

Bounding the Photon Mass with the Dedispersed Pulses of the Crab Pulsar and FRB 180916B

Chen-Ming Chang,^{a,b} Jun-Jie Wei,^{a,b,1} Song-bo Zhang^{a,1} and Xue-Feng Wu^{a,b,1}

^aPurple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Nanjing 210023, China

^bSchool of Astronomy and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei 230026, China

E-mail: jjwei@pmo.ac.cn, sbzhong@pmo.ac.cn, xfwu@pmo.ac.cn

Abstract. Tight limits on the photon mass have been set through analyzing the arrival time differences of photons with different frequencies originating from the same astrophysical source. However, all these constraints have relied on using the first-order Taylor expansion of the dispersion due to a nonzero photon mass. In this work, we present an analysis of the nonzero photon mass dispersion with the second-order derivative of Taylor series. If the arrival time delay corrected for all known effects (including the first-order delay time due to the plasma and photon mass effects) is assumed to be dominated by the second-order term of the nonzero photon mass dispersion, a conservative upper limit on the photon mass can be estimated. Here we show that the dedispersed pulses with the second-order time delays from the Crab pulsar and the fast radio burst FRB 180916B pose strict limits on the photon mass, i.e., $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 5.7 \times 10^{-46} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}/c^2$ and $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 6.0 \times 10^{-47} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}/c^2$, respectively. This is the first time to study the possible second-order photon mass effect.

Keywords: radio pulsars, intergalactic media

ArXiv ePrint: [2207.00950](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.00950)

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	Theoretical Framework	3
2.1	Dispersion from a Nonzero Photon Mass	3
2.2	Dispersion from the Plasma Effect	3
2.3	The Residual/dedispersed Time Delay	4
3	Second-order Photon mass limits from the dedispersed pulses	5
3.1	The Crab Pulsar	5
3.2	FRB 180916B	6
4	CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS	6

1 INTRODUCTION

A basic postulate of Maxwell’s electromagnetism as well as Einstein’s theory of special relativity is the constant speed c , in vacuum, of all electromagnetic radiation, which implies that the photon should be massless. Determining the rest mass of the photon has therefore been one of the most enduring efforts on testing the validity of this postulate. However, it is unfeasible to prove experimentally that the photon rest mass is exactly zero. According to the uncertainty principle, the ultimate upper limit on the photon rest mass would be $m_\gamma \leq \hbar/\Delta t c^2 \approx 10^{-69}$ kg, using the age of the universe of about 10^{10} years [1, 2]. The optimal experimental strategy is therefore to set ever tighter upper bounds on m_γ and push the results more closely towards the ultimate bounds of measurement uncertainty.

From a theoretical perspective, a nonzero photon rest mass can be accommodated in a unique way by changing the inhomogeneous Maxwell’s equations to the Proca equations. Using them, it is possible to consider some far-reaching implications associated with massive photons, such as variations of the speed of light with frequency, deviations in the behavior of static electromagnetic fields, the existence of longitudinal electromagnetic waves, and so on. All of these effects have been employed to set upper limits on the photon rest mass via various terrestrial and extra-terrestrial approaches [1–7]. Over the past several decades, the common approaches for determining the photon mass include measurement of the frequency dependence in the speed of light [8–21], null tests of Coulomb’s inverse square law [22], tests of Ampère’s law [23], torsion balance [24, 25], Jupiter magnetic field [26], magnetohydrodynamic phenomena of the solar wind [27–29], cosmic magnetic fields [30–32], gravitational deflection of massive photons [33, 34], supermassive black hole spin [35], pulsar spindown [36], and so on. Among these approaches, the most direct one is to measure a possible frequency dependence in the velocity of light.

