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#### Abstract

Motivated by the analogous properties of the $Z_{c}(3900 / 3885)$ and $Z_{c s}(3985 / 4000)$, we tentatively assign the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ as the $A \bar{A}$-type hidden-charm tetraquark state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, where the $A$ denotes the axialvector diquark states, and explore the $A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark states without strange, with strange and with hidden-strange via the QCD sum rules in a consistent way. Then we explore the hadronic coupling constants in the two-body strong decays of the tetraquark states without strange and with strange via the QCD sum rules based on rigorous quark-hadron duality, and acquire the partial decay widths and total decay widths. The present calculations support assigning the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ as the $A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, while the predictions for its strange cousin $Z_{c s}$ state can be confronted to the experimental data in the future.
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## 1 Introduction

In 2013, the BESIII collaboration observed the $Z_{c}^{ \pm}(4025)$ in the $\pi^{\mp}$ recoil mass spectrum in the process $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\left(D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}\right)^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$, the measured Breit-Wigner mass and width are $M=(4026.3 \pm$ $2.6 \pm 3.7) \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma=(24.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 7.7) \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively [1]. Two years later, the BESIII collaboration observed its neutral partner $Z_{c}^{0}(4025)$ in the $\pi^{0}$ recoil mass spectrum in the process $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\left(D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}\right)^{0} \pi^{0}$, the measured Breit-Wigner mass and width are $M=\left(4025.5_{-4.7}^{+2.0} \pm 3.1\right) \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma=(23.0 \pm 6.0 \pm 1.0) \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively [2]. The masses and widths of the charged structures $Z_{c}^{ \pm}(4025)$ and neutral structure $Z_{c}^{0}(4025)$ are consistent with each other. Also in 2013, the BESIII collaboration observed the $Z_{c}^{ \pm}(4020)$ in the $\pi^{ \pm} h_{c}$ mass spectrum in the process $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} h_{c}$, the measured Breit-Wigner mass and width are $M=(4022.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.7) \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma=(7.9 \pm$ $2.7 \pm 2.6) \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively 3 . The $Z_{c}(4020)$ and $Z_{c}(4025)$ are assigned to be the same particle by the Particle Data Group, and listed in the Review of Particle Physics as $X(4020)$ [4, although the widths differ from each other considerably.

The spin and parity have not been measured yet, the S -wave $D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}$ systems have the quantum numbers $J^{P C}=0^{++}, 1^{+-}, 2^{++}$, the S-wave $\pi^{ \pm} h_{c}$ systems have the quantum numbers $J^{P C}=1^{--}$, the P-wave $\pi^{ \pm} h_{c}$ systems have the quantum numbers $J^{P C}=0^{++}, 1^{+-}, 2^{++}$, we can tentatively assign the quantum numbers $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$for the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$. According to the nearby $D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}$ threshold, one maybe expect to assign the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ as the tetraquark molecular state [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, (11, 12. In the picture of tetraquark states, the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ can be assigned as the $A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$[13, 14, 15], while the $Z_{c}(3900)$ can be assigned to be the $S \bar{A}-A \bar{S}$ type tetraquark state according to the calculations via the QCD sum rules [16], where the $S$ and $A$ represent the scalar and axialvector diquark states, respectively.

In 2020, the BESIII collaboration observed a structure $Z_{c s}^{-}(3985)$ in the $K^{+}$recoil-mass spectrum with the significance of $5.3 \sigma$ in the processes of the $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow K^{+}\left(D_{s}^{-} D^{* 0}+D_{s}^{*-} D^{0}\right)$ 17. The measured Breit-Wigner mass and width are $M=3985.2_{-2.0}^{+2.1} \pm 1.7 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma=13.8_{-5.2}^{+8.1} \pm 4.9 \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively [17]. In 2021, the LHCb collaboration observed two new exotic states $Z_{c s}^{+}(4000)$ and $Z_{c s}^{+}(4220)$ in the $J / \psi K^{+}$mass spectrum in the process $B^{+} \rightarrow J / \psi \phi K^{+}$18. The most significant state, $Z_{c s}^{+}(4000)$, has the Breit-Wigner mass and width $M=4003 \pm 6_{-14}^{+4} \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma=131 \pm 15 \pm 26 \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively, and the spin-parity $J^{P}=1^{+}$[18. Although in both the pictures of tetraquark states and molecular states, we can reproduce the mass of the $Z_{c s}(3985 / 4000)$ with the QCD sum rules [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, direct calculations of the decay widths

[^0]based on the QCD sum rules support assigning the $Z_{c s}(3985)$ and $Z_{c s}(4000)$ to be the hidden-charm tetraquark state and molecular state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, respectively, or at least, the $Z_{c s}(3985)$ maybe have large diquark-antidiquark type Fock component, while the $Z_{c s}(4000)$ maybe have large color-singlet-color-singlet type Fock component [27].

The $Z_{c}(3900 / 3885)$ and $Z_{c s}(3985 / 4000)$ are cousins and have analogous decay modes,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{c}^{ \pm}(3900) & \rightarrow J / \psi \pi^{ \pm}, \\
Z_{c s}^{+}(4000) & \rightarrow J / \psi K^{+},  \tag{1}\\
Z_{c}^{ \pm}(3885) & \rightarrow\left(D \bar{D}^{*}\right)^{ \pm}, \\
Z_{c s}^{-}(3985) & \rightarrow D_{s}^{-} D^{* 0}, D_{s}^{*-} D^{0}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we expect that the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ also have strange cousins $Z_{c s}$, and they have analogous decay modes, the $Z_{c s}$ states may be observed in the decays to the final states $D^{*} \bar{D}_{s}^{*}, D_{s}^{*} \bar{D}^{*}, h_{c} K$, etc. In this work, we tentatively assign the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ as the $A \bar{A}$-type hidden-charm tetraquark state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, and extend our previous work to study the mass and width of its strange cousin with the QCD sum rules [20, 23, 27, 28, the predictions can be confronted to the experimental data in the future, and make contribution in disentangling the pictures of tetraquark states and molecular states. As a byproduct, we obtain the mass of the hidden-strange/charm tetraquark state and the partial decay widths of the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$.

The article is arranged as follows: we derive the QCD sum rules for the masses and pole residues of the $A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark states without strange, with strange, and with hidden-strange in section 2 ; in section 3, we derive the QCD sum rules for the hadronic coupling constants in the decays of the $Z_{c}$ and $Z_{c s}$ states; section 4 is reserved for our conclusion.

