

Hidden-charm Hexaquarks from Lattice QCD

Hang Liu,¹ Jinchun He,¹ Liuming Liu,^{2,3} Peng Sun,^{2,4} Wei Wang,¹ Yi-Bo Yang,^{3,5,6,7} and Qi-An Zhang⁸

¹INPAC, Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE),
Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,

School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

²Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu Province 730000, China

³School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁴Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China

⁵CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

⁶School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China

⁷International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China

⁸School of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 102206, China

We present a lattice QCD study of hidden-charm hexaquarks with quark content $usc\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{c}$ based on four ensembles of gauge configurations generated by CLQCD Collaboration with pion mass in the range of 220-300MeV. Four operators with quantum numbers $0^{++}, 0^{-+}, 1^{++}$ and 1^{--} respectively are constructed to interpolate the hexaquarks. After validating the spectrum and the dispersion relation for ordinary hadrons, we calculate the masses of the hexaquarks and extrapolate the results to the physical pion mass and the continuum limit. We find that the masses of the four hexaquarks are all below the $\Xi_c\bar{\Xi}_c$ threshold, while the 0^{-+} hexaquark lies around the $\eta_c K^+ K^-$ threshold. These results will be helpful for experimental searches in future and for a deep understanding of the nature of multi-quark states.

Introduction: The spectrum of hadron excitations discovered at experimental facilities around the world manifests the fundamental interactions of elementary quarks and gluons, governed by quantum gauge field theory of QCD. Understanding the complex emergent phenomena of this field theory has captivated the attention of theoretical physicists in the last decades. To date one of the perennial problems in hadron physics is to establish the existence of exotic hadrons that defy the quark-antiquark interpretation for mesons and three-quark assignment for baryons [1–5]. Candidates of such exotic hadrons, including tetraquark and pentaquark states, have been recently discovered and confirmed in various experimental measurements [6–24]. These experimental progresses give us a strong confidence for the existence of hexaquark states.

The proposition of six quarks as a single hadron structure was firstly presented in 1964 [25], and a renowned realization is deuteron. In addition, the $d^*(2380)$ resonance, reported by CELSIUS/WASA and WASA-at-COSY collaborations [26–30], is widely believed to be a dibaryon. Until now, a lot of explorations of hexaquarks with different quark constituents have been conducted in theory, such as heavy dibaryons (qqqqQ) [31, 32], doubly-heavy dibaryons (qqqqQQ) [33, 34], fully light dibaryons [35–38], and fully heavy dibaryons [39].

Hadrons composed of three quarks and three antiquarks belong to another category of hexaquarks. Other than light ones, hidden-charm and hidden-bottom hexaquarks are of special interest since heavy quarks have much larger masses and thus are more easily distinguished from ordinary mesons. In this work, we focus

on the hadronic structures containing three quarks and three antiquarks, i.e. $usc\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{c}$. We consider four hexaquarks with $I(J^{PC}) = 1(0^{++}), 1(0^{-+}), 1(1^{++}), 1(1^{--})$ respectively and calculate their masses from *ab-initio* framework–lattice QCD. After making the chiral and continuum extrapolations, we find that the four hexaquarks are all below the $\Xi_c\bar{\Xi}_c$ threshold, while the lightest 0^{-+} hexaquark lies around the $\eta_c K^+ K^-$ threshold. This interesting observation can be further examined by more theoretical analyses and validated by future experimental measurements.

Theoretical Framework: One of the most powerful method to systematically tackle the nonperturbative strong interaction is lattice QCD [40], in which the quark and gluon fields are discretized on a space-time grid of finite size, allowing numerical computations by averaging over large numbers of possible field configurations generated by Monte-Carlo methods. In particular, from the euclidean time dependence of correlation functions calculated in this way, one can determine a discrete spectrum of various hadrons. Thus Lattice QCD provides a first-principles technique for explorations of quantities of interest, such as spectrum, scattering phase and radiative transitions [41–43].

