Prospects for Perovskite/Silicon tandem solar cells to outperform c-Silicon solar cells at elevated temperatures

Chittiboina Ganga Vinod¹ and Pradeep R. Nair^{1, a)}

Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India

(*Electronic mail: gangavinod@ee.iitb.ac.in, prnair@ee.iitb.ac.in)

(Dated: 5 July 2022)

Successful commercialization of Perovskite/Si tandem solar cells (P/Si TSCs) need a-priori estimation of technological benchmarks to outperform c-Si based technologies under field conditions. To this end, through detailed numerical simulations and analytical modeling, here we identify the limits of ion migration and lifetime degradation till which P/Si TSCs remain competitive. Our results unravel a unique scaling law for the evolution of the efficiency and the temperature coefficient of P/Si TSCs which allows us to anticipate the limiting annual degradation rates. Interestingly, we find that 4T cells are potentially more immune to the ill effects of ion migration as compared to 2T cells. These insights are of broad relevance for material/interface engineering approaches and physics based accelerated tests which are focused towards long term stability and module reliability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Commercialization of Perovskite/Si Tandem Solar Cells (P/Si TSCs) appears a promising reality as the efficiencies reported¹ ($\approx 29.8\%$) are now comparable to the theoretical Shockley-Queisser limits² of single junction silicon solar cells. The economic viability of such tandem solar cells depend on the performance at elevated temperatures in field conditions. Accordingly, the parameters of most importance are the temporal evolution of power conversion efficiency (*PCE*) and temperature coefficient (T_{PCE}). As several phenomena degrade perovskites, it is crucial to identify the phase space of critical parameters where P/Si TSCs retain an efficiency advantage over conventional c-Si solar cells under field conditions.

Efficiency of solar cells at elevated temperatures can be expressed in terms of its temperature coefficient³ (T_{PCE}) as follows

$$PCE(T_H) = PCE(T_R) \times \left(1 + \frac{T_{PCE}}{100}(T_H - T_R)\right)$$
(1)

where $PCE(T_H)$ and $PCE(T_R)$ denote the efficiencies at high temperature (T_H) and room temperature (T_R) , respectively. Further, let $\alpha = PCE_T(T_R)/PCE_{Si}(T_R)$ denotes the ratio of efficiencies at room temperature, while $\beta = T_{PCE,T}/T_{PCE,Si}$ denotes the ratio of the corresponding temperature coefficients. Note that the subscripts *T* and *Si* denote tandem and c-Si, respectively. The corresponding efficiency ratio (*ER*) under field conditions (i.e., at T_H) is defined as $ER = PCE_T(T_H)/PCE_{Si}(T_H)$. Simple analysis using eq. 1 indicates that

$$ER = \alpha \times \frac{1 + \beta \times T_{PCE,Si}(T_H - T_R)/100}{1 + T_{PCE,Si}(T_H - T_R)/100}$$
(2)

For the economic viability of P/Si TSCs, it is essential that ER > 1. Interestingly, however, both α and β could be time dependent due to the various degradation mechanisms. Hence, the event with ER = 1 could occur at conditions other than the trivial solution of $\alpha = \beta = 1$ which makes long term performance comparisons non-trivial. Under the influence of ion migration and lifetime degradation (due to interface recombination or bulk traps), here we show that

$$\alpha \sim A\beta^{-n} \tag{3}$$



FIG. 1. Phase space of ER as function of α and β due to ion migration and interface recombination with $T_H = 330K$, with $PCE_{Si} = 25\%$ and $T_{PCE,Si} = -0.3\%/K$. Curve A and B indicate the influence of interface recombination in the absence and presence of ion migration, respectively. Clearly, P/Si TSCs are less competitive in the presence of ion migration.

where A and n are constants influenced by various parameters including the properties of the c-Si bottom cell. Accordingly, Fig. 1 shows that while the P/Si TSCs could remain competitive (i.e., ER > 1) in the absence of ion migration (curve A), critical phenomena like interface degradation along with ion migration (curve B) influence the ER in non-trivial

^{a)}Corresponding Author

The rest of the manuscript provides arguments which lead to the results shown in Fig. 1 and the implications of the same. These insights will allow us to identify critical limits of degradation till which P/Si tandem cells remain competitive. Indeed, such quantitative estimates could contribute towards mitigation and characterization strategies (including accelerated tests) from material and interface engineering perspectives.

