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#### Abstract

We develop the categorical algebra of the noncommutative base change of a comodule category by means of a Grothendieck category $\mathfrak{G}$. We describe when the resulting category of comodules is locally finitely generated, locally noetherian or may be recovered as a coreflective subcategory of the noncommutative base change of a module category. We also introduce the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ of relative $(A, H)$-Hopf modules in $\subseteq$, where $H$ is a Hopf algebra and $A$ is a right $H$-comodule algebra. We study the cohomological theory in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$ by means of spectral sequences. Using coinduction functors and functors of coinvariants, we study torsion theories and how they relate to injective resolutions in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Finally, we use the theory of associated primes and support in noncommutative base change of module categories to give direct sum decompositions of minimal injective resolutions in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we aim to study a theory of modules over a noncommutative base change of categorified fiber bundles. We consider a Hopf algebra $H$ over a field $k$, and a $k$-algebra $A$ which carries the structure of a right $H$-comodule algebra. The geometric version of this consists of an affine algebraic group scheme $G$ acting freely on an affine scheme $X$. Accordingly, the role of the quotient space $X / G$ is played by the algebra $B=A^{c o H}$ of $H$-coinvariants of $A$. The sheaves over the quotient space are then replaced by "relative $(A, H)$-Hopf modules" (see, for instance, [18], [24], [25], [32]). This consists of a left $A$-module $M$ equipped with a right $H$-comodule structure $M \longrightarrow M \otimes H$ such that the multiplication $A \otimes M \longrightarrow M$ is compatible with the $H$-coactions on $A$ and $M$. Under certain faithful flatness conditions (see Schneider [30]), the category of relative ( $A, H$ )-Hopf modules becomes identical to the category of modules over $B=A^{c o H}$.
The idea of noncommutative base change of an algebra by means of a Grothendieck category goes back to Popescu [29]. If $R$ is a $k$-algebra, and $\mathfrak{S}$ is a $k$-linear Grothendieck category, an $R$-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$ consists of an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{G}$ along with a morphism $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}: R \longrightarrow \Theta(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ of $k$-algebras. If $\mathfrak{S}$ is the category of modules over a $k$-algebra $R^{\prime}$, then an $R$-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$ is the same as a module over $R \otimes_{k} R^{\prime}$. This justifies the expression "noncommutative base change" for the category $\mathfrak{\Im}_{R}$ of (right) $R$-module objects in $\mathcal{G}$. In [4], Artin and Zhang have developed in great detail the categorical aspects of this theory, studying finitely generated objects and finitely presented objects in $\Im_{R}$, as well as the properties of being locally finitely generated or locally noetherian. When applied to a certain quotient of the category of graded modules over a graded algebra, they capture the geometry of the noncommutative projective schemes of Artin and Zhang [2] (see also Artin, Small and Zhang [3]). The authors in [4] also developed the categorical algebra and the homological algebra of $R$-module objects in $\subseteq$, by establishing versions of localization, Nakayama Lemma, Hilbert Basis Theorem and the derived functors Tor and Ext. Other aspects such as coherence properties and deformation theory in $\mathfrak{S}_{R}$ have been studied by Lowen and Van den Bergh [28]. The

[^0]non-noetherian descent in these module categories with respect to the classical Beauville-Laszlo theorem (see [10], [11]) has been studied in [7]. Our starting point in this paper is the notion of a $C$-comodule object in a Grothendieck category $\mathbb{\subseteq}$, where $C$ is a $k$-coalgebra, introduced by Brzeziński and Wisbauer [17] § 39].
This paper consists of two parts. In the first part, we work with the categorical properties of $\mathbb{\Im}^{C}$, the collection of (right) $C$-comodule objects in $\subseteq$. We show that $\Im^{C}$ may be recovered as a coreflective subcategory of ${ }_{A} \subseteq$, where $C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is an
 $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is a Grothendieck category. When $\mathfrak{S}$ is locally finitely generated, we use $\Im$-rational pairings to give conditions for $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$ to be locally finitely generated. We also study injective envelopes in $\Im^{C}$, and give conditions for $\Im^{C}$ to be locally noetherian.
In the second part of the paper, we study the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ of relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathfrak{\Im}$, where $A$ is a right $H$-comodule algebra. As indicated before, the objects in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$ may be seen as modules over the "quotient space of ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to the base change of the $H$-coaction on $A$. We will study the cohomology theory and the properties of relative $(A, H)$ Hopf module objects in $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$ by adapting the methods of Caenepeel and Guédénon [22]. First, we construct a spectral sequence that computes the higher derived Hom functors in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ (see Theorem [5.3)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p q}=R^{p}(-)^{c o H}\left(R^{q}{ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M},--)(\mathcal{N})\right) \Rightarrow\left(R^{p+q}{ }_{A} \subseteq^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \ldots)\right)(\mathcal{N}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{E}^{H}$. Here, ( -$)^{\text {coH }}$ is the functor of taking $H$-coinvariants on the category Com $-H$ of right $H$-comodules. The functor ${ }_{A} \Subset H O M(\mathcal{M}, \ldots)$ for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{E}^{H}$ is the rational Hom that is right adjoint to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots$ : Com - $H \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$. We show that the rational Hom object ${ }_{\wedge} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ may also be recovered as the largest $H$-comodule contained inside the morphism space ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.
Thereafter, we suppose that the Hopf algebra $H$ is cosemisimple and let $B=A^{\text {coH }}$ be the subalgebra of coinvariants of $A$. We obtain an adjoint triple of functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \otimes_{B--}:{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \quad \mathbb{C}^{H}:{ }_{A} \mathbb{G}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \subseteq \quad{ }_{B} H O M(A,-):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

relating ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ to the category ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$ of $B$-module objects in $\mathfrak{G}$. Here $\mathbb{C}^{H}$ is the functor of $H$-coinvariants, and we construct the coinduction functor ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mu_{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$. We use the functors in (1.2) to build torsion theories in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ as well as to relate injective envelopes and minimal injective resolutions in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{G}^{H}$ and ${ }_{B} \subseteq$. If $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \mathbb{G}$, we show that $\mathbb{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right)\right) \cong$ ${ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, where ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(-)$ denotes the injective envelope in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}$ and ${ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(-)$ denotes the injective envelope in ${ }_{B} \mathcal{G}$. We also show that the full subcategory

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right):=\left\{\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \mid \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a torsion class in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}$. The corresponding torsion free class $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}\right)$ consists of those $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}$ for which the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is a monomorphism. In terms of injective cogenerators of $\subseteq$, we give conditions for all objects in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}$ to be torsion free, i.e., $\left.\mathcal{F}_{A} \mathcal{G}^{H}\right)={ }_{A} \mathcal{S}^{H}$.
Finally, we apply some of our results from [9] on associated primes and support in abstract module categories. If $\mathfrak{G}$ is a strongly locally noetherian Grothendieck category and $T$ is a commutative and noetherian $k$-algebra, we have shown in [9] that any injective object in ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ decomposes as a direct sum of injective envelopes of " $T$-elementary objects in $\subseteq$ ". In the abstract module category ${ }_{T} \subseteq$, the $T$-elementary objects introduced in [9] play a role similar to quotients over prime ideals. In this paper, we show that any injective $\mathcal{E} \in_{T} \subseteq$ decomposes as a direct sum (see Proposition (7.19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \cong \bigoplus_{p \in A s s_{T} \varepsilon(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon(\mathfrak{p}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is a direct sum of injective envelopes of $T$-elementary objects and maximal with respect to the collection of subobjects $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ such that $A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{N})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. We refine some of our results from [9] and combine with the methods of Caenepeel and Guédénon [22] to obtain a direct sum decomposition of objects appearing in a minimal injective resolution ${ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M} \in \in_{A} \mathcal{S}^{H}$ in terms of injective envelopes of elementary objects associated to prime ideals in $B=A^{c o H}$ (see Theorem 7.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})=\bigoplus_{p \in A s s_{B_{B} \in(B \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_{\left.\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)}{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)(\mathfrak{p})\right)} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to [22], this is done under certain additional noetherian and torsion freeness assumptions that we extend to the datum $(A, H, \mathfrak{\Im})$. As such, we hope that this paper will be the first step towards a systematic development of the theory of $(A, H)$-Hopf modules and closely related notions in a Grothendieck category $\mathfrak{G}$. The literature on the usual $(A, H)$-Hopf modules, as well as Doi-Hopf modules, Yetter-Drinfeld modules and entwined modules is vast, for which we refer the reader, for instance, to [5], [6], [8], [13], [14], [15], [16], [19], [20], [21], [26].

## 2 ©-Rational pairings, module objects and comodule objects

Let $k$ be a field. Throughout, we let $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$ be a $k$-linear Grothendieck category. If $R$ is a $k$-algebra, a (right) $R$-module object in $\subseteq$ (see Popescu [29, p 108]) is a pair ( $\left.\mathcal{M}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is an object of $\subseteq$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}: R \longrightarrow \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ is a morphism of $k$-algebras, i.e., $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}(r s)=\rho_{\mathcal{M}}(s) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{M}}(r)$ for $r, s \in R$. A morphism $\phi:\left(\mathcal{M}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ of $R$-module objects consists of a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{\operatorname{S}}$ such that $\rho_{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}} \circ \phi=\phi \circ \rho_{\mathcal{M}}$. The category of right $R$-module objects in $\mathbb{S}$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{R}$. We will usually write an object $\left(\mathcal{M}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}_{R}$ simply as $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{S}_{R}$. By [4] Proposition B2.2], we know that $\mathfrak{S}_{R}$ is also a Grothendieck category. We may similarly consider the category ${ }_{R} \mathfrak{G}$ of left $R$-module objects in $\mathfrak{G}$.
If $R-\operatorname{Mod}$ denotes the category of left $R$-modules, there is a bifunctor

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\otimes_{R--}: \mathfrak{S}_{R} \times R-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a detailed study of the properties of this bifunctor, we refer the reader to Artin and Zhang [4]. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{G}_{R}$, we have $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R} R \cong \mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}_{R}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}$, we note that there is a canonical left $R$-module structure on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. The functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R--}: R-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is left adjoint to $\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{M}, \ldots): \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow R-\operatorname{Mod}$ (see [4, § B3]). In addition, if $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R-\ldots}: R-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ is exact, $\mathcal{M} \in \Im_{R}$ is said to be $R$-flat.
On the other hand, if $V$ is a left $R$-module, the functor $\__{-} \otimes_{R} V: \mathbb{S}_{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is also right exact and has a right adjoint (see [4]. Proposition B3.6]) which we denote by $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(V,-): \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{R}$. In addition, if _- $\otimes_{R} V: \mathfrak{S}_{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is exact, then $V \in R-\operatorname{Mod}$ is said to be $\Im_{R^{\prime}}$-flat. Also, if $V$ is an $\left(R, R^{\prime}\right)$-bimodule, the functor $\quad \otimes_{R} V$ takes values in $\Im_{R^{\prime}}$.
In particular, we always write $\otimes:=\otimes_{k}$. A right $R$-module object in $\mathcal{G}$ may also be described in the following manner (see [4) Lemma B3.14]).
Definition 2.1. An object $\left(\mathcal{M}, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \in \mathfrak{\Im}_{R}$ is determined by a morphism $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \otimes R \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ in $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ satisfying the following two conditions
(1) If $u: k \longrightarrow R$ is the unit of $R$, the composition $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M} \otimes k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N} \otimes u} \mathcal{M} \otimes R \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}$ is the identity.
(2) The following diagram commutes

where $\mu_{R}$ is the multiplication on $R$. We will denote by $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(R, \mathcal{M})$ the morphism corresponding to $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \otimes R \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}$ via the adjunction.