According to Einstein’s special relativity, the energy of the photon with a nonzero rest mass m_γ can be written as

$$E = h\nu = \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m_\gamma^2 c^4} . \quad (1.1)$$

The relation between the massive photon group velocity v and the frequency ν then takes the form:

$$v = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p} = c\sqrt{1 - \frac{m_\gamma^2 c^4}{E^2}} = c\sqrt{1 - A\nu^{-2}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $A = m_\gamma^2 c^4 / h^2$. It is evidently clear from Equation (1.2) that the lower frequency, the slower the massive photon propagates in vacuum. The photon mass can therefore be constrained by comparing the arrival-time differences of photons with different frequencies originating from the same source. Moreover, it is easy to understand that measurements of shorter arrival-time differences between lower energy bands from sources at longer propagation distances are particularly sensitive to the photon mass. Thanks to their fine time structures, radio emissions, and cosmological distances, fast radio bursts (FRBs) provide the current best celestial laboratory for constraining the photon mass [11–13, 15, 18–21]. The first attempts to place upper limits on the photon mass using FRBs were presented in refs. [11, 12]. Adopting the controversial redshift $z = 0.492$ for FRB 150418, ref. [11] set a strict upper limit of $m_\gamma \leq 5.2 \times 10^{-50}$ kg (see also ref. [12]). Subsequently, ref. [13] used the reliable redshift measurement of FRB 121102 to derive a robust limit of $m_\gamma \leq 3.9 \times 10^{-50}$ kg. Ref. [15] developed a Bayesian framework to constrain the photon mass with a catalog of 21 FRBs, yielding $m_\gamma \leq 8.7 \times 10^{-51}$ kg at 68% confidence level. By analyzing the time-frequency structure of subbursts in FRB 121102, ref. [20] obtained a tighter limit on the photon mass of $m_\gamma \leq 5.1 \times 10^{-51}$ kg. Since the plasma and photon mass contributions to the dispersion measure (DM) have different redshift dependences, ref. [18] proved that they can be distinguished by measurements of nine FRB redshifts, enabling the sensitivity to m_γ to be improved (i.e., $m_\gamma \leq 7.1 \times 10^{-51}$ kg at 68% confidence level). Ref. [21] used a catalog of 129 FRBs in a Bayesian framework to derive a combined limit of $m_\gamma \leq 3.1 \times 10^{-51}$ kg at 68% confidence level.

Although photon mass limits obtained through the dispersion method have reached high precision, all current investigations considered the first-order Taylor expansion of the dispersion relation only (i.e., $\Delta t_{m_\gamma,1} \propto m_\gamma^2 / \nu^2$). If we keep the second-order derivative of Taylor series, the frequency-dependent dispersion due to a nonzero photon mass would be $\Delta t_{m_\gamma} = \Delta t_{m_\gamma,1} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma,2} \propto a_1 m_\gamma^2 / \nu^2 + a_2 m_\gamma^4 / \nu^4$. From observations, all radio emission signatures show an indisputable ν^{-2} -dependent time delay, $\Delta t_{\text{obs},1} \propto \text{DM} \cdot \nu^{-2}$, which is in good agreement with the first-order Taylor expansion of the dispersions expected from both the plasma ($\Delta t_{\text{DM},1}$) and nonzero photon mass ($\Delta t_{m_\gamma,1}$, if it exists) effects. That is, $\Delta t_{\text{obs},1} = \Delta t_{\text{DM},1} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma,1}$. Assuming that the dedispersed time delay ($\Delta t_{\text{obs}} - \Delta t_{\text{obs},1}$) is attributed solely to the second-order term arising from the nonzero photon mass effect ($\Delta t_{m_\gamma,2}$), we can therefore obtain a new upper limit on the photon mass $m_{\gamma,2}$.

With the dedispersed time delays from radio observations in hand, it is interesting to investigate what level of $m_{\gamma,2}$ limits can be obtained by taking into account the second-order Taylor expansion of the dispersion due to a nonzero photon mass. In this work, we make use of the dedispersed pulses from the Crab pulsar [37] and FRB 180916B [38], for the first time, to study the possible second-order photon mass effect. Throughout this paper a flat Λ CDM cosmological model with $H_0 = 67.36 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.315$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.685$ is adopted [39].