II. ON THE ESTIMATION OF α , β

It is evident from eqs. 2-3 that the viability of P/Si TSCs is dictated by the dependence of parameters α and β on phenomena like ion migration and interface/lifetime degradation. Modeling the steady state current-voltage characteristics (see Fig. 2) with the perovskite top cell under the influence of ion migration is a formidable challenge due to scale of physical mechanisms involved. While the layer thicknesses in the top cell are of the order of hundreds of nm, the ionic screening lengths are of the order of a few nm. On the other hand, the physical dimensions and characteristic lengths of relevant phenomena like diffusion in c-Si bottom cell are of the order of Si bottom cell is still under debate⁴.



FIG. 2. Schematic of the devices: (a) 2T configuration, (b) 4T configuration, and (c) perovskite top cell.

Accordingly, here we first obtain the respective steady state J-V characteristics of the top cell and bottom cell with appropriate parameters. As the two cells are connected in series, the J-V characteristics of the tandem configuration is then obtained using the individual J-V characteristics (the validity of this approach was verified using detailed numerical simulations, see Suppl. Mat.). As solar cells are typically employed in maximum power point conditions, the most important parameter is the efficiency under steady conditions. Hence, here we address the steady state efficiency and not the transient or scan rate dependent efficiencies. Hysteresis of tandem solar cells will be addressed in future communications.

Perovskite top cell: Modeling strategy for perovskite solar cells under the influence of ion migration is well established in prior literature^{5–7} as well as our own previous publications^{8–10}. Briefly, the electrostatics and transport of charge carriers as well as ions are addressed through the wellknown self-consistent scheme of Poisson and continuity equations (see section A and Table S1 of Suppl. Mat. for equations and various parameters involved). Here, we consider negative mobile ions while positive ions are uniformly distributed in the active region. The photo-induced carrier generation rates are estimated through solution of Maxwell's equations using TMM method¹¹. We consider carrier recombination through trap assisted Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH), Auger, and radiative mechanisms. Further, carrier recombination at the interfaces between perovskite and transport layers are accounted though appropriate recombination velocities¹² (S).

It is well known that band gap of the perovskites increases with temperature^{3,13}. The same is accounted through an empirical relation, $E_g(T) = E_g(300) + K(T - 300)$, where K is extracted from the experimental data³. In addition, temperature dependence of other parameters like the density of states, capture coefficients, etc. are also considered as per literature³. Table II of Suppl. Mat. summarizes the functional dependence of such parameters. Details of the numerical simulation methodology including calibration with experimental results are available in our prior publications^{8–10,14} and in Sec. A-D, Suppl. Mat.

Silicon bottom cell: A variety of Silicon cell architectures⁴ are under consideration for the bottom cell including PERC (Passivated Emitter Rear Cell), TOPCon (Tunnel Oxide Passivated Contact), and other carrier selective designs. However, the optimal configuration among them for tandem cells is yet to be identified⁴. Hence, it is important to employ a generic scheme which can anticipate the essential features at elevated temperatures for a variety of bottom cell architectures. In this regard, we describe the bottom cell using the well known ideal diode equation

$$J = -Jsc + J_0(e^{(\frac{q_v}{\eta KT})} - 1)$$
(4)

aV

Here, the parameters Jsc, J_0 and η are appropriately chosen such that the temperature dependent characteristics are well calibrated against typical industry standard c-Si solar cells^{15,16}. See Sec. B of Suppl. Mat. for additional details.