## 2 QCD sum rules for the $Z_{c}, Z_{c s}, Z_{c s \bar{s}}$ tetraquark states with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$

Firstly, we write down the two-point correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p)$ in the QCD sum rules,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p)=i \int d^{4} x e^{i p \cdot x}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{\mu \nu}(x) J_{\alpha \beta}^{\dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\mu \nu}(x)=J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{d}}(x), J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s}}(x), J_{\mu \nu}^{s \bar{s}}(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{d}}(x) & =\frac{\varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon^{i m n}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{u_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\mu} c_{k}(x) \bar{d}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\nu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)-u_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\nu} c_{k}(x) \bar{d}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\mu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)\right\} \\
J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s}}(x) & =\frac{\varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon^{i m n}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{u_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\mu} c_{k}(x) \bar{s}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\nu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)-u_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\nu} c_{k}(x) \bar{s}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\mu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)\right\} \\
J_{\mu \nu}^{s \bar{s}}(x) & =\frac{\varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon^{i m n}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{s_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\mu} c_{k}(x) \bar{s}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\nu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)-s_{j}^{T}(x) C \gamma_{\nu} c_{k}(x) \bar{s}_{m}(x) \gamma_{\mu} C \bar{c}_{n}^{T}(x)\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $i, j, k, m, n$ are color indexes, the $C$ is the charge conjugation matrix [15, 28]. We choose the currents $J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{d}}(x), J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s}}(x)$ and $J_{\mu \nu}^{s \bar{s}}(x)$ to explore the hidden-charm tetraquark states without strange, with strange, and with hidden-strange, respectively.

At the hadronic side, we isolate the ground state contributions of the hidden-charm tetraquark states with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$and $1^{--}$explicitly, and acquire the results,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p)= & \frac{\lambda_{Z}^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2}-p^{2}}\left(p^{2} g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta}-p^{2} g_{\mu \beta} g_{\nu \alpha}-g_{\mu \alpha} p_{\nu} p_{\beta}-g_{\nu \beta} p_{\mu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\mu \beta} p_{\nu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\nu \alpha} p_{\mu} p_{\beta}\right) \\
& +\frac{\lambda_{Y}^{2}}{M_{Y}^{2}-p^{2}}\left(-g_{\mu \alpha} p_{\nu} p_{\beta}-g_{\nu \beta} p_{\mu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\mu \beta} p_{\nu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\nu \alpha} p_{\mu} p_{\beta}\right)+\cdots \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $Z$ and $Y$ denote the tetraquark states with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$and $1^{--}$, respectively, the pole residues $\lambda_{Z}$ and $\lambda_{Y}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 0| \eta_{\mu \nu}(0)|Z(p)\rangle & =\lambda_{Z} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \zeta^{\alpha} p^{\beta} \\
\langle 0| \eta_{\mu \nu}(0)|Y(p)\rangle & =\lambda_{Y}\left(\zeta_{\mu} p_{\nu}-\zeta_{\nu} p_{\mu}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

the $\zeta_{\mu}$ are the polarization vectors of the tetraquark states. We can rewrite the correlation functions $\Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p)$ into the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p)= & \Pi_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right)\left(p^{2} g_{\mu \alpha} g_{\nu \beta}-p^{2} g_{\mu \beta} g_{\nu \alpha}-g_{\mu \alpha} p_{\nu} p_{\beta}-g_{\nu \beta} p_{\mu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\mu \beta} p_{\nu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\nu \alpha} p_{\mu} p_{\beta}\right) \\
& +\Pi_{Y}\left(p^{2}\right)\left(-g_{\mu \alpha} p_{\nu} p_{\beta}-g_{\nu \beta} p_{\mu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\mu \beta} p_{\nu} p_{\alpha}+g_{\nu \alpha} p_{\mu} p_{\beta}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

according to Lorentz covariance.
We project out the components $\Pi_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right)$ and $\Pi_{Y}\left(p^{2}\right)$ by the tensors $P_{A, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}$ and $P_{V, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\Pi}_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right)=p^{2} \Pi_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right)=P_{A, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p), \\
& \widetilde{\Pi}_{Y}\left(p^{2}\right)=p^{2} \Pi_{Y}\left(p^{2}\right)=P_{V, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{A, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} & =\frac{1}{6}\left(g^{\mu \alpha}-\frac{p^{\mu} p^{\alpha}}{p^{2}}\right)\left(g^{\nu \beta}-\frac{p^{\nu} p^{\beta}}{p^{2}}\right) \\
P_{V, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} & =\frac{1}{6}\left(g^{\mu \alpha}-\frac{p^{\mu} p^{\alpha}}{p^{2}}\right)\left(g^{\nu \beta}-\frac{p^{\nu} p^{\beta}}{p^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} g^{\mu \alpha} g^{\nu \beta} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We accomplish the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 10 , and take account of the vacuum condensates $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle,\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G G}{\pi}\right\rangle,\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle,\langle\bar{q} q\rangle^{2},\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G G}{\pi}\right\rangle$, $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle,\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle^{2},\langle\bar{q} q\rangle^{2}\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G G}{\pi}\right\rangle$, where $q=u$, $d$ or $s$, just like in previous works [14, 15, 16, 20, 23, and project out the components,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\Pi}_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right) & =P_{A, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p), \\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{Y}\left(p^{2}\right) & =P_{V, p}^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \Pi_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}(p) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

at the QCD side. In the present work, we only interest in the component $\widetilde{\Pi}_{Z}\left(p^{2}\right)$, as we investigate the axialvector tetraquark states. We take the truncations $n \leq 10$ and $k \leq 1$ in a consistent way, the operators of the orders $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{k}\right)$ with $k>1$ are discarded. The operators in the condensates $\left\langle g_{s}^{3} G G G\right\rangle,\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G G}{\pi}\right\rangle^{2},\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s} G G}{\pi}\right\rangle\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle$ are of the orders $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{3 / 2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{3 / 2}\right)$, respectively, and play tiny roles, and can be ignored safely [12, 29].

