

# WOLD-TYPE DECOMPOSITION FOR $\mathcal{U}_n$ -TWISTED CONTRACTIONS

SATYABRATA MAJEE, AND AMIT MAJI<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $n > 1$ , and  $\{U_{ij}\}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  be  $\binom{n}{2}$  commuting unitaries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $U_{ji} := U_{ij}^*$ . An  $n$ -tuple of contractions  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contraction with respect to a twist  $\{U_{ij}\}_{i < j}$  if  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  satisfy

$$T_i T_j = U_{ij} T_j T_i; \quad T_i^* T_j = U_{ij}^* T_j^* T_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad T_k U_{ij} = U_{ij} T_k$$

for all  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i \neq j$ .

We obtain a recipe to calculate the orthogonal spaces of the Wold-type decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions on Hilbert spaces. As a by-product, a new proof as well as complete structure for  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -twisted (or pair of doubly twisted) and  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries have been established.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental problem in the theory of operators, function theory and operator algebras is the classification problem for a tuple of commuting isometries on Hilbert spaces. The canonical decomposition for a contraction plays a significant role in many areas of operator algebras and operator theory, namely, dilation theory, invariant subspace theory, operator interpolation problem, etc. It says that every contraction can be uniquely decomposed into the orthogonal sum of a unitary operator and a completely non-unitary operator. In particular, the canonical decomposition of an isometry coincides with the classical *Wold decomposition or Wold-von Neumann decomposition*. Indeed, the completely non-unitary part of an isometry becomes a unilateral shift (of any multiplicity). This decomposition was firstly studied by Wold [25] for stationary stochastic processes. It is expected that the multidimensional Wold-type decomposition will provide a large class of applications.

A natural issue is the extension of decomposition from a single contraction to a tuple of contractions. Using Suciú's [24] decomposition of the semigroup of isometries, Słociński [22] firstly obtained a Wold-type decomposition for pairs of doubly commuting isometries. It states that a pair of doubly commuting isometries have fourfold Wold -type decomposition of the form unitary-unitary, unitary-shift, shift-unitary, and shift-shift. In 2004, Popovici [17] achieved Wold-type decomposition for a pair  $(V_1, V_2)$  of commuting isometries on a Hilbert space. More specifically, the pair  $(V_1, V_2)$  can be uniquely decomposed into the orthogonal sum of bi-unitary, a shift-unitary, a unitary-shift, and a weak bi-shift. Later, Sarkar [21] generalized Słociński's result and also obtained an explicit description of closed subspaces in the orthogonal decomposition for the  $n$ -tuples of doubly commuting isometries. Recently Maji, Sarkar, and Sankar [16] have studied various natural representations of a large class of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47A45, 47A20, 47A05, 47A13.

*Key words and phrases*. Isometry; contraction;  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions; completely non-unitary; shift.

pairs of commuting isometries on Hilbert spaces, and Bînzar et al. [4] studied Wold-Słociński decomposition for commuting isometric triples. On the other hand, power partial isometry is a large class of operators with a well-defined completely non-unitary part. Halmos and Wallen [13] studied decomposition for a power partial isometry. After that Catepillán and Szymański [9] have generalized for a pair of doubly commuting power partial isometries. For more results one can refer to [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], [5], [8], [11], [12], etc. Słociński [23] (see also Burdak [5]) studied decomposition for pairs of doubly commuting contractions and obtained the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T = (T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of doubly commuting contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u\neg u} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  are joint  $T$ -reducing subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $i, j = u, \neg u$ . Moreover,  $T_1$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  is unitary if  $i = u$  and completely non-unitary if  $i = \neg u$  and  $T_2$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{ij}$  is unitary if  $j = u$  and completely non-unitary if  $j = \neg u$ .

However, the complete description of the above orthogonal decomposition spaces is not explicit. Burdak [5] also developed a characterization for pairs of commuting (not necessarily doubly commuting) contractions and obtained decomposition results in the case of commuting pairs of power partial isometries. Recently, Jeu and Pinto [14] studied a simultaneous Wold decomposition for an  $n$ -tuple ( $n > 1$ ) of doubly non-commuting isometries which has been classified up to unitary equivalence by using this decomposition. In 2022, Rakshit, Sarkar, and Suryawanshi [19] showed that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometry agrees a von Neumann-Wold type decomposition and then described concrete analytic models of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries. *It is now a natural query whether the above results can be extended to a large class of operators, namely, a class of twisted contractions on Hilbert spaces.*

Motivated by the definition of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries in [19], we introduce the notion of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions (see definition in Section 3). In this paper, we attempt to find a recipe for calculating the orthogonal spaces as well as to extend the results for pair of doubly twisted contractions (or  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -twisted contractions) to multi-variable case. Our approach is based on the canonical decomposition for a single contraction and the geometry of Hilbert spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we discuss some basic definitions and the canonical decomposition for a single contraction. Section 3 is devoted to the decomposition for a pair of doubly twisted contractions. In section 4, we obtain a complete description for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions and in particular for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries.

## 2. PREPARATORY RESULTS

In what follows  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  denotes the set of non-negative integers,  $\mathcal{H}$  stands for a complex Hilbert space,  $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  as the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a closed subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  denotes the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$ . A closed subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is invariant under  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ ; and subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  reduces  $T$  if  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and  $T(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{M}^\perp$ . A contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  (that is,  $\|Th\| \leq \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ) is said to be a pure contraction if  $T^{*m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in

the strong operator topology. A contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called completely non-unitary (c.n.u. for short) if there does not exist any nonzero  $T$ -reducing subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T|_{\mathcal{L}}$  is unitary (see [20]). We denote  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  as the kernel and range of  $T$ , respectively. We frequently use the identity  $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T^*)^\perp$  for any  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Also  $\bigvee \mathcal{M}$  stands for the closed linear span of a subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a partial isometry if  $\|Th\| = \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$ . We say that  $T$  is a power partial isometry if  $T^n$  is a partial isometry for all  $n \geq 1$ .