III. INFLUENCE OF ION MIGRATION ON α , β

Based on the above described numerical simulation methodology, here we elucidate the functional dependence of α and β on critical phenomena like ion migration and interface recombination. To this end, the temperature dependent performance of tandem cell is obtained through the respective characteristics of the top and bottom cells.

Perovskite top cell: Figure 3 shows the temperature dependent characteristics of the perovskite top cell in the presence of ion migration and interface degradation. Part (a) shows the JV characteristics for various temperatures while part (b) shows that interface degradation critically influences the temperature dependence of normalized efficiency. Importantly, the efficiency degradation is significant for $S > 10^3$ cm/s.

Part (c) of Fig. 3 illustrates the influence of ions on the efficiency degradation of perovskite top cell. It is evident that



FIG. 3. Top Cell characteristics. (a) J-V for various temperatures under the influence of ion migration (b) Normalised efficiency with respect to Temperature (in the presence of ion migration) (c) Efficiency as a function of interface recombination velocity (S). (d) Temperature coefficients as a function of S. For S > 100 cm/s, ion migration causes significant degradation of T_{PCE}

the presence of ions exacerbates the influence of interface recombination. Accordingly, the temperature coefficient of efficiency is shown in part (d). Interestingly, we find that even for excellent interfaces (i.e., S < 10cm/s), ion migration degrades the T_{PCE} from -0.11%/K to -0.16%/K. In the absence of ions, T_{PCE} degrades steadily in the mid range of *S* (i.e., 1 - 100 cm/s). For the same range, the corresponding variation in T_{PCE} is rather insignificant in the presence of ions. For larger *S* (i.e., S > 100 cm/s), ion migration is significantly more detrimental and results in rapid degradation in both *PCE* and T_{PCE} .

Silicon bottom cell: Temperature dependent J-V characteristics of the Silicon solar cell are shown in Fig. 1, Sec. B of Suppl. Mat. Current matching conditions with top cell at room temperature is assumed. Accordingly, we use $J_{SC} \sim 20mA/cm^2$, which is the typical value reported for 2T configurations^{13,17}. Similarly, the Voc and FF of our simulations also compare well with results of same publication. In addition, our simulations compare well with the characteristics of typical Si solar cells^{15,16} with temperature coefficient of Jsc being +0.0156%/K and that of Voc being -0.22%/K. Accordingly, the T_{PCE} of silicon sub-cell is -0.29%/K. This indicates that the simple model based on eq. 4 anticipates the temperature dependence of bottom cell. The same approach could be used to explore the effectiveness of a wide variety of c-Si based solar cells as the bottom cell.

2-T tandem cell: As mentioned earlier, the characteristics of the tandem cell could be obtained as a combination of the top and bottom cell characteristics (the validity of this

approach was verified using detailed numerical simulations, see Sec. C of Suppl. Mat.). Accordingly, the influence of interface recombination on efficiency and T_{PCE} of tandem solar cells, both in the presence and absence of ions, are shown in parts (a) and (b) of Fig. 4, respectively. While both the top and bottom cell properties influence the T_{PCE} of the tandem cell, the $T_{PCE,T}$ is always better than (or almost equal to) the worst performing sub-cell (see Fig. 4 of Suppl. Mat.).

Figure 4b indicates that the T_{PCE} of tandem cells is of the order of -0.2 to -0.3 %/⁰K for $1 < S < 10^3$ cm/s. Reassuringly, the experimentally reported¹³ *PCE* and T_{PCE} of 2-T tandem cells are of the order of 25% and -0.26%/K, which compares very well with our simulation results - thus validating the methodology and the parameters involved (see Sec. D of Suppl. Mat. for additional discussion on the comparison with experimental data). Fig. 4b also indicates that interface degradation beyond S = 100 cm/s under the presence of ion migration could prove severely critical for P/Si tandem solar cells.