We obtain the QCD spectral densities $\rho_{Z}(s)$ through dispersion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{Z}(s)=\frac{\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Pi}_{Z}(s)}{\pi}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

suppose the quark-hadron duality below the continuum thresholds $s_{0}$ and accomplish Borel transform in regard to the variable $P^{2}=-p^{2}$ to obtain the QCD sum rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{Z}^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)=\int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho_{Z}(s) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_{Z}=\lambda_{Z} M_{Z}$.
We differentiate Eq.(12) in regard to $\frac{1}{T^{2}}$, eliminate the re-defined pole residues $\tilde{\lambda}_{Z}$, and obtain the QCD sum rules for the masses of those axialvector hidden-charm tetraquark states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{Z}^{2}=\frac{\int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \frac{d}{d\left(-1 / T^{2}\right)} \rho_{Z}(s) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right)}{\int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho_{Z}(s) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the standard values of the vacuum condensates, $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle=-(0.24 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{GeV})^{3},\langle\bar{s} s\rangle=$ $(0.8 \pm 0.1)\langle\bar{q} q\rangle,\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle=m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle,\left\langle\bar{s} g_{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle=m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle, m_{0}^{2}=(0.8 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ at the energy scale $\mu=1 \mathrm{GeV}$ [30, 31, 32], and take the $\overline{M S}$ quark masses $m_{c}\left(m_{c}\right)=(1.275 \pm 0.025) \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{s}(\mu=$ $2 \mathrm{GeV})=(0.095 \pm 0.005) \mathrm{GeV}$ from the Particle Data Group [4]. We set $m_{q}=m_{u}=m_{d}=0$ and take account of the energy-scale dependence of the input parameters,

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\bar{q} q\rangle(\mu) & =\langle\bar{q} q\rangle(1 \mathrm{GeV})\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV})}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{12}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
\langle\bar{s} s\rangle(\mu) & =\langle\bar{s} s\rangle(1 \mathrm{GeV})\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV})}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{12}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle(\mu) & =\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle(1 \mathrm{GeV})\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV})}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{2}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
\left\langle\bar{s} g_{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle(\mu) & =\left\langle\bar{s} g_{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle(1 \mathrm{GeV})\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(1 \mathrm{GeV})}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}\right]^{\frac{2}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
m_{c}(\mu) & =m_{c}\left(m_{c}\right)\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(m_{c}\right)}\right]^{\frac{12}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
m_{s}(\mu) & =m_{s}(2 \mathrm{GeV})\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}(2 \mathrm{GeV})}\right]^{\frac{12}{33-2 n_{f}}}, \\
\alpha_{s}(\mu) & =\frac{1}{b_{0} t}\left[1-\frac{b_{1} \log t}{b_{0}^{2}} \frac{b_{1}^{2}\left(\log ^{2} t-\log t-1\right)+b_{0} b_{2}}{t}\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

from the renormalization group equation, where $t=\log \frac{\mu^{2}}{\Lambda_{Q C D}^{2}}, b_{0}=\frac{33-2 n_{f}}{12 \pi}, b_{1}=\frac{153-19 n_{f}}{24 \pi^{2}}$, $b_{2}=\frac{2857-\frac{5033}{9} n_{f}+\frac{325}{27} n_{f}^{2}}{128 \pi^{3}}, \Lambda_{Q C D}=210 \mathrm{MeV}, 292 \mathrm{MeV}$ and 332 MeV for the flavors $n_{f}=5,4$ and 3 , respectively [4, 33], we choose the flavor numbers $n_{f}=4$ as there are $u, d, s$ and $c$ quarks.

Just as in our previous works, we acquire the acceptable energy scales of the QCD spectral densities for the hidden-charm tetraquark states according to the energy scale formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sqrt{M_{X / Y / Z}^{2}-\left(2 \mathbb{M}_{c}\right)^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the effective $c$-quark mass $\mathbb{M}_{c}=1.82 \mathrm{GeV}$ [15, 34, 35, 36. Furthermore, we take account of the $S U(3)$ mass-breaking effects according to modified energy scale formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sqrt{M_{X / Y / Z}^{2}-\left(2 \mathbb{M}_{c}\right)^{2}}-k \mathbb{M}_{s} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathbb{M}_{s}$ is the effective $s$-quark mass and fitted to be $0.2 \mathrm{GeV}[23]$, the $k$ is the number of the valence $s$-quarks.

We search for the suitable Borel parameters $T^{2}$ and continuum threshold parameters $s_{0}$ to satisfy the two criteria (pole or ground state dominance and convergence of the operator product expansion) via trial and error. The Borel parameters, continuum threshold parameters, energy scales of the QCD spectral densities, pole contributions and contributions of the vacuum condensates of dimension 10 are shown in Table 1 From the Table, we can see plainly that the modified energy scale formula is satisfied very well. Then we take account of the uncertainties of the input parameters, and acquire the masses and pole residues of the hidden-charm tetraquark states without strange, with strange and with hidden-strange having the quantum numbers $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, which are also shown in Table 1. In Fig.1, we plot the masses of the $Z_{c s}$ and $Z_{c s \bar{s}}$ with variations of the Borel parameters, from the figure, we can see that there appear platforms in the Borel windows indeed, it is reliable to extract the tetraquark masses.

|  | $T^{2}\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ | $\sqrt{s_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\mu(\mathrm{GeV})$ | pole | $\|D(10)\|$ | $M_{Z}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\dot{\lambda}_{Z}\left(10^{-2} \mathrm{GeV}^{5}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z_{c}$ | $3.3-3.7$ | $4.6 \pm 0.1$ | 1.7 | $(40-59) \%$ | $\ll 1 \%$ | $4.02 \pm 0.09$ | $3.00 \pm 0.45$ |
| $Z_{c s}$ | $3.4-3.8$ | $4.7 \pm 0.1$ | 1.7 | $(41-60) \%$ | $\ll 1 \%$ | $4.11 \pm 0.08$ | $3.49 \pm 0.51$ |
| $Z_{c s \bar{s}}$ | $3.5-3.9$ | $4.8 \pm 0.1$ | 1.7 | $(42-61) \%$ | $\ll 1 \%$ | $4.20 \pm 0.09$ | $4.00 \pm 0.58$ |

Table 1: The Borel parameters, continuum threshold parameters, energy scales, pole contributions, contributions of the vacuum condensates of dimension 10, masses and pole residues for the axialvector tetraquark states.


Figure 1: The masses of the tetraquark states with variations of the Borel parameters $T^{2}$, where the (I) and (II) correspond to the $Z_{c s}$ and $Z_{c s \bar{s}}$, respectively, the regions between the two vertical lines are the Borel windows.

The present prediction $M_{Z_{c}}=(4.02 \pm 0.09) \mathrm{GeV}$ (also in Ref.[28) is consistent with the experimental values $M_{Z_{c}^{ \pm}}=(4026.3 \pm 2.6 \pm 3.7) \mathrm{MeV}, M_{Z_{c}^{ \pm}}=(4022.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.7) \mathrm{MeV}, M_{Z_{c}^{0}}=$ (4025.5 $5-4.7 \pm 3.1$ ) MeV from the BESIII collaboration [1, 2, 3, 3, which supports assigning the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ to be the $J^{P C}=1^{+-} A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark state. We cannot assign a hadron unambiguously with the mass alone, we have to calculate the partial decay widths and total width to make more robust assignment.