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Dispersion from a Nonzero Photon Mass

With Equation (1.2), it is straightforward to show that two massive photons emitted simultaneously from a same source would reach us at different times if they have different frequencies. For a cosmic source at redshift z , the rest-frame time delay ($\Delta t_{m_\gamma, z}$) between two massive photons with different rest-frame frequencies ($\nu_{l,z} < \nu_{h,z}$) can be obtained by using second-order approximation of Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{m_\gamma, z} &= \int \frac{dl}{c} \left[\left(1 - A\nu_{l,z}^{-2}\right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 - A\nu_{h,z}^{-2}\right)^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\simeq \int \frac{dl}{c} \left[\frac{1}{2}A \left(\nu_{l,z}^{-2} - \nu_{h,z}^{-2}\right) + \frac{3}{8}A^2 \left(\nu_{l,z}^{-4} - \nu_{h,z}^{-4}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

In the observer frame, the observed time delay is $\Delta t_{m_\gamma} = \Delta t_{m_\gamma, z} \times (1 + z)$ and the observed frequency is $\nu = \nu_z / (1 + z)$. Noticing

$$dl = \frac{1}{1+z} \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \quad (2.2)$$

for a flat Λ CDM cosmological model, so Equation (2.1) can be modified as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{m_\gamma} &= \frac{A}{2H_0} (\nu_l^{-2} - \nu_h^{-2}) H_1(z) + \frac{3A^2}{8H_0} (\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4}) H_2(z) \\ &= \Delta t_{m_\gamma, 1} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma, 2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$H_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^{-2} dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$H_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^{-4} dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}}. \quad (2.5)$$

For a nearby source at distance d , we do not need to take into account the cosmological expansion. The observed time delay induced by the nonzero photon mass effect can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{m_\gamma} &= \frac{d}{v_l} - \frac{d}{v_h} \\ &\approx \frac{dA}{2c} (\nu_l^{-2} - \nu_h^{-2}) + \frac{3dA^2}{8c} (\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4}) \\ &= \Delta t_{m_\gamma, 1} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma, 2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Delta t_{m_\gamma, 1} = \frac{dA}{2c} (\nu_l^{-2} - \nu_h^{-2})$ and $\Delta t_{m_\gamma, 2} = \frac{3dA^2}{8c} (\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4})$ correspond to the first- and second-order terms, respectively.

2.2 Dispersion from the Plasma Effect

Due to the dispersive nature of plasma, the group velocity of electromagnetic waves propagating through the ionized median would have a frequency dependence, i.e.,

$$v_p = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{\nu_p^2}{\nu^2}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the plasma frequency $\nu_p = (n_e e^2 / 4\pi^2 m_e \epsilon_0)^{1/2}$ with n_e the electron number density in the plasma, m_e and e the mass and charge of an electron, respectively, and ϵ_0 the permittivity. The arrival of a radio signal with frequency ν travelling across the plasma along the line of sight would be delayed by [40]

$$\tau = \int \frac{dl}{c} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu_p^2}{\nu^2} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right] \quad (2.8)$$

with respect to the arrival time had the signal travelled through vacuum. The delay time between two wave packets with different frequencies, which caused by the plasma effect, can then be obtained by using second-order approximation of Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{\text{DM}} &\simeq \int \frac{dl}{c} \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu_p^2 (\nu_l^{-2} - \nu_h^{-2}) + \frac{3}{8} \nu_p^4 (\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4}) \right] \\ &= \Delta t_{\text{DM},1} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\Delta t_{\text{DM},1}$ and $\Delta t_{\text{DM},2}$ correspond to the first- and second-order terms, respectively. The first-order term $\Delta t_{\text{DM},1}$ can be further reduced to

$$\Delta t_{\text{DM},1} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2 m_e \epsilon_0 c} (\nu_l^{-2} - \nu_h^{-2}) \text{DM}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\text{DM} = \int n_e dl$ is the dispersion measure, which is given in the absence of a photon mass by the integrated electron column density along the propagation path. In a cosmological setting, $\text{DM} = \int n_{e,z} (1+z)^{-1} dl$, where $n_{e,z}$ is the electron number density in the rest-frame and z is the redshift [41].