Similarly, there is the notion of $C$-comodule objects in $\mathcal{G}$, where $C$ is a $k$-coalgebra (see [17, § 39]).
Definition 2.2. Let $C$ be a k-coalgebra. A right $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{S}$ consists of an object $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{S}$ and a morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes C$ satisfying the following two conditions
(1) If $\epsilon: C \longrightarrow k$ is the counit of $C$, the composition $\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{P} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \otimes \epsilon} \mathcal{P}$ is the identity.
(2) The following diagram commutes

where $\Delta_{C}$ is the comultiplication on $C$. A morphism $\phi:\left(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$ of right $C$-comodules in $\mathbb{S}$ consists of a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$ such that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}} \circ \phi=(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$. The category of right $C$-comodule objects in $\mathfrak{S}$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. Similarly, there is a category of left C-comodule objects in $\mathfrak{\Im}$, which will be denoted by ${ }^{C} \mathfrak{\subseteq}$.

Since $k$ is a field, the coalgebra $C$ is always flat over $k$. Using [4, Proposition C1.7], it now follows that $\ldots \otimes C$ is exact. In fact, since every monomorphism in $k-\operatorname{Mod}$ splits, we see that the bifunctor $\quad \otimes_{-}: \subseteq \times k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is exact in both variables. Accordingly, we see that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ contains both kernels and cokernels. This makes $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ an abelian category. Further, colimits and finite limits of systems in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ may be computed in $\mathfrak{S}$.
We also observe that if $\left(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right),\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{\prime}}\right)$ are $C$-comodule objects in $\mathfrak{S}$, then $\mathfrak{S}^{C}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ can be expressed as the equalizer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}^{C}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=E q\left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \underset{\phi \mapsto(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}}{\phi \mapsto \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \phi} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime} \otimes C\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $f: C \longrightarrow D$ a morphism of $k$-coalgebras. Then, there is an obvious functor $f^{*}: \mathbb{S}^{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{D}$ that takes $\left(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{P},(\mathcal{P} \otimes f) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$. On the other hand, if $\left(\mathcal{L}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{Q}}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}^{D}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \square_{D} C:=E q\left(\mathcal{Q} \otimes C \underset{(Q \otimes f \otimes C) \circ\left(2 \otimes \Delta_{C}\right)}{\Delta_{Q} \otimes C} \mathcal{L} \otimes D \otimes C\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines a functor $f_{*}: \mathbb{S}^{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $f: C \longrightarrow D$ be a morphism of $k$-coalgebras. Then, $\left(f^{*}, f_{*}\right)$ is a pair of adjoint functors.
Proof. We consider $\left(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ and $\left(\mathbb{Q}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{Q}}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{D}$. We consider $\phi \in \mathbb{S}^{D}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{P}\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{Q}\right)$, i.e., $\phi \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \circ \phi=$ $(\phi \otimes D) \circ \Delta_{f^{*}(\mathcal{P})}=(\phi \otimes D) \circ(\mathcal{P} \otimes f) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes C\right) \circ(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} & =(\phi \otimes D \otimes C) \circ(\mathcal{P} \otimes f \otimes C) \circ\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes C\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \\
& =(\mathcal{Q} \otimes f \otimes C) \circ(\phi \otimes C \otimes C) \circ\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes \Delta_{C}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =(\mathcal{Q} \otimes f \otimes C) \circ\left(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \Delta_{C}\right) \circ(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition in (2.5), it follows that $(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}$ factors through $Q \square_{D} C$ and it may be verified that this gives a morphism in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}\left(\mathcal{P}, 2 \square_{D} C\right)$. Conversely, if $\psi \in \Theta^{C}\left(\mathcal{P}, 2 \square_{D} C\right)$, it may be verified that the composition $\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \square_{D} C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \otimes C \xrightarrow{2 \otimes \epsilon_{C}} \mathcal{Q}$ is a morphism in $S^{D}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\right)$, where $\epsilon_{C}: C \longrightarrow k$ is the counit. This proves the result.
Applying Proposition 2.3 to the counit morphism $\epsilon_{C}: C \longrightarrow k$, we have in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})=\mathbb{S}^{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \otimes C) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{S}$.
We now let $C$ be a $k$-coalgebra and $A$ be a $k$-algebra. A pairing of $C$ and $A$ is a $k$-linear map $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ such that the induced map $\hat{\beta}: A \longrightarrow C^{*}$ is morphism of $k$-algebras. Here, the multiplication on $C^{*}$ is defined by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(c)=\sum f\left(c_{1}\right) g\left(c_{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c \in C$ and $f, g \in C^{*}$. We now consider the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ of left $A$-module objects in $\mathfrak{G}$ and the category $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ of right $C$-comodule objects in $\mathfrak{G}$. If $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is a pairing, then any $C$-comodule object $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathfrak{G}$ is naturally an $A$-module object in $\mathfrak{G}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M} \otimes C \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M} \otimes \beta} \mathcal{M} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have an embedding $\mathcal{I}^{C}: \mathfrak{S}^{C} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ of categories. We recall that the functor $\_\otimes A: \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ has the right adjoint $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A,-): \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$. It also follows that for a finite dimensional vector space $V$ and an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \otimes V \cong \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(V^{*}, \mathcal{M}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4. A pairing $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is said to be $\mathfrak{G}$-rational iffor any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}_{k}$, the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \otimes C \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M}) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding by adjunction to the morphism $\mathcal{M} \otimes \beta: \mathcal{M} \otimes C \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a monomorphism in $\mathfrak{G}$.
Using the definition in (2.9), we note that for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$, the structure map of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{J}^{C}(\mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}$ can also be given by the composition $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be an $\mathfrak{G}$-rational pairing. Then, ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{J}^{C}: \mathbb{S}^{C} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}$ is a full embedding of categories.
Proof. Let $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a morphism in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$ with $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. We consider the diagram


Since $\phi \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, we note that

$$
\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \phi) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}=\alpha_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \phi
$$

From Definition 2.4 we observe that

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{N}} \circ(\phi \otimes C)=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \phi) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{M}}
$$

Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a monomorphism, we now obtain $(\phi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}=\Delta_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \phi$, i.e., $\phi$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proposition 2.6. The category $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is closed under subobjects, direct sums and quotients in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be a subobject of $\mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}$. We will show that $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. We consider the structure map $\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow$ $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{N})$. Since $k$ is a field (hence $\_\otimes C: \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is exact), we now have the following commutative diagram with exact rows in $\mathfrak{S}$


We claim that $(\pi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \iota=0$. Using the fact that $\iota: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a morphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$, we have

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}} \circ(\pi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \iota=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \pi) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \iota=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \pi) \circ \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \iota) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}=0
$$

Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}}$ is a monomorphism, it follows that $(\pi \otimes C) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M} \circ \iota \iota}=0$. Therefore, $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \iota$ factors through the kernel $\mathcal{N} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes C} \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ and we obtain the map $\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes C$ which makes $\mathcal{N}$ a $C$-comodule object in $\subseteq$. This shows that $\mathbb{G}^{C}$ is closed under subobjects. From this, it also follows that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is closed under quotients. It may be verified directly that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is also closed under direct sums.

For $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$, we now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathfrak{R}^{C}}(\mathcal{N}):=\sum_{\phi \in{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}} \operatorname{Im}(\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is closed under subobjects, quotients and direct sums in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$, we see that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. We remark that since ${ }_{A} \subseteq$ is a Grothendieck category, the subobjects of $\mathcal{N} \in_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ always form a set. Accordingly, the expression in (2.12) may be treated as a sum over a set.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be an $\mathfrak{G}$-rational pairing. Then, the association $\mathcal{N} \mapsto{ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N})$ defines a functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}$ : ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{C}$, and it is right adjoint to the embedding ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{J}^{C}: \mathbb{S}^{C} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \subseteq$.

Proof. Let $\phi: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ be a morphism in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. Since ${ }_{A} \Re^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$, it is clear from the definition in (2.12) that the composition ${ }_{A} \Re^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ factors through ${ }_{A} \Re^{C}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. As such, we have an induced map ${ }_{A} \Re^{C}(\phi):{ }_{A} \Re^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \Re^{C}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. We will now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}_{A} \mathfrak{J}^{C}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}\right) \cong \mathbb{S}^{C}\left(\mathcal{M},{ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N})\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. Let $\psi \in{ }_{A} \mathscr{S}_{( }\left(\mathfrak{J}^{C}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}\right)$. Then, it is clear from the definition in (2.12) that $\psi$ factors through ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N})$. On the other hand, let $\psi^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}^{C}\left(\mathcal{M},{ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N})\right.$ ). Composing with the inclusion ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$, we obtain a morphism in $\Im_{A}$. It may be easily verified that these two associations are inverse to each other.

We recall that a Grothendieck category $\mathfrak{S}$ is locally finitely generated (see, for instance, [1]) if it has a set of finitely generated generators. Equivalently, every object of $\mathfrak{G}$ may be expressed a filtered colimit of its finitely generated subobjects. We have noted before that since $k$ is a field, the bifunctor ${ }_{-} \otimes \ldots: \subseteq \times k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is exact in both variables. In particular, for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}$, the functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ preserves finite limits.

Proposition 2.8. Suppose that $\mathfrak{\Im}$ is locally finitely generated. Suppose also that for any finitely generated $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{\Im}$, the functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ preserves limits. Then, the canonical pairing $C \otimes C^{*} \longrightarrow k$ is $\mathfrak{G}$-rational.

Proof. We consider a linear map $f: C \longrightarrow k$ in $C^{*}$ and its dual $f^{*}: k^{*}=k \longrightarrow C^{*}$. Accordingly, for any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{G}$, we have a commutative diagram


It follows from (2.14) that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{M} \otimes f)$ for each $f \in C^{*}$. Since $\mathcal{M} \otimes \otimes_{-}: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ is exact, we have $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{M} \otimes f)=\mathcal{M} \otimes \operatorname{Ker}(f)$.
We now suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}$ is finitely generated. By assumption, $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq \subseteq$ preserves limits and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{f \in C^{*}}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \operatorname{Ker}(f))=\mathcal{M} \otimes\left(\bigcap_{f \in C^{*}} \operatorname{Ker}(f)\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a monomorphism whenever $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{G}$ is finitely generated. Then, for $\mathcal{N} \in \mathscr{G}$ and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ finitely generated, we have a monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \otimes C \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(C^{*}, \mathcal{M}\right) \hookrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(C^{*}, \mathcal{N}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note here that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(C^{*}, \mathcal{M}\right) \hookrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(C^{*}, \mathcal{N}\right)$ is a monomorphism because $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(C^{*}, \__{-}\right)$is a right adjoint. Taking the filtered colimit in $\mathfrak{S}$ of the monomorphisms appearing in (2.16), we have the monomorphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ for each $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{G}$.

Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be a pairing that is $\subseteq$-rational. For $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}$, we have defined ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N})$ in (2.12) to be the sum of images of $\phi \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ with $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. We conclude this section by providing another description of the functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be an $\subseteq$-rational pairing. Let $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \subseteq$. Then ${ }_{A} \Re^{C}(\mathcal{N})$ may be expressed as the pullback


Proof. We begin by setting $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}_{A}$ to be the pullback $\mathcal{T}:=\lim (\mathcal{N} \otimes C \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{N}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{N})$ with induced morphisms $\iota: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\iota^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes C$. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ and consider $\phi \in_{A} \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Then, we have commutative diagrams


Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}=\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{\prime}$, it follows from (2.18) that $\mathcal{M}$ admits an induced morphism to the pullback $\mathcal{T}$. Since $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is $\mathfrak{\Im}$-rational, $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a monomorphism and hence so is its pullback $\iota: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$. By the definition in (2.12), it now follows that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{R}^{C}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. To complete the proof, we will show that $\mathcal{T}$ is itself a $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{G}$. For this, we consider


We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}} \circ(\pi \otimes C) \circ \iota^{\prime}=\underline{H o m}(A, \pi) \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{N}} \circ \iota^{\prime}=\underline{H o m}(A, \pi) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \circ \iota=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \pi) \circ \underline{H o m}(A, \iota) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{T}}^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{N} / \mathcal{T}}$ is a monomorphism, we obtain $(\pi \otimes C) \circ \iota^{\prime}=0$. This gives an induced morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \otimes C$ which makes $\mathcal{T}$ a $C$-comodule object in $\subseteq$.

## 3 Generators of $C$-comodule objects in $\mathfrak{S}$

We continue with $C$ being a $k$-coalgebra. In this section, we will study when $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is a Grothendieck category and when it is locally finitely generated. For any object $\mathcal{N} \in \Xi$, we note that the comultiplication on $C$ makes $\mathcal{N} \otimes C$ into a right $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{S}$ in a canonical manner.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is a subobject of $\mathcal{M} \otimes C$ in the category $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proof. We note that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{S}^{C}$. If $\epsilon: C \longrightarrow k$ is the counit of $C$, we know that $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the identity in $\mathfrak{G}$. Hence, $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a monomorphism in $\mathfrak{G}$. Since kernels of morphisms in $\mathbb{G}^{C}$ are computed in $\mathfrak{G}$, the result follows.

Lemma 3.2. The category $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is well-powered, i.e., the collection of subobjects of any given object forms a set.

Proof. We consider $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since kernels in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ are computed in $\subseteq$, we know that $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ in $\subseteq$. Additionally, since $\ldots \otimes C$ is exact, we know that $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \otimes C \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ in $\subseteq$. This means that the factorization of $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ through $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \otimes C$ must be unique. Hence, the subobjects of $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}^{C}$ correspond to a subcollection of the subobjects of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$. Since $\mathfrak{S}$ is a Grothendieck category, it is well-powered. Hence, the subobjects of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$ form a set. The result is now clear.

Theorem 3.3. Let $C$ be a $k$-coalgebra. Then, $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is a Grothendieck category.
Proof. Since filtered colimits and finite limits in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ are computed in $\mathfrak{S}$, it is clear that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ satisfies (AB5). Also since $\mathfrak{G}$ is a Grothendieck category, we can choose a generator $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}$. We consider some $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. We choose an epimorphism $\pi: \mathcal{G}^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$ from a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{G}$. Accordingly, we have an epimorphism $\pi \otimes C: \mathcal{G}^{(\Lambda)} \otimes C=(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{M} \otimes C$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. We now consider the following pullback diagram in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$


By Lemma3.1, we know that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. Accordingly, $\mathcal{N} \subseteq(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(\Lambda)}$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. We now let $F i n(\Lambda)$ denote the collection of finite subsets of $\Lambda$. For any $T \in \operatorname{Fin}(\Lambda)$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{T}:=(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(\Lambda)} \times_{(\mathcal{M} \otimes C)} \operatorname{Im}\left((\pi \otimes C) \mid(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(T)}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$. We now consider the following two pullback squares in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$


The left hand side diagram in (3.3) consists of subobjects of $(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(\Lambda)}$ and the right hand side diagram consists of subobjects of $\mathcal{M} \otimes C$. It now follows that all the squares in the following diagram are pullbacks in $\mathbb{\Im}^{C}$


By definition, we know that the composition $(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(T)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\left((\pi \otimes C) \mid(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(T)}\right)$ is an epimorphism. Since $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is abelian, it follows that $\pi_{T}: \mathcal{N} \cap(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(T)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{E}_{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{T}$ is also an epimorphism.
Also since $\pi \otimes C:(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes C$ is an epimorphism, applying filtered colimits to the right hand diagram in (3.3) over all $T \in \operatorname{Fin}(\Lambda)$ gives us $\mathcal{M}=\underset{T \in \operatorname{Fin}(\Lambda)}{\bigcup} \mathcal{K}_{T}$. Accordingly, the subobjects of $(\mathcal{G} \otimes C)^{n}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$, where $n \geq 1$, give a set of generators for $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $\Im^{C}$ is well-powered, and hence this collection is a set.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be an $\mathfrak{G}$-rational pairing and let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ be finitely generated as an object of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is also finitely generated as an object of $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\mathcal{N}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtered system of objects in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ connected by monomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{N}=\underset{\vec{i} \boldsymbol{l}}{\lim } \mathcal{N}_{i} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since filtered colimits in both $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ and ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\subseteq}$ are computed in $\mathfrak{G}$, we note that $\mathcal{N}$ is also the colimit of this system in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. For $i \in I$, we let $\xi_{i}$ denote the inclusion $\xi_{i}: \mathcal{N}_{i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

We now consider a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ is finitely generated, we can find some $i_{0} \in I$ such that $\phi$ factors as $\phi=\xi_{i_{0}} \circ \psi$ with $\psi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{i_{0}}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. By Lemma $2.5 \mathfrak{S}^{C}$ embeds as a full subcategory of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ and hence the morphism $\psi$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. This proves the result.

Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $\mathfrak{G}$ is locally finitely generated. Let $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ be an $\mathfrak{G}$-rational pairing. Then, $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is locally finitely generated.

Proof. Let $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of finitely generated generators for $\mathcal{G}$. By [4], Corollary B3.17], we know that $\left\{A \otimes \mathcal{G}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of generators for ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ and by [4, Proposition B5.1], we know that each $A \otimes \mathcal{G}_{i}$ is finitely generated in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. Accordingly, ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ is locally finitely generated.
We now consider some $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since $\beta: C \otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-rational pairing, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ may be treated as a full subcategory of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. We write $f g_{A}(\mathcal{N})$ for the collection of finitely generated subobjects of $\mathcal{N}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ is locally finitely generated, we may write $\mathcal{N}$ as the filtered colimit in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ of all $\mathcal{N} \in f g_{A}(\mathcal{N})$. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is closed under subobjects in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ and hence each $\mathcal{M} \in f g_{A}(\mathcal{N})$ lies in $\mathscr{S}^{C}$. By Lemma 3.4, each $\mathcal{M} \in f g_{A}(\mathcal{N})$ is also finitely generated as an object of $\mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since filtered colimits in both $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ and ${ }_{A} \subseteq$ are both computed in $\mathbb{S}$, we now see that $\mathcal{N}$ is also the filtered colimit in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ of finitely generated subobjects. This proves the result.

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that $\mathfrak{\Im}$ is locally finitely generated. Suppose also that for any finitely generated $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{G}$, the functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ preserves limits. Then, $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is locally finitely generated.

Proof. By applying Proposition[2.8, it follows that the canonical pairing $C \otimes C^{*} \longrightarrow k$ is $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$-rational. The result now follows from Proposition 3.5
Since $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$ is a Grothendieck category, we know that every $C$-comodule object in $\subseteq$ has an injective envelope. We conclude this section with some important properties of injectives in $\mathbb{\Im}^{C}$.
Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{S}$ be injective. Then, $\mathcal{E} \otimes C$ is injective in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{S}$ is injective, it follows from the adjunction in (2.7) that the functor $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}(\ldots, \mathcal{E} \otimes C)=\mathbb{S}^{( }(\ldots, \mathcal{E})$ is exact.
We will say that a $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{S}$ is free if it is of the form $\mathcal{M} \otimes C$ for some $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{S}$. Accordingly, if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{S}$ is injective, we will say that $\mathcal{E} \otimes C$ is a free injective in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.

Lemma 3.8. Every $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{\Im}$ embeds into a free injective in $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we know that any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ is a subobject of $\mathcal{M} \otimes C$ in the category $\mathbb{S}^{C}$. Since $\mathfrak{S}$ is a Grothendieck category, we can choose an embedding $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with $\mathcal{E}$ injective. Then, $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes C$ gives us the embedding we need.

Proposition 3.9. A $C$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{\Im}$ is injective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free injective in $\mathfrak{\Im}^{C}$.
Proof. If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ is a direct summand of a free injective, it must be injective in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$. On the other hand, for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$, we apply Lemma 3.8 to obtain an embedding $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes C$ in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$, where $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{S}$ is injective. Additionally, if $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}^{C}$ is injective, this monomorphism splits, making $\mathcal{M}$ a direct summand of a free injective in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proposition 3.10. Suppose that any direct sum of injectives in $\mathfrak{\Im}$ is injective. Then, any direct sum of injective objects in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is also injective in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of injectives in $\mathbb{S}^{C}$. Using Proposition 3.9, for each $i \in I$, we choose an injective $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime} \otimes C$. Accordingly, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ is a direct summand of $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes C$. By assumption, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ of injectives in $\mathbb{S}$ is injective and hence $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes C$ is a free injective. The result now follows by applying Proposition 3.9

Corollary 3.11. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a locally noetherian Grothendieck category and $C$ be a $k$-coalgebra. Then:
(a) Any direct sum of injective objects in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is also injective in $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$.
(b) Suppose also that for any finitely generated $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{S}$, the functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \subseteq$ preserves limits. Then, $\mathfrak{S}^{C}$ is locally noetherian.
Proof. We know that the direct sum of injectives in a locally noetherian Grothendieck category is injective. The result of (a) now follows from Proposition 3.10. To prove (b), we note that any locally noetherian category is also locally finitely generated. Applying Proposition 3.6, we see that $\mathbb{S}^{C}$ is also locally finitely generated. By part (a), we know that the direct sum of injectives in $\Im^{C}$ is also injective, and it now follows from [31, V.4.3] that $\Im^{C}$ is locally noetherian.

## 4 Relative Hopf modules in $\mathfrak{S}$

From now onwards, $H$ will denote a Hopf algebra over $k$, having multiplication $\mu_{H}: H \otimes H \longrightarrow H$, comultiplication $\Delta_{H}$ : $H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$, along with unit $u_{H}: k \longrightarrow H$ and counit $\epsilon_{H}: H \longrightarrow k$. For any vector spaces $V, W$, we will denote by $T_{V, W}$ the canonical isomorphism $V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \otimes V$. Our aim in the rest of this paper is to develop the cohomology theory for $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\subseteq$.
If $\left(A, \Delta_{A}^{H}: A \longrightarrow A \otimes H\right)$ is a right $H$-comodule algebra and $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H\right)$ is a right $H$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{S}$, then it follows that $A \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is also an $H$-comodule object in $\mathfrak{S}$ with structure morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}: A \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}} A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes \mu_{H}} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $T_{H, \mathcal{M}}$ denotes the isomorphism $H \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ in $\Im_{k}$. Similarly, if $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}: A \otimes \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\right) \in{ }_{A} \subseteq$, then $\mathcal{M} \otimes H$ becomes a left $A$-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$ via the following action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathcal{M} \otimes H}^{A}: A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H} A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}} \mathcal{N} \otimes H \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to introduce $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathbb{S}$.
Definition 4.1. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra. A left-right relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module object in $\mathfrak{G}$ is a triple $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$ such that
(1) $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right) \in_{A} \subseteq$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$
(2) $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}\right) \circ\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$.

