essence model is: $L = -V(\phi)F(X)$ where ϕ is the \mathbf{K} -essence scalar field and $X = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla^\nu\phi$. The motivation behind using the \mathbf{K} -essence model

lies in its ability to get rid off the cosmological coincidence problem which says, why does the strange dark energy component possesses a tiny energy density ($O(meV^4)$) compared to the simple expectation based on quantum field theory? The non-linear kinetic energy of **K**-essence brings the negative pressure from its dynamics only. A detail discussion on the motivation of **K**- essence has been given in [29].

In this paper, we tried to examine the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ theory of gravity in the context of dark energy (using **K**-essence theory). Based on the DBI type non-canonical Lagrangian $L(X)$, we discuss the **K**-essence theory. We have discussed a more general $f(\bar{R}, T)$ theory by the metric formalism in the **K**-essence geometry, where \bar{R} is the Ricci scalar of this geometry. We have found the modified Friedmann equations in $f(\bar{R}, T)$ gravity for the **K**-essence scalar field. We have described the corresponding pressure and energy density for a generalised form of $f(\bar{R})$ from modified Friedmann equations. Then taking various choices of $f(\bar{R})$, we have derived the EoS parameter and plotted the variation of the EoS parameter with time. We also matched the data obtained from those graph with the recent observational data of SNIa+BAO+H(z)[70]

This paper is embodied in the following way: In section-2, we have briefly discussed about the **K**- essence emergent geometry with the help of [55–62]. In section-3, we have derived the modified Friedmann equation for $f(R, \bar{T})$ gravity in the context of dark energy (**K**-essence model). We have discussed the variations of EoS parameter for different choices of $f(\bar{R})$ in section 4. The next section i.e., in section 5, we have matched our results with the observational data from [70]. The last section is the conclusion of our work. Also, we have written the expression of full form of the EoS parameters in **Appendix A** and described the brief review of $f(R)$ and $f(R, T)$ gravities in **Appendix B**.

II. BRIEF REVIEW OF K-ESSENCE THEORY

We have prepared a brief review of the **K**-essence geometry of spacetime in this section. The **K**-essence scalar field ϕ has the following form of action, when it couples minimally with the background spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$: [59, 60, 62]

$$S_k[\phi, g_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} L(X, \phi) \quad (1)$$

where $X = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi$ is the canonical kinetic term and $L(X, \phi)$ is the non-canonical Lagrangian. Then from the definition, the energy-momentum tensor takes the form as:

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_k}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = -2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} + g_{\mu\nu} L = -L_X \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + g_{\mu\nu} L \quad (2)$$

$L_X = \frac{dL}{dX}$, $L_{XX} = \frac{d^2L}{dX^2}$, $L_\phi = \frac{dL}{d\phi}$ and ∇_μ is the covariant derivative defined with respect to the gravitational metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The scalar field equation of motion is

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_k}{\delta \phi} = G^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi + 2X L_{X\phi} - L_\phi = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$G^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{c_s}{L_X^2} [L_X g^{\mu\nu} + L_{XX} \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \phi] \quad (4)$$

with $1 + \frac{2XL_{XX}}{L_X} > 0$ and $c_s^2(X, \phi) \equiv (1 + 2X \frac{L_{XX}}{L_X})^{-1}$.

The inverse metric is

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{L_X}{c_s} [g_{\mu\nu} - c_s^2 \frac{L_{XX}}{L_X} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi]. \quad (5)$$

Also, a conformal transformation [55, 56] $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{c_s}{L_X} G_{\mu\nu}$ gives,

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{L_{XX}}{L_X + 2XL_{XX}} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi \quad (6)$$

Using equation (5), the effective emergent metrics (6) can be written as [62]

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = \left(1 - \frac{LL_{XX}}{L_X(L_X + 2XL_{XX})} \right) g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{L_{XX}}{L_X(L_X + 2XL_{XX})} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (7)$$

It is to be noted that $L_X \neq 0$ for a positive definite c_s^2 , has to be hold to make the equations (4) – (7) physically meaningful.

Eqs. (6) and (7) say that, the emergent metric $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$ we have derived is in general conformally different from $g_{\mu\nu}$ for non-trivial spacetime configurations of ϕ . So like canonical scalar fields, ϕ here also has different properties with the local causal structure. It is also distinct from those defined with $g_{\mu\nu}$. Furthermore, if we take *the non-explicit dependency of L on ϕ is considered* then the equation of motion (3) reduces to;

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_k}{\delta \phi} = \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi = 0 \quad (8)$$

Considering the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) type non-canonical Lagrangian [52, 55–57]

$$L(X, \phi) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2X} \quad (9)$$

The **K**-essence geometry declares the domination of kinetic energy over potential energy, hence the potential term in our Lagrangian (9) is cut off and the value of the c_s^2 is $(1 - 2X)$. Thus, effective emergent metric (6) becomes

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi = g_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi, \quad (10)$$

since ϕ is a scalar. Using Eq. (2) we can write (10) in terms of $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla_\mu \phi$ as

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L = L_X \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + T_{\mu\nu} - L \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi. \quad (11)$$

Following [55] the new Christoffel symbols can be related to the old ones by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha &= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + (1 - 2X)^{-1/2} \bar{G}^{\alpha\gamma} \left[\bar{G}_{\mu\gamma} \partial_\nu (1 - 2X)^{1/2} + \bar{G}_{\nu\gamma} \partial_\mu (1 - 2X)^{1/2} - \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \partial_\gamma (1 - 2X)^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2(1 - 2X)} \left[\delta_\mu^\alpha \partial_\nu + \delta_\nu^\alpha \partial_\mu \right] X. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The geodesic equation for the **K**-essence theory in terms of the new Christoffel connections $\bar{\Gamma}$ now becomes

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where λ is an affine parameter.

Introducing the covariant derivative D_μ [59] associated with the emergent metric $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$ ($D_\alpha \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$) we get,

$$D_\mu A_\nu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda A_\lambda \quad (14)$$

and the inverse emergent metric is $\bar{G}^{\mu\nu}$ such as $\bar{G}_{\mu\lambda} \bar{G}^{\lambda\nu} = \delta_\mu^\nu$.

Therefore, the “emergent” Einstein’s equation is

$$\bar{E}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (15)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$ is constant, $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is Ricci tensor and \bar{R} ($= \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}$) is the Ricci scalar of the emergent spacetime.

III. $f(\bar{R}, T)$ GRAVITY IN **K**-ESSENCE GEOMETRY

Let’s take the action of the modified gravity in the context of the **K**-essence geometry, which takes the following form ($\kappa = 1$)

$$S = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-\bar{G}} \left[f(\bar{R}, T) + L(X) \right], \quad (16)$$

where $f(\bar{R}, T)$ is an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar (\bar{R}) and trace of the energy-momentum tensor ($T = T^{\mu\nu} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$) and $L(X)$ is the non-canonical Lagrangian corresponding to the **K**-essence theory and $(-\bar{G})^{1/2} = (-\det(\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}))^{1/2}$.

Varying the action we get,

$$\delta S = \int \left[F(\bar{R}, T) \delta \bar{R} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) \frac{\delta T}{\delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + \delta(-\bar{G}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{G}}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-\bar{G}} L(X))}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \right] \sqrt{-\bar{G}} d^4 x, \quad (17)$$

where $F(\bar{R}, T) = \partial f(\bar{R}, T)/\partial \bar{R}$ and $f_T(\bar{R}, T) = \partial f(\bar{R}, T)/\partial T$ respectively. Following [28, 29, 31, 32], the variation of the Ricci scalar for the \mathbf{K} -essence emergent spacetime

$$\delta \bar{R} = \delta(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}) = \delta \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \left(D_\lambda \delta \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - D_\nu \delta \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}^\lambda \right); \quad (18)$$

where

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\alpha - \partial_\alpha \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\mu}^\alpha \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\beta - \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\beta, \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta} [\partial_\mu \bar{G}_{\beta\nu} + \partial_\nu \bar{G}_{\mu\beta} - \partial_\beta \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}] \quad (20)$$

and the variation of $\delta \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ is

$$\delta \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{\lambda\alpha} [D_\mu \delta \bar{G}_{\nu\alpha} + D_\nu \delta \bar{G}_{\mu\alpha} - D_\alpha \delta \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}]. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the expression for the variation of Ricci scalar $\delta \bar{R}$ is

$$\delta \bar{R} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} D_\alpha D^\alpha \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - D_\mu D_\nu \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

Putting the Eq. (22) in Eq.(17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = \int \left[F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\square} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - f(\bar{R}, T) D_\mu D_\nu \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) \frac{\delta T}{\delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} F(\bar{R}, T) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-G}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-G} L(X))}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \right] \sqrt{-G} d^4 x \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where, $\bar{\square} = D_\mu D^\mu$ and D_μ is the covariant derivative with respect to the metric $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$.