We now recall *canonical decomposition theorem* for a contraction ([20]). In case of an isometry, the canonical decomposition theorem coincides with the classical Wold-von Neumann decomposition.

**Theorem 2.1.** *A contraction  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  corresponds a unique decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  into an orthogonal sum of two  $T$ -reducing subspaces  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  such that  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is unitary and  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  is c.n.u. ( $\mathcal{H}_u$  or  $\mathcal{H}_{-u}$  may equal to  $\{0\}$ ). Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T^n h\| = \|h\| = \|T^{*n} h\| \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Here  $T_u = T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  and  $T_{-u} = T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  are called unitary part and c.n.u. part of  $T$ , respectively and  $T = T_u \oplus T_{-u}$  is called the canonical decomposition of  $T$ .

The above theorem can be rewritten as follows:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  decomposes as a direct sum of two  $T$ -reducing subspaces*

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T^{*m} T^m) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T^m T^{*m})],$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{-u} := \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_u = \bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T^{*m} T^m) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T^m T^{*m})\}.$$

Also  $T_u = T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  and  $T_{-u} = T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  are called unitary part and c.n.u. part of  $T$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a contraction. Define the defect operators of  $T$  as

$$D_T = (I - T^* T)^{1/2} \text{ and } D_{T^*} = (I - T T^*)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly,  $D_T$  and  $D_{T^*}$  are positive operators and bounded by 0 and 1. Now for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle D_T^2 h, h \rangle = 0 \iff D_T h = 0 \iff \|Th\| = \|h\|.$$

Therefore, the space  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\| = \|h\|\}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{N}(D_T) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : D_T h = 0\}$ . Consider for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$T(m) = \begin{cases} T^m & \text{if } m \geq 1, \\ I & \text{if } m = 0, \\ T^{*|m|} & \text{if } m \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Then for each fixed  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the space  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T(m)h\| = \|h\|\}$  is same as  $\mathcal{N}(D_{T(m)}) = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : D_{T(m)}h = 0\}$ . Thus the space  $\mathcal{H}_u$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_u &= \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T^m h\| = \|h\| = \|T^{*m} h\| \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T(m)h\| = \|h\| \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(D_{T(m)}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{T(m)} = \begin{cases} (I - T^{*m}T^m)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m \geq 0, \\ (I - T^{|m|}T^{*|m|})^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } m \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Since for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the operator  $D_{T(m)}$  is positive on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(D_{T(m)}) = \mathcal{N}(D_{T(m)}^2)$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N}(D_{T(m)}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(I - T^{*m}T^m) & \text{if } m \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{N}(I - T^nT^{*n}) & \text{if } n = |m|, m \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_u &= \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(I - T^{*m}T^m) \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(I - T^nT^{*n}) \\ &= \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T^{*m}T^m) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T^mT^{*m})]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{-u} &:= \mathcal{H}_u^\perp = \left[ \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{N}(I - T^{*m}T^m) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T^mT^{*m})\} \right]^\perp \\ &= \bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T^{*m}T^m) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T^mT^{*m})\}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.3.** If a contraction  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a power partial isometry, then for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $T^{*n}T^n = P_{\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})}$  and  $T^nT^{*n} = P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)}$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})}$  and  $P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)}$  are the orthogonal projections of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T^n)$ , respectively. Now from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_u &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T^{*n}T^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T^nT^{*n})] \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - P_{\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})}) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)})] \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})}) \cap \mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)})] \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [T^{*n}\mathcal{H} \cap T^n\mathcal{H}],\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\neg u} &= \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T^{*n}T^n) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T^nT^{*n})\} \\ &= \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{N}(P_{\mathcal{R}(T^{*n})}) \cup \mathcal{N}(P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)})\}.\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $T$  be an isometry on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $T^*T = I$  and  $T^*\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$ . Since every isometry is a power partial isometry, from the last remark, we readily have the unitary part  $\mathcal{H}_u$  of  $T$  as

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T^n \mathcal{H},$$

and the c.n.u part  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}$  becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\neg u} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T^nT^{*n})\} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{N}(P_{\mathcal{R}(T^n)})\}.$$

Again for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(I - T^nT^{*n}) &= \mathcal{H} \ominus T^nT^{*n}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H} \ominus T^n\mathcal{H} \\ &= (\mathcal{H} \ominus T\mathcal{H}) \oplus (T\mathcal{H} \ominus T^2\mathcal{H}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (T^{n-1}\mathcal{H} \ominus T^n\mathcal{H}) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(T^*) \oplus T\mathcal{N}(T^*) \oplus \cdots \oplus T^{n-1}\mathcal{N}(T^*) \\ &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k \mathcal{N}(T^*).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}(I - TT^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(I - T^2T^{*2}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{R}(I - T^nT^{*n}) \subseteq \cdots$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\neg u} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T^nT^{*n})\} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \mathcal{N}(T^*).$$

Therefore, the canonical decomposition of  $T$  coincides with the *Wold decomposition*.

### 3. DECOMPOSITION FOR $\mathcal{U}_2$ -TWISTED CONTRACTIONS

In this section, we achieve the explicit orthogonal decomposition spaces for pairs of doubly twisted contractions (in particular, doubly twisted isometries) on Hilbert spaces. Our approach is different and the results unify all the existing results in the literature studied by many researchers, like Słociński [22], Burdak [5], Popovici [17], [18], Catepillán et al. [10], and the recent results of Jeu and Pinto [14], Rakshit, Sarkar, and Suryawanshi [19].

We shall work in the following fixed set-up.

**Definition 3.1.** ( $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions) Let  $n > 1$  and  $\{U_{ij}\}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  be  $\binom{n}{2}$  commuting unitaries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $U_{ji} := U_{ij}^*$ . We say that an  $n$ -tuple of contractions  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contraction with respect to a twist  $\{U_{ij}\}_{i < j}$  if

$$T_i T_j = U_{ij} T_j T_i; \quad T_i^* T_j = U_{ij}^* T_j T_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad T_k U_{ij} = U_{ij} T_k$$

for all  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i \neq j$ . We simply say that the tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions without referencing the twist  $\{U_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ .

In particular, if  $U_{ij} = I$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , then the tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is said to be doubly commuting contraction, that is,  $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$  and  $T_i T_j^* = T_j^* T_i$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . If  $n = 2$ , then we shall refer to  $(T_1, T_2)$  as a pair of *doubly twisted contraction* or  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -*twisted contraction* on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $n$ -tuple reduces to a single contraction.

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of isometries on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , let  $\{U_{ij}\}$  be  $\binom{n}{2}$  commuting unitaries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $U_{ji} := U_{ij}^*$ . Then the relation  $T_i^* T_j = U_{ij}^* T_j T_i^*$  and  $T_k U_{ij} = U_{ij} T_k$  implies  $T_i T_j = U_{ij} T_j T_i$  for all  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i \neq j$  (see [15], [19]). However, this fact is not true for an  $n$ -tuple of contractions.

The following result is simple, but plays an important role in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then for all  $i \neq j$ ,*

- (1)  $T_i$  commutes with  $T_j^m T_j^{*m}$  and  $T_j^{*m} T_j^m$ ;
- (2)  $T_i^*$  commutes with  $T_j^m T_j^{*m}$  and  $T_j^{*m} T_j^m$ ;
- (3)  $T_i^l T_i^{*l}, T_i^{*l} T_i^l$  commute with the operators  $(I - T_j^m T_j^{*m})$  and  $(I - T_j^{*m} T_j^m)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Now for  $i \neq j$ , using the definition repeated times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_j^m T_j^{*m} &= U_{ij}^m T_j^m T_i T_j^{*m} = U_{ij}^m U_{ij}^{*m} T_j^m T_j^{*m} T_i = T_j^m T_j^{*m} T_i \\ \text{and } T_i T_j^{*m} T_j^m &= U_{ij}^{*m} T_j^{*m} T_i T_j^m = U_{ij}^{*m} U_{ij}^m T_j^{*m} T_j^m T_i = T_j^{*m} T_j^m T_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the first part is proved.

Second part follows from the first part by just taking the adjoint of those operators. Using the part (1) and (2), we can easily prove the last part.  $\blacksquare$

We shall first concentrate on pairs of *doubly twisted contractions* with some examples on Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and their decomposition.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  denotes as the Hardy space over the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . The weighted shift  $M_z^\alpha$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by  $M_z^\alpha(f) = \alpha z f$  for all  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $z$  is the co-ordinate function and  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ . Now the Hardy space over the bidisc  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , denoted by  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ , can be identified with  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D})$  through the canonical unitary  $\Gamma : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  defined by  $\Gamma(z^{m_1} \otimes z^{m_2}) = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2}$  for  $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ .

For each fixed  $r \in S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , we define an operator  $A_r$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  as

$$A_r z^n = \frac{r^n}{2} z^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+),$$

where  $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$(M_z^\alpha A_r)(z^n) = \frac{\alpha r^n}{2} z^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad (A_r M_z^\alpha)(z^n) = \frac{\alpha r^{n+1}}{2} z^{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Again

$$([M_z^\alpha]^* A_r)(z^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\alpha} r^n}{2} z^{n-1}, & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(A_r [M_z^\alpha]^*)(z^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\alpha} r^{n-1}}{2} z^{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $A_r M_z^\alpha = r M_z^\alpha A_r$  and  $[M_z^\alpha]^* A_r = r A_r [M_z^\alpha]^*$ . We now define  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  such that

$$T_1 = A_r \otimes M_z^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = M_z^\alpha \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Therefore, we can check that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of contractions on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . Moreover,

$$T_1 T_2 = A_r M_z^\alpha \otimes M_z^\alpha = r M_z^\alpha A_r \otimes M_z^\alpha = r (M_z^\alpha A_r \otimes M_z^\alpha) = r T_2 T_1.$$

and

$$T_2^* T_1 = [M_z^\alpha]^* A_r \otimes M_z^\alpha = r A_r [M_z^\alpha]^* \otimes M_z^\alpha = r (A_r [M_z^\alpha]^* \otimes M_z^\alpha) = r T_1 T_2^*.$$

Consider  $\mathcal{H} = H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . We now define two contractions on  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $T'_1 = \text{diag}(T_1, T_2)$  and  $T'_2 = \text{diag}(T_2, T_1)$ . Set  $U = \text{diag}(r I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}, \bar{r} I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)})$ ,  $|r| = 1$ . Clearly,  $U$  is unitary on  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$T'_1 T'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 T_2 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 T_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r T_2 T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{r} T_1 T_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{r} I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \end{bmatrix} T'_2 T'_1 = U T'_2 T'_1$$

and

$$T'^*_2 T'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} T_2^* T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_1^* T_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r T_1 T_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{r} T_2 T_1^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{r} I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \end{bmatrix} T'_1 T'^*_2 = U T'_1 T'^*_2.$$

Again  $T'_1 U = U T'_1$ , and  $T'_2 U = U T'_2$ . So it follows that  $(T'_1, T'_2)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -twisted contractions on  $\mathcal{H}$  with a twist  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{U\}$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$  denotes as the  $\mathcal{E}$ -valued Hardy space over the unit bidisc  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is any Hilbert space. We can also identify  $H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$  as  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ . The weighted shift operators  $M_{z_i}^{\alpha_i}$  is defined by  $M_{z_i}^{\alpha_i} f = \alpha_i z_i f$  for  $f \in H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ , where  $z_i \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $|\alpha_i| \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We now define operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  on  $H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$  as

$$T_1 = M_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = M_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} D[U],$$

where  $U$  is unitary on  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $D[U]$  on  $H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$  is defined by

$$D[U](z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) = z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} (U^{m_1} \eta) \quad \text{for } (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2, \eta \in \mathcal{E}.$$