The results shown in Fig. 4a,b allow us to gather insights on the degradation dependent variation of α and β . Part (c) of Fig. 4 indicates that α reduces while β increases with an increase in *S*. Interestingly, as the device degrades due to ion migration and interface degradation, we find a unique trend between α and β for different $T_{PCE,Si}$ (see Fig. 4d). Evidently, $\alpha \sim A\beta^{-n}$, with the *n* being 0.64, 0.77 and 0.82 for $T_{PCE,Si} = -0.1, -0.3$ and -0.4%/K, respectively. This observation forms the basis of eq. 3 which allows us to estimate the competitiveness of P/Si TSC against various c-Si architectures. Similar scaling laws are found to be applicable for various other scenarios like degradation in the carrier lifetime of the perovskite active layer, etc. (details provided in Sec. F of Suppl. Mat.)

IV. IMPLICATIONS

Ion migration and critical *S*: The results in Fig. 4c clearly illustrates the ill effects of ion migration. In the absence of ion migration $\alpha > 1$ even for very large *S*. On the other hand, in the presence of significant ion migration, α degrades so much that P/Si TSCs could become noncompetitive. It is well known that mobile ions screen the electric field in the perovskite active layer.^{18,19} As a result, the interface recombination increases with significant ion migration resulting in efficiency loss. Accordingly, it can be analytically shown that the V_{OC} has a -log(S) dependence and hence the variation of α with *S*, as shown in Fig. 4c.

Equations 2-3 and Fig. 4 allow to estimate the competitiveness of TSCs against - (a) c-Si cells of the same technology as the bottom cell of TSCs, or (b) c-Si cells with a technology other than that of the bottom cells. For part (a), we can rely on eqs. 2-3 along with the trends shown in Fig. 4d. Simple analysis (see Fig. 1) indicates that P/Si TSCs remain competitive till $\alpha > 1$, with a weak dependence on $T_{PCE,Si}$ of the bottom cell. For such cases $S \sim 1000$ cm/s represents the critical limiting interface degradation (as indicated in Fig. 4c). Sec. G of Suppl. Mat. provides additional theoretical analysis involving eqs. 2-3 which leads to the above limits for *S*.

Admissible annual degradation rates: Direct comparison with other solar cell technologies, for example PERC solar cells, is possible using eq. 1 itself with the appropriate values of T_{PCE} . However, the T_{PCE} of commerical Si solar cells exhibit a broad range. For example, HIT²⁰ (Heterojunction with Intrinsic Thin layer) solar cells report a T_{PCE} of -0.26%/Kwhile that for PERC²¹ solar cells is -0.4%/K. With such properties for the bottom cell, the results in Fig. 4c,d indicate that the T_{PCE} of P/Si TSCs could vary over a range of -0.25%/K to -0.5%/K. Hence, as per eqs. 1-2, the compettiveness of TSCs is primarily dictated by the parameter α . To be competitive over c-Si cells over a period of N years, it can be shown that

$$\gamma_T < \gamma_{Si} + (PCE_T - PCE_{Si})/N \tag{5}$$

where γ denotes the annual efficiency degradation rate. The subscripts *T* and *Si* represents TSC and c-Si solar cells, respectively. A broad range for γ is reported for PV modules²². For example, the mean γ for c-Si based modules is 0.6 – 0.8%/ year while the corresponding value for HIT solar cells is ~ 1%/year. As a first order estimate, with $\gamma_{Si} \sim 0.7\%/$ year, N = 25 years, $PCE_T = 30\%$, and $PCE_{Si} = 25\%$, eq. 5 predicts that $\gamma_T < 0.9\%/$ year.

