FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS WITH CLOSED GRAPHS IN GENERALIZED BANACH SPACES

KHALED BEN AMARA[(1)], AREF JERIBI [(1)], NAJIB KADDACHI [(2)] AND ZAHRA LAOUAR[(1)]

Department of Mathematics. Faculty of Sciences of Sfax. University of Sfax.
Road Soukra Km 3.5*B.P.*1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisia.

e-mail: [(1)] Aref.Jeribi@fss.rnu.tn, [(2)] najibkadachi@gmail.com

arXiv:2207.02145v1 [math.FA] 2 Jul 2022

Abstract. In this paper, by establishing a new characterization of the notion of upper semi-continuity of multivalued mappings in generalized Banach spaces, we prove some Perov type fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings with closed graphs. Moreover, we derive some Krasnoselskii's fixe point results for multivalued mappings in generalized Banach spaces. Our results are applied to a large class of nonlinear inclusions systems.

Key words: Fixed point theorems, Generalized Banach space, Measure of weak noncompactness, Functional-integral inclusions.

AMS Classification: 34K09, 47H08, 47H10.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory for multi-valued mappings plays an important role in the study of many problems in several fields of applied sciences, see [2, 9, 12, 11] and the references therein contained. Different directions were followed in order to develop this theory.

In [18], A. Perov has extend the classical Banach contraction principle for contractive maps on spaces endowed with vector-valued metrics. Perov's type fixed point theorem has played an important role for solving many problems in diverse areas of natural science, such as nonlinear analysis, system of ordinary differential and semi-linear differential equations [10, 13, 17, 20, 21]. For this reason, several Perov's results were developed and extended by many authors in different directions, see [15, 16, 19]. Their arguments were essentially based on the vector valued metric properties. Further progress was made in [13, 17, 20, 21], where the authors studied Krasnosel'skii type fixed point theorem of both single and multivalued operators. There are several extensions and generalizations of Bohnenblust-Karlin and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem over the course of time. See for example J. J. Nieto, A. Ouahab, R. Rodriguez-Lopez [13]. Their results require the lower the compactness, semi-continuity, or upper semi-continuity of the entree multivalued, and their proof is based on the vector-valued metric notion and the following result:

Theorem 1.1. [13] *Let S be a nonempty, compact and convex subset of a generalized Banach space X and let $F : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(S)$ be an upper semi-continuous multi-valued operator. Then F has at least one fixed point, that is, there exists $x \in S$ such that $x \in F(x)$.*

Later, in [10], J. R. Graef, J. Henderson and A. Ouahab have introduced the concept of measure of noncompactness in vector-valued Banach spaces, in order to obtain a Sadovkii's fixed point theorem for singlevalued mappings.

In this paper, we establish a characterization of the upper semi-continuity of a multivalued mapping in generalized Banach space by the closeness of its graph. Moreover, we prove some new fixed point results of Perov's type for closed multi-valued operator. This allows us to derive some Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorems, without upper semi-continuity condition.

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we give some notations and preliminaries which will be used throughout this paper. In section 3, we prove some new fixed point Theorems for the

multi-valued mapping with closed graph, by using a general vector valued measure of noncompactness and we derive new fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii's type. In section 4, by invoking the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness, we extend some classical results due to Nussbaum [14], and represent the relationship between k-Lipschitzian and k-set-Lipschitzian mappings defined on a classical metric spaces to the generalized metric spaces. This, permit to establish some fixed point results of Perov's type on a larger class of multi-valued mappings which includes the M-contraction multi-valued in the sense of Nadler. In section 5, we use our results in order to discuss the existence of solutions for a nonlinear inclusion systems.

2. Preliminaries

At the beginning, we shall introduce some definitions and give preliminary results which will be needed in the sequel. If, $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n), y := (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by $x \leq y$ we mean $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, by $x \leq \alpha$ we mean $x_i \leq \alpha$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. For all $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n), y := (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we put

$$|x| = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|), \text{ and } \max(x, y) = (\max(x_1, y_1), \dots, \max(x_n, y_n)).$$

Definition 2.1. [18] Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping $d : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a vector-valued metric on X, if the following statements are satisfied
(i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, if $d(x, y) = 0$ then $x = y$,
(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. ◊

We call the pair (X, d) a generalized metric space with

$$d(x, y) := \begin{pmatrix} d_1(x, y) \\ \vdots \\ d_n(x, y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that d is a generalized metric on X, if and only, if $d_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are metrics on X.

Definition 2.2. [13, 20, 21] Let X be a vector space on $\mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$). By a vector-valued norm on X. We mean a map $\|\cdot\| : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_+$ with the following properties:
(i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, if $\|x\| = 0$ then $x = (0, ..., 0)$,
(ii) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$,
(iii) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$, for all $x, y \in X$. ◊

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a generalized normed space. If the generalized metric generated by $\|\cdot\|$ is complete then the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a generalized Banach space. Moreover, if $\|\cdot\|_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are norms on E, then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, where $\|\cdot\| := \begin{pmatrix} \|\cdot\|_1 \\ \vdots \\ \|\cdot\|_n \end{pmatrix}$, is a generalized Banach space, see [10, P. 135].
Now, for $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we will denote by

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X, \ \|x - x_0\| < r\} \quad \text{the open ball centered in } x_0 \text{ with radius } r,$$

and by

$$\overline{B(x_0, r)} = \{x \in X, \ \|x - x_0\| \leq r\} \quad \text{the closed ball centred in } x_0 \text{ with radius } r.$$

Definition 2.3. A square matrix of real numbers M is said to be convergent to zero if and only if its spectral radius $\rho(M)$ is strictly less than 1. ◊

Lemma 2.1. [24] *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The following assertions are equivalent:*
(i) *M is convergent to zero,*
(ii) *$M^k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$,*
(iii) *The matrix $(I - M)$ is nonsingular and $(I - M)^{-1} = \sum_{k\geq 0} M^k$,*
(iv) *The inverse $(I - M)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements.* ◊

Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space, we denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(X) &= \Big\{M \subset X : M \neq \emptyset\Big\},\\
\mathcal{P}_{cv}(X) &= \Big\{M \in \mathcal{P}(X) : M \text{ is convex}\Big\},\\
\mathcal{P}_{bd}(X) &= \Big\{M \in \mathcal{P}(X) : M \text{ is bounded}\Big\},\\
\mathcal{P}_{bd,cl}(X) &= \Big\{M \in \mathcal{P}_{bd}(X) : M \text{ is closed }\Big\},\\
\mathcal{P}_{bd,cl,cv}(X) &= \Big\{M \in \mathcal{P}_{bd,cl}(X) : M \text{ is convex}\Big\},\\
\mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(X) &= \Big\{M \in \mathcal{P}_{cv}(X) : M \text{ is compact}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let S be a nonempty subset of X and $F : S \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping. By $Gr(F)$, we denote the graph of F which is defined by the formula

$$Gr(F) = \{(x, y) \in S \times X : y \in T(x)\}.$$

Moreover, for all subset M of X, we put

$$F^{-1}(M) = \{x \in S : F(x) \cap M \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad F^{+}(M) = \{x \in S : F(x) \subset M\}.$$

Definition 2.4. [21] Let $F : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$. We say that
(i) F is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c) if $F^{+}(V)$ is open for any open V of X,
(ii) F has a closed graph if for every sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset S$ with $x_n \to x \in S$ and for every sequence $\{y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $y_n \in F(x_n)$, such that $y_n \to y$, then $y \in F(x)$. ◊

Let (X, d_*) be a metric space, we denote by H_{d_*} the Hausdorff pseudo-metric distance on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ defined as

$$H_{d_*} : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \;\; H_{d_*}(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} D_*(a, B); \sup_{b \in B} D_*(A, b)\right\}$$

where $D_*(A, b) = \inf_{a \in A} d_*(a, b)$ and $D_*(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d_*(a, b)$.
Then $(\mathcal{P}_{bd,cl}(X), H_{d^*})$ is a metric space and $(\mathcal{P}_{cl}(X), H_{d^*})$ is a generalized metric space. In particular, H_{d^*} satisfies the triangle inequality, see[17, 21]. Now, we consider the generalized Hausdorff pseudo-metric distance

$$H_d : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n \cup \{+\infty\},$$

defined by

$$H_d(A, B) := \begin{pmatrix} H_{d_1}(A, B) \\ \vdots \\ H_{d_n}(A, B) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $H_{d_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are Hausdorff pseudo-metric distance.

Definition 2.5. [13, 21] Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space. A multivalued mapping $F : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(X)$ is said to be:
(i) Lipschizian if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$H_d(Fx, Fy) \leq Md(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

(ii) Contraction in the sense of Nadler if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ convergent to zero such that

$$H_d(Fx, Fy) \leq Md(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } X.$$

◊

Definition 2.6. [10] Let X be a generalized Banach space and $(\mathcal{A}, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set. A map $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{A}$ is called a generalized measure of noncompactness on X, if

$$\mu(\overline{co}(V)) = \mu(V), \quad \text{for every } V \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

where

$$\mu(V) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(V) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(V) \end{pmatrix}.$$

◊

Definition 2.7. [10] A measure of noncompactness μ is called:
(i) Monotone if $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ then $\mu(V_1) \leq \mu(V_2)$ for all $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.
(ii) Nonsingular if $\mu(\{a\} \cup V) = \mu(V)$ for every $a \in X$ and $V \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.
(iii) Real if $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ and $\mu(V) < \infty$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and every bounded V.
(iv) Semi-additive if $\mu(V_1 \cup V_2) = \max(\mu(V_1), \mu(V_2))$ for every $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.
(v) Lower-additive if $\mu(V_1 + V_2) \leq \mu(V_1) + \mu(V_2)$, for all $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.
(vi) Regular if the condition $\mu(V_1) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is equivalent to the relative compactness of V. ◊

Definition 2.8. [10] Let X be a generalized metric space. A multivalued map $F : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(X)$ is said to be:
(i) Lipschizian if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq M\mu(V), \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

(ii) Contraction in the sense of Nadler if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ convergent to zero such that

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq M\mu(V), \quad \text{for all } V \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

◊

Remark 2.1. From the Definition 2.7, if μ is a real genaralised measure of non compactness and assume that $F : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ is M-contraction multivalued map with respect to μ, then $\mu(F(V)) < \infty$ for every bounded V of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ ◊

3. Perov's fixed point results for multivalued mappings with closed graphs

In this section, we are going to discuss some fixed point theorems involving nonlinear multivalued mappings in generalized Banach space by using the concept of the measure of non compactness. Notice that Deimling in [8] gave a characterization of upper semi-continuous for a multivalued mappings in Banach space in terms of the closure of its graph. Before stating the main results, we state Lemmas useful in the sequel which are an extension of the Deimling's results to the generalized metric space.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a nonempty subset of a generalized Banach space E and let $Q : S \subset E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(E)$ be a multi-valued operator such that $Q^{-1}(V)$ is closed, for any closed subset V of E. Then Q is upper semi-continuous.*

Proof. Let $E_i = E; i = 1, \ldots, n$. Consider $\prod_{i=1}^{n} E_i$ with

$$\|(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x\|_i \quad \text{for each } x \in E.$$

The diagonal space of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} E_i$ defined by

$$\widetilde{E} = \Big\{(x, \ldots, x) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_i : x \in E, i = 1, \ldots, n\Big\}.$$

Let us define the mapping $h : E \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}$ by the formula $h(x) = (x, \ldots, x)$, where

$$\widetilde{E} = \Big\{(x, \ldots, x) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_i \quad \text{such that } x \in E_i = E; i = 1, \ldots, n\Big\}.$$

