

An $SU(15)$ Approach to B Anomalies

Claudio Corianò and Paul H. Frampton¹

¹*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento
and
INFN Sezione di Lecce, Via Arnesano, 73100 Lecce, Italy
(Dated: July 12, 2022)*

The most efficient way to extend the Standard Model of particle theory so that it accommodates the observed B anomalies is the hypothesis of a leptoquark which is a color-triplet weak-singlet boson with electric charge $Q = +\frac{2}{3}$. We point out that $SU(15)$ grand unification with a scalar leptoquark in the adjoint representation gives a natural explanation for the violation of lepton flavour universality. We briefly discuss biquarks and bileptons.

The Standard Model of particle theory has remained robust and only relatively recently have tantalising hints appeared from experiment about how to extend it. When these hints have become more definite they are likely to influence all of theoretical physics by clarifying the choices which Nature has made. The Standard Model respects LFU = Lepton Flavour Universality, meaning that the three leptons e, μ, τ have identical properties, in every way except for their different masses. In B anomalies, we encounter experimental results which violate LFU, and therefore are in disagreement with the standard model. For one recent review of LHCb's results about LFU violation, see [1].

Muon-electron LFU is explicitly violated by the present experimental data as follows. For the neutral weak current, we have

$$R_K = \frac{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^0 e^+ e^-)} \quad (1)$$

and the closely related ratio

$$R_{K^*} = \frac{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} e^+ e^-)} \quad (2)$$

Because the masses of μ^\pm and e^\pm are negligible, the LFU property of the standard model (SM) leads to predictions for the ratios in Eqs (1,2):

$$(R_K)_{SM} = (R_{K^*})_{SM} = 1.00 \pm 0.01 \quad (3)$$

The predictions in Eq. (3) depend only on the LFU property of the unadorned SM.

The latest results from LHCb and Belle II can be summarised by:

$$R_K = 0.84 \pm 0.04 \quad (4)$$

and

$$R_{K^*} = 0.69 \pm 0.09 \quad (5)$$

which are at least 3σ below the SM values. In neutral weak currents there are also measurements of the ratio

$$R_{K^+} = \frac{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-)} \quad (6)$$

with the result that

$$R_{K^+} = 0.74 \pm 0.09 \quad (7)$$

where the SM prediction from LFU is $R_{K^+} = 1.00 \pm 0.01$. Similar anomalies have been measured for the charged weak current

$$R_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{\Gamma(B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\Gamma(B \rightarrow D^{(*)} l^- \bar{\nu}_l)} \quad (8)$$

with $l = e, \mu$. The experimental results are

$$R_D^{ratio} \equiv \frac{R_D^{expt}}{R_D^{SM}} = 1.29 \pm 0.17 \quad (9)$$

and

$$R_{D^{*}}^{ratio} \equiv \frac{R_{D^{*}}^{expt}}{R_{D^{*}}^{SM}} = 1.28 \pm 0.09 \quad (10)$$

which represent deviations from LFU of 1.7σ and 3.1σ respectively.

One attempt at unification of strong and electroweak interactions[2] involves the gauge group $SU(15)$ where all 15 states of a quark-lepton family are in the defining representation and every possible leptoquark is present in the adjoint representation.

The adjoint has dimension 224 and contains 72 leptoquarks which transform in irreducible representations of the standard model gauge group $(SU(3)_C, SU(2)_L)_Y$, with $Q = T_3 + Y/2$ in four sets of 18 as follows.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{B} = +1/3, \text{L} = +1 & & \\ \hline 2(3, 2)_{-5/3} & \text{Q} = (-1/3, -4/3) & ue^-, de^- \\ (3, 2)_{1/3} & \text{Q} = (2/3, -1/3) & uv, dv \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{B} = -1/3, \text{L} = +1 & & \\ \hline 2(3^*, 1)_{-4/3} & \text{Q} = (-2/3) & \bar{u}\nu \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (3^*, 1)_{-10/3} & Q=(-5/3) & \bar{u}e^- \\ (3^*, 3)_{-4/3} & Q=(-5/3, -2/3, +1/3) & \bar{u}e^-, \bar{u}\nu, \bar{d}\nu \end{array}$$

B = +1/3, L=-1

$$\begin{array}{lll} 2(3, 1)_{4/3} & Q=(2/3) & e^+d \\ (3, 1)_{10/3} & Q=(5/3) & e^+d \\ (3, 3)_{4/3} & Q=(-1/3, 2/3, 5/3) & \nu d, e^+d, e^+u \end{array}$$

B = -1/3, L=-1

$$\begin{array}{lll} 2(3^*, 2)_{5/3} & Q=(1/3, 4/3) & e^+\bar{d}, e^+\bar{u} \\ (3^*, 2)_{-1/3} & Q=(-2/3, 1/3) & \nu\bar{u}, e^+\bar{u} \end{array}$$

We see that the leptoquark favoured by B anomalies occurs not only once but twice in the 224. It does not appear in any of the smaller irreps 15, 105 or 120.

The adjoint describes both the spin-one gauge bosons of $SU(15)$ and a spin-zero Higgs necessary [3] for symmetry breaking. Our first work is to choose between spin-one and spin-zero for the leptoquark. The spin-one hypothesis would imply that the leptoquark is a gauge boson of $SU(15)$. In that case, if at least the first two families are treated sequentially as 15's, unless there is an *ad hoc* assumption motivated by the data[4], muon-electron LFU will be an inevitable consequence. Thus the leptoquark must be an adjoint Higgs scalar of $SU(15)$. In this case it is natural that the μ Yukawa coupling be larger than the e Yukawa coupling by the ratio of their masses $M_\mu/M_e \sim 200$, thus explaining the observed violation of LFU discussed above.