2.3 The Residual/dedispersed Time Delay

In our analysis, we suppose that the total time delay of two photons with different frequencies is attributed to the following components:

$$\Delta t_{\text{obs}} = \Delta t_{\text{int}} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},1} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},2} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma,1} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma,2}, \quad (2.11)$$

where Δt_{int} is the intrinsic time delay that depends on the geometry and radiation processes of the source, and both Δt_{DM} and Δt_{m_γ} include the first- and second-order terms. In practice, the de-dispersion could remove all the time delay of ν^{-2} behavior, i.e., the first-order terms in Equation (2.11). Thus, after dedispersed, the residual delay time is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{\text{res}} &= \Delta t_{\text{obs}} - \Delta t_{\text{DM},1} - \Delta t_{m_\gamma,1} \\ &= \Delta t_{\text{int}} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},2} + \Delta t_{m_\gamma,2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

If we assume that $\Delta t_{\text{int}} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},2} \geq 0$, then $\Delta t_{m_\gamma,2} = \Delta t_{\text{res}} - (\Delta t_{\text{int}} + \Delta t_{\text{DM},2}) \leq \Delta t_{\text{res}}$. Thus, Δt_{res} is the upper limit of $\Delta t_{m_\gamma,2}$, providing an upper limit on the photon mass.

For a nearby source at distance d , the photon mass can then be constrained by the second-order effect as

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq hc^{-2} \left[\frac{8c\Delta t_{\text{res}}}{3d(\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4})} \right]^{1/4}, \quad (2.13)$$

which can be simplified as

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq (0.93 \times 10^{-46} \text{ kg}) \left\{ \frac{\frac{\Delta t_{\text{res}}}{\text{ns}}}{\left[\left(\frac{\nu_l}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4} - \left(\frac{\nu_h}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4} \right] \frac{d}{\text{kpc}}} \right\}^{1/4}. \quad (2.14)$$

For a cosmic source at redshift z , the photon mass derived from the second-order effect is given by

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq hc^{-2} \left[\frac{8H_0 \Delta t_{\text{res}}}{3(\nu_l^{-4} - \nu_h^{-4}) H_2(z)} \right]^{1/4}, \quad (2.15)$$

which can be further reduced to

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq (2.02 \times 10^{-48} \text{ kg}) \left\{ \frac{\frac{\Delta t_{\text{res}}}{\text{ns}}}{\left[\left(\frac{\nu_l}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4} - \left(\frac{\nu_h}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4} \right] H_2(z)} \right\}^{1/4}. \quad (2.16)$$

3 Second-order Photon mass limits from the dedispersed pulses

Here we show that the nanosecond-long giant pulse observed from the Crab pulsar and the burst from FRB 180916B detected at very low frequencies (below 150 MHz) can provide strict limits on the second-order photon mass $m_{\gamma,2}$. Even though the Crab pulsar giant pulse is in our Milky Way galaxy, the effect of the ultra short duration (e.g., $\Delta t_{\text{res}} \leq 0.4$ ns) overcompensates the deficit in distance, and results in a stricter limit on $m_{\gamma,2}$ than extragalactic ms-duration FRBs observed at the similar frequency range (\sim GHz). Furthermore, even though the burst durations (~ 40 – 160 ms observed at 150 MHz) of FRB 180916B are relatively large, the effect of the very-low-frequency emission overcompensates the deficit in duration, and leads to a much more stringent limit on $m_{\gamma,2}$ than the Crab pulsar giant pulse.

3.1 The Crab Pulsar

Giant pulses are one of the most conspicuous phenomena of radio pulsars, with extremely high fluxes (exceeding MJy) and very short durations. Their typical durations are a few microseconds, but occasional pulses shorter than one nanosecond (the so-called “nanoshots”) have also been detected [37]. To date, they have been observed from the Crab pulsar [37, 42–44] and some other pulsars [45–48]. Ref. [37] reported a giant pulse from the Crab pulsar that showed an extremely intense nanoshot with a flux exceeding 2 MJy and an unresolved dedispersed duration, $\Delta t_{\text{res}} \leq 0.4$ ns. This pulse was recorded at 9.25 GHz center frequency over a 2.2 GHz bandwidth. The distance of the Crab pulsar is $d = 2$ kpc. With the above information of the 0.4-nanosecond giant pulse of the Crab pulsar, a severe limit on the photon mass from Equation (2.14) is

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq 5.7 \times 10^{-46} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}/c^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Here we use the dedispersed duration as the upper limit of $\Delta t_{m_{\gamma,2}}$ caused by the ν^{-4} term, which could be slightly larger considering the uncertainty of the DM fitting. Using the DM errorbar of $10^{-5} \text{ pc cm}^{-3}$ suggested in Ref. [37], which equals to a time delay of 0.24 ns, a conservative dedispersed duration should be 0.64 ns. With this conservative dedispersed duration, the limit on the photon mass turns to be $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 6.3 \times 10^{-46} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}/c^2$. It is obvious that the DM errorbar has little effect on the photon mass limits.