To determine the mass spectrum, one firstly needs to construct appropriate interpolating operators with the desired quantum numbers. For the hexaquarks we are interested in this work, the interpolating operators with quantum numbers $0^{++}, 0^{-+}, 1^{++}$ and 1^{--} are con-

structured as

$$\begin{aligned}
0^{++} : \mathcal{O}_1 &= \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{def} [u_a^T C \gamma_5 s_b] [\bar{d}_d C \gamma_5 \bar{s}_e^T] \times [\bar{c}_f c_c] \\
0^{-+} : \mathcal{O}_2 &= \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{def} [u_a^T C \gamma_5 s_b] [\bar{c}_d C \gamma_5 \bar{s}_e^T] \times [\bar{c}_f \gamma_5 c_c] \\
1^{++} : \mathcal{O}_3 &= \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{def} [u_a^T C \gamma_5 s_b] [\bar{d}_d C \gamma_5 \bar{s}_e^T] \times [\bar{c}_f \gamma_i \gamma_5 q_c] \\
1^{--} : \mathcal{O}_4 &= \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{def} [u_a^T C \gamma_5 s_b] [\bar{d}_d C \gamma_5 \bar{s}_e^T] \times [\bar{c}_f \gamma_i c_c]. \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $C = i\gamma_2\gamma_4$ is the charge conjugation matrix, and a, \dots, f are color indices.

Then the determination of the mass spectrum proceeds from the calculation of the correlation functions between these operator and their hermitian conjugates at Euclidean times t and 0 of the form

$$C_{2,i}(t) = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_i(t) \mathcal{O}_i^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where i labels the four operators.

Lattice Simulation. We employ four (2+1)-flavor gauge ensembles with Wilson clover fermion and Symanzik gauge action at three lattice spacings $a = 0.054$ fm, 0.081 fm, 0.108 fm. The parameters of the four ensembles are listed in Table I. A first analysis of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ form factors using two of the ensembles (C08P30S and C11P29S) has been conducted in Ref. [44], and predictions on partial widths for semi-leptonic Ξ_c decays were used in the experimental background simulation by Belle collaboration [45]. The bare strange quark mass is determined such that the mass of η_s is around 700 MeV, and the bare charm quark mass is tuned to accommodate the spin-average value of the J/ψ and η_c masses. The quark propagators are computed using the Coulomb gauge fixed wall source at one source time slice for the ensembles C11P29S, C11P22M and C08P30S, while two source time slices are used for the ensemble C06P30S.

	β	$L^3 \times T$	a	κ_l	m_π	κ_s	κ_c
C11P29S	6.2	$24^3 \times 72$	0.108	0.1343	285(2)	0.1327	0.1117
C11P22M	6.2	$32^3 \times 64$	0.108	0.1344	220(2)	0.1326	0.1116
C08P30S	6.41	$32^3 \times 96$	0.080	0.1326	301(3)	0.1316	0.1181
C06P30S	6.72	$48^3 \times 144$	0.054	0.1311	311(6)	0.1305	0.1227

TABLE I. Parameters of the 2+1 flavor Wilson clover fermion ensembles used in this calculation. The pion masses and the lattice spacings are given in units of MeV, and fm, respectively.

We first analyze the ordinary mesons including K , D , D_s , η_c and J/ψ , and also baryons Λ , Ξ , Ω , Λ_c , and Ξ_c . We calculate the two-point correlation functions with the pertinent interpolating operators. The masses of the ground state hadrons are obtained from the two-state fits of the corresponding correlation functions with reasonable $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$. We simultaneously extrapolate all of the ground state masses to the physical pion mass and continuum limit using the following parametrization for all of the hadrons except kaon,

$$m_H(m_\pi, a) = m_{H,\text{phys}} + g_1^H (m_\pi^2 - m_{\pi,\text{phys}}^2) + g_2^H a^2, \quad (3)$$




FIG. 1. The ordinary hadron masses from this work compared with their experimental values [46]. The QED effects and iso-spin symmetry breaking effects have been removed for m_K , m_D and m_{D_s} [47]. $\Delta_{\text{HFS}} = m_{J/\psi} - m_{\eta_c}$ is the hyperfine splitting of the charmonia.

and the parameterization inspired by the chiral symmetry is used in the kaon case:

$$m_K^2(m_\pi, a) = m_{K,\text{phys}}^2 + g_1^K (m_\pi^2 - m_{\pi,\text{phys}}^2) + g_2^K a^2, \quad (4)$$

where $m_{\pi,\text{phys}} = 135$ MeV is the physical pion mass without iso-spin symmetry breaking and QED effect. As shown in Fig. 1, all of our predictions after the continuum and chiral extrapolations agree with experimental values within 2σ deviation, except the kaon mass which requires a better control on the chiral extrapolation and strange quark mass determination.