It is evident that the energy yield of TSCs will be much more than the c-Si over the duration of N years under consideration. Unsurprisingly, improvements in PCE_T can offset sub-optimal γ_T . Interestingly, recent LCOE (Levelized Cost of Electricity) analysis²³ indicate similar values for critical γ for P/Si TSCs (i.e., $\gamma_T < 0.9\%/\text{year}$). However, such LCOE analysis seldom correlate degradation rates with any physical mechanisms involved. On the other hand, our analysis clearly anticipate such critical degradation rates along with their correlation to physical degradation phenomena. Further, our analysis also indicates that the current state-of-the art P/Si TSCs (i.e., with $PCE_T \sim 30\%$) have to be nearly as good as c-Si modules (in terms of annual degradation rates) with S < 1000 cm/s to remain competitive. Evidently, the same results also indicate the need to arrest ion migration for long term stability.

Lifetime degradation and trap density: The limiting value for *S* can be, equivalently, interpreted in terms of interface trap density. Bulk trap density of the order of $10^{16}cm^{-3}$ is routinely reported for perovskites^{24,25}. With minority carrier lifetimes of the order of μs , the carrier capture rates are of the order of $10^{-10}cm^{-3}/s$. However, as indicated by recent experiments²⁶ the interface trap density could be an order of magnitude higher ($\sim 10^{17}cm^{-3}$). Assuming *nm* thickness material interfaces, the *S* is of the order of 1 - 10 cm/s for good quality passivated interfaces - an estimate supported by experimental results²⁷. Degradation of the interface or lack/loss of passivation could result in *S* > 1000 cm/s - another trend supported by literature^{28,29}.

In addition to the above, perovskites could have degradation in bulk carrier lifetime (τ). Here, the τ degradation could introduce different functional dependence on critical parameters like J_{SC} , V_{OC} , and result in current mismatch between top and bottom cell. Our simulations indicate that eq. 3 holds good for this scenario as well with distinct *n* and other unique trends (see Sec. F of Suppl. Mat.). For example, while both α and β vary significantly with *S*, we find that β remains rather unvarying with changes in τ . Hence, the time evolution of α and β along with *n* allows one to distinguish between interface vs. bulk degradation of the perovskite active layer. These insights could be useful to the development of novel characterization strategies.

Interface engineering and lifetime prediction: The nonlinear variation of α with *S* has interesting implications towards interface engineering approaches. For example, the trends in Fig. 4c (and Fig. 3c) indicate that the *PCE* remains stable till *S* < 100cm/s and rapidly deteriorate afterwards. Hence any interface engineering which results in *S* < 100cm/s might seem to be successful towards the challenge of stability improvement - at least during the early days of stability testing. Not surprisingly, such an improvement has been reported by multiple groups^{12,30} - although long term stability under field conditions is yet to be thoroughly explored.

Given the non-linear dependence of α on *S*, it is crucial to accurately characterize the temporal evolution of trap density (N_T) near the interface. With $\alpha \propto -log(S)$ for S > 100cm/s and $S \propto N_T$, it is important to accurately characterize the time evolution of N_T . For example, if N_T generation follows a first order process (with various activation energies), the resulting *PCE* degradation during early years could vary linearly with time. On the other hand, if N_T varies as a power law with time (as seen in interface degradation of field effect devices³¹), it



FIG. 4. *P/Si* 2-*T* Tandem Cell characteristics. Variation of Efficiency (a) and temperature coefficient (b) as a function of *S*. Part (c) shows the variation of α and β as a function of *S*, with $PCE_{Si} = 25\%$ and $T_{PCE,Si} = -0.3\%/K$. Part (d) shows the variation of α as function of β (log-log plot) for various $T_{PCE,Si}$. The trends follow eq. 3.

could result in a -log(t) dependence for efficiency degradation (where t is the time). Hence accurate characterization of the time evolution of N_T is crucial to develop predictive models for lifetime and stability.