## 3 Decay widths of the $Z_{c}$ and $Z_{c s}$ states with the QCD sum rules

We investigate the two-body strong decays $Z_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K, J / \psi K, \eta_{c} K^{*}$ with the three-point correlation functions $\Pi_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}(p, q), \Pi_{\alpha \mu \nu}^{1}(p, q)$ and $\Pi_{\alpha \mu \nu}^{2}(p, q)$, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}(p, q) & =i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i p x} e^{i q y}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{\alpha \beta}^{h_{c}}(x) J_{5}^{K}(y) J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s} \dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle, \\
\Pi_{\alpha \mu \nu}^{1}(p, q) & =i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i p x} e^{i q y}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{\alpha}^{J / \psi}(x) J_{5}^{K}(y) J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s} \dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle, \\
\Pi_{\alpha \mu \nu}^{2}(p, q) & =i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i p x} e^{i q y}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{5}^{\eta_{c}}(x) J_{\alpha}^{K^{*}}(y) J_{\mu \nu}^{u \bar{s} \dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where the currents

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\alpha \beta}^{h_{c}}(x) & =\bar{c}(x) \sigma_{\alpha \beta} c(x), \\
J_{\mu}^{J / \psi}(x) & =\bar{c}(x) \gamma_{\mu} c(x) \\
J_{5}^{K}(y) & =\bar{u}(y) i \gamma_{5} s(y) \\
J_{5}^{\eta_{c}}(x) & =\bar{c}(x) i \gamma_{5} c(x), \\
J_{\mu}^{K^{*}}(y) & =\bar{u}(y) \gamma_{\mu} s(y), \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

interpolate the mesons $h_{c}, J / \psi, K, \eta_{c}$ and $K^{*}$, respectively, with the simple replacement $s \rightarrow d$, we obtain the corresponding ones for the $Z_{c}$ tetraquark state.

We insert a complete set of intermediate hadronic states having possible (non-vanishing) couplings with the current operators into the three-point correlation functions, and isolate the ground state contributions explicitly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}(p, q)=\lambda_{K} f_{h} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}} \xi_{\alpha^{\prime}} p_{\beta^{\prime}} \lambda_{Z} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}} \zeta_{\mu^{\prime}}^{*} p_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{-i G_{Z h K} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} p_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{K} f_{h} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}} \xi_{\alpha^{\prime}} p_{\beta^{\prime}} \lambda_{Y}\left(\zeta^{* \mu} p^{\prime \nu}-\zeta^{* \nu} p^{\prime \mu}\right) \frac{-G_{Y h K} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta}{\left(M_{Y}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{K} f_{J / \psi}^{T}\left(\xi^{\alpha} p^{\beta}-\xi^{\beta} p^{\alpha}\right) \lambda_{Z} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}} \zeta_{\mu^{\prime}}^{*} p_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{-G_{Z J / \psi K} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{K} f_{J / \psi}^{T}\left(\xi^{\alpha} p^{\beta}-\xi^{\beta} p^{\alpha}\right) \lambda_{Y}\left(\zeta^{* \mu} p^{\prime \nu}-\zeta^{* \nu} p^{\prime \mu}\right) \frac{-i G_{Y J / \psi K} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} p_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}}{\left(M_{Y}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\cdots,  \tag{19}\\
& \Pi_{1}^{\alpha \mu \nu}(p, q)=\lambda_{K} \lambda_{J / \psi} \xi^{\alpha} \lambda_{Z} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}} \zeta_{\mu^{\prime}}^{*} p_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{-G_{Z J / \psi K} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{K} \lambda_{J / \psi} \xi^{\alpha} \lambda_{Y}\left(\zeta^{* \mu} p^{\prime \nu}-\zeta^{* \nu} p^{\prime \mu}\right) \frac{-i G_{Y J / \psi K} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} p_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}}{\left(M_{Y}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\cdots,  \tag{20}\\
& \Pi_{2}^{\alpha \mu \nu}(p, q)=\lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{K^{*}} \xi^{\alpha} \lambda_{Z} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}} \zeta_{\mu^{\prime}}^{*} p_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{-G_{Z \eta K^{*}} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{\eta}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K^{*}}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{K^{*}} \xi^{\alpha} \lambda_{Y}\left(\zeta^{* \mu} p^{\prime \nu}-\zeta^{* \nu} p^{\prime \mu}\right) \frac{-i G_{Y \eta K^{*}} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} q_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}}{\left(M_{Y}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{\eta}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K^{*}}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \\
& +\cdots, \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{K}=\frac{f_{K} M_{K}^{2}}{m_{u}+m_{s}}, \lambda_{\eta}=\frac{f_{\eta_{c}} M_{\eta_{c}}^{2}}{2 m_{c}}, \lambda_{J / \psi}=f_{J / \psi} M_{J / \psi}, \lambda_{K^{*}}=f_{K^{*}} M_{K^{*}}, p^{\prime}=p+q$, the decay
constants of the mesons $h_{c}, J / \psi, K, \eta_{c}, K^{*}$ are defined by,

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 0| J_{\mu \nu}^{h_{c}}(0)\left|h_{c}(p)\right\rangle & =f_{h_{c}} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \xi^{\alpha} p^{\beta}, \\
\langle 0| J_{\mu \nu}^{h_{c}}(0)|J / \psi(p)\rangle & =f_{J / \psi}^{T}\left(\xi_{\mu} p_{\nu}-\xi_{\nu} p_{\mu}\right), \\
\langle 0| J_{\mu}^{J / \psi}(0)|J / \psi(p)\rangle & =f_{J / \psi} M_{J / \psi} \xi_{\mu}, \\
\langle 0| J_{\mu}^{K^{*}}(0)\left|K^{*}(p)\right\rangle & =f_{K^{*}} M_{K^{*}} \xi_{\mu}, \\
\langle 0| J_{5}^{K}(0)|K(p)\rangle & =\frac{f_{K} M_{K}^{2}}{m_{u}+m_{s}}, \\
\langle 0| J_{5}^{\eta_{c}}(0)\left|\eta_{c}(p)\right\rangle & =\frac{f_{\eta_{c}} M_{\eta_{c}}^{2}}{2 m_{c}}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