Again following [32], we have

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial(T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta})}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} = T_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial T_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (25)$$

Using (24) in (23)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = \int \left[F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\square} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - F(\bar{R}, T) D_\mu D_\nu \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) T_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} f(\bar{R}, T) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-G}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-G} L(X))}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \right] \sqrt{-G} d^4 x. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Now we evaluate the term $\frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}}$ as:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-T_{\mu\nu} + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L + D_\mu \phi D_\nu \phi \right) \left(1 + D_\alpha \phi D^\alpha \phi \right) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-G}} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-G} L(X))}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L(X) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-T + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L + D_\mu \phi D_\nu \phi \right) \left(1 + D_\alpha \phi D^\alpha \phi \right). \quad (28)$$

Ultimately equation (26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = \int \left[F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + F(\bar{R}, T) \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\square} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - F(\bar{R}, T) D_\mu D_\nu \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) T_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} + f_T(\bar{R}, T) \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} \delta \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} f(\bar{R}, T) + -\frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L(X) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-T + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L + D_\mu \phi D_\nu \phi \right) \left(1 + D_\alpha \phi D^\alpha \phi \right) \right] \sqrt{-G} d^4 x. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

After partially integrating 2nd and 3rd term and applying least action principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R}, T)\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} + (\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\square} - D_\mu D_\nu)F - \frac{1}{2}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L + D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi L)(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) \\ = \frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu} - f_T T_{\mu\nu} - f_T T_{\mu\nu} - f_T \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This is the modified field equation of our case. The result obtained by Harko [32](equation (11)), Sahoo [31] (equation 4) and other authors for general $f(R, T)$ gravity is different from what we obtained here. One can easily get back those equations if one ignores the \mathbf{K} -essence scalar field related term i.e., $\phi = 0$ and $L(X) = L_m$.

By contracting equation (30) we get,

$$F\bar{R} - 2f(\bar{R}, T) + 3\bar{\square}F + 2L + 2L(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) + \frac{1}{2}D_\mu\phi D^\nu\phi L(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) = \frac{1}{2}T - f_T T - f_T \bar{\Theta} \quad (31)$$

where $\bar{\Theta} = \bar{\Theta}_\mu^\mu$.

Multiplying equation (30) by 3, equation (31) by $\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}$ and subtracting the former from the later and simplifying,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{R}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{6}f(\bar{R}, T)\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L - \frac{1}{6}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) - \frac{1}{6}D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi L(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) \\ = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}T\bar{G}_{\mu\nu})(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) - f_T(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}T\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}) - f_T(\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Theta}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Taking covariant derivative of equation (32) and from the geometrical point of view [32, 69] we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}D^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi - f_T} \left[(D^\mu f_T)(T_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}D^\mu \left((\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L + D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi)(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) \right) \right. \\ \left. - D^\mu \left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L \right) - \frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}D^\mu(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Now, using Eqs. (2) and (10) we get

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = -L_X \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi + g_{\alpha\beta}L = -L_X \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi + \bar{G}_{\alpha\beta}L + \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi L. \quad (34)$$

From Eq. (26) of [29] we can write,

$$L_X \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi (1 + D_\rho\phi D^\rho\phi) = 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta}}. \quad (35)$$

Using Eq. (34) and (35) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} = - \frac{2}{(1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi)} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu} \partial \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \left(\frac{2}{1 + D_\alpha\phi D^\alpha\phi} \right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta}} + \bar{G}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \\ + \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi \frac{\partial L}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} - L \bar{G}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} + L \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \left(\partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

From (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \left(L_X \partial_\rho\phi \partial^\rho\phi \right) - L_X \partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi \left(\frac{\partial \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \right) + \left(4 + \partial_\rho\phi \partial^\rho\phi \right) \left[- \frac{1}{2} \left(-T_{\mu\nu} + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}L \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + D_\mu\phi D_\nu\phi L \right) \left(1 + D_\rho\phi D^\rho\phi \right) \right] - 4L \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} + L \bar{G}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha\phi \partial_\beta\phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Considering the flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric as a background gravitational metric ($g_{\mu\nu}$), we can write the line element as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \sum_{i=1}^3 (dx^i)^2, \quad (38)$$

where $a(t)$ is the usual scale factor. Reminding Eq. 10, we can write the components of the emergent gravity metric as

$$\bar{G}_{00} = (1 - \dot{\phi}^2); \quad \bar{G}_{ii} = -(a^2(t) + (\phi')^2); \quad \bar{G}_{0i} = -\dot{\phi}\phi' = \bar{G}_{i0}, \quad (39)$$

where we consider $\phi \equiv \phi(t, x^i)$, $\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ and $\phi' = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i}$. Admitting the homogeneity of **K**-essence scalar field we choose it to be a function of time only i.e., $\phi = \phi(t)$ [29, 71] we can say that $\partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi = D_\rho \phi D^\rho \phi = \dot{\phi}^2$. As the dynamical solutions of the **K**-essence scalar fields spontaneously break the Lorentz symmetry, it is meaningful to take the choice as mentioned above. Therefore, following [29], we can write the **K**-essence emergent line element as

$$dS^2 = (1 - \dot{\phi}^2)dt^2 - a^2(t) \sum_{i=1}^3 (dx^i)^2, \quad (40)$$

and the Hubble parameter ($H(t)$) can be expressed in terms of scalar field as

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = H(t) = -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)}, \quad (41)$$

with the fact that $\dot{a} \neq 0$. We should pay attention to the value of $\dot{\phi}^2$ in equation (40). It is obvious that $\dot{\phi}^2 < 1$ should always hold true to get a meaningful signature of the emergent metric (40). Also $\dot{\phi}^2 \neq 0$ condition should be hold true to apply the **K**-essence theory. The non-zero value of $\dot{\phi}^2$ is also required to consider $\dot{\phi}^2$ as dark energy density ($\Omega_{darkenergy}$) in units of the critical density, where $\Omega_{matter} + \Omega_{radiation} + \Omega_{darkenergy} = 1$ is always true. [55–57, 73] supports the fact that $\dot{\phi}^2$ is considered as ($\Omega_{darkenergy}$) and therefore should take values between $0 < \dot{\phi}^2 < 1$.

Following the similar mathematical process as [29], we can write the Ricci tensors as,

$$\bar{R}_{ii} = -\frac{a^2}{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \left[\dot{H} + H^2(3 - \dot{\phi}^2) \right] = -\frac{a^2}{(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)} \left[\frac{1}{6} \bar{R}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + H^2 \right] \quad (42)$$

and

$$\bar{R}_{00} = 3 \left[\dot{H} + H^2(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) \right] = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) \bar{R} - 3H^2, \quad (43)$$

where \bar{R} is the Ricci scalar for emergent gravity metric and is given by

$$\bar{R} = \frac{6}{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \left[\dot{H} + H^2(2 - \dot{\phi}^2) \right]. \quad (44)$$

If we assume that the energy momentum tensor have the form of an ideal fluid, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu^\nu &= \text{diag}(\rho, -p, -p, -p) = (\rho + p)u_\mu u^\nu - \delta_\mu^\nu p \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{G}_{\mu\alpha} T_\nu^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where p is pressure and ρ is the matter density of the cosmic fluid. In the co-moving frame we have $u^0 = 1$ and $u^\alpha = 0$; $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ in the **K**-essence emergent gravity space-time. The form of Lagrangian (9) gives us the validity of using the perfect fluid model with zero vorticity in **K**-essence theory and also the pressure can be expressed through the energy density only [61].

Therefore, using (40) equation (37) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} (L_X \dot{\phi}^2) - L_X \dot{\phi}^2 \left(\frac{\partial \bar{G}^{00}}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} \right) + (4 + \dot{\phi}^2) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(-T_{\mu\nu} + \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} L + D_\mu \phi D_\nu \phi \right) (1 + \dot{\phi}^2) \right] \\ &\quad - 4L \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} + L \bar{G}^{00} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{\mu\nu}} (\dot{\phi}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

From the above Eq. (46), we can write the (00) and (ii) components of $\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\bar{\Theta}_{00} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{00}} (L_X \dot{\phi}^2) - L_X \dot{\phi}^2 + \left(4 + 5\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\phi}^4 \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho (1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - L \right] - L(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\bar{\Theta}_{ii} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{G}^{ii}} (L_X \dot{\phi}^2) + L_X \dot{\phi}^2 + \left(5\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\phi}^4 \right) \frac{p(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)}{2\dot{\phi}^2} - L(1 - \dot{\phi}^2). \quad (48)$$

Now taking the (00) and (ii) components of the modified field equations (30) as

$$\begin{aligned} F \bar{R}_{00} - \frac{1}{2} f(\bar{R}, T) \bar{G}_{00} + (\bar{G}_{00} \bar{\square} - D_0 D_0) F - \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}_{00} L + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{G}_{00} L + D_0 \phi D_0 \phi) (1 + \dot{\phi}^2) \\ = \frac{1}{2} T_{00} (1 + \dot{\phi}^2) - f_T T_{00} - f_T \bar{\Theta}_{00}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F\bar{R}_{ii} - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R}, T)\bar{G}_{ii} + (\bar{G}_{ii}\bar{\square} - D_i D_i)F - \frac{1}{2}\bar{G}_{ii}L + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{G}_{ii}L + D_i\phi D_i\phi)(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) \\ = \frac{1}{2}T_{ii}(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) - f_T T_{ii} - f_T \Theta_{ii} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Using (40), (41), (43), (44), (45) and (47), we can write from Eq. (49)

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \lambda(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(4 + \dot{\phi}^2 - 4\dot{\phi}^4 - \dot{\phi}^6) + \lambda \right] \bar{\rho} + \lambda \bar{p} \dot{\phi}^2 = 3F\dot{H} + 3FH^2(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R})(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + H\dot{F}(3 - \dot{\phi}^2) \\ + H\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{3/2}(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2} + \frac{5\lambda}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{3/2} \\ + \lambda\dot{\phi}^2(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}(4 + 9\dot{\phi}^2 + 6\dot{\phi}^4 + \dot{\phi}^6)\lambda \left[1 - (1 - \dot{\phi}^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Similarly, using (40), (41), (42), (44) and (48) in (50) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\phi}^8 + 5\dot{\phi}^6 + 3\dot{\phi}^4 - 5\dot{\phi}^2 - 4) + \lambda(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \lambda\dot{\phi}^2 \right] \bar{p} - \lambda \bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\dot{\phi}^2(2 - \dot{\phi}^2)(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2} - \lambda\dot{\phi}^4(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - (1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2}\right)\left(\dot{\phi}^8 + 5\dot{\phi}^6 + 3\dot{\phi}^4 - 5\dot{\phi}^2 - 4\right) - 4\lambda\dot{\phi}^2\left(1 - (1 - \dot{\phi}^2)\right)\frac{1 - 3\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\phi}^4}{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + F\left[\dot{H} + H^2(3 - \dot{\phi}^2)\right] \\ - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R})(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \dot{F} + 2H\dot{F}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4)(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

In Eq.s (51) & (52), $\bar{p} = p(1 + \dot{\phi}^2)$ and $\bar{\rho} = \rho(1 + \dot{\phi}^2)$ and we have used the Eq.s (47), (48) of [29]. Eq. (51) and (52) are respectively the *first and second modified Friedmann Eq.s of our case*, which are far different from the usual $f(R, T)$ -gravity.