It is easy to check that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of contractions on  $H^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . Moreover,

$$T_2 T_1 (z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) = M_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} D[U](\alpha_1 z_1^{m_1+1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 z_1^{m_1+1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1+1} \eta,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 T_2(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) &= M_{z_1}^{\alpha_1}(\alpha_2 z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1} \eta) \\
&= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 z_1^{m_1+1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1} \eta \\
&= (I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \otimes U^*)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 z_1^{m_1+1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1+1} \eta) \\
&= \tilde{U} T_2 T_1(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{U} = (I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \otimes U^*)$  is unitary on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  (or on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ ). Therefore

$$T_1 T_2 = \tilde{U} T_2 T_1$$

on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . Again

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1^* T_2(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) &= [M_{z_1}^{\alpha_1}]^*(\alpha_2 z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1} \eta) \\
&= \begin{cases} \bar{\alpha}_1 \alpha_2 z_1^{m_1-1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1} \eta & \text{if } m_1 \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m_1 = 0, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 T_1^*(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) &= \begin{cases} M_{z_2}^{\alpha_2} D[U](\bar{\alpha}_1 z_1^{m_1-1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) & \text{if } m_1 \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m_1 = 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \bar{\alpha}_1 \alpha_2 z_1^{m_1-1} z_2^{m_2+1} U^{m_1-1} \eta & \text{if } m_1 \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m_1 = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T_1^* T_2(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta) = (I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \otimes U) T_2 T_1^*(z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} \eta)$ , that is,  $T_1^* T_2 = \tilde{U}^* T_2 T_1^*$  on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . Moreover,  $T_i \tilde{U} = \tilde{U} T_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -twisted contraction on  $H_{\mathcal{E}}^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . In particular, we take  $\mathcal{E} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and the bilateral shift  $W$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the above pair  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a *doubly twisted contraction* with respect to the twist  $\{I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \otimes W^*\}$  on the Hilbert space  $H_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ .

**Example 3.6.** For each fixed  $r \in S^1$ , we define a weighted shift operator  $B_r$  on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$B_r z^n = r^{n+1} z^{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$$

where  $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $M_z$  be the multiplication operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  by the coordinate function  $z$ . Then

$$(M_z B_r)(z^n) = r^{n+1} z^{n+2} \quad \text{and} \quad (B_r M_z)(z^n) = r^{n+2} z^{n+2} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Again

$$(M_z^* B_r)(z^n) = r^{n+1} z^n \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

and

$$(B_r M_z^*)(z^n) = \begin{cases} r^n z^n & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$



Hence  $B_r M_z = r M_z B_r$  but  $M_z^* B_r \neq r B_r M_z^*$ . Now define  $T_1, T_2$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  as

$$T_1 = B_r \otimes M_z \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = M_z \otimes I_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of isometries on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . Also

$$T_1 T_2 = B_r M_z \otimes M_z = r M_z B_r \otimes M_z = r (M_z B_r \otimes M_z) = r T_2 T_1.$$

On the other hand

$$T_2^* T_1 = M_z^* B_r \otimes M_z \quad \text{and} \quad T_1 T_2^* = B_r M_z^* \otimes M_z.$$

Therefore,  $T_2^* T_1 \neq r T_1 T_2^*$  as  $M_z^* B_r \neq r B_r M_z^*$ . Consider  $\mathcal{H} = H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ . We now define two isometries on  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $T'_1 = \text{diag}(T_1, T_2)$  and  $T'_2 = \text{diag}(T_2, T_1)$ . Set  $U = \text{diag}(r I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}, \bar{r} I_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)})$ ,  $|r| = 1$ . Clearly,  $U$  is unitary on  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$T'_1 T'_2 = U T'_2 T'_1, \quad T'_1 U = U T'_1, \quad T'_2 U = U T'_2$$

but  $T'_2 T'_1 \neq U T'_1 T'_2$ . Therefore,  $(T'_1, T'_2)$  is not a pair of *doubly twisted isometry* on  $\mathcal{H}$  with a twist  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{U\}$ .

The following result will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of doubly twisted operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T_1$  is a contraction. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  be the canonical decomposition of contraction  $T_1$ . Then the decomposition reduces  $T_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of *doubly twisted* operator with a twist  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{U\}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $T_1$  is a contraction. Then from the above Theorem 2.2, we get  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_u^1, \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  reduce  $T_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_u^1 &= \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T_1^{*m} T_1^m) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T_1^m T_1^{*m})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 &= \bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T_1^{*m} T_1^m) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T_1^m T_1^{*m})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of doubly twisted operator, using Lemma 3.3 we have  $T_2(\mathcal{H}_u^1) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u^1$  and  $T_2(\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$ .

This finishes the proof. ■

Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of *doubly twisted contraction* on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  is the canonical decomposition for contraction  $T_1$  such that  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}$  is unitary and  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1}$  is completely non-unitary. The above Lemma 3.7 implies that the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_u^1, \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  reduce the contraction  $T_2$  and from Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_u^1 &= \bigcap_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 &= \bigvee_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}$  is a contraction, the canonical decomposition yields  $\mathcal{H}_u^1 = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}$ , where  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$ ,  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}}$ ,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}}$  is c.n.u. Again  $\mathcal{H}_u^1$  reduces  $T_2$ , and hence  $(T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})^m = T_2^m|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}$  and  $(T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})^{*m} = T_2^{*m}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Thus from Theorem 2.2, the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} - T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}) \cap \mathcal{N}(I_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} - T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})] \\ &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})],\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u} = \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_u^1 \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_u^1\}.$$

For the rest of the part, let  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 = \mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}$  be the canonical decomposition for contraction  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1}$  such that  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}}$  is unitary and  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}}$ ,  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}}$ ,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}}$  are c.n.u. Since  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  is a  $T_2$ -reducing subspace,  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1$  reduces  $(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})$  and  $(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})$ . Therefore from Theorem 2.2, the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} = \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1} - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1})],$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u} = \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1\}.$$

To summarize the above, we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of doubly twisted contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u\neg u} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}$  are the subspaces reduce  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that

- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}}$  is unitary and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u\neg u}}$  is c.n.u.,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}}$  is c.n.u. and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu}}$  is unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u\neg u}}$  are c.n.u.