In addition, we explore the mass-energy dispersion relations for the ordinary mesons to ensure that all the lattice discretization errors are under control. We have generated the two-point correlation functions for the π and J/ψ mesons at different momenta, and obtained the energy from two-state fits of the correlations. Then the dispersion relation is parametrized as

$$E^2 = m^2 + c_2 p^2 + c_3 p^4 a^2, \quad (5)$$

where c_2 and c_3 are dimensionless parameters to be determined from the fits. The deviations of c_2 from unity (the speed of light) and c_3 from zero characterize the discretization errors. The data and fit of pion with the ensembles C11P29S, C08P30S and C06P30S having $m_\pi \simeq 300$ MeV are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2, and the J/ψ case is shown in the lower panel. The joint fit with three lattice spacings using the parameterization Eq. 5 (with individual m at different lattice spacing) provide good $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$ for both cases, with

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{2,\pi} &= 1.078(26), 1.037(41), 1.093(53), \\
c_{3,\pi} &= 0.07(06), -0.54(46), 0.3(1.1), \\
c_{2,J/\psi} &= 0.730(18), 0.852(38), 0.954(45), \\
c_{3,J/\psi} &= -0.04(03), -0.07(18), 0.41(49), \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

at $a=0.108, 0.080, 0.054$ fm, respectively. Thus the dispersion relation for the ordinary mesons with both the




FIG. 2. The dispersion relation for π and J/ψ at three lattice spacings.


light and heavy quarks can be well-respected after the continuum extrapolation.

Results and discussions: The focus of this work is the hexaquark states with $I = 1, J^{PC} = 0^{-+}, 0^{++}, 1^{--}, 1^{++}$. To extract the masses of the hexaquark states, we adopt the two-state parametrization for the two-point correlation functions:


$$C_2(t) = c_0 e^{-M_H t} [1 + \Delta c \times e^{-\Delta E t}]. \quad (7)$$

where $c_0, \Delta c, M_H$ and ΔE are parameters to be determined through a correlated fit of the lattice data. M_H is the lowest-lying hexaquark state and ΔE corresponds to the relative mass of the excited state. c_0 denotes the contributions of the lowest-lying hexaquark to the two-point correlation functions, and Δc reflects the excited state contamination. We perform two independent fits by fitting the correlation functions and the effective masses $M_{\text{eff}}(t) = \ln C_2(t+a)/C_2(t)$, and find consistent results for the M_H within 1σ . As an illustration, the effective masses and the fitted energy plateaus for the four ensembles are plotted in Fig. 3. Panel (a,b,c,d) corresponds to the four ensembles, respectively. From the figures, we find that all the lattice data can be well described with $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ smaller than 1.


The continuum and chiral extrapolations of the masses of the hexaquark states with quantum numbers $0^{-+}, 1^{--}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}$ are illustrated in Fig. 4 and the extrapolated values are collected in Tab. II. It is interesting to notice that the threshold of $\Xi_c^+ - \Xi_c^0$ is about




(a) C11P22M



(b) C11P29S



(c) C08P30S



(d) C06P30S

FIG. 3. Effective mass for hexaquarks on the four ensembles. Panel (a), (b), (c), and (d) corresponds to the C11P22M, C11P29S, C08P30S, and C06P30S ensembles, respectively.




FIG. 4. The continuum and physical pion mass extrapolation for the mass of hexaquark states.

$I(J^{PC})$	$1(0^{-+})$	$1(1^{--})$	$1(0^{++})$	$1(1^{++})$
mass(GeV)	3.945(32)	4.144(35)	4.15(20)	4.22(23)

TABLE II. The obtained hexaquark masses (in units of GeV) after the chiral and continuum extrapolation




FIG. 5. The effective energy shift between hexaquarks and three mesons K, K, η_c on three different ensembles.