Notice that $\widetilde{E}$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ defined by

$$\|(x, \ldots, x)\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x\|_i \quad \text{for each } x \in E,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are norms. Let $R := h \circ Q \circ h^{-1} : h(S) \subset \widetilde{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(\widetilde{E})$. The use of our assumption as well as Lemma 7.12 in [10] allows us to say that $Q^{-1}(h^{-1}(A))$ is closed, for any closed subset A of $\widetilde{E}$. Using [7, page.3], we get $R^{-1}(A)$ is closed in $h(S)$. As a result, R is upper semi-continuous on $h(S)$ in light

of Proposition 24.1 in [8]. Now, we prove that Q is upper semi-continuous on E. To see this, let U be an open on E, then $h(U)$ is an open on $\widetilde{E}$. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} R^+(h(U)) &= \Big\{y \in h(S), R(y) \subset h(U)\Big\} = \Big\{h(x),\ \ x \in S\ \ R(h(x)) \subset h(U)\Big\} \\ &= h\left(\Big\{x \in S,\ \ R(h(x)) \subset h(U)\Big\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since R is upper semi-continuous on $h(S)$, it follows that

$$R^+(h(U)) = h\left(\{x \in S,\ \ h(Q(x)) \subset h(U)\}\right) = h\left(\{x \in S,\ \ Q(x) \subset U\}\right) = h\left(Q^+(U)\right) \tag{3.1}$$

is an open subset of $\widetilde{E}$ and consequently $Q^+(U)$ is open in E in view of proposition 24.1 in [8].

Lemma 3.2. *Let S be a nonempty and closed subset of a generalized Banach space E and let $Q : S \subset E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(E)$ be a multi-valued operator. If Q is upper semi-continuous, then Q has a closed graph.* ◊

Proof. Let U be an open in $\widetilde{E}$. Then $h^{-1}(U)$ as well as $Q^+(h^{-1}(U))$ is open in E. Using the equality (3.1) combined with the fact that h is a homeomorphism, we obtain that $R^+(U)$ is an open on $\widetilde{E}$, where R is defined in Lemma 3.1, or equivalently R is upper semi-continuous. From proposition 24.1 in [8], it follows that R has a closed graph. Next, we claim that Q has a closed graph. To see this, consider $\{x_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as a convergent sequence in S to a point x and let $\{y_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a converging sequence in $Q(x_n)$ to a point y. Making use of Lemma 7.12 in [10], together with the assumption on Q, enables us to have $h(x_n) \to h(x)$ and $\{h(y_n),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a converging sequence in $R(h(x_n)))$ to $h(y)$. From the above discussion, it is easy to see that $h(y) \in R(h(x)))$ or equivalently $y \in Qx$ and the claim is proved.

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be a nonempty and closed subset of a generalized Banach space E and let $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl}(E)$ be a multi-valued operator such that $Q(S)$ is relatively compact. Then Q has a closed graph if and only if Q is upper semi-continuous.* ◊

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.2, we need only to prove necessity. Firstly, we claim that R has a closed graph, where R is defined in Lemma 3.1. To see this, let $\{\xi_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence in $h(S)$, with $\xi_n \to \xi \in h(S)$ and let $\nu_n \in R(\xi_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\nu_n \to \nu$. If we take the sequences $\{x_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{y_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defined by

$$x_n = h^{-1}(\xi_n) \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = h^{-1}(\nu_n),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $x_n \to h^{-1}(\xi) = x \in E$ and $y_n \in Q(x_n)$ with $y_n \to h^{-1}(\nu) = y$. Since Q has a closed graph E, then $y \in Q(x)$. This implies that $\nu \in R(\xi)$, and the claim is proved. Our next task is to prove that $Q^{-1}(V)$ is closed for all closed V in E. For this purpose, let V be a closed subset of E and let $(x_n)_n \subset Q^{-1}(V)$ such that $x_n \to x$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{y_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$y_n \in Q(x_n) \cap V, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $Q(S)$ is relatively compact, we can extract a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_k$ of $\{y_n,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$y_{n_k} \to y$$

From (3.2), we get

$$h(y_{n_k}) \in R(h(x_{n_k})),\ \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account the fact that R has a closed graph, we infer that

$$h(y) \in R(h(x)).$$

This implies that

$$y \in Q(x) \cap V,$$

and consequently

$$x \in Q^{-1}(V).$$

This achieves the claim and completes the proof, using Lemma 3.1.

In the remained of this section, we consider a monotone, regular, real generalized measure of non compactness $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ defined by

$$\mu(V) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(V) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(V) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

where the maps

$$\mu_i : \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, i = 1, ..., n.$$

In what follows we are going to give an extension of the Schauder's fixed point theorem to the class of multivalued mappings acting on generalized Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a generalized Banach space, S be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E. Let $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(S)$ be a multivalued mapping with a closed graph. Suppose that $Q(S)$ is bounded and there exists a nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $\varphi^m(t) \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, such that*

$$\mu(Q(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V)),$$

for any bounded subset V of S. Then, Q has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

Proof. Consider the sequence (Ω_n) defined as $\Omega_0 = S$ and $\Omega_n = \overline{co}Q(\Omega_{n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(\Omega_{n_0} = 0$, then Ω_{n_0} is compact. Consequently combining Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.3, we get Q has a fixed point in S. Next, suppose that $\mu(\Omega_n > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let us observe that for all $k \geq 1$, we have Ω_k is bounded and

$$\mu(\Omega_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(\Omega_k) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(\Omega_k) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(\overline{co}Q(\Omega_{k-1})) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(\overline{co}Q(\Omega_{k-1})) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(Q(\Omega_{k-1})) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(Q(\Omega_{k-1})) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

Taking into account that φ is nondecreasing, we show that

$$\mu(\Omega_k) \leq \varphi(\mu(\Omega_{k-1})) \leq \varphi^2(\mu(\Omega_{k-2})) \leq \ldots \leq \varphi^{k-1}(\mu(\Omega_1)).$$

This implies that $\mu(\Omega_k) \to 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ when k tends to infinity. Now, taking into account that $\Omega_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$, we derive that the set

$$\Omega_\infty = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$$

is a nonempty, convex and compact subset of S. Moreover, we can easily verify that Ω_∞ is invariant under the multivalued Q. This means, in particular, that Q has compact values on Ω_∞. Now, by applying Lemma 3.3 again and the fact that Q has closed graph, we conclude that the multivalued mapping $Q : \Omega_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(\Omega_\infty)$ is upper semi-continuous. This completes the proof, in view of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 extends some results due to A. Aghajani, J. Banas and N. Sabzali in [1] to the case of generalized Banach spaces for multivalued mappings. ◊

From Theorem 3.1, we can derive some important results.