2T vs. 4T: The methodology described in this manuscript is well suited to compare the effectiveness of 2T configuration vs. 4T configuration. Evidently, 4T configurations are not limited by the current mismatch conditions as compared to 2T schemes. Accordingly, for many scenarios, the theoretical efficiency of 4T cells could be more than that of 2T cells³²⁻³⁴ - eventhough there are additional system level complexities associated with interconnects and electronics. Our results indicate that for the set of parameters considered in this manuscript, the 4T cells outperform 2T cells. Specifically, the efficiency of 4T cells could be 35% while the corresponding efficiency of 2T cells is 30% (see Sec. H of Suppl. Mat.). We find that ion migration and lifetime degradation influences both configurations in a similar manner (with comparable T_{PCE}). However, the improved baseline efficiency of 4T cells allow them to remain competitive even for larger S(or degradation in τ). The critical S, under the influence of ion migration, for 4T cells is $\sim 10^4$ cm/s which is an order of magnitude more than that of 2T cells and hence a significant advantage. In addition, 4T cells might have other advantages like possible replacement of perovskite top layers with additional system level costs.

In this manuscript, we explicitly considered the influence of inherent or internal degradation mechanisms on tandem cell efficiency while the overall degradation could be influenced by other factors including the bottom cell, encapsulants, metal corrosion, etc., In addition, aspects like interlayer degradation, band level alignments, energy level of traps, etc. could critically influence the performance of tandem cells (results to be communicated soon including the influence of voltage scan rates). Further, it is evident that phase changes/segregation and associated material degradation could be additional concerns for TSCs. Indeed, solar spectrum variations (seasonal vs. daily) and ambient climatic conditions (temperature, humidity, etc.) could be other interesting aspects which can influence the overall energy yield and hence the ideal locations favorable for futuristic solar power plants based on P/Si TSCs. Importantly, any deviation from a simple traditional annual linear degradation could have significant implications on methodology to estimate the viability of perovksites and P/Si tandem cells. Clearly more studies are needed in this direction which could result in a synergistic development of predictive models and accelerated tests.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, ion migration and lifetime degradation are found to degrade the performance of P/Si TSCs. Through a combination of analytical modeling and detailed numerical simulations, here we identified the phase space of critical parameters which ensure competitiveness of P/Si TSCs. Our results clearly indicate the need to arrest either interface/lifetime degradation or ion migration or both. Further, our methodology allows facile comparison of P/Si TSCs against multiple c-Si technologies and indicates that 4T configuration could be more resilient to the ill-effects due to ion migration. Importantly, our results provide clear technological benchmarks to be met for P/Si TSCs to outperform c-Si based technologies under field conditions and hence could be of broad relevance to efforts on long term stability and accelerated tests.

VI. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Additional details like simulation methodology, calibration against experimental trends, the influence of α and β on bulk lifetime degradation, theoretical arguments which lead to critical *S*, and a comparison between 2T and 4T cells are available in Suppl. Mat.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB, project code CRG/2019/003163), Department of Science and Technology (DST), India. The authors acknowledge IITBNF and NCPRE for computational facilities and Abhimanyu S. for the calibration with TCAD simulations. P.R.N. acknowledges Visvesvaraya Young Faculty Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- ¹National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Best research-cell efficiencies, accessed 2022.
- ²William Shockley and Hans J Queisser. Detailed balance limit of efficiency of p-n junction solar cells. *Journal of applied physics*, 32(3):510–519, 1961.
- ³Taylor Moot, Jay B Patel, Gabriel McAndrews, Eli J Wolf, Daniel Morales, Isaac E Gould, Bryan A Rosales, Caleb C Boyd, Lance M Wheeler, Philip A Parilla, et al. Temperature coefficients of perovskite photovoltaics for energy yield calculations. *ACS Energy Letters*, 6(5):2038–2047, 2021.
- ⁴Christoph Messmer, Baljeet S Goraya, Sebastian Nold, Patricia SC Schulze, Volker Sittinger, Jonas Schön, Jan Christoph Goldschmidt, Martin Bivour, Stefan W Glunz, and Martin Hermle. The race for the best silicon bottom cell: Efficiency and cost evaluation of perovskite–silicon tandem solar cells. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 29(7):744– 759, 2021.
- ⁵Stephan van Reenen, Martijn Kemerink, and Henry J Snaith. Modeling anomalous hysteresis in perovskite solar cells. *The journal of physical chemistry letters*, 6(19):3808–3814, 2015.
- ⁶Atul Kumar. Numerical modelling of ion-migration caused hysteresis in perovskite solar cells. *Optical and Quantum Electronics*, 53(4):1–9, 2021.
- ⁷Nicola Elizabeth Courtier. *Modelling ion migration and charge carrier transport in planar perovskite solar cells.* PhD thesis, University of Southampton, 2019.
- ⁸Vikas Nandal and Pradeep R Nair. Predictive modeling of ion migration induced degradation in perovskite solar cells. ACS nano, 11(11):11505– 11512, 2017.
- ⁹Tirupati Saketh Chandra, Abhimanyu Singareddy, Kashimul Hossain, Dhyana Sivadas, Swasti Bhatia, Shivam Singh, Dinesh Kabra, and Pradeep R Nair. Ion mobility independent large signal switching of perovskite devices. *Applied Physics Letters*, 119(2):023506, 2021.
- ¹⁰Dhyana Sivadas, Swasti Bhatia, and Pradeep R Nair. Efficiency limits of perovskite solar cells with n-type hole extraction layers. *Applied Physics Letters*, 119(20):203502, 2021.