Now, take the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ form as [31]:

$$f(\bar{R}, T) = f(\bar{R}) + f(T) = f(\bar{R}) + \lambda T, \quad (53)$$

λ being a constant. Taking this consideration into account we can rewrite (51) and (52) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \lambda(4 + \dot{\phi}^2 - 4\dot{\phi}^4 - \dot{\phi}^6) + \lambda \right] \bar{\rho} + \lambda \bar{p} \dot{\phi}^2 = 3F\dot{H}^2(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R})(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2(1 + \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{5\lambda}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \lambda\dot{\phi}^2(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(4 + 9\dot{\phi}^2 + 6\dot{\phi}^4 + \dot{\phi}^6)\lambda L. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\phi}^8 + 5\dot{\phi}^6 + 3\dot{\phi}^4 - 5\dot{\phi}^2 - 4) + \lambda(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) - \lambda\dot{\phi}^2 \right] \bar{p} - \lambda \bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\dot{\phi}^2(2 - \dot{\phi}^2)(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda\dot{\phi}^4(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + \frac{1}{2}L(\dot{\phi}^8 + 5\dot{\phi}^6 + 3\dot{\phi}^4 - 5\dot{\phi}^2 - 4) - 4\lambda\dot{\phi}^2 L \frac{(1 - 3\dot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\phi}^4)}{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + F\left[\dot{H} + H^2(3 - \dot{\phi}^2)\right] - \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{R})(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \dot{F} + 2H\dot{F}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) \\ - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \dot{\phi}^4)(1 - \dot{\phi}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

These two Eqs. are *another form of Friedman Eq.s* for considering Eq. (53). Again, the Friedmann Eqs. obtained in [31] and [32] differ from our Friedmann Eqs. to a large extent due to the presence of the **K**-essence scalar field (ϕ) term.

From the equations (54) and (55) we can evaluate the energy density (ρ) and pressure (p) easily by taking the Lagrangian of the type (9). That immediately gives us the EoS parameter ω , which is actually

$$\omega = \frac{p}{\rho}. \quad (56)$$

As the equation is too large to express at a time, to maintain the arrangement of this paper eye-catching, we have mentioned the full form of the EoS parameters in **Appendix A**. Here for simplicity we only express, the energy density (ρ) as

$$\rho = \frac{N_\rho}{D_\rho} \quad (57)$$

and pressure can also be expressed as,

$$p = \frac{N_p}{D_p}. \quad (58)$$

Eq. (57) has been expressed in (A1) & (A2) and Eq. (58) has been expressed in (A3) & (A4) in two parts. As we see from the Eqs. (A2) & (A4), $\omega = \frac{N_p}{D_p}$, since $D_\rho = D_p$.

IV. VARIATION OF EOS PARAMETER FOR DIFFERENT CHOICES OF $f(\bar{R})$

Now we would like to check the variation of EOS parameter ω with time taking three different choice of $f(\bar{R})$. For all the cases we take the form of $f(\bar{R}, T)$ as in (53), where $f(T) = \lambda T$.

$$\text{A. } f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$$

This is the simplest form of $f(\bar{R})$. This type of functions gives us the following results,

$$F = \frac{\partial f(\bar{R}, T)}{\partial \bar{R}} = 1; \quad \dot{F} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0; \quad \ddot{F} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2} = 0. \quad (59)$$

Following [71] we can write $\dot{\phi}^2$ as,

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \theta(t) \quad (60)$$

where τ is a positive constant. This choice of the dark energy density i.e., kinetic energy of the **K**-essence scalar field appears to be a reasonable one subject to the restriction on $\dot{\phi}^2$ ($0 < \dot{\phi}^2 < 1$). For this type of $f(\bar{R})$ we denote the EoS parameter as

$$\omega_1 = \frac{p_1}{\rho_1} = \frac{N(\omega_1)}{D(\omega_1)}. \quad (61)$$

Again the full expression has been expressed in (A5) & (A6).

Now, let's plot this ω with time (t) for various values of the parameter τ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 10$) (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Fig. 5) with different λ . From these figures we see that for $\tau = 1$, we get the best fit curve of EOS parameter. Though Table 1 shows that we can get sets of range of time for every value of λ which satisfies the observational data.




Figure 1. (Color online) Variation of ω_1 with t for $\tau = 1$ and different values of λ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)




Figure 2. (Color online) Variation of ω_1 with t for $\tau = 2$ and different values of λ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)




Figure 3. (Color online) Variation of ω_1 with t for $\tau = 3$ and different values of λ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)




Figure 4. (Color online) Variation of ω_1 with t for $\tau = 4$ and different values of λ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)




Figure 5. (Color online) Variation of ω_1 with t for $\tau = 10$ and different values of λ ($= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$)

Fig. 1-5 show that with increasing time ω decreases and takes negative values. In Fig. 1 EoS parameter starts from a positive value which may indicate the early universe with matter domination and then as the universe enters into the dark energy dominated epoch ω goes negative. Whereas, in Fig. 2-5, ω starts from small negative value and goes to more negative value with increasing time. All these Figs. confirms that the final fate of ω satisfies the the DE condition with negative values. Though from Fig. 1-5, it is clear that for larger λ the graphs become steeper i.e., the universe is tending to enter into dark energy dominated epoch much earlier than in the case of $\lambda = 1$.

$$\mathbf{B.} \quad f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha \bar{R}^2$$

This special type of $f(\bar{R})$ is known as Starobinsky type model of modified gravity [72]. Starobinsky modified the Einstein-Hilbert action by considering the second order term of Ricci scalar with a constant α [75]. Starobinsky showed that a cosmological model obtained from above consideration of $f(R)$ satisfies the cosmological observational tests. This model also forecasts an overproduction of scalarons in the very early universe. Considering axially symmetric dissipative dust under geodesic condition, Sharif et. al. [76] investigated the source of a gravitational radiation in Starobinsky model. Therefore, we take this model in our consideration to check the cosmological scenarios for our case. For this type of $f(\bar{R})$ we have

$$F(\bar{R}) = 1 + 2\alpha\bar{R}; \quad \dot{F} = 2\alpha\dot{\bar{R}}; \quad \ddot{F} = 2\alpha\ddot{\bar{R}} \quad (62)$$

For the above mentioned choice of $f(\bar{R})$, EoS parameter can be denoted as

$$\omega_2 = \frac{p_2}{\rho_2} = \frac{N(\omega_2)}{D(\omega_2)} \quad (63)$$

The full expression of $N(\omega_2)$ & $D(\omega_2)$ has been mentioned in (A7) & (A8)

Unlike the previous one here we have three parameters to set. Here we plot the variation of ω with t for this model with some particular sets of choice for which we can get the variation of EoS parameter, satisfied by observational data.

I. $\tau = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0$ (Fig. 6)

II. $\tau = 2$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$ (Fig. 7)

III. $\tau = 2$, $\alpha = 2$ and $\lambda = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$ (Fig. 8)

Fig. 6 shows that the best choice of λ should be 1 to get an observationally satisfied result. But Fig. 7 shows that different values of λ have not much effect on the results. Whereas, Fig. 8 depicts a different story. This particular graph tells us that the best fit graph can be obtained for a lesser value of λ .




Figure 6. (Color online) Variation of ω_2 with t for $\tau = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and different values of λ ($= 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0$)




Figure 7. (Color online) Variation of ω_2 with t for $\tau = 2$, $\alpha = 1$ and different values of λ ($= 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$)




Figure 8. (Color online) Variation of ω_2 with t for $\tau = 2$, $\alpha = 2$ and different values of λ ($= 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$)

$$\text{C. } f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}}$$

For this choice of $f(\bar{R})$ [31] we evaluate:

$$F = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{R}} = 1 + 2\alpha\bar{R} + \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}^2}; \quad \dot{F} = 2\alpha\dot{\bar{R}} - \frac{2\mu^4\dot{\bar{R}}}{\bar{R}^3}; \quad \ddot{F} = 2\alpha\ddot{\bar{R}} - \frac{2\mu^4(\ddot{\bar{R}}\bar{R}^3 - 3\dot{\bar{R}}^2\bar{R}^2)}{\bar{R}^6}. \quad (64)$$

The expression for EOS parameter becomes

$$\omega_3 = \frac{p_3}{\rho_3} = \frac{N(\omega_3)}{D(\omega_3)} \quad (65)$$

The form of $N(\omega_3)$ & $D(\omega_3)$ has been expressed in (A9) & (A10).

The variation of EoS parameter with time has been plotted for $\mu = -1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\tau = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$ (Fig. 9).




Figure 9. (Color online) Variation of ω_3 with t for $\mu = -1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and different values of τ ($= 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$)

Fig. 9 shows us that the the variation of EOS parameter are almost same for all the values of τ .

V. OBSERVATIONAL VERIFICATION OF THE MODELS

In this section, we compare our results for different choices of $f(\bar{R}, T)$ with the observational data sets [70].