3.2 FRB 180916B

FRB 180916B is a well-studied repeating FRB source, located at a redshift of $z = 0.0337$ [49]. Ref. [38] reported on the lowest-frequency detection to date of 18 bursts from FRB 180916B, observed at 110–188 MHz with LOFAR. One of these bursts detected between 124.8 MHz and 185.7 MHz has a dedispersed duration of $\Delta t_{\text{res}} < 84$ ms. Note that the radiation band of this burst (124.8–185.7 MHz) is fully covered by the observing band of LOFAR (110–188 MHz). It is therefore reasonable to assume that the largest time delay between different frequencies from FRB 180916B should be the dedispersed duration. From Equation (2.16), we can tighten the constraint on the photon mass to

$$m_{\gamma,2} \leq 6.0 \times 10^{-47} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}/c^2 \quad (3.2)$$

for the dedispersed burst of FRB 180916B. However, as the pulse spectral widths of pulsars are wide, it is nearly impossible for the Crab pulsar to find such a signal whose radiation band is fully covered by the observing bandwidth. Thus, there is a caveat that the dedispersed duration of the Crab pulsar giant pulse may not be used as the upper limit of the time delay. Nevertheless, we can use the result of the Crab pulsar as a contrast with that obtained by FRB 180916B. The result obtained by the 0.4-nanosecond giant pulse of the Crab pulsar (8.15–10.35 GHz) is one order of magnitude worse than that of the tens of milliseconds burst of FRB 180916B (124.8–185.7 MHz). This suggests that the observed frequency plays a more important role than the duration in constraining the photon mass, a lower frequency emission leading to better constraints on $m_{\gamma,2}$.

Same as section 3.1, considering the DM errorbar of 0.006 pc cm^{-3} offered in Ref. [38], which equals to a time delay of 1.1 ms and makes the dedispersed duration to be a conservative value of 85.1 ms, the limit on the photon mass should be $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 6.0 \times 10^{-47} \text{ kg} \simeq 3.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}/c^2$. Again, the DM errorbar does not affect on our resulting constraints on $m_{\gamma,2}$.

4 CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

The frequency-dependent time delays of radio waves from astrophysical sources have been widely used to constrain the rest mass of the photon. In this work, we make use of the dedispersed time delays between different energies from the Crab pulsar and FRB 180916B, for the first time, to test the zero-mass hypothesis for the photon. This is a step forward in the investigation of the photon mass, since all current studies considered the first-order Taylor expansion of the dispersion arising from a nonzero photon mass only and the second-order effect $\Delta t_{m_{\gamma,2}}$ has not yet been explored.

Assuming that the dedispersed time delay is mainly caused by the second-order term of the nonzero photon mass dispersion, we place robust upper limits on the photon mass: $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 5.7 \times 10^{-46} \text{ kg}$ (or equivalently $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 3.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}/c^2$) for the 0.4-nanosecond giant pulse of the Crab pulsar and $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 6.0 \times 10^{-47} \text{ kg}$ (or equivalently $m_{\gamma,2} \leq 3.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}/c^2$) for the burst of the order of tens of milliseconds from FRB 180916B. It is notable that the ms-duration pulse of FRB 180916B detected at 116.9–188 MHz provides a much more stringent limit on $m_{\gamma,2}$, improving by at least one order of magnitude from the result based on the 0.4-nanosecond giant pulse of the Crab Pulsar observed at 8.15–10.35 GHz.