In other words, $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}: A \otimes \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Equivalently, $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ is a morphism in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$.
A morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of left-right relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathfrak{S}$ is a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of objects in $\mathfrak{G}$ that is compatible with the left $A$-action and the right $H$-coaction. We will denote the category of left-right relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\subseteq$ by $_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$.
We note that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ is an abelian category. Further, filtered colimits and finite limits in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ may be computed in $\mathfrak{G}$. Our first aim is to show that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ is a Grothendieck category. We begin with the following observation. If $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$, then, $\mathcal{M} \otimes H \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ with $A$-module action as in (4.2) and $H$-comodule action given by $\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H}: \mathcal{M} \otimes H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes H$. In fact, this determines a functor ${ }_{A} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Then, $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ is a monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.
Proof. Since $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we know that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}$ is compatible with the $A$-action. From the coassociativity of the $H$ coaction, we know that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Since $\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon_{H}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}=i d$, we see that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}$ is a monomorphism in $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$. Since kernels in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ may be computed in $\mathfrak{\Im}$, the result follows.
Lemma 4.3. The category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ is well-powered, i.e., the collection of subobjects of any given object forms a set.

Proof. Let $\phi: \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Since kernels in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ are computed in $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a monomorphism in $\mathfrak{G}$. Since $\ldots \otimes H$ is exact, we see that $\phi \otimes H: \mathcal{M}^{\prime} \otimes H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ is also a monomorphism in $\subseteq$. Accordingly, the factorizations of $A \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{A \otimes \phi} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}} \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}} \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ through $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \otimes H$ respectively are unique. Hence, the subobjects of $\mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ correspond to a subcollection of subobjects of $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$. Since the Grothendieck category $\mathfrak{S}$ is well-powered, so is ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra. Then, the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ of relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathfrak{G}$ is a Grothendieck category.
Proof. We will prove this in a manner similar to Theorem 3.3. Since filtered colimits and finite limits in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ are computed in $\mathfrak{G}$, it is clear that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ satisfies the (AB5) condition. We already know from [4] that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ is a Grothendieck category. We choose a generator $\mathcal{G} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$. If $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we can choose an indexing set $\Lambda$ and an epimorphism $\pi: \mathcal{G}^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$. Applying __ $\otimes H$, we have $(\pi \otimes H): \mathcal{G}^{(\Lambda)} \otimes H=(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ which must be an epimorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ since it is an epimorphism in $\mathfrak{G}$. By Lemma 4.2, we know that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes H$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. This allows us to form the pullbacks

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}:=(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(\Lambda)} \times_{(\mathcal{N} \otimes H)} \mathcal{M} \quad \mathcal{E}_{T}:=(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(\Lambda)} \times_{(\mathcal{N} \otimes H)} \operatorname{Im}\left((\pi \otimes H) \mid(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(T)}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{in}_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, where $T \in \operatorname{Fin}(\Lambda)$, the set of finite subsets of $\Lambda$. Accordingly, we can form pullback squares



Since the composition $(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(T)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{T} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}\left((\pi \otimes H) \mid(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(T)}\right)$ is an epimorphism ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, so is its pullback $\mathcal{N} \cap(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{(T)} \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{K}_{T}$. Also by (4.4), we see that $\mathcal{M}$ may be expressed as the filtered colimit in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{T}$, as $T$ varies over $F \operatorname{in}(\Lambda)$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, it now follows that the subobjects of $\left\{(\mathcal{G} \otimes H)^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ give a family of generators for ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. By Lemma 4.3 ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is well-powered, and it now follows that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ has a set of generators.

In the rest of this section, we will give an equivalent description of the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, when $H$ is finite dimensional. For this, we start with a left $H$-module algebra $B$. Then, one can consider the smash product algebra $B \# H$ which as a vector space is the same as $B \otimes H$, with multiplication given by

$$
(B \otimes H) \otimes(B \otimes H) \xrightarrow{B \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes H} B \otimes H \otimes H \otimes B \otimes H \xrightarrow{B \otimes H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes H} B \otimes H \otimes B \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{B \otimes \mu_{B}^{H} \otimes \mu_{H}} B \otimes B \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mu_{B} \otimes H} B \otimes H
$$

We note that the associations $b \mapsto(b \# 1)$ and $h \mapsto(1 \# h)$ respectively give embeddings of $B$ and $H$ as subalgebras of $B \# H$ (see, for instance, [23, § 6.1.7]). We will now define $(B, H)$-equivariant Hopf-module objects in $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$.

Definition 4.5. Let $\left(B, \mu_{B}^{H}: H \otimes B \longrightarrow B\right)$ be a left $H$-module algebra. $A(B, H)$-equivariant module object in $\mathfrak{G}$ is a triple $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$ such that
(1) $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}\right) \in_{B} \mathfrak{G}$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in_{H} \mathfrak{G}$
(2) $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B} \circ \mu_{B \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}=\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B} \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)=\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ\left(H \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}\right)$, where $\mu_{B \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}: H \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is defined by the diagonal action of $H$.
A morphism $\phi:\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{B}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{B}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ of $(B, H)$-equivariant module objects in $\mathfrak{S}$ is a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{S}$ that is compatible with both the $B$-action and the $H$-action. We will denote the corresponding category by ${ }_{(B, H)} E q(\mathbb{G})$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $B$ be a left H-module algebra. Then, the category ${ }_{(B \# H)} \Im$ is equivalent to the category ${ }_{(B, H)} E q(\subseteq)$. In particular, ${ }_{(B, H)} E q(\mathbb{S})$ is a Grothendieck category.
Proof. We write $i_{B}: B \hookrightarrow B \# H$ and $i_{H}: H \hookrightarrow B \# H$ for the respective algebra inclusions. Hence, any $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H}\right) \in{ }_{(B \# H)} \subseteq$ is equipped with the structure of both a $B$-module object and an $H$-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$ by setting $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}:=\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(i_{B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}:=\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(i_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)$ respectively. For $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H}\right) \in_{(B \# H)} \mathfrak{S}$, we now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ\left(H \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B}\right) & =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left((B \# H) \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H}\right) \circ\left(i_{H} \otimes i_{B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(\mu_{B \# H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(i_{H} \otimes i_{B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(\mu_{B \# H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(i_{B} \otimes i_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left((B \# H) \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H}\right) \circ\left(i_{B} \otimes i_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H} \circ\left(i_{B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(B \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B} \circ\left(B \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B} \circ\left(\mu_{B}^{H} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \circ\left(H \otimes T_{H, B} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{B \# H}\right) \in{ }_{(B \# H)} \subseteq$ may be treated as an object of ${ }_{(B, H)} E q(\subseteq)$. Similarly, it may be easily verified that any $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{B}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in_{(B, H)} E q(\mathcal{\Theta})$ carries a $(B \# H)$-action given by

$$
(B \# H) \otimes \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{B \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}} B \otimes \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{B}} \mathcal{N}
$$

We now let $H$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Then, the linear dual $H^{*}$ is also a Hopf algebra. In particular, if $A$ is a right $H$-comodule algebra, we note that $A$ becomes a left $H^{*}$-module algebra and vice-versa.

Theorem 4.7. Let $H$ be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Let A be a right $H$-comodule algebra. Then, the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is equivalent to the category ${ }_{\left(A \# H^{*}\right)} \mathfrak{G}$.
Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be an object in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Then, we have $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}\right) \in_{A} \subseteq$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in \mathbb{\Im}^{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}\right) \circ\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes H\right) \circ \Delta_{A \otimes \mathcal{N}}^{H} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}$ is as defined in (4.1). We now make $\mathcal{M}$ a left $H^{*}$-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$ by setting

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H^{*}}: H^{*} \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{H^{*} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}} H^{*} \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{H^{*} \otimes T_{\mathcal{M}, H}} H^{*} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{e v_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}
$$

where $e v_{H}$ denotes the evaluation map $e v_{H}: H^{*} \otimes H \longrightarrow k$. Also, using (4.5), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{H^{*}} \circ\left(H^{*} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right) & =\left(e v_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes T_{\mathcal{M}, H}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right) \\
& =\left(e v_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes T_{\mathcal{M}, H}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(e v_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes T_{\mathcal{M}, H}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes H\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes \Delta_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\left(e v_{H} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes T_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}, H}\right)\left(H^{*} \otimes \Delta_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \circ \mu_{A \otimes \mathcal{M}}^{H^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with Proposition 4.6, this proves that $\mathcal{M}$ may be treated as an object of ${ }_{A \# H^{*}} \subseteq 1$. Conversely, we consider $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A \# H^{*}}\right) \in$ $A \# H^{*} \subseteq$. Using Proposition 4.6, we see that $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A \# H^{*}}\right)$ may be treated as an $\left(A, H^{*}\right)$-equivariant module object $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H^{*}}\right)$ in $\subseteq$. The structure morphism $\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{H^{*}}: H^{*} \otimes \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ corresponds by adjunction to a morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \underline{H o m}\left(H^{*}, \mathcal{N}\right) \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes H$, where the last isomorphism follows from (2.10) because $H$ is finite dimensional. It may now be verified that $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

## 5 Cohomology of relative Hopf modules in $\mathfrak{S}$

We continue with $A$ being a right $H$-comodule algebra. We denote by Com $-H$ the category of right $H$-comodules. Since $H$ is a Hopf algebra over a field $k$, we know that $C o m-H$ is a Grothendieck category.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ determines a functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: \operatorname{Com}-H \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ that has a right adjoint ${ }_{A} \in \operatorname{HOM}(\mathcal{M}, \ldots):{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Com}-H$. In other words, we have natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M} \otimes N, \mathcal{P}) \cong \operatorname{Com}-H\left(N,{ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P})\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{P} \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$ and $N \in \operatorname{Com}-H$.
Proof. The object $\mathcal{M} \otimes N$ carries a left $A$-action given by $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes N:(A \otimes \mathcal{M}) \otimes N \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes N$. If $\Delta_{N}: N \longrightarrow N \otimes H$ is the right $H$-comodule structure map of $N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{H}: \mathcal{M} \otimes N: \mathcal{M} \otimes N \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{N}} \mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes N \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H} \mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H}} \mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes $\mathcal{M} \otimes N$ a right $H$-comodule object in $\subseteq$. It may be directly verified that this makes $\mathcal{M} \otimes N$ an object of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. It is also clear that $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots$ preserves colimits. By Theorem4.4 we know that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is a Grothendieck category. We have also noted that the category $\mathrm{Com}-H$ of right $H$-comodules is a Grothendieck category. Applying therefore [27, Proposition 8.3.27(iii)], it follows that $\mathcal{M} \otimes \__{-}$has a right adjoint which we denote by ${ }_{A} \subseteq H O M\left(\mathcal{M}, \__{-}\right):_{A} \widetilde{S}^{H} \longrightarrow C o m-H$.

If ( $N, \Delta_{N}: N \longrightarrow N \otimes H$ ) is a right $H$-comodule, we recall that the space of $H$-coinvariants of $N$, denoted $N^{c o H}$, is given by $N^{c o H}:=\left\{n \in N \mid \Delta_{N}(n)=n \otimes 1_{H}\right\}$. We note that the space $N^{c o H}$ may be alternatively written as $N^{c o H}=C o m-H(k, N)$, where $k$ is treated as an $H$-comodule by means of the structure map $k \cong k \otimes k \xrightarrow{k \otimes u_{H}} k \otimes H$.

Lemma 5.2. (a) Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})={ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})^{c o H}$.
(b) The functor ${ }_{A} \subseteq H O M\left(\mathcal{M},,_{-}\right):{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow$ Com $-H$ preserves injective objects.