τ	λ	t	ω_1 (3σ confidence)[70]	Observation [70]
1	1	1.08 – 1.33	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2	0.71 – 0.79		
	3	0.604 – 0.655		
	4	0.550 – 0.592		
	5	0.517 – 0.551		
2	1	3.19 – 4.076	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2	1.435 – 1.65		
	3	1.144 – 1.271		
	4	1.043 – 1.145		
	5	0.967 – 1.043		
3	1	5.418 – 6.629	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2	2.102 – 2.476		
	3	1.698 – 1.928		
	4	1.525 – 1.669		
	5	1.409 – 1.554		
4	1	7.58 – 9.022	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2	2.822 – 3.341		
	3	2.246 – 2.534		
	4	2.015 – 2.217		
	5	1.871 – 2.044		
10	1	18.92 – 23.6	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2	7.14 – 8.593		
	3	5.608 – 6.253		
	4	4.962 – 5.608		
	5	4.72 – 5.124		

Table I. Table for observational verification of the model for $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$.

τ	λ	α	t	ω_1 (3σ confidence)[70]	Observation [70]
1	0.2 – 0.8	1	---	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	1		1.275 – 1.479		
2	0.2	1	1.18	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	0.3		1.06 – 1.098		
	0.4		0.778 – 0.883		
2	1	2	1.16	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	2		1.028 – 1.067		
	3		0.76 – 0.885		
	4		---		

Table II. Table for observational verification of the model for $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2$.

μ	τ	λ	α	t	ω_1 (3σ confidence)[70]	Observation [70]
-1	0.3	1	1	0.37-0.44	$-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$	SNIa+ BAO+ H(z)
	0.4			0.50-0.60		
	0.5			0.63-0.76		

Table III. Table for observational verification of the model for $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}}$.

Table I, Table II and Table III show the match of our model with the observational data taken from [70]. We have taken the data of the EoS parameter of the combined observations of SNIa, BAO and H(z), which says that for present accelerated universe the EoS parameter should take the value in a range, $-0.95 \geq \omega \geq -1.13$. We found

that for some parameter choices, we can achieve a range of time, for which the above said value of ω is satisfied. So, without any doubt, we can say that our model is very much consistent with the observational data.

VI. CONCLUSION

In a nutshell, in this paper we have tried to formulate the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ gravity theory in the context of dark energy. Starting with a small review of **K**-essence theory for DBI-type non-canonical Lagrangian, we entered in our work, which is to establish a different form of the well known $f(\bar{R}, T)$ gravity theory by the metric formalism. Taking the action of the modified gravity in the context of **K**-essence geometry and following [32], we have presented the modified field equations of our case (30). One can easily find out that the field equations of our case is much more different from the standard field equations of $f(R, T)$ -gravity [31, 32] due to the presence of the **K**-essence scalar field. Not only that, it is crystal clear that the field equations of usual $f(R, T)$ gravity can be recovered from (30), if we consider the **K**-essence scalar field (ϕ) term to be zero and the Lagrangian as L_m . Here mention that, according to [55–57, 73] the $\dot{\phi}^2$ term i.e., kinetic energy of the **K**-essence scalar field, considered as the dark energy density (in unit of critical density) of the present universe. Similar to [31] and [32], the covariant derivative of the energy-momentum tensor has also been calculated for our case which turns out to be of different from [31] and [32] for obvious reasons. We get some extra terms related to the scalar field (ϕ), which may reveal some more astonishing features of this theory. Next, we have solved the field Eqs. by considering the background metric as the flat FLRW type and computed the Friedmann Eqs. (51 & 52) of our theory for the general form of $f(\bar{R})$. We also have established a new definition of $\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}$ in (37), which is not so straight forward as obtained in [31] & [32]. As the Lagrangian for our case has an implicit dependence on the scalar field ϕ of the **K**-essence geometry, specifically it has explicit dependence on the derivative of ϕ , some extra features are included in it automatically. In this process, we have used some important relations, which connects the usual geometry with the **K**-essence geometry already showed in [29].

The next part of our work includes the evaluation of the EoS parameter by solving the Friedmann equations obtained in (54) and (55), where we considered the form of $f(\bar{R}, T)$ as Eq. (53) [31]. We get far different results from what have been achieved by Sahoo et. al. in their Eq. (15) of [31]. Following [71], we have expressed $\dot{\phi}^2$ as an exponential function of time (64) which satisfy the conditions for $\dot{\phi}^2$ ($0 < \dot{\phi}^2 < 1$). Next we found the variation of the EoS parameter by choosing different forms of $f(\bar{R})$. Particularly, we have taken three choices of $f(\bar{R})$, of which the first one is the simple case i.e., $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$ (subsection: IV-A), second one is of Starobinsky type i.e., $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2$ (subsection: IV-B) and the last one is $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}}$ (subsection: IV-C)[31].

It is a well-known fact, that for our present universe, which has a positive acceleration, the value of the EoS parameter is $\omega \leq -1$. So to check the efficacy of our results we have plotted the variation of this EoS parameter obtained in this paper in section IV. For each consideration of $f(\bar{R})$, we first set the required parameter values and then we draw the graph of ω vs t . Then in section V we matched the obtained graphs for different $f(\bar{R})$ in Table I, Table II, Table III with the observational data taken from [70]. Note that in these graphs we have taken $t = 0$ as the beginning of the universe.

Fig. 1-5 shows the variation of EoS parameter for the first type of choice of $f(\bar{R})(= \bar{R})$. This is actually the simplest case, analogous to the Einstein-Hilbert theory, except the facts that the Ricci scalar (\bar{R}) is not the usual Ricci scalar (R) and it includes the trace of energy-momentum tensor in the function $f(\bar{R}, T)$. We can see from Table I, that this simple model has a large range of preferable set of parameter, for which we get negative value of ω . In each Fig. the graph for $\lambda = 1$ shows that the EoS parameter tends to take almost a constant value of -1 , whereas for greater values of λ , we get steeper graphs, taking ω to a value much less than -1 .

Fig. 6-8 shows the variation of ω with t for Starobinsky type choice of $f(\bar{R})$. Here we consider three different sets of parameter choice. Fig. 6 depicts that for less value of λ and $\alpha = 1$, the magnitude of ω takes a constant value (≈ 0) after an interval of time, though for greater values of λ shows that ω tries to reach to -1 , satisfying the observational data. On the other hand, Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 tells us that ω has an another story. It hold the value of -1 for quite a reasonable amount of time but then suddenly falls off to more negative value.

Fig. 9 is the graph for $f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha\bar{R}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}}$. The similar function has also been considered by Sahoo et. al. in [31] and the authors have also obtained the variation of EoS parameter with time. The graph we have obtained is almost of same nature except the fact that for our case the value of ω goes beyond -1 after a certain interval of time, though the authors in [31] didn't consider the **K**-essence geometry.

From a totally different background we establish the $f(\bar{R}, T)$ theory of modified gravity with dark energy consideration in a new packet. The achievement of this work lies in the fact that without considering the cosmological constant model we are able to produce a theory which supports the results of present observational data. From the results and graphs obtained here we see that there are some choices of model parameters ($\lambda, \tau, \alpha, \mu$) for which we get $\omega < -1$, which satisfy the observational data to a large extend. This particularly ignites the question ,“Can the dark energy

equation of state parameter ω be less than -1 ?"; which was asked by Carroll et. al. [74] a long time ago.

Appendix A: Expressions of full forms of the EoS parameters for various model of $f(\bar{R})$

For the general form of $f(\bar{R}, T)$ mentioned in (53), if we write the expression of the energy density as (57), then N_ρ & D_ρ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\rho = & 6\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{5/2} + 35\lambda \dot{\phi}^{5/2} + 26\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{9/2} + 8\lambda \dot{\phi}^{9/2} - 2\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{13/2} - 60\lambda \dot{\phi}^{13/2} - 18\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{17/2} - 51\lambda \dot{\phi}^{17/2} - 4\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{21/2} + 15\lambda \dot{\phi}^{21/2} + 30\lambda \dot{\phi}^{25/2} \\
& + 10\lambda \dot{\phi}^{29/2} + \lambda \dot{\phi}^{33/2} + \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^{14} - 2\lambda \dot{\phi}^{12} - \lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^{12} + 3\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^{12} + 2\dot{\phi}^{12} - 8\lambda \dot{\phi}^{10} + 2\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^{10} \\
& - 7\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^{10} + 6\dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^{10} + 8\dot{\phi}^{10} - 16\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^8 + 6\lambda \dot{\phi}^8 + 22\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^8 + 23\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^8 - 4\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^8 \\
& + 24\dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^8 - 6\dot{\phi}^8 + 48\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^6 + 4\lambda \dot{\phi}^6 - 46\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^6 + \lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^6 + 9\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^6 + 8\lambda \dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^6 \\
& - 18\dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^6 - 14\dot{\phi}^6 - 16\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^4 + 12\lambda \dot{\phi}^4 - 22\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^4 - 56\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^4 + \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^4 - 40\lambda \dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^4 \\
& - 42\dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^4 - 4\lambda \ddot{F}\dot{\phi}^4 + 4\dot{\phi}^4 - 12\lambda \dot{\phi}^2 + 42\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^2 + 13\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^2 - 3\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \dot{\phi}^2 + 44\lambda \dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^2 \\
& + 12\dot{H}\dot{F}\dot{\phi}^2 + 4\lambda \ddot{F}\dot{\phi}^2 + 6\dot{\phi}^2 + f(\bar{R}) (\dot{\phi}^2 - 1)^2 (\dot{\phi}^8 + 5\dot{\phi}^6 + 2\dot{\phi}^4 - (6\lambda + 5)\dot{\phi}^2 + 2\lambda - 3) \\
& + 2F\dot{H} (\dot{\phi}^2 - 1) (3\dot{\phi}^8 + 15\dot{\phi}^6 + 6\dot{\phi}^4 - (14\lambda + 15)\dot{\phi}^2 + 6\lambda + \dot{H} (-3\dot{\phi}^{10} - 12\dot{\phi}^8 + 9\dot{\phi}^6 + 7(2\lambda + 3)\dot{\phi}^4 - 6(4\lambda + 1)\dot{\phi}^2 \\
& + 6\lambda - 9) - 9) - 8\lambda^2 \sqrt{\dot{\phi}} + 12\lambda \sqrt{\dot{\phi}} - 12\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + 18\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 12\lambda \dot{H}\dot{F} + 18\dot{H}\dot{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\rho = & (\dot{\phi}^2 - 1)^2 \left[2\lambda \dot{\phi}^{12} + (20\lambda - 1)\dot{\phi}^{10} + (62\lambda - 6)\dot{\phi}^8 + (-8\lambda^2 + 52\lambda - 7)\dot{\phi}^6 - 3(12\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 1)\dot{\phi}^4 \right. \\
& \left. - 4(3\lambda^2 + 13\lambda - 2)\dot{\phi}^2 + 12\lambda^2 - 20\lambda + 3 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