- ¹¹George F Burkhard, Eric T Hoke, and Michael D McGehee. Accounting for interference, scattering, and electrode absorption to make accurate internal quantum efficiency measurements in organic and other thin solar cells. *Advanced Materials*, 22(30):3293–3297, 2010.
- ¹²Jian Wang, Weifei Fu, Sarthak Jariwala, Irika Sinha, Alex K-Y Jen, and David S Ginger. Reducing surface recombination velocities at the electrical contacts will improve perovskite photovoltaics. ACS Energy Letters, 4(1): 222–227, 2018.
- ¹³Erkan Aydin, Thomas G Allen, Michele De Bastiani, Lujia Xu, Jorge Ávila, Michael Salvador, Emmanuel Van Kerschaver, and Stefaan De Wolf. Interplay between temperature and bandgap energies on the outdoor performance of perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells. *Nature Energy*, 5(11):851– 859, 2020.
- ¹⁴Abhimanyu Singareddy, Uday Kiran Reddy Sadula, and Pradeep R Nair. Phase segregation induced efficiency degradation and variability in mixed halide perovskite solar cells. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 130(22):225501, 2021.
- ¹⁵MA Green, K Emery, and AW Blakers. Silicon solar cells with reduced temperature sensitivity. *Electronics Letters*, 18(2):97–98, 1982.
- ¹⁶J Zhao, A Wang, SJ Robinson, and MA Green. Reduced temperature coefficients for recent high-performance silicon solar cells. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 2(3):221–225, 1994.
- ¹⁷Michele De Bastiani, Alessandro J Mirabelli, Yi Hou, Fabrizio Gota, Erkan Aydin, Thomas G Allen, Joel Troughton, Anand S Subbiah, Furkan H Isikgor, Jiang Liu, et al. Efficient bifacial monolithic perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells via bandgap engineering. *Nature Energy*, 6(2):167–175, 2021.
- ¹⁸Philip Calado, Andrew M Telford, Daniel Bryant, Xiaoe Li, Jenny Nelson, Brian C O'Regan, and Piers RF Barnes. Evidence for ion migration in hybrid perovskite solar cells with minimal hysteresis. *Nature communications*, 7(1):1–10, 2016.
- ¹⁹Davide Moia, Ilario Gelmetti, Philip Calado, Yinghong Hu, Xiaoe Li, Pablo Docampo, John de Mello, Joachim Maier, Jenny Nelson, and Piers RF Barnes. The dynamics of internal electric field screening in hybrid perovskite solar cells probed using electroabsorption. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.02175*, 2022.
- ²⁰Panasonic Corporation. Crystalline silicon solar cells, Japan Photovoltaic Energy Association's Indication Guidelines (FY2017), May 18 2017.
- ²¹He Wang, Xiaoli Cheng, and Hong Yang. Temperature coefficients and operating temperature verification for passivated emitter and rear cell bifacial silicon solar module. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics*, 10(3):729–739, 2020.
- ²²Dirk C Jordan, Sarah R Kurtz, Kaitlyn VanSant, and Jeff Newmiller. Compendium of photovoltaic degradation rates. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 24(7):978–989, 2016.
- ²³Jiadong Qian, Marco Ernst, Nandi Wu, and Andrew Blakers. Impact of perovskite solar cell degradation on the lifetime energy yield and economic viability of perovskite/silicon tandem modules. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels*, 3(6):1439–1447, 2019.
- ²⁴Bingbing Chen, Hongwei Hu, Teddy Salim, and Yeng Ming Lam. A facile method to evaluate the influence of trap densities on perovskite solar cell performance. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 7(19):5646–5651, 2019.
- ²⁵Chi Huey Ng, Kengo Hamada, Gaurav Kapil, Muhammad Akmal Kamarudin, Zhen Wang, Qing Shen, Kenji Yoshino, Takashi Minemoto, Shuzi Hayase, et al. Reducing trap density and carrier concentration by a ge additive for an efficient quasi 2d/3d perovskite solar cell. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 8(6):2962–2968, 2020.
- ²⁶Abdullah Al Mamun, Tanzila Tasnim Ava, Kai Zhang, Helmut Baumgart, and Gon Namkoong. New pcbm/carbon based electron transport layer for perovskite solar cells. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 19(27): 17960–17966, 2017.
- ²⁷Nicholas E Grant, Tim Niewelt, Neil R Wilson, Evangeline C Wheeler-Jones, James Bullock, Mohammad Al-Amin, Martin C Schubert, Andre C van Veen, Ali Javey, and John D Murphy. Superacid-treated silicon surfaces: extending the limit of carrier lifetime for photovoltaic applications. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics*, 7(6):1574–1583, 2017.
- ²⁸Ye Yang, Yong Yan, Mengjin Yang, Sukgeun Choi, Kai Zhu, Joseph M Luther, and Matthew C Beard. Low surface recombination velocity in solution-grown ch3nh3pbbr3 perovskite single crystal. *Nature communications*, 6(1):1–6, 2015.
- ²⁹Bo Wu, Yuanyuan Zhou, Guichuan Xing, Qiang Xu, Hector F Garces, Ankur Solanki, Teck Wee Goh, Nitin P Padture, and Tze Chien Sum. Long