Proof. (a) This follows immediately from (5.1) by setting $N=k$ and using the expression for coinvariants of a right $H$ comodule.
(b) We have noted in Section 2 that $\otimes_{\ldots}: \mathbb{S} \times k-\operatorname{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is exact in both variables. Accordingly, the left adjoint $\mathcal{M} \otimes \ldots: C o m-H \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is exact. Hence, the right adjoint ${ }_{A} \subseteq H O M\left(\mathcal{M}, \__{-}\right):{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H} \longrightarrow C o m-H$ preserves injectives.
Theorem 5.3. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be a relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module object in $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$. We consider the following functors

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathfrak{F}:={ }_{A} \subseteq H O M\left(\mathcal{M},,_{2}\right):{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Com}-H & \mathcal{N} \mapsto_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \\
& \mathfrak{W}:=(-)^{\mathrm{coH}}: \operatorname{Com}-H \longrightarrow k-\operatorname{Mod} \quad N \mapsto N^{c o H} \tag{5.3}
\end{array}
$$

Then, we have a spectral sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}^{p q}=R^{p}(-)^{c o H}\left(R_{A}^{q} \subseteq \operatorname{HOM}(\mathcal{M}, \ldots)(\mathcal{N})\right) \Rightarrow\left(R^{p+q}{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \ldots)\right)(\mathcal{N}) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The categories ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}, C o m-H$ and $k-M o d$ are all Grothendieck categories, and hence they have enough injectives. By Lemma 5.2 (a), we have $(\mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{F})(\mathcal{N})={ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. By Lemma[5.2 b), the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ preserves injective objects. The result is now clear from the Grothendieck spectral sequence for composite functors.

For the rest of this section, we will always assume that $H$ has a bijective antipode $S: H \longrightarrow H$. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be objects in $\mathbb{S}^{H}$. For $\phi \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, we have a morphism $\rho(\phi) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \otimes H)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}} \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes H} \mathcal{N} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H} \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}} \mathcal{N} \otimes H \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{H^{o p}}: H \otimes H \longrightarrow H$ denotes the opposite of the multiplication on $H$. We now have the following result.

Proposition 5.4. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be objects in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ and let $\phi \in$ ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Then, the morphism $\rho(\phi): \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes H$, as defined in (5.5), lies in ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \otimes H)$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\phi) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)(\phi \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)(\phi \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)(\phi \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)(\phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes H \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H) \\
& \left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes\left(S^{-1} \circ \mu_{H}\right)\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $S^{-1} \circ \mu_{H}=\mu_{H^{o p}} \circ\left(S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1}\right)=\mu_{H^{o p}} \circ\left(S^{-1} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(H \otimes S^{-1}\right)$, we now have
$\rho(\phi) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1} \otimes H\right)$ $\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)$ $(A \otimes \phi \otimes H)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$
$=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1} \otimes H\right)$
$\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)$
$(A \otimes \phi \otimes H)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$
$=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1} \otimes H\right)$
$\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes T_{H, H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{N}} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes H \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}\right)$
$\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$
$=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes T_{H, H}\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)$
$\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(A \otimes \varepsilon_{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$
$=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes H\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H}\right)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{N} \otimes T_{H, H}\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \phi \otimes H)\left(A \otimes \mathcal{M} \mathcal{N} \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(A \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$
$=\left(\mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A} \otimes H\right)(A \otimes \rho(\phi))$
This proves the result.
For $\left(\mathcal{M}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right),\left(\mathcal{N}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}, \phi \in \mathfrak{\Im}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ and $h^{*} \in H^{*}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{*} \cdot \phi:=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right) \circ \rho(\phi) \in \Im(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

making $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ into a left $H^{*}$-module.

Corollary 5.5. Let $A$ be an $H$-comodule algebra. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be objects in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, the $k$-space ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \subseteq \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an $H^{*}$-module.
Proof. Let $h^{*} \in H^{*}$ and $\phi \in{ }_{A} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. We know by Proposition 5.4 that $\rho(\phi) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \otimes H)$ is $A$-linear and therefore the composition $h^{*} \cdot \phi=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right) \circ \rho(\phi)$ is also $A$-linear. The result follows.

We know that the inclusion of the full subcategory $\operatorname{Com}-H \hookrightarrow H^{*}-\operatorname{Mod}$ has a right adjoint which we denote by $H_{H^{*}} R^{H}$. Then, for any left $H^{*}$-module $M$, the rational part $H^{*} R^{H}(M)$ is the largest right $H$-comodule contained in $M$. For $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we will now show that ${ }_{A} \subseteq \operatorname{HOM}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is the rational part of the $H^{*}$-module ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.
Proposition 5.6. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra, and let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be objects in $\mathfrak{G}^{H}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*} R^{H}\left({ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right) \cong{ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \quad \in \operatorname{Com}-H \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By adjunction, we have the following isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Com}-H\left(N,{ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right) \cong{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M} \otimes N, \mathcal{N}) \quad \operatorname{Com}-H\left(N,{ }_{H^{*}} R^{H}\left({ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right)\right) \cong H^{*}-\operatorname{Mod}\left(N,{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $N \in \operatorname{Com}-H$. Accordingly, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \Im^{H}(\mathcal{M} \otimes N, \mathcal{N}) \cong H^{*}-\operatorname{Mod}\left(N,{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $N \in \operatorname{Com}-H$. We start with $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \otimes N \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. We define $\tilde{\varphi}: N \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ given by $n \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(n)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}(n)$ is defined as

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(n): \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N} \otimes t_{n}} \mathcal{M} \otimes N \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{N}
$$

Here, $t_{n}: k \longrightarrow N$ denotes the map that associates $r \in k$ to $r n \in N$. Using the $A$-linearity of $\varphi$, it is starightforward to check that $\tilde{\varphi}(n)$ indeed lies in ${ }_{A} \widetilde{\Im}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Next, we check that $\tilde{\varphi}: N \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \widetilde{( }(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is $H^{*}$-linear. For any $h^{*} \in H^{*}$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mu_{A}^{H^{*} \subseteq(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})} \circ\left(H^{*} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}\right)\right)\left(h^{*} \otimes n\right)=\mu_{A}^{H^{*} \subseteq(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})}\left(h^{*} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}(n)\right)=h^{*} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(n)=h^{*} \cdot\left(\varphi \circ\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\left(\varphi \circ\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right)\right) \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right)(\varphi \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)(\varphi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M} \otimes N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)(\varphi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)(\varphi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes S^{-1}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)(\varphi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes H\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)(\varphi \otimes H \otimes H)\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes N \otimes S^{-1}\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes t_{n} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \\
& =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes N \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes T_{H, N}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes T_{H, N}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N} \otimes H \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes H \otimes T_{H, N}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H^{o p}}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes \mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes T_{H, H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \\
& \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes H \otimes \varepsilon_{H}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H} \otimes H\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes T_{H, N}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\mu_{H^{o p}}\left(H \otimes S^{-1}\right)\left(\mu_{H} \otimes H\right)\left(T_{H, H} \otimes H\right)\left(H \otimes \Delta_{H}\right)=H \otimes \varepsilon_{H}$. We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mu_{A}^{H^{*}} \mathcal{E}_{(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})} \circ\left(H^{*} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}\right)\right)\left(h^{*} \otimes n\right) & =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \varepsilon_{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \otimes N\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes N \otimes h^{*}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{N}^{H}\right)\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes t_{n}\right)=\left(\tilde{\varphi} \circ \mu_{N}^{H^{*}}\right)\left(h^{*} \otimes n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, suppose that $g: N \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an $H^{*}$-linear morphism. We define $\bar{g}: \mathcal{M} \otimes N \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ to be the following composition

$$
\mathcal{M} \otimes N \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M} \otimes g} \mathcal{M} \otimes\left({ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right) \xrightarrow{e v} \mathcal{N}
$$

where $e v$ denotes the evaluation map. It may be verified directly that $\bar{g} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M} \otimes N, \mathcal{N})$ and these two associations are inverse to each other.

Proposition 5.7. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{\mathcal { N }}}^{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right)$ be objects in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{G}^{H}$. Suppose that $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}\right)$ is finitely generated in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. Then, ${ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cong{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ as $H$-comodules.

Proof. For any $\phi \in_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, we know from Proposition5.4 that the morphism $\rho(\phi)$ as defined in 5.5) lies in ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \otimes H)$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is finitely generated in $_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ and the $A$-action on $\mathcal{N} \otimes H$ is determined by the $A$-action on $\mathcal{N}$, we have the induced linear map that we continue to denote by $\rho$ :

$$
\rho:{ }_{A} \Im(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \otimes H) \cong{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \otimes H \quad \phi \mapsto \rho(\phi)
$$

From the left $H^{*}$-module action on ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ described in 5.6), we see that the structure map ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(H^{*},{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right)$ factors through ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \otimes H$. Therefore, ${ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is a rational $H^{*}$-module and hence, using Proposition 5.6 we have

$$
{ }_{A} \subseteq H O M(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cong{ }_{H^{*}} R^{H}\left(\left(_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right)={ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\right.
$$

This proves the result.

## 6 Coinduction functor and injective envelopes

We recall that a left integral on a Hopf algebra $H$ is a left $H$-comodule map $\phi: H \longrightarrow k$ which means that $\phi$ satisfies $h_{1} \phi\left(h_{2}\right)=\phi(h) 1_{H}$ for all $h \in H$. Similarly, a right integral is a right $H$-comodule map $\phi: H \longrightarrow k$, i.e. $\phi\left(h_{1}\right) h_{2}=\phi(h) 1_{H}$. A Hopf algebra $H$ is said to be cosemisimple if $H$ is equipped with a left integral $\phi$ satisfying $\phi\left(1_{H}\right)=1$. Moreover, in a cosemisimple Hopf algebra, a left integral is also a right integral and vice-versa (see, for instance [23], p. 226]). In this section, we will always assume $H$ to be cosemisimple.
For an object $\left(\mathcal{N}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we now consider the following equalizer in $\mathfrak{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}):=E q\left(\mathcal{N} \underset{\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}}{\stackrel{\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right)}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{N} \otimes H\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.1) it is immediate that the composition $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}} \mathcal{N} \otimes H$ factors through $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes H$. This makes $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ into an $H$-comodule subobject of $\mathcal{N}$ in $\subseteq$. We now define the following two full subcategories of $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right):=\left\{\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{H} \mid \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=\mathcal{N}\right\} \quad \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right):=\left\{\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{S}^{H} \mid \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=0\right\} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. The subcategory $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$ is closed under subobjects, quotients and direct sums. The subcategory $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$ is closed under subobjects and direct sums.