Similarly for p , following (58) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
N_p = & -10\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{5/2} + 6\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{9/2} + 10\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{13/2} + 2\lambda^2 \dot{\phi}^{17/2} - 2\lambda (\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 1) \dot{\phi}^{16} - (16\lambda - 1) (\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 1) \dot{\phi}^{14} + \\
& \left((16 - 22\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2})\lambda^2 - 24(\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 1)\lambda + 4(\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 1) \right) \dot{\phi}^{12} + (-30\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda^2 + 16\lambda^2 \\
& - 4FH^2\lambda + 2f(R)\lambda - 8H(t)\dot{F}\lambda + 61\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda - 58\lambda - 4\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + 4) \dot{\phi}^{10} + (234\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda^2 - 192\lambda^2 \\
& - 16H(t)\dot{F}\lambda + 4F\dot{H}\lambda + 37\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda - 42\lambda + 2FH^2 + (4\lambda - 1)f(R) + 4H\dot{F} - 10\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + 10) \dot{\phi}^8 \\
& + (-72\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda^2 + 64\lambda^2 + 56FH^2\lambda + 64H\dot{F}\lambda - 37\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda + 38\lambda - 8FH^2 + (2 - 16\lambda)f(\bar{R})) \\
& - 8H\dot{F} + 2(6\lambda - 1)F\dot{H} + 3\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 3) \dot{\phi}^6 + (-160\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda^2 + 128\lambda^2 - 64FH^2\lambda + 8f(R)\lambda \\
& - 24H\dot{F}\lambda - 43\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda + 48\lambda + 4FH^2 + 2(1 - 10\lambda)F\dot{H} + 10\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 10) \dot{\phi}^4 \\
& + 2(39\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}\lambda^2 - 24\lambda^2 - 6FH^2\lambda - 14H\dot{F}\lambda - 2\lambda + 4FH^2 + (3\lambda - 1)f(\bar{R}) + 4H\dot{F} \\
& + (2\lambda + 1)F\dot{H}) \dot{\phi}^2 + 24\lambda FH^2 - 6FH^2 - 24\lambda - 4\lambda f(\bar{R}) + f(\bar{R}) + 12\lambda H\dot{F} - 4H\dot{F} \\
& - 2F\dot{H} + 2\ddot{F}(\dot{\phi}^2 - 1) (2\lambda \dot{\phi}^6 + (8\lambda - 1)\dot{\phi}^4 - 2\lambda \dot{\phi}^2 - 6\lambda + 1) - 8\lambda^2 \sqrt{\dot{\phi}} - 12\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + 24\lambda \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} - 4\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} + 4
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

and

$$D_p = \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - 1\right)^2 \left[2\lambda\dot{\phi}^{12} + (20\lambda - 1)\dot{\phi}^{10} + (62\lambda - 6)\dot{\phi}^8 + (-8\lambda^2 + 52\lambda - 7)\dot{\phi}^6 - 3(12\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 1)\dot{\phi}^4 - 4(3\lambda^2 + 13\lambda - 2)\dot{\phi}^2 + 12\lambda^2 - 20\lambda + 3 \right] \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$1. \quad f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R}$$

Eq. (61) has been expressed in two parts here.

$$\begin{aligned} N(\omega_1) = & 4e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left((11\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 8)\lambda^2 + 12(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\lambda - 2\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 2 \right) \tau^2 + 2e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left(2(15\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 8)\lambda^2 \right. \\ & + (58 - 61\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\lambda + 4(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1) \left. \right) \tau^2 - 2e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(2(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 117\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 96)\lambda^2 + (37\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 42)\lambda \right. \\ & - 10(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1) \left. \right) \tau^2 + 4\lambda(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2 + 2e^{t/\tau}(16\lambda - 1)(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2 \\ & + e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(4\lambda^2(5\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 39\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 24)\tau^2 + 2\lambda(4\tau^2 - 9) + 3 \right) + 2e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(-2(5\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 36\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 32)\lambda^2\tau^2 \right. \\ & - 3(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2 + \lambda \left((37\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 38)\tau^2 - 6 \right) \left. \right) + 2e^{\frac{6t}{\tau}} \left(-2(3\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 80\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 64)\lambda^2\tau^2 \right. \\ & - 10(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2 + \lambda \left((43\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 48)\tau^2 - 27 \right) \left. \right) + 3 + e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}} \left(8(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2 \right. \\ & \left. + 8\lambda^2(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\tau^2 + \lambda(12 - 48(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1)\tau^2) - 3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(\omega_1) = & -2e^{t/\tau} \left(10\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + \sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 + 2e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left(-3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + \lambda \left(-30\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + \sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 2 \right) - 2 \right) \tau^2 \\ & - 2e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left(-4\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\lambda^2 + (15\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 2\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 8)\lambda - 7\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8 \right) \tau^2 \\ & - 2e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(-2(9\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 11\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8)\lambda^2 + (-51\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 23\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 6)\lambda - 4\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 6 \right) \tau^2 \\ & - 2\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\tau^2 + e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}}(2\lambda - 3) \left(4(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\lambda\tau^2 - 3 \right) + 2e^{\frac{6t}{\tau}} \left(\left((-26\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 22\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 16)\lambda^2 \right. \right. \\ & - 4(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 14\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 3)\lambda - \sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 4 \left. \right) \tau^2 + 9 \left. \right) + e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(2(2(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 23\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 24)\lambda^2 \right. \\ & + (60\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - \sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 4)\lambda - 9\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 14 \left. \right) \tau^2 + 3 \left. \right) - 2e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(6\lambda^2(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 7\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\tau^2 \right. \\ & \left. - 3 \left((\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 2)\tau^2 + 4 \right) + \lambda \left((35\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 13\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 12)\tau^2 - 6 \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$2. \quad f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha \bar{R}^2$$