Corollary 3.1. *Let E be a generalized Banach space, S be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E. Let $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(S)$ be a multivalued mapping with a closed graph. Assume that $Q(S)$ is bounded and Q is M-contraction with respect to μ. Then, Q has, at least, one fixed point in S.* ◊

Corollary 3.2. *Let E be a generalized Banach space, S be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E. Let $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(S)$ be a multivalued mapping with closed graph. If $Q(S)$ is relatively compact, then Q has, at least, one fixed point in S.* ◊

Proof. Let us define a multivalued mapping $Q : \overline{co}(Q(S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(\overline{co}(Q(S)))$. Let V be a subset of $\overline{co}(Q(S))$. First, observe that $\mu(V) < \infty$ and $\mu(Q(V)) = 0_{R^n}$. This means that Q is $\mathbf{0}$-contraction with respect to μ on $\overline{co}(Q(S))$, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero matrix of $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Hence, the desired result follows from corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.2. In view of lemma 3.3, Corollary 3.2 extends Theorem 1.1. ◊

Now, we present new fixed point theorems of Krasnoselskii type for the sum of two multivalued mappings defined on a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a generalized Banach space.

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be a generalized Banach space, S be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E. Let $F, G : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(E)$ be two multivalued mappings with closed graphs such that:*
(i) There exists a continuous, nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_+$ with $\lim_{m\to\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, such that

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V))$$

for any bounded subset V of S,
(ii) $G(S)$ is relatively compact,
(iii) $(F + G)(S)$ is bounded in S.
Then $G + F$ has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

Proof. Let us define a multi-valued mapping $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(S)$ by

$$Qx = Fx + Gx.$$

Let D be a bounded subset of S. Taking into account both Remark 2.1 and the subadditivity of the measure μ, we deduce that

$$\mu(Q(D)) \leq \mu(F(D)) + \mu(G(D)).$$

From assumptions (i) and (ii), we get

$$\mu(Q(D)) \leq \varphi(\mu(D)). \tag{3.5}$$

Now, we claim that Q has a closed graph. To see this, let $\{x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence in S such that $x_n \to x$, and let $y_n \in Q(x_n)$ such that $y_n \to y$. Then, there exist $u_n \in F(x_n)$ and $v_n \in G(x_n)$ such that

$$y_n = u_n + v_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So, by using the inclusion $\{v_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset G(\{x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ and the assumption (ii), we infer that $\{v_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact. Then, there is a renamed subsequence such that $v_n \to v$ and $v \in G(x)$, since G has a closed graph. The use of the fact that F has a closed graph allows us to deduce that

$$y - v \in F(x).$$

This proves the claim and completes the proof by applying Theorem 3.1.

In order to weakening the stability condition (iii) of the above Theorem, we establish the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a generalized Banach space E. Let $F : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(E)$ and $G : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ be two multivalued mappings with closed graphs such that:*
(i) There exists a continuous, nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n_+$ with $\lim_{m\to\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, such that

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V)) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}_{bd}(X),$$

(ii) $F(E)$ is bounded and $G(S)$ is relatively compact,
(iii) $(I - F)^{-1}Gx$ is convex, for all $x \in S$,
(iv) $x \in F(x) + G(y),\ \ y \in S \Longrightarrow x \in S$.
Then $G + F$ has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

Proof. Let y be fixed in $G(S)$, the map which assigns to each $x \in E$ the set $F(x) + y$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 3.1. Thus, it has a fixed point $x_y \in E$. So, taking into account the assumptions (iii) and (iv), we can define a multivalued mapping $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cv}(S)$ by

$$Qx = (I - F)^{-1}G(x).$$

Let us claim that Q has closed values on S. For this purpose, let $x \in S$ and let $\{y_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset Qx$ such that $y_n \to y$. Since $y_n \in Q(x)$, then

$$(I - F)(y_n) \cap G(x) \neq \emptyset, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies that there exists $u_n \in F(y_n)$ and $v_n \in G(x)$ such that

$$y_n = u_n + v_n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

The fact that $G(S)$ is relatively compact allows $\{v_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to have a renamed subsequence such that

$$v_n \to v.$$

On the other hand, since G has a closed graph, we get $v \in G(x)$. Taking into account both equality (3.6) and the fact that F has a closed graph, we infer that

$$y - v \in F(y).$$

Hence, we have

$$(I - F)(y) \cap G(x) \neq \emptyset,$$

which proves the claim. Our next task is to prove that $Q(S)$ is relatively compact. Proceeding essentially as in the proof of theorem 3.2, in view of inclusion

$$Q(S) \subseteq F(Q(S)) + G(S)$$

we have

$$\mu\left(Q(S)\right) \leq \varphi(\mu\left((Q(S)\right)).$$

Since φ is nondecreasing, we get

$$\mu(Q(S)) \leq \varphi(\mu(Q(S))) \leq \varphi^2(Q(S))) \leq \ldots \leq \varphi^m(\mu(Q(S))).$$

Letting $m \to \infty$, and using the regularity of the generalized measure of noncompactness μ, we deduce the relatively compactness of $Q(S)$. Since $Q(S) \subset S$ and Q has closed values on S, then we can consider the multi-valued mapping Q by

$$\begin{cases} Q : \overline{co}(Q(S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(\overline{co}(Q(S))) \\ \\ x \mapsto (I - F)^{-1}G(x) \end{cases}$$

In order to achieve the proof, we will apply Theorem 1.1. Hence, in view of lemma 3.3, it is sufficient to prove that Q has a closed graph on $\overline{co}(Q(S))$. To see this, let $\{x_n, \ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence in $\overline{co}(Q(S))$ with $x_n \to x$ and let $y_n \in Q(x_n)$ with $y_n \to y$. This enables us to have

$$y_n \in F(y_n) + G(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and consequently there exist $u_n \in F(y_n)$ and $v_n \in G(x_n)$, such that

$$y_n = u_n + v_n, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, from the assumption (ii), and in view of inclusion $\{v_n, \ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset G(\{x_n, \ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\})$, we deduce that $\{v_n, \ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a renamed subsequence which converges weakly to a point v in E. Since $u_{n_k} \to y - v$, so by using the fact that F and G have closed graph, we get

$$v \in (I - F)y \cap G(x).$$

This prove the claim and completes the proof by using Theorem 1.1.