Proof. By (6.1), we know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=\operatorname{Ker}(\zeta(\mathcal{N}))$ for any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$, where $\zeta(\mathcal{N})=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right)-\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}$. From this, it is immediate that $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ are closed under direct sums. We consider $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$. If $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{S}^{H}\right)$, then $\zeta(\mathcal{N})=0$ and hence so is its restriction $\zeta\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. If $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, we get $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=0$.
On the other hand, let $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ be a quotient in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$. If $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, then $\zeta(\mathcal{N})=0$ and hence so is $\zeta\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ on the quotient $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ 。

For any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$, we now consider the following morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}: \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}} \mathcal{N} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi} \mathcal{N} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi: H \longrightarrow k$ is a right integral, i.e., $\phi$ is a morphism of right $H$-comodules, we note that b.3) becomes a morphism in $\mathbb{S}^{H}$. We now set $\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}):=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\left(\mathcal{N}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, the image of $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ lies in $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$.
Proof. Since $\phi: H \longrightarrow k$ is a left integral, we know that $(H \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta_{H}=u_{H} \circ \phi$. Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} & =\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \circ(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \\
& =(\mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \phi) \circ\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \otimes H\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \\
& =(\mathcal{N} \otimes H \otimes \phi) \circ\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \\
& =\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the equalizer in 6.1), it is now clear that $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ factors through $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$.
By abuse of notation, we continue to denote by $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ the canonical morphism induced by $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$.
Proposition 6.3. Let $\left(\mathcal{N}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$. Then, $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ is a split epimorphism which leads to a decomposition $\mathcal{N}=\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \oplus \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

Proof. We denote by $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}: \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the canonical inclusion. Using the fact that $\phi\left(1_{H}\right)=1$, it is easy to see that the composition $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} \circ \iota_{\mathcal{N}}=i d_{\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})}$. Accordingly, $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}: \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ is a split epimorphism.
Proposition 6.4. The subcategories $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)\right.$ ) detemine a torsion theory on $\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.
Proof. By Proposition6.3, every $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ fits into a (split) short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow 0$. From the definitions in (6.1) and (6.2), it is clear that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. We denote by $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}$ the canonical inclusion $\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}: \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N})=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$. We claim that $\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. For this, we suppose there is a subobject $\iota^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{R}^{H}(\mathcal{N})} \circ \iota^{\prime \prime}=\left(\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ \iota^{\prime \prime}=\left(\iota^{\prime \prime} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes u_{H}\right)$. Since $\phi\left(1_{H}\right)=1$, we now have

$$
\begin{align*}
\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \circ \iota^{\prime \prime} & =(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ\left(\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\iota^{\prime \prime} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes u_{H}\right) \\
& =(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ\left(\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\left(\left(^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \otimes u_{H}\right)\right) \circ \iota^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
& =(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ\left(\iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \otimes H\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{N})} \circ \iota^{\prime \prime}  \tag{6.4}\\
& =(\mathcal{N} \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \circ \iota_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime} \circ \iota^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{align*}
$$

which gives $\mathcal{M}=0$.
Now suppose that $\psi: \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{S}^{H}$ with $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. By Lemma 6.1, the quotient $\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$ of $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ lies in $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Also, $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ lies in $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. From (6.2), it is evident that $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)=0$ and hence $\psi=0$. It now follows that $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)\right.$ ) detemines a torsion theory on the abelian category $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$ (see, for instance, [12, § I.1]).

Lemma 6.5. The subcategory $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ is closed under quotients.
Proof. Let $\xi: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ be a quotient in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, i.e., $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}\right)=0$, or $\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}=0$. Since $\pi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}}^{\phi} \circ \xi=\xi \circ \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}=0$ and $\xi$ is an epimorphism, we get $\pi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}}^{\phi}=0$. Hence, $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$.
Corollary 6.6. The subcategories $\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)\right)$ detemine a torsion theory on $\mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.
Proof. For every $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$, the direct sum decomposition in Proposition 6.3 also gives a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow 0$. From the proof of Proposition 6.4, we already know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$.
Now let $\psi: \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$ with $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. By Lemma6.5, the quotient $\operatorname{Im}(\psi)$ of $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ lies in $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. By Lemma6.1. $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ lies in $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Again since $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)=0$, we get $\psi=0$.

Lemma 6.7. The functor $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ is exact, and preserves all colimits.
Proof. Since $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)\right)$ is a torsion theory, we can split a morphism $\psi: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$ into its components $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)$ : $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\Omega^{H}(\psi): \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{N})$. Then, we have $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\Omega^{H}(\psi)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Coker}(\psi)=$ $\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\psi)\right)$. By Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.5, we see that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right), \operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right) \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Omega^{H}(\psi)\right)$, $\operatorname{Coker}\left(\Omega^{H}(\psi)\right) \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Accordingly, we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\operatorname{Coker}(\psi))=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi))=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\psi)\right)$. This shows that the functor $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ for $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{S}^{H}$ is exact.
Since filtered colimits commute with finite limits in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$, it follows from (6.1) that the functor $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ preserves direct sums. Since every colimit may be expressed as a combination of cokernels and direct sums, we see that $\mathcal{N} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$ preserves all colimits.

We now let $\left(A, \Delta_{A}^{H}: A \longrightarrow A \otimes H\right)$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra as in Section 4 and consider the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ of relative $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathfrak{S}$. We also consider the subalgebra of coinvariants

$$
\begin{equation*}
B:=\left\{a \in A \mid \Delta_{A}^{H}(a)=a \otimes 1_{H}\right\} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $i: B \longrightarrow A$ the canonical inclusion.
Lemma 6.8. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ is canonically equipped with the structure of a left B-module object in $\mathfrak{S}$.
Proof. Given the canonical inclusions, $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $i: B \hookrightarrow A$, we will construct a structure map for $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$ by showing that the composition $B \otimes \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{i \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}} A \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A}} \mathcal{M}$ factors through the equalizer $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$. Using the notation of Definition 4.1, we now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H} \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \circ\left(i \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}\right) & =\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}\right) \circ\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\Delta_{A}^{H} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}^{H}\right) \circ\left(i \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \\
& =\left(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \otimes \mu_{H}\right) \circ\left(A \otimes T_{H, \mathcal{M}} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(A \otimes u_{H} \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ\left(i \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ \mu_{\mathcal{M}}^{A} \circ\left(i \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the expression for $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ in (6.1), the result is now clear.
Proposition 6.9. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra and let $B \subseteq A$ the subalgebra of coinvariants of $A$. Then, the functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$ given by $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ has a right adjoint ${ }_{B} H O M(A, \ldots):{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. In other words, we have natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{B} \subseteq\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}\right) \cong{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M},{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$.
Proof. We know that colimits in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and ${ }_{B} \subseteq$ are computed in $\subseteq$. Accordingly, it follows from Lemma 6.7 that the functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \subseteq$ given by $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ preserves colimits. By Theorem4.4 we know that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is a Grothendieck category and so is ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$. It now follows from [27] Proposition 8.3.27] that the colimit preserving functor given by $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ has a right $\operatorname{adjoint}{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \__{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

Corollary 6.10. The functor ${ }_{B} H O M(A, \ldots):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$ preserves injectives.
Proof. From Lemma6.7 it is clear that the left adjoint ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \subseteq$ given by $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ is exact. Hence, the right adjoint ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \ldots):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ preserves injectives.

Since $A$ is an $H$-comodule algebra, it is easily verified that given $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{S}$, we must have $A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ with $H$-coaction extended from $A$.

Lemma 6.11. Let $H$ be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in_{B} \Subset$, we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \cong \mathcal{M}$ as objects of ${ }_{B} \Subset$.
Proof. Since $H$ is cosemisimple, using the left integral $\phi: H \longrightarrow k$, we consider the morphism $\pi_{A}^{\phi}: A \longrightarrow A^{\text {coH }}=B$ given by $a \mapsto \sum \phi\left(a_{1}\right) a_{0}$ where $\Delta_{A}^{H}(a)=\sum a_{0} \otimes a_{1}$ for any $a \in A$. We note that this map $\pi_{A}^{\phi}: A \longrightarrow A^{c o H}$ is a morphism of $B$ bimodules and that the composition with the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow A$ gives the identity on $B$. Accordingly, we have a split $A \cong B \oplus B^{\prime}$ as $B$-bimodules. Then for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$, we have $\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \cong\left(B \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \oplus\left(B^{\prime} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$, which shows that there is an inclusion $i \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}: \mathcal{M}=\left(B \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$ in ${ }_{B} \subseteq$.
We now consider the composition $A \xrightarrow{\pi_{A}^{\phi}} B \xrightarrow{i} A$, where $i: B \hookrightarrow A$ is the canonical inclusion. Since the $H$-coaction on $\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$ is induced from the $H$-coaction on $A$, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)}^{\phi}=\left(\left(i \circ \pi_{A}^{\phi}\right) \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right):\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)}^{H}}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \otimes H \xrightarrow{\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \otimes \phi}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the notation of (6.3). By Proposition6.3, we know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$ is the image of the morphism $\pi_{\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)}^{\phi}=\left(\left(i \circ \pi_{A}^{\phi}\right) \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$. Now since $\pi_{A}^{\phi}: A \longrightarrow B$ is an epimorphism, so is $\pi_{A}^{\phi} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}:\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right) \longrightarrow\left(B \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)=\mathcal{M}$. We have already shown that $i \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)$ is a monomorphism. It now follows that $\mathcal{M}$ is the image of $\pi_{\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{N}\right)}^{\phi}$, which gives $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}\right)=\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 6.12. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra and $B=A^{c o H}$. Then, we have natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\right) \cong{ }_{B} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.
Proof. Let $\psi \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\right)$. Then, $\psi \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\right)$ corresponds to $\psi^{\prime} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ given by $\psi^{\prime}: \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\left(u_{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)}$ $A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{N}$. We know that $\Delta_{A}^{H} \circ u_{A}=u_{A} \otimes u_{H}$. From the $H$-colinearity of $\psi$ and the fact that $\psi^{\prime}=\psi \circ\left(u_{A} \otimes \mathcal{M}\right)$, we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \circ \psi^{\prime}=\left(\psi^{\prime} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right)=\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes u_{H}\right) \circ \psi^{\prime} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equalizer in (6.1), we now see that $\psi^{\prime}$ factors through $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})$. These arguments can be easily reversed, thus showing the isomorphism in 6.8).

Proposition 6.13. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra and $B \subseteq A$ the subalgebra of coinvariants of $A$. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{M})\right) \cong \mathcal{M} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider some $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$. Applying Proposition6.9, Lemma6.11 and Proposition6.12, we have isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{B} G\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{M})\right)\right) & \cong{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}^{\prime},{ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{M})\right) \\
& \cong{ }_{B} \subseteq\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{M}\right)  \tag{6.11}\\
& \cong{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the result.

Lemma 6.14. (a) Let $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$ and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})$ be a subobject in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})=0$ implies $\mathcal{M}=0$.
(b) The functor ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \__{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ preserves essential monomorphisms.

Proof. (a) If $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$, we have by Proposition 6.9 that $0={ }_{B} \Im\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}\right) \cong{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M},{ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right)$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subseteq$ ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})$, this is a contradiction unless $\mathcal{M}=0$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{B} \subseteq$. Because ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A, H_{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow_{A} \Im^{H}$ is a right adjoint, it preserves monomorphisms. We now consider $\mathcal{M} \subseteq{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cap{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathcal{N}{ }^{\prime}\right)=0$. From Proposition 6.12, we know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is also a right adjoint and preserves limits. Accordingly, we get $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ in $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right)$. By Proposition6.13, we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right) \cong \mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{N}{ }^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is essential, we must now have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$. From part (a), we now get $\mathcal{M}=0$.