Eq. (63) has been expressed here in two parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\omega_2) = & 8e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \lambda \left(11\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \lambda - 8\lambda - 14\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} 14 \right) \tau^4 - 4e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left((58\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 48)\lambda^2 + (69\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 66)\lambda \right. \\
& - 14\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \Big) \tau^4 - 4e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(2\left(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 111\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 80\right)\lambda^2 + (272 - 289\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\lambda \right. \\
& + 26\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \Big) \tau^4 + 8\lambda\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \tau^4 + 4e^{t/\tau}(8\lambda - 1)\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \tau^4 + 4e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(-2\left(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. - 550\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 432\right)\lambda^2 \tau^2 - 4\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \tau^2 - 3\lambda\left(\left(87\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 74\right)\tau^2 + 2\right) \Big) \tau^2 + 2e^{\frac{12t}{\tau}} \left(8\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right) \tau^2 \right. \\
& \left. + 8\lambda^2\left(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\right) \tau^2 + \lambda\left(12 - 48\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2\right) - 3\right) \tau^2 + e^{\frac{10t}{\tau}} \left(4\tau^2\left(14\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 2\lambda^2\left(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 272\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 160\right)\tau^2 + \lambda\left(80 - 101\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\right)\tau^2 + 45\lambda - 12\right) - 9\alpha(16\lambda - 13) \Big) \\
& \left. + e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}} \left(2\left(-4\left(15\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 381\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 256\right)\lambda^2 \tau^2 - 68\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 2\lambda\left(\left(49\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 86\right)\tau^2 - 96\right) + 21\right) \tau^2 + 9\alpha(204\lambda - 13) \Big) - 4e^{\frac{6t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-2\left(11\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 815\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 664\right)\lambda^2 \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. - 42\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 + \lambda\left(\left(59\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 100\right)\tau^2 + 3\right) - 3\right) \tau^2 + 216\alpha\lambda \Big) + e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(2\left(-4\lambda^2\left(9\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. - 340\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 304\right)\tau^2 - 7\left(4\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 + 3\right) + 2\lambda\left(\left(137\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 142\right)\tau^2 + 63\right) \Big) \tau^2 + \alpha(432 - 3222\lambda) \Big) \\
& \left. + e^{\frac{9t}{\tau}} \left(4\left(21\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 + 2\lambda^2\left(21\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 542\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 368\right)\tau^2 + \lambda\left(\left(82 - 39\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\right)\tau^2 + 24\right) + 3\right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 18\alpha(139\lambda - 25) \Big) - 2e^{\frac{11t}{\tau}} \left(\left(32\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 + 4\lambda^2\left(11\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 63\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 24\right)\tau^2 + \lambda\left(66 - 8\left(24\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. - 23\right)\tau^2\right) - 15\right) \tau^2 + 9\alpha(24\lambda - 1) \Big) \right) \quad (A7)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\omega_2) = & -4e^{t/\tau} \left(6\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + \sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^4 + 4e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + \lambda\left(4\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + \sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 2\right) - 2 \right) \tau^4 \\
& - 4e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left(-4\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\lambda^2 - 49\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\lambda + 6\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\lambda - 13\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^4 - 4e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(-2\left(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 11\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8\right)\lambda^2 \right. \\
& \left. + (30\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 9\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 26)\lambda + 38\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 26 \right) \tau^4 - 4\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\tau^4 + 2e^{\frac{12t}{\tau}}(2\lambda - 3) \left(4\left(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 3\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\lambda\tau^2 - 3\right) \tau^2 + 2e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(2\left(-2\left(23\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 67\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 56\right)\lambda^2 + \left(-124\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 75\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 60\right)\lambda \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 28\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 50\right) \tau^2 + 3\right) \tau^2 + e^{\frac{6t}{\tau}} \left(-4\left(\left(-58\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 294\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 304\right)\lambda^2 + \left(-88\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 69\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 62\right)\lambda - 28\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 6 \right) \tau^4 + 12\tau^2 + 297\alpha \Big) + e^{\frac{10t}{\tau}} \left(4\left(2\lambda^2\left(23\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 131\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8\right)\tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. + (20 - 13\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\tau^2 + 6\lambda\left(10\left(\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 1\right)\tau^2 - 7\right) - 12\right) \tau^2 + 9\alpha(134\lambda - 9) \Big) + e^{\frac{11t}{\tau}} \left(-4\left(-3\left(\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 2\right)\tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. + 2\lambda^2\left(19\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 45\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\right)\tau^2 - \lambda\left(\left(13\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 59\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 12\right)\tau^2 + 18\right) + 6\right) \tau^2 - 162\alpha(2\lambda - 3) \Big) \\
& \left. - e^{\frac{9t}{\tau}} \left(2\left(4\lambda^2\left(-19\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 171\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 56\right)\tau^2 + \left(12 - 26\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}}\right)\tau^2 + 2\lambda\left(\left(70\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 231\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 116\right)\tau^2 - 48\right) - 39 \right) \tau^2 + 9\alpha(158\lambda + 201) \Big) + e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(4\left(2\lambda^2\left(21\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 117\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 208\right)\tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& \left. + (62 - 56\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}})\tau^2 + \lambda\left(\left(138\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 153\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 68\right)\tau^2 + 6\right) - 15\right) \tau^2 + 1323\alpha \Big) \\
& \left. + 2e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}} \left(\left(4\left(11\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 25\right)\tau^2 + 4\lambda^2\left(-57\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 53\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 152\right)\tau^2 - 2\lambda\left(\left(109\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 351\sqrt{1-e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 110\right)\tau^2 + 27\right) + 9 \right) \tau^2 + 108\alpha(4\lambda - 1) \Big) \quad (A8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$3. \quad f(\bar{R}) = \bar{R} + \alpha \bar{R}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{\bar{R}}$$

In this case, the Eq. (65) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\omega_3) = & -24\lambda \left(112\tau^2\mu^4 - 81\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 81 \right) \tau^4 - 8e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left(2286\lambda\tau^2\mu^4 - 243 \left(11\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 8 \right) \lambda^2 + 4 \left(436\tau^2\mu^4 \right. \right. \\
& - 81\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 81 \left. \left. \right) + 5346\lambda \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \right) \tau^4 + 4e^{t/\tau} \left(336\tau^2\mu^4 + 8\lambda \left(536\tau^2\mu^4 + 81 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \right) \right. \\
& - 243 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \left. \right) \tau^4 - 4e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left(-16238\tau^2\mu^4 + \lambda \left(42020\tau^2\mu^4 - 5985\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 6714 \right) - 2754 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \right. \\
& + 162\lambda^2 \left(175\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 136 \right) \left. \right) \tau^4 + 4e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(-44278\tau^2\mu^4 - 162 \left(3\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 13\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 16 \right) \lambda^2 + \lambda \left(207844\tau^2\mu^4 \right. \right. \\
& + 94587\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 88512 \left. \left. \right) - 15102 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \right) \tau^4 - 96e^{\frac{16t}{\tau}} \left(-\mu^4\tau^4 - 8 \left(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 \right. \\
& - 8 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 4\lambda \left(\mu^4\tau^4 + 12 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 - 3 \right) + 3 \left. \right) \tau^2 - 4e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(-76714\mu^4\tau^4 - 18 \left(45\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& + 20402\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 15440 \left. \left. \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 - 24900 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 3\lambda \left(160620\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(95653\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 88174 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + 486 \left. \left. \right) \tau^2 + 96e^{\frac{15t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-14\mu^4\tau^4 - 4 \left(35\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 99\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 24 \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 - 80 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + 2\lambda \left(28\mu^4\tau^4 + 4 \left(60\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 59 \right) \tau^2 - 69 \right) + 33 \left. \right) \tau^2 + \alpha(9 - 216\lambda) \left. \right) - 8e^{\frac{14t}{\tau}} \left(\tau^2 \left(-1111\mu^4\tau^4 - 48 \left(121\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& + 731\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 304 \left. \left. \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 - 3936 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 24\lambda \left(186\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(1001\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 956 \right) \tau^2 - 324 \right) + 1854 \right. \\
& - 54\alpha(272\lambda + 1) \left. \left. \right) + 8e^{\frac{13t}{\tau}} \left(\tau^2 \left(-4591\mu^4\tau^4 - 24 \left(375\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 6211\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 3304 \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 - 7992 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& + 2\lambda \left(9227\mu^4\tau^4 + 12 \left(2187\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1964 \right) \tau^2 - 9270 \right) + 4716 \left. \left. \right) - 54\alpha(274\lambda + 101) \left. \right) + 6e^{\frac{9t}{\tau}} \left(2\tau^2 \left(-24148\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \right. \\
& + 1925 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda^2 \left(1925\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 34282\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 51216 \right) \tau^2 + 3\lambda \left(10776\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(5779\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& - 3834 \left. \left. \right) \tau^2 + 12160 \left. \right) - 4581 \left. \right) - 81\alpha(4981\lambda - 507) \left. \right) - 2e^{\frac{12t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-52484\mu^4\tau^4 - 24 \left(330\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 65487\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& - 39584 \left. \left. \right) \lambda^2\tau^2 - 25464 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 4\lambda \left(51782\mu^4\tau^4 + 12 \left(4996\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 3731 \right) \tau^2 - 20241 \right) + 26721 \left. \right) \tau^2 \\
& + 108\alpha(2064\lambda - 871) \left. \right) + 2e^{\frac{11t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-107444\mu^4\tau^4 + 19536 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 12\lambda^2 \left(4725\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 212063\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& + 135704 \left. \left. \right) \tau^2 + 6\lambda \left(66276\mu^4\tau^4 + 4 \left(4664\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 259 \right) \tau^2 + 3015 \right) + 16029 \left. \right) \tau^2 + 27\alpha(17152\lambda - 5715) \left. \right) \\
& + 3e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}} \left(2 \left(16296\mu^4\tau^4 + 18620 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 4\lambda^2 \left(3185\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 273195\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 231104 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + 2\lambda \left(82104\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(19642 - 8687\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 - 21456 \right) + 7533 \left. \right) \tau^2 + 243\alpha(2708\lambda - 423) \left. \right) - 6e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-28264\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \\
& + 16700 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 4\lambda^2 \left(3225\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 332572\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 268656 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda \left(196888\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(61383\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \\
& - 42018 \left. \left. \right) \tau^2 - 4455 \left. \right) + 2997 \left. \right) \tau^2 + 2187\alpha(17\lambda - 8) \left. \right) + 12e^{\frac{6t}{\tau}} \left(\tau^2 \left(-27646\mu^4\tau^4 - 2694 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + 2\lambda^2 \left(891\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 205831\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 161944 \right) \tau^2 + \lambda \left(226360\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(120197\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 104492 \right) \tau^2 + 1053 \right) + 243 \left. \right) \\
& - 17496\alpha\lambda \left. \right) + e^{\frac{10t}{\tau}} \left(243\alpha(1728\lambda + 493) - 4\tau^2 \left(6\lambda^2 \left(6655\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 175720\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 107936 \right) \tau^2 - 6 \left(12962\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \right. \\
& - 4365 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1 \right) \tau^2 + 852 \left. \left. \right) + \lambda \left(239212\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(9807\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 43728 \right) \tau^2 + 85113 \right) \left. \left. \right) \right) \quad (A9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\omega_3) = & 144\mu^4\tau^6 - 12e^{t/\tau} \left(86\tau^2\mu^4 + 270\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 81\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^4 + 12e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left(224\lambda\tau^2\mu^4 + 100\tau^2\mu^4 + 297\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \\
& + 27\lambda \left(52\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 6 \right) - 162 \Big) \tau^4 - 4e^{\frac{3t}{\tau}} \left(7120\lambda\tau^2\mu^4 - 972\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\lambda^2 - 3 \left(1006\tau^2\mu^4 + 621\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 432 \right) \right. \\
& + 9\lambda \left(-635\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 234\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 144 \right) \Big) \tau^4 + 4e^{\frac{4t}{\tau}} \left(-162 \left(13\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 33\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 24 \right) \lambda^2 \right. \\
& + \left(34972\tau^2\mu^4 - 34770\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 2349\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 5022 \right) \lambda - 6 \left(2563\tau^2\mu^4 + 2787\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 837 \right) \Big) \tau^4 \\
& - 972\lambda\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}}\tau^4 + 96e^{\frac{16t}{\tau}}(2\lambda - 3) \left(\mu^4\tau^4 + 4\lambda \left(2\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 - 3 \right) \tau^2 - 6e^{\frac{5t}{\tau}} \left(8(8840\lambda - 2857)\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \\
& - 2 \left(-54 \left(61\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 289\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 232 \right) \lambda^2 + 3 \left(2180\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 2209\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 3428 \right) \lambda + 13172\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \\
& - 9474 \Big) \tau^2 - 243 \Big) \tau^2 + 3e^{\frac{9t}{\tau}} \left(4 \left(2(37246\lambda - 6541)\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(6 \left(2447\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 9585\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8712 \right) \lambda^2 + \left(22792\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& - 23141\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 23566 \Big) \lambda - 13220\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 16870 \Big) \tau^2 - 81 \Big) \tau^2 + 24057\alpha \Big) - 96e^{\frac{15t}{\tau}} \left(2 \left(-17\mu^4\tau^4 - 3 \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right. \right. \right. \\
& - 2 \Big) \tau^2 + 2\lambda^2 \left(43\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 81\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 + \lambda \left(16\mu^4\tau^4 - \left(85\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 167\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 12 \right) \tau^2 - 36 \right) + 33 \Big) \tau^2 \\
& + 81\alpha(2\lambda - 3) \Big) + 24e^{\frac{14t}{\tau}} \left(\left(-653\mu^4\tau^4 - 8 \left(31\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 56 \right) \tau^2 + 16\lambda^2 \left(191\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 482\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 8 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + 2\lambda \left(467\mu^4\tau^4 - 12 \left(60\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 199\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 44 \right) \tau^2 - 762 \right) + 678 \Big) \tau^2 + 18\alpha(350\lambda - 333) \Big) \\
& - 16e^{\frac{13t}{\tau}} \left(\left(12\lambda^2 \left(859\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 3291\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 208 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda \left(3116\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(555\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 4851\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 3828 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& - 3501 \Big) + 3 \left(-824\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(828 - 526\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 + 201 \right) \Big) \tau^2 + 54\alpha(724\lambda - 291) \Big) + 3e^{\frac{10t}{\tau}} \left(4 \left(11888\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \\
& + 5 \left(1343\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 2748 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda^2 \left(-16105\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 45411\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 67976 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda \left(48908\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \\
& + \left(-7702\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 69648\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 30598 \right) \tau^2 - 10089 \Big) - 2724 \Big) \tau^2 + 27\alpha(21906\lambda + 7973) \Big) \\
& - 6e^{\frac{11t}{\tau}} \left(2 \left(1258\mu^4\tau^4 + 2\lambda^2 \left(-3405\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 72093\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 31744 \right) \tau^2 + \left(310 - 2851\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \lambda \left(57008\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(14355\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 81261\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 50636 \right) \tau^2 - 23586 \right) - 5646 \Big) \tau^2 + 27\alpha(12534\lambda + 5407) \Big) \\
& + 2e^{\frac{12t}{\tau}} \left(\left(24\lambda^2 \left(3330\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 27883\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 4768 \right) \tau^2 - 3 \left(7820\mu^4\tau^4 + 32 \left(272\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 337 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& + 5463 \Big) + 2\lambda \left(76204\mu^4\tau^4 + 6 \left(7078\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 6795\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 14224 \right) \tau^2 - 54729 \right) \Big) \tau^2 + 324\alpha(2226\lambda + 221) \Big) \\
& - 3e^{\frac{7t}{\tau}} \left(4\tau^2 \left(-3660\mu^4\tau^4 + 10 \left(68\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 957 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda^2 \left(9595\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 56757\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} + 62544 \right) \tau^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \lambda \left(116192\mu^4\tau^4 + \left(30950\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} - 19479\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 34356 \right) \tau^2 - 486 \right) + 1539 \Big) - 43011\alpha \Big) - 3e^{\frac{2t}{\tau}} \left(2 \left(36636\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \\
& - 4 \left(15235\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 15157\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 97784 \right) \lambda^2 \tau^2 + 10 \left(3607\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 4466 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda \left(131392\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \\
& + \left(-37250\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 119751\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 54756 \right) \tau^2 - 11016 \Big) + 5793 \Big) \tau^2 + 81\alpha(3726\lambda - 1943) \Big) + 6e^{\frac{8t}{\tau}} \left(\left(22896\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \right. \\
& - 4 \left(665\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 59339\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 95576 \right) \lambda^2 \tau^2 + 20 \left(1663\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 1093 \right) \tau^2 + 2\lambda \left(139416\mu^4\tau^4 \right. \\
& + \left(-8205\sqrt{e^{-\frac{t}{2\tau}}} + 39231\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}} - 43166 \right) \tau^2 - 3483 \Big) + 8217 \Big) \tau^2 + 2916\alpha(12\lambda - 41) \Big) \Big) \tag{A10}
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B: Brief review of $f(R)$ and $f(R, T)$ -Gravity

