arXiv:2207.02684v1 [math.FA] 6 Jul 2022

On $\exp(Der(E))$ of nilpotent evolution algebras

Farrukh Mukhamedov[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science,
United Arab Emirates University 15551, Al-Ain,
Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates
e-mail: far75m@gmail.com; farrukh.m@uaeu.ac.ae
* Corresponding author.

Otabek Khakimov[2,3]

[2] Institute of Mathematics named after V.I.Romanovski, 4,
University str., 100125, Tashkent
[3] AKFA University, 1st Deadlock 10,
Kukcha Darvoza, 100095 Tashkent, Uzbekistan
e-mail: hakimovo@mail.ru; o.khakimov@mathinst.uz

Izzat Qaralleh [4]

[4]Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, Tafila Technical University
P.O. Box, 179, Tafila, Jordan
e-mail: izzat_math@yahoo.com

Abstract

In the present paper, every evolution algebra is endowed with Banach algebra norm. This together with the description of derivations and automorphisms of nilpotent evolution algebras, allows to investigated the set $\exp(Der(E))$. Moreover, it is proved that $\exp(Der(E))$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(E)$, and its corresponding index is calculated.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A60, 17A36, 17D92, 47B39.
Key words: evolution algebra;

1. Introduction

Many papers were devoted in the algebraic formulation of Mendel's laws in terms of non-associative algebras (see, for example [14, 18, 25, 31]). On the other hand, certain genetic phenomena such as, for example, the case of incomplete dominance, systems of multiple alleles, and asexual inheritance, do not follow Mendel's laws, therefore, in [28] it has introduced a new type of evolution algebra which was partly an attempt to study such non-Mendelian behavior. The study of evolution algebras constitutes a new subject both in algebra and the theory of dynamical systems. There are many related open problems to promote further research in this subject (for more details we refer to [27]).

We notice that evolution algebras are not defined by identities, and therefore they do not form a variety of non-associative algebras, like Lie, Jordan or alternative algebras.

Hence, the investigation of such kind of algebras needs a different approach (see [6,7,10]). A classification of low dimensional evolution algebras have been carried out in [8,13,16,17, 21–24]. Evolution algebras found their applications in models of non-Mendelian genetics laws [1, 2, 15, 26]. Moreover, these algebras are tightly connected with group theory, the theory of knots, dynamic systems, Markov processes and graph theory [3–5, 12]. Evolution algebras allowed introduce useful algebraic techniques and methods into the investigation of some digraphs because such kind of algebras and weighted digraphs can be canonically identified [13, 28] However, a full classification of nilpotent evolution algebras is far from its solution. For review on recent development on evolution algebras, we refer the reader to [11].

Recently, in [19, 29] it has been studied algebra norms on evolution algebras. Here, by *norm algebra* we mean an evolution algebra E endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$, for every $a, b \in E$, and E is a *Banach algebra* if it has a complete algebra norm. Basically, in the mentioned papers, the norm was taken as ℓ_1-norm defined in terms of a fixed natural basis. Furthermore, certain convergence of the trajectories of the multiplication operator was investigated. However, it is natural to consider other types of Banach norm on infinite dimensional evolution algebras. As an example, recently, in [30] Hilbert evolution algebras have been introduced and studied.

In the present paper, we endow every evolution algebra (finite-dimensional) with Banach algebra norm. We stress that the defined norm provides further directions in the infinite dimensional evolution algebras which involves ℓ_∞-norms (it will be a topic of another paper). On the other hand, recently, derivations and automorphisms of nilpotent evolution algebras have been studied and described [20, 21]. This together with Banach algebra structure allows us to investigated the set $\exp(Der(E))$. Moreover, we prove that $\exp(Der(E))$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(E)$, and its corresponding index is calculated. The obtained results will shed some light into inner automorphism problem on evolution algebras.

2. Evolution algebras

Recall the definition of evolution algebras. Let $\mathbf{E}$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$. In what follows, we always assume that $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic zero. The vector space $\mathbf{E}$ is called *evolution algebra* w.r.t. *natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, ...\}$ if a multiplication rule $\cdot$ on $\mathbf{E}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{0},\ i \neq j,$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_k a_{ik}\mathbf{e}_k,\ i \geq 1.$$

From the above definition it follows that evolution algebras are commutative (therefore, flexible).