4.938 GeV [46], while the masses of the hexaquark states are below this threshold by around 700 to 950 MeV. This feature is partially consistent with the result from

chromo-magnetic interaction model which also finds large binding energies for the 0^{-+} and 1^{--} hexaquark states with $m_{0^{-+}} = 3.815$ GeV and $m_{1^{--}} = 4.005$ GeV [48]. However, it should be noticed that the hexaquarks we study in this work can mix with three-meson states. For instance, the 0^{-+} hexaquark can mix with $K^+K^-\eta_c$ and the hexaquark mass we obtained is indeed very close to the threshold of $K^+K^-\eta_c$, which is 3.971 GeV [46]. To have an illustration, we show the mass difference

$$\Delta m \equiv \log \frac{C_{2,O_2}}{C_{2,K}^2 C_{2,\eta_c}} \quad (8)$$

in Fig. 5, and one can see that Δm is consistent with zero within 1-2 sigma at all the lattice spacings.

More extensive studies on the hexaquark spectra by including more interpolating operators are required to further clarify the properties of the hexaquarks. We defer these studies to the future.

Conclusions: Based on the newly-generated (2+1)-flavor configurations with the pion mass around $m_\pi \simeq 220, 300$ MeV, we have presented a first lattice simulation of hidden-charm hexaquark states with the quark content $usc\bar{d}\bar{s}\bar{c}$. Four different quantum numbers are assigned for the hexaquarks and the corresponding mass spectrum are derived. We have also extrapolated the results both to the physical pion mass and continuum limit,

and find that the ground state we obtained for all the hexaquark interpolation operators are around 700-950 MeV below the $\Xi_c \bar{\Xi}_c$ threshold, while that of $I(J^{PC}) = 1(0^{-+})$ channel is consistent with the $\eta_c K^+ K^-$ threshold. These results are helpful towards the search for such types of the exotic states in the future experiments.

Acknowledgments: We thank Min-Huan Chu and Jun Hua for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 11735010, U2032102, and 12125503. HL, JCH, and WW are also supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai under grant No. 15DZ2272100. LL thanks the support from the CAS Interdisciplinary Innovation Team program. P. Sun is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 11975127, and Jiangsu

Specially Appointed Professor Program. YBY is also supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDB34030303, XDPB15. PS, WW, and YBY are also supported by the NSFC-DFG joint grant under grant No. 12061131006 and SCHA 458/22. The calculation was supported by National Supercomputing Center in Zhengzhou, and the Siyuan Mark 1 cluster at Center for High Performance Computing, Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

Appendix A: Hadron Masses

In this appendix, we have collected the masses for the $K, D, D_s, \Lambda, \Xi, \Omega, \Lambda_c, \Xi_c, \eta_c$ and J/ψ on the four ensembles. After the continuum and physical pion extrapolation, the results for these hadrons are collected in Fig. 1.

-
- [1] M. Gell-Mann, doi:10.1142/9789814618113.0001
- [2] G. Zweig, CERN-TH-412.
- [3] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 267 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.267
- [4] R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 1444 (1978) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.17.1444
- [5] H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B **195**, 484-488 (1987) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90055-4
- [6] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.262001 [arXiv:hep-ex/0309032 [hep-ex]].
- [7] D. Acosta *et al.* [CDF], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 072001 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.072001 [arXiv:hep-ex/0312021 [hep-ex]].
- [8] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 162002 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.162002 [arXiv:hep-ex/0405004 [hep-ex]].
- [9] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no.22, 222002 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.222002 [arXiv:1404.1903 [hep-ex]].
- [10] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no.9, 092002 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.092002 [arXiv:1610.07044 [hep-ex]].
- [11] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no.9, 092001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.092001 [arXiv:1611.01317 [hep-ex]].
- [12] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.3, 032004 (2017) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.1, 019903 (2019)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.032004 [arXiv:1703.08787 [hep-ex]].
- [13] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar], Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 142001 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.142001 [arXiv:hep-ex/0506081 [hep-ex]].
- [14] Q. He *et al.* [CLEO], Phys. Rev. D **74**, 091104 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.091104 [arXiv:hep-ex/0611021 [hep-ex]].
- [15] C. Z. Yuan *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 182004 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.182004 [arXiv:0707.2541 [hep-ex]].
- [16] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252002 (2013) [erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 019901 (2013)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252002 [arXiv:1304.0121 [hep-ex]].
- [17] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 212001 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.212001 [arXiv:hep-ex/0610057 [hep-ex]].
- [18] J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar], Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.11, 111103 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.111103 [arXiv:1211.6271 [hep-ex]].
- [19] X. L. Wang *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 142002 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.142002 [arXiv:0707.3699 [hep-ex]].
- [20] X. L. Wang *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **91**, 112007 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.112007 [arXiv:1410.7641 [hep-ex]].
- [21] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, no.10, 102001 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.102001 [arXiv:2011.07855 [hep-ex]].
- [22] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072001 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001 [arXiv:1507.03414 [hep-ex]].
- [23] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no.8, 082002 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.082002 [arXiv:1604.05708 [hep-ex]].
- [24] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, no.22, 222001 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.222001 [arXiv:1904.03947 [hep-ex]].
- [25] F. Dyson and N. H. Xuong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, no.26, 815-817 (1964) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.815
- [26] G. Faldt and C. Wilkin, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 619-622 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.06.054 [arXiv:1105.4142 [nucl-th]].
- [27] P. Adlarson *et al.* [WASA-at-COSY], Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 242302 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.242302