Let's recapitulate the well known $f(R)$ and $f(R, L_m)$ gravity a little, where $R (= g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu})$ is the Ricci scalar with respect to the gravitational metric $(g_{\mu\nu})$ and L_m is the matter Lagrangian. The total action for the $f(R)$ gravity is

[6, 7]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_M(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi) \quad (\text{B1})$$

where S_M is matter term, ψ denotes the matter fields, $\kappa = 8\pi G$, G is the gravitational constant, g is the determinant of the gravitational metric. Varying the above action with respect to the gravitational metric we have

$$f'(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} - [\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\square]f'(R) = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B2})$$

with

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}L_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \quad (\text{B3})$$

where $f'(R) = \frac{\partial f(R)}{\partial R}$, ∇_μ is covariant derivative with respect to the gravitational metric and $\square \equiv \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu$.

On the other hand, in case of $f(R, T)$ gravity [32], the action is:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int f(R, T) \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \int L_m \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (\text{B4})$$

where $f(R, T)$ is an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar (R) and T , where T is the trace ($T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$) of the stress-energy tensor ($T_{\mu\nu}$) of the matter. The definition of stress-energy tensor is same as the above (B3). Also, if the Lagrangian density depends only on the metric tensor components $g_{\mu\nu}$, and not on its derivative then it can be shown that

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}L_m - 2\frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Using the metric formalism, Harko et. al. [32] have established the modified field equation in $f(R, T)$ theory as

$$f_R(R, T)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R, T)g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu)f_R(R, T) = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} - f_T(R, T)T_{\mu\nu} - f_T(R, T)\Theta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B6})$$

where

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$f_R(R, T) = \partial f(R, T)/\partial R$ and $f_T(R, T) = \partial f(R, T)/\partial T$. Note that when $f(R, T) \equiv f(R)$, from Eqs. (B6) we get back the field equations of $f(R)$ gravity.

By contracting Eq. (B6) gives the following relation between the Ricci scalar R and the trace T of the stress-energy tensor

$$f_R(R, T)R + 3\square f_R(R, T) - 2f(R, T) = 8\pi T - f_T(R, T)T - f_T(R, T)\Theta \quad (\text{B8})$$

where we have denoted $\Theta = \Theta_\mu^\mu$

Also, the gravitational field equation can be written as

$$f_R(R, T)(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{6}f(R, T)g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}Tg_{\mu\nu}) - f_T(R, T)(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}Tg_{\mu\nu}) - f_T(R, T)\Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f_R(R, T) \quad (\text{B9})$$

The divergence of the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{f_T(R, T)}{8\pi - f_T(R, T)} \times \left[(T_{\mu\nu} + \Theta_{\mu\nu})\nabla^\mu \ln f_T(R, T) + \nabla^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (\text{B10})$$

where the covariant divergence is

$$\nabla^\mu \left[f_R(R, T)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R, T)g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f_R(R, T)) \right] = 0. \quad (\text{B11})$$

And the $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}L_m - 2g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu} \partial g^{\alpha\beta}}. \quad (\text{B12})$$

For the perfect fluid, considering energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu - pg_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B13})$$

and the matter Lagrangian as $L_m = -p$ and also if the four-velocity u_μ satisfies the conditions $u_\mu u^\mu = 1$ and $u^\mu \nabla_\nu u_\mu = 0$, then the variation of the stress-energy of a perfect fluid can be written as

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} - pg_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B14})$$

Acknowledgement: A.P. and G.M. acknowledges the DSTB, Government of West Bengal, India for financial support through the Grants No.: 322(Sanc.)/ST/P/S&T/16G-3/2018 dated 06.03.2019. CR thanks IUCAA, Pune, India for hospitality and support during an academic visit where a part of this work is accomplished.