We denote by $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ the matrix of the structural constants of the finite-dimensional evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$. Obviously, $rankA = \dim(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})$. Hence, for finite-dimensional evolution algebra the rank of the matrix does not depend on choice of natural basis.

In what follows for convenience, we write $\mathbf{uv}$ instead $\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v}$ for any $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{E}$ and we shall write $\mathbf{E^2}$ instead $\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{E}$.

For an evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ we introduce the following sequence, $k\geq 1$

$$\mathbf{E}^k=\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor}\mathbf{E}^i\mathbf{E}^{k-i}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of x.

An evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ is called *nilpotent* if there exists some $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{E}^m=\mathbf{0}$. The smallest m such that $\mathbf{E}^m=\mathbf{0}$ is called the index of nilpotency.

Theorem 2.1. *[10] An n-dimensional evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ is nilpotent iff it admits a natural basis such that the matrix of the structural constants corresponding to $\mathbf{E}$ in this basis is represented in the form*

$$\tilde{A}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}_{12} & \tilde{a}_{13} & \vdots & \tilde{a}_{1n}\\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{a}_{23} & \vdots & \tilde{a}_{2n}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \tilde{a}_{n-1,n}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Due to Theorem 2.1 any nilpotent evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ with $\dim(\mathbf{E}^2)=n-1$ has the following form:

$$\mathbf{e}_i^2=\begin{cases}\sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n}a_{ij}\mathbf{e}_j, & i\leq n-1;\\ \mathbf{0}, & i=n.\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $a_{ij}\in\mathbb{K}$ and $a_{i,i+1}\neq 0$ for any $i<n$.

In [9] it has been establish that a nilpotent evolution algebra has maximal index of nilpotency $2^{n-1}+1$, if and only if the multiplication table of $\mathbf{E}$ is given by (2.2).

In what follows, we restrict ourselves to the nilpotent evolution algebras with maximal index of nilpotency.

3. Derivations and automorphisms

In this section, we recall some auxiliary facts from [20] which will be used in the next section.

Recall that derivation of an evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ is a linear mapping $d:\mathbf{E}\to\mathbf{E}$ such that $d(\mathbf{uv})=d(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}d(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{E}$.

We note that for any algebra, the space $\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})$ of all derivations is a Lie algebra w.r.t. the commutator multiplication:

$$[d_1,d_2]=d_1d_2-d_2d_1,\quad \forall d_1,d_2\in\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}).$$

For a given structural matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^{n}$ of nilpotent evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ with $dim(\mathbf{E}^2) = n-1$ we denote

$$I_A = \{(i,j) : i+1 < j < n,\ a_{ij} \neq 0\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1. *[20] Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an evolution algebra with structural matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^{n}$ in a natural basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$. If $\mathbf{E}$ is a nilpotent with $rankA = n-1$, then the following statements hold*

(i) if $I_A \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \ \beta \in \mathbb{K} \right\}$$

(ii) if $I_A = \emptyset$ then

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 & (2-2^{n-1})\alpha a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{n-2}\alpha & (2^{n-2}-2^{n-1})\alpha a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2^{n-1}\alpha \end{pmatrix} : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K} \right\}$$

Recall that by an *automorphism* of an evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$ we mean an isomorphism of $\mathbf{E}$ into itself. The set of all automorphisms is denoted by $Aut(\mathbf{E})$. It is known that $Aut(\mathbf{E})$ is a group. In this section we are going to describe $Aut(\mathbf{E})$ of nilpotent evolution algebras with maximal index of nilpotency.