4. Multivalued fixed point results in terms of the Hausdorff measure of non compactness

This section is devoted to develop some fixed point results of Perov type for multivalued mappings, in terms of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. Thus, we start by introducing a real generalized measure of non compactness $\mu : \mathcal{P}(E) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, which will be used in this section, by

$$\mu(V) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(V) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(V) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

where the maps

$$\mu_i : \mathcal{P}(E) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+, i = 1, ..., n$$

are defined by

$$\mu_i(V) := \begin{cases} \chi_i(V) & \text{if} \quad V \text{ bounded} \\ +\infty & \text{if} \quad V \text{ unbounded} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\chi_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n$, is the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in the Banach spaces $(E, \|\cdot\|_i)$, see [3]. It is clear that μ satisfies the properties $(i) - (vi)$.

In [14], Roger D. Nussbaum has proved that every k-contraction mappings defined on metric spaces is k-set-contraction. This result was extended to the case of multivalued mappings, see [8]. The following

Lemma present an extension of these results to the case of multivalued mappings defined on generalized Banach space.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E be a generalized Banach space. If the multivalued mapping $F : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp}(E)$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$H_d(Fx, Fy) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } E,$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ is continuous and nondecreasing function, then

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V)), \quad \text{for all bounded subset } V \text{ of } E.$$

Proof. Let D be a bounded subset of E and let $r := \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{pmatrix}$, with $r_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$\mu(D) = r.$$

By the definition of μ, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\mu_i(D) = r_i.$$

Let $\varepsilon := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$, with $\varepsilon_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$. By the definition of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness μ_i, it follows that

$$D \subseteq \cup_{k=1}^m B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i), \quad x_k \in E, k = 1, \ldots, m,$$

where $B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i) = \{x \in E, \ \|x - x_k\|_i \leq r_i + \varepsilon_i\}$.
Then, we get

$$F(D) \subseteq F\left(\cup_{k=1}^m B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i)\right) \subseteq \cup_{k=1}^m F\left(B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Let us consider $\varphi := \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{pmatrix}$, where $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Now, we claim that

$$F\left(B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i)\right) \subseteq B_i\left(y_k, \varphi_i(r + \varepsilon)\right), \quad \text{for some } y_k \in \overline{F(x_k)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $y \in F\left(B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i)\right)$, then there exists $x \in B_i(x_k, r_i + \varepsilon_i)$ such that, $y \in Fx$. Hence, we have

$$\|x - x_k\|_i \leq r_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

which implies that

$$\|x - x_k\| \leq r + \varepsilon.$$

Since φ_i is nondecreasing, it follows that

$$\varphi_i(\|x - x_k\|) \leq \varphi_i(r + \varepsilon).$$

From our assumptions, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{d_i}(F(x), F(x_k)) &\leq \varphi_i(\|x - x_k\|) \\ &\leq \varphi_i(r + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(y, F(x_k)) &= \inf_{z_k \in F(x_k)} \|y - z_k\|_i \leq \inf_{z_k \in \overline{F(x_k)}} \|y - z_k\|_i \\ &\leq H_{d_i}(F(x), \overline{F(x_k)}) = H_{d_i}(F(x), F(x_k)) \leq \varphi_i(r + \varepsilon), \ i = 1, \ldots, n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $F(x_k)$ is compact in E, it follows that

$$\mu((F(x_k))) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Then,

$$\mu_i(F(x_k)) = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

This implies that $\overline{F(x_k)}$ is compact on Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_i)$, and consequently there exists $y_k \in \overline{F(x_k)}$ such that

$$\inf_{z_k \in \overline{F(x_k)}} \|y - z_k\|_i = \|y - y_k\|_i \leq \varphi_i(r + \varepsilon)$$

and the claim is proved. Now, taking into account both inclusions (4.3) and (4.4), we deduce that

$$F(D) \subseteq \cup_{k=1}^{m} B_i\left(y_k, \varphi_i(r+\varepsilon)\right),\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then by again using the definition of the measure of noncompactness μ_i, we infer that

$$\mu_i(F(D)) \leq \varphi_i(r+\varepsilon),\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since ε is arbitrary and φ_i is continuous, we infer that

$$\mu_i(F(D)) \leq \varphi_i(\mu(D)),\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\mu(F(D)) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(F(D)) \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n(F(D)) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(\mu(D)) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n(\mu(D)) \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(\mu(D)).$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a generalized Banach space E. Assume that the multivalued mapping $Q : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(S)$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$H_d(Qx, Qy) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } E, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ is continuous and nondecreasing, such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then Q has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

Proof. First, in view of inequality (4.5) together with Lemma 4.1, we infer that for all subset V of S the set $Q(V)$ is bounded and

$$\mu(Q(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V)).$$

Now, we claim that Q has a closed graph. To see this, let $\{x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence in S such that $x_n \to x$, and let $y_n \in Q(x_n)$ such that $y_n \to y$. Let us define φ by $\varphi := (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$, where $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.
Then, we can again use (4.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(y, Qx) &\leq d_i(y, y_n) + D_i(y_n, Qx_n) + H_{d_i}(Qx_n, Qx) \\ &\leq d_i(y, y_n) + \varphi_i(d(x, x_n)) \\ &\leq d_i(y, y_n) + \varphi_i(d(x, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D(y, Qx) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1(y, Qx) \\ \vdots \\ D_2(y, Qx) \end{pmatrix} &\leq \begin{pmatrix} d_1(y, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ d_n(y, y_n) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(d(x, x_n)) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n(d(x, x_n)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= d(y, y_n) + \varphi(d(x, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, and taking into account the facts that φ is continuous, $\varphi(0) = 0$, and Qx is closed, we infer that $y \in Q(x)$, and consequently Q has closed graph. Invoking Theorem 3.1, we deduce that there exists $x \in S$ such that $x \in Q(x)$.