the $\xi$ are polarization vectors of the $h_{c}, J / \psi$ and $K^{*}$, and the hadronic coupling constants are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle h_{c}(p) K(q) \mid Z_{c s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =G_{Z h K} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} p_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}, \\
\left\langle J / \psi(p) K(q) \mid Y_{c s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =G_{Y J / \psi K} \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma \lambda \tau} p_{\rho} \xi_{\sigma}^{*} p_{\lambda}^{\prime} \zeta_{\tau}, \\
\left\langle h_{c}(p) K(q) \mid Y_{c s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =-i G_{Y h K} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta, \\
\left\langle J / \psi(p) K(q) \mid Z_{c s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =-i G_{Z J / \psi K} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta, \\
\left\langle\eta_{c}(p) K^{*}(q) \mid Z_{c s}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =-i G_{Z \eta K^{*}} \xi^{*} \cdot \zeta . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The tensor structures in Eqs.(19)-(21) are enough complex, we have to project out the relevant components with the suitable tensor operators,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{2 i}{9}\left(p^{2} q^{2}-(p \cdot q)^{2}\right) \Pi_{h_{c} K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =P_{A, p}^{\alpha \beta \eta \theta} P_{A \nu p^{\prime}}^{\mu \nu \phi \omega} \varepsilon_{\eta \theta \phi \omega} \Pi_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}(p, q), \\
-6\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+2 p \cdot q\right) \Pi_{J / \psi K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \sigma} p^{\prime \sigma} \Pi_{1}^{\alpha \mu \nu}(p, q), \\
-6\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+2 p \cdot q\right) \Pi_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \sigma} p^{\prime \sigma} \Pi_{2}^{\alpha \mu \nu}(p, q), \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{h_{c} K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z h K} \lambda_{K} f_{h} \lambda_{Z}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\cdots, \\
\Pi_{J / \psi K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z J / \psi K} \lambda_{K} \lambda_{J / \psi} \lambda_{Z}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\cdots, \\
\Pi_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z \eta K^{*} K^{*} \lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{Z}}^{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{\eta}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K^{*}}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\cdots,}{}, \cdots, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

which correspond to the two-body strong decays $Z_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K, J / \psi K, \eta_{c} K^{*}$, respectively; the other components in Eqs.(19)-(21) have no contributions or contaminations. In Eq.(19), there are four channels, $Z_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K, Y_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K, Z_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K$ and $Y_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K$, which correspond to four different tensor structures and therefore four different components, we project out the channel $Z_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K$ explicitly. In Eq.(20), there are two channels $Z_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K$ and $Y_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K$, which correspond to two different tensor structures and therefore two different components, we project out the channel $Z_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K$ explicitly. In Eq.(21), there are two channels $Z_{c s} \rightarrow \eta_{c} K^{*}$ and $Y_{c s} \rightarrow \eta_{c} K^{*}$, which correspond to two different tensor structures and therefore two different components, we project out the channel $Z_{c s} \rightarrow \eta_{c} K^{*}$ explicitly. The $\cdots$ in Eq.(25) stands for the neglected contributions from the higher resonances and continuum states. According to the analysis in Refs. [27, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, we can introduce the parameters $C_{h_{c} K}, C_{J / \psi K}$ and $C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}$
to parameterize the higher resonance and continuum states involving the $Z_{\text {cs }}$ channel,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{h_{c} K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z h K} \lambda_{K} f_{h} \lambda_{Z}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\frac{C_{h_{c} K}}{\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}, \\
\Pi_{J / \psi K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z J / \psi K} \lambda_{K} \lambda_{J / \psi} \lambda_{Z}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\frac{C_{J / \psi K}}{\left(M_{J / \psi}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}, \\
\Pi_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right) & =\frac{G_{Z \eta K^{*}} \lambda_{K^{*}} \lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{Z}}{\left(M_{Z}^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(M_{\eta}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K^{*}}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}+\frac{C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}}{\left(M_{\eta}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K^{*}}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we perform Fierz re-arrangement both in the color and Dirac-spinor spaces to obtain the result,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \sqrt{2} J_{u \bar{s}}^{\mu \nu}= & i \bar{s} u \bar{c} \sigma^{\mu \nu} c+i \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu \nu} u \bar{c} c+i \bar{s} c \bar{c} \sigma^{\mu \nu} u+i \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu \nu} c \bar{c} u-\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{s} i \gamma_{5} u \\
& -\bar{c} i \gamma_{5} c \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{5} u-\bar{c} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{5} u \bar{s} i \gamma_{5} c-\bar{s} i \gamma_{5} c \bar{c} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{5} u+i \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} c \bar{s} \gamma^{\beta} u \\
& -i \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \gamma^{\alpha} c \bar{s} \gamma^{\beta} \gamma_{5} u+i \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{5} u \bar{s} \gamma^{\beta} c-i \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \gamma^{\alpha} u \bar{s} \gamma^{\beta} \gamma_{5} c \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

the component $\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{c} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} c \bar{s} i \gamma_{5} u$ leads to the correlation function,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}(p, q)= & \frac{i^{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \tau}}{4 \sqrt{2}} \int d^{4} x d^{4} y e^{i p x} e^{i q y}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{\alpha \beta}^{h_{c}}(x) J_{5}^{K}(y) \bar{c}(0) \sigma^{\lambda \tau} c(0) \bar{u}(0) i \gamma_{5} s(0)\right\}|0\rangle \\
\rightarrow & \kappa \frac{i^{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \lambda \tau}}{4 \sqrt{2}} \int d^{4} x e^{i p x}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{\alpha \beta}^{h_{c}}(x) \bar{c}(0) \sigma^{\lambda \tau} c(0)\right\}|0\rangle \\
& \int d^{4} y e^{i q y}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{5}^{K}(y) \bar{u}(0) i \gamma_{5} s(0)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

we introduce a parameter $\kappa$ to represent the possible factorizable contributions at the hadron side, as we choose the local currents, and the conventional mesons and tetraquark states have average spatial sizes of the same order, the $J_{u \bar{s}}^{\mu \nu}(0)$ couples potentially to the tetraquark state rather than to the two-meson scattering states, therefore $\kappa \ll 1$ [42], however, such a term makes a contribution to the component $\Pi_{h_{c} K}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widetilde{C}_{h_{c} K}}{\left(M_{h}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(M_{K}^{2}-q^{2}\right)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient $\widetilde{C}_{h_{c} K}$ can be absorbed into the coefficient $C_{h_{c} K}$. We can see confidently that the parameter $C_{h_{c} K}$ is necessary, the parameters $C_{J / \psi K}$ and $C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}$ are implied in the same way.