If $I_A \neq \emptyset$, then by η we denote the largest common divisor of all numbers $2^{j-1} - 2^i$ where $(i,j) \in I_A$, i.e.,

$$\eta = LCD_{(i,j)\in I_A}(2^{j-1} - 2^i) \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.2. *[20] Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an n-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebra with maximal index of nilpotency and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n}$ be its structural matrix in a natural basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) if $I_A \neq \emptyset$ then

$$Aut(\mathbf{E}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & \dots & 0 & \varphi_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha^{2^{n-2}} & \varphi_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha^{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K},\ \alpha^{\eta} = 1 \right\}$$

where η is defined as (3.2)*, and φ_{in} is given by the following recurrence formula*

$$\varphi_{n-1,n} = a_{n-2,n}(\alpha^{2^{n-2}} - \alpha^{2^{n-1}}),$$

$$\varphi_{n-i,n} = a_{n-i-1,n}(\alpha^{2^{n-i-1}} - \alpha^{2^{n-1}}) - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{n-i-1,n-k}\varphi_{n-k,n}, \quad 1 < i < n-1.$$

(ii) if $I_A = \emptyset$ then

$$Aut(\mathbf{E}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & \dots & 0 & a_{1,n}(\alpha^2 - \alpha^{2^{n-1}}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha^{2^{n-2}} & a_{n-2,n}(\alpha^{2^{n-2}} - \alpha^{2^{n-1}}) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha^{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}, \ \alpha \neq 0 \right\}$$

4. On the set exp(Der(**E**))

In this section, we are going to study the structure of the set $Exp(Der(\mathbf{E})$. Assume that $\mathbf{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a structural matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ in a natural basis $B = \{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. In [27] it was considered an ℓ_1-norm on $\mathbf{E}$ with respect to B which is defined as follows

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k|$$

whenever $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k\mathbf{e}_k$. However, it is noted in [19] that the defined norm is not consistent with evolution algebra multiplication. Furthermore, the authors have found necessary and sufficient conditions on the algebra when the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is an algebra norm. In this section, we are going to define a norm which endows the considered evolution algebra with norm algebra without any condition.

Let us denote

$$\gamma := \sup_{1\leq j\leq n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ij}| \right\} \tag{4.1}$$

and define

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\gamma = \gamma \max_{i\in I}\{|x_i|\}, \tag{4.2}$$

here, as before,

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a structural matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ in a natural basis $B = \{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then the pair $(\mathbf{E}, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is a Banach algebra.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ is a norm. Now, we establish that $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ is an algebra norm. Indeed, let $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\mathbf{e}_i$ and $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\mathbf{e}_i$. Then

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_iy_i\mathbf{e}_j. \tag{4.3}$$

By (4.3), (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}\|_\gamma &= \gamma \max_{1\leq j\leq n}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_iy_i\right|\right\}\\ &\leq \gamma^2 \max_i\{|x_i|\}\max_i\{|y_i|\}\\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|_\gamma\|\mathbf{y}\|_\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

The finite dimensionality of $\mathbf{E}$ implies that it is a Banach space, then we arrive at the desired assertion. □

Remark 4.2. *The defined norm provides further directions in the infinite dimensional evolution algebras which involves ℓ_∞-norms. In this point, we mention recent works on Hilbert norms on infinite dimensional evolution algebras [30].*

According to the previous theorem, for any linear mapping D of $\mathbf{E}$ we may introduce its exponential as follows

$$e^D = \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{D^k}{k!}$$

where the convergence is considered with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$.

Remark 4.3. *We point out that in most of the literature, e^D is defined for nilpotent mappings. However, the Banach algebra structure allows us to investigate such operators in general setting.*

For a given evolution algebra $\mathbf{E}$, we set

$$\exp\left(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})\right) := \left\{e^d : d\in \mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})\right\}.$$

Problem 4.4. *Describe the set* $\exp\left(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})\right)$.

A main result of this section is to partially solve this problem in the class of nilpotent evolution algebras with structural matrix $A=(a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ such that $\mathrm{rank}(A)=n-1$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an evolution algebra with structural matrix $A=(a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ in a natural basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. If $\mathbf{E}$ is a nilpotent with rank$(A)=n-1$, then the following statements hold:*

(i) if $I_A\neq\emptyset$ then

$$\exp\left(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})\right) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta\\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} : \ \beta\in\mathbb{K}\right\}$$

(ii) if $I_A = \emptyset$ then

$$\exp\left(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})\right) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & e^{2\alpha} & \dots & 0 & (e^{2\alpha} - e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{2^{n-2}\alpha} & (e^{2^{n-2}\alpha} - e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{2^{n-1}\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K} \right\}$$

where I_A is given by (3.1).