In this work, we use the hypothesis that the de-dispersion could remove the time delay of ν^{-2} behaviour as a standard ν^{-2} form is assumed during the DM fitting of pulsar and FRB data. However, as the ν^{-4} term is much smaller than the first order term, they may be

largely absorbed in the fitting. To analyse this effect, we consider a set of data consists of n points (ν_n, t_n) with the relation between time and frequency:

$$\frac{t}{\text{ms}} = A_r \left(\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-2} + B_r \left(\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the coefficients of the ν^{-2} and ν^{-4} terms both contain the effects of nonzero photon mass and plasma dispersion and their true values can hardly be achieved. However, if the effect of nonzero photon mass is neglected, the relation between A_r and B_r can be estimated through Equation (2.9):

$$\frac{B_r}{A_r} \simeq \nu_p^2 \sim 10^{-19}. \quad (4.2)$$

When the standard ν^{-2} form

$$\frac{t}{\text{ms}} = A_f \left(\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-2} \quad (4.3)$$

is used to fit this set of data, the result will be

$$A_f = \left[1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\nu_i}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-6}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\nu_i}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-4}} \times 10^{-19} \right] A_r \quad (4.4)$$

through the least square curve fitting. It is obvious that the fitting result A_f is larger than the real value A_r because of the existence of the ν^{-4} term and it is necessary to evaluate this effect. Here we firstly chose the parameters of FRB 180916B to carry out our simulation: $A_r = 1447.40$, $B_r = 1447.40 \times 10^{-19}$ as suggested in Equation (4.2), 124.8 MHz as the lowest frequency, 185.7 MHz as the highest frequency, 0.781 MHz as the frequency resolution, 3.93 ms as the time resolution, and generated 77 data points ($n = 77$) following Equation (4.1). These data points were then fitted using Equation (4.3). The best-fitting result is $A_f = 1447.40 \pm 0.01$. The time delay between the lowest and highest frequency channels caused by the fitting error is $\Delta t_{\text{err}} \sim 0.45$ ms, which is much larger than that caused by the ν^{-4} term ($\Delta t_{\text{DM},2} = 4.6 \times 10^{-13}$ ms). For the Crab pulsar, we set $A_r = 235.60$, $B_r = 235.60 \times 10^{-19}$, 8.15 GHz as the lowest frequency, 10.35 GHz as the highest frequency, 0.4 ns as the time resolution, and generated 1024 points. The fitting result is $A_f = 235.60 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-17}$, and the related Δt_{err} and $\Delta t_{\text{DM},2}$ are 1.18×10^{-18} ms and 3.16×10^{-21} ms, respectively. Therefore, even when it is applied to very high quality observational parameters, the effect of the ν^{-4} term is negligible for the DM fitting and the fitting uncertainty is mainly caused by the time and frequency resolution of the dataset. It is also notable that the time delays caused by the fitting error and the ν^{-4} term are much smaller than the dedispersed duration that we use to constrain the photon mass.

Our best limit is four orders of magnitude worse than previous limits ($m_{\gamma,1} \leq 10^{-51}$ kg) obtained through the first-order Taylor expansion of the dispersion relation [18, 21]. While the dedispersed time delays of the Crab pulsar and FRB 180916B do not currently have the best sensitivity to photon mass limits, there is nonetheless merit to the result. This is the first time to explore the possible second-order photon mass effect. More stringent constraints on $m_{\gamma,2}$ can be expected as our analysis method is applied to larger numbers of nanoseconds-long astrophysical pulses observed at lower frequencies.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank D. Xiao and J.-J. Geng for helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by

the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022SKA0130101), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 11725314 and 12041306), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences (grant No. ZDBS-LY-7014) of Chinese Academy of Sciences, ACAMAR Postdoctoral Fellow, China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2020M681758), and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (grant Nos. BK20210998 and BK20221562).