We know that both ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ and ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$ are Grothendieck categories. For an object $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$, we denote by ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ the injective envelope of $\mathcal{M}$. Similarly, for $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \mathcal{S}$, we denote by ${ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ the injective envelope of $\mathcal{N}$. We have now reached the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.15. Let $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})\right) \cong{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})\right) \quad \mathbb{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})\right)\right) \cong{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Corollary 6.10, we know that the functor ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \__{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow_{A} \Im^{H}$ preserves injectives and by Lemma 6.14 we know that it preserves essential monomorphisms. This gives ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right) \cong{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})\right)$. The second isomorphism in (6.12) now follows by applying Proposition 6.13

## 7 Elementary objects, injective envelopes and the coinduction functor

We continute with $H$ being a cosemisimple Hopf algebra, $A$ a right $H$-comodule algebra and $B$ being the subalgebra of $H$ coinvariants of $A$. By Proposition 6.9, we have an adjunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{B} \mathbb{S}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N}\right) \cong{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M},{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$. In Theorem 6.15, we showed that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathcal{N})\right)\right) \cong{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ for $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$. The first aim of this section is to relate the injective envelope ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathscr{S}^{H}$ to ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right.$. As in 6.3), we consider the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^{\phi}: \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{H}} \mathcal{P} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \otimes \phi} \mathcal{P} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\left(\mathcal{P}, \Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{H}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and recall from the proof of Proposition 6.3 that $\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{P})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^{\phi}\right)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{M} \in_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we note that $\Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\phi}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. For $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we now let ${ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the sum of all subobjects $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{\Re}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$. We now consider the following pair of full subcategories of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right):=\left\{\mathcal{M} \in_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \mid \mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0\right\} \quad \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}\right):=\left\{\left.\mathcal{M} \in_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right|_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0\right\} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ lies in the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ if for any $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\phi}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we must have $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=0$.
Lemma 7.1. $\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ is closed under quotients and $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ is closed under subobjects. If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, we have ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})=0$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}$ be an epimorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ with $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Then, $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}$ is also an epimorphism in $\mathfrak{S}^{H}$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.5, it follows that $\mathcal{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, which shows that $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{a} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. On the other hand, let $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{S}^{H}$ with $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. If we take $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}}^{\phi}\right)$, then we have $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}}^{\phi}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$, we now get $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and hence $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \Im^{H}\right)$.

We now claim that $\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)=0$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{P})=0$. Then, $\mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{P})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^{\phi}\right)=\mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, it now follows that $\mathcal{P}=0$. Hence, if we have $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, and $\psi \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, it follows from the above that $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \Im^{H}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \Im^{H}\right)$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im}(\psi)=0$.
The next result gives us another description of ${ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{U})$.
Lemma 7.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ is the kernel of the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$.
Proof. By definition, the kernel of the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is the sum of all subobjects $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ such that the composition $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is zero. Using the adjunction in Proposition 6.9, this is equivalent to the composition $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\text { id }} \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ being zero, i.e., $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)=0$. But $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)=0$ for a subobject $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\phi}\right)$. The result is now clear from the definition of ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{N}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$.
Proposition 7.3. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra. Then, the pair $\left(\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)\right)$ gives a torsion theory on ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.
Proof. We consider $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. We claim that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\phi}\right)$, the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\phi}$ to ${ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is 0, i.e., $\pi_{A}^{\phi} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$. We know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{A}^{\phi} \mathfrak{R}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right.$, , which shows that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=0$, i.e., ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$.
Also since $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=0$, and $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we see that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M} /{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$. From Lemma 7.2, we now have a monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} /{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)={ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M} /{ }_{A} \Omega^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right. \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 7.2 again, it follows that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\left(\mathcal{M} /{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=0$, which shows that $\mathcal{M} /{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$. Additionally, we know from Lemma7.1 that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})=0$ for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. This proves the result.

Lemma 7.4. Let $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ with ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$. Then, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.

Proof. Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$, it follows by Lemma 7.2 that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is a monomorphism ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. We now consider some $0 \neq \mathcal{N} \subseteq{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})\right)$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. By Lemma 6.14 a), we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \neq 0$. Since $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we now have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)=\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ where the latter equality follows from Proposition6.13. Since $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M})=\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N}) \neq 0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M} \neq 0$.
Theorem 7.5. Let $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ be torsion free, i.e., $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Then, we have ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \cong{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)$.
Proof. Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Re}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$, it follows by Lemma 7.4 that the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{N})\right)$ is an essential monomorphism ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. We know that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is an essential monomorphism, and from Lemma 6.14(b) that ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \__{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ preserves essential monomorphisms. Accordingly, we have an essential monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right) \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 6.10, the functor ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \__{-}\right):{ }_{B} \subseteq \longrightarrow_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ preserves injectives. The result is now clear.
The condition in Theorem 7.5 leads us to look at conditions under which all objects in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ are torsion free, i.e., $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)={ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. We recall that an object $E$ in an abelian category $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to be a cogenerator if it satisfies the following condition: given any epimorphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ that is not an isomorphism, there is a morphism $X \longrightarrow E$ that does not factor through $Y$. We now need the following general result.

Lemma 7.6. Let $L: \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a functor between abelian categories, which has a right adjoint $R: \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. Suppose that $L$ has the property that if $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism in $\mathfrak{A}$ which is not an isomorphism, then $L(p): L(X) \longrightarrow L(Y)$ is not an isomorphism. Then, if $E$ is a cogenerator in $\mathfrak{B}$, its image $R(E)$ is a cogenerator in $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Suppose that $R(E)$ is not a cogenerator in $\mathfrak{A}$. Then, there exists an epimorphism $p: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ which is not an isomorphism, but any morphism $X \longrightarrow R(E)$ must factor through $Y$.
Since $L$ is a left adjoint, it preserves epimorphisms. From the assumption on $L$, it now follows that $L(p): L(X) \longrightarrow L(Y)$ is an epimorphism in $\mathfrak{B}$ that is not an isomorphism. Since $E$ is a cogenerator in $\mathfrak{B}$, we can now find a morphism $f: L(X) \longrightarrow E$ which does not factor through $L(Y)$. By the adjunction, we now have $g: X \longrightarrow R(E)$ corresponding to $f$. Then, there exists $h: Y \longrightarrow R(E)$ such that $g=h \circ p$. But then we must have $f=h^{\prime} \circ L(p)$, where $h^{\prime}: L(Y) \longrightarrow E$ corresponds to $h: Y \longrightarrow R(E)$ using the adjunction. This contradicts the assumption that $f$ does not factor through $L(Y)$.

For the remainder of this paper, we suppose that $\mathfrak{S}$ has an injective cogenerator $\mathcal{J}$. We also note that for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}$, the multiplication $\mu_{A}: A \otimes A \longrightarrow A$ induces a canonical morphism in $\mathfrak{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A \otimes A, \mathcal{M})=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M})) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds by adjointness to $A \otimes \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M})$. It may now be verified that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$.

Proof. We may verify directly that for any $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \cong{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{N}, \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{M})) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 injective in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. It is evident that the forgetful functor ${ }_{A} \subseteq \longrightarrow \Im$ satisfies the condition in Lemma7.6. Accordingly, since $\mathcal{J}$ is a cogenerator in $\mathfrak{S}$, the object $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J})$ is a cogenerator in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$.

Lemma 7.8. There are natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \cong{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \otimes H) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left(\mathcal{N}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}, \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}, \mu_{\mathcal{N}}^{A}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$, giving an adjunction of functors.
Proof. We consider $\phi \in{ }_{A} \subseteq(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$, which induces $\phi^{\prime}:=(\phi \otimes H) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \otimes H)$. On the other hand, given $\psi \in$ ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \otimes H)$, we have $\psi^{\prime}:=\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon_{H}\right) \circ \psi \epsilon_{A} \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$. We now verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon_{H}\right) \circ(\phi \otimes H) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}=\phi \circ\left(\mathcal{N} \otimes \epsilon_{H}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}=\phi \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon_{H} \otimes H\right) \circ(\psi \otimes H) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{N}}^{H}=\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \epsilon_{H} \otimes H\right) \circ\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes \Delta_{H}\right) \circ \psi=\psi \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the result.
Proposition 7.9. Suppose that $\mathfrak{S}$ has an injective cogenerator $\mathcal{J}$. Then, $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H$ is an injective cogenerator for the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.

Proof. We know from Lemma 7.7 that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J})$ is an injective cogenerator for the category ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. Since the forgetful functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ is exact, its right adjoint preserves injectives. By the adjunction in Lemma 7.8, it now follows that $\operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H$ is an injective object in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. It is also clear that the forgetful functor ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 7.6 , Accordingly, since $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J})$ is a cogenerator in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$, the object $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H$ is a cogenerator in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.

Theorem 7.10. Suppose that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$. Then, $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)={ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$, i.e., every object $\mathcal{M} \in_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ satisfies ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=$ 0 .

Proof. By Proposition 7.9, we know that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H$ is an injective cogenerator for the category ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. In other words, every object $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$ is a subobject of a direct product of copies of $\underline{H o m}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H$. By Proposition 7.3 , we know that $\left(\mathcal{T}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right), \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)\right)$ is a torsion theory on ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$ is closed under products and subobjects. Since $\underline{H o m}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in$ $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, the result follows.
Corollary 7.11. Suppose that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. Then, if $\mathcal{E} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is an injective object, $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})$ is an injective object in ${ }_{B} \subseteq$.
Proof. We know that the inclusion $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ into the injective envelope of $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})$ is an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{\Im}$. Applying Lemma 6.14(b), ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right) \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)\right)$ is an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{S}^{H}$. Since $\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)={ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we know that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{E})=0$. Combining with Lemma 7.4, we now have the essential monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right) \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

But since $\mathcal{E} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is injective, it follows that the essential monomorphisms in (7.12) are all isomorphisms. Using Proposition 6.13, we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)\right)\right) \cong{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the result.
We will also use Theorem 7.10 and Corollary 7.11 to promote the isomorphism in Proposition 6.12 to the level of derived functors.

Proposition 7.12. Let $A$ be a right $H$-comodule algebra and $B=A^{\text {coH }}$. Suppose that $\underline{H o m}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$. Then, we have natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
E x t_{A}^{\bullet} \mathcal{G}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}\right) \cong E x t_{B}^{\bullet} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right) \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{\Im}$ and $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}$ be an injective resolution in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Accordingly, the derived functors $E x t_{A}^{\bullet} \subseteq^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}\right)$ may be computed by taking cohomologies of the complex ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\left(A \otimes_{B} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\right) \cong{ }_{B} \Im\left(\mathcal{N}, \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\right)\right.$ ). Since $\underline{H o m}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, we know from Corollary 7.11that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ preserves injectives. Since $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, it now follows that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\right)$ is an injective resolution of $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$. The result is now clear.

Lemma 7.13. Any injective object in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ can be expressed as a direct summand of an injective of the form $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \otimes H$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}$ is an injective object.

Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{E}, \mu_{\varepsilon}^{A}, \Delta_{\varepsilon}^{H}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ be an injective object. We note that the morphism $\Delta_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}^{H}: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes H$ is a morphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. We also note that $\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes \epsilon_{H}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^{H}=i d$ in $_{A} \mathbb{\subseteq}$, which makes $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^{H}$ a monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}$. Since kernels of morphisms in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ are computed in $\mathcal{G}$, it follows that $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^{H}: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes H$ is a monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$.
Since ${ }_{A} \mathcal{G}$ is a Grothendieck category, we may choose an injective $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \in_{A} \subseteq$ along with a monomorphism $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. This induces a monomorphism $\mathcal{E} \otimes H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \otimes H$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Since $\mathcal{E} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is injective, the inclusion $\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{H}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{E} \otimes H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \otimes H$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ makes $\mathcal{E}$ a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \otimes H$. From the exactness of the forgetful functor ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H} \longrightarrow{ }_{A} \subseteq$ and the adjunction in Lemma 7.8 we know that its right adjoint preserves injectives. Since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \in{ }_{A} \mathcal{G}$ is injective, it now follows that $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \otimes H \in{ }_{A} \mathbb{G}^{H}$ is injective. This proves the result.

By adapting the terminology of [4], we will now say that the category $\mathfrak{G}$ is strongly locally left notherian if ${ }_{R} \mathfrak{G}$ is locally noetherian for any left noetherian $k$-algebra $R$.

Proposition 7.14. Suppose that $\mathfrak{\Im}$ is strongly locally left noetherian. Let $A$ be a left noetherian $k$-algebra that is also a right $H$-comodule algebra. Then, the direct sum of injectives in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is injective.