Moreover, the orthogonal subspaces can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{u \neg u} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_u^1 \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_u^1\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1} - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{\neg u \neg u} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_u^1 &= \bigcap_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cap \mathcal{N}(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 &= \bigvee_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{\mathcal{R}(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cup \mathcal{R}(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Return to our discussion on the decomposition for pairs of *doubly twisted isometries*. Suppose that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of *doubly twisted isometry* with a twist  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{U\}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then from the above Theorem 3.8, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_u^1 = \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 = \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*).$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{N}(I_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} - T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1} T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}) = \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}(T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1})]^\perp \\ &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{H}_u^1 = \bigcap_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{H},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{u \neg u} = \bigvee \left\{ (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_u^1 : m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\} = \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \left[ \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{H} \right] \right\}.$$

Since  $T_2$  is an isometry and  $\mathcal{H}_u^1$  reduces  $T_2$ ,  $(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_u^1}$  is a projection for any fixed  $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . As  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of *doubly twisted isometry* and using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})T_1 = T_1(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \iff P_{\mathcal{N}(T_2^{*m_2})}T_1 = T_1P_{\mathcal{N}(T_2^{*m_2})}.$$

Again  $\mathcal{N}(T_2^*)$  reduces the unitary  $U$  as the pair  $(T_2, U)$  is doubly commuting.

Hence for any fixed  $m_2 \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \left[ \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{H} \right] &= (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \mathcal{H} \bigcap (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) T_1 \mathcal{H} \bigcap \dots \\
&= (\mathcal{H} \ominus T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2} \mathcal{H}) \bigcap T_1 (\mathcal{H} \ominus T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2} \mathcal{H}) \bigcap \dots \\
&= \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right) \bigcap T_1 \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right) \bigcap \dots \\
&= \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right) \bigcap \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k T_1 \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right) \bigcap \dots \\
&= \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^k \left( \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{H}_{u \neg u} = \bigoplus_{m_2=0}^{\infty} T_2^{m_2} \left( \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right).$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\neg uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{N}((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1}) \\
&= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 \\
&= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left( \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \left( \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\neg u \neg u} &= \bigvee \{ (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}^1 : m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \} \\
&= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) \left( \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \right) \right\} \\
&= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2}) [\mathcal{N}(T_1^*)] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of *doubly twisted isometry*, we get  $\mathcal{N}(T_1^*)$  is  $T_2$ -reducing subspace. Hence  $T_2|_{\mathcal{N}(T_1^*)}$  is an isometry. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - T_2 T_2^*)[\mathcal{N}(T_1^*)] &= \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \ominus T_2 \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \\
&= \mathcal{R}(I - T_1 T_1^*) \ominus \mathcal{R}[T_2(I - T_1 T_1^*)] \\
&= \mathcal{R}(I - T_1 T_1^*) \ominus \mathcal{R}[T_2(I - T_1 T_1^*) T_2^*] \\
&= \mathcal{R}[(I - T_1 T_1^*) - T_2(I - T_1 T_1^*) T_2^*] \\
&= \mathcal{R}[(I - T_1 T_1^*)(I - T_2 T_2^*)] \\
&= \mathcal{R}(I - T_1 T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{R}(I - T_2 T_2^*) \\
&= \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for each fixed  $m_2 \geq 1$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})[\mathcal{N}(T_1^*)] &= \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \ominus T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \\
&= [\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \ominus T_2 \mathcal{N}(T_1^*)] \oplus \cdots \oplus T_2^{m_2-1} [\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \ominus T_2 \mathcal{N}(T_1^*)] \\
&= (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_2^{m_2-1} (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)) \\
&= \bigoplus_{k_2=0}^{m_2-1} T_2^{k_2} (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\neg u \neg u} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \geq 1} \left\{ \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{k_2=0}^{m_2-1} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{k_2} (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)) \right) \right\} \\
&= \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{m_2=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)) \right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} (\mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

We know that the c.n.u. part of an isometry coincides with the shift part of Wold-von Neumann decomposition. Hence we can obtain the following decomposition for pairs of *doubly twisted isometries*:

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of doubly twisted isometry on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{us} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{su} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{ss},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{us}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{su}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{ss}$  are the subspaces reducing  $T_1, T_2$  such that

- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary operators,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{us}}$  is unitary,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{us}}$  is unilateral shift,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is unilateral shift,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{ss}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{ss}}$  are unilateral shifts.

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{H}, & \mathcal{H}_{us} &= \bigoplus_{m_2=0}^{\infty} T_2^{m_2} \left( \bigcap_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right), \\ \mathcal{H}_{su} &= \bigoplus_{m_1=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} \left( \bigcap_{m_2=0}^{\infty} T_2^{m_2} \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \right), & \mathcal{H}_{ss} &= \bigoplus_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \left( \mathcal{N}(T_1^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2^*) \right).\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.10.** The above result recovers the Wold-type decomposition and its orthogonal spaces for pairs of doubly commuting isometries (In particular, if we take twist  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{I\}$ , an identity operator) on Hilbert spaces which were firstly studied by Słociński [22] and later for pairs of commuting isometries by Popovici [17]. It is also a noteworthy to mention that orthogonal decomposition spaces of Wold-type decomposition for pairs of doubly twisted isometries and for pairs of doubly commuting isometries on Hilbert spaces are the same.

#### 4. DECOMPOSITION FOR $\mathcal{U}_n$ -TWISTED CONTRACTIONS

In this section, we will find the explicit Wold-type decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contractions on Hilbert spaces. As a by-product, we derive a simple proof for Wold-type decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries.