Proof. (*i*) Let $I_A \neq \emptyset$. Then due to Theorem 3.1 for any derivation d it holds $d^2 = 0$. So,

$$e^d = I + d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(*ii*) Let $I_A = \emptyset$. Pick any derivation d. Thanks to Theorem 3.1 one can find $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 & (2 - 2^{n-1})\alpha a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{n-2}\alpha & (2^{n-2} - 2^{n-1})\alpha a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2^{n-1}\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

After some basic calculations one gets

$$d^m = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^m & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{2^{m(n-1)}-1}{2^{n-1}-1}\alpha^{m-1}\beta \\ 0 & 2^m\alpha^m & \dots & 0 & (2^m - 2^{m(n-1)})\alpha^m a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{m(n-2)}\alpha^m & (2^{m(n-2)} - 2^{m(n-1)})\alpha^m a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2^{m(n-1)}\alpha^m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall m \geq 2.$$

Keeping in mind the last one, we obtain

$$e^d = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta' \\ 0 & e^{2\alpha} & \dots & 0 & (e^{2\alpha} - e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{2^{n-2}\alpha} & (e^{2^{n-2}\alpha} - e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{2^{n-1}\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\beta' = \frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha} - e^{\alpha}}{(2^{n-1}-1)\alpha}\beta$, and we mean $\frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha} - e^{\alpha}}{(2^{n-1}-1)\alpha} = 1$ for $\alpha = 0$. Due to the arbitrariness of β we conclude that every derivation has a form (4.4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let* $\mathbf{E}$ *be an evolution algebra with structural matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^{n}$ *in a natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$. *If* $\mathbf{E}$ *is a nilpotent with* $rank(A) = n-1$. *Then* $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ *is a normal subgroup of* $Aut(\mathbf{E})$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(\mathbf{E})$ when $I_A \neq \emptyset$. So, we consider only the case $I_A = \emptyset$.

Let us assume that $I_A = \emptyset$. Then according to assumptions (ii) of Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 3.2, we see that $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ is subset of $Aut(\mathbf{E})$. To complete the proof it is enough to check: $e^{d_1}e^{d_2} \in \exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ for any pair $d_1, d_2 \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})$.

Let us take two derivations:

$$d_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta_k \\ 0 & 2\alpha_k & \dots & 0 & (2-2^{n-1})\alpha_k a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{n-2}\alpha_k & (2^{n-2}-2^{n-1})\alpha_k a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2^{n-1}\alpha_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$e^{d_1}e^{d_2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2) \\ 0 & e^{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} & \dots & 0 & \nu_1(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{2^{n-2}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} & \nu_{n-2}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{2^{n-1}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\lambda(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2) = \frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha_2}(e^{2^{n-1}\alpha_1}-e^{2\alpha_1})}{3\alpha_1}\beta_1 + \frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha_1}(e^{2^{n-1}\alpha_2}-e^{2\alpha_2})}{3\alpha_2}\beta_2, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\nu_k(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) = (e^{2^k(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} - e^{2^{n-1}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2. \tag{4.7}$$

Denoting $\alpha := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta := \frac{(2^{n-1}-1)\alpha}{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha}-e^{\alpha}}\lambda(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)$ due to Theorem 3.1 we have the following derivation

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 & (2-2^{n-1})\alpha a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{n-2}\alpha & (2^{n-2}-2^{n-1})\alpha a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2^{n-1}\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.5 one has

$$e^d = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha}-e^{\alpha}}{(2^{n-1}-1)\alpha}\beta \\ 0 & e^{2\alpha} & \dots & 0 & (e^{2\alpha}-e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{2^{n-2}\alpha} & (e^{2^{n-2}\alpha}-e^{2^{n-1}\alpha})a_{n-2,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{2^{n-1}\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.8}$$

Finally, noticing (4.6),(4.7) from (4.5),(4.8) we obtain

$$e^{d_1}e^{d_2} - e^d = 0,$$

which means that $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ is a subgroup of $Aut(\mathbf{E})$. If we pick two automorphisms $\varphi \in Aut(\mathbf{E})$ and $\phi \in \exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ then $\varphi\phi\varphi^{-1} \in \exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$. The arbitrariness of φ and ϕ implies that $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ is a subgroup of $Aut(\mathbf{E})$. The proof is complete. □