We accomplish the operator product expansion up to the vacuum condensates of dimension 5 and neglect the tiny gluon condensate contributions [27, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, then obtain the QCD spectral densities $\rho_{Q C D}\left(p^{2}, s, u\right)$ through double dispersion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{Q C D}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\int_{\Delta_{s}^{2}}^{\infty} d s \int_{\Delta_{u}^{2}}^{\infty} d u \frac{\rho_{Q C D}\left(p^{\prime 2}, s, u\right)}{\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(u-q^{2}\right)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\Delta_{s}^{2}$ and $\Delta_{u}^{2}$ are the thresholds. At the hadron side, we obtain the hadronic spectral densities $\rho_{H}\left(s^{\prime}, s, u\right)$ through triple dispersion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{H}\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\int_{\Delta_{s}^{\prime 2}}^{\infty} d s^{\prime} \int_{\Delta_{s}^{2}}^{\infty} d s \int_{\Delta_{u}^{2}}^{\infty} d u \frac{\rho_{H}\left(s^{\prime}, s, u\right)}{\left(s^{\prime}-p^{\prime 2}\right)\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(u-q^{2}\right)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to Eq.(25), where the $\Delta_{s}^{\prime 2}$ are the thresholds. We match the hadron side with the QCD side bellow the continuum thresholds to acquire rigorous quark-hadron duality [37, 38,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta_{s}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \int_{\Delta_{u}^{2}}^{u_{0}} d u \frac{\rho_{Q C D}\left(p^{\prime 2}, s, u\right)}{\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(u-q^{2}\right)}=\int_{\Delta_{s}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \int_{\Delta_{u}^{2}}^{u_{0}} d u\left[\int_{\Delta_{s}^{\prime 2}}^{\infty} d s^{\prime} \frac{\rho_{H}\left(s^{\prime}, s, u\right)}{\left(s^{\prime}-p^{2}\right)\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(u-q^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $s_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ are the continuum thresholds, we accomplish the integral over $d s^{\prime}$ firstly, and introduce some unknown parameters, such as the $C_{h_{c} K}, C_{J / \psi K}$ and $C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}$, to parameterize the contributions involving the higher resonances and continuum states in the $s^{\prime}$ channel.

We set $p^{\prime 2}=p^{2}$ in the correlation functions $\Pi\left(p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}\right)$, and accomplish the double Borel transform in regard to the variables $P^{2}=-p^{2}$ and $Q^{2}=-q^{2}$ respectively, then set the Borel parameters $T_{1}^{2}=T_{2}^{2}=T^{2}$ to obtain three QCD sum rules,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda_{Z h K} G_{Z h K}}{M_{Z}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{M_{h}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{M_{K}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)+C_{h_{c} K} \exp \left(-\frac{M_{h}^{2}+M_{K}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{64 \sqrt{2} \pi^{4}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{h}^{0}} d s \int_{0}^{s_{K}^{0}} d u \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s+u}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s}[2\langle\bar{q} q\rangle-\langle\bar{s} s\rangle]}{48 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{h}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{96 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{h}^{0}} d s \frac{1}{\sqrt{s\left(s-4 m_{c}^{2}\right)}}\left(1-\frac{2 m_{c}^{2}}{s}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{96 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{h}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \frac{1}{s} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{64 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{4}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{h}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \text {, }  \tag{33}\\
& \frac{\lambda_{Z J / \psi K} G_{Z J / \psi K}}{M_{Z}^{2}-M_{J / \psi}^{2}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{M_{J / \psi}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{M_{K}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)+C_{J / \psi K} \exp \left(-\frac{M_{J / \psi}^{2}+M_{K}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^{4}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \int_{0}^{s_{K}^{0}} d u \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left[2 u m_{c}+m_{s}\left(s+2 m_{c}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{u}{9 s}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{s+u}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} s\rangle}{24 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(s+2 m_{c}^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s} m_{c}[\langle\bar{s} s\rangle-2\langle\bar{q} q\rangle]}{16 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle}{576 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \frac{s+8 m_{c}^{2}}{\sqrt{s\left(s-4 m_{c}^{2}\right)}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right)-\frac{\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle}{576 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s} m_{c}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{192 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \frac{1}{\sqrt{s\left(s-4 m_{c}^{2}\right)}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right)-\frac{m_{s} m_{c}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{192 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \frac{1}{s} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{m_{s} m_{c}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{16 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{J / \psi}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda_{Z \eta K^{*}} G_{Z \eta K^{*}}}{M_{Z}^{2}-M_{\eta}^{2}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{M_{\eta}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{M_{K^{*}}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)+C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}} \exp \left(-\frac{M_{\eta}^{2}+M_{K^{*}}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^{4}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \int_{0}^{s_{K^{*}}^{0}} d u \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(\frac{10 u m_{c}}{9}+m_{s} s\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s+u}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} s\rangle}{16 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} s \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s} m_{c}[\langle\bar{s} s\rangle-6\langle\bar{q} q\rangle]}{48 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle}{576 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \frac{s+2 m_{c}^{2}}{\sqrt{s\left(s-4 m_{c}^{2}\right)}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle}{576 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}}\left(1-\frac{12 s}{T^{2}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s} m_{c}\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle}{96 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \frac{1}{\sqrt{s\left(s-4 m_{c}^{2}\right)}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{s} m_{c}\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle}{288 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2} T^{2}} \int_{4 m_{c}^{2}}^{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}} d s \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{c}^{2}}{s}} \exp \left(-\frac{s}{T^{2}}\right), \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\bar{q} G q\rangle=\left\langle\bar{q} g_{s} \sigma G q\right\rangle,\langle\bar{s} G s\rangle=\left\langle\bar{s} g_{s} \sigma G s\right\rangle, \lambda_{Z h K}=\lambda_{K} f_{h} \lambda_{Z}, \lambda_{Z J / \psi K}=\lambda_{K} \lambda_{J / \psi} \lambda_{Z}, \lambda_{Z \eta K^{*}}=$ $\lambda_{K^{*}} \lambda_{\eta} \lambda_{Z}$. We neglect the dependencies of the parameters $C_{h_{c} K}, C_{J / \psi K}$ and $C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}$ on the Lorentz invariants $p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}, q^{2}$, take them as free parameters, and search for the best values to delete the contaminations from the high resonances and continuum states to acquire stable QCD sum rules. The corresponding hadronic coupling constants for the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ state can be obtained with the simple replacement $s \rightarrow d$ and are treated in the same way.