Before proceeding further, we shall introduce certain notations for the remainder of the paper. Given an integer  $p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , we denote the set  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  by  $I_p$  and  $A_p \subseteq I_p$ ; that means each subset (including empty set) of  $I_p$  is denoted by  $A_p$ . In addition, if  $A_p \subseteq I_p$  and  $q \notin I_p$ , then  $\tilde{A}_p$  is denoted by same  $A_p$  but we will treat  $\tilde{A}_p$  as a subset of  $I_p \cup \{q\}$ . Using the aforementioned notations, we generalize decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contraction as follows:

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $T_q$  be a contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ , where  $A_p \subseteq I_p$  and  $q \notin I_p$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{A_p} = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_p} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_p \cup \{q\}}$  is the orthogonal decomposition for  $T_q$  such that  $T_q|_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_p}}$  is unitary and  $T_q|_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_p \cup \{q\}}}$  is c.n.u. In particular, if  $T$  is a single contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then from the above notation the canonical decomposition for  $T$  is  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\emptyset \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\{1\}}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_\emptyset = \mathcal{H}_u$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\{1\}} = \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  (see Theorem 2.2).

We are now in a position to state our main result in this section.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists  $2^n$ -joint  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ -reducing subspaces  $\{\mathcal{H}_{A_n} : A_n \subseteq I_n$  (counting the trivial subspace  $\{0\}$ ) such that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{A_n \subseteq I_n} \mathcal{H}_{A_n}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_{A_n} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_n^{*m_n} T_n^{m_n})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}}}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I - T_n^{m_n} T_n^{*m_n})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}}})] & \text{for } n \notin A_n, \\ \bigvee_{m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_n^{*m_n} T_n^{m_n})\mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}} \cup (I - T_n^{m_n} T_n^{*m_n})\mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}}\} & \text{for } n \in A_n. \end{cases}$$

For each  $A_n$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{A_n} \neq \{0\}$ ,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_n}}$  is unitary if  $i \notin A_n$  and  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_n}}$  is completely non-unitary if  $i \in A_n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover, the above decomposition is unique.

*Proof.* We will prove this by mathematical induction. Suppose that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -twisted contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then by Theorem 3.8, there exists four  $(T_1, T_2)$ -reducing subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{u\bar{u}}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{u}u}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{u}\bar{u}}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\bar{u}u} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u\bar{u}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\bar{u}\bar{u}} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_\emptyset \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\{1\}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\{2\}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\{1,2\}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{A_2 \subseteq I_2} \mathcal{H}_{A_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{A_2}$  has the explicit form (see Theorem 3.8). Moreover,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_2}}$  is unitary if  $i \notin A_2$  and c.n.u. if  $i \in A_2$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . So the statement is true for  $n = 2$ .

Assume that the statement is true for any  $k$ -tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_k)$ ,  $k < n$  of  $\mathcal{U}_k$ -twisted contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{A_k \subseteq I_k} \mathcal{H}_{A_k},$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_{A_k} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{m_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\mathcal{N}((I - T_k^{*m_k} T_k^{m_k})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{k-1}}}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I - T_k^{m_k} T_k^{*m_k})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{k-1}}})] & \text{for } k \notin A_k \\ \bigvee_{m_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_k^{*m_k} T_k^{m_k})\mathcal{H}_{A_{k-1}} \cup (I - T_k^{m_k} T_k^{*m_k})\mathcal{H}_{A_{k-1}}\} & \text{for } k \in A_k. \end{cases}$$

Also  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_k}}$  is unitary if  $i \notin A_k$  and is c.n.u. if  $i \in A_k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . It is to be noted that the spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{A_{k-1}}$  reduce  $T_k$  from Lemma 3.3, and the decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_k$ -twisted contraction yields  $2^k$  number of orthogonal  $T_i$ -reducing subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{A_k}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We shall now prove this statement for the decomposition of  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_{k+1})$  of  $\mathcal{U}_{k+1}$ -twisted contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, we show that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{A_{k+1} \subseteq I_{k+1}} \mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}}.$$

As the tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted contraction, using Lemma 3.3 and the equation (4.3), we have  $T_j \mathcal{H}_{A_k} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{A_k}$  and  $T_j^* \mathcal{H}_{A_k} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{A_k}$  for all  $k < j \leq n$ , that is,  $\mathcal{H}_{A_k}$  reduce  $T_j$  for  $k < j \leq n$ . Therefore, the canonical decomposition for the contraction  $T_{k+1}|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_k}}$  yields  $\mathcal{H}_{A_k} = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k \cup \{k+1\}}$  (see Remark 4.1), where  $\tilde{A}_k = A_k$  but as a subset of  $I_k \cup \{k+1\} = I_{k+1}$ . Moreover,  $T_{k+1}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k}}$  is unitary and  $T_{k+1}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k \cup \{k+1\}}}$  is c.n.u., that is,  $T_{k+1}|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}}}$  is unitary if

$k+1 \notin A_{k+1}$  and is c.n.u. if  $k+1 \in A_{k+1}$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \bigoplus_{A_k \subseteq I_k} \mathcal{H}_{A_k} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\tilde{A}_k = A_k \subseteq I_{k+1}} [\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{A}_k \cup \{k+1\}}] \\ &= \bigoplus_{A_{k+1} \subseteq I_{k+1}} \mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}}$  reduce each  $T_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ . Also for each  $A_{k+1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}} \neq \{0\}$ ,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}}}$  is unitary if  $i \notin A_{k+1}$  and is c.n.u. if  $i \in A_{k+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k+1$ . Since  $T_{k+1}|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_k}}$  is a contraction, from Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}} = \bigcap_{m_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left[ \mathcal{N}((I - T_{k+1}^{*m_{k+1}} T_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_k}}) \cap \mathcal{N}((I - T_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} T_{k+1}^{*m_{k+1}})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_k}}) \right] \quad \text{if } k+1 \notin A_{k+1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_{k+1}} = \bigvee_{m_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ (I - T_{k+1}^{*m_{k+1}} T_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}}) \mathcal{H}_{A_k} \cup (I - T_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} T_{k+1}^{*m_{k+1}}) \mathcal{H}_{A_k} \right\} \quad \text{if } k+1 \in A_{k+1}.$$

The uniqueness part of this decomposition comes from the uniqueness of the canonical decomposition of a contraction.