minority-carrier diffusion length and low surface-recombination velocity in inorganic lead-free cssni3 perovskite crystal for solar cells. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 27(7):1604818, 2017.

- ³⁰Christian M Wolff, Pietro Caprioglio, Martin Stolterfoht, and Dieter Neher. Nonradiative recombination in perovskite solar cells: the role of interfaces. *Advanced Materials*, 31(52):1902762, 2019.
- ³¹Muhammad Ashraful Alam, Haldun Kufluoglu, Dhanoop Varghese, and Souvik Mahapatra. A comprehensive model for pmos nbti degradation: Recent progress. *Microelectronics Reliability*, 47(6):853–862, 2007.
- ³²Yajie Jiang, Ibraheem Almansouri, Shujuan Huang, Trevor Young, Yang Li, Yong Peng, Qicheng Hou, Leone Spiccia, Udo Bach, Yi-Bing Cheng, et al. Optical analysis of perovskite/silicon tandem solar cells. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 4(24):5679–5689, 2016.
- ³³Moritz H Futscher and Bruno Ehrler. Efficiency limit of perovskite/si tandem solar cells. ACS Energy Letters, 1(4):863–868, 2016.
- ³⁴Yuanhang Cheng and Liming Ding. Perovskite/si tandem solar cells: Fundamentals, advances, challenges, and novel applications. *SusMat*, 1(3): 324–344, 2021.