Example 1. *Let* $\mathbf{E}$ *be a Banach evolution algebra with the structural matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ *in a natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. *For a given* $D \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E})$ *we consider homogeneous differential equation of the form*

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = D\mathbf{x}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\mathbf{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t)\mathbf{e}_i$. *It is known that solutions of* (4.9) *has the following form* $\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{tD}\mathbf{x}(0)$. *When* $\mathbf{E}$ *is nilpotent with* $rank A = n-1$ *then thanks to Proposition 4.5 all solutions of* (4.9) *has the following form:*

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{2^{i-1}\alpha t}x_i(0) + \left(\frac{e^{2^{n-1}\alpha t}-e^{\alpha t}}{(2^{n-1}-1)\alpha t} + e^{2^{n-1}\alpha t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(e^{2^i\alpha t}-e^{2^{n-1}\alpha t})a_{i,n}\right)x_n(0).$$

Let us take two non abelian subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{K})$:

$$H_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K},\ \alpha^{\eta} = 1 \right\}, \quad H_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K},\ \alpha \neq 0 \right\},$$

where η is defined as (3.2).

Proposition 4.7. *Let* $\mathbf{E}$ *be an evolution algebra with structural matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ *in a natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. *If* $\mathbf{E}$ *is a nilpotent with* $rank(A) = n-1$, *then* $Aut(\mathbf{E})$ *is isomorphic to*

$$H := \begin{cases} H_1, & \text{if } I_A \neq \emptyset, \\ H_2, & \text{if } I_A = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us suppose that $I_A = \emptyset$. First of all we notice that $Aut(\mathbf{E})$ is isomorphic to the group H_3 formed by all 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$ $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K},\ \alpha \neq 0)$. So it is enough to establish that H_3 and H_2 are isomorphic which established by the following mapping $\varphi : H_2 \to H_3$ defined by

$$\varphi : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a^{\mu_n} & a^{\mu_n-1}b + \frac{1}{2}a^{\mu_n+1} - \frac{1}{2}a^{\mu_n} \\ 0 & a^{\mu_n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}-1},\ n > 2.$$

The proof of case $I_A \neq \emptyset$ is similar to the proof of $I_A = \emptyset$. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let* $\mathbf{E}$ *be an evolution algebra with structural matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ *in a natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. *If* $\mathbf{E}$ *is a nilpotent with* $rank(A) = n-1$, *then* $\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))$ *is isomorphic to*

$$H' := \begin{cases} H_1', & \text{if } I_A \neq \emptyset, \\ H_2', & \text{if } I_A = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where groups H_1' *and* H_2' *formed by all* 2×2 *matrices* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha} & \beta \\ 0 & e^{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ *($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$) respectively.*

Thanks to Proposition 4.7 and Corollary 4.8 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $\mathbf{E}$ *be an evolution algebra with structural matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\geq 1}^n$ *in a natural basis* $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. *If* $\mathbf{E}$ *is a nilpotent with* $rank(A) = n-1$, *then the following statements hold:*

(*i*) *if* $I_A \neq \emptyset$ *then* $Aut(\mathbf{E})/_{\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))} \cong G/_{\{1\}}$. *Here* G *is a group of* η*-th roots of unity and* η *is defined by* (3.2).

(*ii*) *if* $I_A = \emptyset$ *then* $Aut(\mathbf{E})/_{\exp(\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{E}))} \cong \mathbb{K}^*/_{\exp(\mathbb{K})}$. *Here* $\mathbb{K}^* = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $\exp(\mathbb{K})$ *is a range of exponential function on* $\mathbb{K}$.

Acknowledgments

The present work is supported by the UAEU UPAR Grant Code: G00003447.

References

[1] Becerra, J.; Beltrán,M.; Velasco, M.V. Pulse Processes in Networks and Evolution Algebras. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 387.

[2] Birky Jr, C. W. The inheritance of genes in mitochondria and chloroplasts: laws, mechanisms, and models. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, **2001**, *35*, 125-148.

[3] Bustamante, M. D.; Mellon,P.; Velasco,M. V. Determining when an algebra is an evolution algebra *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 1349.

[4] Cadavid P., Rodino Montoya M.L., Rodriguez,P.M. The connection between evolution algebras, random walks and graphs, *J. Alg. Appl.* **2020**,*19*, 2050023.