References

- [1] A.S. Goldhaber and M.M. Nieto, *Terrestrial and Extraterrestrial Limits on The Photon Mass*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **43** (1971) 277.
- [2] L.-C. Tu, J. Luo and G.T. Gillies, *The mass of the photon*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **68** (2005) 77.
- [3] D.D. Lowenthal, *Limits on the Photon Mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* **8** (1973) 2349.
- [4] Y.Z. Zhang, *Special Relativity and its Experimental Foundation* (1997), [10.1142/3180](#).
- [5] L.B. Okun, *Photon: History, Mass, Charge*, *Acta Physica Polonica B* **37** (2006) 565 [[hep-ph/0602036](#)].
- [6] A.S. Goldhaber and M.M. Nieto, *Photon and graviton mass limits*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **82** (2010) 939 [[0809.1003](#)].
- [7] G. Spavieri, J. Quintero, G.T. Gillies and M. Rodríguez, *A survey of existing and proposed classical and quantum approaches to the photon mass*, *European Physical Journal D* **61** (2011) 531.
- [8] B. Lovell, F.L. Whipple and L.H. Solomon, *Relative Velocity of Light and Radio Waves in Space*, *Nature* **202** (1964) 377.
- [9] B. Warner and R.E. Nather, *Wavelength Independence of the Velocity of Light in Space*, *Nature* **222** (1969) 157.
- [10] B.E. Schaefer, *Severe limits on variations of the speed of light with frequency*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 4964.
- [11] X.-F. Wu, S.-B. Zhang, H. Gao, J.-J. Wei, Y.-C. Zou, W.-H. Lei et al., *CONSTRAINTS ON THE PHOTON MASS WITH FAST RADIO BURSTS*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **822** (2016) L15.
- [12] L. Bonetti, J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos, A.S. Sakharov, E.K. Sarkisyan-Grinbaum and A.D.A.M. Spallicci, *Photon mass limits from fast radio bursts*, *Physics Letters B* **757** (2016) 548 [[1602.09135](#)].
- [13] L. Bonetti, J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos, A.S. Sakharov, E.K. Sarkisyan-Grinbaum and A.D.A.M. Spallicci, *FRB 121102 casts new light on the photon mass*, *Physics Letters B* **768** (2017) 326 [[1701.03097](#)].
- [14] B. Zhang, Y.-T. Chai, Y.-C. Zou and X.-F. Wu, *Constraining the mass of the photon with gamma-ray bursts*, *Journal of High Energy Astrophysics* **11-12** (2016) 20.
- [15] L. Shao and B. Zhang, *Bayesian framework to constrain the photon mass with a catalog of fast radio bursts*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 123010.
- [16] J.-J. Wei, E.-K. Zhang, S.-B. Zhang and X.-F. Wu, *New limits on the photon mass with radio pulsars in the magellanic clouds*, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* **17** (2017) 13.
- [17] J.-J. Wei and X.-F. Wu, *Robust limits on photon mass from statistical samples of extragalactic radio pulsars*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2018** (2018) 045 [[1803.07298](#)].

- [18] J.-J. Wei and X.-F. Wu, *Combined limit on the photon mass with nine localized fast radio bursts*, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* **20** (2020) 206 [[2006.09680](#)].
- [19] J.-J. Wei and X.-F. Wu, *Testing fundamental physics with astrophysical transients*, *Frontiers of Physics* **16** (2021) 44300 [[2102.03724](#)].
- [20] N. Xing, H. Gao, J.-J. Wei, Z. Li, W. Wang, B. Zhang et al., *Limits on the weak equivalence principle and photon mass with FRB 121102 subpulses*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **882** (2019) L13.
- [21] H. Wang, X. Miao and L. Shao, *Bounding the photon mass with cosmological propagation of fast radio bursts*, *Physics Letters B* **820** (2021) 136596 [[2103.15299](#)].
- [22] E.R. Williams, J.E. Faller and H.A. Hill, *New experimental test of coulomb's law: A laboratory upper limit on the photon rest mass*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 721.
- [23] M.A. Chernikov, C.J. Gerber, H.R. Ott and H.-J. Gerber, *Low-temperature upper limit of the photon mass: Experimental null test of ampère's law*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 3383.
- [24] R. Lakes, *Experimental limits on the photon mass and cosmic magnetic vector potential*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 1826.
- [25] J. Luo, L.-C. Tu, Z.-K. Hu and E.-J. Luan, *New Experimental Limit on the Photon Rest Mass with a Rotating Torsion Balance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 081801.
- [26] L. Davis, A.S. Goldhaber and M.M. Nieto, *Limit on the photon mass deduced from pioneer-10 observations of jupiter's magnetic field*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** (1975) 1402.
- [27] D.D. Ryutov, *The role of finite photon mass in magnetohydrodynamics of space plasmas*, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **39** (1997) A73.
- [28] D.D. Ryutov, *Using plasma physics to weigh the photon*, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **49** (2007) B429.
- [29] A. Retinò, A.D.A.M. Spallicci and A. Vaivads, *Solar wind test of the de Broglie-Proca massive photon with Cluster multi-spacecraft data*, *Astroparticle Physics* **82** (2016) 49 [[1302.6168](#)].
- [30] Y. Yamaguchi, *A Composite Theory of Elementary Particles*, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **11** (1959) 1.
- [31] G.V. Chibisov, *Astrophysical upper limits for the rest mass of a photon.*, *Uspekhi Fizicheskikh Nauk* **119** (1976) 551.
- [32] E. Adelberger, G. Dvali and A. Gruzinov, *Photon-Mass Bound Destroyed by Vortices*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 010402 [[hep-ph/0306245](#)].
- [33] D.D. Lowenthal, *Limits on the photon mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* **8** (1973) 2349.
- [34] A. Accioly and R. Paszko, *Photon mass and gravitational deflection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 107501.
- [35] P. Pani, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, E. Berti and A. Ishibashi, *Black-hole bombs and photon-mass bounds*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 131102.
- [36] Y.-P. Yang and B. Zhang, *Tight constraint on photon mass from pulsar spindown*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **842** (2017) 23.
- [37] T.H. Hankins and J.A. Eilek, *Radio emission signatures in the crab pulsar*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **670** (2007) 693.
- [38] Z. Pleunis, D. Michilli, C.G. Bassa, J.W.T. Hessels, A. Naidu, B.C. Andersen et al., *LOFAR Detection of 110-188 MHz Emission and Frequency-dependent Activity from FRB 20180916B*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **911** (2021) L3 [[2012.08372](#)].