-
- [1] A. G. Riess et al., “*Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant*”, *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998).
 - [2] S. Perlmutter et al., “*Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae*”, *Astroph. J.* **517**, 565 (1999).
 - [3] E. Komatsu et. al., “*Seven-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation*”, *Astroph. J. Suppl.* **192**, 18 (2011).
 - [4] N. Aghanim et. al., Planck Collaboration, “*Planck 2018 results*”, *A & A*, **641**, A1 (2020)
 - [5] S. M. Carroll et. al., “*Is cosmic speed-up due to new gravitational physics?*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D 70**, 043528 (2004).
 - [6] Sotiriou T.P., Faraoni V., “*f(R) theories of gravity*”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451, (2010)
 - [7] De Felice A. and Tsujikawa S., “*f(R) Theories*”, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3, (2010)
 - [8] S. Weinberg, “*Cosmology*”, Oxford University Press, (2008)
 - [9] Nojiri S. and Odintsov S.D., “*Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy*”, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115, (2007)
 - [10] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, “*Modified gravity and its reconstruction from the universe expansion history*”, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **66**, 012005, (2007).
 - [11] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, “*Modified f(R) gravity consistent with realistic cosmology: From a matter dominated epoch to a dark energy universe*”, *Phys.Rev.* **D 74**, 086005, (2006)
 - [12] S. Capozziello et. al., “*Unified phantom cosmology: Inflation, dark energy and dark matter under the same standard*”, *Phys. Lett. B* **632**, 597 (2006)
 - [13] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “*Unifying inflation with LambdaCDM epoch in modified f(R) gravity consistent with Solar System tests*”, *Phys. Lett. B* **657**, 238 (2007)
 - [14] Elizalde E. et. al., “*Class of viable modified f(R) gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 106005 (2008).
 - [15] Elizalde E. et. al., “ *Λ CDM epoch reconstruction from F(R,G) and modified Gauss–Bonnet gravities*”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27**, 095007, (2010)
 - [16] Myrzakulov R. et. al., “*On the Λ CDM Universe in f(G) gravity*”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, **43**, 1671, (2011)
 - [17] Durrer R. and Maartens R., “*Dark Energy and Modified Gravity*”, [arXiv:0811.4132], (2008)
 - [18] Copeland E.J. et. al., “*Dynamics of dark energy*”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D.* **15**, 1753, (2006)
 - [19] T. Chiba, “*1/R gravity and scalar-tensor gravity*”, *Phys. Lett. B* **575**, 1 (2003);
 - [20] G. J. Olmo, “*Limit to general relativity in f(R) theories of gravity*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 023511 (2007).
 - [21] W. Hu and I. Sawicki, “*Models of f(R) cosmic acceleration that evade solar system tests*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 064004 (2007)
 - [22] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “*Modified f(R) gravity unifying R^m inflation with the Λ CDM epoch*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 026007 (2008)
 - [23] G. Cognola et. al. “*Class of viable modified f(R) gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 046009 (2008)
 - [24] S. Capozziello, et. al., “*f(R) gravity modifications: from the action to the data*”, *JCAP* **0608**, 001 (2006)
 - [25] A. Borowie et. al., “*The Cosmology of f(R) gravity in metric variational approach*” *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007) **183**
 - [26] Nojiri S., Odintsov S.D., “*Nojiri S., Odintsov S.D., Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 38, 1285,(2006)*”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, **38**, 1285, (2006)
 - [27] O. Bertolami et. al., “*Extra force in f(R) modified theories of gravity*”, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 104016, (2007)

- [28] T. Harko et. al., “ $f(R, L_m)$ gravity”, Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 373, (2010)
- [29] Arijit Panda et. al., “ $f(R, L(X))$ – gravity in the context of dark energy with power law expansion and energy conditions”, [arXiv:gr-qc/2004.12425v2], (2021)
- [30] N. J. Poplawski, “A Lagrangian description of interacting dark energy”, [arXiv:gr-qc/0608031], (2006)
- [31] P. K. Sahoo et. al., “ $f(R, T) = f(R) + \lambda T$ gravity models as alternatives to cosmic acceleration”, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 736, (2018).
- [32] T. Harko et. al., “ $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020, (2011).
- [33] G. Alvarenga, et. al., “Dynamics of scalar perturbations in $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 103526.
- [34] M. Sharif and M. Zubair, J., “Thermodynamics in $f(R, T)$ theory of gravity”, Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2012)
- [35] M. J. S. Houndjo, “Reconstruction of $f(R, T)$ gravity describing matter dominated and accelerated phases”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D. **21**, 1250003 (2012).
- [36] M. J. S. Houndjo and O. F. Piattella, “Reconstructing $f(R, T)$ gravity from holographic dark energy”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D. **21**, 1250024 (2012).
- [37] M. Jamil, et.al., “Reconstruction of some cosmological models in $f(R, T)$ gravity” Euro. Phys. J. C **72**, 1999 (2012).
- [38] Alvaro de la Cruz-Dombriz and Diego Saez-Gomez, “On the stability of the cosmological solutions in $f(R, G)$ gravity”, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**: 245014, 2012
- [39] S. Nojiri, et. al., “Cosmological reconstruction of realistic modified $F(R)$ gravities”, Phys. Lett. B **681** (2009) 74-80.
- [40] M. J. S. Houndjo, et. al., “Finite-time singularities in $f(R, T)$ gravity and the effect of conformal anomaly”, Can. J. Phys. **91(7)**, 548-553 (2013).
- [41] P. K. Sahoo et. al., “Bianchi type string cosmological models in $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **131**, 333 (2016).
- [42] G. P. Singh and Binaya K. Bishi, “Scalar field and time varying Cosmological constant in $f(R, T)$ gravity for Bianchi type-I Universe”, Astrophysics and Space Science, 360.1,1 (2015).
- [43] G. P. Singh and Binaya K. Bishi, “Bianchi Type-I Universe with Cosmological Constant and Quadratic Equation of State in $f(R, T)$ Modified Gravity”, , Advances in High Energy Physics 2015 (2015)
- [44] E. Baffou et. al., “ $f(R, T)$ models applied to baryogenesis”, Eur. Phys. J. C, **79**, 112, (2019)
- [45] Sezgin Aygun et. al., “Gravitation and Cosmology”, **24**, 302, (2018)
- [46] S. Sahu et. al., “Cosmic Transit and Anisotropic Models in $f(R, T)$ Gravity”, Chinese J. Phys. **55**, 862 (2017).
- [47] J. Satish and R. Venkateswarlu, “Bulk viscous fluid cosmological models in $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Chinese J. Phys. **54**, 830 (2016).
- [48] B. Mirza and F. Oboudiat, “A dynamical system analysis of $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Int. J. Geom. Meth. in Mod. Phys. **13**, 1650108, (2016).
- [49] P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, “Wormholes in exponential $f(R, T)$ gravity”, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 677, (2019)
- [50] M. Zubair et. al., “Scalar Field Cosmology in $f(R, T)$ Gravity with Λ ”, Astroph. Space Sci. **361**, 238 (2016).
- [51] G. P. Singh et. al., “Cosmological constant Λ in $f(R, T)$ modified gravity”, Int. J. Geom. Meth. in Mod. Phys. **13**, 1038 (2016).
- [52] M. Born and L. Infeld, “Foundations of the new field theory”, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond A **144**, 425, (1934)
- [53] W. Heisenberg, Zeitschrift fur Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei **113** no.1-2 (1939)
- [54] P. A. M. Dirac, “An extensible model of the electron”, Royal Society of London Proceedings Series A **268**, 57, (1962)
- [55] D. Gangopadhyay and Goutam Manna, “The Hawking temperature in the context of dark energy”, Euro.Phys.Lett. **100**, 49001, (2012).
- [56] Goutam Manna and Debashis Gangopadhyay, “The Hawking temperature in the context of dark energy for Reissner–Nordstrom and Kerr background”, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2811, (2014).
- [57] Goutam Manna and Bivash Majumder, “The Hawking temperature in the context of dark energy for Kerr–Newman and Kerr–Newman–AdS backgrounds”, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 553, (2019).
- [58] M. Visser, C. Barcelo and S. Liberati, “Analogue models of and for gravity”, Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 1719, (2002)
- [59] E. Babichev, et. al., “Looking beyond the horizon”, JHEP **0609**, 061, (2006)
- [60] E. Babichev, et. al., “ k -Essence, superluminal propagation, causality and emergent geometry”, JHEP **0802**, 101, (2008)
- [61] Alexander Vikman, “ K -essence: Cosmology, causality and Emergent Geometry,”, Dissertation an der Fakultat fur Physik, Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitat Munchen, Munchen, den 29.08.2007.
- [62] E. Babichev, et. al., “Looking beyond the Horizon”, WSPC-Proceedings, October 23, 2008
- [63] R. J. Scherrer, “Purely Kinetic k -Essence as Unified Dark Matter”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 011301, (2004)
- [64] L. P. Chimento, “Extended tachyon field, Chaplygin gas, and solvable k -essence cosmologies”, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123517, (2004)
- [65] P. K. S. Dunsby et. al., “ Λ CDM universe in $f(R)$ gravity”, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 023519 (2010).
- [66] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from $F(R)$ theory to Lorentz non-invariant models”, Physics Reports, Phys.Rept.**505:59-144**,2011
- [67] A. L. Erickcek, et. al., “Solar System tests DO rule out $1/R$ gravity”, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 121501 (2006);
- [68] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, “The Classical Theory of Fields”, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford (1998).
- [69] T. Koivisto, “Covariant conservation of energy momentum in modified gravities”, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 4289 (2006).
- [70] Ashutosh Tripathi, et. al., “Dark energy equation of state parameter and its evolution at low redshift”, JCAP, **06**, 012, (2017)
- [71] Goutam Manna et. al., “ k -essence emergent spacetime as a generalized Vaidya geometry”, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 124034, (2020)

- [72] Moraes et. al., “A Cosmological Scenario from the Starobinsky Model within the $f(R, T)$ Formalism”, Advances in Astronomy, vol. 2019, Article ID **8574798**, (2019).
- [73] D. Gangopadhyay, G. Manna, “Cosmology in presence of dark energy in an emergent gravity scenario”, arXiv:grqc/1502.06255 (2015).
- [74] Sean M. Carroll et. al, “Can the dark energy equation-of-state parameter ω be less than -1 ?”, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023509 (2003)
- [75] A. A. Starobinsky, “Disappearing cosmological constant in $f(R)$ gravity”, JETP Letters volume **86**, 157–163 (2007)
- [76] M. Sharif et. al., “Axial Dissipative Dust as a Source of Gravitational Radiation in $f(R)$ Gravity”, Phys.Dark Univ. **15**, 105-113 (2017)