At the QCD side, we choose the flavor numbers $n_{f}=4$ and set the energy scale to be $\mu=$ 1.3 GeV , just like in previous work on the decays of the $Z_{c s}(3985 / 4000)$ 27]. At the hadron side, we take the parameters as $M_{K}=0.4937 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{\pi}=0.13957 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{K^{*}}=0.8917 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{\rho}=$ $0.77526 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{J / \psi}=3.0969 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{\eta_{c}}=2.9834 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{h_{c}}=3.525 \mathrm{GeV}$ [4], $f_{K}=0.156 \mathrm{GeV}$, $f_{\pi}=0.130 \mathrm{GeV}$ [4], $f_{K^{*}}=0.220 \mathrm{GeV}, f_{\rho}=0.215 \mathrm{GeV}, \sqrt{s_{K}^{0}}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}, \sqrt{s_{\pi}^{0}}=0.85 \mathrm{GeV}$, $\sqrt{s_{K^{*}}^{0}}=1.3 \mathrm{GeV}, \sqrt{s_{\rho}^{0}}=1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$ [43], $f_{h_{c}}=0.235 \mathrm{GeV}, f_{J / \psi}=0.418 \mathrm{GeV}, f_{\eta_{c}}=0.387 \mathrm{GeV}$ [44], $\sqrt{s_{h_{c}}^{0}}=4.05 \mathrm{GeV}, \sqrt{s_{J / \psi}^{0}}=3.6 \mathrm{GeV}, \sqrt{s_{\eta_{c}}^{0}}=3.5 \mathrm{GeV}[4], \frac{f_{K} M_{K}^{2}}{m_{u}+m_{s}}=-\frac{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle+\langle\bar{s} s\rangle}{f_{K}\left(1-\delta_{K}\right)}, \frac{f_{\pi} M_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{u}+m_{d}}=-\frac{2\langle\bar{q} q\rangle}{f_{\pi}}$ from the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation, $\delta_{K}=0.50$ 45].

In calculations, we fit the unknown parameters to be $C_{h_{c} K}=0.000064+0.000014 \times T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{4}$, $C_{h_{c} \pi}=0.00006+0.000010 \times T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{4}, C_{J / \psi K}=0.00335+0.000096 \times T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{7}, C_{J / \psi \pi}=0.00305+$ $0.000096 \times T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{7}, C_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}=0.00368+0.00012 \times T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{7}$ and $C_{\eta_{c} \rho}=0.00302+0.00012 \times$ $T^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{7}$ to acquire the flat Borel platforms having the interval $T_{\max }^{2}-T_{\min }^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, where the max and min represent the maximum and minimum values, respectively. The Borel windows are $T_{h_{c} K}^{2}=(4.0-5.0) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, T_{h_{c} \pi}^{2}=(4.0-5.0) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, T_{J / \psi K}^{2}=(4.3-5.3) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, T_{J / \psi \pi}^{2}=$ $(4.1-5.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, T_{\eta_{c} K^{*}}^{2}=(3.9-4.9) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $T_{\eta_{c} \rho}^{2}=(3.9-4.9) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, where we add the subscripts $h_{c} K, h_{c} \pi \cdots$ to denote the corresponding decay channels. In the Borel windows, we require that the uncertainties $\delta G$ originate from the Borel parameters $T^{2}$ are less than or about $0.01(\mathrm{GeV})$, such a strict and powerful constraint plays a decisive role and works well, just like in our previous works [27, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41. In Fig[2, we plot the hadronic coupling constants $G_{Z_{c s} h_{c} K}$, $G_{Z_{c s} J / \psi K}, G_{Z_{c s} \eta_{c} K^{*}}, G_{Z_{c} h_{c} \pi}, G_{Z_{c} J / \psi \pi}$ and $G_{Z_{c} \eta_{c} \rho}$ with variations of the Borel parameters, where we can see explicitly that there appear very flat platforms indeed, it is reliable to extract the
hadronic coupling constants.
If we take the symbol $\xi$ to represent the input parameters at the QCD side, then for example, the uncertainties $\bar{\xi} \rightarrow \bar{\xi}+\delta \xi$ result in the uncertainties $\bar{f}_{J / \psi} \bar{f}_{K} \bar{\lambda}_{Z} \bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K} \rightarrow \bar{f}_{J / \psi} \bar{f}_{K} \bar{\lambda}_{Z} \bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K}+$ $\delta f_{J / \psi} f_{K} \lambda_{Z} G_{Z J / \psi K}, \bar{C}_{J / \psi K} \rightarrow \bar{C}_{J / \psi K}+\delta C_{J / \psi K}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta f_{J / \psi} f_{K} \lambda_{Z} G_{Z J / \psi K}=\bar{f}_{J / \psi} \bar{f}_{K} \bar{\lambda}_{Z} \bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K}\left(\frac{\delta f_{J / \psi}}{\bar{f}_{J / \psi}}+\frac{\delta f_{K}}{\bar{f}_{K}}+\frac{\delta \lambda_{Z}}{\bar{\lambda}_{Z}}+\frac{\delta G_{Z J / \psi K}}{\bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

we add the index ${ }^{-}$on all the variables to denote the central values. In the case of the uncertainty $\delta C_{J / \psi K}$ is small enough to be ignored, error analysis is easy to preform by setting $\frac{\delta f_{J / \psi}}{f_{J / \psi}}=\frac{\delta f_{K}}{f_{K}}=$ $\frac{\delta \lambda_{Z}}{\lambda_{Z}}=\frac{\delta G_{Z J / \psi K}}{G_{Z J / \psi K}}$ approximately, on the other hand, if the uncertainty $\delta C_{J / \psi K}$ is considerable, we have to take it into account for every uncertainty $\delta \xi$. We have to adjust the $\delta C_{J / \psi K}$ with fine tuning with the help of trial and error according to the variation $\delta \xi$ to acquire enough flat platforms in the same region, just like in the case of the central values $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{C}_{J / \psi K}$. It is a difficult work to perform error analysis. We usually set $\frac{\delta f_{J / \psi}}{f_{J / \psi}}=\frac{\delta f_{K}}{f_{K}}=\frac{\delta \lambda_{Z}}{\lambda_{Z}}=0$ to estimate the uncertainty $\delta G_{Z J / \psi K}$, in fact, no one has ever proved that such an approximation is proper.

Now let us obtain the hadronic coupling constants routinely according to above error analysis,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{Z_{c s} h_{c} K} & =1.68 \pm 0.10 \\
G_{Z_{c s} J / \psi K} & =2.08 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{GeV} \\
G_{Z_{c s} \eta_{c} K^{*}} & =2.84 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{GeV} \\
G_{Z_{c} h_{c} \pi} & =1.69 \pm 0.09 \\
G_{Z_{c} J / \psi \pi} & =2.08 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{GeV} \\
G_{Z_{c} \eta_{c} \rho} & =2.80 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{GeV} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta f_{J / \psi} f_{K} \lambda_{Z} G_{Z J / \psi K}=\bar{f}_{J / \psi} \bar{f}_{K} \bar{\lambda}_{Z} \bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K} \frac{4 \delta G_{Z J / \psi K}}{\bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

et al. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta f_{J / \psi} f_{K} \lambda_{Z} G_{Z J / \psi K}=\bar{f}_{J / \psi} \bar{f}_{K} \bar{\lambda}_{Z} \bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K} \frac{\delta G_{Z J / \psi K}}{\bar{G}_{Z J / \psi K}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the uncertainty $\delta G_{Z J / \psi K}$ will be four times as large as that given in Eq.(37). Other uncertainties can be understood in the same way. According to Eq. (37), the $S U(3)$ breaking effects in the hadronic coupling constants are rather small.