Proof. Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of injectives in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Applying Lemma7.13, we choose for each $i \in I$ an injective $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\subseteq}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime} \otimes H$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. Then, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime} \otimes H$ in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$.
From the assumptions, it follows that ${ }_{A} \subseteq$ is a locally noetherian category. Hence, the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}$ of injective objects is injective in ${ }_{A} \subseteq$. Again, the right adjoint in Lemma 7.8 now makes $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes H$ an injective in ${ }_{A} \mathbb{S}^{H}$. Accordingly, the direct summand $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ of $\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \otimes H$ is injective in ${ }_{A} \widetilde{S}^{H}$.

We now set $\hat{\mathcal{J}}:=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$. For the remainder of this section, we will make the following assumptions:
(1) $A$ is a left noetherian $k$-algebra and a right $H$-comodule algebra
(2) $\mathfrak{S}$ is strongly locally left noetherian.
(3) $\mathfrak{G}$ has an injective cogenerator $\mathcal{J}$ which satisfies $\hat{\mathcal{J}}=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$. By Theorem 7.10, it follows therefore that every object $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ satisfies ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{N}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$.

Lemma 7.15. Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of injectives in ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$. Then, we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{i \in I} H O M\left(A, \varepsilon_{i}\right) \cong{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varepsilon_{i}\right) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We set $\mathcal{E}:=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$. Since ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \ldots)$ preserves injectives, each ${ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathcal{E}_{i}\right) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ is injective. Using Proposition7.14 the direct sum $\mathcal{E}=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathcal{E}_{i}\right)$ is injective in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}=\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$, we know that ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Re}^{H}(\mathcal{E})=0$. By Lemma7.4, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)$ is an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Since $\mathcal{E} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$ is an injective object, it now follows that $\mathcal{E} \cong{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})\right)$. Using Proposition 6.13 and the fact that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ preserves direct sums, we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{E})=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \varepsilon_{i}\right)\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varepsilon_{i} \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows by applying ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{-}\right)$.

Lemma 7.16. Let $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow{ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})$ be an essential monomorphism with $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and $\mathcal{N} \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$. Then, $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow$ $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})\right)=\mathcal{N}$ is an essential monomorphism in ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{G}$.
Proof. Using Proposition 6.13 and the fact that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we have an inclusion $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M(A, \mathcal{N})\right)=\mathcal{N}$. We suppose there exists $0 \neq \mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$. Since ${ }_{B} H O M(A$, _-) is a right adjoint, this gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
0={ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right) \cap{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N}) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Omega}^{H}(\mathcal{M})=0$, we know that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ and it follows from (7.17) that $\mathcal{M} \cap_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)=0$. We are given that $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow{ }_{B} \operatorname{HOM}(A, \mathcal{N})$ is an essential monomorphism, which now shows that ${ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Applying Proposition 6.13 again, we have $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$.

For the final result of this paper, we will need to recall some concepts from our work in [9]. We note that if $T$ is a commutative noetherian $k$-algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ is an object of ${ }_{T} \subseteq$, we may consider the annihilator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}):=\left\{a \in R \mid a_{\mathcal{M}}=0: \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{a \cdot \mathcal{M}\}}\right. \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal in $T$.
Definition 7.17. (see [9, Definition 2.1]) Let $\mathfrak{\Im}$ be a strongly locally noetherian $k$-linear category and let $T$ be a commutative noetherian $k$-algebra. We will say that an object $0 \neq \mathcal{L} \in_{T} \subseteq$ is $T$-elementary if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $\mathcal{L}$ is finitely generated as an object of ${ }_{T} \subseteq$, i.e., the functor ${ }_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{L},,_{-}\right)$preserves filtered colimits of monomorphisms.
(b) There exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq T$ such that any non-zero subobject $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ satisfies $A n n_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{p}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{T} \subseteq$ and we have a $T$-elementary object $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{L})=\mathfrak{p}$. Then, we will say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of $\mathcal{M}$. The set of associated primes of $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M})$. In this paper, we will need a few basic properties of the theory of associated primes for objects in ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ that we have developed in [9].
(1) For any $0 \neq \mathcal{M} \in{ }_{T} \mathfrak{G}$, we have $A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}) \neq \phi$.
(2) If $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence in ${ }_{T} \mathfrak{S}$, we have

$$
A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right) \cup A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

(3) If $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is an essential subobject, then $A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)=A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{M})$.
(4) Every injective in ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ can be expressed as a direct sum of injective envelopes of $T$-elementary objects in $\mathfrak{G}$.

For our purposes in this paper, we will need to refine the result of (4).
Lemma 7.18. Let $\mathfrak{\Im}$ be a strongly locally noetherian $k$-linear category and let $T$ be a commutative noetherian $k$-algebra. Let $0 \neq \mathcal{E} \in{ }_{T} \subseteq$ be an injective object and $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Ass $_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E})$ be a prime ideal. Then, there exists an injective $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ such that:
(1) $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a direct sum of injective envelopes of $T$-elementary objects
(2) Ass $_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}))=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p})$ is maximal with respect to the collection of subobjects $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ such that $A s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{N})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Proof. We consider families $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of subobjects of $\mathcal{E}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) Each $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ is the injective envelope of a $T$-elementary object $\mathcal{L}$ such that $A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{L})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$.
(b) The sum $\sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is direct.

Since ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ is a Grothendieck category, the condition (b) is equivalent to the assumption that $0=\mathcal{E}_{i} \cap\left(\sum_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)$ for every $i \in I$ and every finite subset $J \subseteq I \backslash\{i\}$. By Zorn's lemma, it follows that there exists a maximal such family $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}}$. We now set $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}):=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{0}} \mathcal{E}_{i}$. Since ${ }_{T} \mathfrak{S}$ is locally noetherian, we see that the direct sum $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}):=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{0}} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ is injective.
We now claim that $A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}))=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Indeed, from properties (2) and (3) above, it is clear that $A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\bigoplus_{j^{\prime} \in J^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}_{j^{\prime}}\right)=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ for any finite direct sum with $J^{\prime} \subseteq I_{0}$. Since $T$-elementary objects are finitely generated, it follows that any $T$-elementary object $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p})$ is contained in a finite direct sum of objects in $I_{0}$. Hence, $A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{L})=\mathfrak{p}$, which shows that $A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}))=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$.
Finally, suppose that we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ with $\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{N})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p})$ is injective, we can write $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \oplus \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$, with $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then, $\phi \neq \operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{N})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$, which gives Ass $_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Hence, there is a $T$-elementary object $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ satisfying $A n n_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$.
Since $\mathcal{E}$ is injective, we now consider an injective envelope $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$, we must have $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\prime}=0$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ is essential, it now follows that $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then, the family $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}} \cup\left\{\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ also satisfies the conditions (a) and (b), which contradicts the maximalilty of the family $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{0}}$.

Proposition 7.19. Let $\subseteq$ be a strongly locally noetherian $k$-linear category and let $T$ be a commutative noetherian $k$-algebra. Let $\mathcal{E} \in{ }_{T} \subseteq$ be an injective object. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E})$, let $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be an injective that is maximal with respect to the collection of subobjects $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ such that $\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{N})=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{p \in A s s_{T} \Xi(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will first show that the sum $\sum_{p \in A s s_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is direct. Since ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ is a Grothendieck category, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is direct for any finite collection $\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{k}\right\}$ of prime ideals in Ass $_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{E})$. This is obviously true for $k=1$. Proceeding by induction, if $k \geq 2$ and we set $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq A s s_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}\right)\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{k}\right\}  \tag{7.20}\\
\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k-1}\right)\right) \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{k-1}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (7.20), it follows that $\operatorname{Ass}_{T} \subseteq\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)=\phi$, which gives $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}=0$. Hence, the sum $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is direct. We now set $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{p \in A s s_{T} \Xi(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{p})$. Because ${ }_{T} \subseteq$ is locally noetherian, the direct sum $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of injectives is injective. We now write $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}$.
Suppose that $\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then, we can find a $T$-elementary object $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}$ is injective, we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}$ for an injective envelope $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{L}$. But if $A n n_{T} \subseteq(\mathcal{L})=\{\mathfrak{q}\}$, this contradicts the maximality of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{q})$. Hence, $\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}=0$.

We now return to the context of $(A, H)$-Hopf module objects in $\mathcal{S}$, along with the assumption that $A$ is left noetherian, $\mathfrak{G}$ is strongly locally left noetherian and that the injective cogenerator $\hat{\mathcal{J}}=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}$, which gives ${ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}=\mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{\Im}^{H}\right)$. We take $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. By Theorem 7.5 and Proposition 6.13 we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)\right)={ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right) \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ is the injective envelope of $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ and ${ }_{B} \mathcal{E}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is the injective envelope of $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{B} \subseteq$. Our final aim is to obtain a direct sum decomposition for all the terms appearing in a minimal injective resolution of $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\psi^{0}}{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H 0}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\psi^{1}}{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H 1}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\psi^{2}}{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H 2}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\psi^{3}} \ldots \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because (7.22) is a minimal resolution, we note that $\operatorname{each}_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M}) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi^{\bullet}\right) \hookrightarrow{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet+1}(\mathcal{M})$ is an essential monomorphism.
Lemma 7.20. Let $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$. Then, $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is a minimal injective resolution of $\mathfrak{V}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$ in ${ }_{B} \mathfrak{S}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)=$ ${ }_{B} \mathcal{E} \cdot\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$.
Proof. Since $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we know that the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ is exact. Since each ${ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{G}^{H}$ is injective, it follows by Corollary 7.11 that each $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right) \in{ }_{B} \mathfrak{S}$ is an injective object. We are given that each of the following is an essential monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M}) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi^{\bullet}\right) \hookrightarrow{ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet+1}(\mathcal{M})={ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathbb{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet+1}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right) \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality in 7.23) follows from Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.11 Applying Lemma 7.16 and using the fact that $\mathfrak{C}^{H}$ is exact, we now see that each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left(\psi^{\bullet}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet+1}(\mathcal{M})\right) \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an essential monomorphism. This proves the result.
Theorem 7.21. Suppose that
(1) $A$ is a left noetherian $k$-algebra and a right $H$-comodule algebra
(2) $B=A^{\text {coH }}$ is a noetherian and commutative $k$-algebra
(3) $\subseteq$ is strongly locally left noetherian
(4) $\subseteq$ has an injective cogenerator $\mathcal{J}$ which satisfies $\hat{\mathcal{J}}=\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{J}) \otimes H \in \mathcal{F}\left({ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}\right)$.

Then for $\mathcal{M} \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in A s s_{B} \subseteq \overbrace{B} \mathcal{E} \bullet\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right))}{ }_{B} H O M\left(A,{ }_{B} \mathcal{E} \bullet\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)(\mathfrak{p})\right) \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.20, we have $\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)={ }_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)$. Since $B$ is a noetherian and commutative $k$-algebra, it now follows from Proposition 7.19 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)={ }_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)=\bigoplus_{\left.p \in A s s_{B} \tilde{\Xi}_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)}{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)(\mathfrak{p}) \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ${ }_{A} \varepsilon^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \in{ }_{A} \mathfrak{S}^{H}$ is injective, it follows by Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.11 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})={ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \mathfrak{C}^{H}\left({ }_{A} \mathcal{E}^{H \bullet}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)={ }_{B} H O M\left(A, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p \in A s s _ { B } \Xi}\left({ }_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)\right)}{ }_{B} \mathcal{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{H}(\mathcal{M})\right)(\mathfrak{p})\right) \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 7.15, we now have the direct sum decomposition in 7.25.
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