[5] Cadavid P., Rodino Montoya M.L., Rodriguez,P.M. Characterization theorems for the spaces of derivations of evolution algebras associated to graphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **2020**,*68*, 1340-1354.

[6] Camacho L.M., Gómez J.R., Omirov B.A., Turdibaev R.M. Some properties of evolution algebras, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 50(5), 2013, 1481-1494.

[7] Camacho L.M., Gómez J.R., Omirov B.A., Turdibaev R.M. The derivations of some evolution algebras, *Linear Miltilinear Alg.*, 61(3), 2012, 309-322.

[8] Casado C.Y., S.iles Molina M.S., Velasco M.V., Classification of three-dimensional evolution algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 524(1), 2017, 68-108.

[9] Casas J.M., Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A., On nilpotent index and dibaricity of evolution algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.,* 439(1), 2013. 90-105

[10] Casas J.M., Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A., On evolution algebras, *Algebra Colloquium* 21(2), 2014, 331-342.

[11] Ceballosa M., Falcon R.M. Nunez-Valdes J., Tenorio A.F., A historical perspective of Tian's evolution algebras, *Expositions Math.* doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2021.11.004

[12] Ceballos,M.; Nunez, J.; Tenorio,Á.F. Finite dimensional evolution algebras and (pseudo)digraphs, *Math Meth Appl Sci.* doi: 10.1002/mma.6632.

[13] Elduque A., Labra A., On nilpotent evolution algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 505, 2016, 11-31.

[14] Etherington I.M.H., Genetic algebras. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **59** (1939), 242-258.

[15] Falcon,O.J.; Falcon,R.M.; Nunez,J. Classification of asexual diploid organisms by means of strongly isotopic evolution algebras defined over any field, *J. Algebra* **2017** ,*472*, 573-593.

[16] Hegazi A.S., Abdelwahab H., Nilpotent evolution algebras over arbitrary fields, *Linear Algebra Appl*, **486**, 2015, 345-360.

[17] Hegazi A.S., Abdelwahab H., Five-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras, arXiv:1508.07442v1.

[18] Lyubich Yu. I., *Mathematical structures in population genetics*, Biomathematics(Berlin) (1992).

[19] Mellon P., Velasco M.V., Analytic aspects of evolution algebras, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **13**(2019), 113-132.

[20] Mukhamedov F., Khakimov O., Omirov B., Qaralleh I., Derivations and automorphisms of nilpotent evolution algebras with maximal nilindex, *J. Algebra Appl.* **18** (2019), no. 2, 1950233.

[21] Mukhamedov F., Khakimov O., Qaralleh I., Classification of nilpotent evolution algebras and extensions of its derivations, *Commun. Algebra.* **48**(2020), no. 10, 4155-4169.

[22] Mukhamedov F., Qaralleh I., *S*-evolution algebras and their enveloping algebras, *Mathematics* **9**(2021), 1195.

[23] Omirov B., Rozikov U., Velasco M.V., A class of nilpotent evolution algebras. *Commun. Algebra* **47**(2019), 1556–1567

[24] Qaralleh I., Mukhamedov F., Volterra evolution algebras and their graphs. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **69**(2021), 2228-2244.

[25] Reed M.L., Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.(N.S.)* **34**(1997), 107-130.

[26] Rozikov, U.A.; Velasco, M.V. Discrete-time dynamical system and an evolution algebra of mosquito population, *J. Math. Biol.* **2019** , *78*, 1225–1244.

[27] Tian J.P., Evolution algebras and their applications, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1921, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[28] Tian J.P., Vojtechovsky P., Mathematical concepts of evolution algebras in non-Mendelian genetics, *Quasigroups Related Systems*, **14**(1), 2006, 111-122.

[29] Velasco M.V., The Jacobson radical of an evolution algebra, *J. Spectral Theory* **9**(2019), 601-634.

[30] Vidal S.J., Cadavid P., Rodriguez P.M. Hilbert evolution algebras and its connection with discrete-time Markov chains, `arXiv:2012.05124`.

[31] Wörz-Busekros A., Algebras in genetics. In *Algebras in Genetics*, pages 5–16. Springer, 1980.