- [39] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi et al., *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [[1807.06209](#)].
- [40] G.B. Rybicki and A.P. Lightman, *Radiative processes in astrophysics* (1979).
- [41] W. Deng and B. Zhang, *COSMOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS OF FAST RADIO BURST/GAMMA-RAY BURST ASSOCIATIONS*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **783** (2014) L35.
- [42] J.M. Cordes, N.D.R. Bhat, T.H. Hankins, M.A. McLaughlin and J. Kern, *The Brightest Pulses in the Universe: Multifrequency Observations of the Crab Pulsar's Giant Pulses*, *Astrophys. J.* **612** (2004) 375 [[astro-ph/0304495](#)].
- [43] A. Jessner, A. Słowińska, B. Klein, H. Lesch, C.H. Jaroschek, G. Kanbach et al., *Giant radio pulses from the Crab pulsar*, *Advances in Space Research* **35** (2005) 1166 [[astro-ph/0410003](#)].
- [44] A. Jessner, M.V. Popov, V.I. Kondratiev, Y.Y. Kovalev, D. Graham, A. Zensus et al., *Giant pulses with nanosecond time resolution detected from the Crab pulsar at 8.5 and 15.1 GHz*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **524** (2010) A60 [[1008.3992](#)].
- [45] R.W. Romani and S. Johnston, *Giant Pulses from the Millisecond Pulsar B1821-24*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **557** (2001) L93 [[astro-ph/0107511](#)].
- [46] S. Johnston and R.W. Romani, *Giant Pulses from PSR B0540-69 in the Large Magellanic Cloud*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **590** (2003) L95 [[astro-ph/0305235](#)].
- [47] V.A. Soglasnov, M.V. Popov, N. Bartel, W. Cannon, A.Y. Novikov, V.I. Kondratiev et al., *Giant Pulses from PSR B1937+21 with Widths ≤ 15 Nanoseconds and $T_b \geq 5 \times 10^{39}$ K, the Highest Brightness Temperature Observed in the Universe*, *Astrophys. J.* **616** (2004) 439 [[astro-ph/0408285](#)].
- [48] H.S. Knight, M. Bailes, R.N. Manchester and S.M. Ord, *A Search for Giant Pulses from Millisecond Pulsars*, *Astrophys. J.* **625** (2005) 951 [[astro-ph/0502203](#)].
- [49] B. Marcote, K. Nimmo, J.W.T. Hessels, S.P. Tendulkar, C.G. Bassa, Z. Paragi et al., *A repeating fast radio burst source localized to a nearby spiral galaxy*, *Nature* **577** (2020) 190 [[2001.02222](#)].