This finishes the proof. ■

We shall now derive decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries. More specifically, if an  $n$ -tuple of isometries  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometry, then we obtain an explicit description of the orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Before going to the proof let us adopt the following notations: Let  $\Lambda = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{l-1} < i_l\} \subseteq I_n$  for  $1 \leq l \leq n$ ,  $I_n \setminus \Lambda = \{i_{l+1} < i_{l+2} < \dots < i_{n-1} < i_n\}$ . The cardinality of the set  $\Lambda$  is denoted by  $|\Lambda|$ . We denote by  $T_\Lambda$  the  $|\Lambda|$ -tuple of isometries  $(T_{i_1}, \dots, T_{i_l})$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+^\Lambda := \{\mathbf{m} = (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l}) : m_{i_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq j \leq l\}$ . Also  $T_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}} \dots T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}}$  is denoted by  $T_\Lambda^{\mathbf{m}}$  for  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\Lambda$ .

Consider  $\mathcal{W}_{i_j} := \mathcal{R}(I - T_{i_j} T_{i_j}^*) = \mathcal{N}(T_{i_j}^*)$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq l$  and

$$\mathcal{W}_\Lambda := \mathcal{R}\left(\prod_{i_j \in \Lambda} (I - T_{i_j} T_{i_j}^*)\right),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a non-empty subset of  $I_n$ . We also denote  $\mathcal{W}_\emptyset = \mathcal{H}$ . As the tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometry, Lemma 3.3 implies that  $\{(I - T_{i_j} T_{i_j}^*)\}_{j=1}^l$  is a family of commuting orthogonal projections. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{W}_\Lambda = \mathcal{R}\left(\prod_{i_j \in \Lambda} (I - T_{i_j} T_{i_j}^*)\right) = \bigcap_{i_j \in \Lambda} \mathcal{R}(I - T_{i_j} T_{i_j}^*) = \bigcap_{i_j \in \Lambda} \mathcal{W}_{i_j}$$

for each subset  $\Lambda$  of  $I_n$ .

The following result is similar to Theorem 3.6 in [19], and Theorem 3.1 in [21] (For  $n$ -tuple of doubly commuting isometries). However, our approach is different and derived from our



above result Theorem 4.2 and properties of isometries. We are now in a position to state the result as follows:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  be a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometry on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists  $2^n$  joint  $T$ -reducing subspaces  $\{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda : \Lambda \subseteq I_n\}$  (counting the trivial subspace  $\{0\}$ ) such that*

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \subseteq I_n} \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$$

and for each  $\Lambda \subseteq I_n$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\Lambda} T_\Lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \left( \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{W}_\Lambda \right).$$

And for  $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda \neq \{0\}$ ,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda}$  is unitary if  $i \in I_n \setminus \Lambda$  and  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda}$  is shift if  $i \in \Lambda$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, the above decomposition is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. Suppose that  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted isometries on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.2 there exists  $2^n$  joint  $T$ -reducing subspaces  $\{\mathcal{H}_{A_n} : A_n \subseteq I_n\}$  (including the trivial subspace  $\{0\}$ ) such that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{A_n \subseteq I_n} \mathcal{H}_{A_n}.$$

Moreover, for every non-zero decomposition spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{A_n}$ ,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_n}}$  is unitary if  $i \notin A_n$  and is shift if  $i \in A_n$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now if  $n \notin A_n$ , then by equation (4.2), the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{A_n}$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{A_n} &= \bigcap_{m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left[ \mathcal{N}((I - T_n^{m_n} T_n^{*m_n})|_{\mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}}}) \right] \\ &= \bigcap_{m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_n^{m_n} \mathcal{H}_{A_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider  $A_{i_j} \subseteq I_{i_j} = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{j-1} < i_j\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$  and  $1 \leq l \leq n$ . For a fixed  $l$ , let  $\Lambda = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{l-1} < i_l\}$ . Suppose  $i_j \notin A_{i_j}$  for  $j = n, n-1, \dots, l+1$ . Since the tuple  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$  is  $\mathcal{U}_n$ -twisted and repeating the above step, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\Lambda &= \bigcap_{m_{i_{l+1}}, \dots, m_{i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} T_{i_n}^{m_{i_n}} \dots T_{i_{l+1}}^{m_{i_{l+1}}} \mathcal{H}_{A_{i_l}} \\ &= \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{H}_{A_{i_l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for the remaining set  $I_n \setminus \Lambda$  if  $i_j \in A_{i_j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ , then from equation (4.2), the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{A_{i_l}}$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{A_{i_l}} &= \bigvee_{m_{i_l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ (I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \mathcal{H}_{A_{i_{l-1}}} \right\} \\ &= \bigvee_{m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l} \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ (I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \dots (I - T_{i_2}^{m_{i_2}} T_{i_2}^{*m_{i_2}}) (I - T_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}} T_{i_1}^{*m_{i_1}}) \mathcal{H} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again applying Lemma 3.3, for  $j = 1, \dots, l$  and  $m_{i_j} \geq 1$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &(I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \dots (I - T_{i_2}^{m_{i_2}} T_{i_2}^{*m_{i_2}}) (I - T_{i_1}^{m_{i_1}} T_{i_1}^{*m_{i_1}}) \mathcal{H} \\ &= (I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \dots (I - T_{i_2}^{m_{i_2}} T_{i_2}^{*m_{i_2}}) \left[ \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \right] \\ &= \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} (I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \dots (I - T_{i_2}^{m_{i_2}} T_{i_2}^{*m_{i_2}}) \left[ \mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \right] \\ &= \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1, m_{i_2}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} T_{i_2}^{k_{i_2}} (I - T_{i_l}^{m_{i_l}} T_{i_l}^{*m_{i_l}}) \dots (I - T_{i_3}^{m_{i_3}} T_{i_3}^{*m_{i_3}}) \left[ \mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_{i_2}^*) \right] \\ &= \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_l}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1, \dots, m_{i_l}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots T_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}} \left[ \mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}(T_{i_l}^*) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\Lambda &= \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} \left[ \bigvee_{m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l} \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_l}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1, \dots, m_{i_l}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots T_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}} (\mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}(T_{i_l}^*)) \right\} \right] \\ &= \bigvee_{m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l} \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_l}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1, \dots, m_{i_l}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots T_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}} \left[ \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} (\mathcal{N}(T_{i_1}^*) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}(T_{i_l}^*)) \right] \right\} \\ &= \bigvee_{m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l} \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \bigoplus_{k_{i_1}, \dots, k_{i_l}=0}^{m_{i_1}-1, \dots, m_{i_l}-1} T_{i_1}^{k_{i_1}} \dots T_{i_l}^{k_{i_l}} \left[ \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} (\mathcal{W}_\Lambda) \right] \right\} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\Lambda} T_\Lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \left( \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{W}_\Lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Lambda = \emptyset \subseteq I_n$ , then repeating the same step as the equation (4.5) for  $j = n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n}} T_{I_n}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore, for any  $\Lambda \subseteq I_n$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\Lambda} T_\Lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \left( \bigcap_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{I_n \setminus \Lambda}} T_{I_n \setminus \Lambda}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{W}_\Lambda \right).$$