Remark 4.1. (*i*) The condition $\varphi(0) = 0$ can be removed if we suppose that Q has a closed graph.
(*ii*) Theorem 4.1 extend Dhage's fixed point theorem to the case of generalized Banach spaces for multivalued mappings. ◊

By combining Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.3, we get the following fixed point result:

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a generalized Banach space E. Assume that $F : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(E)$ and $G : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ are two multivalued mappings with closed graphs, satisfying:*
(*i*) *There is a continuous, nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $\lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that*

$$H_d(Fx, Fy) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } E,$$

(*ii*) *$F(E)$ is bounded and $G(S)$ is relatively compact,*
(*iii*) *$(I-F)^{-1}Gx$ is convex, for all $x \in S$,*
(*iv*) *$x \in F(x) + G(y)$, $y \in S \Longrightarrow x \in S$.*
Then $G + F$ has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

If the multivalued F is M-contraction in Nadler's sense, the following result is obtained immediately from Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.1. *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a generalized Banach space X. Assume that $F : E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(E)$ and $G : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(E)$ are multivalued mappings satisfying the following conditions:*
(*i*) *F is M-contraction in Nadler's sense and $F(E)$ is bounded,*
(*ii*) *G has a closed graph and $G(S)$ is relatively compact,*
(*iii*) *$(I-F)^{-1}Gx$ is convex, for all $x \in S$,*
(*iv*) *$x \in F(x) + G(y)$, $y \in S \Rightarrow x \in S$.*
Then $G + F$ has, at least, one fixed point in S. ◊

Remark 4.2. Corollary 4.1 extends Theorem 2.14 in [21]. ◊

5. Application to a system of functional-integral inclusions

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. The aim of this section is to discuss existence results for the following system of functional-integral inclusions in $E \times E$:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) \in R_1(t, x(t), y(t)) + \displaystyle\int_0^t Q_1(s, x(s), y(s))ds; \\ y(t) \in R_2(t, x(t), y(t)) + \displaystyle\int_0^t Q_2(s, x(s), y(s))ds, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $E := C(J, X)$ is the space of all continuous functions endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \sup_{t\in J} \|x(t)\|$, $J := [0, \rho]$, $\rho > 0$.
For more convenience, let us recall that for any multi-valued function $H : J \times X \times X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ and for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\int_0^t H(s, x(s), y(s))ds = \left\{ \int_0^t v(s)ds : \ v \in B(J, X) \text{ and } v(s) \in H(s, x(s), y(s)) \right\}$$

and

$$\|H(t, x, y)\| = \sup \{\|v\| : \ v \in H(t, x, y)\},$$

where $B(J, X)$ denotes the space of Bochner integrable function from J into X, see [4, 25].
The following result is important in our proof:

Theorem 5.1. [3] *Let V be a bounded and equicontinuous subset of E. Then, the map $t \longrightarrow \chi(V(t))$ is continuous on J and*

$$\chi(V) = \sup_{t\in J} \chi(V(t)) = \chi(V([0, \rho])),$$

where $V(t) = \{v(t), \ v \in V\}$ and $V([0, \rho]) = \bigcup_{t\in J} \{v(t), \ v \in V\}$.
Here χ is the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. ◊

In our considerations, we list the following hypotheses:
($\mathcal{H}_1$) The function $R_i : J \times X \times X \longrightarrow X$, for $i = 1, 2$, is such that:
(a) There exists a continuous function $h_i : J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|R_i(t, x, y) - R_i(s, x, y)\| \leq |h_i(t) - h_i(s)| \max(\|x\|, \|y\|), \text{ for all } t, s \in J \text{ and all } x, y \in X.$$

(b) The partial function $(x, y) \mapsto R_i(t, x, y)$ is completely continuous.
($\mathcal{H}_2$) The multivalued mapping $Q_i : J \times X \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(X)$, for $i = 1, 2$, is such that:
(a) For each continuous functions $x, y : J \longrightarrow X$, there exists a Bochner integrable function $w_i : J \longrightarrow X$ with $w_i(t) \in Q_i(t, x, y)$ a.e on J.
(b) The partial $Q_{i,t} = Q_i(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ has a closed graph, for all $t \in J$.

(c) The mapping Q_i is bounded and there exist nonnegative real numbers a_{i1} and a_{i2} such that:

$$\chi\left(Q_i(J \times V_1 \times V_2)\right) \leq a_{i1}\chi\left(V_1\right) + a_{i2}\chi\left(V_2\right)$$

for all bounded subsets V_1, V_2 of X.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that the assumptions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ are satisfied. Moreover, assume that the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho a_{11} & \rho a_{12} \\ \rho a_{21} & \rho a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

converges vers zero. Then, the inclusions system (5.1) has at least one solution whenever there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that

$$(\|h_i\|_\infty + |h_i(0)|)r + \alpha_i + \delta_i\,\rho \leq r, i = 1, 2,$$

where the constant α_i and δ_i will be defined in (5.5). ◊

Proof. We recall that the problem (5.1) is equivalent to the following fixed point problem

$$(x, y) \in F(x, y) + G(x, y)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} F : E \times E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(E \times E) \\ \\ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (F_1(x_1, x_2), F_2(x_1, x_2)), \end{cases}$$

with the multivalued mapping F_i, for $i = 1, 2$, is defined by

$$F_i(x_1, x_2) = \left\{u_i \in E; u_i(t) = \int_0^t y_i(s)ds, y_i \in B(J, X) \text{ and } y_i(s) \in Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))\right\}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} G : E \times E \longrightarrow E \times E \\ \\ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (G_1(x_1, x_2), G_2(x_1, x_2)), \end{cases}$$

with the mapping $G_i, i = 1, 2$, is defined by

$$G_i(x_1, x_2)(t) = R_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)).$$

Let S be a subset of $E \times E$ given by

$$S = \left\{(x_1, x_2) \in E \times E, \quad \text{such that } \|x_i\|_\infty \leq r; \|x_i(t) - x_i(s)\| \leq |h_i(t) - h_i(s)|\, r + \delta_i|t - s|\right\}.$$