The one disadvantage of $SU(15)$, but only an aesthetic one and a stumbling block we must initially ignore, is that anomaly cancellation requires the addition of mirror fermions. An advantage of $SU(15)$ is the absence of proton decay because all of the adjoint components have well-defined B and L quantum numbers. Smoking guns for $SU(15)$ include a predicted enhancement for $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$. Because of the lepton mass dependence in the Higgs Yukawas, we predict significant LFU-violating enhancements relative to the SM for the decays $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\tau^+\tau^-$ and $B_s \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$. In an ingenious argument [5], it has been convincingly shown that violation of LFU implies the occurrence of LFV decays which are vanishing in the standard model. These will include the decays $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\phi$ and $B_s \rightarrow \tau\mu$. The discovery of such LFV processes would lend support for the theory we have discussed.

It will be exciting to learn from experiments about more violations of LFU, as well as any examples of LFV. Such additional input is necessary further to evolve the theory. Because a leptoquark is suggested by the B anomalies and bileptons are suggested by the 331-model, we are tempted to believe that the another type of bifermion, the biquark, appearing in the 224 of $SU(15)$ might also

exist in Nature. The 224 has 76 components with $B = L = 0$. The remaining 148 include the 72 leptoquarks listed above, 72 biquarks and 4 bileptons.

The 72 biquarks fall into two sets of 36:

B = +2/3, L=0

$$\begin{array}{lll} (3^* + 6, 2)_{5/3} & Q=(1/3, 4/3) & ud, uu \\ (3^* + 6, 2)_{-1/3} & Q=(1/3, -2/3) & ud, dd \end{array}$$

B = -2/3, L=0

$$\begin{array}{lll} (3 + 6^*, 2)_{-5/3} & Q=(-4/3, -1/3) & \bar{u}\bar{u}, \bar{u}\bar{d} \\ (3 + 6^*, 2)_{+1/3} & Q=(-1/3, 2/3) & \bar{u}\bar{d}, \bar{d}\bar{d} \end{array}$$

Leptoquarks, as well as bileptons and biquarks, could represent a class of fundamental particles that, if discovered, would represent new states in particle physics. Understanding the nature of such elementary particles, which should not be interpreted as composites, would be an important departure from the structure of the SM.

In the phenomenological analysis of tetraquarks (first discovered in 2003) and pentaquarks (2015), the name "diquark" is used for two quarks behaving together like a molecule, so a diquark is definitely a bound state and not an elementary particle like a quark. At present the study of tetraquarks and pentaquarks is successful [6] by using only diquarks without biquarks. It will be interesting to discover whether biquarks become necessary in the analyses. The distinction between diquark and biquark could be made using the same criterion as used in [7] to decide whether the deuteron is a bound state or elementary.

Finally, we discuss the four bileptons in the 224 which are in two $SU(2)$ doublets (Y^{--}, Y^-) with $B = 0, L = 2$, and (Y^{++}, Y^+) with $B=0, L=-2$. In the context of the 331-model, they lead [8] to the prediction of a resonance in same-sign leptons at about $M_Y \simeq 1.3\text{TeV}$ and width $\Gamma_Y \simeq 0.05\text{TeV}$.

The bilepton resonance in $\mu^\pm\mu^\pm$ has been the subject of searches by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations at the LHC, starting in March 2021. In March 2022, ATLAS published an inconclusive result [9] about the existence of the resonance, putting only a lower mass limit $M_Y > 1.08\text{TeV}$. CMS has better momentum resolution and charge identification than ATLAS and should be able to investigate the bilepton resonance proper. Of the three classes of elementary bifermion (biquark, leptoquark, bilepton) the one nearest to confirmation is the leptoquark which, as we have discussed, is strongly indicated by the B anomalies.

It seems possible that $SU(15)$ can act as an umbrella group which unifies the SM and its 224 dimensional adjoint representation does provide a unique guide to the potential bifermions.

-
- [1] **LHCb** Collaboration, R. Aaij *et. al.*, *Test of lepton universality in beauty-quark decays*, *Nature Phys.* **18** (2022), no. 3 277–282, [[arXiv:2103.1176](#)].
 - [2] P. H. Frampton and B.-H. Lee, *$SU(15)$ Grand Unification*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 619.
 - [3] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, *Higgs sector and proton decay in $SU(15)$ grand unification*, *Phys. Rev. D* **42** (1990) 3892–3894.
 - [4] C. Cornella, D. A. Faroughy, J. Fuentes-Martin, G. Isidori, and M. Neubert, *Reading the footprints of the B -meson flavor anomalies*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 050, [[arXiv:2103.1655](#)].
 - [5] S. L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli, and K. Lane, *Lepton Flavor Violation in B Decays?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 091801, [[arXiv:1411.0565](#)].
 - [6] A. Esposito, L. Maiani, A. Pilloni, A. D. Polosa, and V. Riquer, *From the line shape of the $X(3872)$ to its structure*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), no. 3 L031503, [[arXiv:2108.1141](#)].
 - [7] S. Weinberg, *Evidence That the Deuteron Is Not an Elementary Particle*, *Phys. Rev.* **137** (1965) B672–B678.
 - [8] P. H. Frampton, *Chiral dilepton model and the flavor question*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 2889–2891.
 - [9] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Search for doubly charged Higgs boson production in multi-lepton final states using 139 fb^{-1} of proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector*. ATLAS-CONF-2022-010 (11 March 2022).