FIG. 6. Extrapolation for the mass for the ordinary hadrons: K , D , D_s , Λ , Ξ , Ω , Λ_c , Ξ_c , η_c and J/ψ .

- [arXiv:1104.0123 [nucl-ex]].
- [28] P. Adlarson *et al.* [WASA-at-COSY], Phys. Lett. B **721**, 229-236 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.019 [arXiv:1212.2881 [nucl-ex]].
- [29] H. Kim, K. S. Kim and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. D **102**, no.7, 074023 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.074023 [arXiv:2009.11983 [hep-ph]].
- [30] Y. Dong, P. Shen and Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.11, 114002 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.114002 [arXiv:1801.04700 [hep-ph]].
- [31] M. Oka, S. Maeda and Y. R. Liu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **49**, 1960004 (2019) doi:10.1142/S2010194519600048 [arXiv:1904.00586 [hep-ph]].
- [32] S. Pepin and F. Stancu, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4475-4478 (1998) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4475 [arXiv:hep-ph/9710528 [hep-ph]].
- [33] J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, J. M. Richard and P. Sorba, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.3, 034038 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034038 [arXiv:1608.03982 [hep-ph]].
- [34] L. Meng, N. Li and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no.11, 114019 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114019 [arXiv:1704.01009 [hep-ph]].
- [35] Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu, P. N. Shen, L. R. Dai, A. Faessler and U. Straub, Nucl. Phys. A **625**, 59-70 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(97)00033-X
- [36] S. M. Gerasyuta and E. E. Matskevich, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 056002 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.056002 [arXiv:1003.0257 [hep-ph]].
- [37] W. Park, A. Park and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.1, 014037 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.014037 [arXiv:1506.01123 [nucl-th]].
- [38] X. H. Chen, Q. N. Wang, W. Chen and H. X. Chen, Chin. Phys. C **45**, no.4, 041002 (2021) doi:10.1088/1674-1137/abdfbe [arXiv:1906.11089 [hep-ph]].
- [39] H. Huang, J. Ping, X. Zhu and F. Wang, [arXiv:2011.00513 [hep-ph]].
- [40] K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2445-2459 (1974) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445
- [41] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur and D. G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 034501 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034501 [arXiv:0707.4162 [hep-lat]].
- [42] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards and D. G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 074507 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.074507 [arXiv:hep-ph/0601137 [hep-ph]].
- [43] J. J. Dudek, R. Edwards and C. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094504 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.094504 [arXiv:0902.2241 [hep-ph]].
- [44] Q. A. Zhang, J. Hua, F. Huang, R. Li, Y. Li, C. D. Lu, P. Sun, W. Sun, W. Wang and Y. B. Yang, Chin. Phys. C **46**, no.7, 011002 (2022) doi:10.1088/1674-1137/ac2b12 [arXiv:2103.07064 [hep-lat]].
- [45] Y. B. Li *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, no.12, 121803 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.121803 [arXiv:2103.06496 [hep-ex]].
- [46] P. A. Zyla *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP **2020**, no.8, 083C01 (2020) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104
- [47] D. Giusti, V. Lubicz, C. Tarantino, G. Martinelli, F. Sanfilippo, S. Simula and N. Tantalo, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no.11, 114504 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114504 [arXiv:1704.06561 [hep-lat]].
- [48] Z. Liu, H. T. An, Z. W. Liu and X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.3, 034006 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034006 [arXiv:2112.02510 [hep-ph]].