Observe that S is non-empty, closed, convex and bounded, and F define a multivalued mapping from S into $\mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(E \times E)$. In fact, using assumption $(\mathcal{H}_2)$-(b), it is easy to see that F maps S into $\mathcal{P}(E \times E)$. Next, we will prove the convexity and the closeness of the values of F. For this purpose, let (x_1, x_2) be an arbitrary element of S. Let $u_i, v_i \in F_i(x_1, x_2)$, then there exist $y_i, z_i \in B(J, X)$ with $y_i(s), z_i(s) \in Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))$ such that

$$u_i(t) = \int_0^t y_i(s)ds, \;\; v_i(t) = \int_0^t z_i(s)ds, t \in J.$$

For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\lambda u_i(t) + (1 - \lambda)v_i(t) = \int_0^t \left(\lambda y_i(s) + (1 - \lambda)z_i(s)\right) ds.$$

Taking into account that Q_i has convex values, we obtain

$$\lambda y_i(s) + (1 - \lambda)z_i(s) \in Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)), \text{ for all } s \in J.$$

Hence, $\lambda u_i + (1 - \lambda)v_i \in F_i(x_1, x_2)$. Therefore, F has convex values on S. Our next task is to prove that F has closed values. To see this, let (x_1, x_2) be an arbitrary element of S and let $\left\{u_n = \left(u_n^1, u_n^2\right), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of $F(x_1, x_2)$ which converges to some $u = (u_1, u_2)$. This allows to find two sequences $\left\{y_n^1, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \left\{y_n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $B(J, X)$ with

$$\left\{y_n^1(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset Q_1\left(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)\right) \text{ and } \left\{y_n^2(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset Q_2\left(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)\right), s \in J, \tag{5.2}$$

such that

$$u_n^1(t) = \int_0^t y_n^1(s)ds \text{ and } u_n^2(t) = \int_0^t y_n^2(s)ds,\ t \in J, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The use of assumption $(\mathcal{H}_2)$-(c) leads the sequence $\left\{y_n^i(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, i = 1, 2$, to have a convergent subsequence $\left(y_{n_k}^i(s)\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ to some $y_i(s)$. This shows with the dominated convergence theorem, that $y_i \in B(J, X)$. Moreover, from the fact that Q_i has closed values, it follows that

$$y_i(s) \in Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)), s \in J.$$

By uniqueness of limits, we deduce that

$$u_i(t) = \int_0^t y_i(s)ds, t \in J, i \in \{1, 2\},$$

and consequently F has closed values on S. So, F defines a multivalued operator with a closed and convex values. Now, we show that F has a closed graph. To see this, we need only to prove that F_1 and F_2 have closed graphs. Let $\left\{x_n := (x_n^1, x_n^2),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence in S such that $x_n \to x := (x_1, x_2)$. Let $\{u_n^i,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of E, with $u_n^i \in F_i(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $u_n^i \to u_i$. Arguing as above, we have

$$u_n^i(t) = \int_0^t y_n^i(s)ds, t \in J$$

for some sequence $\{y_n^i,\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of Bochner integrable mappings such that

$$y_n^i(s) \in Q_i\left(s, x_n^1(s), x_n^2(s)\right), s \in J.$$

Making use of assumption $(\mathcal{H}_2)$-(c), enables us to have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\left(\left\{y_n^i(s),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) &\leq \chi\left(Q_i\left(\{s\} \times \left\{x_n^1(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \times \left\{x_n^2(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)\right) \\ &\leq a_{i1}\chi\left(\left\{x_n^1(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) + a_{i2}\chi\left(\left\{x_n^2(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Making use of inequalities (5.3) together with the relatively compactness of $\{x_n(s),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we infer that the sequence $\left\{y_n^i(s), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, s \in J$, has a convergent subsequence $\left(y_{n_k}^i(s)\right)_k$ to some $y_i(s)$. Using the fact that $Q_i(s, \cdot, \cdot), s \in J$, has a closed graph, we obtain

$$y_i(s) \in Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)), s \in J.$$

Proceeding essentially as above, we may shows that $y_i \in B(J, X)$ and

$$u_{n_k}^i(t) = \int_0^t y_{n_k}^i(s)ds \longrightarrow u_i(t) = \int_0^t y_i(s)ds \ \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

and consequently $F_i, i = 1, 2$, has a closed graph on S.

Our strategy is to apply Theorem 3.2, then we need to verify the following steps:

Claim 1: There exists a continuous, nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that

$$\mu(F(V)) \leq \varphi(\mu(V)) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{P}_{bd}(E \times E)$$

with $\lim_{m\to\infty} \varphi^m(t) = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,.

Indeed, let $D := D_1 \times D_2$ be an arbitrary nonempty subset of S. For any $i \in \{1, 2\}$, by using the properties of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness χ and the mean value theorem for the Bochner integral (see [5]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(F_i(D)(t)) &= \chi\left(\left\{\int_0^t Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)), (x_1, x_2) \in D\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \chi\left(t\overline{co}\left\{Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)), (x_1, x_2) \in D, s \in (0, t)\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \rho\chi\left(\overline{co}\left\{Q_i([0, \rho] \times D_1([0, \rho]) \times D_2([0, \rho])\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

From assumption $(\mathcal{H}_2)$-(c), it follows that

$$\chi(F_i(D)(t)) \leq \rho a_{i1}\chi\left(D_1[0, \rho]\right) + \rho a_{i2}\chi\left(D_2[0, \rho]\right).$$

Since D_1 and D_2 are bounded and equicontinuous and taking into account Theorem 5.1, it follows that

$$\chi(F_i(D)(t)) \leq \rho a_{i1}\chi\left(D_1\right) + \rho a_{i2}\chi\left(D_2\right). \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that $F_i(D)$ is equicontinuous in view of the assumption $(\mathcal{H}_2)$-(c). Therefore, by using Theorem 5.1 as well as the inequality (5.4), we obtain

$$\chi(F_i(D)) \leq \rho a_{i1}\chi(D_1) + \rho a_{i2}\chi(D_2), i = 1, 2.$$

This yields to get

$$\mu(F(D)) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(F_1(D)) \\ \chi(F_2(D)) \end{pmatrix} \leq M\mu(D),$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \rho a_{11} & \rho a_{12} \\ \rho a_{21} & \rho a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mu(D) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(D_1) \\ \chi(D_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This achieves the proof of this claim, with $\varphi(t) = M\,t$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$.
Claim 2: G is completely continuous on S. Regarding assumption $(\mathcal{H}_1)$-(b) it is sufficient to prove F maps subsets of S into relatively compact sets. To do this, let $D := (D_1, D_2)$ be an arbitrary subset of S. Then,

$$\mu(F(D)) = \mu(F_1(D_1 \times D_2), F_2(D_1 \times D_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi(F_1(D_1 \times D_2)) \\ \chi(F_2(D_1 \times D_2)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, in view of assumption $(\mathcal{H}_1)$-(b) it follows that for all $t \in J$ we have

$$\chi(F_i(D_1 \times D_2))(t) = \chi(R_i(\{t\} \times D_1(t) \times D_2(t))) = 0, i = 1, 2.$$