Clearly,  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda}$  is unitary for all  $i \in I_n \setminus \Lambda$  and  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda}$  is shift for all  $i \in \Lambda$ . The uniqueness part is coming from the uniqueness of the classical Wold decomposition of isometries.

This completes the proof. ■

*Acknowledgement:* The authors are thankful to the anonymous reviewer for his/her critical and constructive reviews and suggestions that have substantially improved the presentation of the paper. The second author's research work is supported in part by the Mathematical Research Impact Centric Support (MATRICS) (MTR/2021/000695) and the Core Research Grant (CRG/2022/006891), SERB (DST), Government of India.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bercovici, R. G. Douglas and C. Foiaş, *On the classification of multi-isometries*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 72 (2006), 639–661.
- [2] H. Bercovici, R. G. Douglas and C. Foiaş, *Canonical models for bi-isometries*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 218 (2012), 177–205.
- [3] C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and A. Lebow, *Representation and index theory for  $C^*$ -algebras generated by commuting isometries*, J. Funct. Anal. 27 (1978), 51–99.
- [4] T. Bînzar, Z. Burdak, C. Lazureanu, D. Popovici, M. Słociński, *Wold-Słociński decompositions for commuting isometric triples*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 472 (2019) 1660–1677.
- [5] Z. Burdak, *On a decomposition for pairs of commuting contractions*, Studia Math. 181 (2007), no. 1, 33–45.
- [6] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek, P. Pagacz and M. Słociński, *On the commuting isometries*, Linear Algebra Appl. 516 (2017), 167–185.
- [7] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek, P. Pagacz and M. Słociński, *Shift-type properties of commuting, completely non doubly commuting pair of isometries*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 79 (2014), 107–122.
- [8] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek and M. Słociński, *The canonical Wold decomposition of commuting isometries with finite dimensional wandering spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 137 (2013), no. 5, 653–658.
- [9] X. Catepillán, W. Szymański, *A model of a family of power partial isometries*, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (1996), 117–124.
- [10] X. Catepillán, M. Ptak and W. Szymański, *Multiple canonical decompositions of families of operators and a model of quasinormal families*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 1165–1172.
- [11] D. Gaspar and P. Gaspar, *Wold decompositions and the unitary model for bi-isometries*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 49(2004), 419–433.
- [12] M. Kosiek, A. Octavio, *Wold-type extension for  $N$ -tuples of commuting contractions*, Studia Math. 137 (1999), no. 1, 81–91.
- [13] P. R. Halmos and L. J. Wallen, *Powers of partial isometries*, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969/1970), 657–663.
- [14] M. de Jeu and P. R. Pinto, *The structure of doubly non-commuting isometries*, Adv. Math. **368** (2020), 107149, 35 pp.
- [15] P. E. T. Jørgensen, D. P. Proskurin and Y. S. Samoilenko, *On  $C^*$ -algebras generated by pairs of  $q$ -commuting isometries*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005), no. 12, 2669–2680.
- [16] A. Maji, J. Sarkar and T. R. Sankar, *Pairs of commuting isometries, I*, Studia Math. 248 (2019), no. 2, 171–189.
- [17] D. Popovici, *A Wold-type decomposition for commuting isometric pairs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2303–2314.

- [18] D. Popovici, *On the structure of c.n.u. bi-isometries*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 66(3-4), 719–729 (2000)
- [19] N. Rakshit, J. Sarkar and M. Suryawanshi, *Orthogonal decompositions and twisted isometries*, Internat. J. Math. **33** (2022), no. 8, Paper No. 2250058, 28 pp.
- [20] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Translated from the French and revised, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.
- [21] J. Sarkar, *Wold decomposition for doubly commuting isometries*, Linear Algebra Appl. 445 (2014), 289–301.
- [22] M. Słociński, *On the Wold-type decomposition of a pair of commuting isometries*, Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), no. 3, 255–262.
- [23] M. Słociński, *Models for doubly commuting contractions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 45(1985), 23–42.
- [24] I. Suciū, *On the semi-groups of isometries*, Studia Math. 30 (1968), 101–110.
- [25] H. Wold, *A study in the analysis of stationary time series*, Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1954.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROORKEE-247 667,  
UTTARAKHAND, INDIA

*Email address:* smajee@ma.iitr.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROORKEE-247 667,  
UTTARAKHAND, INDIA

*Email address:* amit.maji@ma.iitr.ac.in, amit.iitm07@gmail.com (<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author)