Then it is easy to obtain the partial decay widths by taking the relevant masses from the Particle Data Group [4],

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(Z_{c s} \rightarrow h_{c} K\right) & =1.83 \pm 0.22 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma\left(Z_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi K\right) & =8.05 \pm 0.62 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma\left(Z_{c s} \rightarrow \eta_{c} K^{*}\right) & =12.83 \pm 0.81 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma\left(Z_{c} \rightarrow h_{c} \pi\right) & =6.86 \pm 0.73 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma\left(Z_{c} \rightarrow J / \psi \pi\right) & =8.82 \pm 0.68 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma\left(Z_{c} \rightarrow \eta_{c} \rho\right) & =13.89 \pm 0.89 \mathrm{MeV} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

and the total widths,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{Z_{c s}} & =22.71 \pm 1.65(\text { or } \pm 6.60) \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma_{Z_{c}} & =29.57 \pm 2.30(\text { or } \pm 9.20) \mathrm{MeV} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: The hadronic coupling constants with variations of the Borel parameters $T^{2}$, where the $A, B, C, D, E$ and $F$ correspond to the $G_{Z_{c s} h_{c} K}, G_{Z_{c s} J / \psi K}, G_{Z_{c s} \eta_{c} K^{*}}, G_{Z_{c} h_{c} \pi}, G_{Z_{c} J / \psi \pi}$ and $G_{Z_{c} \eta_{c} \rho}$, respectively.
the values in the brackets are obtained from Eq.(39). The prediction $\Gamma_{Z_{c}}=29.57 \pm 2.30$ (or $\pm$ $9.20) \mathrm{MeV}$ is compatible with the upper bound of the experimental data $\Gamma=(24.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 7.7) \mathrm{MeV}$ [1], $(23.0 \pm 6.0 \pm 1.0) \mathrm{MeV}[2],(7.9 \pm 2.7 \pm 2.6) \mathrm{MeV}$ [3] from the BESIII collaboration, and also supports assigning the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ to be the $A \bar{A}$-type hidden-charm tetraquark states with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$. In the present work, we have neglected the decays $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025) \rightarrow D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}$ and $Z_{c s} \rightarrow D^{*} \bar{D}_{s}^{*}, D_{s}^{*} \bar{D}^{*}$, as the $Z_{c}$ and $Z_{c s}$ states lie near the corresponding two-meson thresholds, the available phase-spaces are very small, and even lead to the possible assignments of molecular states [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12. The most favorable channels are $Z_{c s} \rightarrow \eta_{c} K^{*}$ and $Z_{c} \rightarrow \eta_{c} \rho$, at the present time, even for the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$, the decay $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025) \rightarrow \eta_{c} \rho$ has not been observed yet, the observation of this channel can lead to more robust assignment and shed light on the nature of the $Z_{c}$ states. We can search for the $Z_{c s}$ state in the invariant mass spectrum of the $h_{c} K, J / \psi K, \eta_{c} K^{*}, D^{*} \bar{D}_{s}^{*}, D_{s}^{*} \bar{D}^{*}$ in the future.

In the picture of diquark-antidiquark type tetraquark states, the $Z_{c}(3900)$ and $Z_{c s}(3985)$ can be assigned tentatively as the $S \bar{A}-A \bar{S}$ type hidden-charm tetraquark states, the hadronic coupling constants have the relations $\left|G_{Z D^{*} \bar{D} / Z D \bar{D}^{*}}\right| \ll\left|G_{Z J / \psi \pi / Z \eta_{c} \rho}\right|$ and $\left|G_{Z D^{*} \bar{D}_{s} / Z D \bar{D}_{s}^{*}}\right| \ll$ $\left|G_{Z J / \psi K / Z \eta_{c} K^{*}}\right|$, furthermore, the allowed phase-spaces in the decays to the open-charm meson pairs are much smaller than that to the meson pairs involving charmonium, the contributions of the decays to the open-charm meson pairs to the total decay widths can be ignored [27, 37]. We expect that the conclusion survives in the present work for the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ and $Z_{c s}(4110)$ states, and make a crude estimation of the partial decay widths $\Gamma\left(Z_{c} \rightarrow D^{*} \bar{D} / D \bar{D}^{*}\right)<1 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Gamma\left(Z_{c s} \rightarrow D^{*} \bar{D}_{s} / D \bar{D}_{s}^{*}\right)<1 \mathrm{MeV}$ based on the relations between the hadronic coupling constants obtained in Refs. 27, 37, the contributions to the total widths from the decays to the final states $D^{*} \bar{D} / D \bar{D}^{*}$ and $D^{*} \bar{D}_{s} / D \bar{D}_{s}^{*}$ are also ignored.

## 4 Conclusion

In this article, we tentatively assign the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ as the $A \bar{A}$-type hidden-charm tetraquark state with the $J^{P C}=1^{+-}$, construct the $A \bar{A}$-type tensor currents to investigate the tetraquark states without strange, with strange and with hidden-strange together via the QCD sum rules. We take account of the contributions of the vacuum condensates up to dimension-10 in the operator product expansion, then we resort to the modified energy scale formula $\mu=\sqrt{M_{X / Y / Z}^{2}-\left(2 \mathbb{M}_{c}\right)^{2}}-$
$k \mathcal{M}_{s}$ to account for the $S U(3)$ mass-breaking effects to choose the suitable energy scales of the QCD spectral densities, and obtain the tetraquark masses in a self-consistent way. We introduce three-point correlation functions to investigate the hadronic coupling constants in the two-body strong decays of the tetraquark states without strange and with strange together via the QCD sum rules based on rigorous quark-hadron duality, it is the unique feature of our works. The numerical results indicate that the $S U(3)$ breaking effects in the hadronic coupling constants are rather small. Then we obtain the partial decay widths and total widths for the $Z_{c}$ and $Z_{c s}$ states, the total width $\Gamma_{Z_{c}}$ is compatible with that of the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ and also supports assigning the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ to be the $J^{P C}=1^{+-} A \bar{A}$-type tetraquark state, more experimental data are still needed to reach more robust assignment, as the $Z_{c}(4020 / 4025)$ has not been observed in the $J / \psi \pi$ and $\eta_{c} \rho$ channels yet. We can search for the strange cousin $Z_{c s}$ in the $D^{*} \bar{D}_{s}^{*}, D_{s}^{*} \bar{D}^{*}, h_{c} K, J / \psi K$ and $\eta_{c} K^{*}$ invariant mass spectrum in the future, its observation would shed light on the nature of the $Z_{c}$ states.
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