The use of assumption $(\mathcal{H}_1)$-(a) enables us to have that $F_i(D_1 \times D_2))$ is equicontinuous. Taking into account the Theorem 5.1, we get $\chi(F_i(D_1 \times D_2))$. Consequently, $F(D)$ is relatively compact.
Claim 3: $(F + G)(S)$ is includes in S. Let

$$y := (y_1, y_2) \in (F + G)(S).$$

Then, there exists $x := (x_1, x_2) \in S$ such that

$$y_i \in F_i(x_1, x_2) + G_i(x_1, x_2), i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

It follows that, there exist $z_i(t) \in Q_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t))$ such that

$$y_i(t) = R_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) + \int_0^t z_i(s)ds, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Accordingly, from assumption $(\mathcal{H}_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_i(t)\| &\leq (|h_i(t)| + |h_i(0)|)r + \|R_i(0, x_1(t), x_2(t))\| + \int_0^t \|Q_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s))\|ds \\ &\leq (\|h_i\|_\infty + |h_i(0)|)r + \alpha_i + \delta_i\,\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \|R_i(\{0\} \times B_r \times B_r)\| \text{ and } \delta_i = \|Q_i(J \times B_r \times B_r)\|. \tag{5.5}$$

Now, let $t, s \in J$ with $t < s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_i(t) - y_i(s)\| &\leq \|R_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t)) - R_i(s, x_1(s), x_2(s)\| + \left\| \int_s^t z_i(s)du \right\| \\ &\leq (|h_i(t) - h_i(s)|)\, r + \delta_i|t - s|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_i = \|Q_i(J \times B_r \times B_r)\|$.
This achieves the proof of our claim. Now, we may invoke Theorem 3.2 in order to conclude that the system of functional-integral inclusions (5.1) has, at least, one solution.

References

[1] A. Aghajani, J. Banaś and N. Sabzali, *Some generalizations of Darbo fixed point theorem and applications,* Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **20**(2013), 345–358.

[2] A. Amro Alsheikh, A. Ben Amar and D. O'Regan, *Fixed point theorems for the sum of two multivalued mappings and an application to an integral inclusion.* Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **40** (2017), no. 3, 1307–1320.

[3] J. Banaś and K. Goebel, *Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics,* Marcel Dekker, New York, (1980).

[4] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie generale: Chapitres* 1 *à* 4, Springer Science and Business Media, (2007).

[5] M. Cichon, I. Kubiaczyk and A. Sikorska, *The Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrals and existence theorems for the Cauchy problem*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **54(129)** (2004), 279–289.

[6] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis,* Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1990).

[7] R. Croos, *Multivalued linear operators,* CRC Press, (1998).

[8] K. Deimling, *Multi-valued Differential Equations,* De Gruyter, Berlin, (1998).

[9] B.C Dhage, *Some generalizations of mulit-valued version of Schauder's fixed point theorem with applications.* Cubo **12** (2010), no. 3, 139–151.

[10] J. R. Graef, J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, *Topological methods for differential equations and inclusions, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics,* CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2019).

[11] A. Jeribi, N. Kaddachi and B. Krichen, *Existence results for a coupled system of perturbed functional differential inclusions in Banach algebras.* Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **41** (2018), no. 2, 893–918.

[12] A. Jeribi,and B. Krichen, *Nonlinear functional analysis in Banach spaces and Banach algebras: Fixed point theory under weak topology for nonlinear operators and block operator matrices with applications.* Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press Taylor and Francis, (2015).

[13] J. J. Nieto, A. Ouahab and R. Rodríguez-López, *Fixed point theorems in generalized Banach algebras and applications,* Fixed Point Theory, **19**(2018), 707–732.

[14] R. D. Nussbaum, *The fixed point index for local condensing maps,* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **89** (1971), 217–258.

[15] D. O'Regan and R. Precup, *Continuation theory for contractions on spaces with two vector-valued metrics,* Applicable Analysis, (2003), 131-144.

[16] D. O'Regan, N. Shahzad and R. P. Agarwal, *Fixed point theory for generalized contractive maps on spaces with vector-valued metrics,* Fixed point theory and applications, (2007), 143–149.

[17] A. Ouahab, *Some Perov 's and Krasnosel'skii type fixed point results and application,* Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal, (2015), 623–642.

[18] A. I. Perov, *On the Cauchy problem for a system of ordinary differential equations,* Pviblizhen. Met. Reshen. Differ. Uvavn., **2** (1964), 115–134 (in Russian).

[19] A. I. Perov and A. V. Kibenko, *On a certain general method for investigation of boundary value problems,* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **30** (1966) 249–264, (in Russian).

[20] I.R. Petre, *A multivalued version of Krasnosel'skii's theorem in generalized Banach spaces,* An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat., **22**(2014), 177–192.

[21] I.R. Petre and A. Petruşel, *Krasnosel'skii's theorem in generalized Banach spaces and applications,* Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., (2012),1– 20.

[22] A. Petruşel and I. A. Rus, *Fixed point theory for multivalued operators on a set with two metrics,* Fixed Point Theory **8**(2007), 97–104.

[23] R. Precup, *Methods in Nonlinear Integral Equations,* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2002).

[24] R. S. Varga, *Matrix iterative analysis,* Second revised and expanded edition, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, **27**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2000).

[25] T. Yuasa, *Differential equations in a locally convex space via the measure of nonprecompactness,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **84**(1981), 534–554.